

Evaluating Disparities in Proton Radiation Therapy Use in AHOD1331, a Contemporary Children's Oncology Group Trial for Advanced-Stage Hodgkin Lymphoma

Raymond B. Mailhot Vega, MD, MPH¹; Sharon M. Castellino, MD, MSc²; Qinglin Pei, PhD³; Susan Parsons, MD, MRP⁴; Kenneth B. Roberts, MD⁵; David Hodgson, MD, MPH⁶; Anne-Marie Charpentier, MD⁷; Thomas J. Fitzgerald, MD^{8,9}; Sandy K. Kessel⁸; Frank G. Keller¹⁰; Kara Kelly, MD¹¹; Bradford S. Hoppe, MD, MPH¹²

Keywords: particle therapy; proton therapy; radiation therapy; health disparities; Hodgkin lymphoma

Published 28 Oct 2021

Corresponding Author:

Submitted 02 Apr 2021 Accepted 29 Apr 2021

Mailhot Vega, MD, MPH
University of Florida
2015 North Jefferson Street
Jacksonville, FL 32206, USA
Phone: +1 (904) 588-1466
Fax: +1 (904) 588-1300
rbmailhot@floridaproton.org

Editorial

DOI 10.14338/IJPT-21-00012.1

©Copyright 2021 The Author(s)

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY

OPEN ACCESS

http://theijpt.org

To the Editor:

The indications for proton radiation therapy carry the strongest evidence in pediatric cancers. In a recently published letter, Bitterman et al [1] reviewed factors associated with receipt of proton radiation therapy in patients enrolled in Children's Oncology Group (COG) solid tumor and CNS tumor trials. They demonstrated that Black children were less likely to receive this treatment than non-Hispanic white patients, a disparity that persisted when controlling for other demographic and clinical variables. We strongly commend them for their work, as addressing racism and infrastructural barriers to care requires its identification.

Disparities and unequal access to radiation therapy reflect barriers and systemic biases of the health system. As radiation oncologists are highly specialized, it is rare for them to primarily diagnose cancers, particularly in the pediatric setting. Unequal access reflects those obstacles or biases acting on (1) the relationship between pediatric oncologist and radiation oncologist; (2) the recommendation of radiation oncologist; or (3) patient choice. Determining what factors and processes lead to disparities as evidenced previously are paramount in addressing them.

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Florida, Jacksonville, FL, USA

²Department of Pediatrics, Emory University/Aflac Cancer and Blood Disorders Center, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA, USA

³Department of Biostatistics, Children's Oncology Group, Statistics and Data Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

⁴Institute for Clinical Research and Health Policy Studies and Tufts Cancer Center, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

⁵Department of Radiation Oncology, Yale University School of Medicine, Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, Princess Margaret Cancer Center and University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁷Department of Radiation Oncology, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada ⁸Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core (IROC), Rhode Island, Lincoln, RI, USA

⁹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA

¹⁰Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

¹¹Department of Pediatrics, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center; University at Buffalo Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Buffalo, NY, USA

¹²Department of Radiation Oncology, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville FL, USA



All patients Photon patients, n (%) Proton patients, n (%) P value, χ^2 test Variable No. of patients 265 200 65 15.1 ± 2.8 .81 Age, mean ± SD, y 15.1 ± 3.0 15.0 ± 3.6 Age .56 39 28 (14.0) 11 (16.9) < 12 y≥12 y 226 172 (86.0) 54 (83.1) .60 Female 146 112 (56.0) 34 (52.3) Male 119 88 (44.0) 31 (47.7) Race .82 33 24 (12.0) Black 9 (13.8) White 198 152 (76.0) 46 (70.8) Other 12 8 (4.0) 4 (6.1) Unknown 22 16 (8) 6 (9.2)

39 (19.5)

152 (76.0)

9 (4.5)

99 (29.0)

101 (50.5)

20 (10.0)

159 (79.5)

21 (10.5)

Table 1. Univariate analysis of radiation therapy receipt with demographic variables.

Abbreviation: SES, socioeconomic status.

Ethnicity

SES

Low

Non-low

Missing

Hispanic

Unknown

Non-Hispanic

Payment method

Nonprivate insurance

Private insurance

The aforementioned analysis did not include lymphoma, as it focused on pediatric solid tumors. Furthermore, the authors characterized a cohort generally enrolled more than a decade ago. Proton therapy represents a radiation modality with the potential to reduce dose to healthy tissues. Within the context of Hodgkin lymphoma, especially for patients with large mediastinal adenopathy (LMA), dose reduction is important for at-risk organs, including the lungs, heart, and breasts [2, 3]. We now share our analysis of factors associated with proton therapy receipt on a contemporary COG trial for Hodgkin lymphoma, AHOD 1331 [4]. The primary aim of the randomized clinical trial was to determine the efficacy of brentuximab vedotin in a pediatric chemotherapy regimen for de novo high-risk classical Hodgkin lymphoma. Radiation therapy was indicated for all patients with LMA or slow-responding lesions after 2 cycles of chemotherapy on either treatment arm. As the primary trial endpoint has not been reported, we focus the analysis on factors associated with receipt of proton radiation therapy for LMA in Hodgkin lymphoma.

