



Article Dosimetry of [²¹²Pb]VMT01, a MC1R-Targeted Alpha Therapeutic Compound, and Effect of Free ²⁰⁸Tl on Tissue Absorbed Doses

Kelly D. Orcutt¹, Kelly E. Henry², Christine Habjan², Keryn Palmer², Jack Heimann², Julie M. Cupido², Vijay Gottumukkala², Derek D. Cissell², Morgan C. Lyon², Amira I. Hussein², Dijie Liu¹, Mengshi Li¹, Frances L. Johnson¹ and Michael K. Schultz^{1,3,4,5,*}

- ¹ Viewpoint Molecular Targeting, Inc., Coralville, IA 52241, USA
- ² Invicro, LLC, Needham, MA 02494, USA
- ³ Department of Radiology, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
- ⁴ Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
- ⁵ Departments of Radiology and Radiation Oncology, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
 - Correspondence: michael-schultz@uiowa.edu; Tel.: +1-(319)-335-8017



Keywords: ²¹²Pb; ²⁰³Pb; ²⁰⁸Tl; MC1R; dosimetry; absorbed dose; melanoma

1. Introduction

Melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R) is a G protein-coupled receptor that is expressed in melanocytes and is implicated in melanogenesis [1]. MC1R is overexpressed on many mouse and human melanoma cells [2,3]. Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging of an MC1R-targeted peptide ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-GGNle-CycNSH_{hex} in melanoma patients has established clinical proof-of-concept of MC1R as a target for imaging and therapy [4].

Targeted alpha-particle therapy (TAT) is a promising therapeutic strategy that is unique in its ability to deliver cytotoxicity circumventing cellular resistance [5] and has demonstrated significant responses in early clinical trials [6–8]. High linear energy transfer (LET) alpha emissions result in clustered DNA double strand breaks [9–16]. In cell culture, alpha emitters have been shown to be more effective in inducing cell death than gamma radiation [17]. Due to short tissue ranges (<100 μ m in water, <40 μ m in bone), it had previously been believed that TAT may be best suited for the treatment of micrometastases



Citation: Orcutt, K.D.; Henry, K.E.; Habjan, C.; Palmer, K.; Heimann, J.; Cupido, J.M.; Gottumukkala, V.; Cissell, D.D.; Lyon, M.C.; Hussein, A.I.; et al. Dosimetry of [²¹²Pb]VMT01, a MC1R-Targeted Alpha Therapeutic Compound, and Effect of Free ²⁰⁸Tl on Tissue Absorbed Doses. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 5831. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules27185831

Academic Editor: Svend Borup Jensen

Received: 2 August 2022 Accepted: 27 August 2022 Published: 8 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). and other disseminated tumors. However, recent TAT studies have demonstrated efficacy in large tumors and there is a growing body of evidence that TAT can activate the immune system and impart both bystander and abscopal effects [18,19]. In the clinical setting, TAT has demonstrated patient benefit even in subjects refractive to beta particle therapy [6].

 $[^{203}\text{Pb}]\text{VMT01}$ is an MC1R-targeted TAT ligand in clinical development (NCT04904120) with elementally matched gamma-emitting $[^{203}\text{Pb}]\text{VMT01}$ that can be used as an imaging surrogate. $[^{212}\text{Pb}]\text{VMT01}$ human serum stability and in vivo mouse biodistribution experiments demonstrate robust retention of the ^{212}Bi daughter within the chelator following beta emission of parent ^{212}Pb and no evidence of in vivo translocation (Li and collaborators, SNMMI-ACNM Mid-Winter Meeting 2022 Abstract) [20]. In addition, the decay physics for ^{212}Pb [21,22] (Figure 1) dictates that retention of ^{212}Bi within the chelator will subsequently lead to alpha decay via the ^{212}Po or ^{208}Tl branches at the site of localization due to the short half-lives of ^{212}Po (0.3 µs) and ^{208}Tl (3.05 m). Due to recoil energy, the 36% alpha emission from ^{212}Bi via the ^{208}Tl branch will result in the accumulation of free ^{208}Tl in the administered injectate. Here, we calculated [^{212}Pb]VMT01 human tissue absorbed doses from murine [^{203}Pb]VMT01 biodistribution data and the activity and effect of free ^{208}Tl in the injectate on tissue absorbed doses.



Figure 1. ²¹²Pb decay scheme [21,22].

2. Results

2.1. Murine [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 Biodistribution

Murine biodistribution results following intravenous administration of [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 in female and male CD-1 IGS naïve mice are provided in Supplemental Tables S1 and S2 (Supplementary material). [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 cleared rapidly through the kidneys with an accumulation of $6.24 \pm 0.35\%$ ID/g and $8.30 \pm 1.90\%$ ID/g in females and males, respectively at 0.5 h. Kidney activity decreased to $1.09 \pm 0.12\%$ ID/g and $0.55 \pm 0.10\%$ ID/g in females and males, respectively at 55 h. Accumulation and retention in other organs were minimal.

2.2. Dosimetry

[²⁰³Pb]VMT01 and [²¹²Pb]VMT01 TIACs (Table 1) and human tissue absorbed doses (Table 2) are provided for a 2 h bladder voiding model.

