

High-dose *versus* low-dose prednisolone in symptomatic patients with post-COVID-19 diffuse parenchymal lung abnormalities: an open-label, randomised trial (the COLDSTER trial)

To the Editor:

Copyright ©The authors 2022.

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 21 Sept 2021 Accepted: 22 Nov 2021



We conducted an investigator-initiated, single-centre, open-label, parallel-group, randomised, superiority trial of two doses of prednisolone at our institute. After institute ethics committee approval and protocol registration (COLDSTER trial; clinicaltrials.gov identifier number NCT04657484), we included consecutive, consenting subjects aged ≥ 18 years at 3–8 weeks from acute COVID-19 symptom onset, if they had 1) COVID-19 diagnosed by real-time reverse transcriptase PCR or COVID-19 antigen; 2) persistent dyspnoea (modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) score ≥ 2) or resting hypoxaemia (oxygen saturation $\leq 94\%$) or exertional desaturation ($\geq 4\%$ fall in oxygen saturation on exercise) at screening; and 3) diffuse abnormalities involving $\geq 20\%$ of the lung parenchyma on semiquantitative assessment on thin-section (1.0 mm) CT. We excluded subjects with any of the following: 1) ongoing intensive care; 2) pre-existing structural lung disease; 3) pregnancy or lactation; and 4) contraindication for prednisolone.

We allocated subjects 1:1 by computer-generated simple randomisation (allocation concealment in consecutively numbered sealed opaque envelopes) to receive either high-dose prednisolone (40 mg·day⁻¹ for 1 week, followed by 30 mg·day⁻¹ for 1 week, 20 mg·day⁻¹ for 2 weeks and 10 mg·day⁻¹ for 2 weeks) or low-dose prednisolone (10 mg·day⁻¹ for 6 weeks). We assessed the resting oxygen saturation, dyspnoea severity (mMRC scale) and 6-min walk test (6MWT) at randomisation. We monitored for treatment compliance and adverse effects by telephone at 2 and 4 weeks. At 6 weeks, we performed the following assessments: resting oxygen saturation, dyspnoea severity (mMRC scale and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy 10-item dyspnoea questionnaire), 6MWT, spirometry, thin-section chest CT, respiratory health status (King's Brief Interstitial Lung Disease Questionnaire), health-related quality of life (HRQoL) using the short-form 36-item questionnaire, treatment compliance and treatment-related adverse effects [7–11]. We assessed the radiological response both by scoring for resolution of overall diffuse lung abnormalities and a systematic semiquantitative scoring for individual radiological abnormalities (table 1) [12, 13].



We evaluated all outcomes 6 weeks after randomisation. The primary outcome was the proportion of subjects with a complete radiological response (≥90% reduction in diffuse lung abnormalities) on CT.

Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

High-dose prednisolone may not be superior to a low-dose 6-week regimen in improving clinical, physiological and radiological outcomes, or health-related quality of life, in patients with symptomatic post-COVID-19 diffuse parenchymal lung abnormalities https://bit.ly/32zqnXt

Cite this article as: Dhooria S, Chaudhary S, Sehgal IS, *et al.* High-dose *versus* low-dose prednisolone in symptomatic patients with post-COVID-19 diffuse parenchymal lung abnormalities: an open-label, randomised trial (the COLDSTER trial). *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2102930 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.02930-2021].

