



# A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Retinal Microvascular Features in Alzheimer's Disease

#### Qifang Jin<sup>1</sup>, Yiming Lei<sup>2</sup>, Ruoxin Wang<sup>3</sup>, Huiying Wu<sup>4</sup>, Kaibao Ji<sup>5\*</sup> and Ling Ling<sup>6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of School of Ophthalmology and Optometry, Nanchang University, Nanchang, China, <sup>3</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, The First Hospital of Xi'an, Xi'an, China, <sup>4</sup> Nanchang Bright Eye Hospital, Nanchang, China, <sup>5</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, <sup>6</sup> Affiliated Eye Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China

**Objective:** The aim of this meta-analysis was to investigate retinal microvascular features in patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) using optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA).

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Boon-Seng Wong, Singapore Institute of Technology, Singapore

#### Reviewed by:

Gregory Van Stavern, Washington University in St. Louis, United States Amy Renee Nelson, University of South Alabama, United States Alessandro Arrigo, San Raffaele Hospital (IRCCS), Italy

#### \*Correspondence:

Kaibao Ji jikaibaojkb@163.com Ling Ling 404581662@qq.com

**Received:** 22 March 2021 **Accepted:** 19 May 2021 **Published:** 29 June 2021

#### Citation:

Jin Q, Lei Y, Wang R, Wu H, Ji K and Ling L (2021) A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Retinal Microvascular Features in Alzheimer's Disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 13:683824. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.683824 **Methods:** PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, and Web of Science databases were systematically searched for published articles comparing retinal microvascular characteristics in subjects with AD and controls. The mean difference (MD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to assess continuous variables. Review Manager Version (RevMan) 5.30, was employed to analyze the data.

**Results:** Nine studies were included in the meta-analysis. The analysis revealed that the macular whole enface superficial and deep vessel density (VD) values measured by OCTA were significantly lower in patients with AD than in controls (MD = -1.10, P < 0.0001; MD = -1.61, P = 0.0001, respectively). The value measured by OCTA for parafoveal superficial VD in patients with AD was also remarkably lower than that in the control group (MD = -1.42, P = 0.001), whereas there was no significant difference in the value for parafoveal deep VD (MD = -3.67, P = 0.19), compared to the controls. In addition, the foveal avascular zone (FAZ) was larger in patients with AD than in the control group (MD = 0.08, P = 0.07), although it did not reach statistical significance.

**Conclusions:** The present meta-analysis indicated that the macular whole enface and parafoveal vessel densities were reduced in patients with AD. Moreover, our pooled data revealed that FAZ is larger in patients with AD. Consequently, OCTA may be utilized as a diagnostic tool to identify and monitor patients with AD.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, retinal, microvasculature, meta-analysis, optical coherence tomography angiography

# INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by a continuous and irreversible decline in cognitive function (Ashraf et al., 2016). The number of people living worldwide with AD is  $\sim$ 47 million, and this number is expected to triple by 2050 (Baumgart et al., 2015). Although the exact mechanism of AD remains unclear, AD is mainly associated

1

with redundant extracellular deposition of β-amyloid (AB) plaques, loss of neurons, intracellular accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles, and abnormal presence of the intracellular tau protein (Ballard et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017). Multiple risk factors contributing to the onset of AD include smoking, depression, obesity, diabetes mellitus, stroke, cerebral atherosclerosis, arteriosclerosis, and hypertension (Barnes and Yaffe, 2011; Lemos et al., 2015). The diagnosis of AD was mainly based on clinical criteria combined with neuroimaging for the detection of the abnormal biomarkers of AB deposition (Tang et al., 2020). Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or positron emission tomography (PET) was commonly used to detect amyloid beta concentration (Jack et al., 2018). However, these methods are invasive, expensive, and time-consuming (den Haan et al., 2017). Recent studies have shown that reduced cerebral blood flow and blood-brain barrier changes may be involved in the pathogenesis of AD (Iturria-Medina et al., 2016; Sweeney et al., 2018). Another study reported that cerebral hypoperfusion not only increased the cerebral vascular tortuosity but also decreased vascular density in patients with AD (Yamashita et al., 2014). However, the cerebral vasculature is too small to be directly visualized in vivo (Smith and Beaudin, 2018).

Retinal and cerebral vessels share similar features (Hughes et al., 2000). A previous study has revealed retinal microvascular alterations in patients with AD using fundus photographs (Cheung et al., 2014). Consequently, an increasing number of researchers are focusing on investigating the retinal vascular characteristics to evaluate the cerebral vasculature (Berisha et al., 2007). Optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) is a new and non-invasive angiographic technique that enables visualization and quantification of the retinal and choroidal vessels without intravenous injection of a fluorescein dye (Ling et al., 2020). It is preferable to measure the retinal vasculature at distinct depths, separating the superficial and deep capillary layers. However, whether there are retinal microvasculature alterations in patients with AD is yet to be fully determined. Several studies using OCTA have reported significantly decreased vascular density in the eyes of patients with AD compared to controls (Jiang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021), and this change was positively associated with cognitive dysfunction (Yan et al., 2021). In contrast, another study revealed that there were no significant differences in the retinal vascular density between patients with AD and controls (Querques et al., 2019). Given the inconsistent results regarding retinal microvascular characteristics in AD, a comprehensive meta-analysis of existing studies is urgently needed. To our knowledge, no meta-analysis has comprehensively assessed the retinal microvascular features observed in patients with AD.

Therefore, we performed this meta-analysis in an effort to evaluate retinal microvascular features in patients with AD and provide more reliable evidence for the utility of OCTA in the diagnosis and monitoring of patients with AD.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Literature Search Strategy

This study was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). As previously published articles were screened, no ethical approval was required. Two (Qifang Jin and Kaibao Ji) independent authors comprehensively searched all published studies in electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science from inception through February 24, 2021. The following search terms were used: "Alzheimer disease," "dementia," "optical coherence tomography angiography," "optical coherence tomographic angiography," "OCTA," and "OCT angiography." The eligible studies were limited to those in the English language and conducted in human subjects.

## **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

The eligible criteria adhered to PICOS (population, intervention, control, outcome, and study design) principle. Included studies had to satisfy the following criteria: (1) AD patients met the diagnostic criteria of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke/Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria (McKhann et al., 1984), and confirmed by  $\beta$  amyloid deposition using cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or positron emission tomography (PET) (Jack et al., 2018); (2) studies comparing retinal microvasculature features in patients with AD with healthy controls using OCTA; (3) individuals with normal cognition served as healthy controls; (4) primary outcomes included macular whole enface superficial and deep vessel density (VD), parafoveal superficial and deep VD, and foveal avascular zone (FAZ); original data should contain the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); (5) study design was casecontrol study.

