# Incidence and Characteristics of Clinical L5-S1 **Adjacent Segment Degeneration after L5 Floating** Lumbar Fusion: A Multicenter Study

Norihiko Takegami<sup>1</sup>, Koji Akeda<sup>1</sup>, Junichi Yamada<sup>1</sup>, Takao Imanishi<sup>2</sup>, Tatsuhiko Fujiwara<sup>1</sup>, Tetsushi Kondo<sup>2</sup>, Kenji Takegami<sup>3</sup>, Akihiro Sudo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, Tsu, Japan <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Murase Hospital, Suzuka, Japan <sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Saiseikai Matsusaka General Hospital, Matsusaka, Japan

Study Design: Retrospective study.

Purpose: This study aimed to evaluate the incidence, characteristics, and risk factors for clinical L5–S1 adjacent segment degeneration (ASD) after L5 floating lumbar fusion.

Overview of Literature: ASD is known to occur after lumbar spine fusion at a certain frequency. Several studies on radiological L5-S1 ASD have been reported. However, there are only a few studies on L5-S1 ASD with clinical symptoms, including back pain and/or radiculopathy.

Methods: In total, 306 patients who received L5 floating lumbar fusion were included in this study. Clinical L5–S1 ASD was defined as newly developed radiculopathy in relation to the L5-S1 segment. Patients' medical records and imaging data were retrospectively analyzed. The risk factors for clinical ASD were assessed by an inverse probability of treatment weighting-adjusted logistic regression analysis.

Results: Clinical L5–S1 ASD occurred in 17 patients (5.6%). The mean onset time of L5–S1 ASD was 12.9±7.5 months after the primary surgery. Among these patients, 10 (58.8%) presented with clinical L5-S1 ASD within 12 months. Reoperation was performed in three patients (1.0%). The severity of L5–S1 disk degeneration did not affect the occurrence of L5–S1 ASD. Logistic regression analysis showed that the number of fusion levels was a significant risk factor for clinical L5-S1 ASD.

Conclusions: The incidence and characteristics of clinical L5–S1 ASD after L5 floating lumbar fusion were retrospectively investigated. This study established that the number of fusion levels was a significant candidate factor for clinical L5-S1 ASD. Careful clinical follow-up is deemed necessary after L5 floating lumbar fusion surgery, especially for patients who received multiple-level fusions.

Keywords: Adjacent segment degeneration; L5–S1 segment; Clinical symptom; Risk factors

# Introduction

to movement at intervertebral structures and increase intervertebral disk height and foramen space, is considered a stabilizing treatment that significantly improves the

Lumbar fusion, which aims to relieve back pain attributed

Received Sep 28, 2021; Revised Feb 10, 2022; Accepted Mar 3, 2022 Corresponding author: Koji Akeda

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-174 Edobashi, Tsu city, Mie, 514-8507, Japan Tel: +81-59-231-5022, Fax: +81-59-231-5211, E-mail: k\_akeda@clin.medic.mie-u.ac.jp



Copyright © 2023 by Korean Society of Spine Surgery This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Asian Spine Journal • pISSN 1976-1902 eISSN 1976-7846 • www.asianspinejournal.org

surgical outcomes for patients with degenerative lumbar diseases. The use of lumbar fusion surgeries has grown dramatically in the past decades [1,2].

However, adjacent segment degeneration (ASD) occurs after lumbar spine fusion at a certain frequency due to increases in intervertebral stress and adjacent segment motions [3-5]. As per a systematic review [6], the occurrence of radiographic and clinical ASD after lumbar spinal fusion surgery ranges from 5% to 77% and from 0% to 27%, with a pooled prevalence of 26.6% and 8.5%, respectively.

The L5-S1 segment has a distinctive segmental motion and biomechanical properties that differ from other lumbar segments [7,8]. The iliolumbar ligament is described as a ligamentous structure in the lumbosacral region that firmly binds the L5-S1 segment and/or sacroiliac joint. The iliolumbar ligament has been described as the most important ligament for restraining movement at the lumbosacral junction [9,10]. Clinically, the incidence of cranial ASD after spinal fusion extending to the sacrum is significantly higher than after L5 floating fusion [11]. A biomechanical study using finite element models demonstrated that more distal fusion levels, particularly in spinal fusion, including the L5-S1 level, can lead to a greater risk of proximal junctional kyphosis and failure [12]. Therefore, there has been controversy about whether the L5-S1 segment without clinical symptoms should be included in the fusion segment. Although studies on radiological L5-S1 ASD have been reported [13-15], only a few studies have been reported on L5-S1 ASD with clinical symptoms, including low back pain and neuropathic pain (clinical L5–S1 ASD).

