

Mehrab et al. J Adv Periodontol Implant Dent. 2021 13(2): 56-60 doi:10.34172/japid.2021.015 https://japid.tbzmed.ac.ir

Research Article



Effect of tetracycline on IL-1 β and IL-6 levels of the peri-implant sulcular fluid

Amir Mehrabi¹ ^(D), Ramin Negahdari^{1*} ^(D), Feridoun Parnia¹, Alireza Garjani²

¹Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran ²Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received: 18 Sep. 2021 Accepted: 9 Nov. 2021 ePublished: 17 Nov. 2021

Keywords:

Antiseptic, gingival crevicular fluid, IL-1β, IL-6, implant Absrtact

Background. Inflammation in the implant-abutment interface is one of the main factors that can reduce implant stability. Therefore, this study investigated the effect of chlorhexidine, tetracycline, saliva, and a dry environment on the interleukin IL1- β and interleukin IL6- levels of the gingival groove fluid at the implant-abutment interface.

Methods. Twenty-four (10 men and 14 women) patients referred to the Faculty of Dentistry for implant treatment, who met the inclusion criteria, were examined. Four different materials were used in each implant, including 2% chlorhexidine, 3% tetracycline, saliva, and a dry medium. Each test material was placed inside the implant screw during the anchorage session, and the healing screw was closed. Patients were then sampled in three implantation sessions and one month after prosthesis delivery. Interstitial fluid groove was used for sampling after cleaning the mouth (half an hour after three minutes of thorough brushing). The data were analyzed with SPSS 20 using ANOVA and relevant post hoc tests.

Results. There was a significant difference in the mean IL6- and IL1- β levels between the four materials (P<0.05). IL6- β levels were similar in tetracycline and chlorhexidine but significantly higher than in saliva and the dry environment (P<0.05). IL6- and IL1- β levels in the saliva were significantly higher than in the dry environment (P<0.05).

Conclusion. The use of tetracycline at the junction of implant and abutment reduces the inflammatory cytokines IL6- and IL1-β.

Introduction

One with a harmful effect on the implant that reduces the durability and endangers the implant's longevity is inflammation at the implant-abutment interface.¹⁻³ Microleakage at the implant-abutment interface is the most important factor in the inflammatory reaction around the implant.⁴ Microleakage causes the colonization of bacteria around the implant-abutment set, resulting in a pathophysiologic process of bone loss and subsequent loss of the implant.⁵⁻⁷

Cytokines are peptide mediators that regulate immunological responses, topical-systemic inflammatory responses, and therapeutic responses against invading factors. They bring about their effect by stimulating the proliferation and differentiation or preventing the proliferation and differentiation of cells.⁸ The most important pro-inflammatory cytokines are IL-1 β and IL-6, with similar and synergic effects. IL-6 has increasingly been observed in the GCF of patients with implant treatment failure.^{9,10} IL-1 β is also the main inflammatory cytokine associated with implant prostheses and is, in fact, the key molecule in the pathogenesis of implants.¹¹

Dursun and Tözüm,¹² in a meta-analysis, stated that pro-inflammatory cytokines in the crevicular fluid surrounding the implant indicate the condition of the disease or health around the implant. Maheshwari et al¹³ showed differences in IL-1 β levels in different stages of implant delivery. However, Nogueira-Filho et al¹⁴ concluded that interleukin levels of 4.6 and 10 in the fluid around the implant and gingival crevicular fluid of healthy teeth were not significantly different from each other and did not change over time.