Orean	[²⁰³ Pb]VMT01 TIAC (MBq h/MBq)		[²¹² Pb]VMT01 TIAC (MBq h/MBq)	
Organ	Female	Male	Female	Male
Adrenal glands	$5.08 imes 10^{-5}$	3.72×10^{-4}	$4.75 imes10^{-5}$	$3.33 imes10^{-4}$
Brain	$3.53 imes10^{-4}$	$1.55 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.06 imes10^{-4}$	$1.24 imes10^{-3}$
Cortical bone	$1.50 imes10^{-2}$	$8.89 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.20 imes10^{-2}$	$6.34 imes10^{-2}$
Eyes	$4.24 imes10^{-5}$	$8.03 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.71 imes10^{-5}$	$6.73 imes10^{-5}$
Gallbladder	$2.07 imes10^{-4}$	$2.22 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.45 imes10^{-4}$	$1.84 imes10^{-4}$
Heart contents	$2.28 imes10^{-2}$	$2.62 imes 10^{-2}$	$2.22 imes 10^{-2}$	$2.59 imes10^{-2}$
Heart wall	$9.93 imes10^{-4}$	$1.91 imes 10^{-3}$	$7.74 imes10^{-4}$	$1.43 imes10^{-3}$
Kidneys	$2.00 imes10^{-1}$	$1.48 imes10^{-1}$	$9.70 imes 10^{-2}$	$9.01 imes 10^{-2}$
Left colon	$3.49 imes10^{-1}$	$4.26 imes10^{-1}$	$1.03 imes10^{-1}$	$1.51 imes10^{-1}$
Liver	$1.09 imes10^{-1}$	$8.85 imes 10^{-2}$	$4.29 imes 10^{-2}$	$3.68 imes 10^{-2}$
Lungs	$1.79 imes10^{-2}$	$2.18 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.11 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.66 imes 10^{-2}$
Ovaries	$1.46 imes10^{-4}$	-	$4.99 imes10^{-5}$	-
Pancreas	$3.68 imes10^{-4}$	$1.19 imes10^{-3}$	$2.95 imes10^{-4}$	$9.27 imes10^{-4}$
Rectum	$2.87 imes10^{-1}$	$3.67 imes 10^{-1}$	$5.04 imes10^{-2}$	$8.47 imes10^{-2}$
Red marrow	$1.58 imes 10^{-1}$	$2.24 imes10^{-4}$	$1.39 imes10^{-3}$	$1.79 imes10^{-4}$
Right colon	$4.23 imes10^{-1}$	$4.94 imes10^{-1}$	$2.10 imes10^{-1}$	$2.69 imes 10^{-1}$
Small intestines	$1.28 imes10^{-1}$	$1.91 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.07 imes10^{-1}$	$1.60 imes10^{-1}$
Spleen	$3.00 imes10^{-3}$	$3.51 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.52 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.86 imes10^{-3}$
Stomach contents	$1.09 imes10^{-2}$	$1.84 imes 10^{-2}$	$7.28 imes 10^{-3}$	$7.34 imes10^{-3}$
Testes	-	$3.85 imes10^{-4}$	-	$2.85 imes10^{-4}$
Thymus	$6.52 imes10^{-5}$	$1.41 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.29 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.25 imes10^{-4}$
Thyroid	$1.38 imes10^{-4}$	$4.69 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.05 imes10^{-4}$	$3.41 imes10^{-4}$
Total body/remainder	$4.55 imes10^{0}$	$9.20 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.18 imes 10^{0}$	$8.83 imes10^{-1}$
Trabecular bone	$1.50 imes 10^{-2}$	$8.89 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.20 imes 10^{-2}$	$6.34 imes 10^{-2}$
Urinary bladder	$1.49 imes10^{0}$	$1.39 imes10^{0}$	$1.40 imes10^{0}$	$1.31 imes10^{0}$
Uterus	$1.05 imes 10^{-3}$	-	$7.46 imes10^{-4}$	-

Table 1. [²⁰³ Pb]VMT01 and [²¹²]	Pb]VMT01 time-integrated activity coefficients.

Table 2. Human tissue absorbed doses.

