¹**Characterization, enrichment, and computational modeling of cross-linked actin** ²**networks in trabecular meshwork cells**

- Haiyan Li,^{1,} Devon H. Harvey, $3,4$ Jiannong Dai, $3,4$ Steven P. Swingle, 2 Anthony M Compton, $1,34$

Simple $\frac{3,4}{2}$ in $\frac{3,4}{2}$ in $\frac{3,4}{2}$ in $\frac{5}{2}$ in $\frac{5}{2}$ in $\frac{5}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$
- ⁴ Chenna Kesavulu Sugali,^{3,4} Kamesh Dhamodaran,^{3,4} Jing Yao,⁵ Tsai-Yu Lin,⁵ Todd Sulchek,^{1,2}
- 5 Taeyoon Kim, 6 C. Ross Ethier,^{1,2} Weiming Mao^{3,4,7,8,9}

⁶**Affiliations**

- 1. Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of 8
Technology/Emory University, Atlanta, GA
- 8 Technology/Emory University, Atlanta, GA
2. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ge ⁹2. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA
- 10 3. Eugene and Marilyn Glick Eye Institute, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana
11 4. Department of Ophthalmology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana
- 11 4. Department of Ophthalmology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana
12 5. Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics. Indiana University. India
- 12 5. Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana
13 6. Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafavette, Indiana.
-
- 13 6. Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana.
14 7. Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, 14 7. Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Indiana University, Indianapolis,
15 **Indiana** 15 Indiana
16 8. Departm
- 16 8. Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana
17 9. Stark Neurosciences Research Institute, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana
- 9. Stark Neurosciences Research Institute, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana

²⁰**Abstract**

²¹**Purpose**

22 Cross-linked actin networks (CLANs) are prevalent in the glaucomatous trabecular meshwork
23 (TM), vet their role in ocular hypertension remains unclear. We used a human TM cell line that ²³ (TM), yet their role in ocular hypertension remains unclear. We used a human TM cell line that
²⁴ spontaneously forms fluorescently-labeled CLANs (GTM3L) to explore the origin of CLANs, ²⁴ spontaneously forms fluorescently-labeled CLANs (GTM3L) to explore the origin of CLANs,
²⁵ developed techniques to increase CLAN incidence in GMT3L cells, and computationally studied 25 developed techniques to increase CLAN incidence in GMT3L cells, and computationally studied the biomechanical properties of CLAN-containing cells. the biomechanical properties of CLAN-containing cells.

²⁷**Methods**

28 GTM3L cells were fluorescently sorted for viral copy number analysis. CLAN incidence was
29 increased by (i) differential sorting of cells by adhesion. (ii) cell deswelling, and (iii) cell selection 29 increased by (i) differential sorting of cells by adhesion, (ii) cell deswelling, and (iii) cell selection
20 based on cell stiffness. GTM3L cells were also cultured on glass or soft hydrogel to determine 30 based on cell stiffness. GTM3L cells were also cultured on glass or soft hydrogel to determine
31 substrate stiffness effects on CLAN incidence. Computational models were constructed to mimic 31 substrate stiffness effects on CLAN incidence. Computational models were constructed to mimic
32 and study the biomechanical properties of CLANs.

and study the biomechanical properties of CLANs.

³³**Results**

34 All GTM3L cells had an average of 1 viral copy per cell. LifeAct-GFP expression level did not
35 affect CLAN incidence rate, but CLAN rate was increased from ~0.28% to ~50% by a 35 affect CLAN incidence rate, but CLAN rate was increased from \sim 0.28% to \sim 50% by a combination of adhesion selection, cell deswelling, and cell stiffness-based sorting. Further, 36 combination of adhesion selection, cell deswelling, and cell stiffness-based sorting. Further,
37 GTM3L cells formed more CLANs on a stiff vs. a soft substrate. Computational modeling 37 GTM3L cells formed more CLANs on a stiff vs. a soft substrate. Computational modeling
38 predicted that CLANs contribute to higher cell stiffness, including increased resistance of the 38 predicted that CLANs contribute to higher cell stiffness, including increased resistance of the
39 nucleus to tensile stress when CLANs are physically linked to the nucleus. nucleus to tensile stress when CLANs are physically linked to the nucleus.

⁴⁰**Conclusions**

⁴¹ It is possible to greatly enhance CLAN incidence in GTM3L cells. CLANs are mechanosensitive
⁴² structures that affect cell biomechanical properties. Further research is needed to determine the

42 structures that affect cell biomechanical properties. Further research is needed to determine the
43 effect of CLANs on TM biomechanics and mechanobiology as well as the etiology of CLAN

43 effect of CLANs on TM biomechanics and mechanobiology as well as the etiology of CLAN
44 formation in the TM.

formation in the TM.

⁴⁵**Introduction**

⁴⁶Glaucoma, a major cause of blindness, is a common optic neuropathy in which retinal ganglion 47 cell dysfunction and damage result in characteristic patterns of visual field loss. Ocular ⁴⁸hypertension (OHT) is a major risk factor for glaucoma; moreover, it is the only treatable risk ⁴⁹ factor. Ocular hypertension in primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG), the most common form of ₅₀ glaucoma, is due to elevated aqueous humor outflow resistance, which in turn is most frequently 51 caused by pathological changes in the trabecular meshwork (TM) and inner wall of Schlemm's 52 canal (SC), as reviewed in (Stamer and Clark 2017).¹ Pathological findings in the TM associated 53 with OHT include: loss of TM cells, $2-4$ compromised TM cell function, excessive extracellular 54 matrix (ECM) deposition, and increased TM⁵⁻⁷ and ECM stiffness^{6, 8}. Despite these observations, 55 the fundamental causes of OHT remain unknown.

In addition to the pathological changes described above, it is known that cross-linked actin 57 networks (CLANs) are associated with OHT: they occur more frequently in TM cells from 58 glaucomatous eyes and can be induced by agents known to cause OHT, such as TGF-β2 and dexamethasone (DEX).⁹⁻¹⁶ These studies strongly suggest a functional link between CLANs and OHT. CLANs consist of interconnected filamentous (F)-actin ("hub and spoke" morphology), appearing as web-like (in 2D images) or spherical (in 3D images) intracellular structures.^{11, 12} We and others have shown that CLANs colocalize with multiple proteins, including PIP2, 63 syndecan, α-actinin, filamin, PDLIM1, caldesmon, calponin, and tropomyosin.^{13, 17}

Despite the significant associations between CLANs and OHT/glaucoma, much remains unknown about CLANs, including their impact on cellular functions, ECM production/remodeling, mechanotransduction, and most importantly, intraocular pressure (IOP). These knowledge gaps 67 are due in part to experimental barriers. For example, it is difficult to induce and visualize CLANs, and thus the reproducibility of CLAN studies has been challenging. Prior to our recent 69 paper, most previous CLAN-related research used primary human TM (pHTM) cells together

with glucocorticoid, TGF-β2, or integrin activation, with the exception of some studies using bovine TM cells.^{11-14, 16-20} These approaches have drawbacks; for example, CLAN induction rate varies significantly between cell strains and even between batches from the same cell strain, ₇₃ hindering reproducibility and rigor. Moreover, the use of primary cells is inherently limited by passage numbers,²¹ making it challenging to conduct experiments that require significant 75 numbers of cells.

