CLINICAL STUDY

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

Association between MPO-463G > A polymorphism and chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis

Jiaxuan Qin^{a,b,c}, Jinchun Xing^{a,b,c}, Wei Li^{a,b,c}, Kaiyan Zhang^{a,b,c} and Zhun Wu^{a,b,c}

^aDepartment of Urology Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, China; ^bCenter of Diagnosis and Treatment of Urinary System Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, China; ^cThe Key Laboratory of Urinary Tract Tumors and Calculi of Xiamen City, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, China

ABSTRACT

Background/objective: Previous studies have shown that MPO -463G > A (rs2333227) might be associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD) susceptibility, but sample sizes of those studies are relatively small. Hence, we decided to perform a meta-analysis to evaluate the association.

Methods/main results: Two investigators search databases systematically and independently. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were used to pool the effect size. Four articles with 618 cases and 932 controls in total were included in our meta-analysis.

Conclusions: MPO -463G > A was not associated with CKD susceptibility in recessive model and homozygote comparison. MPO -463G > A was associated with increased risk of CKD in allelic comparison, heterozygote comparison and dominant model, however, the results lacked stability. Owing to insufficient data, the association between MPO -463G > A and CKD cannot be fully confirmed.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 31 July 2017 Revised 11 December 2017 Accepted 6 March 2018

KEYWORDS

Myeloperoxidase; single nucleotide polymorphism; rs2333227; chronic kidney disease; meta-analysis

1. Introduction

Myeloperoxidase (MPO) is an oxidative lysosomal enzyme that is available in polymorphonuclear neutrophils and monocytes. MPO utilizes H₂O₂ to generate hypochlorous acid (HClO) and other reactive moieties, which kill pathogens during infections. In contrast, in the setting of sterile inflammation, MPO and MPO-derived oxidants are thought to be pathogenic, promoting inflammation and causing tissue damage [1]. Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) have a number of disorders in the organism. Chronic inflammation joined with oxidative stress contributes to the development of numerous complications: accelerated atherosclerosis process and cardiovascular disease, emergence of type 2 diabetes mellitus, development of malnutrition, anemia, hyperparathyroidism, and so forth, affecting the prognosis and quality of life of patients with CKD [2]. Peripheral blood myeloperoxidase activity increases during hemodialysis [3].

MPO -463G > A (rs2333227) is a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in position -463 of MPO gene's 5'

upstream region. The -463 G creates a stronger SP1 binding site, which can increase MPO expression than -463 A [4].

Previous studies have shown that MPO -463G > A (rs2333227) might be associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD) susceptibility, but sample sizes of those studies are relatively small. Hence, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the association.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Eligible study identification

Without any limitation, two investigators used the following terms to search databases systematically and independently: 'myeloperoxidase or MPO' and 'failure or dialysis or injury or ESRD or nephropathy or chronic kidney disease or CKD or end-stage' and 'renal or kidney' and 'polymorphisms or polymorphism'. PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, clinicaltrials.gov, and CNKI databases were searched up to July 26, 2017. We also searched the references of related reviews and studies manually.

CONTACT Jinchun Xing Dijinchun_xing@163.com Department of Urology Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University; Center of Diagnosis and Treatment of Urinary System Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University; The Key Laboratory of Urinary Tract Tumors and Calculi of Xiamen City, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361003, China

B Supplemental data for this article can be accessed <u>here</u>.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Flow Chart of study selection.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria of this meta-analysis: (1) case-control study about the association between MPO -463G > A polymorphism and human chronic kidney disease; (2) enough genotype data. Exclusion criteria of this meta-analysis: (1) repetitive study (only the study with the largest population was included); (2) lack of enough genotype data; (3) editorial, comment, and review; (4) Genome Wide Association Study; (5) studies in cell lines. Academic dissertation was also reviewed. We try to get detailed genotype data by emailing the author.

On the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria above, two investigators selected studies independently and the investigators resolved divergence by discussion.

2.3. Data extraction of eligible studies

Data were extracted by two investigators independently. The investigators resolve divergence by discussion. The information below were extracted: first author's name, publication year, nephropathy type, cases' and controls' characteristics, control groups' source, country, ethnicity, sample for detection, genotyping method, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, number of cases and controls for each genotype.

