# Fine Mapping and Candidate Gene Search of Quantitative Trait Loci for Growth and Obesity Using Mouse Intersubspecific Subcongenic Intercrosses and Exome Sequencing



# Akira Ishikawa\*, Sin-ichiro Okuno

Laboratory of Animal Genetics, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan

#### Abstract

Although growth and body composition traits are quantitative traits of medical and agricultural importance, the genetic and molecular basis of those traits remains elusive. Our previous genome-wide quantitative trait locus (QTL) analyses in an intersubspecific backcross population between C57BL/6JJcl (B6) and wild Mus musculus castaneus mice revealed a major growth QTL (named Pbwg1) on a proximal region of mouse chromosome 2. Using the B6.Cg-Pbwg1 intersubspecific congenic strain created, we revealed 12 closely linked QTLs for body weight and body composition traits on an approximately 44.1-Mb wild-derived congenic region. In this study, we narrowed down genomic regions harboring three (Pbwg1.12, Pbwg1.3 and Pbwg1.5) of the 12 linked QTLs and searched for possible candidate genes for the QTLs. By phenotypic analyses of F<sub>2</sub> intercross populations between B6 and each of four B6.Cg-Pbwg1 subcongenic strains with overlapping and non-overlapping introgressed regions, we physically defined Pbwg1.12 affecting body weight to a 3.8-Mb interval (61.5–65.3 Mb) on chromosome 2. We fine-mapped Pbwg1.3 for body length to an 8.0-Mb interval (57.3–65.3) and Pbwq1.5 for abdominal white fat weight to a 2.1-Mb interval (59.4-61.5). The wild-derived allele at Pbwq1.12 and Pbwq1.3 uniquely increased body weight and length despite the fact that the wild mouse has a smaller body size than that of B6, whereas it decreased fat weight at Pbwq1.5. Exome sequencing and candidate gene prioritization suggested that Gcg and Grb14 are putative candidate genes for Pbwg1.12 and that Ly75 and Itgb6 are putative candidate genes for Pbwg1.5. These genes had nonsynonymous SNPs, but the SNPs were predicted to be not harmful to protein functions. These results provide information helpful to identify wild-derived quantitative trait genes causing enhanced growth and resistance to obesity.

Citation: Ishikawa A, Okuno S-i (2014) Fine Mapping and Candidate Gene Search of Quantitative Trait Loci for Growth and Obesity Using Mouse Intersubspecific Subcongenic Intercrosses and Exome Sequencing. PLoS ONE 9(11): e113233. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113233

Editor: William Barendse, CSIRO, Australia

Received September 9, 2014; Accepted October 26, 2014; Published November 14, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Ishikawa, Okuno. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science to A Ishikawa. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* Email: ishikawa@agr.nagoya-u.ac.jp

# Introduction

Body weight and body composition traits, including fat and organ weight, are quantitative in nature and are controlled by multiple genetic loci, referred to as QTLs (quantitative trait loci), environmental factors and their interactions. They are important economic traits in livestock [1]. For example, modern broiler chickens have been intensively selected for rapid growth rate, but they display excessive deposition of body fat. Since fat is a byproduct of little economic value and often causes a decrease in feed efficiency, it is now an important selection criterion in chicken breeding programs [2]. In humans, obesity is characterized by excessive abdominal fat deposition and is now a main health concern worldwide because it is a predisposing factor of complex metabolic diseases such as type-2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [3]. The laboratory mouse has been long and widely used as the premier model animal for elucidating the genetic and molecular basis of these traits and other quantitative traits in livestock and humans because of its small body size, cost-effective rearing, easy development of genetically engineered mice (e.g., knockouts and transgenics) and large amount of genomic information that is freely available [1,4]. Thousands of QTLs affecting various quantitative traits have been mapped to many chromosomal regions of the mouse and have been deposited in the Mouse Genome Database (MGD, release 5.19, August 2014) [5].

However, the genetic and molecular basis of quantitative traits remains elusive because it is not an easy task to pinpoint causative genes underlying QTLs, particularly for QTLs with small phenotypic effects on the traits. Most of the QTLs have small effects and only a few loci have moderate to large effects [4]. In mice, initial genome-wide QTL analysis is usually performed with a backcross or  $F_2$  intercross population between two inbred strains and it provides a large confidence interval (10–50 cM) for a mapped QTL [6], where hundreds or thousands of genes are possibly located. Next, to reduce the confidence interval of the QTL to a level amenable to positional cloning, fine mapping is performed using a congenic mouse strain and subsequently



Figure 1. Relative introgressed genomic intervals of four subcongenic strains (B6.Cg-*Pbwg11*#Nga, abbreviation SR#) developed from the original B6.Cg-*Pbwg1* congenic strain carrying the *Pbwg1* growth QTL on mouse chromosome 2. The black bar indicates the minimum introgressed interval derived from the wild *Mus musculus castaneus* mouse, and the gray bar indicates the interval from the background C57BL/6JJcl (B6) strain. The hatched bar shows a gray zone where recombination occurred. The physical map positions (Mb) of 27 microsatellite markers (*D2Mit*#) and two PCR-RFLP markers (*rs*#) developed in this study (Figure S1) are shown on the horizontal line. The triangle indicates the position of the peak LOD score for three body composition QTLs (*Pbwg1.3* to *Pbwg1.5*) previously identified [19,21]. The horizontal double-headed arrows indicate the maximum intervals of two body weight QTLs (*Pbwg1.11* and *Pbwg1.12*) previously defined [9]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113233.q001

developed subcongenic strains [7]. Then phenotypic values are compared between homozygous congenic/subcongenic strains and the background strain and/or among homozygous congenic/ subcongenic strains with overlapping and non-overlapping introgressed regions. Often, the phenotypic effect of the QTL fails to be confirmed, illustrating the difficulty of identifying a causative gene for the QTL. If the QTL successfully is fine-mapped to a small region, the road from a QTL to a causative gene is still long [8]. In the present study, to overcome the problem of frequent failure in traditional congenic/subcongenic analyses, we used several F<sub>2</sub> populations obtained from intercrosses between each of the subcongenic strains and the background strain. In each of the F<sub>2</sub> populations, three possible diplotypes for the introgressed region are segregating: two are homozygous for either haplotype derived from the donor mouse or from the background mouse and the other is heterozygous for both haplotypes. Hence, using the  $F_2$ mice can randomize environmental effects such as litter size and effects of contaminating donor and recipient alleles on unwanted small regions, both of which are produced by double recombination during recurrent backcrossing for development of subcongenic strains, as previously documented [9,10]. Moreover, the  $F_{2}$ mice produced have genetically identical  $F_1$  dams and  $F_1$  sires. That is, the  $F_1$  mice are heterozygotes for all loci on the introgressed region. Hence, using the F2 mice can minimize genomic imprinting effects of alleles inherited from either F1 dams or  $F_1$  sires [11] and maternal genetic effects exerted from the  $F_1$ dams [12] and epigenetic effects such as histone modification [13-15] exerted from either or both F1 parents. Probably, some of these effects result in the missing QTL effect seen in traditional congenic/subcongenic analyses.

We previously discovered many QTLs for postnatal body weight and growth from an untapped resource of wild *M. m. castaneus* mice captured live in the Philippines, by genome-wide QTL analyses in an intersubspecific backcross population between C57BL/6JJcl (B6) inbred mice and the wild *castaneus* mice [16–18]. We further created the B6.Cg-*Pbwg1* congenic strain on the

B6 genetic background with an approximately 44.1-Mb wildderived genomic region between D2Mit33 and D2Mit38 microsatellite markers, on which Pbwg1, a prominent growth QTL on a proximal region of mouse chromosome 2, is located. We developed more than 20 subcongenic strains derived from B6.Cg-Pbwg1. By phenotypic analysis of the F<sub>2</sub> intercross between B6.Cg-Pbwg1 and B6 strains and by congenic/subcongenic analyses, we revealed 12 closely linked QTLs for body weight and body composition traits within the 44.1-Mb congenic region. These linked QTLs explained a small fraction of phenotypic variances [9,19-22]. Among the linked loci, several have unique QTL effects and are located on the distal half of the congenic region. For example, the wild-derived allele at Pbwg1.12 and Pbwg1.3 QTLs increases body weight and total body length, respectively, despite the fact that wild mice have a smaller body size than that of B6 [19,9]. In contrast, the allele at the *Pbwg1.5* QTL decreases abdominal white fat weight [19] and prevents obesity in mice fed both standard and high-fat diets [21].

