# Relationship of left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral to treatment strategy in submassive and massive pulmonary embolism

# David Antoine<sup>1</sup>, Taylor Chuich<sup>2</sup>, Ruben Mylvaganam<sup>3</sup>, Chris Malaisrie<sup>4</sup>, Benjamin Freed<sup>5</sup>, Michael Cuttica<sup>6</sup> and Daniel Schimmel Jr<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, NewYork-Presbyterian, New York, USA; <sup>3</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>4</sup>Division of Cardiac Surgery, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>5</sup>Division of Cardiology, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Pulmonary Hypertension, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>7</sup>Divison of Interventional Cardiology, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>7</sup>Divison of Interventional Cardiology, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>7</sup>Divison of Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>8</sup>Division of Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Interventional Cardiology, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Interventional Cardiology, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Interventional Cardiology, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Interventional Cardiology, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Interventional Cardiology, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison of Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, USA; <sup>9</sup>Divison OSA; <sup>9</sup>Di

# Abstract

Pulmonary embolism is associated with high rates of mortality and morbidity. It is important to understand direct comparisons of current interventions to differentiate favorable outcomes and complications. The objective of this study was to compare ultrasoundaccelerated thrombolysis versus systemic thrombolysis versus anticoagulation alone and their effect on left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral. This was a retrospective cohort study of subjects > 18 years of age with a diagnosis of submassive or massive pulmonary embolism. The primary outcome was the percent change in left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral between pre- and post-treatment echocardiograms. Ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis compared to anticoagulation had a greater improvement in left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral, measured by percent change. No significant change was noted between the ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis and systemic thrombolysis nor systemic thrombolysis and anticoagulation groups. Pulmonary artery systolic pressure only showed a significant reduction in the ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis versus anticoagulation group. The percent change of right ventricular to left ventricular ratios was improved when systemic thrombolysis was compared to both ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis and anticoagulation. In this retrospective study of submassive or massive pulmonary embolisms, left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral demonstrated greater improvement in patients treated with ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis as compared to anticoagulation alone, a finding not seen with systemic thrombolysis. While this improvement in left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral parallels the trend seen in mortality outcomes across the three groups, it only correlates with changes seen in pulmonary artery systolic pressure, not in other markers of echocardiographic right ventricular dysfunction (tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion and right ventricular to left ventricular ratios). Changes in left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral, rather than echocardiographic markers of right ventricular dysfunction, may be considered a more useful prognostic marker of both dysfunction and improvement after reperfusion therapy.

# **Keywords**

left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral (LVOT VTI), pulmonary embolism, prognostic marker, echocardiographic markers, reperfusion therapy

Date received: 19 May 2020; accepted: 7 August 2020

Pulmonary Circulation 2020; 10(3) 1–7 DOI: 10.1177/2045894020953724

# Introduction

Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a subset of venous thromboembolism that is associated with high rates of mortality and morbidity. Overall, 30-day and 1-year mortality has been Corresponding author:

David Antoine, Department of Pharmacy, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 251 E Huron St, Chicago, IL 60611, USA. Email: David.antoine@nm.org

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

© The Author(s) 2020. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions journals.sagepub.com/home/pul



reported at 3.9 and 12.9%, respectively,<sup>1</sup> with mortality rates increasing with age and severity of PE. Patients who survive an initial event may have marked impairment in quality of life and are at increased risk for development of long-term complications such as chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension.<sup>2–4</sup>