2.4. Methodological quality assessment

On the basis of Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) [5], two investigators independently evaluated the qualities of

eligible studies and 'age, gender and country' were set as the most important factor. Quality scores range from 0 to 9, and better quality with higher scores. The investigators resolve divergence by discussion.

2.5. Statistics analysis

On the basis of the PRISMA checklists [6], our meta-analysis was conducted. By Chi-square test, control groups' Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was evaluated for each study, and the significant departure from HWE is p < .05. To assess the strength of the association between MPO -463G > A polymorphism and chronic kidney disease susceptibility, OR and 95% CIs were counted. We got pooled ORs grom respective combination of single studies by allelic comparison (A vs. G), dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG), recessive model (AA vs. GG + GA), homozygote comparison (AA vs. GG) and heterozygote comparison (GA vs. GG). Z-test with p values less than .05 means statistical significant level.

Q-test and I^2 index were used to assess heterogeneity [7]. The random-effects model (DerSimonian and Laird method) was used when Q-test's *p* values was less than .10 and/or I^2 index was more than 50%; otherwise, we performed fixed-effects model (Mantel and Haenszel method) [8]. To assess the effect of each study on combined ORs, sensitivity analyses were conducted towards each genetic model by sequentially excluding each study in total and in any subgroup including more than two studies. Moreover, subgroup analyses were stratified by nephropathy type.

Table	Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis.	es inclu	uded in the meta-	-analysis.										
	Study ID							Case		J	Control			
NO.	MPO -463G $>$ A	Year	Year Country or Area	Ethnicity	Control Type	Genotyping Method	AA	AG	99	AA	AG	99	P for HWE*	Quality
-	Buraczynska K [17]	2004	2004 Poland	Caucasian	PB*	PCR-RFLP	ъ	28	62	4*	37	74	0.812	8
1.1	Diabetic nephropathy						4	9	27	4	37	74		
1.2	Other renal diseases						-	22	35	4	37	74		
2	Bouali H [18]	2007	2007 USA	Caucasian and African American	PB	PCR-RFLP	4	22	16	6	101	167	0.178	8
2.1	Caucasian						-	2	4	4		130	0.132	
2.2	African American						m		12	S		37	0.583	
m	Doi K [19]	2007	2007 Japan	Asian	PB	Fluorescent CE-SSCP analysis	m		326	ŝ	83	404	0.569	8
3.1	Diabetic nephropathy						-	37	97	ŝ		404		
3.2	Other renal diseases						2		229	ŝ	83	404		
3.2.1	Chronic glomerulonephritis						-	56	167	ŝ	83 4	404		
3.2.2	Hypertensive nephrosclerosis						-	6	62	ŝ	83	404		
4	Debadwar S [20]	2016	2016 India	Indian	NA*	PCR-RFLP	-	12	37	-	13	36	0.890	9
*HWE *Cont	*HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; PB: population-based; NA: not available. *Control group shared with other studies were marked as italics.	³ B: popu dies wer	ilation-based; NA: not e marked as italics.	t available.										

The publication bias was evaluated by using Begg's funnel [9] plot and Egger's test [10] in every genetic model. An asymmetric plot, the *p* values of Begg's test (P_B) less than .05, and the *p* values of Egger's test (P_E) less than .05 means a significant publication bias. We did all statistical analyses by using Stata 12.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). Except for specified conditions, two-tailed *p* < .05 means significant. Further statistics analysis was done in allelic comparison (A vs. G) with XLSTAT 2014.4.04 software (Copyright Addinsoft 1995–2014), in which a kind of logistic regression called Correlated Component Regression was used.

3. Results

3.1. Studies' characteristics

In total, we obtained 283 articles from databases (PubMed =39, Embase =62, Cochrane =2, clinicaltrials.gov =0, CNKI =180, other sources (from manually search) = 0). In Figure 1, the selection process was exhibited. In this process, we excluded 6 full-text articles (1 duplicate study [11]; 5 not case-control study [12–16]). In total, 4 articles [17–20] with 618 cases and 932 controls were included finally. In Tables 1 and 2, each study's characteristics were exhibited. In the 4 articles, PCR–RFLP or Fluorescent CE-SSCP analysis were used as genotyping methods, and blood samples were utilized.