| TABLE 1 Study outcomes assessed at 6 weeks | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | High-dose prednisolone | Low-dose prednisolone | Mean difference (95% CI) | p-value |
| Patients, n | 65 | 65 | | |
| Primary outcome | | | | |
| Complete radiological response [#] | 16 (24.6) | 12 (18.5) | -0.06 (-0.20-0.08) | 0.39 |
| Key secondary outcomes | | | | |
| Complete/good radiological response [#] | 55 (84.6) | 52 (80.0) | -0.05 (-0.18-0.09) | 0.49 |
| FVC, % predicted [¶] | 71.1±16.3 | 67.4±14.8 | -3.7 (-9.4-2.0) | 0.21 |
| Improvement in resting S_{pO_2} , ⁺ % | 2 (0–6) | 2 (1–6) | | 0.91 |
| Improvement in dyspnoea, mMRC score ⁺ | 1 (1–2) | 2 (1–2) | | 0.52 |
| $\geqslant 1$ point improvement ⁺ | 56 (91.8) | 56 (93.3) | 0.02 (-0.09-0.12) | 1.00 |
| Other secondary outcomes | | | | |
| Good composite response [#] | 10 (15.4) | 10 (15.4) | 0 (-0.13-0.13) | 1.00 |
| Oxygen desaturation on exercise ⁺ | 30 (52.6) | 30 (50.8) | -0.02 (-0.19-0.16) | 0.85 |
| Score on the FACIT-Dyspnea scale⁺ | 45.5±11.4 | 43.3±9.8 | -2.2 (-6.0-1.6) | 0.25 |
| K-BILD total score ⁺ | 65.6±13.7 | 64.9±15.6 | -0.7 (-5.9-4.6) | 0.79 |
| Short form-36 component scores ⁺ | | | | |
| Physical functioning | 59.4±26.3 | 62.9±28.5 | 3.5 (-6.4-13.4) | 0.49 |
| Role limitation – physical | 61.5±23.1 | 58.3±27.9 | -3.2 (-12.4-6.0) | 0.50 |
| Role limitation – emotional | 74.9±30.8 | 69.4±35.9 | -5.5 (-17.5-6.5) | 0.38 |
| Vitality | 59.7±18.1 | 59.6±20.9 | -0.1 (-7.1-6.9) | 0.98 |
| Mental health | 71.8±17.7 | 68.6±19.6 | -3.2 (-9.9-3.5) | 0.35 |
| Social functioning | 76.4±25.4 | 69.2±29.9 | -7.2 (-17.2-2.8) | 0.15 |
| Bodily pain | 75.9±22.0 | 72.5±25.8 | -3.4 (-12.0-5.2) | 0.43 |
| General health | 63.9±18.7 | 61.6±19.9 | -2.3 (-9.3-4.7) | 0.51 |
| Exploratory outcomes | | | | |
| 6MWD, [§] m | 349±93 | 318±129 | -31.0 (-71.4-9.4) | 0.15 |
| Improvement in 6MWD, ^f m | 86 (33–128) | 70 (43–170) | | 0.55 |
| Change in chest CT scores ^{+,} " | | | | |
| Ground-glass opacities | -1.01 ± 1.63 | -0.53 ± 1.45 | 0.48 (-0.08-1.04) | 0.09 |
| Consolidation | -1.16 ± 0.88 | -1.13 ± 1.10 | 0.03 (-0.33-0.39) | 0.88 |
| Reticulation | -0.08±0.85 | -0.02±0.80 | 0.06 (-0.24-0.36) | 0.71 |
| Parenchymal bands | 0.14±0.77 | 0.28±0.87 | 0.14 (-0.16-0.44) | 0.35 |
| Traction bronchiectasis | 0.36±1.13 | 0.37±1.22 | 0.01 (-0.41-0.43) | 0.98 |
| Adverse effects [#] | | | | |
| Any | 46 (70.8) | 50 (76.9) | 0.06 (-0.09-0.21) | 0.55 |
| Hyperglycaemia | 21 (32.3) | 19 (29.2) | -0.03 (-0.19-0.13) | 0.20 |
| Hypertension | 15 (23.1) | 14 (21.5) | -0.02 (-0.16-0.13) | 0.83 |
| Cushingoid habitus | 13 (20.0) | 13 (20.0) | 0 (-0.13-0.13) | 1.00 |
| Fatigue | 9 (13.8) | 13 (20.0) | 0.06 (-0.07-0.19) | 0.48 |
| Weight gain (>10% of baseline) | 4 (6.2) | 5 (7.7) | 0.02 (-0.08-0.11) | 1.00 |
| Dyspepsia | 3 (4.6) | 7 (10.8) | 0.06 (-0.04-0.16) | 0.19 |
| Others" | 19 (29.2) | 27 (41.5) | 0.12 (-0.04-0.28) | 0.20 |

