RESEARCH ARTICLE



A new species of Cosmocerca (Nematoda, Ascaridomorpha) from the marine toad Rhinella marina (Linnaeus) (Anura, Bufonidae) in Australia

Xue-Feng Ni¹, Diane P. Barton^{2,3}, Hui-Xia Chen¹, Liang Li¹

I Key Laboratory of Animal Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Hebei Province, College of Life Sciences, Hebei Normal University, 050024 Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province, China 2 School of Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia 3 School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Estella, New South Wales 2678, Australia

Corresponding author: Liang Li (liangliangex369@126.com)

Academic editor: H.-P. Fagerholm | Received 26 January 2020 | Accepted 9 March 2020 | Published 30 April 2020

http://zoobank.org/FA3CF863-2E77-4CF2-99D6-6C6AB85557A8

Citation: Ni X-F, Barton DP, Chen H-X, Li L (2020) A new species of *Cosmocerca* (Nematoda, Ascaridomorpha) from the marine toad *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus) (Anura, Bufonidae) in Australia. ZooKeys 931: 11–20. https://doi. org/10.3897/zooKeys.931.50478

Abstract

The marine toad *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus) (Anura, Bufonidae) is a notorious, exotic amphibian species in Australia. However, our present knowledge of the composition of the nematode fauna of *R. marina* is still not complete. In the present study, a new cosmocercid nematode, *Cosmocerca multipapillata* **sp. nov.**, was described using both light and scanning electron microscopy, based on specimens collected from *R. marina* in Australia. *Cosmocerca multipapillata* **sp. nov.** can be easily distinguished from its congeners by the body size, the presence of lateral alae and well sclerotized gubernaculum, the number and arrangement of plectanes and rosettes and the length of spicules, oesophagus and tail.

Keywords

parasite, nematode, Ascaridida, Cosmocercoidea, marine toad *Rhinella marina*, new species, Australasian Region

Introduction

The marine toad *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus) (Anura, Bufonidae) is a large, terrestrial toad, which is natively distributed in Central and South America (Zug and Zug 1979; Lever 2001). The species has been widely introduced to the United States, Fiji, Philippines, Papua New Guinea, Australia, Japan, the Caribbean and some Pacific islands for controlling agricultural pests (Alford et al. 1995; Frost 2016). The helminth fauna of *R. marina* was studied by many authors and over 30 species of nematode parasites have been recorded from this host (Brenes and Bravo-Hollis 1959; Speare 1990; Goldberg and Bursey 1992; Barton 1996; Bursey et al. 2000; Kuzmin et al. 2007; Espinoza-Jimenez et al. 2007; Bursey and Brooks 2010; Drake et al. 2014).

During a helminthological survey in Australian amphibians, some nematodes belonging to the Cosmocercoidea Travassos, 1925 were collected from *R. marina*. Their examination using light and scanning electron microscopy revealed that these specimens represented a new species of *Cosmocerca* Diesing, 1861.

Materials and methods

Light and scanning electron microscopy

Nematodes were collected from the intestine of the marine toad *R. marina* (Linnaeus) (Anura, Bufonidae) in various locations from Queensland, Australia. Specimens were fixed and stored in 70% ethanol until study. For light microscopy studies, nematodes were cleared in lactophenol. Drawings were made with the use of a Nikon microscope drawing attachment. For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), specimens were refixed in a 4% formaldehyde solution, post-fixed in 1% OsO4, dehydrated via an ethanol series and acetone, and then critical point dried. Samples were coated with gold and examined using a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Measurements (the range, followed by the mean in parentheses) are given in micrometers (µm) unless otherwise stated. Type specimens were deposited in College of Life Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Hebei Province, China.