3.2. Overall analyses and subgroup analyses

In Table 3, we exhibit the summary results of every genetic model. Significantly increased risk of CKD was found in allelic comparison (A vs. G), heterozy-gote comparison (GA vs. GG) and dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG) of group ORD (other renal diseases), ORD plus, overall and overall plus. Other analyses did not show statistically significant changes of CKD risk.

3.3. Sensitivity analyses

In any comparison and any subgroup including more than two studies, sensitivity analyses were conducted. Because only two studies were included in DN (diabetic nephropathy), sensitivity analyses could not be done.

In group ORD, ORD plus, overall and overall plus, when study Doi K [19] was excluded, statistically different results were gained in allelic comparison (A vs. G), heterozygote comparison (GA vs. GG) and dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG). When study Bouali H [18]

Study ID	Case	Control
Buraczynska K [17]	37 ESRD* patients from diabetic nephropathy treated with peritoneal dialysis (33 of 37 have hyperten- sion); 58 ESRD patients from other primary renal diseases treated with dialysis (49 of 58 have hypertension).	115 Healthy individuals (mainly blood donors and hos- pital employees) with normal blood urea level, serum creatinine, and blood pressure.
Bouali H [18]	7 Caucasian SLE* patients with lupus nephritis; 35 African American SLE patients with lupus nephritis. All patients were biopsy-confirmed Class III or IV lupus nephritis	Matched controls (203 Caucasian and 74 African American) were randomly selected from state driv- er's license registries.
Doi K [19]	431 ESRD patients treated with hemodialysis : (224 chronic glomerulonephritis, 135 diabetic nephrop- athy and 72 hypertensive nephrosclerosis.).	490 Healthy individuals from routine health checkups without urinary abnormality, renal dysfunction, or hyperglycemia.
Debadwar S [20]	50 patients with CKD* (stages 3 to 5).	50 Healthy controls.

Table 2. Characteristics of cases and controls.

*ESRD: end-stage renal disease; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; CKD: chronic kidney disease.

Table 3. Summary of pooled ORs in the meta-analysis.

	Number	A vs. G		AA vs. G	AA vs. GG		GA vs. GG		GG	AA vs. $GG + GA$	
	(cases/ controls)	OR ^a (95%Cl ^a)	l ² (%)	OR(95%CI)	l ² (%)	OR(95%CI)	l ² (%)	OR(95%CI)	l ² (%)	OR(95%Cl)	l ² (%)
Overall (1*, 2.1, 2.2, 3, 4)	618/932	1.306 (1.048-1.626)**	0.0	1.609 (0.728–3.555)	0.0	1.339 (1.038-1.728)	0.0	1.354 (1.056-1.737)	0.0	1.460 (0.673-3.170)	0.0
Overall plus (1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 4)	618/2027	1.303 (1.076-1.577)	0.0	1.588 (0.788–3.202)	0.0	1.329 (1.066-1.656)	44.4	1.345 (1.084-1.669)	27.8	1.484 (0.746-2.953)	0.0
DN ^a (1.1, 3.1)	172/605	1.358 (0.791-2.334)	50.9	2.243 (0.675–7.461)	0.0	0.971 (0.239-3.948)	85.7	1.179 (0.439-3.164)	78.1	2.448 (0.752-7.967)	0.0
ORD ^a (1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.2)	396/882	1.305 (1.015-1.679)	0.0	1.382 (0.537–3.554)	0.0	1.396 (1.041-1.871)	0.0	1.390 (1.042-1.853)	0.0	1.175 (0.465-2.968)	9.5
ORD plus (1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.2.1, 3.2.2)	396/1372	1.287 (1.013-1.635)	0.0	1.391 (0.559–3.460)	0.0	1.362 (1.033-1.797)	15.8	1.359 (1.036-1.783)	4.1	1.195 (0.487-2.929)	0.0

^aOR: Odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; DN: diabetic nephropathy; ORD: other renal diseases.