Data are presented as n (%), mean±sb or median (interquartile range), unless otherwise stated. FVC: forced vital capacity; $S_{p0,j}$; peripheral oxygen saturation; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council; FACIT: Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; K-BILD: King's Brief Interstitial Lung Disease; 6MWD: 6-min walk distance; CT: computed tomography. [#]: outcomes presented for all subjects (n=65 in each group) with the worse outcomes assumed for those who were lost to follow-up; [¶]: 59 subjects in the high-dose group and 57 in the low-dose group were able to perform spirometry; [†]: outcomes reported for patients who completed follow-up (high-dose group n=61, low-dose group n=60); [§]: 57 and 59 subjects could perform the 6-min walk test (6MWT) at 6 weeks in the high-dose and low-dose groups, respectively; ^f: paired data available for 6MWT for 44 (high-dose group) and 37 (low-dose group) subjects; ^{##}: ground-glass opacities, consolidation, reticulation and parenchymal bands were scored semi-quantitatively in each lobe (right upper lobe, right middle lobe, right lower lobe, left upper lobe/lingula and left lower lobe) on chest CT. A score of 0 indicates no involvement, 1 represents <5% of lobe involved (present but minimal), 2 reflects 5–25%, 3 indicates 25–49%, 4 signifies 50–75% and 5 denotes >75% involvement. For each feature, the lobe scores were summed and divided by five to obtain an average (scale of 0–5), indicating the proportion of the total lung parenchyma showing the feature. Traction bronchiectasis was scored as absent (0) or present (1) for each lobe. The total score for traction bronchiectasis was calculated by summing up the respective scores for the five lobes; ^{¶¶}: other adverse effects (rarer events with <10 events in the study population) included skin thinning and bruising, insomnia, muscular weakness, mood changes, abdominal pain, infection, headache, visual disturbance, dysgeusia, hypertrichosis and acne.

The key secondary outcomes included the proportion of subjects with a complete or good radiological response (\geq 50% resolution in diffuse lung abnormalities), percentage of predicted forced vital capacity (FVC), improvements in the resting oxygen saturation and dyspnoea severity and adverse effects.

Between December 2020 and June 2021, we screened 290 subjects and randomised 65 to each group. The major reasons for exclusion were mild lung abnormalities (n=82), contraindications to prednisolone (n=27), consent refusal (n=23) and others (n=28). The study groups had similar baseline characteristics with a mean age of 57 years, 32% women and 73% subjects with at least one comorbidity. All subjects were hospitalised for acute COVID-19 illness. Approximately 98% had either critical or severe disease according to World Health Organization criteria; 43% received either mechanical ventilation or high-flow nasal oxygen. Most (76%) subjects were randomised after hospital discharge (median of 36 days from acute COVID-19 symptom onset and 15 days since hospital discharge). At randomisation, the subjects had a median mMRC dyspnoea score of 3; 88% of subjects had exertional (or resting) hypoxaemia with 27% requiring supplemental oxygen. Approximately 91% had an organising pneumonia pattern on chest CT. The cumulative glucocorticoid dose received during acute COVID-19 management (median dose, 505 mg prednisolone equivalent) was similar between the study groups (p=0.16).