Organiticana	²⁰³ Pb Absorbed Dose (mGy/MBq)		²¹² Pb Absorbed Dose (mGy _{RBE=5} /MBq)	
Organ/tissue	Female	Male	Female	Male
Adrenals	$1.14 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.11 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.06 imes10^{-1}$	$5.83 imes10^{-1}$
Brain	$2.17 imes10^{-3}$	$5.86 imes10^{-4}$	$7.88 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.20 imes 10^{-2}$
Breasts	$6.71 imes 10^{-3}$	-	$4.67 imes 10^{-1}$	-
Oesophagus	$7.55 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.12 imes 10^{-3}$	$4.69 imes10^{-1}$	$2.90 imes10^{-1}$
Eyes	$3.78 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.02 imes 10^{-3}$	$6.16 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.08 imes 10^{-1}$
Gallbladder wall	$1.84 imes10^{-2}$	$1.14 imes10^{-2}$	$4.86 imes10^{-1}$	$3.07 imes10^{-1}$
Left colon	$9.83 imes10^{-2}$	$1.16 imes10^{-1}$	$8.31 imes 10^{-1}$	$8.46 imes10^{-1}$
Small intestine	$2.87 imes 10^{-2}$	2.58×10^{-2}	$5.96 imes10^{-1}$	$4.40 imes10^{-1}$
Stomach wall	$1.27 imes 10^{-2}$	$6.97 imes 10^{-3}$	$4.83 imes10^{-1}$	$3.02 imes 10^{-1}$
Right colon	$7.40 imes 10^{-2}$	$8.24 imes10^{-2}$	$8.50 imes10^{-1}$	$8.07 imes10^{-1}$
Rectum	$1.18 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.17 imes10^{-1}$	$7.35 imes10^{-1}$	$6.39 imes10^{-1}$
Heart wall	$7.38 imes 10^{-3}$	$4.45 imes 10^{-3}$	$7.86 imes10^{-1}$	$7.06 imes 10^{-1}$
Kidneys	4.23×10^{-2}	2.80×10^{-2}	$8.27 imes10^{0}$	$6.83 imes10^{0}$
Liver	$1.15 imes 10^{-2}$	7.05×10^{-3}	$7.32 imes10^{-1}$	$4.91 imes10^{-1}$
Lungs	$6.59 imes 10^{-3}$	2.82×10^{-3}	$2.81 imes10^{-1}$	$3.30 imes10^{-1}$
Ovaries	$2.60 imes 10^{-2}$	-	$1.59 imes10^{-1}$	-
Pancreas	$1.12 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.15 imes 10^{-2}$	$7.45 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.76 imes 10^{-1}$
Prostate	-	2.53×10^{-2}	-	$3.46 imes10^{-1}$
Salivary glands	7.27×10^{-3}	$1.54 imes10^{-3}$	$4.66 imes10^{-1}$	$2.87 imes10^{-1}$
Red Marrow	$1.06 imes 10^{-3}$	$5.46 imes 10^{-3}$	$8.64 imes10^{-1}$	$1.06 imes 10^0$
Osteogenic Cells	$1.46 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.23 imes 10^{-2}$	$3.88 imes 10^0$	$6.95 imes10^{0}$

O	²⁰³ Pb Absorbed Dose (mGy/MBq)		²¹² Pb Absorbed Dose (mGy _{RBE=5} /MBq)	
Organ/tissue	Female	Male	Female	Male
Spleen	$1.16 imes 10^{-2}$	6.11×10^{-3}	$2.90 imes 10^{-1}$	3.01×10^{-1}
Testes	-	$5.26 imes 10^{-3}$	-	$2.07 imes10^{-1}$
Thymus	$5.47 imes10^{-3}$	$1.94 imes10^{-3}$	$6.90 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.23 imes10^{-1}$
Thyroid	$4.88 imes10^{-3}$	$2.16 imes10^{-3}$	$1.50 imes 10^{-1}$	$4.04 imes10^{-1}$
Urinary bladder wall	$2.29 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.89 imes10^{-1}$	$2.95 imes10^{0}$	$2.14 imes10^{0}$
Uterus	$4.83 imes10^{-2}$	-	3.27×10^{-1}	-

Table 2. Cont.

For [²⁰³Pb]VMT01, the tissue with the highest estimated absorbed dose was the urinary bladder wall (0.23 mGy/MBq for females and 0.19 mGy/MBq for males) and the effective dose was 0.028 mSv/MBq and 0.024 mSv/MBq for females and males, respectively. For [²¹²Pb]VMT01, the tissue with the highest estimated absorbed dose was the kidneys (8.27 mGy_{RBE=5}/MBq for females and 6.83 mGy_{RBE=5}/MBq for males). The anticipated dose limiting tissues for [²¹²Pb]VMT01 are the red marrow and kidneys, with estimated absorbed doses of 1.06 and 8.27 mGy_{RBE=5}/MBq and maximum tolerated activities of approximately 1.9 GBq and 2.2 GBq, respectively, based on published threshold doses from external beam irradiation data [23,24].

Human biodistribution of ²⁰¹Tl chloride published in the literature [25,26] and the calculated activity fraction of free ²⁰⁸Tl in the injectate at a shelf-life of 6 h was used to estimate human tissue absorbed doses of administered free ²⁰⁸Tl. The activity fraction of free ²⁰⁸Tl in the injectate was calculated at a shelf-life of 6 h to be 0.44 MBq ²⁰⁸Tl per MBq ²¹²Pb (Table 3).

Table 3. ²¹²Pb, ²¹²Bi, and ²⁰⁸Tl activity and activity fraction in injectate preparation at 0 h and 6 h for nominal 1 MBq ²¹²Pb-VMT01.

	0 h		6 h	
	Activity (MBq)	Activity Fraction	Activity (MBq)	Activity Fraction
²¹² Pb	1.00	1.00	0.68	1.00
²¹² Bi	0.00	0.00	0.73	1.08
²⁰⁸ Tl	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.44

²⁰⁸Tl absorbed tissue doses are provided in Table 4. The estimated percent increase in absorbed tissue doses from free ²⁰⁸Tl in the injectate was 0.03% and 0.09% in the red marrow and kidneys, respectively. In addition, absorbed doses from free ²⁰⁸Tl result in a percent increase of less than 1.2% over [²¹²Pb]VMT01 in any organ or tissue, and were within the values that would be expected to be the uncertainty in absorbed dose estimates for [²¹²Pb]VMT01 alone.