Controlling diseases around the implant is an unpredictable and challenging task. One of the most important factors in treating similar conditions in natural teeth, preventing biofilm development and removing it from the implant surface, should be the first step in maintaining the health of soft tissues around the implant. Combination therapies, includ-

*Corresponding author: Ramin Negahdari. Tel:+98- 09126070035 E-mail: negahdari1358@gmail.com

© 2021 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ing mechanical debridement, have been studied with due observance not to harm the hemidesmosome junctions in the sulcus base, along with antimicrobial factors such as chlorhexidine and essential oils, have shown effective and satisfactory results.¹⁵⁻¹⁷

Tetracycline is a bacteriostatic antibiotic. Bacteriostatic antibiotics affect the proliferation and growth of bacteria and, by reducing bacterial reproduction, provide the host's defense with the opportunity to kill bacteria. This antibiotic is used more than any other antibiotics in severe destructive and progressive periodontitis and in cases not responding to common treatments.¹⁸

Considering the paucity of studies on the effect of antiseptics on inflammatory factors at the implant-abutment interface, this study was conducted to investigate the effect of four substances, chlorhexidine, tetracycline, saliva, and a dry environment, on the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β and interleukin IL-6 in the gingival crevicular fluid at the implant-abutment interface.

Methods

In this split-mouth study, 24 patients referring to the Faculty of Dentistry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, for an implant treatment were examined. The inclusion criteria for the study were ≥ 18 years of age, having at least four implants in one jaw, and a probing depth of about 1-3 mm around the implant. Patients undergoing scaling in the last three months, pregnant and breastfeeding women, patients receiving antibiotics in the last six months, those with systemic diseases such as diabetes that affected oral health, drug addicts, smokers, alcohol users, those with a history of bisphosphonate use, and those taking systemic anti-inflammatory drugs were excluded.

Osstem implant system (TS, OSSTEM, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire) was used for each patient. Each implant in each patient comprised four different substances (2% chlorhexidine, 3% tetracycline, saliva, and a dry environment).

Each material examined in the uncovering session was placed inside the implant screw, and the healing screw was closed. Then, in three sessions of prosthesis delivery molding and one month after the prosthesis delivery, the patients underwent a sampling procedure.

The gingival crevicular fluid was used for sampling after oral cleansing (half an hour after three minutes of thorough brushing). Each area was isolated by cotton rolls and air-dried for 5 seconds to remove any salivary contamination from the mentioned area. The samples were obtained by paper points (Meta Biomed Co., Ltd, Chungbuk, Korea). These paper points were placed in four distinct regions (mesiobuccal, distobuccal, mesiolingual, and distolingual) in the gingival crevice to the extent that medium resistance was felt and kept there for 30 seconds. Paper points contaminated with blood were excluded. Then each paper point was placed in a small sterile tube and frozen at -80°C until all the samples were prepared. The samples were sent to the immunology laboratory to determine the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL6 and IL1B by an ELISA kit.19 The data were analyzed with SPSS 20 using ANOVA.

Results

In this study, 24 patients with at least four implants in one jaw were examined; 58.3% (14 patients) were female, and 41.7% (10 patients) were male. According to ANOVA, there were significant differences in the mean IL-6 and IL-1 β levels between the four materials substances (P<0.05). Table 1 presents the comparison of IL-6 and IL-1 β cytokine levels between the four materials.

According to post hoc Tukey tests presented in Table 2, pair-wise comparisons of the materials showed that IL-6 and IL-1 β levels in the tetracycline and chlorhexidine groups were similar. IL-6 and IL-1 β levels in the tetracycline and chlorhexidine groups were significantly higher than in saliva and dry environment. IL-6 and IL-1 β levels in the saliva were significantly higher than in the dry environment.

Discussion

The IL-1 β level was significantly different between the four study groups so that in the chlorhexidine (11.33±2.41) and tetracycline (12.20±1.5) groups, it was significantly lower than the saliva (14.83±2.14) and the dry environment (13.83±2.9) groups. IL-1 β levels were similar in chlorhexidine and tetracycline groups. Also, the IL-1 β level was higher in the saliva group than in the dry environment group, but the difference was not significant. Studies on implant-induced gingival inflammation have shown that inflammation activates intrinsic immune receptors, affecting the expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokines.²⁰ Yaghobee et al²¹ showed that the IL-1 β level in the peri-implant gingival crevicular

Table 1. Comparison of IL-6 and IL-1 β cytokine levels between the four materials

