



# Efficacy of second-line chemotherapy after immunotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer

Tsuyoshi Hirata<sup>1</sup>, Taiki Hakozi<sup>1,2^</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Thoracic Oncology and Respiratory Medicine, Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center, Komagome Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan

*Correspondence to:* Taiki Hakozi, MD. Department of Thoracic Oncology and Respiratory Medicine, Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center, Komagome Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, 3-18-22 Honkomagome, Tokyo 113-0021, Japan. Email: t-hakozi@akane.waseda.jp.

*Comment on:* Liu Z, Lee K, Cohn D, *et al.* Analysis of real-world data to investigate evolving treatment sequencing patterns in advanced non-small cell lung cancers and their impact on survival. *J Thorac Dis* 2023;15:2438-49.

**Keywords:** Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC); immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs); chemotherapy; treatment sequencing

Submitted May 27, 2023. Accepted for publication Jun 30, 2023. Published online Jul 10, 2023.

doi: 10.21037/jtd-23-858

**View this article at:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-23-858>

Immunotherapy has renewed the standard of care for patients with advanced/metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in recent years. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) were initially introduced as a monotherapy in second-line or later treatment. Several phase III trials (1-5) of ICI as second-line treatment in patients who previously received platinum-doublet chemotherapy demonstrated that anti-programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1)/programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) inhibitor monotherapy prolonged overall survival (OS) to a significantly greater degree than docetaxel monotherapy. Subsequently, randomized phase 3 trials (6-10) demonstrated that multiple, ICI-containing regimens were superior to chemotherapy in the first-line setting. Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibody monotherapy, multiple anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibody-containing regimens in combination with platinum-doublet (chemotherapy + anti-PD-1/PD-L1), anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) antibody (anti-PD-1 + anti-CTLA-4) or both (chemotherapy + anti-PD-1 + anti-CTLA-4) are now available as first-line treatments. Thus, most patients with NSCLC tend to receive ICI earlier in their clinical course.

Previous studies suggested an important interaction between cytotoxic chemotherapy and immunotherapy.

Immunotherapy sensitizes tumors to chemotherapy by priming the immune system, enhancing its reaction to chemotherapy-induced antigen release (11). In addition, chemotherapy can promote such responses by increasing the immunogenicity of malignant cells or by inhibiting immunosuppressive circuitries that are established by developing tumors (12). ICIs have been combined successfully with chemotherapy as a first-line treatment, and the synergy of both therapies is clinically well established (13). However, the impact of first-line, ICI-based treatment on second-line chemotherapy and the relationship between treatment sequencing patterns and clinical outcomes remain unclear.

Liu *et al.* (14) performed a retrospective cohort study of 13,340 patients with lung cancer in the Mount Sinai Health System. The final study cohort included 2,106 patients with NSCLC who received at least one line of systemic therapy. The study examined the evolution of treatment sequencing as well as the impact of sequencing patterns on clinical outcomes while focusing on the effectiveness of second-line chemotherapy after progression to ICI-based therapy as the first-line treatment. Their study reported a significant shift to more frequent use of ICI-based therapy and multiple lines of targeted therapy after 2015. The clinical

<sup>^</sup> ORCID: 0000-0002-9980-4417.

outcomes of a patient population receiving chemotherapy as the first-line treatment followed by ICI-based therapy (Group 1) and a second patient group receiving a first-line, ICI-containing regimen followed by second-line chemotherapy (Group 2) did not differ significantly in terms of OS [Group 2 *vs.* Group 1; hazard ratio (HR): 1.36;  $P=0.39$ ]. The study's comparison of the efficacy of second-line chemotherapy in three patient populations receiving their first-line therapy in the form of ICI monotherapy, an ICI-chemotherapy combination, or chemotherapy alone also found no statistically significant difference in terms of time-to-next treatment or OS among the groups. Based on these findings, the authors concluded that two treatment sequencing patterns, namely, ICI followed by chemotherapy or chemotherapy followed by ICI, achieved a similar clinical benefit and that the chemotherapy regimens routinely administered after first-line platinum doublet therapy were effective as a second-line option after the ICI-chemotherapy combination.

Liu *et al.*'s study found that second-line chemotherapy for NSCLC was effective in the post-ICI setting. There are few, previous studies of second-line chemotherapy after first-line, ICI-based treatment. One such study by Heraudet *et al.* (15) demonstrated the impact of prior immunotherapy on chemotherapy efficacy against advanced NSCLC. Their study retrospectively compared 152 patients with advanced NSCLC between 2015 and 2019 who received salvage chemotherapy immediately after ICI administration (CAI group) with ICI-naïve patients (CWPI group) who received the same chemotherapy regimen. The study found no difference in the treatment discontinuation rate, OS or overall response rate (ORR) regardless of the chemotherapy regimen but observed a trend toward increased OS when paclitaxel/bevacizumab was administered after ICI. Similar results were reported by Kato *et al.* in the largest cohort to date of Japanese patients, where the ORR for CAI was 18% compared to 11% for CWPI, and no difference in progression-free survival (PFS) or OS was observed (16). These results suggested that some chemotherapy regimens are more effective after immunotherapy. However, there is still a significant lack of data on the efficacy of chemotherapy after immunotherapy. Studies with a NSCLC comparator arm, for instance, would be desirable.