61 (93.8%) and 60 (92.3%) subjects completed 6 weeks' follow-up in the high-dose and low-dose groups, respectively (compliance by cumulative dose, 96.8% and 96.2%, respectively). We found a complete radiological response in 16 (24.6%) and 12 (18.5%) subjects in the high-dose and low-dose groups, respectively (p=0.39). 55 (84.6%) and 52 (80.0%) subjects had a complete or good radiological response in the respective groups (p=0.49). The mean FVC at 6 weeks was similar (high dose 71.1% pred, low dose 67.4% pred; p=0.21). The median (interquartile range) improvements in resting oxygen saturation (high dose 2 (0–6), low-dose 2 (1–6); p=0.91) and mMRC scale (high dose 1 (1–2), low-dose 2 (1–2); p=0.52) were similar. Only one subject (high-dose group) required supplemental oxygen at 6 weeks. In addition, the other secondary and exploratory outcomes did not differ between the study groups (table 1). The outcomes did not differ by study group allocation in any of the analysed subgroups based on age (<60 years *versus* ≥60 years), sex, comorbidity (none *versus* any), body weight (<80 kg *versus* ≥80 kg), duration since COVID-19 onset (\leq 4 weeks *versus* >4 weeks), cumulative prednisolone dose before randomisation (<500 mg *versus* ≥500 mg), peak oxygen requirement during hospitalisation (fraction of inspired oxygen \leq 0.5), mechanical ventilation and dyspnoea severity (mMRC grade \leq 2 *versus* grade 3 or 4).

The incidence of treatment-related adverse effects was similar between the study groups (table 1). There were no deaths. Four infections occurred (pulmonary tuberculosis, tracheostomy site infection, recurrent symptomatic acute COVID-19 in the high-dose group and an uncomplicated urinary tract infection in the low-dose group); all responded to appropriate treatment.

To our knowledge, this is the first randomised trial of any therapy for PC-DPLAS. A complete radiological response was achieved in only 21% of subjects; this proportion lies in the higher range of early imaging outcomes previously reported in PC-DPLAS [13–15]. Dyspnoea was reduced by the minimal clinically important difference (≥ 1 mMRC point) in 92% of subjects, similar between the study groups. We also found significant improvements in oxygen saturation and 6-min walk distance in both groups. However, glucocorticoid therapy was not without harm: 74% of subjects developed at least one adverse effect. Even in the low-dose group, ~29% and ~22% of patients developed hyperglycaemia and hypertension, respectively.

The management of PC-DPLAS remains unclear. Many physicians adopt a "wait-and-see" approach, administering glucocorticoids, if anything, to patients whose symptoms or hypoxaemia persist beyond several weeks to months [4, 6]. In contrast, several physicians prescribe prolonged high-dose glucocorticoids early in the course of PC-DPLAS [5]. SEGALA *et al.* [6] treated 10 patients with persistent respiratory failure beyond 3 weeks after acute COVID-19 symptom onset with high-dose intravenous methylprednisolone and observed significant improvements in oxygenation. MYALL *et al.* [4] treated 30 patients with persistent symptoms and a radiological organising pneumonia pattern with medium-dose prednisolone an average of 11 weeks after symptom onset and reported a significant clinicophysiological response. We administered prednisolone at an average of 5 weeks from acute COVID-19 symptom onset (~2 weeks from discharge) to patients with PC-DPLAS with considerable ongoing symptoms, oxygenation defects and significant residual radiological abnormalities. We observed similar improvement as previous studies, even with low-dose prednisolone [4, 6].

An important limitation of the study is the lack of a placebo arm. Nevertheless, we did our best to exclude patients with only mild PC-DPLAS. Our inclusion criteria, significant exclusion rate and the baseline radiological and physiological characteristics of our study subjects reflect our attempts to include only patients with persistent and severe PC-DPLAS. Yet, our study cannot answer whether glucocorticoids are required at all for treating PC-DPLAS. It is plausible that our subjects, although significantly symptomatic,

could have improved spontaneously without any glucocorticoid therapy. However, our study does indicate that a lower glucocorticoid dose may be sufficient once a decision is made to treat persistent PC-DPLAS. Other limitations include the single-study centre, small sample size, short follow-up and unavailability of other pulmonary function tests such as diffusion capacity of the lung.

In conclusion, we did not find high-dose prednisolone better than low-dose prednisolone in improving the clinical, radiological, physiological and HRQoL outcomes in PC-DPLAS. A placebo-controlled trial of glucocorticoids is required to better inform clinical practice for treating PC-DPLAS.

