Review began 10/20/2021 Review ended 10/26/2021 Published 10/28/2021

© Copyright 2021

Safarini et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Assessment of the Relationship of Depression With Tobacco and Caffeine Use Among University Students: A Cross-Sectional Study

Omar A. Safarini 1 , Hamdallah Taya 1 , Yara Abu Elhija 1 , Marah Qadous 1 , Ahmad Farhoud 1 , Ammar Thabaleh 1 , Abdulsalam Khayyat 2 , Zaher Nazzal 3 , Ahmad M. Abuhassan 4 , Nesma Ghanim 1 , Fayez Mahamid 5 , Rayyan Al Ali 6 , Basma Damiri 7

1. Medicine, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE 2. Biomedical Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE 3. Community and Family Medicine, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE 4. Neurology, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE 5. Clinical Psychology, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE 6. Forensic Medicine, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE 7. Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, PSE 7.

Corresponding author: Basma Damiri, bdamiri@najah.edu

Abstract

Background

University students are at a higher risk of using cognitive enhancers and psychoactive substances. Depression is associated with a noticeable decline in academic performance and can increase the risk of substance use. Due to sociopolitical issues, the use of cognitive enhancers and psychoactive substances among Palestinians has spread in the last decade. However, depression among tobacco and caffeine users remains underrecognized and neglected.

Methodology

A self-administrated questionnaire and the Beck Depression Inventory were used to assess the association of depression and the use of cognitive enhancers and psychoactive substances among university students at An-Najah National University in 2020.

Results

The response rate to the questionnaires was 78.8% (n = 1,051; 38.8% males, 61.2% females). The overall prevalence of depression was high (30.6% males, 34.7% females). The prevalence of cigarette smoking (39.2% males, 3.9% females), waterpipe smoking (43.1% males, 21.6% females), energy drink consumption (59.6% males, 29.7% females), coffee consumption (85.5% for each gender), tea consumption, and chocolate consumption was high, with significant differences in accordance to gender and academic fields. The multinomial logistic regression results revealed that cigarette smokers were more likely to have a higher risk of severe (odds ratio [OR] = 4.5, p = 0.001), moderate (OR = 3.27, p < 0.001), and mild depression (OR = 2.24, p = 0.002) than non-smokers. Severe depression was less prevalent among medical students than health sciences and non-medical students (OR = 0.215, p = 0.015). Moreover, males were less likely to have moderate (OR = 0.5, p = 0.012) and mild (OR = 0.48, p = 0.001) depression than females.

Conclusions

Overall, the results of this study revealed the high prevalence of depression and the detrimental effects of smoking on students. Moreover, the findings suggest the urgent need to address depression and risk factors among Palestinian university students by educating them about mental health, identifying high-risk students, and offering easily accessible psychological help. Further, it is crucial to broaden the focus of studies to include students from various academic fields instead of focusing on medical students.

Categories: Psychiatry, Psychology, Public Health

Keywords: risk, psychostimulants, cognitive enhancers, energy drinks consumption, caffeine intoxication, depression, addiction

Introduction

Tobacco smoking and energy drinks (EDs) consumption are widespread in West Bank, Palestine [1-6]. Similar to other countries in the Mediterranean region, the prevalence of tobacco smoking is expected to increase in the coming decades [7]. Moreover, several alternative tobacco products (ATPs), including waterpipes and electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes or vapes), are now available in addition to traditional cigarettes [1,4,5,8]. Despite the knowledge of health problems associated with tobacco smoking, its prevalence has reached an alarming rate among Palestinian university students [1,3,4]. In addition to tobacco smoking, EDs have become nearly ubiquitous on university campuses in West Bank [1,9].

Depression has been associated with a noticeable decline in academic performance and can increase the risk of substance use [10,11]. University students experience higher rates of depression than the general

How to cite this article

Safarini O A, Taya H, Abu Elhija Y, et al. (October 28, 2021) Assessment of the Relationship of Depression With Tobacco and Caffeine Use Among University Students: A Cross-Sectional Study. Cureus 13(10): e19098. DOI 10.7759/cureus.19098

population [12]. Several studies have demonstrated the association between caffeine and nicotine consumption and depression. In a previous study, coffee, tea, and chocolate were found to have protective effects against depression [13,14], while ED consumption was negatively associated with depression [15]. Additionally, smoking is associated with ED consumption and depression [16,17]. Clinical evidence suggests that women are more susceptible to anxiety disorders, and, consequently, tend to smoke to cope with stress more than men [18]. According to a previous study, medical students suffer from higher stress levels than the general population and students in other academic fields [19]. They tend to suffer from depression during their training due to high academic demands and psychosocial pressure [20]. Therefore, medical students are likely to use cognitive enhancers such as caffeine, ED, and psychostimulants to improve their physical and cognitive functions [21-23].

While the rate of tobacco smoking and ED consumption continues to be a growing problem among Palestinians, research has not thoroughly investigated the various factors associated with the problem. The increasing prevalence of tobacco smoking and ED consumption and its link with negative behaviors and adverse health outcomes for young adult Palestinians requires attention. Few empirical studies have examined the association between these psychoactive enhancers and depression [24,25]. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the relationship between tobacco and caffeine products and depression among university students at An-Najah National University. Awareness of these problems can improve the effectiveness of smoking cessation programs and decrease the potential consequences among young adults. This study is a part of ongoing research that comprehensively investigates and assesses smoking, caffeine, and other substance use and their relationship with different disorders. The results of this study can help the global medical community better approach depression among university students by understanding the complex matrix of causes and risk factors. This article was presented as a conference abstract at the 2021 National Institute of Drug Abuse organized by the National Institutes of Health held from June 21 to June 24, 2021.

Materials And Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted between October 2020 and January 2021. Undergraduate students from different academic fields at An-Najah National University (ANNU) were recruited to participate in this study. First, students were recruited by announcements on social media and flyers, including a complete explanation of the research objectives and requirements. From the recruited students, a stratified sampling technique was used in three stages to choose a representative sample from each academic field. In the first stage, a male-to-female ratio of 1:1 was employed. Then, students were stratified following their curricular year from the first to the sixth year. Finally, a proportional classification according to academic specialization was applied: 20% were medical students, 30% were health sciences students, and 50% were from non-medical academic fields.

Students who met the inclusion criteria were asked to complete an offline self-administered questionnaire. The Arabic version of the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) was used to assess depression among the participants [26]. BDI-II is a 21-question, multiple-choice, self-report inventory and is one of the most commonly used tools to evaluate the severity of depression. BDI-II categorizes depression into four subtypes: minimal, mild, moderate, and severe. Each of the 21 items corresponding to a symptom of depression is summed for a single score. There is a four-point scale for each item ranging from 0 to 3. A total score of 0-13 is considered minimal depression, 14-19 is mild depression, 20-28 is moderate depression, and 29-63 is severe depression. Minimal depression refers to people without depression; hence, the total prevalence of depression is a combination of mild, moderate, and severe results. The Arabic version is valid and reliable among undergraduate students in Arabic countries (including Palestine), with an alpha reliability of 0.83 and test-retest reliability of 0.74 [26]. The questionnaire used to assess the practices and patterns of tobacco and caffeine products was used in a previous study [1]. A current substance user was defined as a student who had used the substance in the past 30 days [27]. ED included locally manufactured and imported products available for purchase in Palestine at the time of the study. The academic specialization included medical students (preclinical or clinical students), students studying health sciences (pharmacy, doctor of pharmacy, medical laboratory, radiology, ophthalmometry, speech and audiology, nursing, and occupational therapy), and students from other faculties. Preclinical medical students include students in their first to third curricular years of the medicine program at ANNU, whereas clinical students include students in their fourth to sixth curricular years of the medicine program at ANNU.