The treatments available for PE are currently individualized based on clinical parameters such as hemodynamic status, location of PE, personnel and resource availability to perform advanced PE management strategies, and risks associated with each treatment modality.<sup>4</sup> PE management has previously been limited to systemic thrombolysis, transcatheter mechanical clot fragmentation with or without thrombectomy, infusion catheters, or anticoagulation alone. The PEITHO trial, which is the largest thrombolytic trial in PE patients to date, studied normotensive intermediate risk PE patients randomized to heparin plus tenecteplase versus heparin plus placebo. This pivotal trial showed that the systemic thrombolysis reduced the composite outcome of all-cause mortality and hemodynamic compromise but increased the risk of major hemorrhage and stroke.<sup>5</sup> In the same year the PEITHO study was published, the EKOS<sup>TM</sup> ultrasonic device was approved by the FDA, which combines catheter-delivered fibrinolytic therapy with mechanical disruption of the thrombus via ultrasound therapy, or ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis (USAT). Several clinical trials focusing on catheter-based management of PE have subsequently been published including the ULTIMA,<sup>6</sup> PERFECT,<sup>8</sup> and OPTALYSE<sup>9</sup> SEATTLE.<sup>7</sup> trials. Although much smaller than the PEITHO trial, each has shown hemodynamic improvement, including a decreased mean right ventricular to left ventricular (RV/LV) diameter and decreased mean pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP) in patients who received USAT. However, only ULTIMA compared USAT to anticoagulation alone, while PERFECT allowed the use of any infusion catheters with no difference identified between USAT and infusion catheters without ultrasound.<sup>6,8</sup> Bleeding rates were favorable with a cumulative three major bleeding events in the studies and one intracranial hemorrhage in the OPTALYSE PE high dose thrombolytic cohort.<sup>9</sup>

Favorable outcomes as well as important complications have been reported independently with both systemic and catheter-based thrombolytic interventions. Therefore, it is of the utmost importance that we begin to try and understand direct comparisons of these interventions to better inform clinical decision making in the acutely ill PE patient. Hemodynamic markers such as a decrease in mean pulmonary artery pressure and increase in cardiac output have been used as markers of clinical improvement with thrombolysis in the acute setting.<sup>10</sup> These parameters require invasive measurement with a right heart catheter which is not practical in clinical practice. Multiple echocardiographic parameters including RV/LV ratio, reduction in RV end-diastolic diameter, and increase in tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) have also been assessed as markers of clinical improvement.<sup>11,12</sup> However, the complex geometry of the right ventricle can make reproducible measurements of RV size parameters and functionality challenging, a problem compounded in the acutely ill patient. The driver of mortality in submassive and massive PE patients is a compromise of cardiac output due to obstructive shock. Left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral (LVOT VTI), an echocardiographic measurement of stroke volume (SV), a component of cardiac output, has been demonstrated to be a predictor of outcomes in acute PE, including death, cardiac arrest, shock or need for reperfusion.<sup>13</sup>

The objective of this study was to compare the effects of systemic thrombolytics versus catheter-directed thrombolytics versus anticoagulation alone on LVOT VTI as well as weighing composite bleeding outcomes in patients with submassive and massive PE.

# Methods

This was a retrospective cohort study conducted at a large academic medical center using electronic health record data. Patients were included in the study if they were greater than 18 years of age with a diagnosis of acute submassive or massive PE according to American Heart Association guide-line definitions.<sup>14</sup> Patients were excluded if they were pregnant, had a history of ICH, were actively bleeding, had a known coagulation disorder, or had a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack, head trauma, or other active intracranial disease within three months prior (see Appendix A for full inclusion and exclusion criteria).

Eligible patients were identified utilizing International Classification of Diseases 9 and 10 codes for acute PE. Further eligibility was determined by searching for specific drug orders such as "alteplase 24 mg/250 ml, alteplase 50-100 mg IV push, and heparin 25,000 units/250 ml." Patients were then chosen from this list utilizing an internet-based randomization tool. Once inclusion and exclusion criteria were assessed, data were obtained via manual chart review. Patients in the USAT group were treated with an intracatheter alteplase (tPA) bolus of 2-5 mg (per catheter if bilateral catheters were used) followed by an infusion at a rate of 0.5-1 mg/h/catheter for 6–24 h via the EKOS<sup>TM</sup> system per physician discretion based on patient's risk of bleeding and hemodynamic compromise. Patients in the systemic tPA group received 50–100 mg over 1–2 h if they had a pulse. Patients who were pulseless received a 50 mg bolus, followed by another 50 mg given over 1 h. All three groups received continuous infusion heparin, which was titrated to goal a PTT goal of 63-91 or anti-Xa goal of 0.3-0.7. Prior to discharge, patients in all groups were transitioned to a long-term anticoagulant choice consisting of rivaroxaban, apixaban, dabigatran, warfarin, or low-molecular weight heparin.