Therefore, this retrospective study has aimed to evaluate the incidence, characteristics, and risk factors for clinical L5–S1 ASD after L5 floating lumbar fusion in a multicenter study.

## **Materials and Methods**

### 1. Subjects

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mie University Hospital (Tsu, Japan; institutional review board reference no., H2020-028). Informed consent was obtained in the form of optout on the website. Lumbar spinal fusions were performed for 497 patients between April 2007 and June 2017 in three institutions. Among these, 306 patients (61.6%) who received L5 floating lumbar fusion were included in this study. Preoperative diagnoses for L5 floating surgery were degenerative spondylolisthesis (n=198), lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS; n=75), LSS with degenerative scoliosis (Cobb angle <20°; n=19), LSS with vertebral fracture (n=6), LSS with disk herniation (n=5), and LSS with spondylolysis (n=3). The inclusion criteria for subjects of this study were those patients who (1) underwent L5 floating fusion (the upper instrumented vertebra [UIV] was L1-L4, and the lower instrumented vertebra was L5) and (2) had a follow-up period of >12 months. The exclusion criteria for subjects of this study included those patients who underwent (1) reoperation, (2) decompression at L5-S1, (3) degenerative scoliosis (Cobb angle  $>20^\circ$ ), (4) long fusion across the thoracolumbar spine, and (5) operation for malignant disease. The medical records and imaging data of patients who received L5 floating lumbar fusion were retrospectively analyzed.

Clinical L5–S1 ASD was defined as newly developed back pain and/or radiculopathy in relation to the L5–S1 segment, including disk herniation, canal stenosis, and foraminal stenosis, as identified by computed tomography (CT) and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) without significant canal stenosis at the L4–L5 level [8]. A representative case is shown in Fig. 1.

The following items were evaluated: age at primary operation, gender, body mass index (BMI), medical complications (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, osteoporosis, and use of immunosuppressive agent), preoperative Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) score [16,17], preoperative L5–S1 disk degeneration, number of fusion levels, operative procedure, onset time of clinical symptoms, and medical treatment for clinical symptoms. The JOA score consisted of scores for low back pain, leg pain, walking distance, straight leg raising, sensory deficit, motor deficit, activities of daily living, and urological symptoms [16,17].

### 2. Control groups

To identify the risk factors for clinical ASD, a control group was isolated from patients without ASD who were matched in a 1:2 manner to the ASD patients according to age, gender, and follow-up duration; however, the groups were not matched by body height, body weight, BMI, operative procedure, and number of fusion levels, as reported previously [18]. There were no significant differences in terms of age, gender, body height, body weight, BMI,



**Fig. 1.** Representative case of clinical L5–S1 adjacent segment degeneration (ASD). A 68-year-old man received lateral lumbar interbody fusion with pedicle screw fixation from L3 to L5 for lumbar spinal stenosis. Adjacent segment degeneration at L5–S1 occurred 5 months after surgery. (**A**) Postoperative lumbar lateral radiograph. (**B**) Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination was performed when neurological symptoms occurred 5 months after surgery. Right foraminal stenosis at L5–S1 was identified by MRI examination (dotted circle). (**C**) Right L5 spinal nerve block was performed under X-ray fluoroscopy.

operation procedure, number of fusion levels, and followup period between the control and nonASD patients.

### 3. Classification of disk degeneration

The degree of disk degeneration was evaluated by sagittal T2-weighted lumbar MRI and graded according to Pfirrmann's classification from grades I to V [19]. Grades II and III were defined as early stages of intervertebral disk degeneration, and grades IV and V were defined as advanced stages, as reported previously [20,21].

### 4. Diagnosis of vacuum phenomenon

To evaluate disk ruptures associated with disk degeneration, intradiscal vacuum phenomena (VPs) were evaluated by the presence of areas of gaseous radiolucency using multidetector CT imaging, as has been previously reported [20].

