

# Molecular epidemiological and pharmaceutical studies of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated at hospitals in Kure City, Japan

Ryuto Maeda<sup>1,2</sup>, Hidetomo Kobayashi<sup>3</sup>, Mami Higashidani<sup>2</sup>, Tetsuaki Matsuhisa<sup>2</sup>, Akihiro Sawa<sup>1</sup>, Katsushi Miyake<sup>1</sup>, Yoshitaka Tayama<sup>1</sup>, Kouji Kimura<sup>1</sup>, Hiroyuki Itoh<sup>4</sup>, Taichi Okano<sup>4</sup>, Soshi Seike<sup>3</sup> and Hiroyasu Yamanaka<sup>3,\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Introduction.** Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of the major pathogens of nosocomial infections throughout the world. In the medical field, it is extremely important to this pathogen's trends when considering infection control.

**Hypothesis/Gap Statement.** We hypothesized that clarifying the characteristics of clinically isolated MRSA would contribute to infection control and proper use of antimicrobial agents against MRSA.

**Aim.** The purpose of this study is to elucidate the genetic and biological characteristics of the MRSA isolates found at our hospital and to reveal changes in the spread of this pathogen in the local area where we live.

**Methodology.** Pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and polymerase chain reaction were used for the genetic analyses of MRSA isolates. Toxin production by each isolate was examined using toxin-specific detection systems.

**Results.** During the 3 years from 2017 through 2019, over 1000 MRSA strains were isolated at our hospital. Genomic analysis of 237 of these clinical isolates by PFGE revealed 12 PFGE types (types A to L), each consisting of five or more MRSA clinical strains with over 80% genetic similarity. Examination of the SCC*mec* genotypes found that 219 of 237 isolated MRSA strains (approximately 92%) were SCC*mec* genotype II or IV and that only four of the isolates carried the Panton–Valentine leukocidin (PVL) gene. Examination of the toxin production of the isolates using staphylococcal enterotoxin detection kits found that most isolates carrying the SCC*mec* genotype II produced enterotoxin B and/or C, and that most isolates carrying the SCC*mec* genotype IV produced enterotoxin A.

**Conclusions.** The present results revealed that MRSA isolates with common properties were isolated at certain rates throughout the 3 year study period, suggesting that relatively specific MRSA clones may have settled in the local area around our hospital. We also examine the relationship between antimicrobial usage over time and changes in MRSA isolation rates.

## INTRODUCTION

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is still globally regarded as the main causative agent of nosocomial infections. According to data from the 'Annual Open Report 2019' published by the Japan Nosocomial Infection Surveillance (JANIS) project

Received 19 October 2021; Accepted 13 December 2021; Published 25 February 2022

Author affiliations: <sup>1</sup>Research Center for Pharmaceutical Health Care and Sciences, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hiroshima International University, Hiro-Koshingai, Kure, Hiroshima 737-0112, Japan; <sup>2</sup>National Hospital Organization, Kure Medical Center and Chugoku Cancer Center, Aoyama-cho, Kure, Hiroshima 737-0023, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Microbiological Science, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hiroshima International University, Hiro-Koshingai, Kure, Hiroshima 737-0112, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Saiseikai Kure Hospital, Sanjo, Kure, Hiroshima 737-0821, Japan. \*Correspondence: Hiroyasu Yamanaka, h-yamana@hirokoku-u.ac.jp

**Keywords:** *Staphylococcus aureus*; MRSA; genome typing; pulse-field gel electrophoresis; epidemiology; phylogeny; SCC*mec*; antibacterial agents; antibiotic resistance; AUD/DOT value.

Abbreviations: AUD, antimicrobial use density; CA-MRSA, community-associated Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; CC, clonal complex; *coa*, staphylococcal coagulase gene; DDD, defined daily dose; DOT, days of therapy; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; EXT, exfoliative toxin; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MLST, multilocus sequence typing; MRSA, Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; NCCLS, National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard; NHOKMC, National Hospital Organization Kure Medical Center; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PFGE, pulse-field gel electrophoresis; PFT, pulsed-field type; PVL, Panton–Valentine leucocidin; RPLA, reversed passive latex agglutination; SCC*mec*, Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome *mec*; SET, *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxin; ST, sequence type; TE, Tris-EDTA; TSST-1, toxic shock syndrome toxin-1.

of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan, MRSA remains the most frequently isolated drug-resistant bacterial strain in Japanese hospitals, accounting for 93.35% (17134 inpatients) of the total of 18354 inpatients that had new infections of all drug-resistant bacterial strains. Thus, the clinical problems related to MRSA are far from being resolved even in Japan, and close attention to it will still need to be paid in the future.

MRSA first appeared in the early 1960s, and several epidemic health care-associated MRSA (HA-MRSA) clones have emerged since the 1970s. From the 1990s on, however, several community-associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) clones have spread worldwide [1, 2]. Popovich *et al.* reported that the USA300 MRSA strain, the most common CA-MRSA strain in the United States, was displacing traditional hospital MRSA strains and causing new health and hygiene problems among some races [3]. In addition, due to the clinical use of various antibacterial agents, new MRSA clones have been also emerging [4, 5]. MRSA is spread all over the world [2], and the strain map of MRSA isolates from Asia and the Pacific is especially diverse [6–9]. Since the genetic evolution of MRSA is likely to continue, molecular epidemiological surveillance of this pathogen will become increasingly important.

Resistance to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics is caused by the *mecA* gene, which is positioned at a mobile genetic element, the Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*). Five SCC*mec* types, SCC*mec* types I to V, have been known as typical SCC*mec* genotypes [10, 11], and several of their variants have been further reported [12–19]. Staphylococci other than MRSA are also known to have SCC*mec*, and there is concern that they may be new suppliers of drug resistance gene transfer [20, 21]. It is therefore important to always pay attention to the genetic features of MRSA isolated in the medical field.

Pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is a widely used method of analysing the genomic properties of MRSA. In combination with this molecular typing method, SCC*mec* typing, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and typing of the variable tandem repeat region of protein A (spa typing) are widely used to analyse the characteristics of MRSA [22]. MRSA characteristics are also analysed by Panton–Valentine leukocidin (PVL) gene detection, staphylococcal coagulase gene (*coa*) typing, and assays for the production of various toxins such as exfoliative toxins, enterotoxins, and toxic shock syndrome toxins [2]. We therefore applied these methods to analyse clinically isolated MRSA strains.