The primary clinical outcome was the percent change in LVOT VTI between pre- and post-treatment echocardiograms. LVOT VTI was calculated by placing the pulsed Doppler sample volume in the outflow tract below the aortic valve and recording the velocity (cm/s). When the velocity signal is integrated with respect to time, the distance blood moves with each systole is calculated in cm/systole.<sup>15</sup> Secondary clinical outcomes included percent change in RV/ LV end-diastolic ratios, TAPSE and PASP between pre- and post-treatment echocardiograms, as well as composite bleeding per GUSTO criteria (see Appendix A for a full

list of outcomes). One investigator, in a blinded fashion, obtained end-diastolic RV/LV ratios from the echocardiographic apical four-chamber view. Subannular measurements were obtained 1 cm above and parallel to the tricuspid annular line, which was drawn at the septal insertion point of tricuspid valve, perpendicular to the interventricular septum line.

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients at baseline.

| Characteristic                       | USAT (N = 20)                       | Systemic tPA ( $N = 16$ )           | Anticoagulation alone ( $N = 15$ ) | Р      |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Age                                  | $\textbf{60.6} \pm \textbf{17.9}$   | $\textbf{58.8} \pm \textbf{16.2}$   | 63.3±15.0                          | 0.74   |
| Gender, n (%)                        |                                     |                                     |                                    | 0.64   |
| Male                                 | 10 (50)                             | 6 (37.5)                            | 8 (53.3)                           |        |
| Race, n (%)                          |                                     |                                     |                                    | 0.986  |
| African American                     | 5 (25)                              | 5 (31.3)                            | 6 (40)                             |        |
| Caucasian                            | 14 (70)                             | 9 (56.2)                            | 5 (33.3)                           |        |
| Hispanic                             | 0 (0)                               | 0 (0)                               | 0 (0)                              |        |
| Other                                | 0 (0)                               | 2 (12.5)                            | 2 (13.3)                           |        |
| Unknown                              | I (5)                               | 0 (0)                               | 2 (13.3)                           |        |
| HFrEF, n (%)                         | l (5.3)                             | 2 (13.3)                            | 2 (13.3)                           | 0.661  |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%)           | 0 (0)                               | l (6.7)                             | 3 (20)                             | 0.103  |
| Mitral valve regurgitation, n (%)    |                                     |                                     |                                    | 0.132  |
| Trivial/none                         | 17 (94.4)                           | 12 (85.7)                           | 9 (64.3)                           |        |
| Mild                                 | l (5.6)                             | l (7.1)                             | 3 (21.4)                           |        |
| Moderate                             | 0 (0)                               | l (7.1)                             | 0 (0)                              |        |
| Severe                               | 0 (0)                               | 0 (0)                               | 2 (14.3)                           |        |
| Tricuspid valve regurgitation, n (%) |                                     |                                     |                                    | 0.595  |
| Trivial/none                         | 4 (22.2)                            | 6 (46.2)                            | 6 (42.9)                           |        |
| Mild                                 | 8 (44.4)                            | 3 (23.1)                            | 3 (21.4)                           |        |
| Moderate                             | 5 (27.8)                            | 3 (23.1)                            | 5 (35.7)                           |        |
| Severe                               | l (5.6)                             | l (7.7)                             | 0 (0)                              |        |
| Prior DVT, n (%)                     | 4 (21.1)                            | 4 (26.7)                            | 4 (26.7)                           | 0.906  |
| Prior PE, n (%)                      | l (5.3)                             | 4 (26.7)                            | 7 (46.7)                           | 0.020  |
| History of cancer, n (%)             | 5 (26.3)                            | l (6.7)                             | 5 (33.3)                           | 0.189  |
| Pulmonary embolism                   |                                     |                                     |                                    | < 0.00 |
| Submassive                           | 17 (85)                             | 3 (18.8)                            | 10 (66.6)                          |        |
| Massive                              | 3 (15)                              | 13 (81.2)                           | 5 (33.3)                           |        |
| Cardiac arrest, n (%)                | l (5)                               | 7 (43.4)                            | l (6.7)                            | 0.004  |
| LVOT VTI on admit (cm)               | $14.26 \pm 4.11$                    | $\textbf{13.51} \pm \textbf{3.72}$  | $15.50\pm3.28$                     | 0.341  |
| TAPSE on admit (cm)                  | $1.53\pm0.41$                       | $1.34\pm0.78$                       | $1.69 \pm 0.54$                    | 0.572  |
| PASP on admit (mmHg)                 | $\textbf{48.69} \pm \textbf{18.59}$ | $\textbf{59.38} \pm \textbf{18.84}$ | $51.10 \pm 15.44$                  | 0.406  |
| RV/LV ratio, initial                 | $1.09\pm0.23$                       | $1.22\pm0.25$                       | $1.00\pm0.35$                      | 0.210  |
| Troponin I, initial (ng/ml)          | $\textbf{0.65} \pm \textbf{1.09}$   | $0.49\pm0.54$                       | $0.27\pm0.38$                      | 0.401  |
| Troponin I, repeat (ng/ml)           | $\textbf{0.99} \pm \textbf{1.14}$   | $\textbf{1.18} \pm \textbf{1.27}$   | $0.35\pm0.61$                      | 0.114  |
| BNP on admit (ng/l), n (%)           |                                     |                                     |                                    | 0.445  |
| <100                                 | 4 (26.7)                            | 2 (13.3)                            | I (9.I)                            |        |
| >100                                 | (73.3)                              | 13 (86.7)                           | 10 (90.9)                          |        |

BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; DVT: deep vein thrombosis; HFrEF: heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; LVOT VTI: left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral; PASP: pulmonary artery systolic pressure; PE: pulmonary embolism; RV/LV: right ventricular to left ventricular; TAPSE: tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; tPA: alteplase; USAT: ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis.

Plus-minus values are means  $\pm$ SD. N (%) may not correlate with sum of each group due to missing data.

Continuous data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, categorical data were analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA, and nominal data were analyzed using Chisquared test with Bonferroni adjustment. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. A sample size of convenience was utilized. All analyses were done using SPSS Version 23.

# Results

A total of 225 patients were screened from January of 2010 through January of 2019. Of these patients that met inclusion criteria, 20 were treated with USAT, 16 with systemic tPA



**Fig. 1.** The box represents the interquartile range, while the whiskers represent minimum and maximum data. LVOT VTI: left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral; tPA: alteplase; USAT: ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis.

therapy, and 15 with anticoagulation alone. The remaining 174 patients were excluded due to missing LVOT VTI values on pre- or post-echocardiograms and intracranial or intraspinal disease within three months prior to study treatment (Fig S1 of Appendix B). The mean age of the study population was 61 years old. The anticoagulation alone group had a higher rate of previous PE compared to the other two groups. There were significantly more patients with massive PE in the systemic tPA group compared to the other two groups. The median time until post-treatment echocardiogram was two days in both the USAT and systemic tPA groups and six days in the anticoagulation (AC) group (p=0.032). LVOT VTI and other baseline hemodynamic parameters did not statistically differ between the three groups (Table 1 and S1 in Appendix B). However, the systemic tPA group had a lower TAPSE at baseline. In addition, this group appeared to have a larger distribution of patients with moderate to severe RV dilation at baseline.

