Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

EBioMedicine

Published by THE LANCET

journal homepage: www.ebiomedicine.com

Research Paper

Liver Iron Load Influences Hepatic Fat Fraction in End-Stage Renal Disease Patients on Dialysis: A Proof of Concept Study



EBioMedicine

Guy Rostoker ^{a,*}, Christelle Loridon ^a, Mireille Griuncelli ^a, Clémentine Rabaté ^a, Fanny Lepeytre ^a, Pablo Ureña-Torres ^b, Belkacem Issad ^c, Nasredine Ghali ^d, Yves Cohen ^e

^a Division of Nephrology and Dialysis, Ramsay Générale de Santé, Hôpital Privé Claude Galien, Quincy-Sous-Sénart, France

^b Division of Dialysis, Ramsay Générale de Santé, Clinique du Landy, Saint-Ouen, France

^c Division of Nephrology and Dialysis, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

^d Division of Nephrology and Dialysis, Centre Hospitalier Marc Jacquet, Melun, France

^e Division of Radiology, Ramsay Générale de Santé, Hôpital Privé Claude Galien, Quincy-Sous-Sénart, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 October 2018 Received in revised form 8 November 2018 Accepted 12 November 2018 Available online 28 November 2018

Keywords: Dialysis Iron overload MRI Liver iron concentration (LIC) Liver proton density fat fraction (liver-PDFF) NAFLD

ABSTRACT

Background: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a spectrum of diseases including steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), cirrhosis, and end-stage liver failure. Hepatic iron accumulation has been linked to hepatic fibrosis severity in NASH and NAFLD. Iron overload induced by parenteral (IV) iron therapy is a potential clinical problem in dialysis patients. We analyzed the hypothetical triggering and aggravating role of iron on NAFLD in patients on dialysis.

Methods: Liver iron concentration (LIC) and hepatic proton density fat fraction (PDFF) were analyzed prospectively in 68 dialysis patients by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Follow up of LIC and PDFF was performed in 17 dialysis patients during iron therapy.

Findings: PDFF differed significantly among dialysis patients classified according to LIC: patients with moderate or severe iron overload had increased fat fraction (PDFF: 7.9% (0.5–14.8%)) when compared to those with normal LIC (PDFF: 5% (0.27–11%)) or mild iron overload (PDFF: 5% (0.30–11.6%); P = 0.0049). PDFF correlated with LIC, and ferritin and body mass index. In seven patients monitored during IV iron therapy, LIC and PDFF increased concomitantly (PDFF: initial 2.5%, final 8%, P = 0.0156; LIC: initial 20 µmol/g, final 160 µmol/g: P = 0.0156), whereas in ten patients with iron overload, PDFF decreased after IV iron withdrawal or major dose reduction (initial: 8%, final: 4%; P = 0.0098) in parallel with LIC (initial: 195 µmol/g, final: 45 µmol/g; P = 0.002).

Interpretation: Liver iron load influences hepatic fat fraction in dialysis patients. Iron overload induced by iron therapy may aggravate or trigger NAFLD in dialysis patients.

Trial registration number (ISRCTN): 80100088.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a spectrum of diseases including isolated steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), cirrhosis, and end-stage liver failure [1,2]. Patients with NAFLD, especially those with NASH, can develop cirrhosis [1,2]. Liver steatosis related to excessive and pathologic intra-hepatocellular accumulation of fat (mainly as triglycerides) is the histologic hallmark of this syndrome [1,2]. NAFLD is associated with obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus, and is now becoming epidemic in Western countries where it affects about 10% of children and around 20–30% of adults. NAFLD may become

* Corresponding author: HP Claude Galien, Ramsay Générale de Santé, 20 route de Boussy-Saint-Antoine, 91480, Quincy-Sous-Sénart, France.

E-mail address: rostotom@orange.fr (G. Rostoker).

the leading cause of liver transplantation within the next 20 years [1,2]. Noninvasive alternatives to liver biopsy for the assessment of hepatic steatosis have been developed in the past decade including magnetic resonance spectroscopy, which accurately measures the hepatic proton density fat fraction (PDFF; defined as the fraction of mobile protons belonging to triglycerides in relation to those of water), a valuable imaging surrogate biomarker of liver fat content, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), using multi-peak fat spectral modeling, which allows reliable liver fat and iron quantification [3–5].

Mild or moderate liver iron deposits are seen in liver biopsies in about 40% of patients with chronic hepatitis B and C, and have been linked to the severity of these diseases [6]. Similarly, mild to moderate increases in liver tissue iron are encountered in about half of patients with NASH and NAFLD [1,2]. Mesenchymal iron deposition is more common than hepatocellular iron accumulation in NASH and NAFLD, and

2352-3964/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Research in context

Evidence Before This Study

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a spectrum of diseases including isolated steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), cirrhosis, and end-stage liver failure. Hepatic iron accumulation has been linked to the severity of hepatic fibrosis in NASH and NAFLD. Iron overload induced by parenteral (IV) iron therapy (required for anaemia treatment) is now recognized as a potential clinical problem in dialysis patients.

We searched Pascal, Embase, and Medline data-bases with the terms "dialysis", "intravenous iron", "iron overload", "liver iron concentration (LIC)", "liver proton density fat fraction (liver-PDFF)", "NAFLD" and "NASH" and found no study analyzing the potential relationship between NASH and NAFLD and iron therapy in end-stage renal disease (ESRD).

We analyzed the hypothetical triggering and aggravating role of iron on NAFLD in dialysis patients. We studied 68 dialysis patients receiving erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESA) and iron therapy by hepatic MRI (signal intensity ratio and T2* IDEAL IQ), and simultaneously measured liver iron concentration (LIC) and hepatic proton density fat fraction (PDFF).

Added Value of This Study

We found that 57.4% of the dialysis patients in our crosssectional study had hepatic iron overload (of differing degrees of severity), and that abnormal PDFF was mainly observed in patients with moderate and severe iron overload. In this cohort of dialysis patients, fat fraction was found to correlate with body mass index, and LIC, and ferritin. The similar evolution of LIC and hepatic PDFF, with increasing values in patients on IV iron therapy (iron-sucrose), was observed in seven patients in a longitudinal study, as was a simultaneous decrease after iron withdrawal or a major reduction of iron dosage in ten other patients. These observations strongly support the influence of liver iron load on hepatic fat fraction in dialysis patients.

Implications of all the Available Evidence

The detrimental effect of iron overload on liver steatosis shown in this study adds new knowledge on the adverse structural consequences of iron deposits on the liver in addition to the perturbation of hepcidin synthesis shown in cases of iron overload and hypothesized to be one of the factors responsible for the development of cardiovascular complications in ESRD.

In addition to the general dialysis population, overweight patients (who now represent about 20–30% of haemodialysis patients in Western countries and even more in the USA) and patients with known NAFLD and dysmetabolic iron overload syndrome (DIOS) (an increasing contingent of new dialysis patients) may be at increased risk of developing or aggravating fatty liver disease with the indiscriminate and unmonitored use of IV iron therapy.