Table 4. Tissue absorbed dose estimates for human adult male for free ²⁰⁸Tl in the injectate at a shelf-life of 6 h, [²¹²Pb]VMT01 human adult male, total absorbed dose, and % increase in absorbed dose from free ²⁰⁸Tl contribution.

Organ/Tissue	²⁰⁸ Tl Absorbed Dose (mGy/MBq)	[²¹² Pb]VMT01 Absorbed Dose (mGy _{RBE=5} /MBq)	Total Absorbed Dose (mGy _{RBE=5} /MBq)	²⁰⁸ Tl % Increase
Adrenals	$3.09 imes10^{-3}$	$5.83 imes10^{-1}$	$5.84 imes10^{-1}$	0.23
Brain	$5.98 imes10^{-4}$	$2.20 imes 10^{-2}$	$2.23 imes 10^{-2}$	1.18
Oesophagus	$9.40 imes10^{-4}$	$2.90 imes10^{-1}$	$2.90 imes10^{-1}$	0.14
Eyes	5.09×10^{-4}	$1.08 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.08 imes10^{-1}$	0.21
Gallbladder wall	$1.57 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.07 imes10^{-1}$	$3.08 imes10^{-1}$	0.22
Left colon	$7.38 imes 10^{-3}$	$8.46 imes10^{-1}$	$8.49 imes10^{-1}$	0.38
Small intestine	$7.60 imes 10^{-3}$	$4.40 imes10^{-1}$	$4.43 imes10^{-1}$	0.75

Urinary bladder wall

Organ/Tissue	²⁰⁸ Tl Absorbed Dose (mGy/MBq)	[²¹² Pb]VMT01 Absorbed Dose (mGy _{RBE=5} /MBq)	Total Absorbed Dose (mGy _{RBE=5} /MBq)	²⁰⁸ Tl % Increase
Stomach wall	$1.14 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.02 imes 10^{-1}$	$3.02 imes 10^{-1}$	0.16
Right colon	$7.06 imes 10^{-3}$	$8.07 imes 10^{-1}$	$8.10 imes10^{-1}$	0.38
Rectum	$6.58 imes 10^{-3}$	$6.39 imes 10^{-1}$	$6.42 imes10^{-1}$	0.45
Heart wall	3.62×10^{-3}	$7.06 imes 10^{-1}$	$7.08 imes10^{-1}$	0.22
Kidneys	1.41×10^{-2}	$6.83 imes10^{0}$	$6.84 imes10^{0}$	0.09
Liver	$1.97 imes10^{-3}$	$4.91 imes10^{-1}$	$4.92 imes10^{-1}$	0.17
Lungs	$8.02 imes10^{-4}$	$3.30 imes10^{-1}$	$3.30 imes10^{-1}$	0.11
Pancreas	$1.73 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.76 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.77 imes10^{-1}$	0.43
Prostate	$1.02 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.46 imes10^{-1}$	$3.46 imes10^{-1}$	0.13
Salivary glands	$5.98 imes10^{-4}$	$2.87 imes10^{-1}$	$2.87 imes10^{-1}$	0.09
Red marrow	$7.70 imes10^{-4}$	$1.06 imes 10^0$	$1.06 imes 10^0$	0.03
Osteogenic cells	$6.88 imes10^{-4}$	$6.95 imes10^{0}$	$6.95 imes 10^0$	0.00
Spleen	$3.24 imes10^{-3}$	$3.01 imes 10^{-1}$	$3.02 imes 10^{-1}$	0.47
Testes	$3.59 imes10^{-3}$	$2.07 imes10^{-1}$	$2.09 imes10^{-1}$	0.75
Thymus	$8.71 imes10^{-4}$	$1.23 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.23 imes 10^{-1}$	0.31
Thyroid	$6.39 imes10^{-4}$	$4.04 imes 10^{-1}$	$4.04 imes10^{-1}$	0.07

 $2.14 imes 10^{0}$

Table 4. Cont.

3. Discussion

 $8.85 imes 10^{-4}$

²¹²Pb is a promising alpha-emitting isotope with an elementally matched gammaemitting isotope ²⁰³Pb that can be used as an imaging surrogate via single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). ²¹²Pb physical half-life (10.64 h) is attractive from a clinical translation perspective with regard to patient care and waste management. A recently published phase 1 dose escalation trial of targeted alpha therapy with ²¹²Pb-DOTAMTATE demonstrated patient safety and promising preliminary efficacy in patients with somatostatin receptor-positive neuroendocrine tumors [27].

 $2.14 imes 10^{0}$

From a toxicity standpoint, recoil energy from the emission of an alpha particle decouples the daughter nuclide from any chelator or other chemical bond, and untargeted daughter nuclides are known to accumulate in normal tissues, such as in bone or kidneys [28]. In the work presented here, we calculated estimated human tissue absorbed doses for [²¹²Pb]VMT01 from preclinical murine biodistribution data. In addition, we calculated estimated human tissue absorbed doses for free ²⁰⁸Tl (that will accumulate in the injectate prior to administration).