In this study, we fine-mapped the three unique QTLs (*Pbwg1.12*, *Pbwg1.3* and *Pbwg1.5*) mentioned above by phenotypic analysis of  $F_2$  mice obtained from intersubspecific subcongenic intercrosses. To search for possible candidate genes of the QTLs, we performed exome sequencing of genes on the congenic region and also prioritized candidate genes using bioinformatics analysis. Sequence data are not available for our wild *M. m. castaneus* mice captured in the Philippines, in contrast to the CAST/Eij inbred strain derived from wild *M. m. castaneus* mice trapped in Thailand, for which the whole genome has been already sequenced [23].

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Ethics Statement**

This study was carried out in accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals of the Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Japan. The protocol was approved by the Animal Research Committee of Nagoya University.

#### Animals

The B6.Cg-Pbwg1 congenic strain was previously constructed [19]. Many subcongenic strains, named B6.Cg-Pbwg1/#Nga (old name: B6.Cg-Pbwg1/SR#, called SR# hereafter), were previously developed from descendants of B6.Cg-Pbwg1 [9]. Previously developed SR1, SR3 and SR12 subcongenic strains and a newly developed SR21 subcongenic strain were used in this study (Figure 1). The background C57BL/6IIcl (B6) mice were purchased from Clea Japan (Tokyo, Japan). To develop four F<sub>2</sub> segregating populations, males of each subcongenic strain were crossed with B6 females to generate  $F_1$  mice. The  $F_1$  mice obtained were mated *inter se*. In total, the following  $F_2$  individuals were produced: 273 (138 males and 135 females) for B6×SR1, 236 (113 males and 123 females) for B6×SR2, 132 (58 males and 74 females) for B6×SR12 and 291 (151 males and 140 females) for B6×SR21. Litter size was not standardized at birth to maximize the number of  $F_2$  mice reared.

All mice were weaned at 3 weeks of age. Littermates of the same sex were housed in groups of up to four mice per cage. Standard chow (CA-1, Clea Japan), containing 27% crude protein, 5% crude fat, 3% crude fiber, 8% crude ash and 3.5 kcal/g, and tap water were provided *ad libitum*. The mice were reared in an environment with a temperature of  $23\pm3^{\circ}$ C, 55% relative humidity, and a light/dark cycle of 12:12.

#### Genotyping

Genomic DNAs were extracted with a standard method from ear clips of the  $F_2$  mice. Microsatellite markers located within each of the subcongenic intervals (Figure 1) were genotyped as described previously [19]. To reduce as short as possible the gray regions flanking the subcongenic intervals, where recombination occurred, we newly developed two PCR-RFLP markers based on two SNPs identified by exome sequencing in this study (Figure S1). Each of the  $F_2$  mice had one of three diplotypes (B/B, B/C and C/C), where B is the haplotype derived from B6 mice and C is the haplotype derived from wild *castaneus* mice. Diplotype configuration was determined for each mouse of the four  $F_2$  populations produced.  $F_2$  mice having recombination within the subcongenic interval were excluded from this study.

#### Phenotyping

Body weight of  $F_2$  mice was measured to the nearest 0.01 g at 1, 3, 6, 10 and 14 weeks of age. Four body weight gains at 1-3 weeks, 3-6 weeks, 6-10 weeks and 10-14 weeks of age were calculated. After overnight fasting, mice were sacrificed under anesthesia. Total body length (from the tip of the nose to the end of the tail) and tail length (from the anus to the end of the tail) were immediately measured to the nearest 0.01 cm. Head-body length was obtained by subtracting tail length from total body length. After taking a blood sample by cardiopuncture, the lungs, spleen, liver, kidneys and testes were dissected and weighed to the nearest 0.001 g. In addition, the weights of two-sided inguinal and gonadal (epidydimal in males and parametrial in females) fat pads were recorded. In mice, the weight of white fat depots such as gonadal fat pads has been long and widely used as an indicator of fatness because the fat depots can be easily dissected out and are highly correlated with total body fat [1].

Body weight, weight gain and body composition data obtained for the  $F_2$  populations were analyzed with a linear mixed model of the statistical discovery software JMP version 11.1.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) in which diplotype, sex, parity, litter size and their



Figure 2. Comparisons of body weight and body weight gain among three diplotypes (B/B, B/C and C/C) in four F<sub>2</sub> segregating populations obtained from (A) B6×SR1, (B) B6×SR2, (C) B6×SR12 and (D) B6×SR21 intercrosses. B indicates the haplotype derived from B6 mice and C indicates the haplotype derived from wild *castaneus* mice. The asterisk shows significant differences in the trait among three diplotypes in each sex and the *P* values exceeded the Bonferroni-corrected 5% levels (see text for details).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113233.g002

possible two-way interactions were treated as fixed effects and dam was treated as a random effect. The fixed effects and interaction effects that were significant at the nominal 5% level were included in the final model. Phenotypic differences among diplotypes were determined by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's HSD test. To adjust for multiple testing, Bonferroni-corrected 5% level was finally used as a significant threshold.

To estimate additive and dominance effects of diplotypes, a linear mixed model was fitted, with additive, dominance, sex, parity, litter size and their possible two-way interactions being included as fixed effects and dam being included as a random effect. The fixed effects and interaction effects that were significant at the nominal 5% level were finally included in the model. As previously defined for allelic effects of a QTL [24], additive diplotype effect is half of the difference between B/B and C/C homozygous diplotypes, and dominance diplotype effect is the difference between B/C heterozygous diplotypes and the average of B/B and C/C homozygous diplotypes. To estimate the additive **Table 1.** Additive and dominance diplotype effects for body weight at # weeks of age and weight gain between # and # weeks of age in the F<sub>2</sub> populations obtained from B6×SR1 and B6×SR21 intercrosses.

		Turis	Ulplotype effect			onler 0	Concerning to control	la havitan ao b
Sex	F <sub>2</sub> population	Irait	Additive	<i>P</i> value	Dominance	<i>P</i> value	Degree of dominance	Inheritance"
Male	B6×SR1	Wt1	$0.039\pm0.046$	0.40	$0.049\pm0.069$	0.47		
		Wt3	0.041 ±0.111	0.71	0.193±0.170	0.26		
		Wt6	$0.525\pm0.173$	0.0030	$0.376\pm0.264$	0.16	0.72	Add
		Wt10	0.788±0.167	0.0000076	0.582±0.256	0.025	0.73	Dom
		Wt14	0.874±0.178	0.0000034	0.586±0.271	0.033	0.67	Dom
		Gain1–3	$0.023 \pm 0.095$	0.81	0.151±0.142	0.29		
		Gain3–6	0.395±0.128	0.0026	0.206±0.196	0.30	0.52	Add
		Gain6–10	0.267±0.123	0.031	$0.040\pm0.185$	0.83	0.15	Add
		Gain10–14	0.160±0.102	0.12	$-0.021\pm0.153$	0.89		
	B6×SR21	Wt1	$-0.001\pm0.043$	0.98	$-0.034\pm0.062$	0.59		
		Wt3	$-0.066\pm0.097$	0.49	$-0.319\pm0.140$	0.024	-4.83	Overrec
		Wt6	0.198±0.164	0.23	$-0.379\pm0.238$	0.11		
		Wt10	$0.635\pm0.172$	0.00032	$-0.483\pm0.249$	0.055	-0.76	Add
		Wt14	$0.833 \pm 0.192$	0.000030	$-0.552\pm0.279$	0.050	-0.66	Rec
		Gain1–3	$-0.074\pm0.070$	0.29	$-0.282\pm0.102$	0.0065	-3.81	Overrec
		Gain3–6	0.277±0.144	0.016	$-0.045\pm0.166$	0.78	-0.16	Add
		Gain6–10	$0.440\pm0.121$	0.00039	$-0.099\pm0.176$	0.57	-0.23	Add
		Gain10–14	$0.155 \pm 0.072$	0.033	$-0.080\pm0.105$	0.45	-0.52	Add
Female	B6×SR1	Wt1	$-0.069\pm0.047$	0.15	$-0.146\pm0.063$	0.023	-2.12	Overrec
		Wt3	$-0.156\pm0.091$	0.089	$-0.278\pm0.120$	0.023	-1.78	Overrec
		Wt6	$0.006\pm0.136$	0.97	$-0.092\pm0.185$	0.62		
		Wt10	$0.060 \pm 0.122$	0.62	$-0.423\pm0.164$	0.011	-7.05	Overrec
		Wt14	$0.099\pm0.136$	0.47	$-0.379\pm0.182$	0.040	- 3.83	Overrec
		Gain1–3	$-0.079\pm0.061$	0.19	$-0.160\pm0.081$	0.051	-2.03	Overrec
		Gain3–6	0.010±0.111	0.93	$-0.030\pm0.152$	0.84		
		Gain6–10	0.177±0.096	0.069	$-0.143\pm0.130$	0.27		
		Gain10–14	$0.033 \pm 0.079$	0.68	$0.058\pm0.107$	0.59		
	B6×SR21	Wt1	$0.039 \pm 0.042$	0.36	$0.003 \pm 0.058$	0.96		
		Wt3	$0.094\pm0.096$	0.33	0.207±0.132	0.12		
		Wt6	0.271±0.114	0.019	$-0.103\pm0.157$	0.51	-0.38	Add
		Wt10	$0.301 \pm 0.119$	0.013	$-0.201\pm0.165$	0.22	-0.67	Add
		Wt14	0.208±0.120	0.086	$-0.204\pm0.116$	0.22		
		Gain1–3	$0.058 \pm 0.068$	0.39	0.216±0.094	0.023	3.72	Overdom