SPSS version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analysis. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of the distribution of continuous variables. Differences in the means between groups were assessed using the independent samples t-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA). Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test were used for categorical variables. Multinominal logistic regression analysis evaluated the relative risk by generating odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for risk factors. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

All study procedures followed the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, revised in 2000. Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at ANNU, Palestine. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Confidentiality was highlighted in all written and oral communications.

Results

The overall number of questionnaires distributed was 1,470, of which 1,158 questionnaires were returned, with a response rate of 78.8%. Partially completed BDI-II questionnaires (n = 28) were discarded. Partially completed substance practice and pattern questions (containing more than 5% of missed information, n = 36) were also discarded. In addition, 43 students withdrew from the study. Hence, the final number of enrolled students was 1,051 (38.8% males, 61.2% females). The majority of the students were singles (98.2%), and 50.4% were urban students. In the final sample, 23.1% were medical students, 32.2% were health sciences students, and 44.6% were non-medical students. The majority of students were non-workers (87.5%) (Table 1).

	Males n (%)	Females n (%)	Total n (%)
Gender	408 (38.8)	643 (61.2)	1,051 (100)
Study year			
1–2	164 (40.1)	316 (49.1)	480 (45.6)
3-4	128 (31.3)	238 (37.0)	366 (34.8)
5–6	114 (27.9)	89 (13.8)	203 (19.3)
Academic field			
Medicine	122 (30.3)	119 (18.6)	241 (23.1)
Health sciences	126 (31.3)	210 (32.9)	336 (32.2)
Non-medical fields	155 (38.5)	310 (48.5)	465 (44.6)
Residency			
City	189 (46.3)	341 (53.0)	530 (50.4)
Village	203 (49.8)	293 (45.6)	496 (47.2)
Camp	16 (3.9)	9 (1.4)	25 (2.4)
Marital status			
Single	405 (99.3)	627 (97.5)	1032 (98.2)
Non-single	3 (0.7)	16 (2.5)	19 (1.8)
Working status			
Yes	98 (24.0)	33 (5.1)	131 (12.5)
No	310 (76.0)	610 (94.9)	920 (87.5)

TABLE 1: Sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics of students (n = 1,051).

Appendix (Table 5) presents the prevalence and practice findings of cognitive enhancers and psychostimulants among students. The prevalence of cigarette smoking was 17.6% (39.2% among males and 3.9% among females, p < 0.001), waterpipe smoking was 30% (43.1% among males and 21.6% among females, p < 0.001), and e-cigarette smoking was 4.7% (8.8% among males and 2% among females, p < 0.001). ED consumption was highly prevalent among students, with a significant increase among males (59.6%) compared to females (29.7%) (p < 0.001). Chocolate was the most prevalent cognitive enhancer used (92.5%), with a significant increase among females (96.6%) compared to males (86%) (p < 0.001). Coffee (85.5%) and tea (84.3%) were the next most commonly used cognitive enhancers, with no differences in consumption between males and females (p > 0.05). Moreover, no significant differences were observed in the initiation age between males and females for all substances, except waterpipe smoking (16.5 years for males and 17.7 for females, p = 0.007) and e-cigarette smoking (18.3 years for males and 18.7 years for females, p = 0.024). Only 1.1% of the students were not using any substance. Regarding concurrent use of different enhancers and psychostimulants, 37.9% of students were using at least three substances, 23.7% were using at least four substances, and 14.1%, 6.2%, and 2.2% of the students were using at least five, six, and seven substances, respectively, at the same time. Moreover, 8.3% of the students (18.4% of males and 1.9% of females) used four substances simultaneously, namely, cigarettes, waterpipes, coffee, and ED. For tobacco smoking, 10.7% smoked cigarettes and waterpipes (23.5% of males and 2.5% of females), and 25.8% smoked at least one of them (35.3% of males and 19.8% of females). In terms of caffeine consumption, 37.3% of the students (53.7% of males and 26.9% of females) used both coffee and ED, while 52.2% of students (37.7% of males and 61.4% of females) used one of them (p < 0.001) (Appendix, Table 5).

Appendix (Table 6) presents the pattern of cognitive enhancers and psychostimulants tested in the study. For daily use, 59.1% smoked cigarettes (67.5% of males and 7.7% of females), 23.6% smoked waterpipes (30.3% of males and 15.3% of females), 13.8% used ED (18.7% of males and 7.7% of females), 50.5% consumed coffee (56.3% of males and 46.9% of females), and 35.2% consumed chocolate (33.2% of males and 36.2% of females) (Appendix, Table 6).

Waterpipe smoking was less prevalent among medical students (22.4%) than students in health sciences (32.7%) and non-medical academic fields (31.6%) (p = 0.015). On the other hand, chocolate consumption was more prevalent among health sciences (94.9%) than in medicine (87.1%) and non-medical academic fields (93.8%) (p = 0.001). No other differences in the prevalence of other substances consumption were observed (p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Substance	Medicine	Health sciences	Non-medical fields	P-value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Cigarette	41 (17.0)	68 (20.2)	71 (15.3)	0.184
Waterpipe	54 (22.4)	110 (32.7)	147 (31.6)	0.015*
E-cigarette	12 (5.0)	17 (5.1)	20 (4.3)	0.859
Energy drink	101 (41.9)	147 (43.8)	182 (39.1)	0.414
Coffee	200 (83.0)	288 (85.7)	405 (87.1)	0.335
Теа	204 (84.6)	281 (83.6)	396 (85.2)	0.839
Chocolate	210 (87.1)	319 (94.9)	436 (93.8)	0.001*

TABLE 2: Differences in cognitive enhancer and psychostimulant use among different academic fields.

*significant values

Curiosity was the most prevalent motivation for cigarette smoking (53.9%) and ED consumption (47.4%). Most students (59.9%) smoked waterpipes for fun. Other motivations for ED, coffee, and tea consumption were to increase wakefulness and improve vigilance and attention (Appendix, Table 7).

The prevalence of depression among students was 33.1% (95% CI: 30.3-36.1%); 4.3% severe, 8.8% moderate, and 20.1% mild depression. The prevalence of depression was higher among females (34.7%) than males (30.6%), with no significant difference (p = 0.209). Depression was less prevalent among medical students (31.7%) than health sciences students (32.6%) and non-medical students (34.3%) (p = 0.39). Among medical students, preclinical students were more depressed (33.1%) than clinical students (29.6%), with no significant differences (p > 0.05) (Table 3).