In this study, we first performed molecular epidemiological analysis of MRSA clinical strains isolated from 2017 through 2019 at the National Hospital Organization Kure Medical Centre (NHOKMC) in Kure city, Hiroshima prefecture, Japan. NHOKMC has 35 clinical departments and 700 beds. We further examined the possession of the *pvl* gene in the MRSA isolates by PCR and the production of several enterotoxins, toxic syndrome toxin-1 and exfoliative toxin using their respective toxin detection kits. In addition, we also investigated the relationship between the incidence of MRSA infections and the usage of antibacterial agents in our hospital, in parallel with the above basic research. Finally, we attempted to comprehensively discuss their results. Although this research is a limited study performed at one medical institution, it is a very important effort to track changes in the characteristics of MRSA isolated in medical facilities that are visited by many patients, including outpatients, and to understand MRSA trends. From this point of view, we believe that continuing such studies will provide valuable information with which to control MRSA infection.

## METHODS

## Bacterial isolates examined in this study

The representative MRSA clinical isolates classified into 12 PFGE types (types A to L) in this molecular epidemiological study are listed in Table 1 along with the origins of the specimens. These isolates are among the MRSA clinical strains isolated at NHOKMC from 2017 through 2019. The sensitivity of each isolate to various antibacterial agents assessed from the MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) values is also specified in Table S1 (available in the online version of this article), sensitive; I, intermediate; R, resistant). When the MIC value reached the value shown in the margin of Table 1, it was judged to be R (resistant to each antibacterial agent). MRSA was determined to have an oxacillin (MPIPC) MIC value of 4  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> or higher based on the NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards) criteria. The MRSA isolation rate (%) was calculated as the rate of the number of MRSA isolates to the total number of *S. aureus* isolated from the patients at our hospital.

## Pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

Pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PEGE) was carried out as described elsewhere [23–26]. A single colony of a test isolate was inoculated into 2 ml of Luria–Bertani broth and incubated with shaking at 37 °C for 16 h. The concentrations of the cell suspensions were adjusted with LB broth by using a spectrophotometer to an absorbance of 0.9 to 1.0 at 620 nm. Two-hundred microlitres of the adjusted cell suspension was centrifuged at 12000 *g* for 3 to 4 min, and the supernatant was removed. The pellet was resuspended in 300 µl of Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8]) and equilibrated in a 37 °C water bath for 10 min. To the cell suspension were added 4 µl of conventional (no. L-7386; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) lysostaphin stock solution (1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> in 20 mM sodium acetate [pH 4.5]) and 300 µl of 1.5% (wt/vol) Certified Low-Melt agarose (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) in TE buffer (equilibrated to 60 °C), followed by gentle mixing. The mixture was dispensed into the wells of disposable plug moulds (Bio-Rad). The plugs were allowed to solidify at room temperature for 10 to 15 min. The plugs were removed and placed into a tube

	FOM	s	ж	s	R	R	s	R	I	s	s	R	s	s	В	s	R	s	s	s	I	R	s	s	s	s	s	s
	LVFX	ж	ы	К	К	К	×	ы	К	К	К	К	-	н	R	К	К	К	К	н	Я	К	м	ы	R	К	К	R
	CPFX	ж	ы	ы	К	ы	м	ы	Ы	R	R	К	м	ы	Ы	К	К	К	К	Я	Я	R	м	Я	Ы	К	R	Я
	VCM	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
	TEIC	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
	ONIM	ж	ы	ы	К	ы	-	ы	К	s	В	В	s	s	I	К	Я	Я	г	н	Я	R	s	s	s	s	s	s
	CLDM	ж	R	R	R	R	ж	R	R	К	В	К	м	R	R	К	В	R	R	Я	В	В	В	Я	R	R	s	a
	GM	ж	ы	ы	К	ы	s	s	s	К	К	К	s	s	К	К	К	К	К	ы	s	К	s	s	s	s	s	s
	ABK	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	Т	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	5
rediate	PIPC/ TAZ	ж	R	R	К	R	×	R	К	К	В	К	ж	R	R	К	R	В	R	R	R	В	В	R	R	К	К	a
e: I, intern	CPZ/ SBT	×	В	R	К	R	×	R	К	н	В	К	ж	В	R	К	R	В	К	R	R	В	В	В	R	К	н	0
S, sensitiv	ABPC/ SBT	ж	ы	Ы	К	Ы	м	ы	ы	R	К	К	м	ы	К	К	К	В	R	Я	Я	К	м	Я	К	К	R	0
with grav);	MEPM	В	R	R	К	R	н	R	К	В	В	К	К	R	В	К	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	В	В	К	В	q
itant (filled	ШM	м	ы	К	к	К	м	ы	К	К	К	К	м	ы	R	К	К	К	К	К	R	К	м	ы	R	к	К	٩
ts [R, resis	1 XOA	×	н	К	К	К	×	н	R	К	К	К	м	К	R	К	К	К	К	ж	В	К	м	ж	R	К	К	0
terial agen	MZ FN	ж	Ж	R	К	R	2	К	К	В	Я	К	~	К	R	К	В	К	К	ж	В	Я	м	ж	К	К	В	P
to antibaci	EPM C	м	К	К	К	К	м	ы	ы	R	К	R	м	ы	К	R	К	В	R	Я	Я	К	2	В	К	К	R	0
lesistance	DN CI	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	
	VP CH		4	, -	-	, -		4	-	±	4	4	-			4	±	-			-	4		4		-	±	-
	PA PA	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	0
	EM	Я	В	R	R	R	ж	ы	R	R	R	R	м	R	R	R	R	В	R	R	Я	R	R	R	R	R	R	0
	CTM	м	ы	ы	К	В	ж	В	В	н	В	В	м	ы	Ы	К	Я	В	К	В	R	В	н	В	В	К	R	a
	CEZ	~	В	R	К	R	ж	R	R	К	Я	К	×	R	R	н	R	R	R	Я	Я	Я	В	Я	К	К	н	a
	PCG	ж	R	R	R	R	-	R	R	К	В	R	Ж	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	В	В	R	R	R	К	0
	AZM	н	В	R	К	R	-	В	К	н	В	К	×	В	R	К	R	В	К	В	R	В	-	В	К	К	н	0
	MPIPC	н	В	В	К	В	м	ы	К	В	R	R	м	ы	В	R	В	В	R	В	Я	R	м	В	В	К	В	Q
	ABPC	В	К	В	К	В	м	В	К	В	В	В	н	ы	В	В	В	В	R	В	R	В	н	В	В	К	В	Q
Gender		W	W	ш	Μ	Μ	W	ц	Μ	М	М	ц	W	щ	Μ	Μ	ц	Μ	ц	Μ	Μ	н	W	Μ	М	ц	М	M
Age	5	71	81	79	59	6	85	11	42	85	64	76	81	80	87	74	42	58	74	76	09	92	63	84	73	86	80	00
Origin of the sample		Pharyngeal mucus	Sputum	Sputum	Intratracheal sputum	Sputum	Natural urination	Obstructive pus	Obstructive pus	Indwelling catheter urine	Ear secretions	Ear secretions	Sputum	Pressure ulcer	Sputum	Abdominal drain tip	Indwelling catheter urine	Skin	Sputum	Sputum	Feces	Indwelling catheter urine	Open pus	Sputum	Venous blood	Sputum	Sputum	Tatanta last at a
Collection	date (Year/ Month/ Day)	2018/ 3/26	2019 /7/1	2019/ 11/5	2019/ 11/15	2019/ 12/16	2018/ 7/12	2018/ 9/4	2018 /11/13	2018/ 11/19	2018/ 11/27	2018/ 12/4	2017/ 1/11	2017/ 2/10	2017/ 3/1	2017/ 4/3	2017/ 5/2	2017 /7/3	2017/ 7/4	2017/ 8/8	2017/ 9/6	2017/ 10/6	2018/ 8/16	2018 /9/5	2019/ 6/15	2019/ 9/10	2019/ 10/11	10100
Strain	number	KI-101	KI-203	KI-230	KI-234	KI-239	KI-127	KI-137	KI-150	KI-151	KI-153	KI-155	KI-007	KI-012	KI-016	KI-021	KI-028	KI-043	KI-045	KI-050	KI-058	KI-063	KI-132	KI-138	KI-201	KI-220	KI-224	736
FGE	lype			_																								