			Diplotype effect <sup>a</sup>					
Sex	F <sub>2</sub> population	Trait	Additive	<i>P</i> value	Dominance	<i>P</i> value	Degree of dominance	Inheritance <sup>b</sup>
		Gain3–6	0.181±0.110	0.10	$-0.287\pm0.152$	0.061		1
		Gain6–10	0.027±0.091	0.77	$-0.097\pm0.127$	0.44	,	ı
		Gain10–14	$-0.088\pm0.080$	0.27	$-0.006\pm0.111$	0.96	1	I
Data are means a <sup>a</sup> Positive sign ind <sup>b</sup> Add, additive; D doi:10.1371/journ	and standard errors. The dicates that the haploty, om, dominant; Rec, rec ial.pone.0113233.t001	e <i>P</i> value in bold exceeder oe derived form the wild ( essive; Overrec, overrecess	d the Bonferroni-corrected 5% t <i>castaneus</i> mouse increased the 1 sive; Overdom, overdominant; -,	hreshold level. trait value. not applicable.				

diplotype effect, diplotypes were assigned quantitatively as -1 for B/B homozygotes, zero for B/C heterozygotes and +1 for C/C homozygotes. To estimate the dominance diplotype effect, diplotypes were assigned as zero for two types of homozygotes and +1 for heterozygotes. The degree of dominance was calculated as the ratio of dominance diplotype effect to additive diplotype effect.

# Exome Sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted with a standard method from the tail of a B6.Cg-*Pbwg1* congenic mouse. Enrichment of exon regions in the 44.1-Mb congenic interval on mouse chromosome 2 was performed using Roche NimbleGen sequence capture arrays that were custom-made on the basis of UCSC Mouse Genome Browser NCBI37/mm9 assembly (RefSeq mm9). Enrichment experiments and exome sequencing with the next-generation sequencer Roche GS FLX were outsourced to Hokkaido System Science Co., Ltd (Sapporo, Japan). Sequence reads obtained were mapped to RefSeq mm9, and then synonymous SNPs (sSNPs), nonsynonymous SNPs (nsSNPs), indels (insertions and deletions) and nonsense mutations were investigated.

# Candidate Gene Search

Endevour is a web-based computational software program that prioritizes candidate genes with respect to their biological processes or diseases of interest [25]. Genes on target regions carrying QTLs for body weight and obesity were prioritized on the basis of similarity to training genes that have already been shown to be involved in body weight and obesity regulation (Table S1). The training genes used were searched using Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database (http://www.omim.org).

Effects of nsSNPs identified by exome sequencing on protein functions were investigated with two web-based software programs, SIFT [26] and PolyPhen-2 [27]. SIFT predicts tolerated and deleterious substitutions for nsSNPs based on the evolutionary conservation of amino acids within protein families [26]. PolyPhen-2 predicts possible impact of an amino acid substitution on the structure and function of a protein using straightforward physical and comparative considerations [27]. Since PolyPhen-2 was developed for human proteins, this software was implemented after converting the positions of amino acid substitutions in our mouse study to the corresponding positions of the human protein.

# Results

### Intersubspecific Subcongenic Intercross Analyses

Most growth and body composition traits examined in  $F_2$  segregating populations between each of the four subcongenic strains (Figure 1) and the background B6 strain showed significant interactions between sex and trait (data not shown). We thus performed statistical comparisons of these traits among mice with three diplotypes in each sex separately.

Figure 2 shows measurements of body weight and body weight gain in the four  $F_2$  segregating populations. In the B6×SR1 intercross, body weight of male mice with C/C diplotypes at 6 weeks of age was significantly higher than that of mice with the B/ B diplotype (P = 0.0041, Tukey's HSD test) at the Bonferronicorrected 5% significance level. However, it was not different from that of B/C males throughout ages examined. From 6 weeks onwards, the weight difference between C/C and B/B males remained significant (P = 0.0000036 at 10 weeks and 0.0000017 at 14 weeks of age). Additive diplotype effects for body weights at 6, 10 and 14 weeks of age exceeded the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level, whereas dominance diplotype effects were not significantly

ble 1. Cont

**Table 2.** Body length and fat pad weight not adjusted for body weight at 14 weeks of age, and additive and dominance diplotype effects for body length and fat pad weight in the  $F_2$  populations obtained from B6×SR1 and B6×SR21 intercrosses.

Degree of dominance	Inheritance <sup>d</sup>		,	0.66 Add	0.98 Add	0.43 Add	0.88 <b>Rec</b>		,	-0.90 <b>Rec</b>	-0.96 <b>Rec</b>				-2.10 Overrec	-9.38 Overrec	-3.63 Overrec	-0.63 Add	-0.82 Add		0.14 Add	-0.54 Add	-0.87 <b>Rec</b>	0.42 Add
	P value		0.12	0.17	0.070	0.17	0.030		0.24	0.038	0.032	0.39	0.210		0.048	0.0081	0.0034	0.17	0.065		0.73	0.33	0.82	0.50
	Dominance		$0.060 \pm 0.038$	0.058±0.041	$0.116\pm 0.063$	0.016±0.012	0.030±0.013		$-0.039\pm0.033$	$-0.070\pm0.033$	$-0.110\pm0.050$	$-0.012\pm0.014$	$-0.018\pm0.014$		$-0.063\pm0.031$	$-0.122\pm0.045$	-0.178±0.059	$-0.015\pm0.011$	$-0.018\pm0.009$		$0.011 \pm 0.032$	$-0.035\pm0.036$	$-0.013\pm0.057$	0.008±0.012
	<i>P</i> value		0.24	0.00080	0.0028	0.0000035	0.000071		0.11	0.00035	0.00044	0.25	0.051		0.16	0.67	0.22	0.0013	0.0011		0.00052	0.0064	0.00011	0.017
Diplotype effect	Additive		$0.028 \pm 0.024$	0.088±0.025	0.118±0.038	-0.037±0.012	$-0.034\pm0.008$		$0.034 \pm 0.021$	0.078±0.021	0.115±0.032	0.010±0.009	0.018±0.009		0.030±0.021	0.013±0.030	0.049±0.039	$-0.024\pm0.007$	$-0.022\pm0.006$		0.077±0.021	0.065±0.023	0.150±0.004	$-0.019\pm0.008$
	<i>P</i> value		0.13	0.00079	0.0014	0.0000069	0.000038		0.13	0.00021	0.00022	0.35	0.066		0.038	0.023	0.0043	0.0037	0.0019		0.0024	0.012	0.00051	0.039
	C/C	35–37	8.39±0.04	9.38±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	17.77±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	$0.203 \pm 0.012^{b}$	0.210±0.014 <sup>b</sup>	38–39	$8.45 \pm 0.03$	9.41±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	$17.85 \pm 0.06^{b}$	$0.404 \pm 0.015$	0.398±0.015	32	$8.20 \pm 0.03^{a}$	8.91±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	$17.12 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$0.183 \pm 0.012^{b}$	0.133±0.010 <sup>b</sup>	33	$8.16 \pm 0.03^{b}$	8.98±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	$17.15 \pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	$0.374\pm0.013^{\rm b}$
	B/C	33–34	$8.42 \pm 0.04$	9.34±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	17.76±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.257±0.012 <sup>a</sup>	0.275±0.014 <sup>a</sup>	38–39	$8.38 \pm 0.03$	$9.27\pm0.03^{a}$	$17.63 \pm 0.05^{a}$	0.382±0.015	0.362±0.015	34–36	$8.11 \pm 0.03^{\rm b}$	8.77±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	16.89±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.193±0.012 <sup>b</sup>	0.137±0.010 <sup>b</sup>	38–39	$8.09 \pm 0.03^{ab}$	8.88±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	16.99±0.05ª	$0.371 \pm 0.012^{ab}$
Diplotype <sup>c</sup>	B/B	33	8.33±0.04	9.20±0.04ª	$17.52 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$0.278 \pm 0.012^{a}$	$0.280 \pm 0.014^{a}$	32	8.38±0.04	$9.26\pm0.04^{a}$	$17.62 \pm 0.05^{a}$	0.384±0.016	0.362±0.016	24	8.14±0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	8.88±0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	17.02±0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.231 \pm 0.13^{a}$	$0.176 \pm 0.012^{a}$	28	$8.00\pm0.03^{a}$	8.85±0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	$16.85 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$0.382 \pm 0.14^{a}$
	Trait	No. of mice	Tail length (cm)	Head-body length (cm)	Total body length (cm)	Inguinal fat pad weight (g)	Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	No. of mice	Tail length (cm)	Head-body length (cm)	Total body length (cm)	Inguinal fat pad weight (g)	Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	No. of mice	Tail length (cm)	Head-body length (cm)	Total body length (cm)	Inguinal fat pad weight (g)	Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	No. of mice	Tail length (cm)	Head-body length (cm)	Total body length (cm)	Inguinal fat
	<sup>2</sup> population	16×SR1						16×SR21						16×SR1						36×SR21				
	Sex F	Male E						ш						Female E						ш				