*NO of studies included in the meta-analysis.

**Results with statistical significant difference were marked as bold. Unstable results in sensitivity analyses were marked as italic. Less than three studies were included in DN, so that sensitivity analyses could not be performed.

was excluded, statistically different results were gained in heterozygote comparison (GA vs. GG) overall, and in allelic comparison (A vs. G), heterozy-gote comparison (GA vs. GG) and dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG) of ORD and ORD plus. (Table 3 and Supplementary data)

Other results showed stability in sensitivity analyses. (Table 3 and Supplementary data)

3.4. Publication bias

The publication bias was evaluated by using Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test in every genetic model. In Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test, symmetry of funnel plot, p values of Begg's test (P_B) and p values of Egger's test (P_E) were used. We did not find significant publication bias. (Supplementary data)

3.5. Correlated component regression

Further statistics analysis was done in allelic comparison (A vs. G) by using a kind of logistic regression called Correlated Component Regression (CCR). CCR provides reliable predictions even with near multicollinear data. Near multicollinearity occurs when a large number of correlated predictors and relatively small sample size exists as well as situations involving a relatively small number of correlated predictors [21]. In our CCR Logistic, 10 rounds of 10-fold cross-validation was performed. In the goodness of fit statistics, AUC (area under curve) of cross-validation was 0.479 (SD =0.009, SE =1.62e-4).

4. Discussion

In group ORD, ORD plus, overall and overall plus, we found MPO -463G > A was not associated with CKD susceptibility in recessive model (AA vs. GG + GA) and homozygote comparison (AA vs. GG), and the results showed stability in sensitivity analyses and no publication bias.

In group ORD, ORD plus, overall and overall plus, we found MPO -463G > A was associated with increased risk of CKD in allelic comparison (A vs. G), heterozygote comparison (GA vs. GG) and dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG), however, the results lacked stability. Mostly, the stability was affected by study Doi K [19] and Bouali H [18]. The weight of study Doi K [19] in those meta-analysis is about



Figure 2. Forest plot with a fixed effects model for the association between chronic kidney disease and MPO -463G > A in allelic comparison (G vs. T). For each study, the estimate of OR and its 95% CI is plotted with a box and a horizontal line. Rhombus: pooled OR and its 95% CI.

50% (such as Figure 2), which might shake the stability. When study Bouali H [18] was excluded, statistically different results were obtained in heterozygote comparison (GA vs. GG) overall, and in allelic comparison (A vs. G), heterozygote comparison (GA vs. GG) and dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG) of ORD and ORD plus. It seems study Bouali H [18] mostly affects group ORD. Glomerulonephritis, hypertensive nephrosclerosis, and diabetic nephropathy are the major pathogeny of CKD. Study by Bouali H [18] is about SLE patients with lupus nephritis, which might be different from the rest.

In group DN, we cannot conduct sensitivity analyses and publication bias analyses.

Moreover, our meta-analysis has several limitations. To date, only four eligible studies can be found and performed meta-analysis. Due to scanty data, subgroup analyses could not be performed well, and in some subgroups, sensitivity analyses and publication bias analyses could not be done. The controls were shared with each other in some studies, which were counted repeatedly. We might miss unpublished studies or studies written by other languages.

In Correlated Component Regression, AUC (area under curve) of cross-validation was 0.479 (SD =0.009, SE =1.62e-4). AUC \langle 0.5 might indicate a weak association between MPO -463G > A and CKD susceptibility.

In conclusion, our results suggested that: MPO -463G > A was not associated with CKD susceptibility in

the recessive model and homozygote comparison. MPO -463G > A was associated with increased risk of CKD in allelic comparison, heterozygote comparison and dominant model, however, the results lacked stability. Owing to insufficient data, the association between MPO -463G > A and CKD cannot be fully confirmed, and the result should be explained carefully. Well-designed study with enough data are needed to perfect the current meta-analysis.