Category	Depression					
	Total	Severe	Moderate	Mild	Minimum	P-value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Gender						
Males	125 (30.6)	21 (5.1)	33 (8.1)	71 (17.4)	283 (69.4)	0.209
Females	223 (34.7)	24 (3.7)	59 (9.2)	140 (21.8)	419 (65.3)	
Cigarette smokers	86 (46.5)	16 (8.6)	25 (13.5)	45 (24.3)	99 (53.5)	<0.001*
Water pipe smoking	113 (35.9)	13 (4.1)	33 (10.5)	67 (21.3)	202 (64.1)	0.504
E-cigarette smoking	21 (42.9)	3 (6.1)	6 (12.2)	12 (24.5)	28 (57.1)	0.506
Energy drink consumption	157 (36.2)	22 (5.1)	44 (10.1)	91 (21.0)	277 (63.8)	0.266
Coffee consumption	306 (34.0)	35 (3.9)	80 (8.9)	191 (21.2)	593 (66.0)	0.064
Tea consumption	292 (33.0)	32 (3.6)	76 (8.6)	184 (20.8)	593 (67.0)	0.058
Chocolate consumption	323 (33.3)	38 (3.9)	85 (8.8)	200 (20.6)	648 (66.7)	0.121
Academic field						
Medicine (total)	76 (31.7)	3 (1.3)	18 (7.5)	55 (22.9)	164 (68.3)	
Clinical medicine	29 (29.6)	1 (1.0)	6 (6.1)	22 (22.4)	69 (70.4)	0.391
Preclinical medicine	47 (33.1)	2 (1.4)	12 (8.5)	33 (23.2)	95 (66.9)	0.001
Health sciences	109 (32.6)	18 (5.4)	29 (8.7)	62 (18.6)	225 (67.4)	
Non-medical	163 (34.3)	24 (5.1)	45 (9.5)	94 (19.8)	312 (65.7)	

TABLE 3: Prevalence of depression and depression severity following the Beck Depression Inventory in accordance to gender, cognitive enhancer/psychoactive substance use, and different academic fields.

*Significant values

Table 4 presents the multinominal logistic regression model results of the association between levels of depression among students (dependent variable) and the use of cognitive enhancers and psychostimulants (independent variables) adjusted to the study year, gender, and academic field. Cigarette smoking was associated with all levels of depression, that is, severe depression (OR = 4.5, p = 0.001), moderate depression (OR = 3.3, p < 0.001), and mild depression (OR = 2.3, p = 0.002). No associations were found between depression and waterpipe smoking, e-cigarette smoking, and ED, coffee, tea, and chocolate consumption (p ≥ 0.05). Medical students were less likely to have severe depression (OR = 0.215, p = 0.015) than students in health sciences and non-medical fields (Table 4).

Category	Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval	P-value
Severe depression			
Study year	0.900	0.714-1.134	0.372
Gender			
Male	0.694	0.313-1.540	0.370
Female	1		
Cigarette smoking	4.512	1.866-10.910	0.001*
Waterpipe smoking	0.705	0.329-1.511	0.368
E-cigarette smoking	1.080	0.279-4.173	0.911
Energy drink consumption	1.289	0.632-2.628	0.485