### 5. Statistical analysis

The Student t-test was used to determine the differences in the number of fusion levels, body height, body weight, and BMI between the ASD and control groups. The dif-

ferences in medical complications, preoperative L5-S1 disk degeneration, and preoperative L5-S1 intradiscal VP between the ASD and control groups were analyzed using the  $\chi^2$  test. The inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score was applied to balance the ASD and control groups for their patient and treatment characteristics [22,23]. This method uses weights based on the propensity score to create a synthetic sample, in which the distribution of measured baseline and treatment covariates is independent of the group assignment. In this way, the patient groups are made similar to each other, and outcome measures can be compared between similar patients. The propensity score was estimated without regard to outcome variables using multiple logistic regression analysis. To evaluate the risk factors for clinical L5-S1 ASD, bivariate comparisons of patient characteristics in the patient groups after IPTW adjustment were performed using general linear modeling. "Operative procedure" was excluded from the candidate factors because there was a statistically significant relationship between "operative procedure" and "number of fusion levels." All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Significance was accepted at p < 0.05.

# **Results**

L5–S1 ASD with neurological manifestation in the lower extremities occurred in 17 patients (5.6%) after L5 floating lumbar fusion (Table 1).

The mean age at the time of surgery was  $67.1\pm9.8$  years. The preoperative mean JOA score was  $11.2\pm4.8$ . The mean onset time of L5–S1 ASD was  $12.9\pm7.5$  months after the primary surgery. In ten patients (58.8%), clinical L5–S1 ASD occurred within 1 year after the primary surgery. Conservative treatments were performed in 14 patients (82.4%), and reoperation was performed in three patients (17.6%).

MRI analysis showed the early stage of degeneration (Pfirrmann grades II and III) in the preoperative L5–S1 disk in 11 patients (64.7%) and the advanced stage (Pfirrmann grades IV and V) in six patients (35.3%) (Fig. 2A). CT analysis showed the presence of intradiscal VP in the preoperative L5–S1 disk in six patients (35.3%) (Fig. 2B).

Thirty-four patients (16 males and 18 females) were enrolled as the control group (Table 2). The mean age of the control group was  $69.7\pm8.5$  years, and the mean number of fusion levels of the control group was  $1.6\pm0.7$ . Seven patients in the control group received lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF), whereas 27 patients received posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF). There were no



**Fig. 2.** The extent of preoperative disc degeneration of clinical L5–S1 adjacent segment degeneration patients. **(A)** Magnetic resonance imaging analysis showed early-stage degeneration (Pfirrmann grades II and III) in the preoperative L5–S1 disc in 11 patients (64.7%) and advanced stage degeneration (Pfirrmann grades IV and V) in six patients (35.3%). **(B)** Computed tomography analysis showed that intradiscal vacuum phenomenon (VP) was present in the preoperative L5–S1 disc in six patients (35.3%). Values are presented as number (%).

 Table 1. Clinical L5–S1 adjacent segment degeneration following L5 floating lumbar fusion

No.	Age (yr)	Gender	Operative procedure	No. of fusion levels	Symptomatic spinal nerve level	Onset time (mo)	Medical treatment
1	46	F	PLIF	1	L5	3	Reoperation
2	76	F	PLIF	1	L5	3	Medication
3	68	Μ	LLIF	2	L5	5	Nerve root block
4	54	Μ	LLIF	1	L5	8	Medication
5	63	F	LLIF	2	L5	8	Reoperation
6	76	Μ	PLIF	2	S1	8	Medication
7	76	F	LLIF	3	L5	8	Nerve root block
8	76	Μ	PLIF	4	L5	9	Nerve root block
9	72	F	PLIF	1	S1	10	Medication
10	46	Μ	LLIF	3	L5	12	Reoperation
11	69	F	PLIF	1	S1	14	Nerve root block
12	75	F	LLIF	3	L5	14	Nerve root block
13	70	F	LLIF	3	L5	21	Nerve root block
14	72	Μ	PLF	1	S1	22	Nerve root block
15	74	Μ	PLF	1	L5	23	Nerve root block
16	60	F	PLIF	1	L5	24	Medication
17	68	Μ	PLIF	2	L5	27	Medication
Average	67.1	M=8 F=9	LLIF=7 PLIF=8 PLF=2	1.9	L5=13 S1=4	12.9	

F, female; M, male; PLIF, posterior lumbar interbody fusion; LLIF, lateral lumbar interbody fusion; PLF, posterolateral fusion.