When comparing the primary outcome between USAT and anticoagulation alone, the percent change in LVOT VTI was significantly higher in the USAT group (37.3 versus 3.7%, p = 0.008). Likewise, there was improvement in LVOT VTI favoring systemic tPA compared to anticoagulation alone, but it did not reach statistical significance. There was no difference in the primary outcome when comparing USAT to systemic tPA (Fig. 1 and Table 2). Although the changes did not reach statistical significance, percent change in left ventricular (LV) Doppler-measured SV and cardiac index (CI) mirrored LVOT VTI findings (Table 2).

With regard to echocardiographic measures of RV dysfunction, there were contrasting findings. Improvements in

| Iable 2. Echocardiographic hemodynamic of | utcomes. |
|-------------------------------------------|----------|
|-------------------------------------------|----------|

| Characteristic                   | USAT (N = 20)                       | Systemic tPA ( $N = 16$ )           | Anticoagulation alone ( $N = 15$ )  | Р     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| LVOT VTI pre-echo                | $14.26 \pm 4.11$                    | 13.51±3.72                          | $15.50\pm3.94$                      | 0.341 |
| LVOT VTI post-echo               | $\textbf{18.49} \pm \textbf{3.19}$  | $16.65 \pm 4.87$                    | $16.29\pm5.20$                      | 0.281 |
| LVOT VTI % change                | $\textbf{37.35} \pm \textbf{39.91}$ | $\textbf{26.46} \pm \textbf{29.13}$ | $3.67\pm16.80$                      | 0.010 |
| RVOT VTI pre-echo                | $\textbf{8.20} \pm \textbf{3.23}$   | $\textbf{6.98} \pm \textbf{2.06}$   | $10.32\pm5.17$                      | 0.147 |
| RVOT VTI post-echo               | $12.77\pm3.82$                      | $\textbf{10.15} \pm \textbf{3.81}$  | $\textbf{9.80} \pm \textbf{3.71}$   | 0.122 |
| RVOT VTI % change                | $\textbf{87.24} \pm \textbf{9.19}$  | 16.12±3.80                          | $\textbf{28.33} \pm \textbf{6.38}$  | 0.167 |
| LV Doppler-measured SV pre-echo  | $55.70\pm20.61$                     | $\textbf{42.77} \pm \textbf{15.57}$ | $54.64\pm$ 19.80                    | 0.124 |
| LV Doppler-measured SV post-echo | $\textbf{67.90} \pm \textbf{17.45}$ | $50.57 \pm 22.56$                   | $\textbf{54.69} \pm \textbf{22.74}$ | 0.045 |
| LV Doppler-measured SV % change  | $\textbf{37.31} \pm \textbf{5.92}$  | $\textbf{18.46} \pm \textbf{3.17}$  | $1.51 \pm 3.04$                     | 0.109 |
| Cardiac index pre-echo           | $\textbf{2.50} \pm \textbf{1.06}$   | $\textbf{1.8}\pm\textbf{0.70}$      | $2.80\pm1.02$                       | 0.034 |
| Cardiac index post-echo          | $\textbf{2.84} \pm \textbf{1.00}$   | $2.1\pm0.67$                        | $2.67 \pm 1.71$                     | 0.196 |
| Cardiac index % change           | $\textbf{36.29} \pm \textbf{9.02}$  | $\textbf{35.01} \pm \textbf{10.01}$ | $-$ 1.79 $\pm$ 5.61                 | 0.412 |
| Heart rate pre-echo              | $96\pm15$                           | 94±29                               | $102\pm23$                          | 0.543 |
| Heart rate post-echo             | $85\pm16$                           | $86\pm17$                           | $88\pm19$                           | 0.856 |

echo: echocardiogram; LV: left ventricle; LVOT VTI: left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral; RVOT VTI: right ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral; SV: stroke volume; tPA: alteplase; USAT: ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis. Plus-minus values are means  $\pm$ SD. PASP paralleled the LVOT VTI findings (Fig. 2). There were no differences in percent change in TAPSE nor RVOT VTI among the three groups (Fig. 3 and Table 2). Compared to pre-intervention, RV/LV ratios in the systemic tPA group were significantly improved post intervention (1.23 versus 0.92, p = 0.002). The percent change of RV/LV ratios was also improved when systemic tPA was compared to both the USAT group (24.8% versus 1.5%, p = 0.025) and anticoagulation alone group (24.8% versus 6.6%, p = 0.023) (Fig. 4).