Finally, our results also suggest the potential adverse effects of iron products on the pathophysiology of fatty liver disease in non-renal patients either as a first hit, favoring its occurrence, or as a second hit, worsening this epidemic disease.

hepatic iron accumulation has been linked to the severity of hepatic fibrosis (without any influence of the HFE gene) [1,2]. Serum ferritin >300 ng/ml in women and 450 ng/ml in men has also been associated with hepatic iron deposition, a diagnosis of NASH, and worsened

histologic activity in patients with NAFLD [7]. Moreover, dysmetabolic iron overload syndrome (DIOS), characterized by hyperferritinemia, unexplained hepatic iron overload (generally mild or moderate), and associated metabolic syndrome are detected in about one-third of cases of NAFLD [1]. Finally, a detrimental role of iron as a trigger of NAFLD in the context of obesity and metabolic syndrome has recently been suggested in an experimental model of genetically obese mice (leptin receptor deficient) fed with iron which developed severe liver disease mimicking the NASH human counterpart [8]. A protective effect of low iron stores on the later development of NAFLD has also been suggested [9].

The routine use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESA) over the past three decades has enabled the partial correction of anaemia in most patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD), reducing their need for blood transfusions, reducing anaemia-related morbidity, and improving their quality of life [10]. To ensure sufficient available iron required for erythropoiesis during ESA therapy, almost all ESA-treated haemodialysis patients receive parenteral (IV) iron [10]. True iron deficiency is very common in haemodialysis patients due to blood loss related to the dialysis procedure, to routine blood sampling for laboratory tests, and to occult fecal bleeding due to uremic enteropathy [11]. This is aggravated by functional iron deficiency due to inadequate iron mobilization from repleted storage sites in ESRD [10,11]. Until recently, IV iron was considered to be safe in ESRD and iron overload was thought to be rare, but it is now increasingly recognized as a potential clinical problem [11–15].

The liver is the major site of iron storage and liver iron concentration (LIC) correlates closely with total iron stores in patients with genetic haemochromatosis and secondary haemosiderosis [16]. MRI has become the gold-standard method for LIC estimation and follow-up of patients with iron-overload disorders [16]. Recent studies of LIC in haemodialysis patients, measured by quantitative MRI and by magnetic susceptometry, have demonstrated a strong link between the risk of iron overload and the use of IV iron products prescribed at doses advocated in current anaemia management guidelines for dialysis patients [12–15].

Taking into consideration the hypothetical aggravating and triggering role of iron in NAFLD and the actual epidemic of iatrogenic iron overload in ESRD [11], we analyzed the influence of liver iron load on PDFF by MRI in dialysis patients. The results of this study add to our knowledge on the potential consequences of iron overload on liver structure in ESRD, and on the triggering and exacerbating factors of NAFLD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Patients

This observational, cross-sectional study was carried out between 19 May 2015 and 13 March 2018. Sixty-eight adult patients undergoing either chronic intermittent haemodialysis (n = 65) or peritoneal dialysis (n = 3) in four dialysis units of the greater Paris area, France (HP Claude Galien, Quincy-Sous-Sénart; Clinique du Landy, Saint-Ouen; CH Marc Jacquet, Melun; Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris) were recruited and their LIC and liver PDFF analyzed concomitantly by MRI. The inclusion and exclusion criteria for this prospective, observational study have been described in detail previously [15,17]. All participants gave their written informed consent. Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Drug, Devices and Clinical Trials Committee of Claude Galien hospital (COMEDIMS) on 9 December 2004 [15]. This study is registered under International Standard Randomized Controlled Trial Number (ISRCTN): 80100088 [17].

2.2. Iron Therapy

The treatment of anaemia in these patients was carried out according to the usual clinical practice and remained unchanged during the study; it followed the European Renal Best Practice (ERBP)-2013 anaemia statement and comprised, when required, ESA in haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients, and IV iron in haemodialysis patients (iron-sucrose, Mylan) [18]; oral iron was used as first-line therapy in peritoneal dialysis patients and IV iron was used in these patients as second-line treatment in cases of intolerance or severe iron deficiency [18].

2.3. Longitudinal Study

Changes in liver iron stores and hepatic fat fraction were closely monitored during iron therapy by repeated hepatic MRI in seven patients with low ferritin levels and iron deficiency. Ten other patients with radiologic hepatic iron overload were also closely monitored by repeated hepatic MRI to follow changes in liver iron stores and hepatic fat fraction after iron withdrawal (n = 6) or a major iron dose reduction (n = 4).

2.4. Quantitative MRI of Hepatic Iron Stores and Fat Fraction

A MRI signal-intensity ratio (SIR) method was used for measurement of LIC, based on T1 and T2* contrast imaging without gadolinium (on an Optima MR450W MRI unit; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA, operating at a field strength of 1.5 Tesla), as established by Gandon et al. at Rennes University [19], and recently shown to accurately identify iron load in haemodialysis patients by comparison with liver histology (Perls staining) [20]. Wherever possible, patients on iron therapy (IV or oral) received their last iron dose at least one week before MRI [21].

MRI measurements were performed centrally at the Division of Radiology, Claude Galien hospital by the same senior radiologist (YC), who was unaware of the patients' medical history (with the exception of the dialysis technique), and biochemical results. LIC is expressed in µmol/g of dry liver. Normal LIC values of \leq 50 µmol/g were set in dialysis patients [15]. 50 < LIC values \leq 100 µmol/g represent mild iron overload, 100 < LIC values \leq 200 µmol/g moderate iron overload, and >200 µmol/g severe iron overload [15,19].

During the same session, PDFF was determined by MRI multi-peak fat spectral modeling using the IDEAL IQ algorithm, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) validated software of GE Healthcare, which is a three-dimensional volumetric imaging sequence used to create T2* and triglyceride fat fraction maps from a single breath-hold acquisition [22,23]. This technique was used to estimate R2*(1/T2*) and PDFF in the liver in a single simultaneous acquisition. The resulting PDFF maps were then corrected for T2* effects [22,23]. Normality of hepatic PDFF was set at <5% [3]. In the same session, we also assessed liver iron load by T2* MRI and splenic iron load by T2* MRI (normal value \geq 15 ms) [16]. Liver iron load determined at classical R2* and by R2* IDEAL IQ were used for validation of LIC determined by signal intensity ratio as advocated by Paisant et al. [16]

It should be noted that, in France, hepatic MRI is fully reimbursed by the national health insurance system for the diagnosis and monitoring of iron overload diseases.

2.5. Biological Markers

The efficacy of anaemia treatment was determined using a haemoglobin assay and reticulocyte counts every two weeks in patients on haemodialysis and monthly in those treated by peritoneal dialysis. Monthly measurements were also performed of iron biomarkers (ferritin, transferrin, serum iron, and transferrin saturation (TSAT)), and C-reactive protein. Metabolic biomarkers were analyzed every three months and comprised glycemia, HbA1C, total cholesterol, triglycerides, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), and serum levels of liver enzymes (alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT)).

2.6. Search for HFE Gene Mutation

Patients with abnormal iron load by MRI were screened for the C282Y, H63D, and S65C HFE gene mutations (Laboratory CERBA, Saint-Ouen-l'Aumône, France).

2.7. Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT) and Charlson's Comorbidity Index

A validated French translation of the AUDIT questionnaire was used to quantify alcohol consumption and to detect alcohol addiction [24]. The age modified Charlson's comorbidity index, validated in dialysis patients, was calculated as described by Di Iorio et al. [25]

2.8. Statistical Analyses

As values did not conform to a Gaussian distribution (Shapiro-Wilk normality test), according to Sheskin, all data are expressed as medians and range [26]. Percentages are given either crude or with their 95% confidence intervals (CI; modified Wald method) [26]. Patients with moderate iron overload and those with severe iron overload were pooled together for all statistical analyses.