Sample	Number	IL-6		IL-1β	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Tetracycline	24	14.93	1.845	12.20	1.565
Chlorhexidine	24	14.36	1.572	11.33	2.417
Saliva	24	18.08	2.805	14.83	2.140
Dry environment	24	16.04	2.694	13.83	2.099
P-value ¹		P≤0.001		P≤0.001	

¹P-value: One-way ANOVA

Sample 1	Sample 2 —	IL-6		IL-1β	
		Average difference (I-J)	P-value	Average difference (I-J)	P-value ¹
Tetracycline	Chlorhexidine	0.56667	0.827	0.870	0.471
Tetracycline	Saliva	-3.15417*	≤0.001	-2.625*	≤0.001
Tetracycline	Dry environment	-1.10833	0.343	-1.625*	0.040
Chlorhexidine	Saliva	-3.72083*	≤0.001	-3.495*	≤0.001
Chlorhexidine	Dry environment	-1.67500	0.042	-2.495*	≤0.001
Saliva	Dry environment	2.04583 [*]	0.014	1.00000	0.347

¹P-value: Tukey HSD

fluid was significantly higher than healthy implants and healthy teeth. Wang et al²² reported that the IL-1 β levels in a healthy implant were significantly lower than in peri-implantitis. In addition, the IL-1 β with an odds ratio of OR=7.71 exhibited the highest detection capability to predict the disease condition.

In the oral cavity, local cells of the connective tissue (fibroblasts and endothelial cells) form IL-1 β , or they are released from leukocytes such as mononuclear cells, macrophages, and polymorphonuclear cells.²³ IL-1 β results in the widespread expression of the cyclooxygenase 2 gene to produce nitric oxide synthase and metalloproteinase matrix.²⁴ These enzymes themselves activate osteoclasts and bone erosion and collapse type 1 collagen in the bone.²⁵ IL-1 β is more important in the stimulation of bone absorption and is an isoform of IL-1 which is more common in periodontitis.²⁶

To reduce the patient's inflammatory response and reduce the deterioration of the peri-implant bone during the insertion of the healing abutment, Sinjari et al²⁷ recommended an anesthetic protocol with 0.2% chlorhexidine gel from the time of implant insertion to crown delivery. The researchers showed that the use of CHX gel in the interface caused significant bone loss during the first year. de Waal et al²⁸ showed that the disinfection of the implant surface with 0.12% chlorhexidine in the surgical treatment of peri-implantitis led to faster anaerobic bacteria removal from the implant surface than the placebo solution.

Chlorhexidine is the most effective ingredient in removing microbial flora, reducing plaque and gingival inflammation.²⁹ The mechanism of this effect involves reducing the formation of pellicles, change in bacterial adhesion to dental surfaces, and change in the bacterial cell wall, and the subsequent bacterial death.²⁹

Xu et al³⁰ showed that topical use of antibiotics and systemic antibiotics could reduce inflammatory responses in wound healing after implant surgery.

Tetracycline reduces infections and inflammation and ultimately reduces the number of inflammatory cytokines. In the present study, IL-1 β levels were similar in both chlorhexidine and tetracycline groups, indicating the same function of these two cytokines. Tetracyclines mainly perform their antibacterial activity by inhibiting the synthesis of microbial proteins. In a study, researchers investigated the potential of tetracycline for disinfection. Samples were washed with 50 mL/mL tetracycline under osteotomy implant surgery and reported that tetracycline reduced Streptococcus counts seven-folds.²⁶ In a study on the effect of topical tetracycline delivery on the treatment of peri-implantitis, researchers treated 30 lesions with mechanical lesions and insertion of fiber tetracycline and reported significant improvements in clinical parameters.³¹

In the present study, IL-6 levels were significantly different between the four study groups. In the chlorhexidine (14.36 ± 1.57) and tetracycline (14.93 ± 1.84) groups, it was significantly lower than the saliva (18.08 ± 2.80) and the dry environment (16.04 ± 2.69) groups. Also, IL-6 levels were significantly higher in the saliva group than in the dry environment group.