Nacional9,6979,270-1,1999,133Chocable consumption9,2900,196-1,3790,196Acamin Ental9,1500,062-0,7450,010-0Heath searces9,0150,062-0,7450,010-0Non-medical9,1500,815-1,1300,320Chorable consumption0,8151,866-3,480,010-0Carder100,010-0Carder10,010-00,010-0Carder1,9201,866-3,480,001-0Quarter moving1,9400,873-1,9200,804-0Carder moving1,9400,373-1,9200,804-0Carder moving1,9400,373-1,9200,804-0Carder moving1,9400,373-1,9200,804-0Carder consumption1,9400,373-1,9200,804-0Concolar consumption1,9400,353-2,0110,810Concolar consumption0,9400,940-00,940-0Concolar consumption0,9400,940-00,940-0Concolar consumption0,9400,940-00,940-0Concolar consumption0,9400,940-00,940-0Concolar consumption0,9510,950-00,960-0Concolar consumption0,9500,960-00,960-0Concolar consumption0,9500,960-00,960-0Concolar consumption0,9500,960-00,960-0Concolar consumption0,9500,960-00,960-0Concolar consumption0,9500,960-00,960-0Concolar	Coffee consumption	0.573	0.261-1.255	0.164
Adactimic field0.2150.662.0.7450.015"Medicine0.9150.458-1.8320.803Non-medical1	Tea consumption	0.567	0.270-1.189	0.133
Andreine0.2150.062.0.7450.0197Health sciences0.9330.831.0130.833Non-medical1	Chocolate consumption	0.520	0.196-1.379	0.189
Aesth aciences0.9190.458-1.8320.833Nor-medical1Hoderate depression0.813-1.1130.532Gender0.813-1.1130.532Gender10.812Famale0.4790.2990.8520.017Gignete smoking3.2711.886.6.3460.00*Gignete smoking1.000.873-1.9320.626Cignete smoking1.090.3982.2090.094Energy drik konsumption1.090.3982.2090.694Coffee consumption1.090.392.2110.918Coffee consumption0.8400.4551.5500.877Chocolte consumption0.9190.377.2380.862Coffee consumption0.9190.463.1310.918Coffee consumption0.9180.461.14840.508Coffee consumption0.9190.471.2380.892Coffee consumption0.9190.377.2380.892Coffee consumption0.9190.431.310.918Coffee consumption0.9190.431.310.918Consumption0.9180.411.4240.918Consumption0.9180.411.4240.918Coffee consumption0.9180.411.4240.918Consumption0.9180.911.1200.911Consumption0.9180.911.1200.911Consumption0.9210.9210.911Consumption1.0210.9210.911Consumption0.9210.925.2650.921 <t< td=""><td>Academic field</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Academic field			
Non-medical1Moderate depression0.8510.813.1130.852Gender100.012Fenale100.012Genards2.8700.8200.82Genards1.000.871.1320.820Genards1.000.871.9320.826Genards1.0800.832.8090.844Engry drink consumption1.0800.833.20110.916Coflee consumption0.9300.833.20110.916Cordeo consumption0.9400.577.2330.852Cordeo consumption0.9130.451.4340.506Cordeo consumption0.9150.451.4340.506Cordeo consumption0.9570.8520.852Not-medical111Heidrise0.5030.511.4340.506Non-medical0.5030.511.4340.506Cordeo consumption0.9530.531.5310.852Not-medical0.5030.511.4200.852Natione0.5030.511.4200.852Cordeo consumption0.5030.531.5310.852Natione0.5030.511.5200.621Cordeo consumption0.5140.5520.621Cordeo consumption0.5210.552.5420.621Cordeo consumption0.5140.552.5420.621Cordeo consumption0.5140.554.54200.672Cordeo consumption0.5140.554.54200.672Cordeo consumption <t< td=""><td>Medicine</td><td>0.215</td><td>0.062-0.745</td><td>0.015*</td></t<>	Medicine	0.215	0.062-0.745	0.015*
Advantate depressionStudry year0.9510.813-1.1130.532Gender0.269-0.8520.012*Mala0.4790.269-0.8520.012*Female1Cigurette smoking3.2711.886-6.346<0.001*	Health sciences	0.915	0.458-1.832	0.803
Study year0.6910.8131.1130.532Gender0.4790.2690.8520.012Famale10Cigarette smoking3.2711.866.6.346<0.001*	Non-medical	1		
CarderNale0.4790.289.0.8520.012*Male1Gigaretta smoking3.2711.886-6.346-0.001*Vaterpipe amaking1.1400.673.1.9320.626E-cigaretta smoking1.0890.399.2.6890.894Energy dirk consumption1.0910.533-2.0110.918Coffee consumption0.8400.455.1.5900.857Chocolate consumption0.9190.377-2.2380.852Chocolate consumption0.8180.463-1.3140.508Heatin aciences0.7850.463-1.3140.508Non-medical1	Moderate depression			
Nete0.4790.269-0.8520.012*Fenale166Cigarette smoking3.2711.686-6.346-0.01*Waherpie smoking1.4400.673-1.9320.626E-cigarette smoking1.090.398-2.8890.894Energy dink consumption1.090.332-2.0110.918Cofee consumption0.8400.455.15500.577Chocolate consumption0.9190.377-2.2380.852Academic field0.7850.463.1.3140.508Heatth sciences0.7850.463.1.3140.368Nor-medical1	Study year	0.951	0.813-1.113	0.532
Female1Image: Constraint of the second	Gender			
Cigarette smoking 3271 1.886-8.346 -0.001* Weterpipe smoking 1.140 0.673-1.932 0.626 E-cigarette smoking 1.069 0.388-2.869 0.894 Energy dink consumption 1.198 0.719-1.995 0.488 Coffee consumption 1.035 0.533-2.011 0.918 Tea consumption 0.840 0.455-1.550 0.577 Chocolate consumption 0.840 0.457-1.238 0.508 Academic field 0.775 0.463-1.331 0.508 Health sciences 0.765 0.463-1.331 0.368 Nor-medical 1	Male	0.479	0.269-0.852	0.012*
Valerpipe smoking1.1400.673-1.9320.626E-cigarette smoking1.0690.398-2.8690.894Energy drink consumption1.1980.719-1.9950.488Coffee consumption1.0350.533-2.0110.918Tae consumption0.8400.455-1.5500.577Chocolate consumption0.9190.377-2.2380.852Academic field0.7850.463-1.3310.508Health sciences0.7850.463-1.3310.368Nor-medical111Mild depression111Study year1.0050.309-07600.001*Gender0.5080.339-0.7600.001*Female111Cigarette smoking1.0520.700-1.5200.672Vaterpipe smoking1.0510.737-1.5290.672Coffee consumption1.6990.948-2.7310.708Coffee consumption1.1720.592-2.4940.595Coffee consumption1.1240.693-1.8250.635Coffee consumption1.1240.592-2.4940.595Coffee consumption1.1240.592-2.4940.595Consumption1.1240.592-2.4940.595Consumption1.2150.592-2.4940.595Check consumption1.2150.592-2.4940.595Check consumption1.2150.592-2.4940.595Check consumption1.2150.592-2.4940.595Check consumption1.2150.592	Female	1		
E-cigarette smoking10690.398-2.8690.894Energy drink consumption1.1980.719-1.9950.488Coffee consumption1.0350.533-2.0110.918Tea consumption0.8400.455-1.5500.657Chocolate consumption0.9190.377-2.280.852Academic field0.8180.451-1.4840.508Health sciences0.7650.463-1.3110.368Nor-modical1	Cigarette smoking	3.271	1.686-6.346	<0.001*
Energy drink consumption 1.198 0.719-1.995 0.488 Coffee consumption 1.035 0.533-2.011 0.918 Tea consumption 0.840 0.455-1.550 0.657 Chocolate consumption 0.919 0.377-2.288 0.862 Academic field 0.818 0.451-1.484 0.508 Medicine 0.818 0.463-1.331 0.368 Non-medical 1	Waterpipe smoking	1.140	0.673-1.932	0.626
Coffee consumption1.0350.533-2.0110.918Tee consumption0.8400.455-1.5500.577Chocolate consumption0.9190.377-2.2380.852Academic field0.8180.451-1.4840.508Heatth sciences0.7850.463-1.3310.368Non-medical1Mid depression1.0050.901-1.200.930Gender0.5080.339-0.7600.001*Male0.5080.339-0.7600.001*Female10.002*Cigarette smoking1.0320.700-1.5200.672Caffee consumption1.0320.701-5200.672Evergy drink consumption1.0140.503+0.2520.672Coffee consumption1.0150.592-24940.693Coffee consumption1.230.502-24940.505Academic field11.230.522-4940.505Metichen1.230.522-4940.5050.502Academic field1.230.522-4940.5050.502Academic field1.230.522-4940.5050.505Academic field1.230.522-4940.5050.505Academic field1.230.522-4940.5050.505Academic field1.230.526-1.5120.5050.505Academic field1.530.526-1.5120.5050.505Academic field1.530.526-1.5120.5050.505Academic field1.53 <td< td=""><td>E-cigarette smoking</td><td>1.069</td><td>0.398-2.869</td><td>0.894</td></td<>	E-cigarette smoking	1.069	0.398-2.869	0.894
Tea consumption0.8400.455-1.5500.577Chocolate consumption0.9190.377-2.380.852Academic field0.8180.451-1.4840.508Health sciences0.7850.463-1.3310.368Non-medical1Mild depression0.9011.200.930Gender1.0050.901-1.1200.930Male0.5080.339-0.7600.001*Male0.5080.339-0.7600.001*Female10.002*Cigarette smoking1.0320.700-1.5200.672Caffee consumption1.0140.737-1.5290.672Energy drink consumption1.6140.592-2.4940.595Coffee consumption1.2150.592-2.4940.595Academic field1.3330.826-1.8110.305Academic field1.3330.826-1.8410.305	Energy drink consumption	1.198	0.719-1.995	0.488
Chocolate consumption0.9190.377-2.280.852Academic fieldKedicine0.8180.451-1.4840.508Heatith sciences0.7850.463-1.3310.368Non-medical1	Coffee consumption	1.035	0.533-2.011	0.918
Academic fieldMedicine0.8180.451.14840.508Health sciences0.7650.463.1.3310.368Non-medical1	Tea consumption	0.840	0.455-1.550	0.577
Medicine 0.818 0.451-1.484 0.508 Health sciences 0.785 0.463-1.331 0.368 Non-medical 1	Chocolate consumption	0.919	0.377-2.238	0.852
Health sciences0.7850.463-1.3310.368Non-medical1Mild depression0.0010.303Gender1.0050.901-1.1200.303Gender000Male0.5080.339-0.7600.001*Female100Cigarette smoking2.2351.366-3.6850.002*Waterpipe smoking1.0320.700-1.5200.672Energy drink consumption1.6090.948-2.7310.078Coffee consumption1.2150.592-2.4940.595Chocolate consumption1.2330.826-1.8410.305Hedicine1.2330.826-1.8410.305	Academic field			
Non-medical1Mid depression	Medicine	0.818	0.451-1.484	0.508
Mild depression Study year 1.005 0.901-1.120 0.930 Gender Male 0.508 0.339-0.760 0.001* Female 1 Cigarette smoking 2.235 1.356-3.685 0.002* Waterpipe smoking 1.032 0.700.1.520 0.672 E-cigarette smoking 1.177 0.554-2.502 0.672 Energy drink consumption 1.601 0.737-1.529 0.474 Coffee consumption 1.609 0.948-2.731 0.678 Coffee consumption 1.215 0.599-2.494 0.595 Academic field 1.213 0.524-2.494 0.595 Healtin Sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Health sciences	0.785	0.463-1.331	0.368
Study year 1.005 0.901-1.120 0.930 Gender Incolor Incolor Incolor Male 0.508 0.339-0.760 0.001* Female 1 Incolor Incolor Cigarette smoking 2.235 1.356-3.685 0.002* Waterpipe smoking 1.032 0.700-1.520 0.672 E-cigarette smoking 1.177 0.554-2.502 0.672 Energy drink consumption 1.061 0.737-1.529 0.749 Coffee consumption 1.609 0.948-2.731 0.635 Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Non-medical	1		
Gender Image Image <t< td=""><td>Mild depression</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Mild depression			
Male 0.508 0.339-0.760 0.001* Female 1 - E-cigaretic smoking 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 - - -	Study year	1.005	0.901-1.120	0.930
Female 1 Image: Marcine and State and S	Gender			
Cigarette smoking 2.35 1.356-3.685 0.002* Waterpipe smoking 1.032 0.700-1.520 0.874 E-cigarette smoking 1.177 0.554-2.502 0.672 Energy drink consumption 1.061 0.737-1.529 0.749 Coffee consumption 1.609 0.948-2.731 0.078 Tea consumption 1.124 0.693-1.825 0.635 Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Male	0.508	0.339-0.760	0.001*
Waterpipe smoking 1.032 0.700-1.520 0.874 E-cigarette smoking 1.177 0.554-2.502 0.672 Energy drink consumption 1.061 0.737-1.529 0.749 Coffee consumption 1.609 0.948-2.731 0.078 Tea consumption 1.124 0.693-1.825 0.635 Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Female	1		
E-cigarette smoking 1.177 0.554-2.502 0.672 Energy drink consumption 1.061 0.737-1.529 0.749 Coffee consumption 1.609 0.948-2.731 0.078 Tea consumption 1.124 0.693-1.825 0.635 Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Cigarette smoking	2.235	1.356-3.685	0.002*
Energy drink consumption 1.061 0.737-1.529 0.749 Coffee consumption 1.609 0.948-2.731 0.078 Tea consumption 1.124 0.693-1.825 0.635 Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Waterpipe smoking	1.032	0.700-1.520	0.874
Coffee consumption 1.609 0.948-2.731 0.078 Tea consumption 1.124 0.693-1.825 0.635 Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	E-cigarette smoking	1.177	0.554-2.502	0.672
Tea consumption 1.124 0.693-1.825 0.635 Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field	Energy drink consumption	1.061	0.737-1.529	0.749
Chocolate consumption 1.215 0.592-2.494 0.595 Academic field	Coffee consumption	1.609	0.948-2.731	0.078
Academic field 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Tea consumption	1.124	0.693-1.825	0.635
Medicine 1.233 0.826-1.841 0.305 Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Chocolate consumption	1.215	0.592-2.494	0.595
Health sciences 0.903 0.615-1.326 0.603	Academic field			
	Medicine	1.233	0.826-1.841	0.305
Non-medical 1	Health sciences	0.903	0.615-1.326	0.603
	Non-medical	1		