The different groups of patients were compared using non-parametric analysis of variance (Kruskal-Wallis test) for continuous variables, followed by Dunn's post-test, and with the Chi² test for categorical variables [26]. Correlations between the different variables and LIC by MRI, or hepatic PDFF by MRI, were identified with the Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient. Spearman's rank-order coefficient was also used to analyze correlations between the three methods of determination of LIC, namely SIR according to Rennes University, T2* and T2* IDEAL IQ. Bland and Altman analysis of LIC measurements by T2* IDEAL IQ as compared to T2* measurements of LIC was also used in the evaluation of the influence of fat fraction on liver iron concentration. Prism 7 software (Graphpad, San Diego, USA) was used for all statistical analyses, and P < 0.05 was considered to denote statistical significance [26].

Finally, binary logistic regression analysis was used to determine the capacity of several clinically and biologically relevant variables to classify patients as having normal (<5%) or elevated ($\geq5\%$) hepatic PDFF by MRI, and also to classify patients as having normal ($\leq50 \mu$ mol/g) or increased LIC ($>50 \mu$ mol/g) by MRI (SPSS software; IBM Bois-Colombes, France) [26].

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Study Population

The study cohort comprised 68 adult ESRD patients: 62 treated by intermittent haemodialysis at Claude Galien Hospital (Quincy-sous-Sénart), three treated by intermittent haemodialysis at Clinique du Landy (Saint-Ouen), and three by peritoneal dialysis (two at Hospital Marc Jacquet, Melun, and one at Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris). MRI was performed at least seven days after IV iron infusion or iron pill consumption in 66 patients and five days after in two other patients. For these latter patients, this delay was considered sufficient to avoid any influence of iron consumption on MRI results [21]. A total of 77 patients were initially screened and studied by MRI for simultaneous iron and fat liver determination; nine patients were excluded from the study for the followings reasons: pre-existing liver disease (n = 4), refusal to sign the informed consent form (n = 1), blood transfusions (n = 1), IV iron infusion 48 h before MRI (n = 1), chronic severe inflammatory process (n = 1), lack of any biological markers available (n = 1). The demographic, clinical, and biological characteristics of these patients are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1

Characteristics and findings in 68 dialysis patients monitored simultaneous for liver iron and fat content (classified according to hepatic non-heme iron stores measured by MRI).

Variables	Normal iron load (LIC ≤ 50 µmol/g) (Group A; N = 29)	Mild iron overload (50 < LIC ≤ 100 µmol/g) (Group B; N = 23)	Moderate and severe iron overload (LIC > 100 µmol/g) (Group C; N = 16)	P-value*	Comparison of groups A, B, C**
Age (years) Female, n (%) Dialysis vintage before MRI (months)	70 (41-88) 11 (37.9) 11 (2-60)	66 (26-92) 5 (21.7) 30 (5-147)	63.5 (28-96) 9 (56.3) 20 (5-138)	0.7060 0.0879 0.0016	A/B, P = 0.0032 A/C, P = 0.0241 B/C, P > 0.9999
ESA therapy, n (%) Darbepoetin dose (µg/month) IV iron therapy, n (%) IV iron dose (mg/month)	28 (96.6) 111 (0-399) 15 (51.7) 42 (0-318)	21/22 (95.5) 141.5 (0-442) 19/22 (86.4) 205 (0-275)	16 (100) 143 (75-381) 12 (75) 227 (108-376)	0.7051 0.7576 0.0251 0.0011	A/B, P = 0.0084 A/C, P = 0.0078 B/C P > 0.0009
Oral iron therapy, n (%) Iron in RBC packs transfused per month	11 (37.9) 0 (0–61)	5/22 (22.7) 0 (0–235)	2 (12.5) 0 (0-38)	0.1589 0.9238	Б/С, Р > 0.9999
Serum ferritin (ng/mL)	70 (9–326)	185 (22-562)	378 (16-1229)	0.0003	A/B, P = 0.0291 A/C, P = 0.0003 B/C P = 0.4373
Liver iron concentration by MRI-SIR (µmol/g dry weight)	15 (5–50)	70 (55–100)	195 (150–260)	<0.0001	A/B, P < 0.0001 A/C, P < 0.0001 B/C, P = 0.0071
Liver T2* (ms)	21.6 (12.8–33.3)	9.3 (7.1–29.7)	5.95 (3.9–11.3)	<0.0001	A/B, P < 0.0001 A/C, P < 0.0001 B/C, P = 0.0368
Liver T2* IDEAL IQ (ms)	24.0 (13.6–34.0)	10.3 (4.7–37.0)	7.05 (1.8–12.3)	<0.0001	A/B, P < 0.0001 A/C, P < 0.0001 B/C, P = 0.0657
Liver fat fraction by MRI (%)	5 (0.27–11.0)	5 (0.3–11.6)	7.9 (0.5–14.8)	0.0049	B/C, P = 0.0837 A/B, P > 0.9999 A/C, P = 0.0209
Splenic T2* (ms)	27.35 (8.5–72.3)	13 (3.9–36.1)	6.2 (3.5–34.2)	<0.0001	B/C, P = 0.0056 A/B, P = 0.0021 A/C, P < 0.0001 B/C, P = 0.3886
Splenic T2*, n (%) abnormality (<15 ms) Modified Charlson's comorbidity index AUDIT alcohol index Weight (kg) Body mass index (kg/m ²) Diabetes, n (%)	3/28 (10.7) 6 (211) 3 (0-9) 72.25 (41.5-130) 26.05 (18.2-40.1) 6 (20.7)	13 (56.5) 5.5 (2-12) 1 (0-12) 71 (46.5-103) 23.7 (18.2-36.5) 4 (17.4)	11 (68.8) 6 (2-10) 2 (0-8) 70.25 (47-96) 24.25 (18.6-37.5) 5 (31.3)	0.0001 0.8715 0.0766 0.7824 0.4862 0.5743	υ/ς, r — 0.3000

All values shown are median (range) unless stated otherwise.

LIC: liver iron concentration; ESA: erythropoiesis stimulating agents; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; SIR: Signal Intensity Ratio; IV: intravenous.

Iron in RBC packs transfused: Iron in red blood cell packs transfused (we calculate 200 mg of iron per red blood cell packs transfused and we report per month of dialysis).

P value determined using either.

* Kruskal-Wallis or X² test.

** Dunn's post test.

Table 2

Biochemical markers of iron, glucose, and lipid metabolism, and liver enzymes in 68 dialysis patients monitored simultaneous for liver iron and fat content (classified according to hepatic non-heme iron stores measured by MRI).