# ASIAN SPINE JOURNAL

significant differences in terms of age, gender, number of fusion levels, BMI, medical complications, preoperative L5–S1disc degeneration, and preoperative L5–S1 intradiscal VP between the control and ASD groups (Table 2). IPTW-adjusted logistic regression analysis showed that the number of fusion levels was a significant factor associated with clinical L5–S1 ASD groups (Table 3).

#### Table 2. Comparisons between the ASD group and the control group

Characteristic	Control group (n=34)	ASD group (n=17)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (yr)	69.7±8.5	67.1±10.1	0.351
Gender			1.000
Male	16	8	
Female	18	9	
Operative procedure			0.024
Lateral lumbar interbody fusion	7	7	
Posterior lumbar interbody fusion	27	8	
Posterolateral fusion	-	2	
No. of fusion levels	1.6±0.7	1.9±1.0	0.280
Body height (m)	1.58±0.09	1.57±0.08	0.551
Body weight (kg)	62.3±11.1	58.9±11.8	0.325
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.7±2.99	24.0±4.61	0.565
Complications			
Hypertension	18 (52.9)	7 (41.2)	0.428
Diabetes mellitus	8 (23.5)	3 (17.6)	0.630
Osteoporosis	8 (23.5)	4 (23.5)	1.000
Immunosuppressive agent	4 (11.7)	3 (17.6)	0.565
Preoperative L5–S1 disc degeneration			0.685
Grade I–III	20	11	
Grade IV–V	14	6	
Preoperative L5-S1 intradiscal VP	14 (41.2)	6 (35.3)	0.685

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation, number, or number (%). The bold type is considered statistically significant. ASD, adjacent segment degeneration; VP, vacuum phenomenon.

Table 3.	Inverse probability c	of treatment weighting-ac	djusted logistic	regression and	alysis to evaluate	e risk factors for cl	inical L5–S1	adjacent s	egment degenera	tior
	. ,	0 0	, ,	0	/			,	0 0	

Variable	В	SE	<i>p</i> -value	Odds ratio (95% CI)
No. of fusion levels	1.06	0.47	0.02	2.87 (1.15–7.18)
Body mass index	-0.06	0.15	0.68	0.94 (0.71–1.25)
Hypertension	0.86	0.69	0.21	2.36 (0.62–9.06)
Diabetes mellitus	0.20	0.86	0.82	1.22 (0.23–6.59)
Osteoporosis	0.30	1.04	0.77	1.35 (0.18–10.28)
Immunosuppressive agent	-0.34	1.48	0.82	0.71 (0.04–12.97)
Preoperative L5–S1 disc degeneration (grade IV–V)	-0.01	0.03	0.74	0.99 (0.94–1.04)
Preoperative L5–S1 intradiscal VP	0.72	0.85	0.40	2.05 (0.39–10.81)

The bold type is considered statistically significant.

SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval; VP, vacuum phenomenon.

# Discussion

The incidence and characteristics of clinical L5–S1 ASD after L5 floating lumbar fusion were retrospectively investigated. The incidence was 5.6%, and the reoperation rate was 1.0%.

The six clinical studies that evaluated clinical L5–S1 ASD are summarized in Table 4. According to these studies, the incidence of clinical ASD was 5.2%–8.7% [8,24,25], and the reoperation rate was 1.6%–4.8% [4,8,11,24-26]. These incidences were comparable to those in this study.

Ushio et al. [26] evaluated patients who underwent floating fusion surgery at vertebrae L3-L5, whereas Choi et al. [25] and Ghiselli et al. [27] investigated patients who underwent lumbar fusion only at the L4-L5 segment. In the other studies, the UIV was not determined; this was similar to the criteria of this study. The number of subjects in this study (306 patients who underwent L5 floating lumbar fusion) was significantly higher than those in previous studies; therefore, the large sample size was a strength of this study. In this study, the IPTW-adjusted logistic regression analysis showed that the number of fusion levels was the significant factor associated with clinical L5-S1 ASD. Therefore, the number of fusion levels may be the most important factor associated with clinical L5-S1 ASD development. Many LLIF surgeries were performed for multilevel fusion in this series, and the number of fusion levels in patients who received LLIF was significantly higher than in patients who received PLIF/ posterolateral fusion (data not shown).

