# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 2,6-Diamino-4-oxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1-ium chloride dihydrate

### Nura Suleiman Gwaram, Hamid Khaledi\* and Hapipah Mohd Ali

Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Correspondence e-mail: khaledi@siswa.um.edu.my

Received 1 August 2010; accepted 5 August 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.024; wR factor = 0.066; data-to-parameter ratio = 10.7.

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_4H_7N_4O^+$ .-Cl<sup>-</sup>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, adjacent cations are connected to one another through N-H···O hydrogen bonds, forming infinite chains along the *b* axis. These chains are further hydrogen bonded to the chloride anions and water molecules, resulting in a threedimensional network. The pyrimidine rings of adjacent molecules are arranged in an antiparallel manner above each other with centroid-centroid distances of 3.435 (1) Å, indicative of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions.

## **Related literature**

For related structures, see: Wijaya *et al.* (2004); Muthiah *et al.* (2004).



#### **Experimental**

 $\begin{array}{l} Crystal \ data \\ {\rm C_4H_7N_4O^+ \cdot Cl^- \cdot 2H_2O} \\ M_r = 198.62 \\ {\rm Monoclinic}, \ C2/c \\ a = 20.4162 \ (4) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \\ b = 6.6030 \ (1) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \end{array}$ 

c = 12.8876 (2) Å
$\beta = 107.903 \ (1)^{\circ}$
$V = 1653.23 (5) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 8
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

μ	=	0.44 mm <sup>-</sup>
Т	=	100 K

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) T<sub>min</sub> = 0.862, T<sub>max</sub> = 0.966

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$   $wR(F^2) = 0.066$  S = 1.051488 reflections 139 parameters 10 restraints

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry  $(\mathring{A}^{\circ})$ 

Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(A,	°).
---------------	----------	-----	-----

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O2−H8···O3	0.82 (2)	1.97 (2)	2.7503 (17)	161 (2)
$O2-H9\cdots Cl1^{i}$	0.81(2)	2.51 (2)	3.2802 (11)	159 (2)
O3−H10···Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.83(2)	2.39 (2)	3.2158 (12)	173 (2)
O3-H11···Cl1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.84(2)	2.35 (2)	3.1831 (13)	173 (2)
$N4-H5\cdots Cl1^{iv}$	0.85(1)	2.45 (1)	3.2805 (13)	166 (2)
$N4-H6\cdots O1^{i}$	0.87(1)	2.11(2)	2.8310 (16)	141 (2)
$N3-H4\cdots O1^{i}$	0.87(1)	1.88 (2)	2.6806 (15)	151 (2)
$N2-H3 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$	0.88(1)	2.05 (1)	2.9151 (17)	167 (2)
$N2 - H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1$	0.87(1)	2.38 (2)	3.2112 (13)	161 (2)
$N1 - H1 \cdots O2^{v}$	0.85 (1)	1.93 (1)	2.7727 (16)	174 (2)

 $0.35 \times 0.19 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ 

4405 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.022$ 

refinement  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

1488 independent reflections

1352 reflections with  $(I) > 2.0\sigma(I)$ 

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (ii)  $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $x, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (v) x, y - 1, z.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *X-SEED* (Barbour, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The authors thank University of Malaya for funding this study (FRGS grant FP009/2008 C).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PV2317).

#### References

Barbour, L. J. (2001). J. Supramol. Chem. 1, 189-191.

- Bruker (2007). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Muthiah, P. T., Hemamalini, M., Bocelli, G. & Cantoni, A. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, o2038–o2040.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wijaya, K., Moers, O., Blaschette, A. & Jones, P. G. (2004). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, **59**, 17–26.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2294 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810031557]

# 2,6-Diamino-4-oxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1-ium chloride dihydrate

# N. Suleiman Gwaram, H. Khaledi and H. Mohd Ali

# Comment

The title compound is a chloride salt of 2,4-diamino-6-hydroxypyrimidine, cocrystallized with two molecules of water (Fig. 1). The structures of dimesylamide salt (Wijaya *et al.*, 2004) and sulfate salt (Muthiah *et al.*, 2004) of this cation have been reported previously. In the crystal structure of the title compound, adjacent diaminopyridinium cations are linked together *via* N—H···O hydrogen bonding into infinite chains along the *b*-axis. The pyrimidine rings of the adjacent molecules (related by symmetry: -x+3/2, -y + 1/2, -z + 1) are arranged in an antiparallel manner above each other with centroid-centroid distance of 3.435 (1) Å, indicative of a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions. The cation chains are hydrogen bonded to chloride anions and water molecules to form a three-dimensional hydrogen bonded network, involving O—H···O, O—H···Cl, N—H···Cl and N—H···O type hydrogen bonds (Tab. 1 & Fig. 2).