Variables	Normal iron load	Mild iron overload	Moderate and severe iron overload	P-value*	Comparison of	
$(LIC \le 50 \ \mu mol/g)$		$(50 < LIC \le 100 \ \mu mol/g) \qquad (LIC > 100 \ \mu mol/g)$			groups A,B,C**	
	(Group A; N = 29)	(Group B; N = 23)	(Group C; N = 16)			
Haemoglobin (g/dL) Serum ferritin (ng/mL)	11.2 (7–17.1) 70 (9–326)	11.7 (10.1–14.5) 185 (22–562)	11.4 (7.6–13.8) 378 (16–1229)	0.1078 0.0003	A/B, P = 0.0291 A/C, P = 0.0003	
Serum iron (µmol/L) Serum transferrin (g/L)	11.35 (3.5–29.7) 2.25 (1.3–3.1)	10.1 (4.5–18.3) 1.9 (1.5–2.6)	10.9 (6-21.5) 1.8 (1.3-2.8)	0.5682 0.0021	A/B, P = 0.0164 A/C, P = 0.0060	
Transferrin saturation (%) CRP (mg/L) Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) (%) Total cholesterol (mmol/L) HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) Triglycerides (mmol/L) ASAT (U/L) ALAT (U/L) GGT (U/L)	$\begin{array}{c} 17.02 \ (6.67-54) \\ 3.1 \ (1-15.8) \\ 5.6 \ (4.6-6.9) \\ 4.37 \ (2.8-8.13) \\ 1.2 \ (0.43-2.31) \\ 2.28 \ (0.76-5.21) \\ 1.91 \ (0.58-4.56) \\ 14.5 \ (6-40) \\ 14 \ (5-34) \\ 26 \ (9-143) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.8 & (9.47-43.06) \\ 1.9 & (1-10.7) \\ 5.3 & (4.6-7.1) \\ 4.16 & (2.08-7.87) \\ 1.2 & (0.47-2.76) \\ 2.25 & (0.9-5.3) \\ 1.25 & (0.58-3.32) \\ 1.25 & (0.58-3.32) \\ 13 & (6-23) \\ 14 & (5-27) \\ 25 & (9-262) \end{array}$	25 (12.63-47.78) 4.1 (1-14.2) 5.5 (4-7.7) 4.6 (3.23-5.98) 1.56 (0.88-3.47) 2.28 (0.59-3.88) 1.4 (0.5-4.23) 13 (6-22) 11 (7-21) 23 (11-53)	0.0452 0.3914 0.2234 0.8621 0.0961 0.0374 0.3207 0.6078 0.5972	A/C, P = 0.0397	

All values shown are median (range).

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; LIC: liver iron concentration; CRP: C-reactive protein; HDL: high density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; ASAT: aspartate aminotransferase; ALAT: alanine aminotransferase; GGT: γ-glutamyl transferase.

P value determined using *Kruskal-Wallis test; ** Dunn's post test.



Fig. 1. Correlations analyzed by Spearman's rank-order coefficient between three methods of determination of liver iron concentration in 68 dialysis patients: Signal Intensity Ratio according to Rennes University, T2* and T2* IDEAL IQ. (a) Spearman coefficient between liver T2* and T2* IDEAL IQ. (b) Spearman coefficient between LIC-SIR and liver T2*. (c) Spearman coefficient between LIC-SIR and liver T2* IDEAL IQ.



Results are expressed in ms

Fig. 2. Bland-Altman plots of liver T2* IDEAL IQ and liver T2* in 68 dialysis patients: difference versus average.

Table 3

Correlations between demographic, clinical variables, and biochemical markers, and hepatic iron stores in 68 dialysis patients monitored by MRI.

Parameters	Correlation of LIC	Binary logistic regression: Model of			IC in two groups		
	Spearman's correlation test	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	Spearman rho(r) [95%Cl]	Odds Ratio	[95%CI]	P-value	Odds Ratio	[95%CI]	P-value
Age (years) Dialysis vintage before MRI (months) Parenteral iron dose/month (IV) (mg/month) before MRI Darbepoetin dose/month (µg/month)	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} &-0.1735 \left[-0.4016; 0.0749\right]; P = 0.1570 \\ &0.3914 \left[0.1599; 0.5822\right]; P = 0.0011 \\ &0.6013 \left[0.3834; 0.7559\right]; P < 0.0001 \\ &0.2231 \left[-0.0643; 0.4763\right]; P = 0.1156 \end{split}$	0.984 1.037 1.012 Not stud	[0.953; 1.016] [1.010; 1.066] [1.005; 1.020] lied	0.318 0.008 0.001	1.065 1.011 Not stuc	Not studied [1.012; 1.122] [1.000; 1.023] lied	0.016 0.045
Liver fat fraction by MRI (%) Body mass index (kg/m ²) C-reactive protein (mg/L) Total cholesterol (mmol/L) Triglycerides (mmol/L) Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) (%) AUDIT alcohol index Modified Charlson's comorbidity index Liver T2* (ms) by MRI Liver T2* IDEAL IQ (ms) by MRI Iron in RBC packs transfused per month of dialycie (mg/month)	$\begin{array}{l} 0.2765 \ [0.0336; \ 0.4886]; \ P = 0.0224 \\ -0.1449 \ [-0.3818; \ 0.11]; \ P = 0.2496 \\ 0.0748 \ [-0.1834; \ 0.3234]; \ P = 0.5600 \\ 0.0345 \ [-0.2265; \ 0.2908]; \ P = 0.7920 \\ -0.2807 \ [-0.5031; \ -0.0235]; \ P = 0.0284 \\ -0.1203 \ [-0.3677; \ 0.1431]; \ P = 0.3559 \\ -0.1386 \ [-0.3857; \ 0.1271]; \ P = 0.2911 \\ -0.0178 \ [-0.2637; \ 0.2302]; \ P = 0.8863 \\ -0.8932 \ [-0.9338; \ -0.8297]; \ P < 0.0001 \\ -0.8823 \ [-0.9272; \ -0.8124]; \ P < 0.4001 \\ -0.1182 \ [-0.3884; \ 0.1709]; \ P = 0.4089 \end{array}$	1.084 0.962 Not stud 0.503 0.609 0.859 Not stud Not stud Not stud	[0.922; 1.274] [0.873; 1.061] lied [0.267; 0.947] [0.283; 1.308] [0.685; 1.076] lied lied lied lied	0.331 0.438 0.033 0.203 0.186	1.048[0.638; 1.721]0.680[0.458; 1.011]Not studiedNot studied		0.853 0.057
Serum ferritin (ng/mL) Transferrin saturation (%)	0.4757 [0.2493; 0.6528]; P < 0.0001 0.2705 [0.0147; 0.493]; P = 0.0335	1.009 1.054	[1.003; 1.014] [0.994; 1.118]	0.003 0.080	1.029 0.952	[1.004; 1.054] [0.781; 1.161]	0.021 0.629

CI: confidence interval; LIC: Liver iron concentration; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; IV: intravenous.

Iron in RBC packs transfused: Iron in red blood cell packs transfused (we calculate 200 mg of iron per red blood cell pack transfused and we report per month of dialysis).

3.2. Hepatic iron Load by MRI

LIC was normal (\leq 50 µmol/g) in 29/68 patients (42.7% [95%CI: 31.59–54.49]) (Table 1). Iron overload by MRI was mild (50 < LIC \leq 100 µmol/g) in 23/68 patients (33.8% [95%CI: 23.68–45.69]) (Table 1). A total of 16 dialysis patients (23.5% [95%CI: 14.94–34.95]) had either moderate (n = 9; 100 < LIC \leq 200 µmol/g) or severe (n = 7; LIC > 200 µmol/g) iron overload by MRI (Table 1). Values of LIC at signal intensity ratio according to Rennes University were highly correlated with both values at T2* (rho = -0.8932, P < 0.0001, Spearman's correlation test) and those at T2* IDEAL IQ (rho = -0.8823, P < 0.0001, Spearman's correlation test) (Fig. 1). Values of LIC at T2* were closely correlated with

those at T2* IDEAL IQ (rho = 0.9636, P < 0.0001, Spearman's correlation test (Fig. 1). Bland and Altman analysis of LIC measured by T2* IDEAL IQ and by T2* showed a good agreement between the two methods (bias = 1.80 ms; standard deviation of bias = 2.76 ms and 95% limits of agreement from -3.61 to 7.22 ms) (Fig. 2).