 TABLE 4: Multinominal logistic regression for the association between the level of depression

and cognitive enhancers.

Reference groups are minimum depression (no depression), non-substance user, and non-medical academic field.

*Significant values

Discussion

There are several remarkable findings of this study. First, the overall prevalence of depression among Palestinian university students was high (33.1%), although it was lower than that reported in previous studies from the Gaza strip (37.2%) [28]. While it was within the range of the prevalence of depression among university students reported in a systematic review (10-84.5%), it was higher than the weighted mean prevalence (30.6%) [12]. Moreover, the prevalence was noticeably higher than the general population of West Bank (24.3%) [29]. This difference in prevalence between university students and the general population is consistent with other global studies [12]. Second, the multinomial logistic regression findings of this study revealed the detrimental effects of smoking on students. Being a smoker was highly associated with depression, particularly severe depression. Moreover, according to our results, the overall prevalence of cigarette and waterpipe smoking was high among university students with clear differences in gender and academic fields.

Females tend to smoke to cope with stress more than men as they are more susceptible to anxiety disorders [18,30,31]. In agreement with other studies, depression was more prevalent among female university students (34.7%) than males (30.6%) [12,32]. However, males had a higher prevalence of severe depression than females. Unfortunately, depression often remains undiagnosed and untreated, especially among males [33]. In addition, males are less likely to seek psychological consultation than females, and depressed males are more likely to commit suicide than depressed females [12].

In agreement with previous studies, most students in this study smoked as a coping mechanism for stress or family and social peer pressure [34-36]. Depression has catastrophic effects ranging from low quality of life to somatic symptoms and increased risk of suicide [37,38]. People with depression have specific difficulties stopping smoking and have more severe withdrawal symptoms [39]. Females have lower smoking cessation rates compared to males [30]. Therefore, the high prevalence of smoking among students and its association with depression is concerning. We recommend that healthcare systems provide prevention, treatment, and recovery support services for smokers.

The vast majority of global research concerning depression among university students has been confined to medical students, probably due to the enormous burden of stress and the challenges that medical students face in different stages of education [21,40]. Because of these stressors, medical students are more susceptible to using cognitive enhancers and psychoactive substances to improve their vigilance and attention [41]. However, personal factors such as knowledge, risk perception, attitudes, motivation, and social influence can impact smoking and the desire to quit smoking [5,42]. According to our findings, although the prevalence of depression was high among medical students (31.7%), it was lower than non-medical students (34.3%). In line with previous results, medical students in the clinical stage had the lowest rate of depression (29.6%), which was not different among preclinical students (33.1%) [43,44].

Surprisingly, medical students were five times less likely to have severe depression than other students, while no mild and moderate depression differences were noticed. These findings highlight the need to broaden the scope of such studies to include and compare students from various academic fields instead of only focusing on medical students. Moreover, there is an urgent need to address depression among Palestinian university students by educating them about mental health, identifying high-risk students, and offering easily accessible psychological help.