Studies were excluded if: (1) they were duplicate publications; (2) they were case reports, animal studies, abstracts of conferences, reviews, comments, and letters to the editor; (3) they reported inadequate outcomes or outcomes could not be extracted; and (4) study purpose did not meet the inclusion criteria.

## **Data Extraction and Quality Evaluation**

Two authors (Qifang Jin and Kaibao Ji) independently collected the data from the included studies, and any disagreements were resolved through discussion. Data including first author, country, publication time, study design, sample size, mean age, OCTA device, outcomes, and quality scores were collected from each study. The quality of case-control studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale criteria, which provides a scale range of 0–9 points, and studies with scores  $\geq$  5 were regarded as high quality (Stang, 2010).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Review Manager Version 5.30 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) software was adopted to perform statistical analysis. Continuous variables were assessed in terms of mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence interval (CI). The heterogeneity among studies was analyzed using the Chi-squared test based on the values of  $I^2$  and P.  $I^2$  statistic ranges from 0 to 100%, with 25, 50, and 75% indicating low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. A fixed-effect model was adopted when little heterogeneity among studies; otherwise, a



random-effect model was employed. P < 0.05 was indicated significant difference.

## RESULTS

### **Search Results**

The literature screening process is illustrated in **Figure 1**. A total of 362 studies were preliminarily retrieved from all databases (PubMed: 117, Embase: 178, Web of Science: 66, Cochrane Library: 1), of which 127 were excluded due to duplication. We subsequently removed 220 unrelated articles after reviewing the titles and abstracts. After the remaining 15 potential articles were reviewed in full text, six articles were excluded as five studies had unavailable full text while data of one study could not be extracted. Finally, nine eligible articles (Bulut et al., 2018; Lahme et al., 2019; Zabel et al., 2019; Chua et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021), with a total of 859 eyes (333 in the AD group and 526 in the control group) were included in this meta-analysis.

 Table 1 displays the general characteristics of the nine selected studies.

 Table 2 demonstrates the results of quality assessment of the included articles.

#### Meta-Analysis Results Macular Whole Enface Vessel Density in Patients With AD and Controls

Seven studies analyzed the macular whole enface superficial VD. The pooled MD was -1.10 (95% CI: -1.64 to -0.56, P < 0.0001, **Figure 2**), revealing that the macular whole enface superficial VD

was remarkably lower in patients with AD compared to controls. In five studies that reported macular whole enface deep VD, the pooled MD was also significantly lower in the AD group (MD: -1.61, 95% CI: -2.42 to -0.79, P = 0.0001, **Figure 2**). Although substantial differences were found between the two groups, there was moderate heterogeneity across the studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 26.52, P = 0.0009,  $I^2 = 70\%$ ; chi<sup>2</sup> = 15.60, P = 0.008,  $I^2 = 68\%$ ; **Figure 2**).

In addition, five studies including 606 eyes (204 eyes in AD group and 402 eyes in controls) reported the macular whole enface superficial and deep vessel density using a 3 × 3-mm scan size. The pooled MD was -1.36 (95% CI: -2.01 to -0.71, P < 0.0001, **Figure 3**), indicating that the macular whole enface superficial VD was significantly lower in the AD group. However, there was moderate heterogeneity across the studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 10.03, P = 0.04,  $I^2 = 60\%$ , **Figure 3**). Four other studies assessed 282 eyes (134 in AD and 148 in control groups), and analyzed the macular whole enface deep VD using a 3 × 3-mm scan size. Overall, considerable differences in the macular whole enface deep VD using a 3 × 3-mm scan size were found between patients with AD and controls (MD: -1.52, 95% CI: -2.01 to -1.03, P < 0.00001, **Figure 3**), with no heterogeneity across the studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 2.13, P = 0.55,  $I^2 = 0\%$ , **Figure 3**).

Furthermore, four studies with a total of 474 eyes (135 eyes in patients with AD and 339 eyes in controls) reported the macular whole enface superficial and deep VD using a 6 × 6-mm scan size. The summary MD in the macular whole enface superficial VD using a  $6 \times 6$ -mm scan between the two groups was -0.76 (95% CI: -1.68 to 0.16, P = 0.11, **Figure 4**), demonstrating that the VD was decreased in patients with AD but not significantly. There was moderate heterogeneity across the studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 8.76, P = 0.03,  $I^2 = 66\%$ , **Figure 4**). The subgroup analysis results also showed that the pooled MD in the macular whole enface deep VD as measured using a  $6 \times 6$ -mm scan size was lower in patients with AD (MD: -2.85, 95% CI: -7.79 to 2.09, P = 0.26, **Figure 4**), and associated with high heterogeneity across studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 13.14, P = 0.0003,  $I^2 = 92\%$ , **Figure 4**).

# Parafoveal Vessel Density in Patients With AD and Controls

A total of 708 eyes (270 eyes in the AD group and 438 eyes in the control group) in six studies were included in the analysis of the parafoveal superficial vessel density. Compared with controls, the pooled parafoveal superficial VD (MD: -1.42, 95% CI: -2.27 to -0.56, P = 0.001, **Figure 5**) was significantly lower in the AD group, and associated with high heterogeneity across studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 20.56, P = 0.001,  $I^2 = 76\%$ , **Figure 5**). Three studies reported parafoveal deep vessel density in 126 eyes with AD and 120 control eyes. The pooled MD for parafoveal deep VD revealed high heterogeneity (chi<sup>2</sup> = 84.31, P < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 98\%$ , **Figure 5**), and was decreased in eyes with AD, although not remarkably different (MD: -3.67, 95% CI: -9.13 to 1.79, P = 0.19, **Figure 5**).

Subgroup analysis of scan size showed that the pooled MD for parafoveal superficial vessel density as measured in a  $3 \times 3$ -mm scan size was -1.76 (95% CI: -2.62 to -0.90, P < 0.0001, **Figure 6**), revealing that this parameter was significantly lower in