Martine         Martine <t< th=""><th>Γ</th><th>FOM</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>н</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th><th>s</th></t<>	Γ	FOM	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	н	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Math         Math <th< td=""><td></td><th>LVFX I</th><td>в</td><td>В</td><td>ы</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>ы</td><td>s</td><td>ы</td><td>В</td><td>Я</td><td>К</td><td>К</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>-</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>ы</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>В</td></th<>		LVFX I	в	В	ы	В	В	В	В	ы	s	ы	В	Я	К	К	R	R	R	R	-	В	В	В	ы	R	R	В
10         10         0		CPFX	Я	R	R	R	R	К	я	В	s	В	В	В	Я	ы	ы	ы	ы	н	ж	Я	R	Я	В	R	R	В
10         0		VCM	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
10         10<		TEIC	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	В	К	В	В	В	R	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Indicational         Control of the state         Control of the st		ONIM	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
International         Internat		CLDM	В	В	s	В	К	s	s	В	В	s	s	s	R	К	R	R	R	R	В	В	R	R	В	В	R	В
10.         0.000         0		GM	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	В	К	s	R	R	R	R
Matrix         Matrix<		ABK	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Image         Image <th< td=""><td>(and</td><th>TAZ</th><td>Я</td><td>R</td><td>К</td><td>R</td><td>К</td><td>К</td><td>ж</td><td>Я</td><td>Я</td><td>Я</td><td>В</td><td>Я</td><td>Я</td><td>ы</td><td>ж</td><td>ж</td><td>ж</td><td>К</td><td>ж</td><td>Я</td><td>R</td><td>Я</td><td>Я</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>Я</td></th<>	(and	TAZ	Я	R	К	R	К	К	ж	Я	Я	Я	В	Я	Я	ы	ж	ж	ж	К	ж	Я	R	Я	Я	В	В	Я
Image         Image <th< td=""><td>1</td><th>CPZ/ SBT</th><td>ы</td><td>R</td><td>ы</td><td>R</td><td>К</td><td>К</td><td>ж</td><td>н</td><td>н</td><td>н</td><td>К</td><td>В</td><td>К</td><td>ы</td><td>ы</td><td>ы</td><td>ы</td><td>ы</td><td>ж</td><td>К</td><td>R</td><td>Я</td><td>ы</td><td>В</td><td>К</td><td>Я</td></th<>	1	CPZ/ SBT	ы	R	ы	R	К	К	ж	н	н	н	К	В	К	ы	ы	ы	ы	ы	ж	К	R	Я	ы	В	К	Я
Image         Image <th< td=""><td></td><th>ABPC/ SBT</th><td>ы</td><td>К</td><td>ы</td><td>К</td><td>К</td><td>К</td><td>ж</td><td>ы</td><td>Я</td><td>ы</td><td>К</td><td>Я</td><td>К</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>К</td><td>ж</td><td>К</td><td>R</td><td>К</td><td>ы</td><td>В</td><td>К</td><td>Я</td></th<>		ABPC/ SBT	ы	К	ы	К	К	К	ж	ы	Я	ы	К	Я	К	В	В	В	В	К	ж	К	R	К	ы	В	К	Я
Math         Math </td <td></td> <th>MEPM</th> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>К</td> <td>В</td> <td>ж</td> <td>R</td> <td>В</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>ы</td> <td>В</td> <td>В</td> <td>В</td> <td>К</td> <td>ж</td> <td>В</td> <td>R</td> <td>В</td> <td>В</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>В</td>		MEPM	R	R	R	R	К	В	ж	R	В	R	R	R	R	ы	В	В	В	К	ж	В	R	В	В	R	R	В
1000         00000 </td <td>F-115/ *</td> <th>W</th> <td>~</td>	F-115/ *	W	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Math         Math <th< td=""><td>5</td><th></th><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></th<>	5				-		-	-		_	-	_	-		-							-		-				_
1740         1740         0460         0460         1440 <th< td=""><td>1</td><th>Z FMC</th><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>Я</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>К</td><td>Я</td><td>Я</td><td>Я</td><td>Я</td><td>В</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td><td>R</td></th<>	1	Z FMC	R	R	R	R	R	R	Я	R	R	R	R	R	R	К	Я	Я	Я	Я	В	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Math         Math </td <td></td> <th>W</th> <td>8</td> <td>В</td> <td>2</td> <td>В</td> <td>R</td> <td>н</td> <td>R</td> <td>В</td> <td>R</td> <td>В</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>В</td> <td>Я</td> <td>Я</td> <td>Я</td> <td>R</td> <td>×</td> <td>R</td> <td>В</td> <td>В</td> <td>В</td> <td>R</td> <td>R</td> <td>a</td>		W	8	В	2	В	R	н	R	В	R	В	R	R	R	В	Я	Я	Я	R	×	R	В	В	В	R	R	a
Wate in the section of the firetune of the section of the sectin of the secting of the secting of the section of the		CEP	R	Ы	ы	Ы	Я	R	R	Я	Я	Я	R	R	R	В	Я	Я	Я	н	R	R	R	R	н	R	R	Я
True         Observation         Operation	Ē	CEDN	н	R	В	R	К	В	м	В	В	В	R	В	R	К	ж	ж	ж	К	н	В	R	R	В	R	R	R
Hot         Mode         Operation         Apple         <		DAP	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Free free transmission         Sector         April and the under the couple of the co		EM/ CLDM	н	В	г	В	К	г	-	н	В	Ι	г	T	К	ы	К	К	К	К	ж	Я	R	В	В	В	К	В
Hr.         Statut         Cubication for any order or parts         April Table         April		CTM	ы	Ы	ы	Ы	Я	К	ж	н	Я	н	R	Я	К	ы	ы	ы	ы	н	ж	Я	К	Я	ы	R	R	Я
Free free supple sup		CEZ	м	I	ы	Ы	I	R	-	н	Я	Ι	R	Я	Я	ы	ы	ы	ы	Я	м	Я	К	Я	ы	R	К	Я
Heta Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Type 		PCG	В	В	К	В	К	К	ж	В	В	В	R	н	R	ы	ы	ы	ы	К	н	В	R	В	В	В	R	В
Heta         Galection (wate (bar) (bar		MZM	ж	R	Т	R	К	s	s	В	Я	В	Г	s	К	В	R	R	R	R	ж	К	R	Я	н	R	В	Я