A previous study targeting medical students in the same university (ANNU) showed that medical students had a total depression prevalence of 43.4%. Among medical students, 9% were severely depressed [45]. These results differ in our study even though the population is the same and the same tool was employed to determine depression (BDI-II). Medical students during their training tend to become depressed due to a high level of academic demand and psychosocial pressure [46]. Several factors may account for this, including daily life stressors and stressors specific to the tedious learning environment [21]. Because our research was conducted during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, we speculate that a significant part of these differences could be attributed to COVID-19-related quarantine. Consequently, medical students received a blended educational system. The change in the academic structure and lifestyle of medical students could have played a key role in mitigating their stress levels and sequentially reducing the prevalence and severity of depression. Therefore, we recommend conducting a similar study after the COVID-19 pandemic and exploring the differences in results. Additionally, such a comparison will give an insight into the effects of pandemics on the mental health of university students.

In contrast to other studies, there was no association between ED consumption and depression, despite the high prevalence and regular use of caffeine among both genders [15,47-50]. Reasons for the consumption of ED included curiosity, improving cognitive performance, increasing attention span, peer pressure, fun, and relaxation, indicating that ED and caffeine consumption is a habit among Palestinian university students, in

general, and males, in specific. This could be a potential health risk. The early initiation age and motivations of use indicate that using these substances preceded depression among university students. Early intervention could be vital to directing students' life paths away from high-risk behaviors such as nicotine and caffeine consumption. Further studies are recommended to determine if ED consumption among university students is higher than the general population, with a male gender predominance [51].

The use of ED by medical students is controversial. On one hand, medical students could be less likely to use ED as they have more knowledge regarding its side effects than non-medical students [52]. On the other hand, medical students could be more prone to consume ED. ED consumption was high among medical and non-medical students with no significant differences. Student awareness of caffeine intoxication, withdrawal, and dependence should be improved. Universities should take more action to prevent ED consumption among young adults and develop effective prevention and cessation strategies. They need to make more efforts in implementing ED and smoking cessation programs. Policies addressing students' wellbeing and mental health and policies that regulate ED consumption may be necessary. Moreover, enacting and enforcing comprehensive smoke-free policies prohibiting smoking in enclosed universities and public places are strongly recommended.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of this study. First, an anonymous self-report behavioral survey was used instead of more reliable structured interview-based methods due to the stigma surrounding tobacco smoking by women. Self-report questionnaires, generally used for computing smoking statistics, provide underestimated outcomes compared to the actual smoking prevalence, especially among women. Second, the scales used in this study to assess depression cannot confirm a diagnosis. Finally, the lack of mental health assessment by experts made our study valuable only as an initial screening method. However, this study highlighting the detrimental effects of smoking on students prompts the need to broaden the scope of studies to include students from various academic fields instead of focusing on medical students and males.

Conclusions

Tobacco smoking, ED consumption, and alternative tobacco products are highly prevalent among Palestinian university students. The smoking rates among students with depression are higher than those without depression. Moreover, tobacco smoking among women has become an increasing problem in the West Bank region. Therefore, the rising prevalence of nicotine and caffeine use and their link to adverse health outcomes for Palestinian students requires attention. Awareness of these problems can improve the effectiveness of smoking cessation programs and reduce the risk of potential consequences. Collectively, our results suggest the urgent need to address depression among university students in Palestine by educating them about mental health, identifying high-risk students, and offering easily accessible psychological help.

Appendices

	Males n (%)	Females n (%)	Total n (%)	P-value
Current user				
Cigarettes	160 (39.2)	25 (3.9)	185 (17.6)	<0.001
Waterpipes	176 (43.1)	139 (21.6)	315 (30.0)	<0.001
E-cigarettes	36 (8.8)	13 (2.0)	49 (4.7)	<0.001
Cigarettes and waterpipes	96 (23.5)	16 (2.5)	112 (10.7)	<0.001
Energy drinks	243 (59.6)	191 (29.7)	434 (41.3)	<0.001
Coffee	349 (85.5)	550 (85.5)	899 (85.5)	0.999
Energy drinks and coffee	219 (53.7)	173 (26.9)	392 (37.3)	<0.001
Теа	341 (83.6)	545 (84.8)	886 (84.3)	0.608
Chocolate	351 (86.0)	621 (96.6)	972 (92.5)	<0.001
Cigarettes, waterpipes, energy drinks, and coffee	75 (18.4)	12 (1.9)	87 (8.3)	<0.001
Ex-user				
Cigarettes	5 (3.1)	1 (3.8)	6 (3.2)	<0.001
Waterpipes	10 (5.6)	8 (5.6)	18 (5.6)	<0.001
E-cigarettes	9 (22.0)	3 (18.8)	12 (21.1)	0.292
Energy drinks	10 (4.1)	5 (2.6)	15 (3.4)	<0.001
Coffee	0 (0.0)	6 (1.1)	6 (0.7)	<0.001
Теа	2 (0.6)	3 (0.6)	5 (0.6)	0.944
Chocolate	5 (1.5)	5 (0.8)	10 (1.1)	0.016
Initiation age in years (Mean ± SD)				
Cigarettes smoking	16.8 ± 2.7	17.4 ± 2.3		0.306
Waterpipe smoking	16.5 ± 2.9	17.7 ± 1.9		0.007
E-cigarette smoking	18.3 ± 3.4	18.7 ± 1.0		0.024
Energy drink consumption	15.7 ± 2.6	16.7 ± 2.6		0.998
Coffee consumption	14.5 ± 3.3	15.1 ± 3.3		0.289
Tea consumption	9.6 ± 3.96	10.0 ± 4.3		0.309
Chocolate consumption	6.8 ± 3.7	6.1 ± 3.9		0.416

TABLE 5: The prevalence and practice of cognitive enhancers and psychostimulants tested in the study.

Category	Cigarettes	Waterpipes	E-cigarettes	Energy drinks	Coffee	Теа	Chocolate
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Daily							
Males	108 (67.5)	54 (30.3)	8 (19.5)	46 (18.7)	184 (56.3)	141 (44.8)	113 (33.2)
Females	2 (7.7)	22 (15.3)	2 (12.5)	15 (7.7)	251 (46.9)	237 (45.6)	216 (36.2)
Several times	s/week						
Males	20 (12.5)	31 (17.4)	4 (9.8)	82 (33.3)	93 (24.1)	105 (33.7)	144 (37.4)
Females	3 (11.5)	42 (29.2)	1 (6.3)	41 (20.9)	174 (28.0)	178 (34.2)	282 (46.0)
Several times	s/month						
Males	17 (10.6)	54 (30.3)	7 (17.1)	73 (29.7)	38 (9.8)	51 (16.2)	63 (16.4)
Females	5 (19.2)	29 (20.1)	0 (0.0)	81 (41.3)	80 (12.9)	72 (13.8)	79 (12.9)
Several times	s/year						
Males	10 (6.2)	29 (16.2)	13 (31.7)	35 (14.2)	12 (3.1)	15 (4.8)	9 (2.3)
Females	15 (57.7)	43 (29.9)	10 (62.5)	54 (27.5)	24 (3.9)	30 (5.8)	5 (2.5)

TABLE 6: The pattern of cognitive enhancers and psychostimulants tested in the study.