The risk factors for L5–S1 clinical ASD were evaluated in three studies [8,24,25]. Lee et al. [8] concluded that preoperative spinal stenosis of the L5–S1 segment was a risk factor for clinical ASD; however, the incidence of L5– S1 ASD (8.7%) and reoperation rate (4.3%) in their study was higher than those in this and other studies. Although spinal stenosis was not evaluated in this study, patients with spinal stenosis at the L5–S1 segment before surgery might have undergone decompression and/or fusion surgeries in this series dependent on the surgeon's decision.

Choi et al. [25] evaluated L5–S1 ASD after L4–L5 interbody fusion and determined whether preexisting L5–S1 degeneration influenced radiological and clinical outcomes. They reported that clinical ASD at L5–S1 occurred in three patients (5.2%) and that one patient (1.7%) required surgery. Clinical ASD developed in one patient (4.5%) in the group with preexisting degeneration

Table 4. Clinical L5–S1 adjacent segment degeneration

Author	Subjects	s Age (yr)	Study design	Follow-up	Fusion levels	Surgical procedures	Incidence	Assessment	Risk factors	Onset
Ushio [26] (2020)	20	71 (43–90)	Retrospective study	44 (12–94) mo	L3–L5	IBF and/or PLF	Reoperation=4.0%	Reoperation	Not evaluated	Not evaluated
Choi [25] (2015)	28	54.5±8.3	Retrospective study	9.4±2.1 yr; minimum: 7 yr	L4–L5	Mini-ALIF or MIS-TLIF with PSF	5.2%; reoperation=1.7%	VAS; ODI; reopera- tion	No significant difference between groups with and without pre-existing degeneration	Not evaluated
Lee [8] (2015)	115	58.2 (23–77)	) Retrospective study	46.1 (24–89) mo	L45 (n=64); L35 (n=43); L25 (n=8)	TLIF	8.7%; reoperation=4.3%	Newly developed back pain and/or radiculopathy	Spinal stenosis at L5–S1	The 36- and 60-month survival rates were 91.2% and 87.6%
Orita [24] (2015)	125	66.8±11.3	Retrospective study	25.2 mo	1.7±0.98 levels	TLF	6.4%; reoperation=1.6%	Newly developed L5 radiculopathy	Gender (male); number of fused levels (>2); L5–S1 wedging; foraminal ratio	13.3 month after surgery
Liao [11] (2011)	83	59.2±8.9	Retrospective study	75.2±11.1 mo	L45 (n=59); L35 (n=21); L25 (n=3)	PLF	Reoperation=4.8%	Reoperation	Not evaluated	Not evaluated
Ghiselli [27] (2003)	32	56.4 (27–77)	Retrospective study	7.3 (2.3–12.4) yr	L4–L5	PLF	Reoperation=3.1%	Reoperation	Not evaluated	7.9 yr (only one case)
Values are presente IBF, interbody fusion ODI, Oswestry Disal	ed as numt ۲, PLF, post cility Index	oer, median (ra terolateral fus <.	ange), or mean±standai ion; mini-ALIF, mini-op	rd deviation. Jen anterior lumb	ar interbody fusion; N	IIS, minimally invasive; T	LIF, transforaminal lumbar	interbody fusion; PSF	; pedicle screw fixation; VA	S, Visual Analog Scale;

and two patients (5.7%) in the group without preexisting degeneration. They concluded that preexisting L5–S1 degeneration did not affect the occurrence of clinical and radiological ASD after isolated L4–5 fusion. Similarly, this study showed that L5–S1 clinical ASD occurred in patients with early-stage disk degeneration at L5–S1 before surgery. Choi et al. [25] and this study suggested that preoperative disk degeneration may not be associated with the development of clinical ASD. This study also evaluated intradiscal VPs using CT analysis for evaluation of disk rupture associated with disk degeneration and found no significant association between preoperative intradiscal VPs and the occurrence of clinical ASD.

