JACC: CARDIOONCOLOGY © 2019 THE AUTHOR. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

VIEWPOINT

## Call for New Therapies in Heart Failure How Cardiology Can Learn From Oncology



## Mathew S. Maurer, MD

It's the treatment, stupid. —Analogous to James Carville's statement on presidential re-election campaigns

ardiologists and oncologists often differ in their treatment approach. This is illustrated in the management of light chain cardiac amyloidosis (also known as AL cardiac amyloidosis) compared with nonamyloid heart disease. Light chain cardiac amyloidosis differs from other forms of heart failure in that it is a rare disease with an incidence of ~1 patient per 100,000 and a prevalence of <10,000 U.S. individuals (1). Light chain amyloidosis is clinically heterogeneous and is a highly malignant disorder with extremely poor outcomes, especially among patients with advanced phenotypes whose survival is measured in weeks to months. The mortality in amyloidosis is almost always the result of cardiac involvement (2), with rising levels of natriuretic peptides accompanying progressive pump failure that can often culminate in electromechanical dissociation (3). The therapies shown to favorably affect outcomes in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF)-beta-blockers and reninangiotensin system antagonists-are poorly tolerated in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis and may even increase mortality.

Natriuretic peptides have been used widely by clinicians, especially heart failure specialists, in diagnostic testing to confirm heart failure as the cause of unexplained dyspnea and to determine prognosis in patients with HFrEF and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). The widespread use of these peptides has occurred to the chagrin of esteemed experts in heart failure (4), whose view is supported by the neutral results of clinical trials using natriuretic peptides to guide management of patients with HFrEF (5). Indeed, there are many examples of discordance between the favorable effects of a heart failure therapy on natriuretic peptides and the lack of effect on "hard" outcomes (6). This discordance has led regulators such as the Food and Drug Administration to note appropriately that natriuretic peptides in heart failure do not meet the criterion for a surrogate endpoint, when a relationship with real outcomes is so reliably demonstrated that we can make decisions as confidently as-and perhaps much more feasibly than-the clinical outcomes of interest.

Light chains are toxic to cardiomyocytes (7); thus there is a tight link between the production of natriuretic peptides by cardiomyocytes in the setting of toxic free light chains. Stressed cardiomyocytes activate the p38 MAPK signaling pathway, which induces transcriptional up-regulation of the BNP gene (8). Thus, in AL cardiac amyloidosis, the levels of natriuretic peptides reflect the level of insult by light chain species to ventricular cardiomyocytes. Natriuretic peptides in AL cardiac amyloidosis do not decline significantly in the absence of a hematologic response, which is defined by a lowering of light chains, but they do so only after light chain levels fall with anti-plasma cell therapy. This finding is in contrast to natriuretic peptide responses in non-AL amyloid heart failure, in which multiple mechanisms, including but not limited to mechanical strain, neurohumoral factors, extracardiac conditions (anemia and obesity), and altered clearance, contribute to natriuretic peptide levels. In nonamyloid heart failure, natriuretic peptides are compensatory

From the Center for Advanced Cardiac Care, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York, USA. Dr. Maurer has received grant support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH R01HL139671-01, R21AG058348, and K24AG036778); has received consulting income from Pfizer, Eidos, Prothena, Akcea, and Alnylam; and has received institutional clinical trial funding from Pfizer, Prothena, Eidos, and Alnylam.

responses promoting vasodilation and improvement of fluid homeostasis. Simplistically, patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis die of progressive pump failure with concomitant relentless increases in natriuretic peptides that are reflective of the toxic-infiltrative cardiomyopathy.

Data on baseline natriuretic peptide levels in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis have demonstrated robust predictive ability. N-terminal pro-Btype natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels consistently reflect cardiac function and predict survival in patients with AL amyloidosis (9). Similarly, during treatment trials using various anti-plasma cell therapies, the NT-proBNP response, defined as a decrease in NT-proBNP of >30% and >300 ng/l (0.30 ng/ml or 35.4 pmol/l) in evaluable patients (those whose baseline NT-proBNP levels were ≥650 ng/l (0.65 ng/ml or 76.7 pmol/l), predicted clinical outcome and survival (10). Although these analyses were limited by their retrospective nature, prospective data have suggested similar predictive capacity (9).