**TABLE 1** | General characteristics of the eligible studies.

References	Place	Age (years)	Design	Number of eyes	Gender (M/F)	OCTA type	Scan size (mm²)	Primary outcomes	Clinical diagnostic criteria
Wang et al. (2021)	China	$71.81 \pm 7.98 \\ 69.50 \pm 5.94$	Case-control study	AD Cases: 62 Controls: 49	27/35 17/32	Optovue	3 × 3 in macular	Foveal whole enface superficial VD, Foveal whole enface deep VD, Parafoveal superficial VD, Parafoveal deep VD, FAZ	NIA/AA
Querques et al. (2019)	Italy	$\begin{array}{c} 72.9 \pm 7.2 \\ 71.6 \pm 5.9 \end{array}$	Prospective Case-control study	AD Cases: 12 Controls:32	4/8 17/15	Zeiss	$3 \times 3/6 \times 6$ in macular	Foveal whole enface superficial VD, Foveal whole enface deep VD	NIA/AA
Bulut et al. (2018)	Turkey	$\begin{array}{c} 74.23 \pm 7.55 \\ 72.58 \pm 6.28 \end{array}$	Case-control study	AD Cases: 26 Controls: 26	11/15 13/13	Optovue	6 × 6 in macular	Foveal whole enface superficial VD, Foveal whole enface deep VD, FAZ	NIA/AA DSM-IV
Lahme et al. (2018)	Germany	$67.97 \pm 9.30$ $66.08 \pm 10.11$	Case-control study	AD Cases: 36 Controls: 38	21/15 23/14	Optovue	$3 \times 3/6 \times 6$ in macular	Foveal whole enface superficial VD, Foveal whole enface deep VD, Parafoveal superficial VD, Parafoveal deep VD	NIA/AA
den Haan et al. (2019)	Netherland	$65.4 \pm 8.1$ $60.6 \pm 5.0$	Cross-sectional study	AD Cases: 48 Controls: 38	25/23 24/14	Zeiss	$6 \times 6$ in macular	Parafoveal superficial VD, FAZ	NIA/AA
Yoon et al. (2019)	USA	$72.8 \pm 7.7$ $69.2 \pm 7.8$	Cross-sectional study	AD Cases: 70 Controls: 254	13/26 36/97	Zeiss	$3 \times 3/6 \times 6$ in macular	Foveal whole enface superficial VD, Parafoveal superficial VD, FAZ	NIA/AA
Zabel et al. (2019)	Poland	$\begin{array}{c} 74.11 \pm 5.87 \\ 74.26 \pm 7.66 \end{array}$	Cross-sectional study	AD Cases: 27 Controls: 27	6/21 8/19	Optovue	3 × 3 in macular	Foveal whole enface superficial VD, Foveal whole enface deep VD, FAZ	NIA/AA DSM-IV
Wu et al. (2020)	China	$\begin{array}{c} 69.94 \pm 6.39 \\ 68.67 \pm 5.85 \end{array}$	Prospective case-control study	AD Cases: 28 Controls: 33	10/9 11/10	Optovue	$6 \times 6$ in macular	Paraoveal superficial VD, Paraoveal deep VD, FAZ	NINCDS- ADRDA
Chua et al. (2020)	Singapore	$74.9 \pm 6.0$ $76.7 \pm 5.3$	Case-control study	AD Cases: 24 Controls: 29	7/17 16/13	Zeiss	3 × 3 in macular	Foveal whole enface superficial VD, Foveal whole enface deep VD	DSM-IV

VD, vessel density; FAZ, Foveal avascular zone; NIA/AA, National Institute of Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups; DSM-IV, the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; NINCDS-ADRDA, the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association.

TABLE 2   NOS for evaluating included studies quality.										
Methodological item for non-randomized studies (No. 1-8)	Wang et al. (2021)	Querques et al. (2019)	Bulut et al. (2018)	Lahme et al. (2018)	den Haan et al. (2019)	Yoon et al. (2019)	Zabel et al. (2019)	Wu et al. (2020)	Chua et al. (2020)	
1. Is the case definition adequate?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
2. Representativeness of the cases	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
3. Selection of controls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. Definition of controls	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	
5. Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	
6. Ascertainment of exposure	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
7. Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
8. Non-response rate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total score	7	7	7	6	6	6	7	6	6	

NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa scale. The selection area included Nos. 1–4, which was up to one score in one question; The comparability area included No. 5, which was up to 2 scores in the question; The exposure area included Nos. 6–8, which was up to one score in one question. The total score was 9.