Motivation	Cigarettes smoking	Waterpipe smoking	E-cigarette smoking	Energy drink. consumption	Coffee and tea consumption	Chocolate consumption
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Increase vigilance and attention	50 (27.0)	49 (15.6)	7 (15.0)	155 (35.5)	470 (44.7)	273 (28.0)
Wakefulness	44 (23.7)	74 (23.3)	10 (21.4)	174 (40.1)	370 (35.2)	138 (14.1)
Fun	72 (38.9)	189 (59.9)	16 (32.1)	157 (36.0)	369 (35.1)	418 (42.2)
Relax	52 (28.1)	78 (24.6)	9 (19.2)	60 (13.7)	343 (32.6)	232 (23.8)
Peer pressure	63 (34.0)	151 (47.9)	9 (19.2)	114 (26.3)	282 (26.9)	207 (21.2)
Boredom	56 (30.1)	106 (33.6)	9 (19.2)	62 (14.2)	220 (20.9)	183 (18.7)
Curiosity	100 (53.9)	160 (50.6)	43 (87.9)	206 (47.4)	148 (14.1)	138 (14.1)
Pleasure	61 (32.9)	50 (15.9)	6 (12.8)	46 (10.6)	135 (12.1)	138 (14.1)
Problem escape	75 (40.8)	82 (22.9)	1 (2.1)	38 (12.1)	117 (11.1)	109 (11.2)
Anger	81 (43.7)	58 (18.3)	6 (12.8)	52 (12.1)	122 (11.6)	124 (12.7)
Addiction	64 (34.6)	59 (18.6)	10 (22.4)	38 (8.7)	168 (16.0)	129 (13.2)
I do not know	18 (9.6)	33 (10.3)	10 (22.4)	52 (12.1)	84 (8.0)	105 (10.8)
No reason	22 (11.9)	30 (9.6)	13 (25.7)	64 (14.7)	104 (9.9)	155 (15.8)
COVID-19 quarantine	18 (9.6)	37 (11.6)	6 (12.8)	22 (5.0)	98 (9.3)	106 (10.9)
Losing weight	14 (7.3)	19 (5.9)	3 (6.4)	15 (3.3)	62 (5.9)	26 (2.7)
Others	4 (2.2)	12 (3.9)	7 (15.0)	8 (1.9)	23 (3.2)	31 (3.1)

TABLE 7: Motivations for the usage of cognitive enhancers and psychostimulants tested in this study.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Institutional Review Board at An-Najah National University (ANNU) in Palestine issued approval Archive No. 3, April 15, 2019. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the respondents for their participation in this work. In addition, we are very thankful to all those who facilitated this study in ANNU: Ahmed Mousa, Abdulraziq Zarour, Mohammad Qino, Jihad Zahran, Mirvat Suhail, and Mohammad Zamareh.

References

- Damiri B, Sandouka HN, Janini EH, Yaish ON: Prevalence and associated factors of psychoactive substance use among university students in the West Bank, Palestine. Drugs Educ Prev Policy. 2020, 27:173-82. 10.1080/09687637.2019.1591341
- Massad SG, Shaheen M, Karam R, Brown R, Glick P, Linnemay S, Khammash U: Substance use among Palestinian youth in the West Bank, Palestine: a qualitative investigation. BMC Public Health. 2016, 16:800. 10.1186/s12889-016-3472-4
- Musmar SG: Smoking habits and attitudes among university students in Palestine: a cross-sectional study . East Mediterr Health J. 2012, 18:454-60. 10.26719/2012.18.5.454
- Tucktuck M, Ghandour R, Abu-Rmeileh NM: Waterpipe and cigarette tobacco smoking among Palestinian university students: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2017, 18:1. 10.1186/s12889-017-4524-0
- Zabadi HA, Musmar S, Hassouna A, Shtaiwi D: Cigarettes and water pipe smoking prevalence, knowledge, and attitudes among the Palestinian physicians in the West Bank. Tob Use Insights. 2018, 11:1179173X18813369. 10.1177/1179173X18813369
- Nazzal Z, Abu Al-Halaweh M, Musmar S: Prevalence of water-pipe smoking and associated factors among university students in Palestine: a cross sectional study. Palest Med Pharm J. 2020, 5:106-7.
- Mzayek F, Khader Y, Eissenberg T, Al Ali R, Ward KD, Maziak W: Patterns of water-pipe and cigarette smoking initiation in schoolchildren: Irbid longitudinal smoking study. Nicotine Tob Res. 2012, 14:448-54. 10.1093/ntr/ntr234
- Chaffee BW: Electronic cigarettes: trends, health effects and advising patients amid uncertainty. J Calif Dent Assoc. 2019, 47:85-92.
- Al Sabbah HW, Qamhia N, Younis M: Consumption patterns and side effects of energy drinks among university students in Palestine: crosssectional study. MOJ Public Health. 2015, 2:36-44. 10.15406/mojph.2015.02.00015
- Hysenbegasi A, Hass SL, Rowland CR: The impact of depression on the academic productivity of university students. J Ment Health Policy Econ. 2005, 8:145-51.
- Wu P, Hoven CW, Liu X, et al.: The relationship between depressive symptom levels and subsequent increases in substance use among youth with severe emotional disturbance. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2008, 69:520-7. 10.15288/jsad.2008.69.520
- 12. Ibrahim AK, Kelly SJ, Adams CE, Glazebrook C: A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence in university students. J Psychiatr Res. 2013, 47:391-400. 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.11.015
- Grosso G, Micek A, Castellano S, Pajak A, Galvano F: Coffee, tea, caffeine and risk of depression: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2016, 60:223-34. 10.1002/mnfr.201500620
- Jackson SE, Smith L, Firth J, et al.: Is there a relationship between chocolate consumption and symptoms of depression? A cross-sectional survey of 13,626 US adults. Depress Anxiety. 2019, 36:987-95.
 10 1002/da 22950
- 15. Richards G, Smith AP: A review of energy drinks and mental health, with a focus on stress, anxiety, and depression. J Caffeine Res. 2016, 6:49-63. 10.1089/jcr.2015.0033
- Cappelletti S, Piacentino D, Sani G, Aromatario M: Caffeine: cognitive and physical performance enhancer or psychoactive drug?. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2015, 13:71-88. 10.2174/1570159X13666141210215655
- 17. Fluharty M, Taylor AE, Grabski M, Munafò MR: The association of cigarette smoking with depression and anxiety: a systematic review. Nicotine Tob Res. 2017, 19:3-13. 10.1093/ntr/ntw140
- Torres OV, O'Dell LE: Stress is a principal factor that promotes tobacco use in females . Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2016, 65:260-8. 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2015.04.005
- Heinen I, Bullinger M, Kocalevent RD: Perceived stress in first year medical students associations with personal resources and emotional distress. BMC Med Educ. 2017, 17:4. 10.1186/s12909-016-0841-8
- Sherina MS, Rampal L, Kaneson N: Psychological stress among undergraduate medical students. Med J Malaysia. 2004, 59:207-11.
- Naidoo SS, Van Wyk J, Higgins-Opitz SB, Moodley K: An evaluation of stress in medical students at a South African university. S Afr Fam Pract. 2014, 56:258-62. 10.1080/20786190.2014.980157
- Salam A, Mahadevan R, Abdul Rahman A, Abdullah N, Abd Harith AA, Shan CP: Stress among first and third year medical students at University Kebangsaan Malaysia. Pak J Med Sci. 2015, 31:169-73. 10.12669/pims.311.6473
- 23. Hidiroglu S, Tanriover O, Unaldi S, Sulun S, Karavus M: A survey of energy-drink consumption among

medical students. J Pak Med Assoc. 2013, 63:842-5.