Results

Cosmocerca multipapillata sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/45496476-7E22-4A91-A0F5-E5CFFA7E9123 Figures 1–3

Description. Small-sized, whitish nematodes. Body cylindrical, maximum width at about region of mid-body. Cuticle with fine transverse striations. Excretory pore situated slightly anterior to esophageal bulb (Figs 1A, B, 2C). Deirids not observed. Somatic papillae present (Figs 2D, E, 3A, C). Oral aperture simple, somewhat triangular,



Figure 1. *Cosmocerca multipapillata* sp. nov. collected from the marine toad *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus) (Anura: Bufonidae) in Australia. **A** anterior part of male, lateral view **B** anterior part of female, lateral view **C** region of vulva, lateral view **D** posterior end of male, lateral view **E** posterior end of female, lateral view **F, G** plectane **H, I** eggs **J** gubernaculum.

surrounded by 3 small lips (Fig. 2B). Dorsal lip with one pair of large double cephalic papillae, subventral lips with single large double cephalic papilla and amphid (Fig. 2B). Oesophagus divided into anterior indistinct pharynx, cylindrical corpus and terminal posterior bulb with valves (Fig. 1A, B). Nerve ring located at about 1/2 of oesophageal length. Tail of both sexes conical, with pointed tip (Figs 1D, E, 2E).

Male (based on 3 mature specimens): Body 3.10–3.55 (3.36) mm long; maximum width 248–327 (297). Oesophagus 365–479 (406) long (including bulb), representing 10.6–15.5 (12.2) % of body length; pharynx and corpus 288–385 (328) long, size of bulb



Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs of female *Cosmocerca multipapillata* sp. nov collected from the marine toad *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus) (Anura: Bufonidae) in Australia. **A** anterior part of body (lateral ala arrowed), ventrolateral view **B** cephalic end, apical view **C** magnified image of excretory pore **D** magnified image of somatic papilla **E** tail (lateral ala indicated by white arrow, somatic papilla indicated by black arrow), lateral view. Abbreviations: d, dorsal lip; v, ventrolateral lip.

65-94 (78.5) × 73-100 (83.3). Nerve ring 160-215 (196) and excretory pore 260-417 (323) from anterior extremity, respectively. Lateral alae narrow, extending from slightly posterior to cephalic end to level of third precloacal plectane (Fig. 2A). Posterior end of body distinctly ventrally curved (Figs 1D, 3A). Spicules alate, equal in length, 169-219 (185) long, distal end pointed (Figs 1D, 3C), representing 4.75-6.93 (5.53) % of body length. Gubernaculum small, well sclerotized, 125-146 (135) long (Fig. 1J). A total of 10–12 pairs of subventral precloacal plectanes and 3–4 pairs of precloacal rosettes present (Figs 1D, 3A). Each plectane consisting of a central papilla with two complete circles of 18–21 cuticular tubercles seated on underlying support of sclerotized segments (Figs 1F, G, 3D). Usually 3 pairs of subventral paracloacal and 1–2 pairs of postcloacal rosettes present (Figs 1D, 3A–C). Some pairs of small, sub-ventral and simple papillae (indistinguishable from somatic papillae) located at surface of postcloacal region (Fig. 3C). Tail 187–208 (201) long, representing 5.28–6.72 (6.03) % of body length (Fig. 1D).



Figure 3. Scanning electron micrographs of male *Cosmocerca multipapillata* sp. nov. collected from the marine toad *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus) (Anura: Bufonidae) in Australia. **A** posterior end of body (lateral ala indicated by black arrow, plectanes indicated by white arrows, somatic papillae indicated by triangle), sublateral view **B** magnified image of paracloacal rosettes **C** tail (plectanes indicated by white arrows, somatic papillae indicated by triangle), sublateral view **D** magnified image of plectane. Abbreviation: R, rosettes.

Female (based on 10 mature specimens): Body 2.68–3.73 (3.23) mm long; maximum width 188–277 (232). Oesophagus 338–428 (376) mm long (including bulb), representing 9.08–12.8 (11.7) % of body length; pharynx and corpus 273–343 (194) long, size of bulb 56–94 (81.3) ×85–108 (97.3). Nerve ring 145–183 (164) and excretory pore 259–329 (281) from anterior extremity, respectively. Lateral alae extending from slightly posterior to cephalic end to level of about 1/2 length of tail. Vulval opening a transverse slit, vulval lips not protruded, 1.24–1.67 (1.45) mm from anterior extremity, at 41.6–53.4 (45.5) % of body length (Fig. 1C). Eggs oval, thin-walled with smooth surface, 66–108 (82.1) × 52–71 (61) (n = 20) (Fig. 1H, I). Tail 216–376 (292) long, representing 6.65–12.4 (9.42) % of body length (Fig. 1E).