One limitation in the dosimetry of alpha radiotherapeutics is the unknown RBE value. Here, according to the method published by dos Santos and collaborators [21], an RBE value of 5 was used for ²¹²Pb alpha emissions and a value of 1 was used for beta and gamma radiation. Recent studies performed in mammary carcinoma NT2.5 cells treated with ²¹²Pb-labeled anti-HER2 antibody reported an RBE of 8.3 at 37% survival [29]. Notably, the dose contribution of extracellular unbound ²¹²Pb-labeled anti-beled dose was about 2 orders of magnitude smaller compared to the bound and internalized ²¹²Pb, suggesting that extracellular ²¹²Pb delivers minimal radiation to cells. The authors conclude that these findings suggest that the actual lesion to dose-limiting tissue absorbed dose.

The analysis presented here demonstrates that accumulated ²⁰⁸Tl in the injectate results in about 1% increase or less in estimated tissue absorbed doses over those projected for [²¹²Pb]VMT01. The dosimetry projections for [²¹²Pb]VMT01 from [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 biodistribution data assume that the time-integrated activity coefficient of [²¹²Pb]VMT01 applies to all daughter radionuclides. This assumption is valid if there is no in vivo translocation of daughters. Human serum stability and in vivo mouse biodistribution studies demonstrate that ²¹²Pb and ²¹²Bi remain stably chelated to VMT01 with no evidence of daughter translocation in vivo (Li and collaborators, SNMMI-ACNM Mid-Winter Meeting 2022

0.02

Abstract) [20]. Retention of ²¹²Pb daughter ²¹²Bi within the chelator will result in decay of subsequent daughters ²¹²Po and ²⁰⁸Tl at the site of localization due to their short half-lives. Prior to administration, accumulation of unchelated ²⁰⁸Tl will occur in the formulated product due to the recoil energy of the alpha decay from ²¹²Bi. Accumulation of unchelated ²¹²Po may also occur prior to administration as a result of beta decay from ²¹²Bi; this decay has not yet been characterized. However, due to the extremely short half-life of the ²¹²Po daughter (0.3 μ s), decay from any free ²¹²Po in the intravenously administered product can be assumed to occur in the plasma with negligible radiation to blood cells [29].

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Radiolabeling and In Vivo Biodistribution

²⁰³Pb chloride was obtained from Lantheus Medical Imaging (North Billerica, MA, USA). The structure of VMT01 has been previously published by Li and collaborators [30]. Radiolabeling of VMT01 with ²⁰³Pb was performed as previously described [30]; radio-chemical purity was > 99% as assessed by radio-HPLC. Thirteen-week-old male and female CD-1 IGS mice obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA, USA) (n = 28 per sex, n = 56 total) were injected intravenously with [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 (1.5 ± 0.38 pmol, 74 kBq). Following dosing, animals were sacrificed at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 24, or 55 h post-injection (n = 4 per time point per sex); at each time point whole blood, thymus, thyroid, adrenals, heart, lungs, spleen, bone (femur mid-diaphysis), bone marrow, liver, gallbladder, kidneys (adrenals removed), bladder wall, large intestine (wall and contents), cecum (with contents), small intestines (wall and contents), stomach (wall and contents), pancreas, brain, eyes, skin, muscle (quadriceps), ovaries, testes, uterus, tail, and remaining carcass (at select time points) were resected and assayed for radioactive content by gamma counting. Urine and feces were evaluated for radioactive content using pooled samples from cages.

4.2. Ex Vivo Gamma Counting

The activity of each collected tissue was measured on a Wizard 1480 (Perkin Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Bridgeport, CT, USA) or Wizard 2470 (Perkin Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Bridgeport, CT, USA) with a 279 keV peak position and 68% window coverage in units of counts per minute (CPM). Triplicate aliquots of the radiotracer, pulled from the dose-calibrated bulk injectate prepared fresh on each day of injections, were weighed, and assayed via gamma counting to convert CPM to units of grams of injected material. The uptake (percent of the injected dose, % ID) and concentration (% ID per gram, % ID/g) were calculated for each sample count using the known injected dose mass, corrected for tail uptake. Concentration estimates used the sample weight of the gamma-counted tissue in grams (g).

4.3. ²⁰³Pb Dosimetry Analysis

The radioactivity concentration of [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 in each organ (fraction of injected activity per gram) over time was used to compute time-integrated activity coefficients (TIAC) [31] for each organ. For all organs except the total body and blood, uptake at time zero was assumed to be 0% ID. Total body and blood were assumed to be 100% ID at time zero. Human TIAC values were defined by multiplying individual mouse concentration values by animal body weight and by the human phantom organ weight to body weight ratio. This method is equivalent to the percent kilogram per gram method [32]. The human phantom organ weight to body weight ratios were determined from the ICRP 89 adult male and adult female phantom organ and total body weights from OLINDA/EXM 2.0 (Hermes Medical Solutions, Stockholm, Sweden). Each time point value was computed from the group average of the data.