Orita et al. [24] reported that multivariate logistic regression analysis of possible risk factors for L5–S1 ASD revealed that gender (male), L5–S1 wedging angle, foraminal ratio, and multilevel fusion were statistically significant. In their study, the candidate risk factors were evaluated by radiographic parameters, including the wedging angle of L5–S1 in the frontal view, lumbosacral height in the sagittal view in standing lumbar X-ray images, and bilateral foraminal ratio in sagittal T1 WI in MRI. The differences in evaluation criteria could lead to different results between this study and Orita et al. [24].

Only one study reported that the average onset time of L5–S1 clinical ASD was 13.3 months after the primary surgery [24]. In this study, the mean onset time of L5–S1 clinical ASD was 12.9 $\pm$ 7.5 months after the surgery. Importantly, 10 patients (55.6%) in this cohort suffered from symptoms of L5–S1 clinical ASD within 1 year after the surgery, which is a relatively shorter time.

This study has some limitations. First, because this study was retrospective, the criteria for performing L5–S1 fusion at the primary surgery were not unified. Second, the degree of preoperative foraminal stenosis, central stenosis, and facet joint degeneration at the L5–S1 level was not evaluated preoperatively. Third, preoperative and postoperative spinal alignments that might influence L5–S1 ASD were not evaluated. Finally, the degenerative changes of the L5–S1 disk at ASD onset were not evaluated. Therefore, the temporal changes of L5–S1 disk degeneration after L5 floating fusion remain unknown.

# Conclusions

This was a retrospective multicenter study for the incidence of clinical L5–S1 ASD after L5 floating lumbar fusion with the highest number of subjects compared to previous studies. The incidence of clinical L5–S1 ASD was 5.6%, and the reoperation ratio was 1.0%. Furthermore, the onset time of L5–S1 ASD of >50% of subjects was within 1 year after the primary surgery. Interestingly, the severity of L5–S1 disk degeneration did not contribute to the onset of clinical L5–S1 ASD. This study has identified that the number of fusion levels was a significant risk factor for clinical L5–S1 ASD. Careful clinical follow-up after L5 floating lumbar fusion, especially for patients who received multiple-level fusions, is necessary because L5–S1 ASD could develop relatively early after the surgery, even in cases without preoperative L5–S1 disk degeneration.

# **Conflict of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## **Author Contributions**

NT performed data acquisition and statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. KA helped with data acquisition and statistical analyses, drafted the manuscript, conceived this study, and made substantial contributions to the study design. JY, TI, TF, TK, and KT performed data acquisition, and revised the manuscript. AS contributed to the study design and coordination and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the data analysis, drafting or revising the article, agreed on the journal, to which the article will be submitted, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

### References

- Resnick DK, Watters WC 3rd, Sharan A, et al. Guideline update for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 9: lumbar fusion for stenosis with spondylolisthesis. J Neurosurg Spine 2014;21:54-61.
- Lang Z, Li JS, Yang F, et al. Reoperation of decompression alone or decompression plus fusion surgeries for degenerative lumbar diseases: a systematic review. Eur Spine J 2019;28:1371-85.
- 3. Hashimoto K, Aizawa T, Kanno H, Itoi E. Adjacent segment degeneration after fusion spinal surgery: a

systematic review. Int Orthop 2019;43:987-93.