Taxonomic summary

Type host. Marine toad Rhinella marina (Linnaeus) (Anura, Bufonidae).

Type locality. Bloomfield (approximately 180 km north of Cairns), northern Queensland, Australia.

Other localities. Cape Tribulation, Port Douglas, Abergowrie, Townsville region, all in northern Queensland, Australia.

Site of infection. Rectum.

Level of infection. 3.7% (24 out of 643) of *Rhinella marina* specimens were infected, with an intensity of 1–58 (mean 5.2) nematodes.

Type deposition. Holotype, male (HBNU–N-2019A024L); allotype, female (HBNU–N-2019A025L); paratypes: 2 males, 120 females (HBNU–N-2019A026L).

Etymology. The specific epithet is derived from a combination of the Latin words *multi*- (multiple) and *papillata* (bearing papillae), referring to the characteristic numerous pre-cloacal plectanes.

Discussion

Species of *Cosmocerca* (Ascaridida, Cosmocercoidea) mainly parasitize the digestive tract of various amphibians (Baker and Green 1988; Moravec and Baruš 1990; Moravec and Kaiser 1994; Rizvi et al. 2011; Sou and Nandi 2015; Sou et al. 2018). Bursey et al. (2015) listed 29 nominal species in this genus. Later, Sou et al. (2018) described a new species, *C. bengalensis* Sou, Sow & Nandi, 2018 from India. To date, a total of 30 species of *Cosmocerca* have been reported worldwide. Among these species, only three have been recorded in the Australasian Region, namely *C. archeyi* Baker & Green, 1988 and *C. australis* Baker & Green, 1988, both from *Leiopelma hochstetteri* Fitzinger (Anura, Leiopelmatidae) in New Zealand, and *C. limnodynastes* Johnson & Simpson, 1942 from *Limnodynastes dorsalis* (Gray) (Anura, Myobatrachidae) in Australia (Johnson and Simpson 1942; Baker and Green 1988; Bursey et al. 2015).

Cosmocerca multipapillata sp. nov. can be easily distinguished from the three above-mentioned species by having males with many more plectanes (10-12 pairs in)the new species vs only 4-5 pairs in the other three) and a distinctly longer tail in females (0.22–0.38 mm, representing 6.65–12.4% of body length in the new species vs 0.14–0.22 mm, representing 3.25–6.33% of body length in the other three species) (Johnson and Simpson 1942; Baker and Green 1988; Bursey et al. 2015). Johnson and Simpson (1942) described C. australiensis Johnson & Simpson, 1942 and C. propingua Johnson & Simpson, 1942 both from L. dorsalis in Australia. Both of them should be treated as *incertae sedis*, because only female specimens were found. In fact, Inglis (1968) considered that C. australiensis and C. propingua should be transferred to Parathelandros Baylis, 1930 (Oxyurida, Pharyngodonidae) based on the morphological characters of the female. Nevertheless, the new species differs from C. australiensis and C. propinqua by the distinctly smaller body size in the female (2.68–3.73 mm in C. multipapillata sp. nov. vs 5.0–9.0 mm in the other two species). In addition, the position of the vulva and the morphology of the female tail of C. multipapillata sp. nov. are also different from C. propingua (vulva situated in front of oesophageal bulb in this species). Moreover, the new species has a relatively longer oesophagus than that of C. australiensis (oesophageal length representing 9.08-12.8% of body length in C. multipapillata vs representing 3.89-4.67% of body length in *C. australiensis*) (Baker and Green 1988).