		AD		С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mea	n Differenc	е	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Ra	andom, 95%	CI	
1.1.1 Macular whole	enface s	uperf	icial ve	ssel de	ensity								
Bulut M, 2018	45.5	3.85	26	48.67	3.29	26	5.5%	-3.17 [-5.12, -1.22]					
Chua J, 2020	14.78	1.14	24	15.66	0.96	29	15.9%	-0.88 [-1.45, -0.31]					
Lahme L, 2018	48.77	3.92	36	51.64	3.28	38	6.9%	-2.87 [-4.52, -1.22]					
Querques G, 2019	40.66	2.36	12	40.92	1.96	32	7.8%	-0.26 [-1.76, 1.24]					
Querques G, 2019	40.43	1.32	12	40.31	1.93	32	11.6%	0.12 [-0.88, 1.12]			<b></b>		
Wang X, 2021	44.66	3.36	62	46.82	2.08	49	11.5%	-2.16 [-3.18, -1.14]		-			
Yoon SP, 2019	17.4	1.5	70	17.9	1.1	254	17.7%	-0.50 [-0.88, -0.12]					
Yoon SP, 2019	19	2.3	70	20.2	1.6	254	15.9%	-1.20 [-1.77, -0.63]		-	-		
Zabel P, 2019	47.42	3.04	27	48.15	3.03	27	7.1%	-0.73 [-2.35, 0.89]		-			
Subtotal (95% CI)			339			741	100.0%	-1.10 [-1.64, -0.56]					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> :	= 0.39; Cł	ni² = 26	6.52, df	= 8 (P	= 0.00	09); l² =	70%						
			or memory and										
Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.98	6 (P < (	0.0001)										
Test for overall effect 1.1.2 Mauclar whole	: Z = 3.98 enface d	: (P < ( leep v	0.0001) ressel c	lensity									
Test for overall effect 1.1.2 Mauclar whole Chua J, 2020	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42	i (P < ( leep v 1.6	0.0001) r <b>essel c</b> 24	<b>lensity</b> 21.54	1.55	29	20.4%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27]			_		
Test for overall effect 1.1.2 Mauclar whole Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35	(P < ( leep v 1.6 3.16	0.0001) ressel c 24 36	<b>lensity</b> 21.54 56.72	1.55 2.21	29 38	20.4% 16.2%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12]		_	_		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36	(P < 0 leep v 1.6 3.16 1.63	0.0001) r <b>essel c</b> 24 36 12	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82	1.55 2.21 1.41	29 38 32	20.4% 16.2% 18.3%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58]		-	_		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Querques G, 2019	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78	(P < ( leep v 1.6 3.16 1.63 1	0.0001) ressel c 24 36 12 12	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77	29 38 32 32	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16]			-		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Querques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42	(P < ( leep v 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4	0.0001) ressel c 24 36 12 12 62	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86	29 38 32 32 49	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30]			-		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Querques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021 Zabel P, 2019	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42 43.95	(P < ( leep v 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4 5.15	0.0001) ressel c 24 36 12 12 12 62 27	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89 49.46	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86 4.27	29 38 32 32 49 27	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1% 7.5%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99]	<b></b>		 •		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Querques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b>	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42 43.95	(P < ( leep v 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4 5.15	0.0001) essel c 24 36 12 12 12 62 27 27 173	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89 49.46	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86 4.27	29 38 32 32 49 27 <b>207</b>	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1% 7.5% <b>100.0%</b>	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] -1.61 [-2.42, -0.79]	←				
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Querques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup>	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42 43.95 = 0.66; Cł	(P < ( leep v 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4 5.15 hi <sup>2</sup> = 15	0.0001) essel c 24 36 12 12 62 27 173 5.60, df	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89 49.46 = 5 (P	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86 4.27 = 0.003	29 38 32 32 49 27 <b>207</b> 8);   <sup>2</sup> = 1	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1% 7.5% <b>100.0%</b> 68%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] -1.61 [-2.42, -0.79]			 		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Querques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42 43.95 = 0.66; CH : Z = 3.86	(P < ( <b>leep v</b> 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4 5.15 hi <sup>2</sup> = 18 (P = 0	0.0001) eessel c 24 36 12 12 62 27 173 5.60, df 0.0001)	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89 49.46 = 5 (P	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86 4.27 = 0.003	29 38 32 32 49 27 <b>207</b> 8);   <sup>2</sup> = 0	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1% 7.5% <b>100.0%</b> 68%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] -1.61 [-2.42, -0.79]			 		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Quarques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42 43.95 = 0.66; Ch : Z = 3.86	i (P < ( <b>leep v</b> 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4 5.15 hi <sup>2</sup> = 18 i (P = (	0.0001) <b>essel c</b> 24 36 12 12 62 27 <b>173</b> 5.60, df 0.0001)	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89 49.46 = 5 (P	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86 4.27 = 0.008	29 38 32 49 27 <b>207</b> 8);   <sup>2</sup> = 0	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1% 7.5% 100.0% 68%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] -1.61 [-2.42, -0.79]	←		 		
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Quarques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> : Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42 43.95 = 0.66; CH : Z = 3.86	i (P < ( <b>leep v</b> 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4 5.15 hi <sup>2</sup> = 18 i (P = (	0.0001) <b>essel c</b> 24 36 12 12 62 27 <b>173</b> 5.60, df 0.0001)	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89 49.46 = 5 (P	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86 4.27 = 0.000	29 38 32 49 27 <b>207</b> 8);   <sup>2</sup> = 0	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1% 7.5% 100.0% 68%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] -1.61 [-2.42, -0.79]	<b>د</b>				
Test for overall effect <b>1.1.2 Mauclar whole</b> Chua J, 2020 Lahme L, 2018 Querques G, 2019 Querques G, 2019 Wang X, 2021 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> : Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.98 enface o 20.42 55.35 44.36 43.78 49.42 43.95 = 0.66; CH : Z = 3.86	i (P < ( <b>leep v</b> 1.6 3.16 1.63 1 3.4 5.15 hi <sup>2</sup> = 15 i (P = (	0.0001) essel c 24 36 12 12 62 27 173 5.60, df 0.0001)	lensity 21.54 56.72 44.82 45.77 50.89 49.46 = 5 (P	1.55 2.21 1.41 1.77 2.86 4.27 = 0.008	29 38 32 32 49 27 <b>207</b> 8); I <sup>2</sup> = 1	20.4% 16.2% 18.3% 20.6% 17.1% 7.5% 100.0% 68%	-1.12 [-1.97, -0.27] -1.37 [-2.62, -0.12] -0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -1.99 [-2.82, -1.16] -1.47 [-2.64, -0.30] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] -1.61 [-2.42, -0.79]	← 	-2		1 2	4



FIGURE 3 | Forest plot of macular whole enface superficial and deep vessel density using a 3 × 3-mm scan size in AD patients and controls.

patients with AD with mild heterogeneity across studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 4.06, P = 0.13,  $I^2 = 51\%$ , **Figure 6**). In addition, the parafoveal deep VD (3 × 3-mm scan) was also substantially reduced in eyes with AD (MD: -1.09, P = 0.03, **Figure 6**), with the studies

showing minimal heterogeneity (chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.76, P = 0.38,  $I^2 = 0\%$ , **Figure 6**).

Furthermore, three other studies analyzed parafoveal superficial VD using a  $6 \times 6$ -mm scan in 199 eyes (102 eyes in

or 1 o 1		AD	<b>T</b> . ( . )		ontroi	<b>T</b> . ( . )		Weah Difference	Wean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	lotal	Mean	SD	Iotal	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.3.1 Macular whole	enface s	superf	icial ve	ssel de	ensity	in 6 X 6	6		
Bulut M, 2018	45.5	3.85	26	48.67	3.29	26	14.6%	-3.17 [-5.12, -1.22]	
Querques G, 2019	40.43	1.32	12	40.31	1.93	32	28.0%	0.12 [-0.88, 1.12]	+
Yoon SP, 2019	17.4	1.5	70	17.9	1.1	254	39.1%	-0.50 [-0.88, -0.12]	•
Zabel P, 2019	47.42	3.04	27	48.15	3.03	27	18.3%	-0.73 [-2.35, 0.89]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			135			339	100.0%	-0.76 [-1.68, 0.16]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.53; Cł	ni² = 8.	76, df =	= 3 (P =	0.03);	$I^2 = 66$	%		
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.61	(P = (	0.11)						
			,						
1.3.2 Macualr whole	enface d	leep v	essel o	lensity	in 6 X	6			
1.3.2 Macualr whole Querques G, 2019	enface c 44.36	<b>leep v</b> 1.63	essel o 12	density 44.82	in 6 X 1.41	6 32	52.7%	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58]	-
1.3.2 Macualr whole Querques G, 2019 Zabel P, 2019	enface c 44.36 43.95	<b>leep v</b> 1.63 5.15	essel o 12 27	<b>lensity</b> 44.82 49.46	in 6 X 1.41 4.27	6 32 27	52.7% 47.3%	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99]	
1.3.2 Macualr whole Querques G, 2019 Zabel P, 2019 Subtotal (95% Cl)	enface c 44.36 43.95	<b>leep v</b> 1.63 5.15	essel o 12 27 39	<b>lensity</b> 44.82 49.46	in 6 X 1.41 4.27	6 32 27 59	52.7% 47.3% <b>100.0%</b>	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] <b>-2.85 [-7.79, 2.09]</b>	
1.3.2 Macualr whole Querques G, 2019 Zabel P, 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	e enface c 44.36 43.95 = 11.78: C	<b>leep v</b> 1.63 5.15 Chi² = 2	essel o 12 27 39 13.14. o	lensity 44.82 49.46 If = 1 (F	in 6 X 1.41 4.27 9 = 0.0	32 27 59 003): I <sup>2</sup>	52.7% 47.3% <b>100.0%</b> = 92%	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] <b>-2.85 [-7.79, 2.09]</b>	
1.3.2 Macualr whole Querques G, 2019 Zabel P, 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	e enface c 44.36 43.95 = 11.78; C : 7 = 1.13	leep v 1.63 5.15 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1 6 (P = 1	essel ( 12 27 39 13.14, ( ) 26)	lensity 44.82 49.46 If = 1 (F	in 6 X 1.41 4.27 9 = 0.0	6 32 27 <b>59</b> 003); I <sup>2</sup>	52.7% 47.3% <b>100.0%</b> = 92%	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] <b>-2.85 [-7.79, 2.09]</b>	
<b>1.3.2 Macualr whole</b> Querques G, 2019 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	e enface ( 44.36 43.95 = 11.78; C : Z = 1.13	leep v 1.63 5.15 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1 5 (P = (	essel ( 12 27 39 13.14, ( ).26)	lensity 44.82 49.46 If = 1 (F	in 6 X 1.41 4.27 9 = 0.0	32 27 <b>59</b> 003); I <sup>2</sup>	52.7% 47.3% <b>100.0%</b> = 92%	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] <b>-2.85 [-7.79, 2.09]</b>	
<b>1.3.2 Macualr whole</b> Querques G, 2019 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	e enface c 44.36 43.95 = 11.78; C :: Z = 1.13	leep v 1.63 5.15 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7 5 (P = 0	essel o 12 27 39 13.14, o 0.26)	lensity 44.82 49.46 If = 1 (F	in 6 X 1.41 4.27 9 = 0.0	32 27 <b>59</b> 003); I <sup>2</sup>	52.7% 47.3% <b>100.0%</b> = 92%	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] <b>-2.85 [-7.79, 2.09]</b>	
<b>1.3.2 Macualr whole</b> Querques G, 2019 Zabel P, 2019 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	e enface c 44.36 43.95 = 11.78; C :: Z = 1.13	leep v 1.63 5.15 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7 6 (P = 0	essel o 12 27 <b>39</b> 13.14, o 0.26)	lensity 44.82 49.46 If = 1 (F	in 6 X 1.41 4.27 9 = 0.0	32 27 <b>59</b> 003); I <sup>2</sup>	52.7% 47.3% <b>100.0%</b> = 92%	-0.46 [-1.50, 0.58] -5.51 [-8.03, -2.99] <b>-2.85 [-7.79, 2.09]</b>	-10 -5 0 5 10