FieldStrain buildCollection otac towinkOrigin of the sampleAppGodarTypemubbie $\alpha$ and towink $\alpha$ and towinkFirst $218$ /build $218$ /build $\alpha$ by the sample $\alpha$ and towink $\alpha$ and towerk $\alpha$ and towink $\alpha$ and tow		MPIPC	В	R	К	R	К	К	ж	В	В	В	R	я	R	н	н	н	н	К	н	В	R	R	R	R	R	R
Free Free Type Type Type Type Type Type TypeStrain Creation Creation Many Many Many ManyCreation Creation Many Many Many ManyCreation Creation Many Many ManyCreation Creation Many ManyCreation Creation Many ManyCreation Creation Many ManyCreation Many ManyCreation Many ManyCreation Many ManyCreation 		ABPC	ж	R	Ы	R	К	К	ж	н	Я	н	R	Я	К	К	Я	Я	Я	Я	×	К	К	Ж	ы	В	К	В
FFGEStrain (with DampityOrigin of the sampleAgeType(with (with Dampity)(with (with Dampity)AgeF(with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)E(with (with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)E(with (with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)E(with (with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)E(with (with Dampity)(with (with Dampity)(with Dampity)(with Dampity)E(with (with))(with (with))(with (with))(with (with))(with (with))F(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))F(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))F(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))F(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with)F(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with)F(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with)F(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) (with))(with) <b< td=""><td>1750</td><th></th><td>W</td><td>ц.</td><td>Μ</td><td>Μ</td><td>Μ</td><td>Μ</td><td>ц</td><td>ц</td><td>ц</td><td>ц</td><td>щ</td><td>ц</td><td>М</td><td>М</td><td>М</td><td>ц</td><td>ц</td><td>ц</td><td>ц.</td><td>ц</td><td>Μ</td><td>ц</td><td>щ</td><td>М</td><td>Μ</td><td>ц</td></b<>	1750		W	ц.	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	ц	ц	ц	ц	щ	ц	М	М	М	ц	ц	ц	ц.	ц	Μ	ц	щ	М	Μ	ц
FFGEStrainCollectionOrgan of the sampleType(xent (xent) Dany)00<		vgc	65	74	74	75	59	88	83	32	71	87	80	81	93	71	71	83	59	0	82	80	88	39	70	74	71	26
PFGE         Strain         Collection           Type         number         (Kanty Nonty)           F(1-125         2018/           K1-143         2018/           K1-143         2018/           K1-143         2018/           K1-143         2018/           K1-143         2018/           K1-143         2018/           K1-145         2018/           K1-145         2018/           K1-146         2018/           K1-152         2018/           K1-152         2018/           K1-152         2018/           K1-152         2018/           K1-152         2018/           K1-152         2018/           K1-153         2017/           K1-153         2017/           K1-153         2017/           K1-153         2017/           K1-153         2017/           K1-154         2018/           K1-155         2017/           K1-155         2017/           K1-155         2018/           K1-155         2018/           K1-155         2019/           K1-155         2019/ <t< td=""><td></td><th>organ or measurpre</th><td>Open pus</td><td>Venous blood</td><td>Indwelling catheter urine</td><td>Mound</td><td>Urine catheter</td><td>Indwelling catheter urine</td><td>Venus blood</td><td>Obstructive pus</td><td>Open pus</td><td>Skin</td><td>Venus blood</td><td>Open pus</td><td>Intratracheal sputum</td><td>Sputum</td><td>Venus blood</td><td>Sputum</td><td>Feces</td><td>Nasal cavity</td><td>Sputum</td><td>Intratracheal sputum</td><td>Urine catheter</td><td>Vaginal discharge</td><td>Natural urination</td><td>Bronchial sputum</td><td>Bronchial sputum</td><td>Vaginal discharge</td></t<>		organ or measurpre	Open pus	Venous blood	Indwelling catheter urine	Mound	Urine catheter	Indwelling catheter urine	Venus blood	Obstructive pus	Open pus	Skin	Venus blood	Open pus	Intratracheal sputum	Sputum	Venus blood	Sputum	Feces	Nasal cavity	Sputum	Intratracheal sputum	Urine catheter	Vaginal discharge	Natural urination	Bronchial sputum	Bronchial sputum	Vaginal discharge
PFGE         Strain           Type         K1-126           K1-142         K1-142           K1-142         K1-142           K1-142         K1-142           K1-142         K1-146           K1-142         K1-146           K1-142         K1-146           K1-142         K1-012           K1-012         K1-026           K1-026         K1-026           K1-027         K1-026           H         K1-213           K1-228         K1-238           K1-238         K1-238           K1-238         K1-238		date (Year/ Month/ Day)	2018/ 7/12	2018/ 9/22	2018/ 10/1	2018/ 10/3	2018/ 10/3	2018/ 11/19	2017/ 3/10	2017/ 4/3	2017/ 5/9	2018/ 1/15	2018/ 1/23	2017/ 7/5	2017/ 8/14	2017/ 9/4	2017/ 9/4	2017/ 9/5	2017/ 10/13	2017/ 12/6	2018/ 1/17	2018/ 5/14	2018/ 9/10	2019/ 8/2	2019/ 8/7	2019/ 10/21	2019/ 10/23	2019/ 12/2
н с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с		number	KI-126	KI-142	KI-143	KI-145	KI-146	KI-152	KI-019	KI-020	KI-031	KI-083	KI-087	KI-044	KI-053	KI-054	KI-055	KI-056	KI-067	KI-079	KI-084	KI-115	KI-139	KI-210	KI-213	KI-227	KI-228	KI-235
	aDan	Type			Е						н						IJ								Н			