Most patients with liver iron overload had decreased splenic T2* indicating concomitant excess iron in the spleen (Table 1). Iron overload by MRI was not associated with either homozygous or heterozygous C282Y, H63D, or S65C HFE gene mutations. Hepatic iron stores correlated with dialysis vintage, and serum ferritin, and iron dose infused per month in both the Spearman's correlation test and binary logistic regression (P < 0.05; Table 3).



Fig. 3. Scattergrams of liver fat fraction in 68 dialysis patients classified according to liver non-heme iron stores measured by magnetic resonance imaging.

Table 4

Correlations between demographic, clinical variables, and biochemical markers and liver fat fraction in 68 dialysis patients.

Parameters	Correlation of liver fat fraction Binary logistic regression: Model of liver fat fraction in two groups						
	Spearman's correlation test	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
	Spearman rho(r) [95%CI]	Odds Ratio [95%CI] P-val		P-value	Odds Ratio	[95%CI]	P-value
Age (years)	-0.0149 [-0.2591; 0.2312]; P = 0.9043	1.010	[0.978; 1.042]	0.547	Not studied		
Gender	Not studied	1.851	[0.640; 5.358]	0.256	6.222	[0.944; 41.024]	0.057
Dialysis vintage before MRI (months)	0.0606 [-0.1892; 0.3031]; P = 0.6260	1.003 [0.988; 1.017] 0.705		Not studied			
Parenteral iron dose per month (IV) (mg/month) before MRI	0.1293 [-0.1598; 0.398]; P = 0.3658	1.002	[0.996; 1.007]	0.503	Not studied		
Darbepoetin dose per month (µg/month)	-0.1826 [-0.443; 0.1062]; P = 0.1997	Not studied		Not studied			
Liver iron concentration by MRI ($\mu mol/g$)	0.2765 [0.0336; 0.4886]; P = 0.0224	1.010 [1.002; 1.019] 0.020		1.015	[1.002; 1.028]	0.019	
Liver T2* (ms)	-0.3179 [-0.5224; -0.0789]; P = 0.0082	Not studied		Not studied			
Liver T2* IDEAL IQ by MRI (ms)	-0.2818 [-0.4944; -0.0374]; P = 0.0209	Not studied		Not Studied			
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.4537 [0.2289; 0.6325]; P = 0.0001	1.190	[1.042; 1.358]	0.010	1.574	[1.142; 2.168]	0.006
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	0.276 [0.0229; 0.4959]; P = 0.0285	1.115 [0.942; 1.320] 0.207		Not studied			
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	-0.1788 [-0.4184; 0.0840]; P = 0.1679	Not studied			Not studied		
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	0.1255 [-0.1379; 0.3723]; P = 0.3352	1.232 [0.674; 2.251] 0.497		0.497	Not studied		
Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) (%)	-0.0113 [-0.2695; 0.2483]; P = 0.9309	Not studied		Not studied			
AUDIT alcohol index	0.0988 [-0.1666; 0.3508]; P = 0.4529	1.183	[0.918; 1.526]	0.194	Not studied		
Modified Charlson's comorbidity index	0.0338 [-0.215; 0.2785]; P = 0.7861	Not studied		Not studied			
Iron in RBC packs transfused per month of dialysis (mg/month)	-0.2361 [-0.4868; 0.0506]; P = 0.0954	Not studied			Not studied		
Diabetes	Not studied	2.839	[0.716: 11.262]	0.138	0.777	[0.120: 5.032]	0.791
Serum ferritin (ng/mL)	0.3313 [0.0814; 0.542]; P = 0.0085	1.005	[1.001; 1.009]	0.025	1.008	[0.0.001; 1.015]	0.030
Transferrin saturation (%)	0.2112 [-0.0482; 0.444]; P = 0.0994	1.040	[0.982; 1.102]	0.181	0.960	[0.878; 1.049]	0.362

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; IV: intravenous; CI: confidence interval.

Iron in RBC packs transfused: Iron in red blood cell packs transfused (we calculate 200 mg of iron per red blood cell pack transfused and we report per month of dialysis).

Table 5

.

Follow up of hepatic iron stores and liver fat fraction determined by MRI and biochemical parameters during iron therapy in 17 dialysis patients.

	Changes in 7 patients with increasing liver iron stores				Changes in 10 patients with decreasing liver iron stores				
	Initial	Final	Difference [95%Cl]	P-value*	Initial	Final	Difference [95%CI]	P-value*	
Liver iron concentration by MRI (µmol/g)	20 (5-45)	160 (45–210)	125 [65.52; 165.9]	0.0156	195 (90–260)	45 (17–180)	-131.5 [-164.20; -90.84]	0.0020	
Liver fat fraction by MRI (%)	2.53 (1.5-5)	8 (6.67-11.6)	4.6 [3.32; 8.19]	0.0156	8 (5.45-13.73)	4 (1-10.3)	-3.45 [-6.39; -1.51]	0.0098	
Mean parenteral iron dose (mg/month)	92 (0-201)	283 (177–352)	244 [97.41; 294.3]	0.0156	213.5 (0-308)	0 (0-156)	-177.5 [-289; -33.04]	0.0625	
Mean ESA dose (µg/month)	110 (46-237)	96 (0-240)	-5[-83.76; 102]	0.9375	215.5 (111-371)	196.5 (0-630)	-1[-216.5; 105.5]	0.6875	
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	10.6 (8.5–12.2)	9.8 (8-12.5)	-0.5 [-2.15; 1.01]	0.4688	11.4 (7.6–12.5)	10.95 (8.1–12.4)	-0.05 [-1.32; 1.12]	0.9102	
CH_{R} (pg)	29.7 (27.8–33.1)	31.9 (25.7–33.3)	2.1 [-3.04; 4.47]	0.8125	31.8 (27.3–36.3)	30.85 (26–34.7)	-0.25 [-1.66; 3.2]	0.6797	
Serum ferritin (ng/mL)	32 (14–69)	199 (16–728)	162 [2.08; 491.4]	0.0781	463 (16–1170)	166 (22–460)	-262.5 [-565.9; -106.5]	0.0039	
Serum iron (µmol/L)	8 (5.6-10.5)	11.3 (6-17.3)	4.2 [-0.2; 8.97]	0.1094	10.45 (6-21.5)	8.2 (5-18.8)	-1.65 [-5.41; 0.17]	0.0820	
Serum transferrin (g/L)	2.1 (1.7-2.6)	1.9 (1.7-2.3)	0 [-0.59; 0.19]	0.4062	1.85 (1.3-2.4)	1.9 (1.7-3.5)	0.2 [0.06; 0.52]	0.0078	
Transferrin saturation (%)	15.84 (8.62–20)	26.59 (12.63-34.44)	12.33 [0.59; 19.77]	0.0781	25.33 (12.63–47.78)	18.33 (8.23–32.47)	-5.46 [-12.25; -2.57]	0.0039	
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	4.2 (1-13.6)	3.5 (1-7.2)	0 [-4.16; 0.76]	0.25	4.75 (1-17.9)	7 (1-20.2)	2.15 [-1.67; 7.11]		
								0.1641	

Data shown are median (range) unless stated otherwise.