FIGURE 4 | Forest plot for macular whole enface superficial and deep vessel density within a 6 × 6-mm scan size between eyes with AD and the controls.



patients with AD and 97 eyes in controls). Compared to controls, this parameter was lower in eyes with AD (MD: -0.98, 95% CI: -2.37 to 0.41, P = 0.17, **Figure 7**) with moderate heterogeneity across the studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 6.43, P = 0.04,  $I^2 = 69\%$ , **Figure 7**). Only one eligible study reported parafoveal deep VD using a 6 × 6-mm scan in 61 eyes (28 eyes in patients with AD and 33 eyes in controls), and the pooled MD was -9.18 (95% CI: -10.60 to -7.76, P < 0.00001, **Figure 7**), revealing that the parafoveal deep VD (6 × 6-mm scan) was remarkably lower in the AD group.

#### FAZ Analysis in Patients With AD and Controls

Five studies including 562 eyes (184 eyes in patients with AD and 378 eyes in controls) were assessed to analyze the FAZ. Among these studies, the FAZ was larger in patients with AD, although the difference was not statistically significant (MD: 0.08, 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.17, P = 0.07, **Figure 8**). High heterogeneity was found among these studies (chi<sup>2</sup> = 83.21, P < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 95\%$ , **Figure 8**).



FIGURE 6 | Forest plot for parafoveal superficial and deep vessel density as measured by 3 × 3-mm scan size in AD eyes and controls.



FIGURE 7 | Forest plot for parafoveal superficial and deep vessel density in 6 × 6-mm scan size between AD and control groups.

		AD		С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Bulut M, 2018	0.47	0.18	26	0.33	0.08	26	18.3%	0.14 [0.06, 0.22]	
den Haan J, 2019	0.24	0.06	48	0.26	0.08	38	20.8%	-0.02 [-0.05, 0.01]	
Wu J,2020	0.44	0.08	28	0.26	0.07	33	20.5%	0.18 [0.14, 0.22]	
Yoon SP, 2019	0.25	0.13	70	0.25	0.11	254	20.7%	0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]	+
Zabel P, 2019	0.32	0.09	12	0.21	0.07	27	19.5%	0.11 [0.05, 0.17]	_ <b>_</b> _
Total (95% CI)			184			378	100.0%	0.08 [-0.01, 0.17]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.01; Cł	ni² = 83	3.21, df	= 4 (P ·	< 0.00	001); l²	= 95%	-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.83	8 (P = (	0.07)						Favours [AD] Favours [Control]
FIGURE 8   Forest plot for a	anaiysis c	ot tovea	ll avasc	ular zone	e in eye	es with <i>i</i>	AD and co	ntrois.	

### DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to evaluate and compare retinal microvasculature alterations using OCTA between subjects with AD and controls. Nine eligible studies, including 859 eyes, were used to assess the differences in the retinal microvasculature between patients with AD and controls. In this comprehensive meta-analysis, we pooled several parameters relevant for diagnosing AD, including macular whole enface superficial and deep vessel density, parafoveal superficial and deep vessel density, and FAZ. Although the heterogeneity across the eligible studies concurred with previous evidence, the pooled data showed that macular whole enface and parafoveal vessel densities were significantly lower in patients with AD. Furthermore, our findings revealed that FAZ was slightly larger in patients with AD.

The pathological hallmark of AD is characterized by redundant extracellular deposition of  $\beta$ -amyloid (A $\beta$ ) plaques, loss of neurons, intracellular accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles, and abnormal presence of the intracellular tau protein (Ballard et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017). Recent studies have shown that reduced cerebral blood flow and blood-brain barrier changes may be involved in the pathogenesis of AD (Iturria-Medina et al., 2016; Sweeney et al., 2018, 2019). Currently, the diagnosis of AD mainly relies on either positron emission imaging or cerebrospinal fluid analysis, which is very expensive and invasive (Florek et al., 2018; Mounsey and Zeitler, 2018). However, the cerebral microvasculature is too small to be directly visualized in vivo. Due to the shared embryologic origin of the retina and brain, there is resemblance between blood-retinal barrier (BRB) and blood-brain barrier (BBB), and the retina is thus regarded as an extension of the CNS (London et al., 2013; Gupta et al., 2020; Rifai et al., 2021); retinal vascular lesions, including reduced arterial diameter, expanded venous diameter, and decreased fractional dimension, were found in patients with AD using fundus photographs (Cheung et al., 2014; McGrory et al., 2016). Moreover, accumulating evidence have reported that Aβ deposits were discovered in retinas of AD and animal studies (Hart et al., 2016; Doustar et al., 2017; den Haan et al., 2018). Furthermore, there are accumulating literatures showing that retinal microvasculature serves an accurate window to evaluate cerebrovascular disorders, including stroke, Parkinson's disease (PD), AD, and multiple sclerosis (MS) (Cheung et al., 2017; Bulut et al., 2018; Kwapong et al., 2018; Feucht et al., 2019).