⁷⁶We recently described a unique TM cell line, GTM3-LifeAct-GFP (GTM3L). The GTM3L cell line 77 was derived from the widely-used GTM3 cell line, established about 20 years ago by 178 immortalizing glaucomatous pHTM cells.^{22, 23} GTM3 cells share many features with pHTM cells, 179 including phagocytic capability and DEX-inducible myocilin expression.²⁴⁻²⁶ We serendipitously 80 discovered the GTM3L subline, which spontaneously forms fluorescently labelled CLANs s_1 suitable for live imaging.²² Using these cells, we showed that CLANs make TM cells stiffer, less $s₂$ dynamic, and more resistant to latrunculin-B (an actin polymerization inhibitor).²²

83 Interestingly, not all GTM3L cells form CLANs.²² To further study CLANs using GTM3L cells, it ⁸⁴ is important to be able to enrich CLAN+ cells beyond their spontaneous low incidence rate ⁸⁵(defined as the number of CLAN+ cells divided by the total number of cells) reported in GTM3L $\frac{1}{26}$ cells ²². In this study, we explored the potential origin of CLANs, combined several methods to 87 increase CLAN incidence rate in GMT3L cells with validation of their effects on cell stiffness, 88 and developed a computational model to study the biomechanical impact of CLANs on cells.

89

⁹⁰**Methods**

⁹¹**Cell culture**

⁹²GTM3L cells were cultured in low-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM; Gibco, ⁹³Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) or Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) ⁹⁴containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% 95 penicillin/streptomycin/glutamine (PSG; Gibco/Thermo Fisher Scientific), and maintained at 96 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% $CO₂$. Fresh media was supplied every 2-3 days.

⁹⁷**Fluorescence-activated sorting and Lentiviral copy number analysis**

98 About 2 x 10⁷ GTM3L cells were sorted into 3 groups with high, medium, and low GFP intensity 99 using a BD FACSAria™ III Cell Sorter (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) at the core facility at Indiana 100 University (Supplemental Figure 1). These cells were cultured, and DNA was isolated using a ¹⁰¹Nucelospin kit (Macherey-Nael) for viral copy number analysis. The copy number of the lentiviral 102 vector sequence in the Woodchuck Hepatitis Virus Posttranscriptional Regulatory Element ¹⁰³(WPRE) was normalized to the copy number of the host cell's ApoB genomic sequence using ¹⁰⁴droplet digital PCR (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA). The primers, PCR conditions, and reagents are 105 listed in Supplemental Materials.

¹⁰⁶**Hydrogel preparation**

The hydrogel precursor gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA [6% w/v final concentration], Advanced ₁₀₈ BioMatrix, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was mixed with lithium phenyl-2,4,6-trimethylbenzoylphosphinate (LAP, 0.075% w/v final concentration) photoinitiator (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO). Thirty microliters of the hydrogel solution were pipetted onto Surfasil-coated (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 18 \times 18-mm square glass coverslips followed by placing 12-mm 112 round silanized glass coverslips on top to facilitate even spreading of the polymer solution. Hydrogels were crosslinked by exposure to UV light (CL-3000 UV Crosslinker; Analytik Jena, $_{114}$ Germany) at 1J/cm². The hydrogel-adhered coverslips were removed with fine-tipped tweezers and placed hydrogel-side facing up in 24-well culture plates (Corning; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

¹¹⁶**Osmotic deswelling of GTM3L cells**

117 GTM3L cells were seeded at 3 \times 10⁴ cells/cm² atop either glass coverslips or soft hydrogels and 118 cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% PSG overnight. Then, GTM3L cells were cultured in ¹¹⁹DMEM with 1% FBS and 1% PSG and exposed to 2% Polyethylene glycol 300 (PEG300; Sigma) 120 for 2 or 5 days.

¹²¹**Live cell imaging**

122 Live imaging was used to determine how long CLANs persisted after PEG300 removal. CLANs 123 were defined as "F-actin–containing cytoskeletal structures with at least one triangulated actin 124 arrangement consisting of actin spokes and at least three identifiable hubs."¹⁸

¹²⁵GTM3L cells were seeded in either a 35 mm dish with a 0.17 mm thick glass bottom (World 126 Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) or on a glass chip (chip ID: CGF400800F; ARRALYZE -₁₂₇ part of LPKF Group, Garbsen, Germany) which was mounted on a 35mm plastic dish with a 22 ¹²⁸mm square cutout in the center. The glass chip contained 512 microwells of 400 µm diameter 129 and 162 microwells of 800 µm diameter. The bottom of the microwell was about 175 um thick, 130 and the height of the wall of the microwell was about 475 µm. The behavior of cells on both 131 substrates was similar and the data we present combines experiments from both substrates. 132 The cells were cultured in serum-free OptiMEM supplemented with 1% 133 glutamine/penicillin/streptomycin. On treatment day 0, the cells were treated with 2% PEG300 ¹³⁴(Catalog # 202371, Sigma Aldrich). The cells then were monitored daily for the formation of ¹³⁵CLANs, which most often formed between treatment days 4-6. Once CLANs were identified, 136 treatment was withdrawn by gently replacing the medium containing 3% PEG300 with fresh 137 culture media without PEG300. Cells were immediately transferred to and were maintained in a 138 stage top incubator (Tokai, Shizuoka-ken, Japan) secured on the stage of a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 139 inverted microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY) set at 5% $CO₂$ and 37°C for the duration of live 140 imaging. Time lapse images were immediately captured using a 40x objective S plan fluor ¹⁴¹ELWD objective (Nikon). Further images were captured every 30 seconds for the first 2 hours 142 and then at every minute for an additional 4 hours.

¹⁴³**Image analysis**

¹⁴⁴To determine the persistence time of CLANs after PEG300 withdrawal, five researchers 145 individually analyzed the captured images. The time at which CLANs were no longer visible was ¹⁴⁶recorded, and the average time over the 5 observers was taken as the persistence time of ¹⁴⁷CLANs after PEG300 withdrawal. For this part of the study, our definition of visible CLANs was 148 identical to that described above in "Live cell imaging".

¹⁴⁹**Cell sorting based on cell-substrate adherence**

150 GTM3L cells were plated in T75 flasks and grown to confluence in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% PSG. 2 ml of 0.25% trypsin was added and incubated for 2 min at 37°C, and non-adherent cells and media were discarded. The remaining adherent cells were then released by adding a 153 further 2 ml of 0.25% trypsin for 30 s, adding media to neutralize the trypsin, centrifugation for 10 min at 1000g, and resuspending the pellet in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% PSG. The cells were then seeded on glass coverslips for testing osmotic deswelling-induced CLAN formation.

¹⁵⁶**Cell sorting based on cell stiffness**

157 GTM3L cells were selected by stiffness according to an established protocol.²⁷⁻³¹ In brief, 2 ml of a GTM3L cell suspension (1.8 x 10⁶ cells/ml) were passed through a microfluidic device with a 159 narrow channel designed with 14, 7 μ m constrictions angled at 30 \degree that direct the trajectory of cells, and thus fractionate cells, depending on their stiffness. This device was made from PDMS (Sylgard 184; Thermo Fisher Scientific) cured on an SU-8 photoresist mold etched using ₁₆₂ standard photolithography procedures. The day before sorting, devices were passivated with 1% Pluronic F-68 solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells suspended in flow buffer (culture media with 20% Percoll to provide neutral buoyancy to cells and 0.4 mg/ml DNAse I to avoid DNA-

¹⁶⁵induced blockage if cells lyse) were flowed into the device at 3-7 μl/min and were collected from 166 the device outlets (each corresponding to a different stiffness), while control samples were 167 taken from unperfused cells. Samples were spun down and resuspended in 150 μl of fresh 168 culture media, and the suspended cells were plated and expanded.

