

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.







Letter to the editor

Treating hypoxemic patients with SARS-COV-2 pneumonia: Back to applied physiology

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Hypoxemia Venous admixture Shunt SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia

As medical doctors, we are facing terrible and unprecedented challenges in the provision of medical care to patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2). Intensive Care Units around the world are straining as demand for intubation and mechanical ventilation (MV) continues its ever-upward curve. In this regard, as intensivists, we would like to share some thoughts on how applied physiology might relate to SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia (pneumonitis).

We will describe our experience in dealing with patients who were intubated and ventilated with positive pressure MV. Up to this day, we have admitted 89 patients into our critical care unit of which 92% needed invasive MV (up to this day 26 patients have been discharged). During the first two days of mechanical ventilation, the majority surprisingly exhibited good lung compliance with preserved lung mechanics and diffuse and bilateral ground glass areas on Computed Tomography and coalescent B2 lines on bedside lung ultrasound [1]. It appears that at the initial stage, SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia induces high permeability-type pulmonary oedema with a large capillary leak syndrome and a significant venous admixture (poorly aerated-perfused lung regions) concomitant with a loss of hypoxic vasoconstriction (Euler-Liljestrand mechanism) [2]. Thus, the observed benefit after intubation and positive pressure MV, at the early stage of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, does not seem to be related to the usual recruitment of lung volume but mainly to a decrease in venous return, right ventricular output, transpulmonary blood flow and finally intrapulmonary shunt [3,4]. The positive impact of reverse Trendelenburg positioning on SaO2 values, in selected patients, can be spectacular.

However, some patients with a history of lung disease, and/or late bacterial superinfection, or following 48 hours of MV thereby inducing heterogeneous mechanical stress, will present a typical inflammatory ARDS phenotype with large consolidations predominating in dependent lower lobes [5]. The present condition generates large areas of true pulmonary shunt (non-aerated/ perfused lung regions), that further worsen oxygenation, decrease respiratory compliance and may require a higher PEEP, a lower tidal volume, prone positioning, neuromuscular blocking agents and, for the worst cases, VV-ECMO. Obviously, this second phenotype, more typical of classical ARDS, will need a longer MV period. Interestingly, the initial, high permeability-type pulmonary oedema phenotype that characterises SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia with apparently preserved lung mechanics, while undergoing positive pressure MV, may shift to the typical ARDS phenotype in absence of timely weaning MV.

A closer look at the pathophysiology of this hypoxemic alveolar state reveals that a decrease in venous admixture induced by decreasing in transpulmonary blood flow may be obtained mechanically (PPV), chemically (nitroglycerin in cardiogenic pulmonary oedema), by body posture (reverse Trendelenburg positioning) or a combination of these. However, when capillary hydrostatic pressure is low or normal as observed in high permeability-type pulmonary oedema, a medication like almitrine bismesylate, that promotes hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction [6], may improve hypoxemia resulting from SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia. Indeed, there are several studies indicating that upon intravenous administration, almitrine is effective in human ARDS by increasing arterial PO₂ if the rise in pulmonary artery pressure is adequately supported by the right ventricle and cardiac output does not fall [7]. Unfortunately, almitrine is not available in Switzerland.

It is notable that patients with severe SARS-Cov-2 pneumonia tend to be elderly and/or have limited organs functional reserve. In this regard, we may think that it is unfortunate to be forced to treat selected clinically stable patients with temporary hypoxemia (silent hypoxemia) using invasive MV to decrease their initial venous admixture, when instead we could potentially treat them by decreasing transpulmonary blood flow (almitrine, continuous positive airway pressure [CPAP], reverse Trendelenburg positioning, fluid depletion...). Indeed, in-hospital mortality reported from Wuhan was very high among patients requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, of whom between 62% and 97% of patients died [8].

The present message highlights that the mortality is very high among patients with severe SRAS-CoV-2 pneumonia who are under or requiring MV [8]. Thus, any therapy and procedures that could prevent intubation and MV, or enhance MV weaning, without further deterioration of the patient's parlous state would be welcome. The present approach seems rational in a situation where we are facing terrible challenges in the provision of ICU beds and ventilators [9]. All procedures seem justified as long as they do not obscure clinical detection of the need for MV and does not increase the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 by aerosol-generating

```
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2020.04.003
```

2352-5568/© 2020 Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (Sfar). Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

procedures (in absence of protective equipment for healthcare professionals).

A sincere thanks you to Dr John Vogel, Consultant in Intensive Care and Anaesthesia at Ealing Hospital NHS Trust for his diligent proofreading of the present manuscript.

Disclosure of interest

The author declares that he has no competing interest.

References

- [1] Chung M, Bernheim A, Mei X, Zhang N, Huang M, Zeng X, et al. CT imaging features of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Radiology 2020;295:202-7.
- [2] Marshall BE, Hanson CW, Frasch F, Marshall C. Role of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction in pulmonary gas exchange and blood flow distribution. Pathophysiology. Intensive Care Med 1994;20:379–89.
- [3] Bendjelid K. Right atrial pressure: determinant or result of change in venous return? Chest 2005;128:3639–40.
- [4] Siegenthaler N, Giraud R, Courvoisier DS, Wiklund CU, Bendjelid K. BMC Effects of acute hemorrhage on intrapulmonary shunt in a pig model of acute respiratory distress-like syndrome. BMC Pulm Med 2016;16:59.
- [5] Bernheim A, Mei X, Huang M, Yang Y, Fayad ZA, Zhang N, et al. Chest CT Findings in Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19): relationship to duration of infection. Radiology 2020200463. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200463</u>.

- [6] Papazian L, Roch F, Bregeon A, Thirion F, Gaillat X, Saux V, et al. Inhaled nitric oxide and vasoconstrictors in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;160:473–9.
- [7] Gallart L, Lu Q, Puybasset L, Umamaheswara Rao GS, Coriat P, Rouby JJ. Intravenous almitrine combined with inhaled nitric oxide for acute respiratory distress syndrome. The NO Almitrine Study Group. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;158:1770–7.
- [8] Weiss P, Murdoch DR. Clinical course and mortality risk of severe COVID-19. Lancet 2020 [Online ahead of print. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736.20.30633-4].
- [9] Truog RD, Mitchell C, Daley GQ, Truog RD, et al. The toughest triage allocating ventilators in a pandemic. N Engl J Med 2020. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/</u> <u>NEJMp2005689</u> [Online ahead of print. Article in press].

Karim Bendjelid^{a,b,c,*}, Raphaël Giraud^{a,b,c} ^aIntensive care division, university hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland ^bGeneva haemodynamic research group, Geneva, Switzerland ^cFaculty of medicine, Geneva, Switzerland

*Corresponding author at: Intensive care division, university hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland *E-mail address:* karim.bendjelid@hcuge.ch (K. Bendjelid).

Available online 16 April 2020