			Diplotype <sup>c</sup>				Diplotype effect				Degree of dominance	
Sex F <sub>2</sub>	population	Trait	B/B	B/C	C/C	<i>P</i> value	Additive	<i>P</i> value	Dominance	<i>P</i> value		Inheritance <sup>d</sup>
		Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	0.258±0.013	0.243±0.012	0.226±0.012	0.072	$-0.016\pm0.007$	0.024	0.001±0.010	0.92	0.063	Add
Trait data are i <sup>a,b</sup> Means with <sup>c</sup> B denotes the haplotypes we <sup>d</sup> Add, additive; doi:10.1371/jou	means and star different super: haplotype whe ere excluded fro ;; Rec, recessive; urnal.pone.0113	ndard errors compu script letters within ere all alleles at mar om this analysis. 2 Overrec, overreces	ted using a linear m trait indicate sign ker loci on the cong sive; -, not applicab	rodel including fixed ificant differences a lenic region are fixed le.	d and random effec mong three deploty I for B6 alleles, and	ts (see Materials ypes at P≤0.05 (1 C indicates the h	and Methods). 'ukey's HSD test). Tl aplotype on which a	he <i>P</i> value in bc all alleles are fixe	ld exceeded the E d for wild-derived	sonferroni-co castaneus all	rected 5% thre eles. Individuals	ihold level. with recombinant

Fine Mapping and Candidate Genes for Growth and Obesity QTLs

different from zero (Table 1). The C haplotype derived from wild mice increased body weight, despite the fact that the wild mice have approximately 60% of the body weight of B6 mice [16]. The mode of inheritance of this haplotype was additive or dominant (Table 1). In females, however, there were no significant differences in body weight at any age (Figure 2). For body weight gains at 1–3 weeks, 3–6 weeks, 6–10 weeks and 10–14 weeks, both sexes of mice with three diplotypes did not show significant differences at the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level. Although body weight gain in males at 3–6 weeks (P = 0.0058) was on the border of that level, its additive diplotype effect surpassed it (Table 1).

In B6×SR2 and B6×SR12 intercrosses, body weights at any age did not differ significantly among mice with three diplotypes in both sexes. Similarly, there were no significant diplotype differences in body weight gains at any age in both sexes (Figure 2 and Table S2).

On the other hand, in the B6×SR21 intercross, body weights of C/C males were significantly higher than those of B/C and B/B males at 10 weeks (P = 0.00028) and 14 weeks (P = 0.00029) of age (Figure 2). The wild-derived C haplotype was inherited in an additive or recessive fashion (Table 1). Furthermore, body weight gain at 6–10 weeks was significantly higher in the C/C males than in the B/C and B/B males (P = 0.0016) (Figure 2). The C haplotype was inherited in an additive fashion (Table 1). In contrast, neither body weight nor body weight gain was significantly different among females with three diplotypes at the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level (Figure 2 and Table 1).

Tables 2 and S3 show measurements of body composition traits not adjusted for final body weight at 14 weeks of age in two kinds of  $F_2$  populations obtained from  $B6 \times SR1$  and  $B6 \times SR21$ intercrosses. In the  $B6 \times SR1$  intercross, head-body length and total body length of C/C males were both significantly larger than those of B/B males at the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level (Table 2). The same tendency was observed for those traits in females, but the C haplotype was transmitted as different modes of inheritance in males (additive) and females (overrecessive) for an unknown reason. For inguinal fat pad weight and gonadal fat pad weight, C/C mice had the lowest values among mice with three diplotypes in both sexes. The C haplotype was inherited in an additive or recessive fashion depending on the sex. A significant difference in kidney weight was observed only in females (Table S3).

In the  $B6 \times SR21$  intercross, unadjusted body length traits were significantly different in both sexes at the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level. C/C mice had the longest length in both sexes (Table 2). The C/C mice had significantly higher kidney weight in males but not in females (Table S3).

On the other hand, in both  $B6 \times SR2$  and  $B6 \times SR12$ intercrosses, no unadjusted traits were significantly different among mice with three diplotypes at the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level as well as the nominal 5% level (Table S4).

Tables 3 and S5 show measurements of body composition traits adjusted for final body weight at 14 weeks of age, which are bodysize-free traits, in two F<sub>2</sub> populations obtained from B6×SR1 and B6×SR21 intercrosses. In the B6×SR1 intercross, significant diplotype differences were observed in inguinal and gonadal fat pad weights for both sexes and in testis weight for males at the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level. Both of the fat weights in C/C mice were lowest in each sex. Testis weight in C/C males was also lowest. The C haplotype for these three traits indicated an additive mode of inheritance. In contrast, in the B6×SR21 intercross, inguinal and gonadal fat pad weights were significantly lower in C/C females than in B/B females at the nominal 5% level, but those fat weights were not significantly different in males.

Table 2. Cont

**Table 3.** Body length and fat pad weight adjusted for body weight at 14 weeks of age, and additive and dominance diplotype effects for body length and fat pad weight in the  $F_2$  populations obtained from B6×SR1 and B6×SR21 intercrosses.