¹⁶⁹**Atomic force microscopy**

¹⁷⁰An MFD-3D AFM (Asylum Research, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) was used to make cell stiffness 171 measurements using silicon nitride cantilevers with an attached borosilicate sphere (diameter $=$ 172 10 μm; nominal spring constant = 0.1 N/m; Novascan Technologies, Inc., Ames, IA, USA). 173 Cantilevers were calibrated by measuring the thermally induced motion of the unloaded 174 cantilever before measurements. The indentation depth was limited to 400 nm to avoid 175 substrate effects and the tip velocity was adjusted to 800 nm/s to avoid viscous effects.³² Five ¹⁷⁶measurements/cell were conducted, and at least 5 cells were measured/group. For hydrogel 177 stiffness measurement, a force map covering a 40 x 40 μ m area (5 x 5 grid of points) was 178 measured. Data from AFM measurements were fitted to the Hertz model to calculate the 179 effective Young's Modulus of the cells, assuming a Poisson's ratio of 0.5.

¹⁸⁰**Immunostaining**

¹⁸¹GTM3L cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, 182 USA) at room temperature for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton™ X-100 (Thermo Fisher ¹⁸³Scientific) and incubated with Phalloidin-iFluor or 594 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, ¹⁸⁴USA)/DAPI according to the manufacturer's instructions. Coverslips were mounted with 185 ProLong™ Gold Antifade (Invitrogen) on Superfrost™ microscope slides (Theromo Fisher ¹⁸⁶Scientific), and fluorescent images were acquired with a Leica DM6 B upright microscope 187 system. Images were captured from at least 10 fields per group, which corresponds to over a

188 thousand cells. The images were subsequently analyzed to determine the percentage of CLAN+ 189 cells, calculated as the ratio of the number of CLAN+ cells to the total number of cells.

¹⁹⁰**Computational modeling**

¹⁹¹To simulate CLAN-like networks, we employed our agent-based model with F-actin and actin 192 cross-linking protein (ACP) simplified via cylindrical segments.³³⁻³⁶ (Supplemental Figure 2) A ¹⁹³cell nucleus was included in some simulations, represented as a triangulated mesh. The 194 positions of all points defining the cylindrical segments and the triangulated mesh were updated 195 in each time step using the Langevin equation and the forward Euler integration scheme. For all ¹⁹⁶elements, extensional, bending, and repulsive forces were considered as deterministic forces. ¹⁹⁷For the nucleus, forces enforcing conservation of volume and surface area were also ¹⁹⁸considered. F-actins were assembled via nucleation with specific orientations and 199 polymerization, but they did not undergo depolymerization. Actin cross-linking proteins ₂₀₀ interconnected pairs of F-actins to form functional cross-linking points.

Via the self-assembly process of F-actin and ACP, CLAN-like networks were created. In ₂₀₂ simulations without the cell nucleus, the CLAN-like network was formed in a thin rectangular domain (10 x 8.66 x 0.1 µm) with periodic boundary conditions in the x and y directions. The 204 actin concentration (C_A) was 100 µM, and the molar ratios of ACPs ($R_{ACP} = C_{ACP}/C_A$) was 0.1. After network assembly, the periodic boundary condition was disabled in the y direction. Then, F-actins crossing the two boundaries normal to the y direction were severed, and their ends were clamped to the boundaries. For bulk rheology measurement, the +y boundary was displaced in either the +x, +y, or -y directions at a constant rate to apply shear, tensile, or ₂₀₉ compressive strain to the network, respectively, while the -y boundary was fixed. The maximum strain was 0.05, and the strain rate was 0.001 s⁻¹. At each strain level, stress was calculated by summing the component of forces acting on the ends of all F-actins clamped on the +y ₂₁₂ boundary and then dividing the sum by the boundary area. For shear stress, the x component of forces was used, but for tensile and compressive stresses, the y component was used. Simulations with the cell nucleus were performed in a larger rectangular domain with or without ₂₁₅ the CLAN-like network. The CLAN-like network was created along the nucleus surface as explained earlier, in a three-dimensional rectangular domain (10×10×5.1 µm). Note that the initial z dimension of the domain is close to the diameter of the nucleus, 5 μ m. The actin structure was created right above the nucleus (i.e., within a space defined by a radial distance from the nucleus center between r_N and $1.1 \times r_N$ where r_N is a nucleus radius). During the actin 220 assembly, the nucleus was frozen without a change in its spherical shape. C_A was 60 μ M, which was calculated using the space for actin assembly. R_{ACP} was 0.1. After network assembly, compressive strain was applied to the +y boundary, whereas the -y boundary was fixed. The maximum compressive strain was -0.2 (i.e., 20% decrease in the z dimension of the domain), and the strain rate is -0.01 s⁻¹. In each strain level, a total resistant force exerted by the actin 225 structure and the nucleus was measured, and then stress was calculated by dividing the total force by the contact area between the nucleus and the boundary. Further details of the agent-227 based model and parameters used in simulations are given in Supplemental Materials.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism software v10.2.3 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for all 231 analyses. All data sets were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and were confirmed to meet the normality criteria. The significance level was set at $p<0.05$. Comparisons between 233 groups were assessed by t-tests and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparisons post hoc tests.

Results

GTM3L cells had 1 viral insertion per cell on average

Although the original GTM3 cells were monoclonal, after lentiviral (pLenti-LifeAct-EGFP-BlastR) transduction and antibiotic selection, GTM3L cells were established to be polyclonal and observed to have variable GFP intensities. We hypothesized this variation was related to 241 different expression levels of the LifeAct-GFP fusion protein and conducted studies to text this 242 hypothesis.

We sorted GTM3L cells into 3 groups with high, medium, and low GFP intensity, respectively (Supplemental Figure 1). These cells were cultured, and DNA was isolated for viral copy number analysis. We found that naïve GTM3 cells had negligible viral copy number per cell, with readings at background levels. In contrast, all 3 groups of GTM3L cells had 1 viral copy per cell on average (**Table 1**), regardless of their GFP intensity, suggesting that the number of viral ₂₄₈ copy/insertions per cell was not correlated with LifeAct-GFP expression levels.

We found that CLAN formation rate was very low among all 3 groups of GTM3L cells described previously (high, medium, and low GFP intensities), and was similar to unsorted GTM3L cells (data not shown). Since CLANs were relatively easy to identify in GTM3L cells with medium and high GFP expression, these two groups of cells were used in subsequent studies.