TIAC through the last experimental time point was generated using trapezoidal integration of the seven data points. The contribution to the TIAC following the last experimental time point was estimated by fitting decay-corrected data to a single or a bi-exponential model to estimate biological clearance or assuming physical decay only following the last time point. The combination of physical decay and biological clearance was then analytically integrated. Human TIAC values were then adjusted for radioactivity leaving the body via the renal and gastrointestinal (GI) systems using the dynamic voiding bladder [33] (2 h void) and human alimentary tract model [34]. Excreted urine activity at each time point was defined as 100%-total body % ID-feces % ID. The fraction of excreted urine activity and the voiding half-life were determined by fitting the data to an exponential function. These coefficients were used with a 2 h human voiding time to calculate the urinary bladder TIAC. The ICRP 100 human alimentary tract (HAT) model [34] was utilized with the assumption that radioactivity enters the GI tract via the small intestine. For all animals in each sex group, the radioactivity (% ID, decay corrected) within the small and large intestine, cecum, and all contents were summed at each time point. The peak sum across time for each sex were then determined and used as input into the HAT model in OLINDA/EXM 2.0 to calculate the small intestines, left colon, right colon, and rectum TIACs. Total body radioactivity was calculated as the sum of all measured tissues except for bladder wall, urine, GI, and feces. Total body % ID human was assumed to be equivalent to total body % ID in mouse. The remainder of body TIAC was calculated by subtracting source organ TIACs except for excreta and those derived from the voiding and HAT models. Cortical and trabecular bone TIACs were calculated based on relative surface densities assuming radioactivity distributed to the bone surface. TIAC values were used to compute tissue absorbed dose values for the human adult male and female using OLINDA/EXM 2.0 with ICRP 89 adult male and female phantoms.

4.4. ²¹²Pb Dosimetry Analysis

 $[^{203}$ Pb]VMT01 data were extrapolated to $[^{212}$ Pb]VMT01 by adjusting the radioactive decay half-life. Assuming transient equilibrium between 212 Pb and its daughters (212 Bi, 212 Po, and 208 Tl), the same residence times as for 212 Pb were applied to the daughter nuclides as described by dos Santos and collaborators [21] OLINDA/EXM 2.0 calculations were performed for all nuclides manually. For 208 Tl and 212 Po, the relevant branching fraction was applied. A relative biological effectiveness (RBE) value of 5 was used for the alpha emissions from 212 Bi and 212 Po (while an RBE of 1 was used for beta and gamma emissions); absorbed doses are presented in units of Gray (Gy_{RBE=5}).

4.5. ²⁰⁸Tl Dosimetry Analysis

Human biodistribution of ²⁰¹Tl chloride (a cardiac imaging agent) via scintigraphy imaging published in the literature [25,26] was used to estimate the dosimetry of free ²⁰⁸Tl. Thallous ion behaves as a potassium analog and tissue uptake is essentially intracellular. Biodistribution of thallium at early times in organs is thus related to regional blood flow. Human % ID values for heart, brain, kidney, liver, intestine, spleen, testes, and the remainder of body were determined from scintigraphy imaging as reported by Svensson and collaborators [26] and Krahwinkel and collaborators [25] for ²⁰¹Tl chloride (using the earliest imaging time point from a combination of at rest and after exercise) and conservatively assuming no biological clearance and 100% ID in the total body (Table 5). Radioactive decay of ²⁰⁸Tl (3.05 m half-life) and resulting TIAC values were used to determine tissue-absorbed doses in the ICRP 89 human adult male using OLINDA/EXM 2.2. The activity fraction of free ²⁰⁸Tl in the injectate at a shelf-life of 6 h was calculated using the ²¹²Pb decay scheme and branching fraction of 35.94% for ²⁰⁸Tl. The ²⁰⁸Tl activity fraction was used to calculate the ²⁰⁸Tl mGy_{RBE=5}/MBq administered ²¹²Pb activity.

Human % ID
3.2 [26]
1.5 [25]
12.5 [26]
5.1 [25]
20.1 [25]
1.0 [25]
0.4 [25]
56.2 [25]

Table 5. ²⁰⁸Tl human tissue % ID.

^a Activity was split equally between the small intestine, upper large intestine wall, lower large intestine wall, and rectum wall based on ICRP 89 target wall organ masses.

5. Conclusions

The critical tissues for [²¹²Pb]VMT01 based on human dosimetry estimates from murine [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 biodistribution data and tissue threshold doses from external beam irradiation data are anticipated to be red marrow and kidneys. Dosimetry analysis indicates that free ²⁰⁸Tl that will accumulate in the [²¹²Pb]VMT01 injectate prior to administration will not substantially impact estimated tissue absorbed doses in humans. The dosimetry estimations support the clinical evaluation of [²¹²Pb]VMT01.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at https://www. mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27185831/s1, Table S1: Organ/tissue activity concentrations (% ID/g) of [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 in female CD-1 IGS naïve mice; Table S2: Organ/tissue activity concentrations (% ID/g) of [²⁰³Pb]VMT01 in male CD-1 IGS naïve mice.