	FOM	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	г	п	s	К	ж	К	ж	ы	ж	-	ы	ы	MIC R	ictam µg/
	LVFX	В	Ι	R	В	В	s	s	s	В	В	К	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	R n (DAP) (}	lin/tazobi MIC >128
	I XHO	R	В	В	В	В	s	s	s	R	К	В	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	н	В	В	В	R aptomyci	piperacill r (FOM) ()
	VCM C	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s s	µg/mL). osfomycii
	TEIC	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	г	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	S MIC >4.5	T) (MIC >8 mL) and f
	ONIF	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	R	н	×	В	s	s	R	В	R	н	н	s	s	s	s	S I/CLDM) (	(CPZ/SB IC >4 µg/
	M MG	s	s	s	В	s	s	s	s	R	ж	×	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	×	В	В	В	R nycin (EN	ulbactam (LVFX) (M
	M CI	~	~	s	_	~	~	~	s	~	~	~	~	~	~	20	~	~	~	~	~	50	20	s	s in/clindar	ra zone/s ofloxacin
	BK G	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s ythromyc	L). cefope /mL). lev
liate]	PC/ A	R	В	ж	В	В	н	R	н	R	ж	×	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	×	В	В	н	R g/mL), er	>2 µg/m MIC >2 µg
intermed	T T	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	MIC -4 µ	SBT) (MIC (CPFX) (
ensitive; I,	L C		_	-	_	-		-	-	-	-		_	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	am (CTM)	n (ABPC/ rofloxacir
tray); S, se	I ABP SB'	R	Я	R	Я	Я	В	Я	R	Я	R	R	Я	Я	R	Я	R	Я	н	ы	Я	м	Я	R	R L), cefotia	sulbactar J/mL). cip
led with §	MEPN	R	R	В	R	В	В	R	К	R	R	ж	R	R	В	R	В	R	R	R	ж	R	R	В	R : >2 µg/m	MIC >1 µc
istant (fil	ШМ	R	В	н	В	В	в	R	н	R	ы	×	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	×	В	В	н	R CEZ) (MIC	ug/mL), a in (VCM) (
ts [R, res	IOX	R	В	ж	В	В	В	R	н	R	ж	×	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	×	В	В	н	R efazolin (	MIC >2 ancomyc
terial agei	MZ FN	R	В	ж	В	В	В	R	н	R	ж	2	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	2	В	В	н	R Jug/mL), c	n (MEPM) µg/mL), v
o antibac	PM C	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	R ) (MIC >4	ieropener (MIC >1
sistance t	CF.																								illin (PCG	ap/mL), m anin (TEIC
Re	CED	R	R	R	R	R	В	R	R	R	R	ж	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	Ж	R	R	R	R nL), penic	(MIC >2 ) , teicopla
	DAP	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	S C >4 µg/r	em (IPM) -8 µg/mL
	EM/ CLDM	Ι	г	-	К	-	-	п	-	К	К	ж	К	К	К	К	К	К	ы	ы	ж	ы	н	К	R (AZM) (MI	L), imiper NO) (MIC :
	IM	R	В	ж	В	В	В	R	К	В	К	ж	В	В	Я	R	Я	В	Я	В	ж	Я	Я	В	R	>4 µg/m cyclin (MI
	CEZ C	I	В	-	В	В	н	R	н	R	К	ж	В	В	Я	R	Я	В	н	н	ж	ы	Я	К	R mL), aziti	40X) (MIC nL), mino
	PCG	В	В	В	В	В	н	R	В	R	В	н	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	ж	В	В	В	R 11C > 2 µg/	moxef (F1 C >2 µg/r
	мZМ	s	s	s	В	s	s	s	s	R	ы	×	В	R	В	R	В	R	В	В	×	В	В	н	R APIPC) (N	J/mL), flo
	IPIPC	R	R	В	В	В	В	R	К	R	К	×	В	R	В	R	В	R	R	R	ж	R	В	В	R xacillin (h	(MIC >8 µ( amycin (C
	BPC M	К	н	Я	К	В	я	В	В	В	К	ж	К	В	Я	В	Я	В	ж	ж	ж	ж	Я	В	R µg/mL), o	nL), clind.
er	R																								() (MIC >8	efmeta zo IC > 2 µg/1
Gend		ц	щ	М	М	ц	ц	щ	Μ	М	Μ	14	ц	М	М	М	М	щ	Μ	щ	M	щ	Μ	Μ	M Ilin (ABPC	µg/mL). c n (GM) (M.
Age		82	73	83	88	88	70	48	63	75	62	8	71	74	19	85	84	62	87	80	8	88	96	22	87 s; ampici	) (MIC >4 jentamici
the sample		cretions	tum	tum	s blood	tum	snd u	tum	tum	eal sputum	cretions	-g	snd u	eal sputum	eal sputum	tum	tum	pun	tum	tum	eal sputum	snd u	eal sputum	ces	tum ned as follow	epime (CFPM >1 µg/mL), g
Origin of		Ear se	Spt	Spt	Venou	Spt	Ope	Spt	Spt	Intratrach	Ear se	N N	Ope	Intratrach	Intratrach	Spt	Spt	Μc	Spt	Spt	Intratrach	Ope	Intratrach	Ft	Spt.	ABK) (MIC
ion		,																							/ ial agent)	MIC > 1 µg
Collecti	date (Year Monti Day)	2018. 5/7	2019. 3/6	2019. 4/2	2019. 4/10	2019. 5/13	2019. 1/4	2019.	2019. 3/8	2019.	2019.	2018.	2018. 5/10	2018. 6/1	2018.	2019.	2019.	2019. 5/2	2019. 5/17	2019. 6/6	2019. 2/4	2019. 3/4	2019. 3/7	2019. 5/7	2019. 5/13 antibacter	hir (CFDN) (I µg/mL), arl
Strain	number	KI-110	KI-178	KI-182	KI-187	KI-192	KI-161	KI-173	KI-181	KI-186	KI-199	KI-113	KI-114	KI-116	KI-120	KI-164	KI-188	KI-189	KI-194	KI-196	KI-169	KI-176	KI-179	KI-191	KI-193 nt to each	nL), cefdin 7) (MIC >2
PFGE	Type			_					_							Х							L		2 (resista	0.5 µg/n