Enrichment of CLAN-forming cells based on cell-substrate adhesion, osmotic deswelling and cell stiffness

Enrichment of CLAN+ cells based on cell-substrate adhesion

257 It has been observed that interactions between cells and substrates can enhance the formation of CLANs in TM cells.^{17, 19, 38} This insight led us to employ an adhesion-based selection strategy to augment the proportion of CLAN+ cells. We noted that after 9 cycles of selecting GTM3L

cells with stronger substrate attachment, there was a remarkable increase in the frequency of $_{261}$ CLAN+ cells, rising from a low incidence of 0.28 \pm 0.42% to 4.16 \pm 2.95% (**Figure 1A**). The CLAN incidence rate in unselected cells was somewhat higher, albeit still small, vs. that seen in the original GTM3L cells $(0.04\%)^{22}$, which is likely due to the use of GTM3-high GFP expression cells (described above)

²⁶⁵*Enrichment of CLAN+ cells using PEG300*

²⁶⁶Studies have shown that macromolecular crowding induced by PEG promotes CLAN formation 267 in an acellular actin filament solution modeling system.^{39, 40} We hypothesized that cell shrinkage ²⁶⁸induced by PEG would also facilitate CLAN formation in GTM3L cells. To investigate the effect ₂₆₉ of cellular crowding on CLAN formation in GTM3L cells, cells that had undergone 9 cycles of 270 adhesion-based selection (see above) were treated with 2% PEG300 for either 2 or 5 days, ₂₇₁ after which we quantified the incidence rate of CLAN+ cells. As expected, GTM3L cells exposed ₂₇₂ to PEG300 shrank (**Figure 1A and B**). Additionally, there was a marked increase in the 273 incidence of CLAN+ cells compared to the control group, i.e. vs. cells that had been enriched by ²⁷⁴selecting for adhesion but without PEG300 deswelling (**Figure 1B**). Specifically, the CLAN+ 275 incidence rate was 4.16 \pm 2.95% in control cells, which increased to 11.80 \pm 3.58% and 26.50 \pm ²⁷⁶7.51% after 2- and 5-days of PEG300 treatment, respectively (**Figure 1B and C**).

²⁷⁷We further studied whether withdrawal of PEG300 would lead to loss of CLANs. GTM3L cells ₂₇₈ were treated with PEG300 for 4-7 days to induce CLAN formation, followed by replacement of ₂₇₉ the PEG300-containing culture media with PEG-free media. We observed a time-dependent ²⁸⁰loss of CLANs after PEG300 withdrawal (**Figure 2A-H and supplemental video)**. Interestingly, ²⁸¹while CLAN formation required several days, most of the PEG300-induced CLANs were lost 282 within a few hours after PEG300 withdrawal (CLAN persistence = 58.94 \pm 61.70 min [mean \pm ²⁸³SD], N=31 cells; **Figure 2I**).

Enrichment of CLAN+ cells based on cell stiffness

 We recently showed that GTM3L cells containing CLANs are stiffer than cells without CLANs.²² Therefore, we asked whether we could further enrich CLAN+ cells using a well-established microfluidic device that sorts cells depending on their stiffness.²⁷⁻³¹ GTM3L cells, after undergoing 9 cycles of adhesion-based selection, were passed through this device, which contains a narrow channel with angled constrictions that direct cells along a stiffness-dependent ₂₉₁ trajectory, thereby sorting cells into four groups according to their stiffness. We note that no ₂₉₂ cells were obtained from one of the five outlets, and thus have only 4 groups which we denote as extra soft, soft, medium, and stiff. Note that these categorizations do not map onto cellular Young's modulus values definable *a priori*, since the sorting process depends on cell stiffness, channel geometry, and cell size and shape.²⁷⁻³¹ To evaluate the capability of these sorted cells ₂₉₆ to form CLANs, we then subjected them to a 5-day treatment with PEG300, using unsorted cells 297 as a control. We established an association between the stiffness of GTM3L cells, based on the microfluidic-based cell sorting, and their ability to form CLANs. Specifically, we observed a significant elevation in CLAN formation as cell stiffness increased (**Figure 3A**).

Together, using a combination of all 3 CLAN+ cell enrichment methods (9 cycles of adhesion-based selection, cell sorting based on cell stiffness, and PEG300 treatment), we created a GTM3L sub-population containing about 50% CLAN+ cells (**Figure 3A**). This represents a 303 significant enrichment from the original 0.28% CLAN+ incidence in GTM3L cells.

Confirmation of cell stiffening in enriched GTM3L cells

Motivated by our previous findings that CLAN+ GTM3L cells are stiffer than CLAN- GTM3L cells and naïve GTM3 cells²², we also measured cell stiffness using AFM. We noted that in both our

 308 current and previous 22 studies, the CLAN+ GTM3L cells were reported to have a stiffness of 309 approximately 4 kPa. Importantly, we confirmed that CLAN+ cells were stiffer than CLAN- cells ³¹⁰(N=4 cells/group, p<0.05) (**Figure 3B**). This strengthens our confidence in our approach to 311 selecting CLAN+ cells from a mixed population.

³¹³**Substrate stiffness influences CLAN incidence in GTM3L cells**

314 Substrate stiffness has been shown to affect cell stiffness, with cells adapting by increasing their 315 own stiffness in response to being cultured on a more rigid substrate.^{41, 42} In our study, we 316 observed a notable correlation between the stiffness of cells and the presence of CLANs. 317 Therefore, we hypothesized that substrate stiffness might also impact the formation of CLANs in ³¹⁸GTM3L cells. To explore this hypothesis, we cultured stiff GTM3L cells, enriched through ³¹⁹adhesion-based and cell stiffness selection steps (see above), on two different substrates: soft ₃₂₀ hydrogels with a stiffness of approximately 2.36 kPa, and stiff glass coverslips. The cells were 321 then treated with PEG300 for 5 days. We observed that GTM3L cells cultured on the stiff ³²²substrate exhibited a significantly elevated incidence of CLAN+ cells (p<0.0001) (**Figure 4**).

323

³²⁴**The mechanical properties of CLAN-like networks and CLAN-containing nucleus**

³²⁵To further study the impact of CLANs on cell biomechanics, we used agent-based models to 326 probe the rheological properties of CLANs and the role of CLANs in cell stiffening. First, we ³²⁷created a CLAN-like network with a triangular lattice geometry (**Figure 5A**) and performed bulk 328 rheology measurements imposing shear, tensile, and compressive strains on the network 329 (Figure 5B). We found that network stiffness was greatest when delivering tensile strain and the ³³⁰smallest when delivering shear strain (**Figure 5B**), implying that CLANs in cells resist tensile ³³¹deformation effectively. Next, we performed simulations with only a cell nucleus or with a cell 332 nucleus surrounded by CLANs with or without physical links between the nucleus and CLANs ³³³(**Figure 5C and D**). When compressive strain was applied to the two types of structures, ³³⁴ resistance to compression was higher when there were physical links between the nucleus and ³³⁵CLANs (**Figure 5C and D**). This implies that it is more difficult to deform the nucleus in the 336 presence of CLANs, which is consistent with the increase in cell stiffness measured 337 experimentally by AFM.

338

³³⁹**Discussion**

 340 In this study, we sought to understand various features of the enigmatic actin structures known 341 as CLANs, which occur in TM cells and are associated with ocular hypertension. Towards this 342 end, we used our previously reported GTM3L cells, which spontaneously form GFP-labelled ³⁴³CLANs in some cells. Fortunately, we were able to combine several methods to increase the 344 incidence rate of CLAN+ GTM3L cells, successfully obtaining a subpopulation of GTM3L cells 345 with \sim 50% CLAN incidence rate. This significant enrichment of CLAN+ cells will be a valuable 346 tool in future work, since it provides a large population of CLAN-positive cells to work with.