											Degree of	
			Diplotype				Diplotype effect				dominance	
Sex	F <sub>2</sub> population	Trait	B/B	B/C	C/C	<i>P</i> value	Additive	<i>P</i> value	Dominance	<i>P</i> value		Inheritance
Male	B6×SR1	No. of mice	33	33–34	35–37							
		Tail length (cm)	$8.37 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$8.40\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$8.37 \pm 0.04^{a}$	0.70	$-0.003\pm0.024$	0.91	$0.032 \pm 0.037$	0.39		,
		Head-body length (cm)	$9.27 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$9.30 \pm 0.03^{a}$	9.32±0.03ª	0.52	0.022±0.021	0.31	0.010±0.033	0.76	1	,
		Total body length (cm)	$17.64 \pm 0.05^{a}$	17.71 <u>±</u> 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	17.69±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.56	0.021±0.032	0.51	0.042±0.051	0.41	1	1
		Inguinal fat pad weight (g)	0.287±0.013 <sup>a</sup>	0.249±0.012 <sup>b</sup>	0.198±0.012 <sup>c</sup>	0.0000049	$-0.042\pm0.008$	0.0000007	0.013±0.012	0.28	0.31	Add
		Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	0.290±0.014 <sup>a</sup>	0.269±0.014ª	0.203±0.014 <sup>b</sup>	0.0000028	$-0.042\pm0.009$	0.0000036	0.023±0.013	0.088	0.55	Add
	B6×SR21	No. of mice	32	38–39	38–39							
		Tail length (cm)	$8.40\pm0.03$	$8.39 \pm 0.03$	$8.41 \pm 0.03$	0.83	$0.008 \pm 0.002$	0.69	$-0.016\pm0.032$	0.63	,	,
		Head-body length (cm)	<b>9.31</b> ±0.02	<b>9.32</b> ±0.02	9.35±0.02	0.49	0.017±0.016	0.28	$-0.013\pm0.024$	0.58		,
		Total body length (cm)	17.71±0.04	17.70±0.03	17.76±0.04	0.39	0.026±0.024	0.29	$-0.340\pm0.372$	0.36	ı	Ţ
		Inguinal fat pad weight (g)	0.386±0.016	0.384±0.015	0.398±0.016	0.71	0.006±0.009	0.53	$-0.008\pm0.014$	0.57	ı	ı
		Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	0.366±0.016	0.366±0.015	0.388±0.016	0.40	0.011±0.009	0.26	$-0.011\pm0.014$	0.42	ı	T
Female	$B6 \times SR1$	No. of mice	24	34–36	32							
		Tail length (cm)	$8.10 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$8.14 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$8.18\pm0.03^{a}$	0.096	0.027±0.018	0.14	$-0.018\pm0.028$	0.51		Ţ
		Head-body length (cm)	8.86±0.03ª	$8.82 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$8.87\pm0.03^{a}$	0.43	0.006±0.022	0.78	$-0.043\pm0.035$	0.21	ı	ı
		Total body length (cm)	$16.96\pm0.040^{a}$	$16.96 \pm 0.034^{a}$	$17.05 \pm 0.036^{a}$	0.040	$0.035 \pm 0.024$	0.14	$-0.056\pm0.036$	0.13	ı	ı
		Inguinal fat pad weight (g)	0.227±0.012 <sup>a</sup>	0.200±0.010 <sup>ab</sup>	0.178±0.011 <sup>b</sup>	0.0030	$-0.025\pm0.007$	0.00066	$-0.003 \pm 0.011$	0.82	-0.12	Add
		Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	0.173±0.010 <sup>a</sup>	0.145±0.008 <sup>ab</sup>	0.127±0.009 <sup>b</sup>	0.0019	$-0.021\pm0.006$	0.00071	$-0.003\pm0.009$	0.71	-0.14	Add
	B6×SR21	No. of mice	28	38–39	33							
		Tail length (cm)	$8.03 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$8.10 \pm 0.03^{ab}$	$8.13 \pm 0.03^{b}$	0.027	$0.050 \pm 0.018$	0.0076	$0.014 \pm 0.027$	0.60	,	,
		Head-body length (cm)	8.88±0.03	$8.89 \pm 0.03$	8.95±0.03	0.093	0.039±0.020	0.054	$-0.026\pm0.030$	0.38	ı	
		Total body length (cm)	$16.91 \pm 0.047^{a}$	16.97±0.041 <sup>ab</sup>	17.08±0.044 <sup>b</sup>	0.011	0.086±0.028	0.0035	$-0.017\pm0.042$	0.69	-0.20	Add
		Inguinal fat pad weight (g)	$0.385 \pm 0.014^{a}$	0.372±0.012 <sup>ab</sup>	0.341±0.013 <sup>b</sup>	0.016	$-0.022\pm0.008$	0.0068	0.009±0.012	0.45		ı

Tabl	e 3. Cont.											
			Diplotype				Diplotype effect				Degree of dominance	
Sex	F <sub>2</sub> population	Trait	B/B	B/C	C/C	<i>P</i> value	Additive	<i>P</i> value	Dominance	<i>P</i> value		Inheritance
		Gonadal fat pad weight (g)	$0.261 \pm 0.013^{a}$	0.244±0.011 <sup>ab</sup>	0.222±0.012 <sup>b</sup>	0.021	$-0.020\pm0.007$	0.0061	$0.002\pm0.010$	0.82		
For abl doi:10.	oreviations, see the 1371/journal.pone.01	footnotes to Tables 113233.t003	s 1 and 2.									

1 1

1

On the other hand, in  $B6 \times SR2$  and  $B6 \times SR12$  intercrosses, neither males nor females showed significant diplotype differences in any adjusted body composition traits at the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level (Table S6).

Taking all results together, a growth QTL, the wild-derived allele of which increased body weight and body weight gain, was localized to an interval between D2Mit433 (57.3 Mb) and D2Mit205 (65.3), as summarized in Table 4. In a previous study using congenic strains [9], the growth QTL Pbwg1.12 was physically localized to a maximum interval between D2Mit472 (61.5) and D2Mit327 (69.5) (see Figure 1), which overlapped with the interval of the growth QTL identified in this study. The wild-derived allele at Pbwg1.12 increased body weight [9], which was exactly the same allelic effect of the growth QTL identified in this study. Therefore, in this study, we succeeded in confirming the presence of Pbwg1.12 and in narrowing it down to a 3.8-Mb interval between D2Mit472 (61.5) and D2Mit205 (65.3).

Likewise, we were able to confirm the presence of the Pbwg1.3 QTL affecting total body length that was previously revealed by interval mapping with an F<sub>2</sub> intercross population between the B6.Cg-Pbwg1 original congenic and B6 strains [19]. Pbwg1.3 was physically defined to an 8.0-Mb interval between D2Mit433 (57.3) and D2Mit205 (65.3) (Table 4).

In the B6×SR1 intercross, a QTL for which the wild-derived allele decreased inguinal and gonadal fat pad weights was clearly identified. In the B6×SR21 intercross, however, the presence of the obesity QTL was ambiguous, because P values for diplotype comparisons marginally exceeded the nominal 5% level but did not reach the Bonferroni-corrected 5% level. Previously, the Pbwg1.5 QTL for resistance to obesity was physically mapped to an interval between D2Mit270 (52.9) and D2Mit472 (61.5) [21] (see Figure 1). Therefore, we were able to confirm the presence of Pbwg1.5 in this study and localize it to a 2.1-Mb interval between D2Mit123 (59.4) and D2Mit472 (61.5) (Table 4).

# Exome Sequencing

Since no sequence data have so far been reported for the Philippine wild *castaneus* mice used in this study, we performed sequencing of 2,205 exons for 153 genes on the 44-Mb original congenic region of chromosome 2. According to RefSeq mm9, target bases for the exons were 767,440 bp. The NimbleGen sequence capture covered 97.1% of the target bases, i.e., 745,515 bp. Individual sequence coverage was 11.2 fold on average and ranged from 3 to 36 fold. As expected, some kinds of sequence variants, such as SNPs and indels, were observed in most genes derived from the wild mouse (Table S5). In total, 840 sSNPs and 334 nsSNPs were identified. Nine deletions and 10 insertions were detected in 13 genes. In addition, five nonsense mutations were identified within three genes. On the OTL regions narrowed by the above intersubspecific subcongenic intercross analyses, many SNPs and a few indels were identified, but no nonsense mutation was detected (Tables 5 and S7).

# Candidate Gene Search

As shown in Table 5, there were 11 genes on the 3.8-Mb region between D2Mit472 and D2Mit205, where the growth QTL Pbwg1.12 was located. Using training genes related to body weight (Table S1), Endevour prioritized Gcg (glucagon) and Grb14 (growth factor receptor-bound protein 14) as the top two candidate genes for Pbwg1.12. Gcg had one nsSNP and Grb14 had two nsSNPs. Both SIFT and PolyPhen-2 predicted that none of these nsSNPs inflicted possible damage on protein functions (Table 5).

On the 2.1-Mb region between *D2Mit123* and *D2Mit472* harboring the obesity QTL *Pbwg1.5*, 12 genes were located

Table 4. Summary of QTLs for growth and body composition confirmed in the four F<sub>2</sub> segregating populations.

	F <sub>2</sub> populati	on"			QTL	
Trait	B6×SR1	B6×SR2	B6×SR12	B6×SR21	Symbol	Genomic interval (Mb)
Body weight & weight gain	Increased	ND	ND	Increased	Pbwg1.12 <sup>b</sup>	D2Mit472-D2Mit205 (61.5-65.3)
Total body length (unadjusted)	Increased	ND	ND	Increased	Pbwg1.3	D2Mit433-D2Mit205 (57.3-65.3)
Fat pad weight (unadjusted & adjusted)	Decreased	ND	ND	MG	Pbwg1.5 <sup>c</sup>	D2Mit123-D2Mit472 (59.4–61.5)

<sup>a</sup>The effect of the QTL allele derived from the wild mouse is shown: Increased, increased the trait value; Decreased, decreased the trait value; MG, decreased the trait value but the QTL effect was marginal; ND, QTL was not detected.