Author Contributions: K.D.O. contributed to experimental design, data analysis, and interpretation and preparation of the manuscript. K.E.H. and A.I.H. contributed to experimental design, acquisition, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript revision. C.H., K.P., J.H. and M.C.L. contributed to data analysis and interpretation. J.M.C. and V.G. contributed to the acquisition and data analysis. D.D.C. contributed to experimental design, acquisition, data analysis, and interpretation. D.L., M.L., F.L.J. and M.K.S. contributed to the experimental design, interpretation, and editing of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was partially funded by NIH R01CA243014, NIH SBIR program R44CA250872, and NIH SBIR program R44CA254613.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The animal study protocol was approved by the Invicro Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Protocol number: 005-2020.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study may be available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge Jennifer Tavares, Erin Snay, and the Invicro Discovery Laboratory staff for animal handling and coordination of in vivo experiments, and Amos Hedt, Joseph O'Donoghue, and Edward K Fung for helpful discussions and QC of results. The authors appreciate Fiorenza Ianzini for assistance with the editing and revision of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: K.O., M.K.S., F.L.J., M.L. and D.L. are employees of and have a financial interest in Viewpoint Molecular Targeting, Inc.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available on request from the corresponding author.

References

- Herraiz, C.; Martínez-Vicente, I.; Maresca, V. The α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone/melanocortin-1 receptor interaction: A driver of pleiotropic effects beyond pigmentation. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2021, 34, 748–761. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tatro, J.B.; Wen, Z.; Entwistle, M.L.; Atkins, M.B.; Smith, T.J.; Reichlin, S.; Murphy, J.R. Interaction of an alpha-melanocytestimulating hormone-diphtheria toxin fusion protein with melanotropin receptors in human melanoma metastases. *Cancer Res.* 1992, 52, 2545–2548. [PubMed]

- 3. Siegrist, W.; Solca, F.; Stutz, S.; Giuffrè, L.; Carrel, S.; Girard, J.; Eberle, A.N. Characterization of receptors for alpha-melanocytestimulating hormone on human melanoma cells. *Cancer Res.* **1989**, *49*, 6352–6358. [PubMed]
- Yang, J.; Xu, J.; Gonzalez, R.; Lindner, T.; Kratochwil, C.; Miao, Y. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-GGNle-CycMSH_{hex} targets the melanocortin-1 receptor for melanoma imaging. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2018, 10, eaau4445. [CrossRef]
- 5. Sgouros, G. α-Particle-Emitter Radiopharmaceutical Therapy: Resistance Is Futile. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 5479–5481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yadav, M.P.; Ballal, S.; Sahoo, R.K.; Tripathi, M.; Seth, A.; Bal, C. Efficacy and safety of ²²⁵Ac-PSMA-617 targeted alpha therapy in metastatic castration-resistant Prostate Cancer patients. *Theranostics* 2020, 10, 9364–9377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jadvar, H.; Colletti, P.M. Targeted α-therapy in non-prostate malignancies. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2021, 49, 47–53. [CrossRef]
- 8. Parker, C.; Lewington, V.; Shore, N.; Kratochwil, C.; Levy, M.; Lindén, O.; Noordzij, W.; Park, J.; Saad, F. Targeted Alpha Therapy, an Emerging Class of Cancer Agents: A Review. *JAMA. Oncol.* **2018**, *4*, 1765–1772.
- 9. Charlton, D.E.; Nikjoo, H.; Humm, J.L. Calculation of initial yields of single- and double-strand breaks in cell nuclei from electrons, protons and alpha particles. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **1989**, *56*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- Goodhead, D.T.; Thacker, J.; Cox, R. Weiss Lecture. Effects of radiations of different qualities on cells: Molecular mechanisms of damage and repair. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 1993, 63, 543–556. [CrossRef]
- 11. Goodhead, D.T. Initial events in the cellular effects of ionizing radiations: Clustered damage in DNA. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **1994**, *65*, 7–17. [CrossRef]
- 12. Barendsen, G.W. The relationships between RBE and LET for different types of lethal damage in mammalian cells: Biophysical and molecular mechanisms. *Radiat. Res.* **1994**, *139*, 257–270. [CrossRef]
- 13. Goodhead, D.T. Molecular and cell models of biological effects of heavy ion radiation. *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.* **1995**, *34*, 67–72. [CrossRef]
- 14. Nikjoo, H.; O'Neill, P.; Wilson, W.E.; Goodhead, D.T. Computational approach for determining the spectrum of DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation. *Radiat. Res.* **2001**, *156*, 577–583. [CrossRef]
- Georgakilas, A.G.; O'Neill, P.; Stewart, R.D. Induction and repair of clustered DNA lesions: What do we know so far? *Radiat. Res.* 2013, 180, 100–109. [CrossRef]
- Nikitaki, Z.; Nikolov, V.; Mavragani, I.V.; Mladenov, E.; Mangelis, A.; Laskaratou, D.A.; Fragkoulis, G.I.; Hellweg, C.E.; Martin, O.A.; Emfietzoglou, D.; et al. Measurement of complex DNA damage induction and repair in human cellular systems after exposure to ionizing radiations of varying linear energy transfer (LET). *Free Radic. Res.* 2016, *50*, S64–S78. [CrossRef]
- 17. Yard, B.D.; Gopal, P.; Bannik, K.; Siemeister, G.; Hagemann, U.B.; Abazeed, M.E. Cellular and Genetic Determinants of the Sensitivity of Cancer to α-Particle Irradiation. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 5640–5651. [CrossRef]
- 18. Pouget, J.P.; Constanzo, J. Revisiting the Radiobiology of Targeted Alpha Therapy. Front. Med. 2021, 8, 692436. [CrossRef]
- Kratochwil, C.; Bruchertseifer, F.; Giesel, F.L.; Weis, M.; Verburg, F.A.; Mottaghy, F.; Kopka, K.; Apostolidis, C.; Haberkorn, U.; Morgenstern, A. 225Ac-PSMA-617 for PSMA-Targeted α-Radiation Therapy of Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. J. Nucl. Med. 2016, 57, 1941–1944. [CrossRef]
- Li, M.; Baumhover, N.J.; Liu, D.; Boschetti, F.; Lee, D.; Obot, E.R.; Marks, B.M.; Sagastume, E.A.; McAlister, D.; Gabr, M.; et al. Novel chelator modifications to improve in vitro and in vivo stability of ²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi radiopeptide conjugates for alpha-particle radiotherapy. In Proceedings of the SNMMI-ACNM Mid-Winter Meeting, Orlando, FL, USA, 27–29 February 2022.
- Dos Santos, J.C.; Schäfer, M.; Bauder-Wüst, U.; Lehnert, W.; Leotta, K.; Morgenstern, A.; Kopka, K.; Haberkorn, U.; Mier, W.; Kratochwil, C. Development and dosimetry of ²⁰³Pb/²¹²Pb-labelled PSMA ligands: Bringing "the lead" into PSMA-targeted alpha therapy? *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2019**, *46*, 1081–1091. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zaid, N.R.R.; Kletting, P.; Beer, A.J.; Rozgaja Stallons, T.A.; Torgue, J.J.; Glatting, G. Mathematical Modeling of In Vivo Alpha Particle Generators and Chelator Stability. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* **2021**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stewart, F.A.; Akleyev, A.V.; Hauer-Jensen, M.; Hendry, J.H.; Kleiman, N.J.; Macvittie, T.J.; Aleman, B.M.; Edgar, A.B.; Mabuchi, K.; Muirhead, C.R.; et al. ICRP publication 118: ICRP statement on tissue reactions and early and late effects of radiation in normal tissues and organs-threshold doses for tissue reactions in a radiation protection context. *Ann. ICRP* 2012, *41*, 1–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Emami, B.; Lyman, J.; Brown, A.; Coia, L.; Goitein, M.; Munzenrider, J.E.; Shank, B.; Solin, L.J.; Wesson, M. Tolerance of normal tissue to therapeutic irradiation. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **1991**, *21*, 109–122. [CrossRef]
- Krahwinkel, W.; Herzog, H.; Feinendegen, L.E. Pharmacokinetics of thallium-201 in normal individuals after routine myocardial scintigraphy. J. Nucl. Med. 1988, 29, 1582–1586.
- Svensson, S.E.; Lomsky, M.; Olsson, L.; Persson, S.; Strauss, H.W.; Westling, H. Non-invasive determination of the distribution of cardiac output in man at rest and during exercise. *Clin. Physiol.* 1982, 2, 467–477. [CrossRef]
- Delpassand, E.S.; Tworowska, I.; Esfandiari, R.; Torgue, J.; Hurt, J.; Shafie, A.; Núñez, R. Targeted Alpha-Emitter Therapy With ²¹²Pb-DOTAMTATE for the Treatment of Metastatic SSTR-Expressing Neuroendocrine Tumors: First-in-Human, Dose-Escalation Clinical Trial. J. Nucl. Med. 2022, 121, 263230.
- Zaid, N.R.R.; Kletting, P.; Winter, G.; Beer, A.J.; Glatting, G. A Whole-Body Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Model for Alpha Particle Emitting Bismuth in Rats. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* 2022, 37, 41–46. [CrossRef]