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; ESA: erythropoiesis stimulating agents; CH_R: reticulocyte haemoglobin content; CI: confidence interval.

* Wilcoxon paired test.



Fig. 4. Time-course of hepatic iron stores and liver fat fraction studied by magnetic resonance imaging in 17 dialysis patients. (a) Initial and final liver iron concentrations (IJC) at magnetic resonance (MRI) in 7 patients during iron therapy. (b) Initial and final liver fat fraction at MRI in 7 patients during iron therapy. (c) Initial and final liver iron concentrations at MRI in 10 patients after iron withdrawal (n=6) or a major iron dose reduction (n=4). (d) Initial and final liver fat fraction at MRI in 10 patients after iron withdrawal (n=6) or a major iron dose reduction (n=4).

3.3. Hepatic Fat Fraction by MRI

Liver PDFF differed significantly between dialysis patients classified according to hepatic non-heme iron stores: those with moderate or severe iron overload by MRI (LIC > 100 μ mol/g) had increased fat fraction

(PDFF: 7.9% (0.5–14.8)) when compared to those with either normal iron load (LIC \leq 50 µmol/g) (PDFF: 5% (0.27–11)) or mild iron overload (50 < LIC \leq 100 µmol/g) (PDFF: 5% (0.30–11.6); P = 0.0049 Kruskal-Wallis test) (Table 1 and Fig. 3). Similarly, the percentage of dialysis patients with abnormal PDFF (\geq 5%) was significantly higher in

the group with moderate and severe iron overload (93.8% [95%CI: 69.69 – > 99.99]) when compared to the groups of patients with normal iron load (55.2% [95%CI: 37.54–71.6]) and mild iron overload (52.2% [95% CI: 32.96–70.77]) (P = 0.0148, Chi² test).

Among the clinical variables, liver PDFF correlated with LIC (at signal intensity ratio MRI rho = 0.2765, P = 0.0224, at T2* rho = -0.3179, P = 0.0082, and at T2* IDEAL IQ rho = -0.2818, P = 0.0209), with body mass index (BMI) (rho = 0.4537, P = 0.0001), C-reactive protein (rho = 0.276, P = 0.0285) and serum ferritin (rho = 0.3313, P = 0.0085, Spearman's correlation test) (Table 4), whereas none of the following demographic, clinical, and biological parameters correlated with PDFF: dialysis vintage, age, modified Charlson's comorbidity index score, AUDIT score, TSAT, total cholesterol, triglyceride levels, or HbA1C (P > 0.05, Spearman's correlation test) (Table 4).

In binary logistic regression analyses, three variables correctly classified the dialysis patients into those with normal liver fat content and those with increased fat fraction (PDFF \geq 5%), namely LIC (Odds ratio (OR): 1.015 [95%CI: 1.002–1.028]; P = 0.019), BMI (OR: 1.574 [95%CI: 1.142–2.168]; P = 0.006) and ferritin (OR = 1.008 [95%CI: 1.001–1.015]; P = 0.030) (Table 4).

3.4. Longitudinal Study

In the seven patients monitored closely during parenteral therapy, both LIC and PDFF increased significantly during follow up (P = 0.0156, Wilcoxon's paired test) (Table 5 and Fig. 4).

In the ten iron-overloaded patients, both LIC and PDFF decreased significantly after iron withdrawal (n = 6), or after a major reduction in the infused dose (n = 4) (P < 0.01, Wilcoxon's paired test) (Table 5 and Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

Our study investigated dialysis patients routinely receiving ESA and iron therapy by means of a non-invasive tool, namely hepatic MRI, and focused simultaneously on LIC and PDFF. We found that 57.4% of dialysis patients in our cross-sectional study had hepatic iron overload (of differing degrees of severity) and that abnormal liver fat fraction was mainly observed in patients with moderate and severe iron overload. In this cohort of dialysis patients, PDFF was found to correlate with BMI, and LIC, and ferritin. Serum ferritin was indicative of liver iron stores in these non-inflamed dialysis patients, in line with a previous study specifically devoted to the diagnostic value of iron biomarkers for estimating LIC performed in 212 fit haemodialysis patients free of overt inflammation and malnutrition [27]. Interestingly, serum ferritin was also indicative of PDFF in these dialysis patients in line with data in NAFLD and also suggesting a direct pathway between liver iron load and hepatic steatosis in ESRD patients.

The similar evolution of LIC and PDFF, with increasing values observed in seven patients on IV iron therapy, as well as their simultaneous decrease after iron withdrawal or a major reduction in iron dosage (in eight out ten patients), strongly supports the influence of LIC on PDFF in dialysis patients.

The main limitation of our pilot study relates to its design, mainly cross-sectional, with most of the patients (91%) coming from one single haemodialysis center. Moreover, as a proof of concept study, the number of patients was limited; we did not have the possibility of studying the influence of non-HFE iron regulating genes and to analyze concomitant fibrosis by Fibroscan. All these important issues remain to be explored by a confirmatory clinical study with a larger sample size.

However, our results are unlikely to be a technique artifact since iron and fat exert opposite effects on T2* MRI and MRI fat spectral modeling, and IDEAL IQ software takes this phenomenon into account as well as the influence of other confounders [22,23]. The high correlation and linear relationship between LIC measured by signal intensity ratio and T2* measured by IDEAL IQ suggest that the PDFF method has not been adversely influenced by the liver iron content in our study. Similarly, the high correlation and linear relationship between LIC measured by T2* and T2* IDEAL IQ, together with the low bias and good agreement at the Bland-Altman analysis between these two methods, also suggest that liver iron concentration has not been influenced by hepatic fat content in this study.

One other important issue relates to the potential limitation in the validation of the IDEAL IQ PDFF method for fat determination in the range of liver iron concentrations encountered in this study. IDEAL, the ancestor of IDEAL IQ, was shown in an experimental model using ob/ob NAFLD mice not to be influenced by liver iron overload induced experimentally by injection of supermagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) [28], whereas SPIO infused in 14 patients with liver disease and investigated with IDEAL IQ significantly increased R2* in liver (and spine) without modifying hepatic (and spine) PDFF [29]. IDEAL IQ has been shown to correctly analyze liver steatosis in iron overload patients of various etiologies in Taiwan [30], and to correctly diagnose focal sparing of iron and fat in iron overloaded liver of thalassemia and haematologic diseases [31]. Conversely, extensive patchy artifacts on PDFF maps have recently been described in heavily iron overloaded thalassemic patients in Turkey, with liver $R2^* > 670 \text{ Hz/liver } T2^* < 1.5 \text{ ms}$ studied by IDEAL IQ together with artifactual high MRI-PDFF values in these patchy areas [32]. No patchy artifactual PDFF map was observed during this study and none of our patients had $T2^* < 1.5$ ms; in the group with severe iron overload, the lowest observed T2* IDEAL IQ value was 1.8 ms.