<sup>b</sup>Pbwg1.12 was previously defined to an interval between D2Mit472 and D2Mit327 [9] (see Figure 1 for their relative map positions).

<sup>c</sup>Pbwg1.5 was previously defined to an interval between D2Mit270 and D2Mit472 [21] (see Figure 1).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113233.t004

(Table 5). Endevour ranked Ly75 (lymphocyte antigen 75) and Itgb6 (integrin beta 6) as the top two candidate genes for Pbwg1.5 using training genes related to obesity (Table S1). Ly75 had nine nsSNPs and these were predicted to have no affect on protein function. In contrast, Itgb6 had three nsSNPs. PolyPhen-2 predicted that none of the three nsSNPs caused possible damage to protein function, whereas SIFT predicted that one of them, i.e. A>C at the position of 2:60491216 leading to amino acid substitution of Sel302Ala, is harmful to protein function. This nsSNP has previously been reported as dbSNP rs28025203.

#### Discussion

Previously we discovered the Pbwg1.12 QTL for growth [9], the *Pbwg1.3* QTL for body length [19] and the *Pbwg1.5* QTL for obesity [21] from an untapped resource of wild M. m. castaneus mice caught in the Philippines. In this study, we were able to confirm the presence of these three QTLs by intersubspecific intercross analyses using four newly or previously constructed subcongenic strains with overlapping and/or non-overlapping genomic intervals. The unique effects of the wild-derived QTL allele at the QTLs revealed in previous studies [9,19,21] were duplicated in the present independent study. That is, this allele uniquely enhanced growth at Pbwg1.12 and increased body length at Pbwg1.3, despite the fact that the wild mouse has approximately 60% of the body weight of B6 [16], whereas it decreased fat weight at Pbwg1.5. Furthermore, we were able to reduce the genomic interval harboring *Pbwg1.12* from 8.9 Mb [9] to 3.8 Mb in length and to narrow the interval of *Pbwg1.5* from 8.8 Mb [21] to 2.1 Mb. Although Pbwg1.3 was previously localized to a 20-Mb confidence interval [19], it was physically mapped to an 8.0-Mb interval in this study.

Although Pbwg1.3 and Pbwg1.5 exerted phenotypic effects on both sexes, Pbwg1.12 exhibited male-specific effect on body weight. In our previous study [9], the sex-specificity of Pbwg1.12on chromosome 2 was not tested because the sample size was small. Sex-specific QTLs for body weight are revealed on different chromosomal regions in our previous genome-wide QTL analysis [18] and in different mouse crosses [5]. In addition, sex-specific QTLs have commonly been observed in different quantitative traits of mice and other species, as mentioned previously [16,18]. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying sex-specific QTLs remain unclear. It is reported that androgen control of growth hormone secretion induces male-specific gene expression in the liver of mice [28]. We thus consider that the male-specific effect of Pbwg1.12 may be mediated by sex hormones through male-specific expression of the causative gene of Pbwg1.12.

According to MGD [5], several QTLs affecting growth, body length and obesity were previously mapped to mouse chromosome 2 regions that are overlapped with our 8.0-Mb region between D2Mit443 (57.3) and D2Mit205 (65.3). Since the previous QTLs were mapped by genome-wide QTL analyses, confidence intervals of the QTLs are generally very large, spanning approximately 100 Mb or more. Thereafter, few map positions of QTLs have been determined physically, with a few exceptions. Four growth and obesity QTLs, named Wg2a-Wg2d, have been fine-mapped to the interval from D2Ucd15 (74.7) to D2Mit196 (160.5) by phenotypic analyses of subcongenic strains that possess the introgressed regions of the CAST/EiJ strain established from wild M. m. castaneus mice on the B6 genetic background [10]. However, this interval is outside of our QTL regions. The Nidd5 QTL affecting adiposity has been fine-mapped by phenotypic analysis of congenic strains with donor regions derived from the BALB/cA strain on the genetic background of the obese/diabetic TSOD strain, and Acur1c encoding activin receptor-like kinase 7 at the position of 58.1 Mb was very recently identified as a responsible gene for Nidd5 [29]. The obese/diabetic TSOD strain unexpectedly has the wild-type allele at Acvr1c, whereas the normal BALB/cA strain has a nonsense mutation resulting in decreased fat mass phenotype [29]. In contrast, our exome sequencing analysis indicated that the Acurlc gene derived from our wild castaneus mouse had neither nsSNPs nor nonsense mutations. Furthermore, Acur1c lies outside the interval containing our Pbwg1.12 for increased body weight and Pbwg1.5 for decreased fat weight. Acvr1c is thus unlikely to be a candidate gene for our QTLs. In addition, as no nonsense mutation was identified for any of the genes located in the QTL interval, this kind of mutation could not become a sequence variant causing the differences in body weight and fat weight shown in this study.

In this study, exome sequencing and candidate gene prioritization strongly suggested that Gcg and Grb14 are putative candidate genes for the Pbwg1.12 QTL for enhanced growth. Gcg encodes proglucagon, a precursor of glucagon, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and several other components. Glucagon is generated in pancreatic  $\alpha$ -cells and GLP-1 is yielded in intestinal L-cells, and these peptides paly key roles in glucose metabolism and homeostasis [30]. Mice lacking glucagon and GLP-1 are born normally without gross abnormalities and display  $\alpha$ -cell hyperplasia and increased body weight [31]. It has very recently been revealed in rats fed a high-fat diet that hypothalamic glucagon signaling can suppress hepatic glucose production, suggesting that hypothalamic glucagon resistance may contribute to the hyperglycemia observed in obesity and diabetes [32]. Grb14 encodes an adaptor protein belonging to the GRB7 family and it plays an important role in receptor-tyrosine kinase signaling pathways and **Table 5.** Variants detected by exome sequencing of genes on the genomic regions harboring the growth QTL *Pbwg1.12* and the obesity QTL *Pbwg1.5*, prioritization of candidate genes and damage of protein functions caused by nsSNPs found in the candidate genes.

5					5					
	Position (bp)		Number of <b>1</b>	/ariants <sup>a</sup>			Candidate gen	e ranking <sup>b</sup>	Damage to prote	sin function <sup>c</sup>
Gene symbol	Start	End	sSNP	nsSNP	Deletion	Insertion	Body weight	Obesity	SIFT	PolyPhen-2
Dapl 1	59322709	59343075	-	0	0	0	NA			
Tanc1	59450100	59684206	21	4	0	-	NA			
Wdsub1	59690423	59720663	9	-	0	0	NA			
Baz2b	59737419	59963797	15	9	1	0	NA			
March7	60047992	60086442	6	0	0	0	NA			
Cd302	60090049	60122475	-	0	-	0	NA			
Ly75	60131816	60221288	27	6	0	0	NA	1	Tolerated	Benign
Pla2r1	60257095	60391318	18	8	0	0	NA			
Itgb6	60436349	60511750	11	З	0	0	NA	2	Affected	Benign
Rbms1	60590009	60801261	2	0	0	0	NA			
Tank	61416642	61492224	-	S	0	0	NA			
Psmd14	61549750	61638433	-	0	0	0	NA			
Tbr1	61642509	61652170	2	-	0	0		NA		
Slc4a10	61884596	62164800	9	0	0	0		NA		
Dpp4	62168131	62250288	6	0	0	0		NA		
Gcg	62312586	62321710	0	-	0	0	1	NA	Tolerated	Benign
Fap	62339001	62412078	2	2	0	0		NA		
lfih 1	62433849	62484312	17	5	0	0		NA		
Gca	62502383	62532166	ñ	-	0	0		NA		
Kcnh7	62541002	63022344	9	-	0	0		NA		
Fign	63815417	63936064	4	-	0	0		NA		
Grb14	64750539	64860823	7	2	0	0	2	NA	Tolerated	Benign
Cobll1	64926395	65076683	14	18	0	0		NA		
<sup>a</sup> sSNP, synonym <sup>b</sup> The top two gé <sup>c</sup> Damage causec doi:10.1371/jourr	ous SNP; nsSNP, nons, enes were prioritized a 1 by nsSNPs was inves nal.pone.0113233.t005	ynonymous SNP; NA, n is candidate genes for tigated for the ranked	ot applicable be growth and obe genes by two s	ecause the QTL ir ssity QTLs by the oftware program:	question was not l web-based software 5, SIFT [26] and Poly	ocated on the region Program Endevour   Phen-2 [27].	including the gene [25].	şi		

insulin signaling [33]. Grb14 knockout mice are born normally, show a small reduction in body weight and exhibit improved glucose homeostasis and enhanced insulin signaling in the liver and skeletal muscle [34]. Judging from the phenotypic similarity between Pbwg1.12 and knockout mice, Gcg is very likely to become a candidate gene for Pbwg1.12, although further studies such as pancreatic islet characterization and Gcg expression analysis will be needed in our subcongenic mice.