Baseline heart rate was significantly lower in the systemic tPA group. Heart rate appears to normalize faster, in about 12 hours, in the USAT and systemic tPA groups compared to anticoagulation alone (Fig S4 of Appendix B). However, there was no difference in heart rate at the time of both preand post-echocardiogram between all groups. The mean SBP and SpO<sub>2</sub> were significantly lower at baseline in the systemic tPA group (Fig S2 and S3 of Appendix B). The



**Fig. 2.** The box represents the interquartile range, while the whiskers represent minimum and maximum data. PASP: pulmonary artery systolic pressure; tPA: alteplase; USAT: ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis.



**Fig. 3.** The box represents the interquartile range, while the whiskers represent minimum and maximum data. TAPSE: tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; tPA: alteplase; USAT: ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis.

systemic tPA group required more vasopressors and mechanical ventilation at every time interval except at 48 hours. There was no difference among all three groups in the number of patients completely weaned off vasopressors beyond 48 hours (Tables S2 and S3 of Appendix B).

There was no difference in composite bleeding between all three groups. However, the systemic tPA group had a larger distribution of patients with moderate–severe bleeding, which may be clinically relevant (Table 3). The systemic tPA group had a larger hospital mortality rate and longer ICU length of stay (LOS) compared to the other two groups. Hospital LOS was shorter in the USAT group compared to both systemic tPA and anticoagulation alone. USAT had a longer time until treatment initiation, but a shorter time until long-term anticoagulation transition (Table 3).

# Discussion

Due to the adverse effects of thrombolytic therapy and an unproven benefit on mortality in certain populations, if the clinical decision is made to pursue thrombolysis of acute PE, it is essential that the PE community knows how to best optimize drug delivery and reduce systemic adverse outcomes in order to provide the best possible care for PE patients. This trial demonstrates that when directly comparing USAT with systemic thrombolysis, there are similar effects on the restoration of the echocardiographic measurement of SV. Furthermore, when comparing the effect of thrombolysis on LVOT VTI to the control group, anticoagulation only, USAT appears to have a more favorable response than systemic tPA. This improvement in LVOT VTI correlates with the improvements in PASP, LV Doppler-measured SV, and CI, but not with other markers of echocardiographic RV dysfunction such as TAPSE, RVOT VTI, or RV/LV ratios. These discrepancies may be due to differences in the underlying population that cannot be fully controlled. One could postulate the improved percent change in LVOT VTI with USAT to be a direct result



**Fig. 4.** The box represents the interquartile range, while the whiskers represent minimum and maximum data. RV/LV: right ventricular to left ventricular; tPA: alteplase; USAT: ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis.