Interestingly, the potential relationship between LIC and PDFF in dialysis patients has already been pointed out by Ali et al. in an autopsy study of 36 iron overloaded haemodialysis patients performed in the pre-ESA era. In addition to heavy iron deposits, these authors also noticed other notable histologic features in the liver including fatty changes, central venous congestion, and an increase in the fibroconnective framework [33].

The detrimental effect of iron overload on liver steatosis shown in this study adds new perspectives on the adverse structural consequences of iron deposits on the liver in the setting of dialysis, in addition to perturbations of the iron hormone-regulating role of the liver with an abnormal increase in hepcidin synthesis shown in cases of iron overload and hypothesized to be one of the factors involved in the development of cardiovascular complications of ESRD [11,14,15,34].

Most of our patients had mesenchymal liver iron deposition; both hepatocellular and reticuloendothelial iron accumulation have been associated with the severity of hepatic histology in NAFLD [1,2], but mesenchymal iron deposition has recently been linked to more severe fibrosis and NASH, oxidative stress, and apoptosis [35,36].

The pathophysiology of iron overload predisposing to NAFLD may involve a direct role of iron in the activation of liver macrophages and hepatic stellate cells [37]. Beside this role in inflammatory signaling, recent studies in *Caenorhabditis Elegans* have highlighted that iron overload induces the expression of *sgk-1*, encoding serum and tissue glucocorticoid-inducible kinase, to simultaneously promote the synthesis of ferritin, the storage protein of iron with fat accumulation [38]. *Sgk-1* positively regulates the expression of the genes *acs-20* and *vit-2/3*, which are the homologs of mammalian FATP 1/4 fatty acid transport proteins and yolk lipoprotein genes, thus favoring cellular lipid uptake and translocation of lipids into lipid droplets [38].

The frequency of cirrhosis in dialysis patients is an overlooked topic which was confounded in the 1980s and 1990s by the spread of hepatitis B in dialysis units. This was successfully counteracted in Western countries by drastic hygiene measures, vaccination and serotherapy of patients, and thereafter by hepatitis C virus infection, which has only very recently been managed in ESRD patients with new direct antiviral agents leading to viral eradication [39–41]. Moreover, all forms of cirrhosis (related to haemosiderosis, alcoholic liver disease, viral hepatitis, NASH, and genetic haemochromatosis) generally take many years to

fully develop: therefore, the short lifespan of many dialysis patients may also account for the scarcity of diagnosed cirrhosis in ESRD patients on dialysis.

Conversely, NAFLD is now increasingly observed in ESRD patients on dialysis with a prevalence as high as 74% in peritoneal dialysis in Croatia and 56% in haemodialysis in Japan; this fatty liver disease is also studied for its adverse influence on dialysis morbidity and mortality [42,43].

Thus, beside the general dialysis population, overweight patients (who now represent about 20–30% of haemodialysis patients in Western countries and even more in the USA) and patients with known NAFLD and DIOS (an increasing contingent of new dialysis patients) may be at increased risk of developing or aggravating their fatty liver disease with the indiscriminate and unmonitored use of IV iron therapy [11,42–44].

In conclusion, indiscriminate iron therapy may trigger or worsen NAFLD in the setting of dialysis. Our results also suggest the potential adverse effects of iron products on the pathophysiology of NAFLD in non-renal patients, either as a first hit, favoring its occurrence, or as a second hit, worsening this epidemic disease.

Funding sources

This article had no funding.

Declaration of Interests

Dr Guy Rostoker: Received grants from AMGEN-France, BAXTER-France, GAMBRO-France, HOSPAL-France and NIPRO-France. Is a member of the following boards: Amgen Aranesp European Board 2012–2014, Roche-France scientific board of the study MIRIADE 2012-2016, Astellas-France scientific board of anaemia treatment in ESRD 2018. Received payment for lectures from: Fresenius Renal Pharma-France (2013 and 2015), Amgen-France (2013), Novartis-France (2015), Sanofi-Israël (2016), Baxter-France (2016, 2017 and 2018), Fresenius Renal Pharma-Africa (2017), Astellas-France (2017), Aguettant-France (2017), and Baxter-Benelux (2018).

Dr Pablo Ureña-Torres: Received lecture fees from Amgen and Fresenius and travel support from Amgen and Hemotech.

The other following authors: Mrs. Christelle Loridon, Mrs. Mireille Griuncelli, Dr. Clémentine Rabaté, Dr. Fanny Lepeytre, Dr. Belkacem Issad, Dr. Nasredine Ghali and Dr. Yves Cohen have no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

Guy Rostoker contributed to the conception, design and supervision of the study, data acquisition, and planning and conduct of the study in the dialysis unit of the Hôpital Privé Claude Galien, Division of Nephrology and Dialysis. He supervised the statistical analysis, data interpretation and reporting of the work, and wrote the article.

Christelle Loridon contributed to data acquisition, analysis and interpretation, and to the statistical analysis, and prepared the tables and figures.

Mireille Griuncelli contributed to data acquisition, analysis and interpretation, and to the statistical analysis, and prepared the tables and figures.

Clémentine Rabaté contributed to data acquisition, and to the planning and conduct of the study in the dialysis unit of the Hôpital Privé Claude Galien, Division of Nephrology and Dialysis.

Fanny Lepeytre contributed to data acquisition, and to the planning and conduct of the study in the dialysis unit of the Hôpital Privé Claude Galien, Division of Nephrology and Dialysis.

Pablo Ureña-Torres contributed to data acquisition, and planning and conduct of the study in the Dialysis Unit of the Clinique du Landy.

Belkacem Issad contributed to data acquisition, and planning and conduct of the study in the Peritoneal Dialysis Unit of the Division of Nephrology and Dialysis, Département d'Urologie et de Néphrologie, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière.

Nasredine Ghali contributed to data acquisition, and to the planning and conduct of the study in the Dialysis Unit of the Centre Hospitalier Marc Jacquet, Division of Nephrology and Dialysis.

Yves Cohen contributed to the conception and design of the study, to the acquisition and analysis of centralized MRI exams, and to data interpretation in the Radiology Unit of the Hôpital Privé Claude Galien.

Funding

This study has no funding.