In the genus Cosmocerca, C. ishaqi (Islam, Farooq & Khanum, 1979) and C. brasiliensis Travassos, 1925 have 9 or more pairs of plectanes in males (Islam et al. 1979; Rizvi et al. 2011). Cosmocerca multipapillata sp. nov. is different from C. ishaqi by having a well sclerotized gubernaculum (0.13-0.15 mm long), the presence of lateral alae (vs gubernaculum and lateral alae absent in C. ishaqi) and relatively longer spicules (spicules 0.17-0.22 mm long, representing 4.75-6.93% of body length vs spicules 0.10 mm long, representing 3.42% of body length) (Islam et al. 1979). Cosmocerca brasiliensis was described from Rhinella crucifer (Wied) (Anura, Bufonidae), Ischnocnema guentheri (Steindachner) (Anura, Brachycephalidae), Thoropa miliaris (Spix) (Anura, Cycloramphidae) and Boana faber (Wied) (Anura, Hylidae) in Brazil (Travassos 1925, 1931). Dyer and Altig (1976) also reported this parasite in several species of frogs in Ecuador. Cosmocerca brasiliensis can be easily distinguished from the new species by having a much larger body size in females (9.0–12.7 mm long in the former vs 2.68–3.73 mm long in the latter), the absence of paracloacal rosettes and lateral alae (vs usually 3 pairs and presence of lateral alae in the new species), and a distinctly longer tail in females (0.53-0.74 mm long in C.brasiliensis vs 0.22–0.38 mm long in C. multipapillata sp. nov.).

Although some previous studies reported the marine toad *R. marina* harboring nematodes belonging to *Cosmocerca* (Speare 1990; Barton 1997; Espinoza-Jimenez et al. 2007), most of these studies did not identify the parasites to species level. Prior to this study, only *C. commutata* (Diesing, 1851), *C. podicipinus* Baker & Vicente, 1984, *C. brasiliensis* and *C. parva* Travassos, 1925 had been recorded in *R. marina* (Skrjabin et al. 1961; Bursey et al. 2001; Bursey and Brooks 2010). However, *C. commutata*, *C. parva* and *C. podicipinus* have only 4–7 pairs of precloacal plectanes (Skrjabin et al. 1961; Baker and Vicente 1984), which easily differentiates them from *C. multipapillata* sp. nov. (presence of 10–12 pairs of precloacal plectanes). The morphological differences between *C. brasiliensis* and *C. multipapillata* sp. nov. have been mentioned previously.

Based on morphological characters of the new species (i.e., the body size, the number of plectanes and the presence of well developed spicules and gubernaculum), we speculate that C. multipapillata sp. nov. could have been introduced to Australia along with its host R. marina, because all the recorded Cosmocerca species in the Australasian Region, including C. archevi, C. australis and C. limnodynastes, have small body size (body length not over 2.00 mm in males), few plectanes (not over 5 pairs) and rudimental spicules and gubernaculum (Johnson and Simpson 1942; Baker and Green 1988; Bursey et al. 2015). However, some species of Cosmocerca found in the Neotropical Region have some common characters with the new species, for example, the body length of C. brasiliensis, C. travassosi Rodrigues & Fabio, 1970, C. cruzi Rodrigues & Fabio, 1970 and C. podicipinus is more or less 3.0 mm or over 3.0 mm in males; C. brasiliensis, C. uruguayensis Lent & Freitas, 1948 and C. vrcibradici Bursey & Goldberg, 2004 all with many plectanes (7-9 pairs); C. brasiliensis, C. rara Freitas & Vicente, 1966 and C. vrcibradici with well developed spicules and/or gubernaculum (Lent and Freitas 1948; Freitas and Vicente 1966; Rodrigues and Fabio 1970; Bursey and Goldberg 2004). However, we need some more direct evidence to elucidate the origin of C. multipapillata sp. nov. in the Australasian Region or the Neotropical Region (i.e. if this new species is distributed in the Neotropical Region). Moreover, further studies on the composition of the Cosmocerca nematode fauna of native Australasian amphibians and rigorous phylogenetic studies to determine the interspecific relationships of *Cosmocerca* using genetic data including broad representatives worldwide (especially species from the Australasian and Neotropical Regions) are required to solve the evolutionary problem.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. František Moravec (Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic) and Professor Hideo Hasegawa (Faculty of Medicine, Oita University, Japan) for providing important literature. The authors are indebted to many people who collected toads for this study, especially Dr. D. Blair (James Cook University, Townsville, Australia), the late Dr. R. Speare (James Cook University, Townsville, Australia), the late Dr. R. Speare (James Cook University, Townsville, Australia) and Dr. H. Spencer (Cape Tribulation). This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (C2019205094), the Support Program for 100 Excellent Innovative Talents of Hebei Province (SLRC2019033), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB26000000) and the Youth Top Talent Support Program of Hebei Province for Dr. Liang Li. This study was also supported by the Australian Postgraduate Research Award during the time of collection of the parasite specimens for Dr. Diane P. Barton.