Acknowledgment

Recently, we (Jiaxuan Qin, Jinchun Xing) have published another meta-analysis paper titled 'Association between CD40 rs1883832 and immune-related diseases susceptibility: A meta-analysis'. The published paper has no relationship with this manuscript. The published paper and this manuscript used the same statistical method called 'meta-analysis' to study two totally different scientific questions. Owing to the strict report specification (we used PRISMA [6] in both studies) of meta-analysis and the first author's writing habits (Jiaxuan Qin), similarity could be found in several sections between the published paper and this manuscript, which needs to be acknowledged here.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work was supported by The Science Fund founded by the First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University for Young Scholars (Project Number: XYY2016011; Recipient: Jiaxuan Qin) and Fujian Provincial Department of Science and Technology (Project Number: 2016D009; Recipient: Jinchun Xing).

References

- [1] Strzepa A, Pritchard KA, Dittel BN. Myeloperoxidase: a new player in autoimmunity. Cell Immunol. 2017;317:1–8.
- [2] Kisic B, Miric D, Dragojevic I, et al. Role of myeloperoxidase in patients with chronic kidney disease. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2016;2016:1069743.
- [3] Rutgers A, Heeringa P, Kooman JP, et al. Peripheral blood myeloperoxidase activity increases during hemodialysis. Kidney Int. 2003;64:760.
- [4] Piedrafita FJ, Molander RB, Vansant G, et al. An Alu element in the myeloperoxidase promoter contains a composite SP1-thyroid hormone-retinoic acid response element. J Biol Chem. 1996;271:14412–14420.
- [5] Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses; [cited 2017 June 21]. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/nosgen.pdf
- [6] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. J Clin Epidemiol 2009;62:1006–1012.
- [7] Higgins J, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002;21:1539–1558.
- [8] DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7:177–188.
- [9] Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 1994;50:1088–1101.
- [10] Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, et al. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315:629–634.
- [11] Buraczynska K, Koziol-Montewka M, Majdan M, et al. Polymorphisms of tumor necrosis factor and myeloperoxidase genes in patients with chronic renal failure on peritoneal dialysis. Mol Diagn. 2003;7:175–180.

- [12] Pecoits-Filho R, Stenvinkel P, Marchlewska A, et al. A functional variant of the myeloperoxidase gene is associated with cardiovascular disease in endstage renal disease patients. Kidney Int Suppl. 2003;63:S172–S176.
- [13] Krajewska M, Kościelska-Kasprzak K, Weyde W, et al. Impact of donor-dependent genetic factors on long-term renal graft function. Transplant Proc. 2009;41:2978–2980.
- [14] Krajewska M, Kościelska-Kasprzak K, Weyde W, et al. Recipient genetic determinants of inflammatory process and nonstandard atherosclerosis risk factors affect kidney graft function early posttransplantation. Transplant Proc. 2009;41:3060–3062.
- [15] Katakami N, Kume S, Kaneto H, et al. Association of myeloperoxidase G-463A gene polymorphism with diabetic nephropathy in Japanese type 2 diabetic subjects. Endocr J. 2013;60:457–471.
- [16] Grahl DA, Axelsson J, Nordfors L, et al. Associations between the CYBA 242C/T and the MPO -463G/A polymorphisms, oxidative stress and cardiovascular disease in chronic kidney disease patients. Blood Purif. 2007;25:210–218.
- [17] Buraczynska K, Koziol-Montewka M, Majdan M, et al. Genetic determination of TNF and myeloperoxidase production in dialyzed patients with diabetic nephropathy. Ren Fail. 2004;26:633–639.
- [18] Bouali H, Nietert P, Nowling TM, et al. Association of the G-463A myeloperoxidase gene polymorphism with renal disease in African Americans with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol. 2007;34:2028–2034.
- [19] Doi K, Noiri E, Maeda R, et al. Functional polymorphism of the myeloperoxidase gene in hypertensive nephrosclerosis dialysis patients. Hypertens Res. 2007;30:1193–1198.
- [20] Debadwar S, Sharma S, Sikka M, et al. Assessment of myeloperoxidase (MPO) gene polymorphism in patients with chronic kidney Disease (CKD). Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus. 2016;32:S400.
- [21] Magidson J. Correlated component regression: rethinking regression in the presence of near collinearity. Vol. 56. New York: Springer ; 2013. p. 65–78.