So why do natriuretic peptides work in light chain amyloidosis and not in heart failure more generally? Possibly because our hematologic colleagues have a large and growing repertoire of therapies aimed at stopping the production of toxic light chains by rogue plasma cells. Their array of therapies incudes chemotherapies and autologous stem cell transplantation, as well as steroids, immunomodulatory drugs, proteasome inhibitors, alkylating agents, and now, monoclonal antibodies. Oncologists initiate treatment at high doses, expecting toxicity. And what do our hematology and oncology colleagues do if the patient with light chain cardiac amyloidosis does not achieve a hematologic response? They switch therapies, early and often, using an alternative pharmacological approach to shut off the production of toxic light chains by monoclonal plasma cells. Heart failure specialists use drugs at submaximal (and often subtherapeutic) dosages and increase the dose into the therapeutic range only when forced to by the patient's condition. What do we heart failure specialists do for patients with nonamyloid heart failure in response to a rising natriuretic peptide or levels that stubbornly will not budge? We use the same medications with the same mechanism of action but in an "intensified" fashion. My "oncological envy" is rooted in my desire to help my patients and by the observation that without new therapies guided by a basic understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms that drive non-AL amyloid heart failure, biomarkers will not work. We need newer therapies and to administer them at optimal doses, not more biomarkers.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Mathew S. Maurer, Cardiac Amyloidosis Program, Center for Advanced Cardiac Care, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York Presbyterian Hospital, 622 West 168th Street, PH12 Stem Room 134, New York, New York 10032, USA. E-mail: msm10@cumc.columbia. edu. Twitter: @MathewMaurer.

## REFERENCES

**1.** Kyle RA, Linos A, Beard CM, et al. Incidence and natural history of primary systemic amyloidosis in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1950 through 1989. Blood 1992;79:1817-22.

**2.** Merlini G, Dispenzieri A, Sanchorawala V, et al. Systemic immunoglobulin light chain amyloidosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018;4:38.

**3.** Sayed RH, Rogers D, Khan F, et al. A study of implanted cardiac rhythm recorders in advanced cardiac AL amyloidosis. Eur Heart J 2015;36: 1098-105.

**4.** Packer M. Can brain natriuretic peptide be used to guide the management of patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction? The wrong way to identify new treatments for a nonexistent disease. Circ Heart Fail 2011;4: 538-40.

**5.** Felker GM, Anstrom KJ, Adams KF, et al. Effect of natriuretic peptide-guided therapy on hospitalization or cardiovascular mortality in high-risk patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2017; 318:713-20.

**6.** Vaduganathan M, Claggett B, Packer M, et al. Natriuretic peptides as biomarkers of treatment response in clinical trials of heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol HF 2018;6:564–9.

**7.** Liao R, Jain M, Teller P, et al. Infusion of light chains from patients with cardiac amyloidosis causes diastolic dysfunction in isolated mouse hearts. Circulation 2001;104:1594–7.

8. Shi J, Guan J, Jiang B, et al. Amyloidogenic light chains induce cardiomyocyte contractile dysfunction and apoptosis via a non-canonical p38alpha

MAPK pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010; 107:4188-93.

**9.** Merlini G, Lousada I, Ando Y, et al. Rationale, application and clinical qualification for NTproBNP as a surrogate end point in pivotal clinical trials in patients with AL amyloidosis. Leukemia 2016;30:1979-86.

**10.** Palladini G, Dispenzieri A, Gertz MA, et al. New criteria for response to treatment in immunoglobulin light chain amyloidosis based on free light chain measurement and cardiac biomarkers: impact on survival outcomes. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30:4541-9.

**KEY WORDS** heart failure, light chain amyloidosis, natriuretic peptides