References

- Alford RA, Lampo M, Bayliss P (1995) The comparative ecology of *Bufo marinus* in Australia and South America. CSIRO *Bufo* Project: An Overview of Research Outcomes, Unpublished report, CSIRO, Australia.
- Baker MR, Green DM (1988) Helminth parasites of native frogs (Leiopelmatidae) from New Zealand. Canadian Journal of Zoology 66: 707–713. https://doi.org/10.1139/z88-105
- Barton DP (1996) The cane toad: A new host for helminth parasites in Australia. Australian Journal of Ecology 21: 114–117.
- Barton DP (1997) Introduced animals and their parasites: The cane toad, *Bufo marinus* in Australia. Australian Journal of Ecology 22: 316–324. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1997. tb00677.x
- Brenes RR, Bravo-Hollis M (1959) Helmintos de la Republica de Costa Rica VIII. Nematoda 2. Algunos nematodes de *Bufo marinus marinus* (L) y algunas consideraciones sobre los ge'neros *Oxysomatium* y *Aplectana*. Revista de Biologia Tropical 7: 35–55.
- Bursey CR, Brooks DR (2010) Nematode parasites of 41 anuran species from the Area de Conservacio' n Guanacaste, Costa Rica. Comparative Parasitology 77: 221–231. https:// doi.org/10.1654/4418.1
- Bursey CR, Goldberg SR (2004) Cosmocerca vrcibradici n. sp. (Ascaridida: Cosmocercidae), Oswaldocruzia vitti n. sp. (Strongylida: Molineidae), and other helminths from Prionodactylus eigenmanni and Prionodactylus oshsaughnessyi (Sauria: Gymnophthalmidae) from Brazil and Ecuador. Journal of Parasitology 90: 140–145. https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-3234

- Bursey CR, Goldberg SR, Kraus F (2006) A new species of *Cosmocerca* (Nematoda: Cosmocercidae) and other helminths from *Genyophryne thomsoni* (Anura: Microhylidae) from Papua New Guinea. Acta Parasitologica 51: 213–216. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-006-0033-5
- Bursey CR, Goldberg SR, Parmelee JR (2001) Gastrointestinal helminths of 51 species of anurans from Reserva Cuzco Amazonico, Peru. Comparative Parasitology 68: 21–25.
- Bursey CR, Goldberg SR, Salgado-Maldonado G (2000) Helminths of two sympatric toad species, *Bufo marinus* (Linnaeus) and *Bufo marmoreus* Wiegmann, 1833 (Anura: Bufonidae) from Chamela, Jalisco, Mexico. Comparative Parasitology 67: 129–133.
- Bursey CR, Goldberg SR, Siler CD, Brown RM (2015) A new species of *Cosmocerca* (Nematoda: Cosmocercidae) and other helminths in *Cyrtodactylus gubaot* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from the Philippines. Acta Parasitologica 60: 675–681. https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2015-0096
- Drake MC, Zieger U, Groszkowski A, Gallardo B, Sages P, Reavis R, Faircloth L, Jacobson K, Lonce N, Pinckney R, Cole RA (2014) Survey of helminths, ectoparasites, and Chytrid fungus of an introduced population of cane toads, *Rhinella marina* (Anura: Bufonidae), from Grenada, West Indies. Journal of Parasitology 100: 608–615. https://doi.org/10.1645/13-470.1
- Dyer WG, Altig R (1976) Redescription of *Cosmocerca brasiliensis* Travassos 1925 (Nematoda: Cosmocercidae) from Ecuadorian frogs. Journal of Parasitology 62: 262–264. https://doi. org/10.2307/3279281
- Espinoza-Jiménez A, García-Prieto L, Osorio-Sarabia D, León-Règagnon V (2007) Checklist of helminth parasites of the cane toad *Bufo marinus* (Anura: Bufonidae) from Mexico. Journal of Parasitology 93: 937–944. https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-1047R.1
- Freitas JFT, Vicente JJ (1966) Novo nematodeo do genero *Cosmocerca* Dising, 1861, parasito de anfisbaendeo. Atas Sociedade de Biologia do Rio de Janeiro 10: 109–111.
- Frost DR (2016) Amphibian Species of the World: An Online Reference. Version 6.0. Electronic Database. American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA. http://research. amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html
- Goldberg SR, Bursey CR (1992) Helminths of the Marine Toad, *Bufo marinus* (Anura: Bufonidae), from America Samoa. Journal of the Helminthological Society of Washington 59: 131–133.
- Inglis WG (1968) Nematodes parasitic in western Australian frogs. Bulletin of the British Museum (National History), Zoology 16: 161–183.
- Islam A, Farooq M, Khanum Z (1979) Two new genera of nematode parasites (Oxyuridae: Oxysomatinae) from toad, *Bufo andersoni*. Pakistan Journal of Zoology 11: 69–73.
- Johnston TH, Simpson ER (1942) Some nematodes from Australian frogs. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia 66: 172–179.
- Kuzmin Y, Tkach VV, Brooks DR (2007) Two new species of *Rhabdias* (Nematoda: Rhabdiasidae) from the marine toad, *Bufo marinus* (L.) (Lissampibia: Anura; Bufonidae), in Central America. Journal of Parasitology 93: 159–165. https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-858R.1
- Lent H, Freitas JFT (1948) Uma colecao de Nematodeos, parasitos de vertebrados, do Museu de Historia Natural de Montevideo. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 46: 1–71. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02761948000100001