Table 1. Continued



Fig. 1. Detection rates of bacteria and fungi isolated at NHOKMC from 2017 through 2019. The total number of bacterial and fungal strain samples detected in NHOKMC in this period was 12932. The detection rates of the top ten species are shown.

containing at least 3 ml of EC lysis buffer (6 mM Tris HCl, 1 M NaCl, 100 mM EDTA, 0.5% Brij-58, 0.2% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% sodium lauroyl sarcosine) and incubated at 37 °C for at least 4 h. The EC lysis buffer was poured off, and 4 ml of TE buffer was added and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The TE washings were repeated at least three more times, and the plugs were stored at 4 °C.

A plug slice was cut to the desired comb size and equilibrated in 1×restriction buffer 30 °C for at least 4 h. After removal of the 1×restriction buffer, 2 µl of *SmaI* restriction enzyme (no. 1085A, 10 U  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>; Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) in 200 µl of 1×restriction buffer was added to each tube, and the tubes were incubated at 30 °C for 3 h. A PFGE 1% (wt/vol) agarose gel was prepared in 0.5×TBE from 10×Tris-borate-EDTA buffer (1M Tris-Base, 1 M boric acid, 0.02 M EDTA [pH 8.0]). The plug slices were loaded directly onto the end of the comb tooth before the comb was placed into the comb holder, and the equilibrated agarose was poured carefully into the gel casting platform. PFGE was performed using a contour-clamped homogeneous electric field apparatus, CHEF DR-II (Bio-Rad). The running parameters were as follows: 200 V (6 V cm<sup>-1</sup>); temperature, 14 °C; initial switch, 5 s; final switch, 40 s; time, 20 h. After the electrophoresis was completed, the gel was stained in a 0.3 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> ethidium bromide solution for 1 h in a covered container.

Gels were photographed and digitized by the Printgraph AE-6914 (ATTO, Tokyo, Japan) and saved as a TIFF file for analysis with BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). The reference standard Lambda ladder (no. 1703635, Bio-Rad) was included in the first, seventh, and last lanes of each gel. Percent similarities were identified on a dendrogram derived from the unweighted pair group method using arithmetic averages and based on Dice coefficients. Band position tolerance and optimization were set at 1.25 and 0.5%, respectively [27]. A similarity coefficient of 80 % was selected to define the pulsed-field type (PFT) clusters after reviewing the epidemiologic data associated with each cluster of isolates.

## PCR amplification

Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) type [28] and coagulase gene type [29] were determined as described elsewhere. Isolates not identified as SCC*mec* types I–V were classified as nontypeable. Chromosome DNA was extracted by Cica Geneus DNA Extraction Reagent (Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan). PCR to detect the Panton–Valentine leukocidin gene (*pvl*) was performed according to the method reported [30].

## Multilocus sequence typing (MLST)

Previously it was shown that MRSA strains from a major clonal group, as demonstrated by PFGE, have either the same sequence type (ST) or STs that are related to a single clonal complex (CC) [31–36]. In this study, CC (ST) was determined by selecting two representative strains from each group as classified into groups A to L by PFGE analysis. MLST was performed as described by Enright *et al.* [36]. The sequence type (ST) was determined by using the MLST database (https://pubmlst.org).

## **Toxin production**

For the detection of enterotoxin (SET- RPLA), toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1), and exfoliative toxin detection (EXT-RPLA), a commercial RPLA test kit was obtained from Denka Seiken (Tokyo, Japan). Culture supernatants were diluted serially two- and tenfold. Agglutination was observed after about 16 h of incubation.



**Fig. 2.** The PFGE profiles and phylogenetic tree of MRSA strains classified into A to L PFGE types. It was considered that there were 12 PFGE types (types A to L), each consisting of five or more MRSA clinical isolates (77 isolates) with over 80% genetic similarity, throughout 2017–2019. The colour coding of the phylogenetic tree indicates that green was isolated in 2017, red in 2018, and blue in 2019.

Table 2. Transition of SCCmec types of the 237 MRSA isolates subjected to PFGE analysis

SCCmec Type		no. of isolates (rates, %)	
	2017	2018	2019
	( <i>n</i> =77)	( <i>n</i> =80)	( <i>n</i> =80)
Ι	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (5)
Ш	30 (39)	26 (33)	31 (39)
III	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)
IV	41 (53)	53 (66)*	38 (47)
V	5 (7)	0 (0)	4 (5)
NT	1 (1)	1 (1)	2 (3)

NT, nontypeable.

\**P*<0.05 vs 2019

#### Usage status of antimicrobial agents

To understand the usage status of injectable antimicrobial agents (antibacterial agents), antimicrobial use density (AUD) and days of therapy (DOT) values were calculated. AUD and DOT were expressed as follows:

AUD (\*DDDs/1000 patient-days)

= [Total dose (g) of antimicrobials used / DDD × Total days of hospital stay]×1000

DOT (DOTs/1000 patient-days)

= [Total days antimicrobials used / Total days of hospital stay]×1000

\*The defined daily dose (DDD) was the value defined by the World Health Organization.

It is generally considered that the AUD/DOT rate will theoretically be 1.0 if antimicrobial agents are used properly [37].

#### Statistical analysis

Comparisons of detection rate (%) of SCC*mec* types, CC (ST) types, and *coa* types were evaluated by Fisher's exact test. Results with *P*<0.05 were assessed as statistically significant.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Bacterial species with the highest detection rates at NHOKMC

The total number of bacterial and fungal strain samples detected in our hospital from 2017 through 2019 was 12932. The top ten species are shown in Fig. 1. These top ten species accounted for more than half (52.0%) of the samples. Among them, MRSA

 Table 3. Transition of clonal types of the 237 MRSA isolates subjected to PFGE analysis

CC (ST)		No. of isolates (rates, %)	
	2017	2018	2019
	( <i>n</i> =77)	( <i>n</i> =80)	( <i>n</i> =80)
1 (1)	14 (18)	24 (30)	7 (9)**
5 (5, 764)	31 (41)	21 (26)	27 (34)
8 (8, 380)	21 (27)	22 (28)	27 (34)
30 (30)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
121 (121)	4 (5)	0 (0)	1 (1)
NT	6 (8)	13 (16)	18 (22)

NT, nontypeable.

\*\*P<0.01 vs 2018.

pvl		No. of isolates (rates, %)	
	2017	2018	2019
	( <i>n</i> =77)	( <i>n</i> =80)	( <i>n</i> =80)
-	77 (100)	80 (100)	76 (95)
+	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (5)

isolates accounted for the highest proportion (8.2% of the total number of specimens), followed by *Escherichia coli*, methicillinsensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA), *Candida albicans*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

All of these isolates abound in daily life, and many are also resident as normal microbial flora in the human body. It is therefore suggested that ubiquitous microorganisms can be major pathogens of nosocomial infections, although it is a well-known fact. In several cases, MRSA strains were isolated from medical devices such as catheters (Table 1; see 'origin of the sample'), suggesting that bacterial contamination of medical devices may not be eliminated even though various infection control methods have improved and medical devices have been handled carefully in recent years.

#### PFGE profiles of 237 MRSA isolates from NHOKMC and their detection times

PFGE analyses were performed on 237 of the 1063 MRSA isolates isolated at our hospital from 2017 through 2019. These analyses revealed 12 PFGE types (types A to L), each consisting of five or more MRSA clinical isolates with over 80% genetic similarity throughout the 3 years. The PFGE profiles and phylogenetic tree are shown in Fig. 2. There were 77 MRSA isolates belonging to PFGE types A to L.