- Encrenaz G, Kovess-Masféty V, Jutand MA, Carmona E, Sapinho D, Messiah A: Use of psychoactive substances and health care in response to anxiety and depressive disorders. Psychiatr Serv. 2009, 60:351-7. 10.1176/ps.2009.60.3.351
- Vázquez FL: Psychoactive substance use and dependence among Spanish university students: prevalence, correlates, polyconsumption, and comorbidity with depression. Psychol Rep. 2010, 106:297-313. 10.2466/PR0.106.1.297-313
- Alansari BM: Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) items characteristics among undergraduate students of nineteen Islamic countries. Soc Behav Pers. 2005, 33:675-84. 10.2224/sbp.2005.33.7.675
- 27. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration: Key substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: results from the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Rockville, MD; 2019. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/cbhsq-reports/NSDUHNationalFindingsReport2018/NSDUHNationalFindingsRe....
- 28. The impact of siege on prevalence of depression and anxiety disorder among universities students in Gaza governorates. (2009). Accessed: October 19, 2021:
- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256554572_The_impact_of_siege_on_prevalence_of_depression_and_anxiety_disord 29. Madianos MG, Sarhan AL, Koukia E: Major depression across West Bank: a cross-sectional general
- population study. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2012, 58:315-22. 10.1177/0020764010396410
 Smith PH, Bessette AJ, Weinberger AH, Sheffer CE, McKee SA: Sex/gender differences in smoking cessation: a review. Prev Med. 2016, 92:135-40. 10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.07.013
- 31. Albert PR: Why is depression more prevalent in women? J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2015, 40:219-21. 10.1503/ipn.150205
- Williams SZ, Chung GS, Muennig PA: Undiagnosed depression: a community diagnosis. SSM Popul Health. 2017, 3:633-8. 10.1016/j.ssmph.2017.07.012
- Bindu R, Sharma MK, Suman LN, Marimuthu P: Stress and coping behaviors among smokers. Asian J Psychiatr. 2011, 4:134-8. 10.1016/j.ajp.2011.04.008
- Leshargie CT, Alebel A, Kibret GD, et al.: The impact of peer pressure on cigarette smoking among high school and university students in Ethiopia: a systemic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2019, 14:e0222572. 10.1371/journal.pone.0222572
- Mays D, Gilman SE, Rende R, Luta G, Tercyak KP, Niaura RS: Parental smoking exposure and adolescent smoking trajectories. Pediatrics. 2014, 133:983-91. 10.1542/peds.2013-3003
- Ng CW, How CH, Ng YP: Depression in primary care: assessing suicide risk . Singapore Med J. 2017, 58:72-7. 10.11622/smedj.2017006
- Penninx BW, Milaneschi Y, Lamers F, Vogelzangs N: Understanding the somatic consequences of depression: biological mechanisms and the role of depression symptom profile. BMC Med. 2013, 11:129. 10.1186/1741-7015-11-129
- Stepankova L, Kralikova E, Zvolska K, Pankova A, Ovesna P, Blaha M, Brose LS: Depression and smoking cessation: evidence from a smoking cessation clinic with 1-year follow-up. Ann Behav Med. 2017, 51:454-63. 10.1007/s12160-016-9869-6
- Erschens R, Herrmann-Werner A, Keifenheim KE, et al.: Differential determination of perceived stress in medical students and high-school graduates due to private and training-related stressors. PLoS One. 2018, 13:e0191831. 10.1371/journal.pone.0191831
- 40. Paro HB, Silveira PS, Perotta B, et al.: Empathy among medical students: is there a relation with quality of life and burnout?. PLoS One. 2014, 9:e94133. 10.1371/journal.pone.0094133
- Radley A, Ballard P, Eadie D, MacAskill S, Donnelly L, Tappin D: Give it up for baby: outcomes and factors influencing uptake of a pilot smoking cessation incentive scheme for pregnant women. BMC Public Health. 2013, 13:343. 10.1186/1471-2458-13-343
- Rotenstein LS, Ramos MA, Torre M, et al.: Prevalence of depression, depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation among medical students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2016, 316:2214-36. 10.1001/jama.2016.17324
- Dunn LB, Iglewicz A, Moutier C: A conceptual model of medical student well-being: promoting resilience and preventing burnout. Acad Psychiatry. 2008, 32:44-53. 10.1176/appi.ap.32.1.44
- 44. Shawahna R, Hattab S, Al-Shafei R, Tab'ouni M: Prevalence and factors associated with depressive and anxiety symptoms among Palestinian medical students. BMC Psychiatry. 2020, 20:244. 10.1186/s12888-020-02658-1
- Ngasa SN, Sama CB, Dzekem BS, Nforchu KN, Tindong M, Aroke D, Dimala CA: Prevalence and factors associated with depression among medical students in Cameroon: a cross-sectional study. BMC Psychiatry. 2017, 17:216. 10.1186/s12888-017-1382-3
- 46. Berigan T: An anxiety disorder secondary to energy drinks: a case report . Psychiatry (Edgmont). 2005, 2:10.
- 47. Menkes DB: Transient psychotic relapse temporally related to ingestion of an "energy drink" . Med J Aust. 2011, 194:206. 10.5694/j.1326-5377.2011.tb03777.x
- Meredith SE, Sweeney MM, Johnson PS, Johnson MW, Griffiths RR: Weekly energy drink use is positively associated with delay discounting and risk behavior in a nationwide sample of young adults. J Caffeine Res. 2016, 6:10-9. 10.1089/jcr.2015.0024
- Trapp GS, Allen K, O'Sullivan TA, Robinson M, Jacoby P, Oddy WH: Energy drink consumption is associated with anxiety in Australian young adult males. Depress Anxiety. 2014, 31:420-8. 10.1002/da.22175
- Mahoney CR, Giles GE, Marriott BP, Judelson DA, Glickman EL, Geiselman PJ, Lieberman HR: Intake of caffeine from all sources and reasons for use by college students. Clin Nutr. 2019, 38:668-75. 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.04.004
- Alabbad MH, AlMussalam MZ, AlMusalmi AM, Alealiwi MM, Alresasy AI, Alyaseen HN, Badar A: Determinants of energy drinks consumption among the students of a Saudi University. J Family Community Med. 2019, 26:36-44. 10.4103/jfcm.JFCM_42_18
- 52. Depression among medical and non-medical students and its association with cognitive enhancers and psychostimulant use during COVID-19 quarantine. (2021). Accessed: October 19, 2021: https://www.drugabuse.gov/international/abstracts/depression-among-medical-non-medical-students-itsassociation-cogni....