# Experimental

The pale yellow crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation of an aqueous ethanol (50%) solution of 2,4-diamino-6-hydroxypyrimidine in the presence of a few drops of hydrochloric acid.

## Refinement

The C-bound hydrogen atom was placed in idealized location (C—H = 0.95 Å) and refined as riding on its parent carbon atom. The nitrogen- and oxygen-bound hydrogen atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and were refined with distance restraints of N—H 0.88 (2) and O—H 0.84 (2) Å.  $U_{iso}$ (H) were set to 1.2–1.5 ×  $U_{eq}$  (parent atom).

#### **Figures**



F

Fig. 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot of the title compound at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radius.

Fig. 2. Packing view of the crystal structure, looking down the *b*-axis.

# 2,6-Diamino-4-oxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1-ium chloride dihydrate

F(000) = 832

 $\theta=3.3{-}30.5^\circ$ 

 $\mu = 0.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K

Block, yellow

 $0.35 \times 0.19 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.596 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 2897 reflections

#### Crystal data

C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sup>+</sup>·Cl<sup>-</sup>·2H<sub>2</sub>O  $M_r = 198.62$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 20.4162 (4) Å b = 6.6030 (1) Å c = 12.8876 (2) Å  $\beta = 107.903$  (1)° V = 1653.23 (5) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 8

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	1488 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1352 reflections with $(I) > 2.0\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.022$
$\phi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.1^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -24 \rightarrow 24$
$T_{\min} = 0.862, \ T_{\max} = 0.966$	$k = -7 \rightarrow 7$
4405 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 13$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.066$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
<i>S</i> = 1.05	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0327P)^{2} + 1.7645P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
1488 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
139 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.22 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
10 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
Cl1	0.485357 (17)	0.19327 (5)	0.09794 (3)	0.01545 (13)
O2	0.58753 (5)	0.85326 (16)	0.25118 (9)	0.0160 (2)
H8	0.5728 (9)	0.823 (3)	0.3011 (14)	0.024*
Н9	0.5575 (8)	0.910 (3)	0.2042 (14)	0.024*
O3	0.55365 (6)	0.66656 (18)	0.41849 (10)	0.0223 (3)
H10	0.5424 (10)	0.545 (2)	0.4079 (16)	0.033*
H11	0.5331 (10)	0.709 (3)	0.4617 (15)	0.033*
01	0.75583 (5)	-0.12955 (15)	0.37901 (8)	0.0148 (2)
N1	0.68878 (6)	0.14677 (19)	0.31998 (10)	0.0116 (3)
H1	0.6566 (8)	0.062 (2)	0.2953 (13)	0.014*
N2	0.61850 (6)	0.4221 (2)	0.25253 (10)	0.0140 (3)
H2	0.5846 (8)	0.340 (2)	0.2242 (14)	0.017*
Н3	0.6124 (8)	0.554 (2)	0.2445 (13)	0.017*
N3	0.73174 (6)	0.47140 (18)	0.35528 (10)	0.0113 (3)
H4	0.7246 (8)	0.601 (2)	0.3510 (13)	0.014*
N4	0.84541 (6)	0.53639 (19)	0.44953 (10)	0.0145 (3)
H5	0.8853 (8)	0.496 (3)	0.4852 (13)	0.017*
H6	0.8359 (9)	0.665 (2)	0.4492 (14)	0.017*
C1	0.75285 (7)	0.0589 (2)	0.37417 (11)	0.0118 (3)
C2	0.67858 (7)	0.3467 (2)	0.30834 (11)	0.0111 (3)
C3	0.79694 (7)	0.3985 (2)	0.40909 (11)	0.0113 (3)
C4	0.80775 (7)	0.1923 (2)	0.41736 (12)	0.0125 (3)
H7	0.8525	0.1407	0.4524	0.015*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cl1	0.0137 (2)	0.0141 (2)	0.0164 (2)	-0.00100 (13)	0.00153 (14)	-0.00102 (13)
O2	0.0125 (5)	0.0165 (6)	0.0180 (6)	-0.0001 (4)	0.0033 (4)	0.0016 (4)
O3	0.0300 (7)	0.0152 (6)	0.0253 (6)	-0.0055 (5)	0.0137 (5)	-0.0032 (5)
O1	0.0153 (5)	0.0082 (5)	0.0191 (6)	0.0004 (4)	0.0027 (4)	0.0006 (4)
N1	0.0094 (6)	0.0100 (6)	0.0137 (6)	-0.0021 (5)	0.0012 (5)	-0.0012 (5)
N2	0.0106 (6)	0.0100 (6)	0.0192 (7)	-0.0012 (5)	0.0016 (5)	-0.0003 (5)
N3	0.0117 (6)	0.0077 (6)	0.0134 (6)	0.0006 (5)	0.0025 (5)	0.0004 (5)
N4	0.0114 (6)	0.0099 (6)	0.0190 (7)	0.0000 (5)	-0.0003 (5)	-0.0007 (5)
C1	0.0143 (7)	0.0125 (7)	0.0095 (7)	0.0016 (6)	0.0049 (5)	0.0002 (6)
C2	0.0128 (7)	0.0119 (7)	0.0097 (7)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0050 (6)	-0.0007 (5)