|                                                                 |                                      |                                                 | Anticoagulation                      |       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Characteristic                                                  | USAT (N = 20)                        | Systemic tPA ( $N = 16$ )                       | alone ( $N = 15$ )                   | Р     |
| Composite bleeding, n (%)                                       |                                      |                                                 |                                      | 0.179 |
| Mild                                                            | 4 (20)                               | 2 (12.5)                                        | l (6.7)                              |       |
| Moderate                                                        | 0 (0)                                | l (6.3)                                         | 0 (0)                                |       |
| Severe                                                          | 0 (0)                                | 2 (12.5)                                        | 0 (0)                                |       |
| Hemodynamic collapse within seven days of treatment, n (%)      | 2 (10)                               | l (6.3)                                         | l (6.7)                              | 0.899 |
| Hospital mortality, n (%)                                       | l (5)                                | 5 (31.3)                                        | 0 (0)                                | 0.013 |
| ICU LOS (days), (mean $\pm$ std)                                | $\textbf{4.10} \pm \textbf{1.68}$    | $7.50\pm 6.27$                                  | $5.87 \pm 3.07$                      | 0.050 |
| Hospital LOS (days), (mean $\pm$ std)                           | $8.20\pm4.05$                        | $\textbf{15.13} \pm \textbf{13.03}$             | $14.73 \pm 4.10$                     | 0.019 |
| Time until post-treatment echo (days), (median, IQR)            | 2 (1.5–3)                            | 2 (1-3)                                         | 6 (3–9)                              | 0.032 |
| Time until treatment initiation (hours), (mean $\pm$ std)       | $21.40 \pm 21.42$                    | $7.98 \pm 8.97$                                 | $\textbf{8.83} \pm \textbf{12.33}$   | 0.023 |
| Time until transition to long-term AC (hours), (mean $\pm$ std) | $\textbf{65.26} \pm \textbf{38.98}$  | $\textbf{94.10} \pm \textbf{57.48}$             | $137.73 \pm 84.41$                   | 0.006 |
| Six-minute walk test at follow-up (m), (mean $\pm$ std)         | $\textbf{472.00} \pm \textbf{84.92}$ | $\textbf{290.67} \pm \textbf{I} \textbf{58.48}$ | $\textbf{354.00} \pm \textbf{59.40}$ | 0.250 |

#### Table 3. Secondary outcomes.

AC: anticoagulation; ICU: intensive care unit; IQR: interquartile range; LOS: length of stay; tPA: alteplase; USAT: ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis. N (%) may not correlate with sum of each group due to missing data.

of the local administration of drug therapy in combination with ultrasound thrombus disruption and propagation of drug distribution into fibrin clots.

This trial was not powered to detect a statistical difference in composite bleeding outcomes, but did find a higher distribution of patients who had moderate-severe bleeding in the group treated with systemic thrombolytic therapy. There were significantly more patients with massive PE, including cardiac arrest, in the systemic tPA group compared to the other two groups. As expected, there was a higher mortality rate, greater need for vasopressors and mechanical ventilation, and longer ICU and hospital LOS in the systemic tPA group, congruent with this patient populations severity of illness at baseline. Time until treatment initiation was significantly longer in the USAT group, likely due to catheterization lab preparation and patient transportation. In contrast, patients who received USAT were transitioned to long-term anticoagulant choices sooner, potentially hastening disposition. The long hospital LOS and delayed transition to long-term anticoagulation choice in the control group could potentially be contributed to comorbid conditions.

There are several limitations to this study. First, the retrospective nature of the study limits the availability of data, particularly follow-up data, and there is significant patient heterogeneity at baseline between the groups despite not meeting statistical significance. Second, a sample size of convenience was chosen, which does not allow for power calculations. Thus, the trend toward statistical significance of LVOT VTI percent change in the systemic tPA group when compared to AC may have crossed significance with an increasing population sample. Third, due to the retrospective nature of the study, the median time until post-treatment echocardiogram was two days in both the USAT and systemic tPA groups and six days in the AC

group. This difference in timing may allow for improvement in echocardiogram parameters in the AC group. In addition, it was difficult to control for disease severity, as the majority of patients who received systemic thrombolytics were more likely to be hemodynamically unstable at baseline. The increased use of vasopressors in the systemic tPA group likely confounds the interpretation of their baseline echocardiogram parameters. Finally, a significant number of patients were excluded due to missing echocardiogram data, potentially contributing to selection bias.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, this retrospective study of acute submassive or massive PE demonstrated greater improvement in LVOT VTI in patients treated with USAT as compared to AC alone. However, this difference was not seen when comparing systemic tPA to AC alone. This change parallels trends seen in PASP, but not other markers of echocardiographic RV dysfunction (TAPSE and RV/LV ratios). As such, LVOT VTI, rather than echocardiographic markers of RV dysfunction, may be considered a more useful prognostic marker of both dysfunction and improvement after reperfusion therapy.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Bryan Lizza, PharmD, MS, BCCCP for his contributions toward statistical analysis and expertise in SPSS Version 23.