³⁴⁷We also asked whether the amount of transgene expression in GTM3L cells was associated 348 with the extent of lentiviral integration into the host cell's genome. To our surprise, we found that ³⁴⁹ all GTM3L cells, regardless of their transgene expression levels, had on average only one 350 lentiviral insertion/integration event per cell. Generally, lentiviral integration sites in host 351 chromosomes are random, and high dose of lentiviruses (more than 1 copy per cell) can insert 352 at multiple regions in the host cell genome.^{43, 44}

³⁵³The above finding led us to the hypothesis that the presence or absence of CLANs in GTM3L 354 cells depends on the locus of lentiviral insertion rather than the number of insertions. The 355 rationale for this hypothesis includes the following:

³⁵⁶• It is well known that lentiviruses integrate at random sites as well as at certain "hot spots" in 357 the genome. Since the original GTM3 cells are monoclonal and all GTM3L cells were ₃₅₈ cultured in the same extracellular environment, the most likely explanation for CLAN 359 formation is the difference in lentiviral integration sites.

• Different from the monoclonal GTM3 cells, our GTM3L cells are polyclonal, i.e. we did not 361 conduct clonal selection or expansion after transduction. We observed a very low and unpredictable CLAN formation rate in GTM3L cells: sometimes there were many CLAN+ cells in a well, and sometimes there were no CLAN+ cells at all. This observation is consistent with our hypothesis and suggests that only a few lentiviral integration patterns will 365 trigger CLAN formation.

366 Overall, the formation of CLANs in GTM3L cells may require two "hits". We hypothesized that 367 GTM3L cells with certain lentiviral insertion/integration pattern(s) are prone to form CLANs. ³⁶⁸When these cells grow in an unfavorable environment for CLAN formation, they form only a few ³⁶⁹CLANs (~0.28%), yet if they grow in a favorable environment, such as on a stiff substrate or in 370 the presence of PEG300, they form significantly more CLANs.

³⁷¹We were able to enrich the population of CLAN+ cells using different methods: differential 372 substrate adhesion, PEG300 exposure and cell stiffness-based sorting. Interestingly, these 373 methods were synergistic, suggesting that they might be selecting for different CLAN-associated 374 phenotypes. The timescale associated with PEG300 exposure was noteworthy, since the 375 induction of CLANs by PEG300 took several days, yet CLAN disassembly after PEG300 376 removal occurred within hours. We do not understand the cause of this difference, nor do we 377 understand the exact mechanism by which PEG300 induces CLANs in TM cells. The simplest 378 possibility is that cell deswelling by PEG300 leads to cytoplasmic molecular crowding, an effect 379 that was seen in an acellular model where crowding decreased α -actinin binding to F-actin and 380 possibly led to F-actin thinning and shortening.⁴⁰ Another possibility is that PEG300 exposure ³⁸¹leads to cellular stress, and that CLAN formation is a generic stress response of TM cells. For

³⁸²example, the formation of CLANs at the perinuclear region might provide TM cells with 383 additional mechanical shielding or stabilization. This idea that CLANs as a stress response may ³⁸⁴also help explain the observation that CLANs tend to form more on stiffer substrates, as well as ³⁸⁵in the presence of DEX and TGF-β2. More experiments are clearly needed to explore this 386 **concept.**

³⁸⁷We employed an agent-based computational model to understand why CLAN+ cells are stiffer. ³⁸⁸We hypothesized that CLAN+ cells exhibit higher stiffness because their nuclei are harder to 389 deform due to the surrounding CLANs. To test this hypothesis, we first computationally ³⁹⁰measured the rheological properties of CLAN-like networks and found that the networks resisted ³⁹¹tensile deformation effectively. Then, we applied compressive deformation to a simplified cell 392 nucleus with or without CLANs and found that the presence of CLANs resulted in much higher ³⁹³resistance of the cell nucleus to compression due to high tensile resistance of CLANs; 394 specifically, deformation of the cell nucleus was hindered by the limited extensibility of actin ³⁹⁵fibers. Based on these observations, which are consistent with our hypothesis, it is likely that the 396 higher stiffness of CLAN+ cells partially originates from CLANs around the nucleus.

397 Probably the most important question about CLANs is whether they directly contribute to 398 decreased outflow facility and hence elevated IOP, or are simply an associated epiphenomenon. ³⁹⁹Our data do not directly answer this question; even the finding that a stiff substrate, such as 400 seen in the TM of ocular hypertensive eyes, promotes CLAN formation is consistent with both ⁴⁰¹possibilities. To address this question will require more work in a variety of models, and we ⁴⁰²suggest that our findings can play an important role by motivating and facilitating cell-based ⁴⁰³models. For example, one possibility would be to decellularize TM tissue in perfused anterior ⁴⁰⁴segments and then repopulate the TM by magnetically steering CLAN+ cells into the TM. 405 Another approach would be to use an artificial outflow pathway construct (TM-on-a-chip), ₄₀₆ populating the construct with CLAN+ cells to determine effects on flow resistance. All such

407 studies will require large numbers of CLAN+ cells, the production of which will be greatly ⁴⁰⁸facilitated by our enrichment strategies. Also, if the gene(s) that promotes CLAN formation are 409 discovered (e.g. by comparing CLAN- vs. CLAN+ GTM3L cells using DNAseq), CLAN formation 410 can be induced in the mouse TM and the effect of CLANs on outflow facility and IOP can be 411 determined in vivo.

⁴¹² Of course, this work is subject to certain limitations. Besides the lack of outflow facility and IOP ⁴¹³ data, key among these is that the GTM3L cells are derived from a transformed cell line (GTM 414 cells). It is well known that the biology of transformed TM cells is different from primary TM 415 cells.²¹ However, we believe these GTM3L are still a valuable tool for studying CLANs because 416 of several reasons.

417 1. Important features are consistent between GTM3L CLAN+ cells and pHTM CLAN+ cells, such as resistance to actin relaxing reagents^{16, 22} and increased cell stiffness.²²

⁴¹⁹2. Our GTM3L cells form CLANs spontaneously, offering several advantages:

⁴²⁰• Live imaging of CLAN+ cells under physiological conditions.

⁴²¹• Enriched CLAN+ GTM3L cell populations make omics-based studies possible.

⁴²²• Our GTM3L cell line has unlimited proliferation capability, and CLAN formation in this line is 423 spatially consistent (predominantly in the perinuclear region), which improves 424 reproducibility.

⁴²⁵3. LifeAct-GFP expression does not affect TM cell biomechanical properties. Unlike human 426 mesenchymal stem cells,⁴⁵ our GTM3L cells did not demonstrate adverse effects since 427 CLAN- GTM3L cells and GTM3 cells showed similar stiffness and viscosity.

428 4. Our cell culture studies are directly intellectually linked to functional outcomes in whole eyes. $\frac{429}{42}$ Specifically, we know that increased TM tissue stiffness is associated with OHT^{5, 6} and ⁴³⁰ impaired IOP homeostasis, which in view of the greater stiffness of GTM3L CLAN+ cells, 431 strongly suggests mechanistic link(s) between CLANs and OHT. In future, we fully expect to ⁴³²be able to translate our findings from GTM3L cells into whole eyes.