# supplementary materials

C3	0.0117 (7)	0.0136 (7)	0.0087(7) 0.0128(7)	-0.0003(6)	0.0034 (5)	0.0001 (5)	
	0.0107 (7)	0.0127 (7)	0.0120(7)	0.0010(0)	0.0011(0)	0.0005 (0)	
Geometric param	neters (Å, °)						
O2—H8		0.815 (15)	N2—1	H3	0	.882 (14)	
О2—Н9		0.809 (15)	N3—	C2	1	.3484 (18)	
O3—H10		0.832 (16)	N3—	C3	1	.3845 (18)	
O3—H11		0.841 (15)	N3—1	H4	0	.870 (14)	
O1—C1		1.2467 (18)	N4—	C3	1	.3279 (19)	
N1—C2		1.3379 (19)	N4—1	H5	0	.846 (14)	
N1—C1		1.4048 (18)	N4—1	H6	0	.868 (14)	
N1—H1		0.846 (14)	C1—0	C4	1	.399 (2)	
N2—C2		1.3142 (18)	C3—(	C4	1	.378 (2)	
N2—H2		0.867 (14)	C4—]	H7	0	.9500	
Н8—О2—Н9		108.9 (19)	H5—1	N4—H6	1	19.3 (17)	
H10—O3—H11		105.1 (19)	01—	C1—C4	1	25.98 (13)	
C2—N1—C1		123.52 (12)	01—C1—N1		117.43 (13)		
C2—N1—H1		122.2 (11)	C4—C1—N1		116.59 (13)		
C1—N1—H1		114.3 (11)	) N2—C2—N1		121.48 (13)		
C2—N2—H2		118.8 (11)	N2—4	N2—C2—N3		120.10 (13)	
C2—N2—H3		120.8 (11)	NI—	$M = C_2 = M_3$		18.43 (12)	
$H_2 - N_2 - H_3$		120.4 (16)	N4	$C_3 = C_4$	1	24.39 (13)	
$C_2 = N_3 = C_3$		121.99 (12)	N4—	$C_3 = N_3$	1	10.34 (13)	
$C_2$ —N3—H4		118.0 (11)	C4—(	$C_3 = N_3$	119.27 (13)		
$C_3 N_4 H_5$		119.4(11) 118.1(12)	C3—C4—H7		120.08 (13)		
$C_3 = N_4 = H_5$		118.1(12) 121.9(12)	C1_C4_H7		120.0		
		121.9 (12)	C1 V		1	20.0	
Hydrogen-bond g	geometry (Å, °)						
D—H··· $A$		D	—Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A	
O2—H8…O3		0.	82 (2)	1.97 (2)	2.7503 (17)	161 (2)	
O2—H9…Cl1 <sup>i</sup>		0.	81 (2)	2.51 (2)	3.2802 (11)	159 (2)	
O3—H10…Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>		0.	83 (2)	2.39 (2)	3.2158 (12)	173 (2)	
O3—H11…Cl1 <sup>iii</sup>		0.	84 (2)	2.35 (2)	3.1831 (13)	173 (2)	
N4—H5…Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>		0.	85 (1)	2.45 (1)	3.2805 (13)	166 (2)	
N4—H6…O1 <sup>i</sup>		0.	87 (1)	2.11 (2)	2.8310 (16)	141 (2)	
N3—H4…O1 <sup>i</sup>		0.	87 (1)	1.88 (2)	2.6806 (15)	151 (2)	
N2—H3…O2		0.	88 (1)	2.05 (1)	2.9151 (17)	167 (2)	
N2—H2…Cl1		0.	87 (1)	2.38 (2)	3.2112 (13)	161 (2)	

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (ii) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (iii) *x*, -*y*+1, *z*+1/2; (iv) *x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (v) *x*, *y*-1, *z*.

0.85(1)

1.93 (1)

2.7727 (16)

174 (2)

N1—H1··· $O2^v$ 



Fig. 1

Fig. 2