Yaghobee et al²¹ reported a significant difference in the IL-6 level in the gingival crevicular fluid in peri-implantitis and healthy implants and between peri-implantitis and healthy teeth. Liskmann et al³² introduced IL-6 as an effective representative for the diagnosis of diseases of implant prosthesis, confirming its impact on assessing the ability of the immune system to achieve an inflammatory balance. In these researchers' studies, the IL-6 level was significantly higher in healthy implants compared to peri-implants.

IL-6 is a multi-functional cytokine that regulates immune responses and acute phase reactions, with a crucial role in the host defense mechanism and inflammatory and immune responses. IL-6 is not permanently produced by normal cells, but its expression is increased by a variety of cytokines, lipopolysaccharides, or viral infections.³³

Abraham et al²⁹ showed that chlorhexidine is an effective antiseptic in the prevention and management of peri-implantitis. Park³⁴ evaluated the use of tetracycline in a 48-year-old male with peri-implantitis. After disinfecting the defect area with the mixture at a 4:1 ratio, the bone was proteinized, and tetracycline was transplanted. The results showed the recovery of soft tissue without interruption.

Tetracycline is a bacteriostatic antibiotic. In a study on dogs, the use of tetracycline solution resulted in re-osseointegration. In this study, it was shown that when bone defects around implants are cleaned with tetracycline, re-osseointegration occurs at a rate of 1.77 mm in four months.³⁵

One of the reasons for the use of antibiotics is in various treatments is chlorhexidine toxicity. Chlorhexidine cytotoxicity in human bone cells has been studied. Cytotoxicity appears to be affected by concentration and time. SEM analysis confirmed the absence of osteoblast phenotype changes after exposure to 0.2% CHX for 1 minute and 1% CHX for 30 seconds.35s.

Conclusions

Based on the results, it can be concluded that tetracycline at the junction of implant-abutment reduces the inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-1 β . The results of the present study revealed that inflammation around the implant could be managed with antiseptic agents. This can enhance the stability of the implants. Besides, it can prevent the development of biofilm and save the health of soft tissues around the implant.

Acknowledgments

This study was based on a thesis (No. 58479) registered at the Faculty of Dentistry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. We appreciate the Vice-Chancellor for Research at Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, for their financial support.

Authors' contributions

RN, FP, and AG designed the study. AM carried out the study procedures. All authors contributed to the manuscript writing and its critical revision and read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was financially supported by the Vice-Chancellor for Research at Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Availability of data

The raw data from the reported study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Ethics approval

The protocol of the present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences under the code IR.TBZMED.REC.1398.166. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests with regard to the authorship and/or publication of this paper.

References

- 1. Broggini N, McManus L, Hermann J, Medina R, Oates T, Schenk R, et al. Persistent acute inflammation at the implant-abutment interface. J Dental Res. 2003; 82(3): 232-7. doi: 10.1177/154405910308200316
- 2. Hermann JS, Schoolfield JD, Schenk RK, Buser D, Cochran DL. Influence of the size of the microgap on crestal bone changes around titanium implants. A histometric evaluation of unloaded non-submerged implants in the canine mandible. J Periodontol. 2001; 72(10): 1372-83. doi: 10.1902/jop.2001.72.10.1372
- Weng D, Nagata MJH, Bell M, Bosco AF, De Melo LGN, Richter EJ. Influence of microgap location and configuration on the periimplant bone morphology in submerged implants. An experimental study in dogs. Clinic Oral Implant Res. 2008; 19(11): 1141-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-