For the obesity Pbwg1.5 QTL, Ly75 and Itgb6 were suggested to be putative candidate genes in this study.  $L_{\gamma}75$  encodes DEC-205, a 205-kD integral membrane protein homologous to the macrophage mannose receptor, and DEC-205 is a novel endocytic receptor used by dendritic cells and thymic epithelial cells to direct captured antigens from the extracellular space to a specialized antigen-processing compartment [35]. Ly75 knockout mice exhibit abnormalities in CD8-positive T cell morphology and cytotoxic T cell physiology [36]. *Itgb6* encodes the integrin  $\beta$ 6 subunit, a member of the integrin family. This subunit heterodimerizes with the  $\alpha v$  subunit to bind and/or activate latent transforming growth factor  $\beta$ . The expression of  $\alpha v\beta 6$  integrin is largely restricted to a subset of epithelial cells [37,38]. Itgb6 knockout mice are born and grow normally but exhibit juvenile baldness associated with macrophage infiltration of the skin and accumulation of activated lymphocytes around conducting airways in the lungs, suggesting that alterations in this integrin may contribute to the development of inflammatory diseases of epithelial organs including the skin, lungs and kidney [39]. A previous microarray analysis revealed that 259 genes are differentially expressed in the liver between SM/J and LG/J mouse strains fed a high-fat diet, where SM/J is more responsive than LG/J for many obesity and diabetes traits. Most of these genes are associated with immune function, and 62 genes are located within intervals of QTLs previously mapped for obesity, diabetes and related traits [40]. High-fat diets are known to trigger an immune response through inflammation in many organs and tissues such as the liver and adipose tissue [41,42]. Hence, the genes associated with immune function can become candidate genes for obesity and related QTLs. Therefore, the Ly75 and Itgb6 genes with immune function may be good candidate genes for our Pbwg1.5 that shows prevention of obesity when mice are fed both low-fat standard and high-fat diets [21].

The Itgb6 gene on the Pbwg1.5 region derived from a wild castaneus mouse caught in the Philippines had the nsSNP of g.2:60491216A>C, leading to amino acid substitution of Sel302Ala that was predicted to be harmful to protein function by SIFT but not by PolyPhen-2. In fact, the Sel residue is conserved among many mammals including humans, dogs, bovines, horses and rats [43]. In mice, both Sel and Ala residues are segregating among common inbred strains and also among wild-derived inbred strains [5]. It is noteworthy that the CAST/EiJ strain established from wild castaneus mice in Thailand has the same base substitution (C: Ala) as that of our wild *castaneus* mouse in the Philippines. In addition, QTLs for obesity and related traits identified from CAST/EiJ and other strains have so far not been fine-mapped to the Pbwg1.5 region on mouse chromosome 2, as discussed earlier. These facts thus suggest that the A>C nsSNP might not act as a sequence variant causing our phenotypic variation.

Next-generation sequencing of 13 classical inbred mouse strains and four wild-derived inbred strains has recently revealed that QTLs with small effects on 100 phenotypes of disease and physiological traits, which were identified in more than 2,000 heterogeneous stock mice, are more likely to arise from intergenic sequence variants lying outside genes and are less likely to arise from nsSNPs and structural variants (indels, inversions, copy number gains and others) lying within genes. In contrast, it has been shown that QTLs with large effects are more likely to arise from structural variants and are less likely to arise from intergenic variants [23,44]. We therefore consider that, since our QTLs have small effects on growth and obesity, their causative variants may be intergenic variants rather than nsSNPs and structural variants identified by exome sequencing in this study. As the next step, we will need to perform expression analysis of the four putative candidate genes searched in this study. Gene expression results will provide information helpful for identifying causative genes and further causative variants underlying our QTLs on chromosome 2.

In conclusion, by analysis using intersubspecific subcongenic intercrosses, we precisely fine-mapped three unique QTLs for enhanced growth, prevention of obesity and increased body length, which were discovered from a wild M. *m. castaneus* mouse, to small genomic intervals ranging from 2.1 to 8.0 Mb on mouse chromosome 2. By combined analysis of exome sequencing and bioinformatics, we identified four genes as putative candidate genes for the unique growth and obesity QTLs. We furthermore predicted that nsSNPs found in the candidate genes would not be harmful to protein functions.

#### **Supporting Information**

Figure S1 Two PCR-RFLP markers on mouse chromosome 2 developed in this study. (A) The rs13476521 PCR-RFLP marker was constructed on the basis of the rs13476521 SNP located at 58,131,026 bp on the Cytip gene. B6 has the nucleotide base T and our exome sequencing revealed that our wild castaneus mouse has the base C being the same as that of CAST/EiJ. A pair of primers, 5'-CCTGGGGGGAATGGA-TAAAGT-3' and CCTGACTCGGACACTGGAAT, amplified a 364-bp fragment including this SNP. The restriction enzyme EcoRV cut the 364-bp fragment derived from B6 in two (195 and 169 bp), whereas it did not cut the 364-bp fragment derived from the wild mouse. (B) The rs48690987 PCR-RFLP marker was developed on the basis of the rs48690987 SNP at 62,606,356 bp on the Ifih1 gene. B6 has the nucleotide base T, whereas our wild mouse has the base C being the same as that of CAST/EiJ. A pair of primers, AAATTCATCCGTTTCGTCCA and GGA-TAGTTTTCTGCCCTTTGC, amplified a 306-bp fragment. The enzyme EcoT22I generated two B6-derived fragments (160 and 146 bp), whereas it did not cut a wild-derived fragment. PCR was performed as described previously [19], and 2.0-2.5% agarose gels were used for electrophoresis. (TIF)

# Table S1 Training genes used in prioritization of candidate genes. (XLSX)

Table S2 Additive and dominance diplotype effects for body weight and weight gain in the  $F_2$  populations obtained from B6×SR2 and B6×SR12 intercrosses. (XLSX)

Table S3 Organ weight not adjusted for body weight at 14 weeks of age, and additive and dominance diplotype effects for organ weight in the  $F_2$  populations obtained from  $B6 \times SR1$  and  $B6 \times SR21$  intercrosses. (XLSX)

Table S4 Measurements of body composition traits not adjusted for body weight at 14 weeks of age, and additive and dominance diplotype effects for body composition traits in the F<sub>2</sub> populations obtained from  $B6 \times SR2$  and  $B6 \times SR12$  intercrosses. (XLSX)

(ALS

Table S5 Organ weight adjusted for body weight at 14 weeks of age, and additive and dominance diplotype effects for organ weight in the F2 populations obtained from B6×SR1 and B6×SR21 intercrosses. (XLSX)

Table S6 Measurements of body composition traits adjusted for body weight at 14 weeks of age, and additive and dominance diplotype effects for body composition traits in the F<sub>2</sub> populations obtained from **B6×SR2** and **B6×SR12** intercrosses. (XLSX)