- Lever C (2001) The cane toad: The history and ecology of a successful colonist. Westbury Academic and Scientific Publishing, Otley, West Yorkshire, England, 289 pp.
- Moravec F, Baruš V (1990) Some nematode parasites from amphibians and reptiles from Zambua and Uganda. Acta Societatis Zoologicae Bohemoslovacae 54: 177–192.
- Moravec F, Kaiser H (1994) Description of *Cosmocerca longispicula* sp. nov. (Nematoda: Cosmocercidae), a parasite of a dendrobatid frog from Martinique, French Antilles. Parasitology Research 80: 29–32.
- Rizvi AN, Bursey CR, Bhutia PT (2011) Cosmocerca kalesari sp. nov. (Nematoda, Cosmocercidae) in Euphlyctis cyanophlyctis (Amphibia, Anura) from Kalesar Wildlife Sanctuary, Haryana, India. Acta Parasitologica 56: 202–207. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-011-0028-8
- Rodrigues HO, Fabio SP (1970). Nova especie do genero *Cosmocerca* Diesing, 1861 (Nematoda, Oxyuroidea). Atas da Sociedade de Biologia do Rio de Janeiro 13: 179–180.
- Skrjabin KI, Shikhobalova NP, Lagodovskaya EA (1961) Oxyurata of animals and man. Part Two, Translated from Russian. Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, Israel, 1974: 460 pp.
- Sou SK, Nandi AP (2015) On a new species of *Cosmocerca* (Nematoda; Cosmocercidae) from *Microhyla rubra* (Anura: Microhylidae) from West Bengal, India. Acta Parasitologica 60: 261–265. https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2015-0037
- Sou SK, Sow KK, Nandi AP (2018) Cosmocerca bengalensis sp. nov. (Nematoda: Cosmocercidae) in Hoplobatrachus tigerinus (Daudin, 1803) (Amphibia, Anura, Dicroglossidae) from West Bengal, India. Acta Parasitologica 63: 715–720. https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2018-0084
- Speare R (1990) A review of the diseases of the cane toad, *Bufo marinus*, with comments on biological control. Australian Wildlife Research 17: 387–410. https://doi.org/10.1071/ WR9900387
- Travassos L (1925) Contribuicoes para o conhecimento da fauna helmintologica dos batrachios do Brasil. Nematodeos intestinais. Science and Medicine 3: 673–687.
- Travassos L (1931) Pesquisas helmintólogicas realizadas em Hamburgo. IX. Ensaio monographico da familia Cosmocercidae Trav., 1925 (Nematoda). Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 25: 237–298. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02761931000300003
- Zug GR, Zug PB (1979) The marine toad, *Bufo marinus*: A natural history resume of native populations. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 284: 1–58. https://doi.org/10.5479/ si.00810282.284