- 4. Ghasemi AA. Adjacent segment degeneration after posterior lumbar fusion: an analysis of possible risk factors. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2016;143:15-8.
- 5. Di Martino A, Quattrocchi CC, Scarciolla L, Papapietro N, Beomonte Zobel B, Denaro V. Estimating the risk for symptomatic adjacent segment degeneration after lumbar fusion: analysis from a cohort of patients undergoing revision surgery. Eur Spine J 2014;23 Suppl 6:693-8.
- Xia XP, Chen HL, Cheng HB. Prevalence of adjacent segment degeneration after spine surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2013;38:597-608.
- Miyasaka K, Ohmori K, Suzuki K, Inoue H. Radiographic analysis of lumbar motion in relation to lumbosacral stability: investigation of moderate and maximum motion. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2000;25:732-7.
- Lee YS, Kim YB, Park SW. Survival rates and risk factors for cephalad and L5-s1 adjacent segment degeneration after L5 floating lumbar fusion : a minimum 2-year follow-up. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2015;57:108-13.
- Rucco V, Basadonna PT, Gasparini D. Anatomy of the iliolumbar ligament: a review of its anatomy and a magnetic resonance study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 1996;75:451-5.
- Pool-Goudzwaard AL, Kleinrensink GJ, Snijders CJ, Entius C, Stoeckart R. The sacroiliac part of the iliolumbar ligament. J Anat 2001;199(Pt 4):457-63.
- Liao JC, Chen WJ, Chen LH, Niu CC, Keorochana G. Surgical outcomes of degenerative spondylolisthesis with L5-S1 disc degeneration: comparison between lumbar floating fusion and lumbosacral fusion at a minimum 5-year follow-up. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2011;36:1600-7.
- Park WM, Choi DK, Kim K, Kim YJ, Kim YH. Biomechanical effects of fusion levels on the risk of proximal junctional failure and kyphosis in lumbar spinal fusion surgery. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2015;30:1162-9.
- Park JY, Chin DK, Cho YE. Accelerated L5-S1 segment degeneration after spinal fusion on and above L4-5 : minimum 4-year follow-up results. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2009;45:81-4.
- 14. Inoue G, Takaso M, Miyagi M, et al. Risk factors for

L5-S1 disk height reduction after lumbar posterolateral floating fusion surgery. J Spinal Disord Tech 2014;27:E187-92.

- 15. Imagama S, Kawakami N, Matsubara Y, et al. Radiographic adjacent segment degeneration at 5 years after L4/5 posterior lumbar interbody fusion with pedicle screw instrumentation: evaluation by computed tomography and annual screening with magnetic resonance imaging. Clin Spine Surg 2016;29:E442-51.
- Fujii K, Henmi T, Kanematsu Y, Mishiro T, Sakai T. Surgical treatment of lumbar disc herniation in elderly patients. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2003;85:1146-50.
- Fujiwara A, Kobayashi N, Saiki K, Kitagawa T, Tamai K, Saotome K. Association of the Japanese Orthopaedic Association score with the Oswestry Disability Index, Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire, and short-form 36. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2003;28:1601-7.
- Kim JY, Ryu DS, Paik HK, et al. Paraspinal muscle, facet joint, and disc problems: risk factors for adjacent segment degeneration after lumbar fusion. Spine J 2016;16:867-75.
- Pfirrmann CW, Metzdorf A, Zanetti M, Hodler J, Boos N. Magnetic resonance classification of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2001;26:1873-8.
- 20. Murata K, Akeda K, Takegami N, Cheng K, Masuda K, Sudo A. Morphology of intervertebral disc ruptures evaluated by vacuum phenomenon using multidetector computed tomography: association with lumbar disc degeneration and canal stenosis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2018;19:164.
- 21. Ikuno A, Akeda K, Takebayashi SI, Shimaoka M, Okumura K, Sudo A. Genome-wide analysis of DNA methylation profile identifies differentially methylated loci associated with human intervertebral disc degeneration. PLoS One 2019;14:e0222188.
- 22. Austin PC. The performance of different propensity score methods for estimating marginal hazard ratios. Stat Med 2013;32:2837-49.
- 23. Herren C, Sobottke R, Mannion AF, et al. Incidental durotomy in decompression for lumbar spinal stenosis: incidence, risk factors and effect on outcomes in the Spine Tango registry. Eur Spine J 2017;26:2483-95.
- Orita S, Yamagata M, Ikeda Y, et al. Retrospective exploration of risk factors for L5 radiculopathy following lumbar floating fusion surgery. J Orthop Surg Res 2015;10:164.

# ASIAN SPINE JOURNAL

- 25. Choi KC, Shim HK, Kim JS, Lee SH. Does pre-existing L5-S1 degeneration affect outcomes after isolated L4-5 fusion for spondylolisthesis? J Orthop Surg Res 2015;10:39.
- 26. Ushio S, Hirai T, Yoshii T, et al. Preoperative risk factors for adjacent segment degeneration after two-

level floating posterior fusion at L3-L5. Spine Surg Relat Res 2019;4:43-9.

27. Ghiselli G, Wang JC, Hsu WK, Dawson EG. L5-S1 segment survivorship and clinical outcome analysis after L4-L5 isolated fusion. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2003;28:1275-80.