Thus, an increasing number of researchers have explored the retinal vasculature to identify biomarkers to objectively evaluate patients with AD. With the advancement in retinal imaging approaches, OCTA has provided a potential approach for researchers to explore the pathogenesis and progression of AD by quantitatively assessing the retinal vascular features. Previous studies have reported significantly reduced macular VD in patients with AD (Lahme et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). The findings of our meta-analysis strongly support previous evidence. There is accumulating clinical evidence showing that there is a range of retinal alterations in AD, including constricted retinal vessels with increased tortuosity, atherosclerosis, amyloid angiopathy, and reduced arterial fractal dimensions (Lesage et al., 2009; Golzan et al., 2017; Gupta et al., 2020). The reduced retinal vessels can be explained by faulty  $A\beta$  clearance from the retina, as well as abnormal  $A\beta$ aggregation around the vascular walls in the retina (Cerquera-Jaramillo et al., 2018; Chua et al., 2020). Excessive Aβ deposition disrupts the normal functioning of the neurovascular unit, with worsening of the regulation of local blood flow due to loss of vascular contractibility, and partial occlusion of the smaller distal arterioles by AB aggregates, leading to a deficit in oxygen and blood flow rate (Banerjee et al., 2017; Salobrar-Garcia et al., 2020). In addition, increased apoptosis of the retinal pericytes was found in AD retinas concomitantly with downregulated vascular low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP-1), leading to reduced A $\beta$  clearance (Gupta et al., 2020). This was supported by the observation that the accumulation of A $\beta$  in the retina has been closely linked to early loss of pericytes (Carare et al., 2020). These changes have been associated with reduced vascular density.

In our study, subgroup analysis of scan size showed significantly decreased VD in both macular and parafoveal superficial and deep layers as measured by a 3  $\times$  3-mm scan (Figures 3, 6). However, the pooled MD in the macular and parafoveal superficial and deep layers using a  $6 \times 6$ -mm scan size was decreased in patients with AD, although not significantly, with moderate heterogeneity among the studies (Figures 4, 7). This noteworthy phenomenon may be attributed to projection artifacts, which are caused by superficial vessels projecting shadows onto the deeper layers of the retina, affecting the results (Zhang et al., 2015; Zabel et al., 2019). Despite using the latest version software equipped with the AngioVue 3D PAR algorithm, the ability to remove projection artifacts in the  $6 \times 6$ -mm scan size is  $<3 \times 3$ -mm scan size (Zabel et al., 2019). Another potential factor was the relatively small number of subjects in the subgroup using the 6  $\times$  6-mm scan size. We suggest that macular whole enface and parafoveal vessel densities in patients with AD should be analyzed in the  $3 \times 3$ -mm scan area in future studies.

The reduction in the macular blood vessels may consequently elicit a larger foveal avascular zone. The previous authors found dropout of vasculature specifically within the fovea, leading to enlarged FAZ in the biomarker-positive group (O'Bryhim et al., 2018). The authors also demonstrated that enlarged FAZ could be associated with reduced angiogenesis caused by VEGF being bound and blocked by A $\beta$  (Bulut et al., 2018). In addition, the accumulation of Aß aggregates in the internal vessel walls results in the occlusion of the vascular structure and a reduction in the blood flow (Berisha et al., 2007; Dorr et al., 2012). Previous studies have shown a significantly enlarged FAZ in subjects with AD compared with controls (Bulut et al., 2018; Zabel et al., 2019; Chua et al., 2020). In our study, we found a slightly insignificant enlargement in the FAZ area in patients with AD compared to the controls (MD: 0.08, P = 0.07). We speculate that some factors may have potentially led to this result. First, the relatively small sample size limited the power for assessing this metric between patients with AD and controls. Furthermore, physiological variability of the FAZ area in individuals has been reported in previous studies (Fujiwara et al., 2017; Sampson et al., 2017). Manual delineation of the FAZ region by researchers as well as different segmentation methods for FAZ measurement may also contribute to a bias (Linderman et al., 2017; Chua et al., 2020). To verify our findings, future longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes should be conducted.

There are several noteworthy limitations to our study. First and most importantly, the sample sizes of the included studies were relatively small. Second, the pooled results should be interpreted with caution because statistical heterogeneity existed across the studies. Third, the source of heterogeneity could not be fully identified due to insufficient data to perform a meta-regression. Finally, although our study's protocol was not registered in the PROSPERO database, we found no corresponding registrations in the database. To validate our results, prospective longitudinal studies with sufficient sample sizes will be necessary to evaluate retinal vasculature in patients with AD in the future.

## CONCLUSION

In the current meta-analysis, we concluded that macular whole enface and parafoveal vessel densities were decreased in patients with AD. In addition, our pooled data revealed that FAZ was larger in patients with AD. Consequently, OCTA may have the potential to detect retinal microvascular deficits in patients with AD.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

### REFERENCES

- Ashraf, G. M., Chibber, S., Zaidi, S. K., Tabrez, S., Ahmad, A., Shakil, S., et al. (2016). Recent updates on the association between Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. *Med. Chem.* 12, 226–237. doi: 10.2174/1573406411666151030111820
- Ballard, C., Gauthier, S., Corbett, A., Brayne, C., Aarsland, D., and Jones, E. (2011). Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 377, 1019–1031. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61349-9
- Banerjee, G., Carare, R., Cordonnier, C., Greenberg, S. M., Schneider, J. A., Smith, E. E., et al. (2017). The increasing impact of cerebral amyloid angiopathy: essential new insights for clinical practice. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 88, 982–994. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2016-3 14697
- Barnes, D. E., and Yaffe, K. (2011). The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. *Lancet Neurol.* 10, 819–828. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70072-2
- Baumgart, M., Snyder, H. M., Carrillo, M. C., Fazio, S., Kim, H., and Johns, H. (2015). Summary of the evidence on modifiable risk factors for cognitive decline and dementia: a population-based perspective. *Alzheimers Dement*. 11, 718–726. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2015. 05.016
- Berisha, F., Feke, G. T., Trempe, C. L., McMeel, J. W., and Schepens, C. L. (2007). Retinal abnormalities in early Alzheimer's disease. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 48, 2285–2289. doi: 10.1167/iovs.06-1029
- Bulut, M., Kurtulu, Ş. F., Gözkaya, O., Erol, M. K., Cengiz, A., Akidan, M., et al. (2018). Evaluation of optical coherence tomography angiographic findings in Alzheimer's type dementia. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 102, 233–237. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2017-310476
- Carare, R. O., Aldea, R., Agarwal, N., Bacskai, B. J., Bechman, I., Boche, D., et al. (2020). Clearance of interstitial fluid (ISF) and CSF (CLIC) group-part of Vascular Professional Interest Area (PIA): Cerebrovascular disease and the failure of elimination of Amyloid-β from the brain and retina with age and Alzheimer's disease-opportunities for therapy. *Alzheimers. Dement.* 12:e12053. doi: 10.1002/dad2.12053
- Cerquera-Jaramillo, M. A., Nava-Mesa, M. O., González-Reyes, R. E., Tellez-Conti, C., and de-la-Torre, A. (2018). Visual features in Alzheimer's disease: from basic mechanisms to clinical overview. *Neural. Plast.* 2018:2941783. doi: 10.1155/2018/2941783
- Cheung, C. Y., Ikram, M. K., Chen, C., and Wong, T. Y. (2017). Imaging retina to study dementia and stroke. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* 57, 89–107. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2017.01.001