#### Contributorship

DA provided substantial contribution to conception and design of the work; acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data; and drafting and revision of the final manuscript. TC, MC, and DSJ provided substantial contribution to conception and design of the work, interpretation of data, and drafting and revision of the final manuscript. RM provided substantial contribution to acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data; and drafting and revision of the final manuscript. BF provided substantial contribution to interpretation of data, and drafting and revision of the final manuscript. CM provided substantial contribution to revision of the final manuscript.

# **Conflict of interest**

DA, TC, RM, CM, BF, and DSJ have no conflict of interest. MC's conflicts of interest include, Actelion (speaker bureau, consulting, research funding), Gilead (speaker bureau, consulting), United Therapeutics (speaker bureau, consulting, research funding), and Bayer (speaker bureau, consulting).

# **Ethical Approval**

The study was approved under institutional IRB STU00022017. Patient consent was waived given the retrospective nature of the study.

# Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

# Guarantor

TC.

# **ORCID** iDs

Chris Malaisrie D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0704-0334 Daniel Schimmel Jr D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6035-7317

# Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

# References

- Alotaibi GS, Wu C, Senthilselvan A, et al. Secular trends in incidence and mortality of acute venous thromboembolism: the AB-VTE population-based study. *Am J Med* 2016; 129: 879.
- Pengo V, Lensing AW, Prins MH, et al. Incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary embolism. N Engl J Med 2004; 350: 2257–2264.
- Kahn SR, Hirsch AM, Akaberi A, et al. Functional and exercise limitations after a first episode of pulmonary embolism: results of the ELOPE prospective cohort study. *Chest* 2017; 151: 1058–1068.
- 4. Bloomer TL, El-Hayek GE, McDaniel MC, et al. Safety of catheter-directed thrombolysis for massive and submassive

pulmonary embolism: results of a multicenter registry and meta-analysis. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2017; 89: 754–760.

- 5. Meyer G, Vicaut E, Danays T, et al. Fibrinolysis for patients with intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism. *NEJM* 2014; 370: 1402–1411.
- Kucher N, Boekstegers P, Muller OJ, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of ultrasound-assisted catheter-directed thrombolysis for acute intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 2013; 129: 479–486.
- 7. Piazza G, Hohlfelder B, Jaff MR, et al. A prospective, single-arm, multicenter trial of ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed, low-dose fibrinolysis for acute massive and submassive pulmonary embolism: the SEATTLE II study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2015; 8: 1382–1392.
- 8. Kuo WT, Banerjee A, Kim PS, et al. Pulmonary embolism response to fragmentation, embolectomy, and catheter thrombolysis (PERFECT): initial results from a prospective multicenter registry. *Chest* 2015; 148: 667–673.
- Tapson VF, Sterling K, Jones N, et al. A randomized trial of the optimum duration of acoustic pulse thrombolysis procedure in acute intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism: the OPTALYSE PE trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2018; 11: 1401–1410.
- Goldhaber SZ, Haire WD, Feldstein ML, et al. Alteplase versus heparin in acute pulmonary embolism: randomised trial assessing right-ventricular function and pulmonary perfusion. *Lancet* 1993; 341: 507–511.
- Paczynska M, Sobieraj P, Burzynski L, et al. Tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) has superior predictive value compared to right ventricular to left ventricular ratio in normotensive patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Arch Med Sci* 2016; 12: 1008–1014.
- Lobo JL, Holly A, Tapson V, et al. Prognostic significance of tricuspid annular displacement in normotensive patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost* 2014; 12: 1020–1027.
- Yuriditsky E, Mitchell OJ, Sibley RA, et al. Low left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral is associated with poor outcomes in acute pulmonary embolism. *Vasc Med* 2020; 25(2): 133–140.
- Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, et al. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Circulation* 2011; 123: 1788–1830.
- 15. Tan C, Rubenson D, Srivastava A, et al. Left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral outperforms ejection fraction and Doppler-derived cardiac output for predicting outcomes in a select advanced heart failure cohort. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2017; 15: 18.