References

- Benedict M, Zhang X. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: an expanded review. World J Hepatol 2017;9:715–32.
- [2] Diehl AM, Day C. Cause, pathogenesis, and treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. N Engl J Med 2017;377:2063–72.
- [3] Kühn JP, Hernando D, Nuñoz Del Rio A, et al. Effect of multipeak spectral modeling of fat for liver iron and fat quantification: correlation of biopsy with MR imaging results. Radiology 2012;265:133–42.
- [4] Tang A, Tan J, Sun M, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: MR Imaging of liver proton density fat fraction to assess hepatic steatosis. Radiology 2013;267:422–31.
- [5] Kinner S, Reeder SB, Yokoo T. Quantitative imaging biomarkers of NAFLD. Dig Dis Sci 2016;61:1337–47.
- [6] Boucher E, Bourienne A, Adams P, Turlin B, Brissot P, Deugnier Y. Liver iron concentration and distribution in chronic hepatitis C before and after interferon treatment. Gut 1997;41:115–20.
- [7] Kowdley KV, Belt P, Wilson LA, et al. Elevated serum ferritin is an independent predictor of histologic severity and advanced fibrosis among patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2012;55:77–85.
- [8] Handa P, Morgan-Stevenson V, Maliken BD, et al. Iron overload results in hepatic oxidative stress, immune cell activation, and hepatocellular ballooning injury, leading to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in genetically obese mice. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2016;310:G117–27.
- [9] Sabrina N, Bai CH, Chang CC, Chien YW, Chen JR, Chang JS. Serum iron:ferritin ratio predicts healthy body composition and reduced risk of severe fatty liver in young adult women. Nutrients 2017;9 (pii:E833).
- [10] KDIGO. Clinical practice guideline for anemia in chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int 2012(Suppl. 2):279–335.
- [11] Rostoker G. When should iron supplementation in dialysis patients be avoided, minimized or withdrawn? Semin Dial 2018:1–8.
- [12] Canavese C, Bergamo D, Ciccone G, et al. Validation of serum ferritin values by magnetic susceptometry in predicting iron overload in dialysis patients. Kidney Int 2004; 65:1091–8.
- [13] Ferrari P, Kulkarni H, Dheda S, et al. Serum iron markers are inadequate for guiding iron repletion in chronic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011;6:77–83.
- [14] Ghoti H, Rachmilewitz EA, Simon-Lopez R, et al. Evidence for tissue iron overload in long-term hemodialysis patients and the impact of withdrawing parenteral iron. Eur | Haematol 2012;89:87–93.
- [15] Rostoker G, Griuncelli M, Loridon C, et al. Hemodialysis-associated hemosiderosis in the era of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents: a MRI study. Am J Med 2012;125: 991–9.
- [16] Paisant A, D'Assignies G, Bannier E, Bardou-Jacquet E, Gandon Y. MRI for the measurement of liver iron content and for the diagnosis and follow-up of iron overload disorders. Presse Med 2017;46:e279–87.
- [17] A cohort study of haemodialysis patients based on hepatic magnetic resonance imaging. Available at: www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN80100088 (Accessed July 2018).
- [18] Locatelli F, Bárány P, Covic A, et al. Kidney disease: improving global outcomes guidelines on anaemia management in chronic kidney disease: a European Renal Best Practice position statement. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013;28:1346–59.
- [19] Gandon Y, Olivié D, Guyader D, et al. Non-invasive assessment of hepatic iron stores by MRI. Lancet 2004;363:357–62.
- [20] Rostoker G, Laroudie M, Blanc R, et al. Signal-intensity-ratio MRI accurately estimates hepatic iron load in hemodialysis patients. Heliyon 2017;3:e000226.
- [21] Rostoker G, Cohen Y. Magnetic resonance imaging repercussions of intravenous iron products used for iron-deficiency anemia and dialysis-associated anemia. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2014;38:843–4.
- [22] Idilman IS, Aniktar H, Idilman R, et al. Hepatic steatosis: quantification by proton density fat fraction with MR imaging versus liver biopsy. Radiology 2013;267: 767–75.
- [23] Chiang HJ, Lin LH, Li CW, et al. Magnetic resonance fat quantification in living donor liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 2014;46:666–8.
- [24] Bradley KA, Bush KR, McDonnel MB, Malone T, Fihn SD. Screening for problem drinking: comparison of CAGE and AUDIT. Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP). Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. J Gen Intern Med 1998;13:379–88.
- [25] Di Iorio B, Cillo N, Cirillo M, De Santo NG. Charlson comorbidity index is a predictor of outcomes in incident hemodialysis patients and correlates with phase angle and hospitalization. Int J Artif Organs 2004;27:330–6.

- [26] Sheskin DJ. Handbook of Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures. 4th edition. Boca Raton, FL USA: Chapman and Hall, Taylor and Francis Group; 2007.
- [27] Rostoker G, Griuncelli M, Loridon C, et al. Reassessment of iron biomarkers for prediction of dialysis iron overload: an MRI study. Plos One 2015;10:e0132006.
- [28] Hines CD, Agni R, Roen C, et al. Validation of MRI biomarkers of hepatic steatosis in the presence of iron overlaod in the ob/ob mouse. J Magn Reson Imaging 2012;35: 844–51.
- [29] Liau J, Shiehmorteza M, Girard OM, Sirlin CB, Bydder M. Evaluation of MRI fat fraction in the liver ans spine pre and post SPIO infusion. Magn Reson Imaging 2013; 31:1012–6.
- [30] Guo R, Tang W, Zhu Y, Shan Q, Li Q, Wang J. Diagnostic value of MRI IDEAL-IQ sequence to hepatic steatosis and hepatic iron-overload. J Sun Yat-Sen Univ (Med Sci) 2015;36:689–92.
- [31] Karçaaltincaba M, Idilman I, Celik A. Focal sparing of iron and fat in liver tissue in patients with hemosiderosis: diagnosis with combination of R2* relaxometry and proton density fat fraction calculation by MRI. Diagn Interv Radiol 2011;17:323–7.
- [32] Idilman IS, Gümrük F, Haliloglu M, Karçaaltincaba M. The feasibility of magnetic resonance imaging for quantification of liver, pancreas, spleen, vertebral bone marrow, and renal cortex R2* and proton density fat fraction in transfusion-related iron overload. Turk J Haematol 2016;33:21–7.
- [33] Ali M, Rigolosi R, Fayemi AO, Braun EV, Frascino J, Singer R. Failure of serum ferritin levels to predict bone-marrow iron content after intravenous iron-dextran therapy. Lancet 1982;1:652–5.
- [34] Van der Weerd NC, Grooteman MP, Bots ML, et al. Hepcidin-25 is related to cardiovascular events in chronic haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013;28: 3062–71.

- [35] Nelson JE, Wilson L, Brunt EM, et al. Relationship between the pattern of hepatic iron deposition and histological severity in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2011;53:448–57.
- [36] Maliken BD, Nelson JE, Klintworth HM, Beauchamp M, Yeh MM, Kowdley KV. Hepatic reticuloendothelial system cell iron deposition is associated with increased apoptosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2013;57:1806–13.
- [37] Britton LJ, Subramaniam VN, Crawford DH. Iron and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2016;22:8112–22.
- [38] Wang H, Jiang X, Wu J, et al. Iron overload coordinately promotes ferritin expression and fat accumulation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 2016;203:241–53.
- [39] Isnard Bagnis C, Couchoud C, Bowens M, et al. Epidemiology update for hepatitis C virus and hepatitis B virus in end-stage renal disease in France. Liver Int 2017;37: 820–6.
- [40] Goodkin DA, Bieber B, Jadoul M, Martin P, Kanda E, Pisoni RL. Mortality, hospitalization, and quality of life among patients with hepatitis C infection on hemodialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2017;12:287–97.
- [41] Johnson RJ, Shimada M. Contemporary management of hepatitis C in patients with CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2017;12:1563–5.
- [42] Mikolasevic I, Milic S, Racki S, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) a new cardiovascular risk factor in peritoneal dialysis patients. Perit Dial Int 2016;36: 427–32.
- [43] Yen YH, Chen JB, Cheng BC, et al. Using controlled attenuation parameter combined with ultrasound to survey non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in hemodialysis patients: a prospective cohort study. Plos One 2017;12:e0176027.
- [44] Carrilho P, Santiago I, Alves M, et al. Liver iron content by MRI at the start of hemodialysis. J Nephrol Urol 2017;1:10.