433 In summary, we have developed an effective strategy to greatly increase the presence of ⁴³⁴CLAN+ cells in our newly discovered GTM3L subline. Based on our findings, we believe that ⁴³⁵CLANs, either induced by glaucomatous signals (elevated TGFβ2, elevated cortisone or steroid 436 treatment, or elevated IOP/mechanical stretching) or even as a primary initiating factor of ⁴³⁷glaucoma, lead to pathological changes in TM cell biology, biomechanics, and mechanobiology, 438 resulting in OHT in glaucomatous eyes. Further research is needed to determine these changes 439 and the underlying mechanisms, and this subline will be a useful tool for this purpose.

440

⁴⁴¹**Acknowledgements**

442 Supported by the National Institute of Health/National Eye Institute Award Numbers R01EY026962 (WM), R01EY031700 (WM), R21EY033929 (WM) and R01EY031710 (CRE); BrightFocus Foundation G2023009S (WM); a challenge grant from Research to Prevent Blindness (Department of Ophthalmology, Indiana University School of Medicine); the Georgia Research Alliance (CRE); as well as National Science Foundation Award Number 2134701 (TS) 447 and CBET-2225476 (TS).

⁴⁴⁸The authors thank Dr. Kenneth Cornetta of the Gene Therapy Testing Laboratory at Indiana 449 University School of Medicine for ddPCR resources.

450 The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the 451 official views of the National Institutes of Health.

⁴⁵²**REFERENCES**

⁴⁵³1. Stamer WD, Clark AF. The many faces of the trabecular meshwork cell. *Exp Eye Res*

⁴⁵⁴2017;158:112-123. 455 2. Alvarado J, Murphy C, Juster R. Trabecular meshwork cellularity in primary open-angle
456 glaucoma and nonglaucomatous normals. Ophthalmology 1984;91:564-579. ⁴⁵⁶glaucoma and nonglaucomatous normals. *Ophthalmology* 1984;91:564-579.

457 3. Grierson I, Howes RC. Age-related depletion of the cell population in the human
458 trabecular meshwork. Eve (Lond) 1987:1 (Pt 2):204-210. ⁴⁵⁸ trabecular meshwork. *Eye (Lond)* 1987;1 (Pt 2):204-210.
⁴⁵⁹ 4. Kuehn MH. Vranka JA. Wadkins D. Jackson T.

459 4. Kuehn MH, Vranka JA, Wadkins D, Jackson T, Cheng L, Ledolter J. Circumferential
460 trabecular meshwork cell density in the human eye. Exp Eye Res 2021:205:108494. ⁴⁶⁰trabecular meshwork cell density in the human eye. *Exp Eye Res* 2021;205:108494.

461 5. Last JA, Pan T, Ding Y, et al. Elastic modulus determination of normal and
462 diaucomatous human-trabecular-meshwork. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011:52:2147-2152. ⁴⁶²glaucomatous human trabecular meshwork. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52:2147-2152.

463 6. Raghunathan VK, Benoit J, Kasetti R, et al. Glaucomatous cell derived matrices
464 differentially modulate non-glaucomatous trabecular meshwork cellular behavior. Acta Biomater ⁴⁶⁴differentially modulate non-glaucomatous trabecular meshwork cellular behavior. *Acta Biomater*

465 2018;71:444-459.
466 7. Vahabikas ⁴⁶⁶ 7. Vahabikashi A, Gelman A, Dong B, et al. Increased stiffness and flow resistance of the
⁴⁶⁷ inner wall of Schlemm's canal in glaucomatous human eves. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* ⁴⁶⁷inner wall of Schlemm's canal in glaucomatous human eyes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

- 468 2019;116:26555-26563.
469 8. Raghunathan VI 469 8. Raghunathan VK, Morgan JT, Park SA, et al. Dexamethasone Stiffens Trabecular
470 Meshwork, Trabecular Meshwork Cells, and Matrix. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2015;56:4447-⁴⁷⁰Meshwork, Trabecular Meshwork Cells, and Matrix. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2015;56:4447- 471 4459.
472 9.
- 472 9. Tripathi RC, Li J, Chan WF, Tripathi BJ. Aqueous humor in glaucomatous eyes contains 473 an increased level of TGF-beta 2. Exp Eye Res 1994:59:723-727. ⁴⁷³an increased level of TGF-beta 2. *Exp Eye Res* 1994;59:723-727.
- ⁴⁷⁴10. Armaly MF, Becker B. Intraocular pressure response to topical corticosteroids. *Fed Proc* 475 1965;24:1274-1278.
476 11. Clark AF, Mig

⁴⁷⁶ 11. Clark AF, Miggans ST, Wilson K, Browder S, McCartney MD. Cytoskeletal changes in
⁴⁷⁷ cultured human glaucoma trabecular meshwork cells. J Glaucoma 1995:4:183-188. ⁴⁷⁷cultured human glaucoma trabecular meshwork cells. *J Glaucoma* 1995;4:183-188.

- 478 12. Clark AF, Wilson K, McCartney MD, Miggans ST, Kunkle M, Howe W. Glucocorticoid-
479 induced formation of cross-linked actin networks in cultured human trabecular meshwork cells. 479 induced formation of cross-linked actin networks in cultured human trabecular meshwork cells.
480 *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1994;35:281-294. ⁴⁸⁰*Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1994;35:281-294.
- 481 13. Bermudez JY WH PG, Yan LJ, Clark AF, Mao W. Two-dimensional differential in-gel
482 electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) reveals proteins associated with cross-linked actin networks in 482 electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) reveals proteins associated with cross-linked actin networks in
483 human trabecular meshwork cells. J Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2016. ⁴⁸³human trabecular meshwork cells. *J Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2016.
- 484 14. Hoare MJ, Grierson I, Brotchie D, Pollock N, Cracknell K, Clark AF. Cross-linked actin
485 networks (CLANs) in the trabecular meshwork of the normal and glaucomatous human eve in 485 networks (CLANs) in the trabecular meshwork of the normal and glaucomatous human eye in
486 situ. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009:50:1255-1263. ⁴⁸⁶situ. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:1255-1263.
- 487 15. O'Reilly S, Pollock N, Currie L, Paraoan L, Clark AF, Grierson I. Inducers of cross-linked
488 actin networks in trabecular meshwork cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52:7316-7324. ⁴⁸⁸actin networks in trabecular meshwork cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52:7316-7324.
- 489 16. Montecchi-Palmer M, Bermudez JY, Webber HC, Patel GC, Clark AF, Mao W.
490 TGFbeta2 Induces the Formation of Cross-Linked Actin Networks (CLANs) in Human 490 TGFbeta2 Induces the Formation of Cross-Linked Actin Networks (CLANs) in Human
491 Trabecular Meshwork Cells Through the Smad and Non-Smad Dependent Pathways *Invest* ⁴⁹¹Trabecular Meshwork Cells Through the Smad and Non-Smad Dependent Pathways. *Invest* ⁴⁹²*Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2017;58:1288-1295.
- 493 17. Filla MS, Woods A, Kaufman PL, Peters DM. Beta1 and beta3 integrins cooperate to
494 induce syndecan-4-containing cross-linked actin networks in human trabecular meshwork cells. 494 induce syndecan-4-containing cross-linked actin networks in human trabecular meshwork cells.
495 *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006:47:1956-1967. ⁴⁹⁵*Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006;47:1956-1967.
- 496 18. Wade NC, Grierson I, O'Reilly S, et al. Cross-linked actin networks (CLANs) in bovine
497 trabecular meshwork cells. Exp Eye Res 2009:89:648-659. ⁴⁹⁷trabecular meshwork cells. *Exp Eye Res* 2009;89:648-659.