Sahajal Dhooria^{1,5}, Shivani Chaudhary^{1,5}, Inderpaul Singh Sehgal ¹, Ritesh Agarwal¹, Siddhant Arora², Mandeep Garg³, Nidhi Prabhakar³, Goverdhan Dutt Puri⁴, Ashish Bhalla², Vikas Suri², Lakshmi Narayana Yaddanapudi⁴, Valliappan Muthu¹, Kuruswamy Thurai Prasad¹ and Ashutosh Nath Aggarwal¹

¹Dept of Pulmonary Medicine, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, India. ²Dept of Medicine, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, India. ³Dept of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, India. ⁴Dept of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, India. ⁵These authors contributed equally to the manuscript and may be considered as first authors.

Corresponding author: Sahajal Dhooria (sahajal@gmail.com)

This trial was prospectively registered at ClinicalTrials.gov with identifier NCT04657484. Data collected for the study, including deidentified participant data and related documents, including the protocol, statistical analysis plan and informed consent form, will be made available to qualified researchers after publication of the manuscript upon reasonable request *via* application to the corresponding author.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- 1 Montani D, Savale L, Beurnier A, *et al.* Multidisciplinary approach for post-acute COVID-19 syndrome: time to break down the walls. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2101090.
- 2 Garg M, Maralakunte M, Garg S, *et al.* The conundrum of 'long-COVID-19': a narrative review. *Int J Gen Med* 2021; 14: 2491–2506.
- **3** Funke-Chambour M, Bridevaux PO, Clarenbach CF, *et al.* Swiss recommendations for the follow-up and treatment of pulmonary long COVID. *Respiration* 2021; 100: 826–841.
- 4 Myall KJ, Mukherjee B, Castanheira AM, *et al.* Persistent post-COVID-19 interstitial lung disease. An observational study of corticosteroid treatment. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2021; 18: 799–806.
- 5 Kostorz-Nosal S, Jastrzębski D, Chyra M, *et al.* A prolonged steroid therapy may be beneficial in some patients after the COVID-19 pneumonia. *Eur Clin Respir J* 2021; 8: 1945186.
- 6 Segala FV, Sgalla G, Salvati F, *et al.* Adjunctive corticosteroid treatment for organizing pneumonia in COVID-19 patients with persistent respiratory failure. *Respir Med* 2021; 187: 106571.
- 7 Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J 2005; 26: 319–338.
- 8 Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, *et al.* An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 44: 1428–1446.
- 9 Hinchcliff M, Beaumont JL, Thavarajah K, et al. Validity of two new patient-reported outcome measures in systemic sclerosis: Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System 29-item Health Profile and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Dyspnea short form. Arthritis Care Res 2011; 63: 1620–1628.
- 10 Patel AS, Siegert RJ, Brignall K, *et al.* The development and validation of the King's Brief Interstitial Lung Disease (K-BILD) health status questionnaire. *Thorax* 2012; 67: 804–810.
- 11 Sinha R, van den Heuvel WJ, Arokiasamy P. Validity and reliability of MOS short form health survey (SF-36) for use in India. *Indian J Community Med* 2013; 38: 22–26.
- 12 Petitpierre N, Cottin V, Marchand-Adam S, et al. A 12-week combination of clarithromycin and prednisone compared to a 24-week prednisone alone treatment in cryptogenic and radiation-induced organizing pneumonia. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 2018; 35: 230–238.
- **13** Wu X, Liu X, Zhou Y, *et al.* 3-month, 6-month, 9-month, and 12-month respiratory outcomes in patients following COVID-19-related hospitalisation: a prospective study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 747–754.

- 14 González J, Benítez ID, Carmona P, *et al.* Pulmonary function and radiologic features in survivors of critical COVID-19: a 3-month prospective cohort. *Chest* 2021; 160: 187–198.
- 15 Shah AS, Wong AW, Hague CJ, *et al.* A prospective study of 12-week respiratory outcomes in COVID-19-related hospitalisations. *Thorax* 2021; 76: 402–404.