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

LL, KJ, and QJ conceived and designed the study. QJ and KJ searched the literature and contributed to writing of original manuscript. YL and RW contributed to data acquisition and analysis. YL and HW were responsible for the software. LL and KJ were responsible for revising and reviewing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### FUNDING

The current study was supported by funding from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81960491); Application Cultivation Research Project of Science and Technology Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No. 20181BBG78064); Health Development Planning Commission Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No. 20201074); Key Education Department Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No. 180028 and 190162).

- Cheung, C. Y., Ong, Y. T., Ikram, M. K., Ong, S. Y., Li, X., Hilal, S., et al. (2014). Microvascular network alterations in the retina of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 10, 135–142. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2013.06.009
- Chua, J., Hu, Q., Ke, M., Tan, B., Hong, J., Yao, X., et al. (2020). Retinal microvasculature dysfunction is associated with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 12:161. doi: 10.1186/s13195-020-00724-0
- den Haan, J., Morrema, T. H. J., Verbraak, F. D., de Boer, J. F., Scheltens, P., Rozemuller, A. J., et al. (2018). Amyloid-beta and phosphorylated tau in post-mortem Alzheimer's disease retinas. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 6:147. doi: 10.1186/s40478-018-0650-x
- den Haan, J., van de Kreeke, J. A., van Berckel, B. N., Barkhof, F., Teunissen, C. E., Scheltens, P., et al. (2019). Is retinal vasculature a biomarker in amyloid proven Alzheimer's disease? *Alzheimers Dement.* 11, 383–391. doi: 10.1016/j.dadm.2019.03.006
- den Haan, J., Verbraak, F. D., Visser, P. J., and Bouwman, F. H. (2017). Retinal thickness in Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Alzheimers Dement.* 6,162–170. doi: 10.1016/j.dadm.2016.12.014
- Dorr, A., Sahota, B., Chinta, L. V., Brown, M. E., Lai, A. Y., Ma, K., et al. (2012). Amyloid- $\beta$ -dependent compromise of microvascular structure and function in a model of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 135, 3039–3050. doi: 10.1093/brain/aws243
- Doustar, J., Torbati, T., Black, K. L., Koronyo, Y., and Koronyo-Hamaoui, M. (2017). Optical coherence tomography in Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative diseases. *Front. Neurol.* 8:701. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00701
- Feucht, N., Maier, M., Lepennetier, G., Pettenkofer, M., Wetzlmair, C., Daltrozzo, T., et al. (2019). Optical coherence tomography angiography indicates associations of the retinal vascular network and disease activity in multiple sclerosis. *Mult. Scler.* 25, 224–234. doi: 10.1177/1352458517750009
- Florek, L., Tiepolt, S., Schroeter, M. L., Berrouschot, J., Saur, D., Hesse, S., et al. (2018). Dual time-point [18F]Florbetaben PET delivers dual biomarker information in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 66, 1105–1116. doi: 10.3233/JAD-180522
- Fujiwara, A., Morizane, Y., Hosokawa, M., Kimura, S., Shiode, Y., Hirano, M., et al. (2017). Factors affecting foveal avascular zone in healthy eyes: an examination using swept-source optical coherence tomography angiography. *PLoS ONE*. 12:e0188572. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0188572
- Golzan, S. M., Goozee, K., Georgevsky, D., Avolio, A., Chatterjee, P., Shen, K., et al. (2017). Retinal vascular and structural changes are associated with amyloid burden in the elderly: ophthalmic biomarkers of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 9:13. doi: 10.1186/s13195-017-0239-9

- Gupta, V. B., Chitranshi, N., den Haan, J., Mirzaei, M., You, Y., Lim, J. K., et al. (2020). Retinal changes in Alzheimer's disease- integrated prospects of imaging, functional and molecular advances. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* 2:100899. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2020.100899
- Hart, N. J., Koronyo, Y., Black, K. L., and Koronyo-Hamaoui, M. (2016). Ocular indicators of Alzheimer's: exploring disease in the retina. *Acta Neuropathol*. 132, 767–787. doi: 10.1007/s00401-016-1613-6
- Hughes, S., Yang, H., and Chan-Ling, T. (2000). Vascularization of the human fetal retina: roles of vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 41, 1217–1228.
- Iturria-Medina, Y., Sotero, R. C., Toussaint, P. J., Mateos-Pérez, J. M., Evans, A. C., and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2016). Early role of vascular dysregulation on late-onset Alzheimer's disease based on multifactorial data-driven analysis. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11934. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11934
- Jack, C. R. Jr., Bennett, D. A., Blennow, K., Carrillo, M. C., Dunn, B., Haeberlein, S. B., et al. (2018). NIA-AA research framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 14, 535–562. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018
- Jiang, H., Wei, Y., Shi, Y., Wright, C. B., Sun, X., Gregori, G., et al. (2018). Altered macular microvasculature in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. J. Neuroophthalmol. 38, 292–298. doi: 10.1097/WNO.00000000000580
- Kwapong, W. R., Ye, H., Peng, C., Zhuang, X., Wang, J., Shen, M., et al. (2018). Retinal microvascular impairment in the early stages of Parkinson's disease. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 59, 4115–4122. doi: 10.1167/iovs.17-23230
- Lahme, L., Esser, E. L., Mihailovic, N., Schubert, F., Lauermann, J., Johnen, A., et al. (2018). Evaluation of ocular perfusion in Alzheimer's disease using optical coherence tomography angiography. J. Alzheimers Dis. 66, 1745–1752. doi: 10.3233/JAD-180738
- Lemos, R., Engelborghs, S., Van der Mussele, S., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, Freund-Levi, Y., Wallin, Å. K., et al. (2015). Prevalence and prognosis of Alzheimer's disease at the mild cognitive impairment stage. *Brain* 138, 1327–1338. doi: 10.1093/brain/awv029
- Lesage, S. R., Mosley, T. H., Wong, T. Y., Szklo, M., Knopman, D., Catellier, D. J., et al. (2009). Retinal microvascular abnormalities and cognitive decline: the ARIC 14-year follow-up study. *Neurology* 73, 862–868. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181b78436
- Linderman, R., Salmon, A. E., Strampe, M., Russillo, M., Khan, J., and Carroll, J. (2017). Assessing the accuracy of foveal avascular zone measurements using optical coherence tomography angiography: segmentation and scaling. *Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol.* 6:16. doi: 10.1167/tvst.6.3.16
- Ling, L., Ji, K., Xie, L., Gao, F., Zhang, Q., Xing, Y., et al. (2020). Optical coherence tomography angiography assessment of the peripapillary vessel density and structure in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a meta-analysis. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2020:1359120. doi: 10.1155/2020/1359120
- London, A., Benhar, I., and Schwartz, M. (2013). The retina as a window to the brain-from eye research to CNS disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 9, 44–53. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2012.227
- McGrory, S., Cameron, J. R., Pellegrini, E., Warren, C., Doubal, F. N., Deary, I. J., et al. (2016). The application of retinal fundus camera imaging in dementia: a systematic review. *Alzheimers Dement.* 6, 91–107. doi: 10.1016/j.dadm.2016.11.001
- McKhann, G., Drachman, D., Folstein, M., Katzman, R., Price, D., and Stadlan, E. M. (1984). Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 34, 939–944. doi: 10.1212/WNL.34.7.939
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., and PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 339:b2535. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2535
- Mounsey, A. L., and Zeitler, M. R. (2018). Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers for detection of Alzheimer disease in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Am. Fam. Physician* 97, 714–715.
- O'Bryhim, B. E., Apte, R. S., Kung, N., Coble, D., and Van Stavern, G. P. (2018). Association of preclinical Alzheimer disease with optical coherence tomographic angiography findings. *JAMA. Ophthalmol.* 136, 1242–1248. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2018.3556