- 29. Liatsou, I.; Yu, J.; Bastiaannet, R.; Li, Z.; Hobbs, R.F.; Torgue, J.; Sgouros, G. ²¹²Pb-conjugated anti-rat HER2/*neu* antibody against a *neu*-N derived murine mammary carcinoma cell line: Cell kill and RBE in vitro. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **2022**, 1452–1461. [CrossRef]
- Li, M.; Liu, D.; Lee, D.; Cheng, Y.; Baumhover, N.J.; Marks, B.M.; Sagastume, E.A.; Ballas, Z.K.; Johnson, F.L.; Morris, Z.S.; et al. Targeted Alpha-Particle Radiotherapy and Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors Induces Cooperative Inhibition on Tumor Growth of Malignant Melanoma. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 3676. [CrossRef]
- 31. Bolch, W.E.; Eckerman, K.F.; Sgouros, G.; Thomas, S.R. MIRD pamphlet No. 21: A generalized schema for radiopharmaceutical dosimetry–standardization of nomenclature. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2009**, *50*, 477–484. [CrossRef]
- 32. Kirschner, A.S.; Ice, R.D.; Beierwaltes, W.H. Radiation Dosimetry of 131-I-19-Iodocholesterol: The Pitfalls of Using Tissue Concentration Data. *J. Nucl. Med.* **1975**, *16*, 247–249.
- 33. Cloutier, R.J.; Smith, S.A.; Watson, E.E.; Snyder, W.S.; Warner, G.G. Dose to the fetus from radionuclides in the bladder. *Health Phys.* **1973**, *25*, 147–161. [CrossRef]
- 34. ICRP. Human alimentary tract model for radiological protection. ICRP Publication 100. A report of The International Commission on Radiological Protection. *Ann. ICRP* 2006, *36*, 25–327.