498 19. Filla MS, Schwinn MK, Nosie AK, Clark RW, Peters DM. Dexamethasone-associated
499 cross-linked actin network formation in human trabecular meshwork cells involves beta3 integrin 499 cross-linked actin network formation in human trabecular meshwork cells involves beta3 integrin
500 signaling. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2011:52:2952-2959. ⁵⁰⁰signaling. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52:2952-2959.

501 20. Filla MS, Clark R, Peters DM. A syndecan-4 binding peptide derived from laminin 5 uses
502 a novel PKCepsilon pathway to induce cross-linked actin network (CLAN) formation in human 502 a novel PKCepsilon pathway to induce cross-linked actin network (CLAN) formation in human
503 trabecular meshwork (HTM) cells. Exp Cell Res 2014;327:171-182. 503 trabecular meshwork (HTM) cells. *Exp Cell Res* 2014;327:171-182.
504 21. Keller KE, Bhattacharya SK, Borras T, et al. Consensus rec

504 21. Keller KE, Bhattacharya SK, Borras T, et al. Consensus recommendations for trabecular
505 meshwork cell isolation, characterization and culture. Exp Eye Res 2018;171:164-173.

505 meshwork cell isolation, characterization and culture. *Exp Eye Res* 2018;171:164-173.
506 22. Peng M, Rayana NP, Dai J, et al. Cross-linked actin networks (CLANs) affect 506 22. Peng M, Rayana NP, Dai J, et al. Cross-linked actin networks (CLANs) affect stiffness
507 and/or actin dynamics in transgenic transformed and primary human trabecular meshwork cells. 507 and/or actin dynamics in transgenic transformed and primary human trabecular meshwork cells.
508 Exp Eye Res 2022;220:109097. ⁵⁰⁸*Exp Eye Res* 2022;220:109097.

509 23. Pang IH, Shade DL, Clark AF, Steely HT, DeSantis L. Preliminary characterization of a
510 transformed cell strain derived from human trabecular meshwork. Curr Eve Res 1994:13:51-63.

510 transformed cell strain derived from human trabecular meshwork. *Curr Eye Res* 1994;13:51-63.
511 24. Jain A. Wordinger RJ, Yorio T. Clark AF. Spliceosome protein (SRp) regulation of 511 24. Jain A, Wordinger RJ, Yorio T, Clark AF. Spliceosome protein (SRp) regulation of 512 glucocorticoid receptor isoforms and glucocorticoid response in human trabecular meshwork 512 glucocorticoid receptor isoforms and glucocorticoid response in human trabecular meshwork
513 cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2012:53:857-866. 513 cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2012;53:857-866.
514 25. Chen W, Yang X, Fang J, Zhang Y, Zhu

514 25. Chen W, Yang X, Fang J, Zhang Y, Zhu W, Yang X. Rho-Associated Protein Kinase
515 Inhibitor Treatment Promotes Proliferation and Phagocytosis in Trabecular Meshwork Cells. 515 Inhibitor Treatment Promotes Proliferation and Phagocytosis in Trabecular Meshwork Cells.
516 Front Pharmacol 2020:11:302.

⁵¹⁶*Front Pharmacol* 2020;11:302. 517 26. Gasull X, Castany M, Castellanos A, et al. The LRRC8-mediated volume-regulated 518 anion channel is altered in glaucoma. Sci Rep 2019:9:5392. 518 anion channel is altered in glaucoma. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:5392.
519 27. Islam M. Brink H. Blanche S. et al. Microfluidic So

519 27. Islam M, Brink H, Blanche S, et al. Microfluidic Sorting of Cells by Viability Based on 520 Differences in Cell Stiffness. Sci Rep 2017:7:1997. 520 Differences in Cell Stiffness. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:1997.
521 28. Wang G, Mao W, Byler R, et al. Stiffness d

521 28. Wang G, Mao W, Byler R, et al. Stiffness dependent separation of cells in a microfluidic
522 device. PLoS One 2013;8:e75901. 522 device. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e75901.
523 29. Stone NE, Raj A, Young K

523 29. Stone NE, Raj A, Young KM, et al. Label-free microfluidic enrichment of cancer cells 524 from non-cancer cells in ascites. Sci Rep 2021:11:18032. 524 from non-cancer cells in ascites. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:18032.
525 30. Islam M, Mezencev R, McFarland B, et al. M

525 30. Islam M, Mezencev R, McFarland B, et al. Microfluidic cell sorting by stiffness to 526 examine heterogenic responses of cancer cells to chemotherapy. Cell Death Dis 2018:9:239. 526 examine heterogenic responses of cancer cells to chemotherapy. *Cell Death Dis* 2018;9:239.
527 31. Wang G, Turbyfield C, Crawford K, Alexeev A, Sulchek T. Cellular enrichment throu

527 31. Wang G, Turbyfield C, Crawford K, Alexeev A, Sulchek T. Cellular enrichment through
528 microfluidic fractionation based on cell biomechanical properties. Microfluid Nanofluidics ⁵²⁸microfluidic fractionation based on cell biomechanical properties. *Microfluid Nanofluidics* 529 2015;19:987-993.
530 32. Vargas-Pir

530 32. Vargas-Pinto R, Gong H, Vahabikashi A, Johnson M. The effect of the endothelial cell
531 cortex on atomic force microscopy measurements. *Biophys J* 2013;105:300-309. 531 cortex on atomic force microscopy measurements. *Biophys J* 2013;105:300-309.
532 33. Li J. Biel T. Lomada P. Yu Q. Kim T. Buckling-induced F-actin fragmenta

532 33. Li J, Biel T, Lomada P, Yu Q, Kim T. Buckling-induced F-actin fragmentation modulates to the contraction of active cytoskeletal networks. Soft matter 2017:13:3213-3220. ⁵³³the contraction of active cytoskeletal networks. *Soft matter* 2017;13:3213-3220.

534 34. Jung W, P Murrell M, Kim T. F-actin cross-linking enhances the stability of force
535 generation in disordered actomyosin networks. Comput Part Mech 2015;2:317-327. 535 generation in disordered actomyosin networks. *Comput Part Mech* 2015;2:317-327.
536 35. Kim T, Hwang W, Lee H, Kamm RD. Computational analysis of viscoelastic

536 35. Kim T, Hwang W, Lee H, Kamm RD. Computational analysis of viscoelastic properties of 537 crosslinked actin networks. PLoS computational biology 2009;5:e1000439. 537 crosslinked actin networks. *PLoS computational biology* 2009;5:e1000439.
538 36. Mak M, Zaman MH, Kamm RD, Kim T. Interplay of active processe

538 36. Mak M, Zaman MH, Kamm RD, Kim T. Interplay of active processes modulates tension
539 and drives phase transition in self-renewing, motor-driven cytoskeletal networks. Nat Commun 539 and drives phase transition in self-renewing, motor-driven cytoskeletal networks. *Nat Commun*
540 2016;7:10323. 540 2016;7:10323.
541 37. Padilla-

541 37. Padilla-Rodriguez M, Parker SS, Adams DG, et al. The actin cytoskeletal architecture of 542 estrogen receptor positive breast cancer cells suppresses invasion. Nat Commun 2018:9:2980. ⁵⁴²estrogen receptor positive breast cancer cells suppresses invasion. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:2980.