- Querques, G., Borrelli, E., Sacconi, R., De Vitis, L., Leocani, L., Santangelo, R., et al. (2019). Functional and morphological changes of the retinal vessels in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Sci. Rep.* 9:63. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-37271-6
- Rifai, O. M., McGrory, S., Robbins, C. B., Grewal, D. S., Liu, A., Fekrat, S., et al. (2021). The application of optical coherence tomography angiography in Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review. *Alzheimers Dement*. 13:e12149. doi: 10.1002/dad2.12149
- Salobrar-Garcia, E., Méndez-Hernández, C., Hoz, R., Ramírez, A. I., López-Cuenca, I., Fernández-Albarral, J. A., et al. (2020). Ocular vascular changes in mild Alzheimer's disease patients: foveal avascular zone, choroidal thickness, and ONH hemoglobin analysis. J. Pers. Med. 10:231. doi: 10.3390/jpm10040231
- Sampson, D. M., Gong, P., An, D., Menghini, M., Hansen, A., Mackey, D. A., et al. (2017). Axial length variation impacts on superficial retinal vessel density and foveal avascular zone area measurements using optical coherence tomography angiography. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 58, 3065–3072. doi: 10.1167/iovs.17-21551
- Smith, E. E., and Beaudin, A. E. (2018). New insights into cerebral small vessel disease and vascular cognitive impairment from MRI. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 31, 36–43. doi: 10.1097/WCO.00000000000513
- Stang, A. (2010). Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 25, 603–605. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z
- Sweeney, M. D., Kisler, K., Montagne, A., Toga, A. W., and Zlokovic, B. V. (2018). The role of brain vasculature in neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 1318–1331. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0234-x
- Sweeney, M. D., Zhao, Z., Montagne, A., Nelson, A. R., and Zlokovic, B. V. (2019). Blood-brain barrier: from physiology to disease and back. *Physiol. Rev.* 99, 21–78. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00050.2017
- Tang, Q., Wang, F., Yang, J., Peng, H., Li, Y., Li, B., et al. (2020). Revealing a novel landscape of the association between blood lipid levels and Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of a case-control study. *Front. Aging. Neurosci.* 11:370. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00370
- Wang, J., Gu, B. J., Masters, C. L., and Wang, Y. J. (2017). A systemic view of Alzheimer disease - insights from amyloid-β metabolism beyond the brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 13, 612–623. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2017.111
- Wang, X., Zhao, Q., Tao, R., Lu, H., Xiao, Z., Zheng, L., et al. (2021). Decreased retinal vascular density in Alzheimer's disease (AD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI): an optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) study. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 12:572484. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2020.5 72484
- Wu, J., Zhang, X., Azhati, G., Li, T., Xu, G., and Liu, F. (2020). Retinal microvascular attenuation in mental cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease by optical coherence tomography angiography. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 98, e781–e787. doi: 10.1111/aos.14381
- Yamashita, K. I., Taniwaki, Y., Utsunomiya, H., and Taniwaki, T. (2014). Cerebral blood flow reduction associated with orientation for time in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease patients. J. Neuroimaging 24, 590–594. doi: 10.1111/jon.12096
- Yan, Y., Wu, X., Wang, X., Geng, Z., Wang, L., Xiao, G., et al. (2021). The retinal vessel density can reflect cognitive function in patients with Alzheimer's disease: evidence from optical coherence tomography angiography. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 79, 1307–1316. doi: 10.3233/JAD-200971
- Yoon, S. P., Grewal, D. S., Thompson, A. C., Polascik, B. W., Dunn, C., Burke, J. R., et al. (2019). Retinal microvascular and neurodegenerative changes in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment compared with control participants. *Ophthalmol. Retina* 3, 489–499. doi: 10.1016/j.oret.2019.02.002
- Zabel, P., Kaluzny, J. J., Wilkosc-Debczynska, M., Gebska-Toloczko, M., Suwala, K., Zabel, K., et al. (2019). Comparison of retinal microvasculature in patients with Alzheimer's disease and primary open-angle glaucoma by optical coherence tomography angiography. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 60, 3447–3455. doi: 10.1167/iovs.19-27028
- Zhang, A., Zhang, Q., and Wang, R. K. (2015). Minimizing projection artifacts for accurate presentation of choroidal neovascularization in OCT microangiography. *Biomed. Opt. Express.* 6, 4130–4143. doi: 10.1364/BOE.6.004130
- Zhang, Y. S., Zhou, N., Knoll, B. M., Samra, S., Ward, M. R., Weintraub, S., et al. (2019). Parafoveal vessel loss and correlation between peripapillary vessel

density and cognitive performance in amnestic mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's Disease on optical coherence tomography angiography. *PLoS ONE* 14:e0214685. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0214685

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Jin, Lei, Wang, Wu, Ji and Ling. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.