Considering the dates of isolation of the MRSA strains classified into their PFGE types A to L ('Dates of sample collection' in Table 1), it can be seen that the predominant PFGE type changes from year to year. That is, strains of PFGE types C, F, and G were isolated from January 2017 to the January 2018. Strains of types B and E were mainly isolated from July 2018 to the end of the same year, and those of types A, D, H, I, J, K, and L from the beginning of 2018 through all months of 2019. Thus, it seems that some specific PFGE types of MRSA strains may have been transmitted in the city or within the hospital because the same PFGE strain types predominated each year for a certain period. It is therefore inferred that MRSA strains derived from a specific origin and genetically related strains are carried among patients each year.

Table 1 also shows the susceptibility of 77 MRSA isolates of PFGE types A to L to various antibacterial agents. All isolates were sensitive to anti-MRSA drugs such as vancomycin (VCM), teicoplanin (TEIC), arbekacin (ABK), and daptomycin (DAP), indicating that these drugs are therapeutically effective against these isolates. These isolates were also sensitive to another anti-MRSA

соа Туре		No. of isolates (rates, %)	
-	2017	2018	2019
	( <i>n</i> =77)	( <i>n</i> =80)	( <i>n</i> =80)
1	2 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2	11 (14)	27 (34)**	33 (41)**
3	23 (30)	29 (36)	32 (40)
4	2 (2)	1 (1)	4 (5)
5	20 (26)	0 (0)**	2 (3)**
7	11 (14)	20 (25)	8 (10)*
8	2 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)
NT	6 (8)	3 (4)	1 (1)

**Table 5.** Transition of *coa* genotypes of the 237 MRSA isolates subjected to PFGE analysis

NT, nontypeable. \*P<0.05 vs 2018.

\*\*P<0.01 vs 2017.

Table 6. Molecular biological and bacteriological features of 77 MRSA isolates analysed by PFGE

Year	No. of isolates	PFGE type	Major genotyp PFe	es includ GE type	led in each			No. of isolates prc	oducing each virule	ence factor belongin	ıg to each PF(	<b>3E type (ratio, %)</b>	
			SCCmec	СС	coa type	pvl	Enterotoxin A	Enterotoxin B	Enterotoxin C	Enterotoxin D	1-TSST	Exfoliative toxin A	Exfoliative toxin B
2017	10	С	2	5	2	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (60)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	0 (0)	0 (0)
2017	4	G	4	1	4	0 (0)	3 (43)	1(14)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2017-2018	5	Ц	4	1	7	(0) (0)	3 (60)	0 (0)	1 (20)	0 (0)	1 (20)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2018	9	В	2	Ŋ	2	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (100)	2 (33)	0 (0)	(0) 0	0 (0)	0 (0)
2018	9	Э	4	п	4	0 (0)	5 (83)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2018-2019	5	Α	2	5	2	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (80)	1 (20)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2018-2019	9	D	4	1	4	0 (0)	4 (67)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2018-2019	8	Н	4	8	ю	0 (0)	0 (0)	1(13)	2 (25)	0 (0)	2 (25)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2018-2019	5	Ι	4	8	3	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2018-2019	6	K	2	5	2	0 (0)	0 (0)	8 (89)	4(44)	0 (0)	1(11)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2019	IJ	J	4	8	б	0 (0)	(0) (0)	0 (0)	2(40)	0 (0)	2 (40)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2019	ъ	Г	2	ß	2	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (100)	0 (0)	5 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)



Fig. 3. Annual changes in AUD/DOT values against various kinds of antibacterial agents used at NHOKMC. AUD/DOT values were calculated for various kinds of antibacterial agents used between 2015 and 2019 at NHOKMC. AUD/DOT values were calculated according to the method described in the text. \*Anti-MRSA drugs included vancomycin, teicoplanin, daptomycin, and linezolid.

drug, linezolid (data not shown). Aside from these 77 isolates, all other isolated MRSA strains were sensitive to the above drugs (data not shown), suggesting that resistance to anti-MRSA drugs has not yet progressed in our locale. As a matter of course, none of the beta-lactam antibiotics showed antibacterial activity against any MRSA strains isolated. In addition, most isolates were resistant to quinolones (CPFX, LVFX), azithromycin (AZM), erythromycin (EM), and clindamycin (CLDM).

Since we classified five or more strains with over 80% genetic similarity as the same PFGE type in this study, there were some MRSA strains belonging to the same PFGE type which had different susceptibility to antibiotics. It is considered that the genetic evolution continues to progress in real time, although the MRSA strains of the same PFGE type are genetically closely related to each other. Thus, it is thought that recognizing the genetic typing of MRSA strains is important clue in understanding the genetic origin of the strain and its prevalence into the environment. However, it should also be necessary to carry out pharmacotherapeutic considerations when practicing infection prevention and treatment the infection because the sensitivities to the antibiotics do not completely match even if the strains are genetically related to each other.

## Molecular biological features of 237 MRSA isolates from NHOKMC

First, we examined the molecular biological findings of the 237 MRSA clinical isolates that we had subjected to PFGE analysis. We determined the SCC*mec* type, the coagulase gene type (*coa* type) and MLST (CC or ST). We also examined the presence of the *pvl* gene by the PCR method. The results are summarized in Tables 2–5.

Examination of the SCC*mec* genotypes of the clinically isolated MRSA strains in NHOKMC during the 3 years from 2017 through 2019 revealed that 92 % of the strains belonged to SCC*mec* type II or type IV throughout the 3 years (Table 2). As far as the SCC*mec* genotype is concerned, therefore, it is considered that MRSA of a specific genotype is widely distributed in our locale. For unknown reasons, more MRSA strains having SCC*mec* type IV were isolated in 2018 than in the other years.

Another study of MRSA isolated from patients with impetigo in Kagawa Prefecture, Japan, was reported [38]. In that study, a survey showed that MRSA isolates having SCC*mec* type II or IV were main isolates, but more MRSA isolates having SCC*mec* type V were also isolated comparing with the results of our study. Thus, the distribution situation of MRSA seemed to be slightly different from that in our area.

As a result of our MLST analysis (Table 3), CC1, CC5, and CC8 clones were predominantly isolated throughout the 3 years. In 2019, the prevalence of CC1 clones was found to be significantly reduced. In fact, the proportion of patients who developed infectious diseases with MRSA isolated as the main pathogen was most frequently caused by MRSA with a clonal complex of



**Fig. 4.** Annual changes in total AUD/DOT values and in the MRSA isolation rate. (a) Total AUD/DOT values were calculated for all antibacterial agents used between 2015 and 2019 in NHOKMC. (b) Annual changes in the MRSA isolation rate during 2015 through 2019 at NHOKMC. The MRSA isolation rate (%) was calculated as the rate of the number of MRSA isolates to the total number of *S. aureus* isolated from the patients at our hospital.