0501.2008.01564.x

- Harder S, Dimaczek B, Açil Y, Terheyden H, Freitag-Wolf S, Kern M. Molecular leakage at implant-abutment connection—in vitro investigation of tightness of internal conical implant-abutment connections against endotoxin penetration. Clinic Oral Investigation. 2010; 14(4): 427-32. doi: 10.1007/s00784-009-0317-x
- Broggini N, McManus LM, Hermann JS, Medina R, Schenk RK, Buser D, et al. Peri-implant inflammation defined by the implant-abutment interface. J Dental Res. 2006; 85(5): 473-8. doi: 10.1177/154405910608500515
- Jansen VK, Conrads G, Richter E-J. Microbial leakage and marginal fit of the implant-abutment interface. Int J Oral Maxill Implant. 1997; 12(4).
- Steinebrunner L, Wolfart S, Ludwig K, Kern M. Implantabutment interface design affects fatigue and fracture strength of implants. Clinic Oral Implant Res. 2008; 19(12): 1276-84.
- Springstead M, Thomas M, Cline N. Educating a patient with dental implants. Dent Assistant (Chicago, Ill: 1994). 1993; 62(2): 5-8.
- Sailer I, Zembic A, Jung RE, Hämmerle CHF, Mattiola A. Single-Tooth Implant Reconstructions: Esthetics Factors Influencing the Decision Between Titanium and Zirconia Abutments in Anterior Regions. Eur J Esth Dent. 2007; 2(3).
- Van Brakel R, Cune MS, van Winkelhoff AJ, de Putter C, Verhoeven JW, van der Reijden W. Early bacterial colonization and soft tissue health around zirconia and titanium abutments: an in vivo study in man. Clinic Oral Implant research. 2011; 22(6): 571-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02005.x
- Linkevicius T, Apse P. Influence of abutment material on stability of peri-implant tissues: a systematic review. International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants. 2008; 23(3). doi: 10.1016/S0022
- 12. Dursun E, Tözüm TF. Peri-implant crevicular fluid analysis, enzymes and biomarkers: a systemetic review. J Oral Maxill Res. 2016; 7(3). doi: 10.5037/jomr.2016.7309
- Maheswari K, Hao J, Lin Z, Nakata H, Kasugai S, Kuroda S. Cytokines Levels in Peri-Implant Crevicular Fluid in the Intial Stages of Dental Implant Treatments-A Preliminary Clinical Study. J Oral Tissue Eng. 2016; 14(1): 2-8. doi: 10.11223/jarde.14.2
- Nogueira-Filho G, Pesun I, Isaak-Ploegman C, Wijegunasinghe M, Wierzbicki T, McCulloch CA. Longitudinal comparison of cytokines in peri-implant fluid and gingival crevicular fluid in healthy mouths. J Periodontol. 2014; 85(11): 1582-8. doi: 10.1902/jop.2014.130642
- Felo A, Shibly O, Ciancio S, Lauciello F, Ho A. Effects of subgingival chlorhexidine irrigation on peri-implant maintenance. American J Dent. 1997; 10(2): 107-10.
- Strooker H, Rohn S, Van Winkelhoff AJ. Clinical and microbiologic effects of chemical versus mechanical cleansing in professional supportive implant therapy. Int J Oral Maxill Implant. 1998; 13(6): 845-50.
- Trejo PM, Bonaventura G, Weng D, Caffesse RG, Bragger U, Lang NP. Effect of mechanical and antiseptic therapy on peri-implant mucositis: an experimental study in monkeys. Clinic Oral Implant research. 2006; 17(3): 294-304. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2005.01226.x
- Tavakoli M, MoghareAbed A, Naghsh N, Bateni E, Yaghini J. Evaluation the Effect of Different Concentrations of Tetracycline And Different Washing Times On Bacterial Growth. Res Dent Sci. 2012; 9(1): 8-14.
- Barwacz CA, Brogden KA, Stanford CM, Dawson DV, Recker EN, Blanchette D. Comparison of pro-inflammatory cytokines and bone metabolism mediators around titanium and zirconia dental implant abutments following a minimum of 6 months of clinical function. Clinic Oral Implant Res. 2015; 26(4): e35-e41. doi: 10.1111/clr.12326
- 20. Hosseini M, Kleven E, Gotfredsen K. Fracture mode during cyclic loading of implant-supported single-tooth restorations. The J Prost Dentistry. 2012; 108(2): 74-83. doi:

10.1016/S0022

- 21. Yaghobee S, Khorsand A, Ghohroudi AAR, Sanjari K, Kadkhodazadeh M. Assessment of interleukin-1beta and interleukin-6 in the crevicular fluid around healthy implants, implants with peri-implantitis, and healthy teeth: a cross-sectional study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2014; 40(5): 220-4. doi: 10.5125/jkaoms
- Wang HL, Garaicoa-Pazmino C, Collins A, Ong HS, Chudri R, Giannobile WV. Protein biomarkers and microbial profiles in peri-implantitis. Clinic Oral Implant Res. 2016; 27(9): 1129-36. doi: 10.1111/clr.12708
- 23. Connie Myers Kracher Ph D M. Oral health maintenance of dental implants. Dent Assistant. 2010; 79(2): 27.
- 24. Kupper TS. Mechanisms of cutaneous inflammation: interactions between epidermal cytokines, adhesion molecules, and leukocytes. Arch Dermatol. 1989; 125(10): 1406-12. doi: 10.1001/archderm
- 25. Meikle MC, Atkinson SJ, Ward RV, Murphy G, Reynolds JJ. Gingival fibroblasts degrade type I collagen films when stimulated with tumor necrosis factor and interleukin 1: evidence that breakdown is mediated by metal loproteinases. J Periodont Res. 1989; 24(3): 207-13.
- Etcheson AW, Miley DD, Gillespie MJ. Osseous coagulum collected in bone traps: potential for bacterial contamination and methods for decontamination. J Oral Implantol. 2007; 33(3): 109-15. doi: 10.1563/1548
- 27. Sinjari B, D'Addazio G, De Tullio I, Traini T, Caputi S. Peri-Implant Bone Resorption during Healing Abutment Placement: The Effect of a 0.20 Chlorhexidine Gel vs. Placebo—A Randomized Double Blind Controlled Human Study. BioMed Res Int. 2018; 2018. doi: 10.1155/2018/5326340
- de Waal YC, Raghoebar GM, Huddleston Slater JJ, Meijer HJ, Winkel EG, van Winkelhoff AJ. Implant decontamina-

tion during surgical peri-implantitis treatment: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Clinical Periodontol. 2013; 40(2): 186-95. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12034

- Abraham HM, Philip JM, Kruppa J, Jain AR, Krishnan CV. Use of chlorhexidine in implant dentistry. Biomed Pharm J. 2015; 8(October Spl Edition): 341-5. doi: 10.13005/bpj/701
- Xu L, Wang Y, Nguyen VT, Chen J. Effects of topical antibiotic prophylaxis on wound healing after flapless implant surgery: a pilot study. J Periodontol. 2016; 87(3): 275-80. doi: 10.1902/jop.2015.150464
- Mombelli A, Feloutzis A, Brägger U, Lang NP. Treatment of peri-implantitis by local delivery of tetracycline: Clinical, microbiological and radiological results. Clinic Oral Implant Res. 2001; 12(4): 287-94. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0501.2001.012004287.x
- 32. Liskmann S, Vihalemm T, Salum O, Zilmer K, Fischer K, Zilmer M. Correlations Between Clinical Parameters and Interleukin-6 and Interleukin-10 Levels in Saliva from Totally Edentulous Patients with Peri-implant Disease. Int J Oral Maxill Implant. 2006; 21(4).
- 33. Smirnova MG, Kiselev SL, Gnuchev NV, Birchall JP, Pearson JP. Role of the pro-inflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-1beta, interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 in the pathogenesis of the otitis media with effusion. Eur Cytokine Net. 2002; 13(2): 161-72.
- 34. Park JB. Treatment of peri-implantitis with deproteinised bovine bone and tetracycline: a case report. Gerodontol. 2012; 29(2): 145-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2011.00470.x
- 35. Hall EE, Meffert RM, Hermann JS, Mellonig JT, Cochran DL. Comparison of bioactive glass to demineralized freezedried bone allograft in the treatment of intrabony defects around implants in the canine mandible. J Periodontol. 1999; 70(5): 526-35. doi: 10.1902/jop.1999.70.5.526