55

199

11

120

145

29

214

22

We conducted a univariate analysis by χ^2 test to evaluate the association of receipt of proton radiation therapy with age (< or \geq 12 years), sex, race, ethnicity, payment method as private versus nonprivate insurance, and neighborhood socioeconomic status (SES), based on a binary 5-digit zip code–derived census-tract definition of poverty level as low or non-low (< or >20%).

Among 265 patients with LMA who received radiation therapy, 65 (25%) received proton therapy and 200 (75%) received photon radiation therapy. The results of the analyses are presented in **Table 1**.

Among those receiving proton versus photon radiation therapy, 13.8% vs 12.0% were Black, 24.6% vs 19.5% were Hispanic, and 13.8% vs 10.0% were of low SES, respectively, with no difference in radiation therapy receipt by race, ethnicity, or SES detected. When payment method was evaluated, a significantly higher proportion of patients receiving proton radiation therapy were privately insured (67.7% with proton versus 50.5% with photon radiation therapy; P = .016).

Disparities in Hodgkin lymphoma outcome faced by Black children are documented [5, 6]. Black children with Hodgkin lymphoma have been shown to have significantly worse overall survival than their white counterparts in a large national database, and in children enrolled in COG trials. In contrast to the finding of Bitterman et al [1] of racial disparities in receipt of proton therapy, our analysis in a more contemporary national study for a hematologic cancer did not demonstrate racial or

.81

.016

.06

16 (24.6)

47 (72.3)

2 (3.0)

21 (26.2)

44 (67.7)

9 (13.8)

55 (84.6)

1 (1.5)

ethnic disparity in proton therapy access for children enrolled in trial. Given the different periods under which enrollment was conducted, the fact that more proton therapy centers existed during accrual for AHOD 1331, which enrolled from 2015 to 2019, may partly account for our findings compared to the historical trials included by Bitterman et al [1]. As our initial analysis is limited to the group with LMA, it is possible that the examination of disparities may yield different results when the entire radiation cohort is considered. With greater sample size and availability to analyze all enrolled children after the primary endpoint is released, it will be important to conduct multivariable analyses to control for any confounding factors to better evaluate individual associations. Given the ability of proton delivery to dosimetrically spare healthy organs and with favorable cost-effectiveness for survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma [7], proton therapy's allocation and receipt merits continued monitoring to ensure equal access.

The American Society of Clinical Oncology has called for continued prospective reevaluation of disparities in access to care and outcomes in order to eliminate inequalities that systemic and infrastructural racism may engender [8]. This is especially important with the advent of novel therapies like proton therapy with its goal of reducing second cancers and cardiotoxicity in survivors.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Conflicts of Interest: Bradford S. Hoppe is an Associate Editor of the *International Journal of Particle Therapy*. Raymond B. Mailhot Vega reports educational travel grants from Varian and IBA outside the submitted work. The authors have no additional conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the work of Qinglin Pei as statistician. Support for AHOD1331 came from the following grants: COG NCTN Operations Center Grant U10 CA180886, COG NCTN Statistics & Data Center Grant U10 CA180899, and St. Baldrick's Foundation.

Ethical Approval: This editorial did not involve human subjects/participants and was exempt from IRB approval.

References

- 1. Bitterman DS, Bona K, Laurie F, Kao PC, Terezakis SA, London WB, Haas-Kogan DA. Race disparities in proton radiotherapy use for cancer treatment in patients enrolled in Children's Oncology Group Trials. *JAMA Oncol.* 2020;6:1465–8
- 2. Mailhot Vega RB, Hoppe BS. A positive approach: advances in proton therapy for the treatment of mediastinal lymphoma. *Expert Rev Hematol.* 2020;13:197–200.
- 3. Tseng YD, Cutter DJ, Plastaras JP, Parikh RR, Cahlon O, Chuong MD, Dedeckova K, Khan MK, Lin SY, McGee LA, Shen EY, Terezakis SA, Badiyan SN, Kirova YM, Hoppe RT, Mendenhall NP, Pankuch M, Flampouri S, Ricardi U, Hoppe BS. Evidence-based review on the use of proton therapy in lymphoma from the Particle Therapy Cooperative Group (PTCOG) Lymphoma Subcommittee. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2017;99:825–42.
- National Cancer Institute (NCI). Brentuximab vedotin and combination chemotherapy in treating children and young adults with stage IIB or stage IIIB-IVB Hodgkin lymphoma. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02166463. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02166463. Accessed March 1, 2021.
- 5. Kahn JM, Kelly KM, Pei Q, Bush R, Friedman DL, Keller FG, Bhatia S, Henderson TO, Schwartz CL, Castellino SM. Survival by race and ethnicity in pediatric and adolescent patients with Hodgkin lymphoma: a Children's Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2019;37:3009–17.
- 6. Khullar K, Rivera-Nunez Z, Jhawar SR, Drachtman R, Cole PD, Hoppe BS, Parikh RR. Pediatric hodgkin lymphoma: disparities in survival by race. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2020;61:546–56.
- 7. Mailhot Vega RB, Patel S, Bates JE, Lynch J, Bansal M, Slayton W, Parsons SK, Hoppe BS, Mendenhall NP. Cost-effectiveness of proton therapy for young adults with mediastinal lymphoma: an analysis of an institutional cohort. *Klinische Pädiatrie* 2020;232:103.
- 8. Patel MI, Lopez AM, Blackstock W, Reeder-Hayes K, Moushey EA, Phillips J, Tap W. Cancer disparities and health equity: a policy statement from the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020;38:3439–48.