Compared to these previous reports, the study by Liu *et al.* has the advantage of having a large sample pool. However, their study still has some limitations; it was retrospective, and the size of the CAI group was too small for a reliable analysis of second-line chemotherapy.

Moreover, chemotherapy regimens without ICI are now rarely used as first-line treatment. Therefore, discussion about the impact of treatment sequences on clinical outcomes differs from actual clinical practice, and their practical use is limited. While second-line treatment options, including docetaxel (with or without ramucirumab), pemetrexed, and gemcitabine, are recommended in clinical guidelines, the pivotal trials demonstrating their activity were conducted before the ICI era, when platinum-based regimens were the standard first-line treatment. It is clinically important to confirm the therapeutic efficacy and re-evaluate the risk-benefit balance of second-line treatments as first-line therapies improve. The results of this study suggested that post-ICI chemotherapy as second-line therapy conferred some survival benefits. However, we must also consider the possibility that some patients will not attain second-line treatment owing to the adverse events related to ICI administration. Today, most patients receive immunotherapy earlier in their clinical course. Therefore, it is important to investigate the effect of first-line ICI-based treatment on second and later lines of treatment. Further studies are warranted to determine whether ICI improves the efficacy of subsequent chemotherapy.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. James R. Valera (Tokyo Metropolitan Cancer and Infectious Diseases Center, Komagome Hospital, Tokyo, Japan) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

*Funding:* None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-23-858/coif>). Taiki H has received payment for speaker's bureaus from Chugai Pharmaceutical, Ono Pharmaceutical, and Eisai outside the submitted work. The other author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are

appropriately investigated and resolved.

**Open Access Statement:** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, et al. Nivolumab versus Docetaxel in Advanced Squamous-Cell Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:123-35.
2. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus Docetaxel in Advanced Nonsquamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:1627-39.
3. Wu YL, Lu S, Cheng Y, et al. Nivolumab Versus Docetaxel in a Predominantly Chinese Patient Population With Previously Treated Advanced NSCLC: CheckMate 078 Randomized Phase III Clinical Trial. *J Thorac Oncol* 2019;14:867-75.
4. Rittmeyer A, Barlesi F, Waterkamp D, et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel in patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (OAK): a phase 3, open-label, multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017;389:255-65.
5. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016;387:1540-50.
6. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, et al. Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy in Metastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:2078-92.
7. Hellmann MD, Ciuleanu TE, Pluzanski A, et al. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Lung Cancer with a High Tumor Mutational Burden. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:2093-104.
8. Paz-Ares L, Ciuleanu TE, Cobo M, et al. First-line nivolumab plus ipilimumab combined with two cycles of chemotherapy in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (CheckMate 9LA): an international, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2021;22:198-211.
9. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, et al. Pembrolizumab versus Chemotherapy for PD-L1-Positive Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:1823-33.
10. Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, et al. Atezolizumab for First-Line Treatment of Metastatic Nonsquamous NSCLC. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:2288-301.
11. Emens LA, Middleton G. The interplay of immunotherapy and chemotherapy: harnessing potential synergies. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2015;3:436-43.
12. Galluzzi L, Buqué A, Kepp O, et al. Immunological Effects of Conventional Chemotherapy and Targeted Anticancer Agents. *Cancer Cell* 2015;28:690-714.
13. Galluzzi L, Humeau J, Buqué A, et al. Immunostimulation with chemotherapy in the era of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2020;17:725-41.
14. Liu Z, Lee K, Cohn D, et al. Analysis of real-world data to investigate evolving treatment sequencing patterns in advanced non-small cell lung cancers and their impact on survival. *J Thorac Dis* 2023;15:2438-49.
15. Heraudet L, Delon T, Veillon R, et al. Effect of prior immunotherapy on the efficacy of chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A retrospective study. *Thorac Cancer* 2022;13:1391-400.
16. Kato R, Hayashi H, Chiba Y, et al. Propensity score-weighted analysis of chemotherapy after PD-1 inhibitors versus chemotherapy alone in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (WJOG10217L). *J Immunother Cancer* 2020;8:e000350.

**Cite this article as:** Hirata T, Hakozi T. Efficacy of second-line chemotherapy after immunotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Dis* 2023;15(7):3554-3556. doi: 10.21037/jtd-23-858