543 38. Filla MS, Schwinn MK, Sheibani N, Kaufman PL, Peters DM. Regulation of cross-linked
544 actin network (CLAN) formation in human trabecular meshwork (HTM) cells by convergence of 544 actin network (CLAN) formation in human trabecular meshwork (HTM) cells by convergence of 545
545 distinct beta1 and beta3 integrin pathways. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:5723-5731. 545 distinct beta1 and beta3 integrin pathways. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:5723-5731.
546 39. Huber F. Strehle D. Schnauß J. Käs J. Formation of regularly spaced networks

546 39. Huber F, Strehle D, Schnauß J, Käs J. Formation of regularly spaced networks as a
547 general feature of actin bundle condensation by entropic forces. New Journal of Physics ⁵⁴⁷general feature of actin bundle condensation by entropic forces. *New Journal of Physics*

548 2015;17:043029.
549 40. Park J, I 549 40. Park J, Lee M, Lee B, Castaneda N, Tetard L, Kang EH. Crowding tunes the
550 organization and mechanics of actin bundles formed by crosslinking proteins. FEBS Lett 550 organization and mechanics of actin bundles formed by crosslinking proteins. *FEBS Lett* 551 2021;595:26-40. ⁵⁵¹2021;595:26-40.

- ⁵⁵²41. Janmey PA, Fletcher DA, Reinhart-King CA. Stiffness Sensing by Cells. *Physiological* ⁵⁵³*Reviews* 2020;100:695-724.
- 554 42. Solon J, Levental I, Sengupta K, Georges PC, Janmey PA. Fibroblast adaptation and
555 stiffness matching to soft elastic substrates. Biophys J 2007:93:4453-4461. 555 stiffness matching to soft elastic substrates. *Biophys J* 2007;93:4453-4461.
556 43. Mitchell RS. Beitzel BF. Schroder AR. et al. Retroviral DNA integrati
- 556 43. Mitchell RS, Beitzel BF, Schroder AR, et al. Retroviral DNA integration: ASLV, HIV, and
557 MLV show distinct target site preferences. PLoS Biol 2004;2:E234.
- ⁵⁵⁷MLV show distinct target site preferences. *PLoS Biol* 2004;2:E234. 558 44. Schroder AR, Shinn P, Chen H, Berry C, Ecker JR, Bushman F. HIV-1 integration in the 559 human genome favors active genes and local hotspots. Cell 2002;110:521-529.
- 559 human genome favors active genes and local hotspots. *Cell* 2002;110:521-529.
560 45. Flores LR, Keeling MC, Zhang X, Sliogeryte K, Gavara N. Lifeact-GF 560 45. Flores LR, Keeling MC, Zhang X, Sliogeryte K, Gavara N. Lifeact-GFP alters F-actin
561 organization, cellular morphology and biophysical behaviour. Sci Rep 2019;9:3241. ⁵⁶¹organization, cellular morphology and biophysical behaviour. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:3241.

562

Figure legend

Figure 1. Enrichment of CLAN+ GTM3L cells using adhesion-based selection and PEG300 deswelling. (A) Representative fluorescence micrographs of F-actin in GTM3L cells before and after 9 cycles of adhesion-based selection, with nuclei and F-actin labelling shown in blue and grey, respectively. The green inset in A shows a zoomed-in view of F-actin that does not form a CLAN. The red inset in B shows a zoomed-in view of F-actin in a CLAN. Scale bars, 10 μm. **(B)** Representative fluorescence micrographs of F-actin in GTM3L cells that underwent 9 cycles of 572 adhesion-based selection and were then subjected to PEG300 treatment for either 2 or 5 days. Nuclei and F-actin are labelled in blue and grey, respectively. Scale bar, 20 μm. **(C)** Analysis of the percentage of CLAN+ cells caused by treatment with PEG300 for 2 and 5 days (n = 40) images from 12 experimental replicates for the control group, $n = 20$ images/group from 6 576 experimental replicates for the groups treated with 2% PEG300). The bars and error bars indicate means \pm standard deviations. Significance was determined by one-way ANOVA using 578 multiple comparisons tests. ****: p<0.0001.

Figure 2. The persistence of CLANs after PEG300 withdrawal. (A-H) Images of a GTM3L 581 cell at various times after PEG300 was removed from cells by medium change. Arrowheads 582 denote CLANs in live cells. Scale bar: 50µm. **(I)** The persistence time of CLANs after PEG300 withdrawal, as assayed in in 31 GTM3L cells. Bars: mean and SD.

Figure 3. Enrichment of CLAN+ GTM3L cells based on cell stiffness. (A) GTM3L cells, after 9 cycles of adhesion-based selection, were sorted into four groups according to their stiffness: extra soft, soft, medium, and stiff. Control cells were unsorted cells. Each group of cells were 588 plated on coverslips and exposed to 2% PEG300 for 5 days. The incidence rate of CLAN+ cells was quantified in each cell group (n = 10 images/group from 3 experimental replicates). The violin plot shows medians as horizontal solid lines and the interquartile range as dashed lines. 591 Significance was determined by one-way ANOVA using multiple comparisons tests. ****: p<0.0001. **(B)** Stiffness of CLAN+ and CLAN- cells in an enriched GTM3L cell population was measured by AFM with a 10 µm tip (n = 4 cells/group). The bars and error bars indicate means ± standard deviations. Significance was determined by unpaired Student's t-test. *: p<0.05.

Figure 4. Substrate stiffness affects CLAN formation. (A) Representative fluorescence 597 micrographs showing F-actin in GTM3L cells, with CLAN incidence rate enriched through adhesion- and cell stiffness-based selection. Cells were cultured on either glass coverslips or 599 soft hydrogels (2.36 kPa). Nuclei are labelled in blue. Scale bar, 20 µm. **(B)** Incidence rate of CLAN+ cells as a function of substrate stiffness ($n = 10$ images/group from 3 experimental replicates). The bars and error bars indicate means \pm standard deviations. Significance was 602 determined by unpaired Student's t-test. ****: p<0.0001.

Figure 5. Simulations of the biomechanical effects of CLAN-like networks. (A) A CLAN-like network consisting of F-actin (red) and actin cross-linking proteins (ACPs, yellow) created in a thin rectangular domain. **(B)** Stiffness of the CLAN-like network in (A) in response to shear, tensile, and compressive deformations. The bars and error bars indicate means $±$ standard deviations (N=10). Significance was determined by one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test with p < 0.0001 by pairwise comparison between deformation types. **(C)** Snapshots of the nucleus with or without CLANs before and after the application of compressive normal strain up to $ε = -0.5$. In the case with CLANs, there are physical links between a fraction (20%) of F-actins 612 and the nucleus. Colors in the actin fibers indicate the relative tensile force that fiber is bearing.

 \overline{A}

 $\sf B$

 $\mathsf C$

Duration of PEG300 treatment

PEG300 $100 -$ **** 80 **** CLAN+ cells (%) **** $60 \cdot$ 40 $20 \cdot$ $\overline{0}$ Τ Ctrl $2d$ $5d$

Cell stiffness selection A + PEG300

Glass

Soft hydrogel

B

Table 1. Average viral copy number per GTM3L cell.