#### References

- 1. Eisen EJ (2005) The mouse in animal genetics and breeding research. London: Imperial College Press. 364 p.
- 2. Baéza E, Bihan-Duval EL (2013) Chicken lines divergent for low or high abdominal fat deposition: a relevant model to study the regulation of energy metabolism. Animal 7: 965-973.
- 3. Fall T, Hägg S, Mägi R, Ploner A, Fischer K, et al. (2013) The role of adiposity in cardiometabolic traits: a Mendelian randomization analysis. PLoS Med 10(6): e1001474.
- 4. Flint J, Mackay TFC (2009) Genetic architecture of quantitative traits in mice, flies, and humans. Genom Res 19: 723-733.
- 5. Eppig JT, Blake JA, Bult CJ, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, the Mouse Genome Database Group (2012) The Mouse Genome Database (MGD): comprehensive resource for genetics and genomics of the laboratory mouse. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D881-886.
- 6. Darvasi A, Soller M (1997) A simple method to calculate resolving power and confidence interval of OTL map location. Behav Genet 27:125-132.
- 7 Darvasi A (1997) Interval-specific congenic strains (ISCS): an experimental design for mapping a QTL into a 1-centimorgan interval. Mamm Genome 8:163-167.
- 8. Drinkwater NR, Gould MN (2012) The long path from QTL to gene. PLoS Genet 8: e1002975.
- 9. Mollah MBR. Ishikawa A (2011) Intersubspecific subcongenic mouse strain analysis reveals closely linked QTLs with opposite effects on body weight. Mamm Genome 22: 282-289.
- 10. Farber CR, Medrano JF (2007) Dissection of a genetically complex cluster of growth and obesity QTLs on mouse chromosome 2 using subcongenic intercrosses. Mamm Genome 18: 635-645.
- 11. Cheverud JM, Hager R, Roseman C, Fawcett G, Wang B, Wolf JB (2008) Genomic imprinting effects on adult body composition in mice. PNAS 105: 4253-4258
- 12. Jarvis JP, Kenney-Hunt J, Ehrich TH, Pletscher LS, Semenkovich CF, Cheverud JM (2005) Maternal genotype affects adult offspring lipid, obesity, and diabetes phenotypes in LG×SM recombinant inbred strains. J Lipid Res 46: 1692 - 1702.
- 13. Kilpinen H, Waszak SM, Gschwind AR, Raghav SK, Witwicki RM, et al. (2013) Coordinated effects of sequence variation on DNA binding, chromatin structure, and transcription. Science 342: 744-747.
- 14. McVicker G, van de Geijn B, Degner JF, Cain CE, Banovich NE, et al. (2013) Identification of genetic variants that affect histone modifications in human cells. Sicence 342: 747-749.
- 15. Kasowski M, Kyriazopoulou-Panagiotopoulou S, Grubert F, Zaugg JB, Kundaje A, et al. (2013) Extensive variation in chromatin states across humans. Science 342: 750-752
- 16. Ishikawa A, Matsuda Y, Namikawa T (2000) Detection of quantitative trait loci for body weight at 10 weeks from Philippine wild mice. Mamm Genome 11: 824-830.
- 17. Ishikawa A, Namikawa T (2004) Mapping major quantitative trait loci for postnatal growth in an intersubspecific backcross between C57BL/6J and Philippine wild mice by using principal component analysis. Genes Genet Syst 79: 27-39.
- 18. Ishikawa A, Hatada S, Nagamine Y, Namikawa T (2005) Further mapping of quantitative trait loci for postnatal growth in an intersubspecific backcross of wild Mus musculus castaneus and C57BL/6J mice. Genet Res 85: 127-137.
- 19. Ishikawa A, Kim E-H, Bolor H, Mollah MBR, Namikawa T (2007) A growth QTL (Pbwg1) region of mouse chromosome 2 contains closely linked loci affecting growth and body composition. Mamm Genome 18: 229-239.
- 20. Ishikawa A (2009) Mapping an overdominant quantitative trait locus for heterosis of body weight in mice. J Hered 100: 501-504.
- 21. Mollah MBR, İshikawa A (2010) A wild derived quantitative trait locus on mouse chromosome 2 prevents obesity. BMC Genet 11: 84.
- 22. Mollah MBR, Ishikawa A (2013) Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci affecting organ weights by mouse intersubspecific subcongenic strain analysis. Anim Sci J 84: 296-302.

# Table S7 Summary of variants identified by exome sequencing of 153 genes located on the original congenic region between D2Mit33 and D2Mit38 on mouse chromosome 2.

(XLSX)

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: AI. Performed the experiments: AI SO. Analyzed the data: AI. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AI. Wrote the paper: AI.

- 23. Keane TM, Goodstadt L, Danecek P, White MA, Wong K, et al. (2011) Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. Nature 477: 289 - 294
- 24. Falconer DS, Mackay TF (1996) Introduction to quantitative genetics, fourth ed. Harlow, Essex, UK: Longmans Green. 464 p.
- 25. Tranchevent L-C, Barriot R, Yu S, Vooren SV, Loo PV, et al. (2008) Endeavour update: a web resource for gene prioritization in multiple speacies. Nucleic Acids Res 36: W377-W384.
- 26. Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC (2009) Predicting the effects of coding nonsynonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat Protocols 4: 1073-1082.
- 27. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, et al. (2010) A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods 7:248-249
- 28. Robins DM (2005) Androgen receptor and molecular mechanisms of malespecific gene expression. Novartis Found Symp 268: 42-52.
- Yogosawa S, Mizutani S, Ogawa Y, Izumi T (2013) Activin receptor-like kinase 29 7 suppresses lipolysis to accumulate fat in obesity through downregulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  and C/EBPa. Diabetes 62: 115– 123.
- 30. Hayashi Y (2011) Metabolic impact of glucagon deficiency. Diabetes Obes Metab 13 Suppl: 1151-157.
- 31. Hayashi Y, Yamamoto M, Mizoguchi H, Watanabe C, Ito R, et al. (2009) Mice deficient for glucagon gene-derived peptides display normoglycemia and hyperplasia of islet  $\alpha$ -cells but not of intestinal L-cells. Mol Endocrinol 23: 1990 - 1999
- 32. Mighiu PI, Yue JTY, Filippi BM, Abraham MA, Chari M, et al. (2013) Hypothalamic glucagon signaling inhibites hepatic glucose production. Nat Med 19: 766-772.
- 33. Cariou B, Bereziat V, Moncoq K, Kasus-Jacobi A, Perdereau D, et al. (2004) Regulation and functional roles of Grb14. Front Biosci 9: 1626-1636.
- 34. Cooney GJ, Lyons RJ, Crew AJ, Jensen TE, Molero JC, et al. (2004) Improved glucose homeostasis and enhanced insulin signalling in Grb14-deficient mice. EMBO J 23: 582-593.
- 35. Jiang W, Swiggard WJ, Heufler C, Peng M, Mirza A, et al. (1995) The receptor DEC-205 expressed by dendritic cells and thymic epithelial cells is involved in antigen processing. Nature 375: 151-155.
- Guo M, Gong S, Maric S, Misulovin Z, Pack M, et al. (2000) A monoclonal 36 antibody to the DEC-205 endocytosis receptor on human dendritic cells. Hum Immunol 61: 729-738.
- 37. Munger JS, Huang X, Kawakatsu H, Griffiths MJ, Dalton SL, et al. (1999) The integrin ανβ6 binds and activates latent TGFβ1: a mechanism for regulating pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis. Cell 96: 319-328.
- Wang B, Dolinski BM, Kikuchi N, Leone DR, Peters MG, et al. (2007) Role of αvβ6 integrin in acute biliary fibrosis. Hepatology 46: 1404-1412.
- 39. Huang XZ, Wu JF, Cass D, Erle DJ, Corry D; et al. (1996) Inactivation of the integrin beta 6 subunit gene reveals a role of epithelial integrins in regulating inflammation in the lungs and skin. J Cell Biol 133(4): 921-928.
- 40. Partridge CG, Fawcett GL, Wang B, Semenkovich CF, Cheverud JM (2014) The effect of dietary fat intake on hepatic gene expression in LG/J and SM/J mice. BMC Genomics 15: 99.
- 41. Caspar-Bauguil S, Cousin B, Galinier A, Segafredo C, Nibbelink M, et al. (2005) Adipose tissue as an ancestral immune organ: site specific change in obesity. FEBS Lett 579: 3487-3492.
- 42. Radonjic M, de Haan JR, van Erk MJ, van Dijk KW, van den Berg SAA, et al. (2009) Genome-wide mRNA expression analysis of hepatic adaptation to highfat diets reveals switch from an inflammatory to steatotic transcriptional program. PLoS One 4: e6646.
- 43. The UniProt Consortium (2014) Activities at the Universal Protein Resource (UniProt). Nucleic Acids Res 42: D191-D198.
- 44. Yalcin B, Wong K, Agam A, Goodson M, Keane TM, et al. (2011) Sequencebased characterization of structural variation in the mouse genome. Nature 477: 326 - 329.