CC5 and CC8 in our hospital during 2017 through 2019 (data not shown). We therefore think it necessary to keep an eye on the trends of MRSA strains with a CC5 or CC8 clonal complex as pathogens that cause infectious diseases directly.

Moreover, the MRSA strain USA300 is known to be highly pathogenic and to pose a public health problem in the United States [39–41]. This strain belongs to CC8 (ST8) and is characterized by the ability to produce the PVL virulence factor. We then examined 237 MRSA strains by PFGE for the presence of the *pvl* gene. As shown in Table 4, none of the 157 MRSA strains isolated from 2017 through 2018 carried the *pvl* gene, but four of the 80 MRSA strains isolated in 2019 carried it. Of those four strains, three were CC8 (ST8) MRSA strains, suggesting that they may be the same clonal strain as USA300. From this result, we cannot rule out the possibility that a new pathogenic MRSA strain has invaded our local area. We therefore think that it is necessary to continue to carefully monitor it in the future.

Our examination of the *coa* type revealed that nearly 90% of the MRSA isolates carried the type 2, 3, 5, or seven *coa* gene in each year (Table 5). Among these, from 2018 through 2019, the *coa* types 5 and 7 MRSA isolates seem to have significantly declined. MRSA strains with a specific *coa* gene seem to be widely distributed in our locale.

We further investigated whether MRSA strains isolated from another hospital (Saiseikai Kure Hospital), which is in the same area as our hospital, had similar molecular biological characteristics. Although the data were from only 25 MRSA strains isolated in 2017, all of the MRSA isolates had either a type II (68%) or type IV (32%) SCC*mec* genotype, and their PFGE types were close to PFGE type C shown in Fig. 2. Of these 25 MRSA isolates, 64% had a CC5 clonal complex (12% CC1; 8% CC8; 16% nontypeable) and 68% of MRSA isolates had the type 2 *coa* gene (20% type 3; 12% type 7). Considering these data together, it is likely that MRSA strains with specific molecular biological characteristics may be predominant in our local area.

## Toxin production of 77 MRSA isolates classified into A to L PFGE types

Next, we investigated the bacteriological features, including the production of various staphylococcal toxins, of 77 MRSA isolates classified into A to L types by PFGE analysis. The results are summarized in Table 6. Molecular biological findings are also included in this table.

As mentioned in the section showing the results of PFGE analysis, it can be seen that the PFGE types of the MRSA strains isolated from 2017 through 2019 change from year to year. Almost all MRSA isolates carried SCC*mec* type II or type IV. Our examination of the toxin production of each MRSA isolate revealed that none of the 77 isolates produced exfoliative toxins A or B in this study. Only a few isolates produced toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1), which causes cytokine storm-like toxic shock syndrome [42], but all of the five MRSA isolates belonging to PFGE type L isolated in 2019 produced TSST-1. These isolates also produced staphylococcal enterotoxin C. As can be seen from the PFGE profile shown in Fig. 2, the migration patterns of the gene fragments of the five MRSA isolates belonging to PFGE type L were very similar to each other. In addition, the antibacterial sensitivity profile of these strains shown in Table 1 were also almost the same. Thus, it is considered that these strains (PFGE type L) may be originated from the same clone. These results may indicate that new MRSA pathogenic strains have been emerging in our living area in recent years. We should therefore pay attention to the trends of these MRSA strains in the future.

On the other hand, the production levels of various staphylococcal enterotoxins were observed in each PFGE type except for PFGE type I at a certain rate. Interestingly, there seemed to be a correlation between the production of some staphylococcal enterotoxins and the molecular biological characteristics of the MRSA strains isolated in this study.

First, we found that most MRSA isolates carrying SCC*mec* genotype II produced enterotoxin B and/or C and that most isolates carrying SCC*mec* genotype IV produced enterotoxin A. Next, all the isolates in which the production of staphylococcal enterotoxin A (SEA) was detected had CC1 in MLST. In addition, 22 of 26 MRSA isolates (about 85%) in which staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) production was detected carried the type 2 *coa* gene. Moreover, among the 17 isolates in which staphylococcal enterotoxin C (SEC) production was observed, 12 isolates had CC5 and five had CC8 in MLST.

Varshney *et al.* reported the frequencies of various staphylococcal toxin genes among different clonal backgrounds [43]. That report showed that the frequency of detection of the SEC gene was relatively high in MRSA strains having CC5 and CC8 in MLST. This result seemed to correlate with the CC type of the MRSA isolates that showed SEC productivity in our study. However, it was reported that the SEA gene was not detected in the MRSA strain having CC1 in MLST. This finding is different from the relationship between staphylococcal enterotoxin productivity and MLST obtained in our study.

Similar studies were reported by Rasmussen *et al.* [44]. Considering the findings of those studies together, it cannot be said unconditionally that only MRSA strains carrying a specific MLST produce a specific type of staphylococcal enterotoxin. Thus, molecular biological properties such as MLST indicate only the genetic background between MRSA strains, and it seems that we may not be able to evaluate virulence based on these characteristics alone. However, we think that combining various properties such as toxin production and genotype may lead to a more accurate understanding of MRSA characteristics. We also believe that a detailed understanding of MRSA characteristics may lead to support for actions in the medical field such as those leading to the selection of more appropriate therapeutic agents.

## Relationship between antimicrobial usage and changes in MRSA isolation rates

Finally, we would like to mention the relationship between antimicrobial usage over time and changes in MRSA isolation rates. Appropriate use of antibacterial agents is a matter that medical staff should always keep in mind in order to inhibit the spread of both bacteria that cause infectious diseases and drug resistance. In Japan, the National Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR), established by the Japanese government on 5 April 2016, also strongly demands the optimization of the use of antibacterial agents and tries to stop the resistance of causative bacteria against antibacterial agents. In addition, the appropriate use of antibacterial agents improves the quality of medical care, improves the effectiveness and safety of antibacterial agents, and reduces medical expenses, which is beneficial in terms of medical economics.

In our hospital, we evaluate the appropriateness of the use of various antibacterial agents every year by using AUD/DOT values as an index. Information must be shared among the clinical staff of the infection control team as necessary to further optimize AUD/DOT value. Fig. 3 shows the changes in the AUD/DOT values of various kinds of antibacterial agents during the 5 years from 2015 to 2019. Due to the efforts of medical staff, the AUD/DOT value has increased in the use of almost all antibacterial agents, and the use of some agents has approached the ideal value of 1.0. Thus, we believe that the use of antibacterial agents is being optimized year by year.

We comprehensively investigated how the isolation rate (%) of MRSA changed with these changes in the situation at NHOKMC. We define the proportion of MRSA strains among the *S. aureus* clinical strains isolated in NHOKMC as MRSA isolation rate (%). The results are shown in Fig. 4. Our calculation of the total AUD/DOT value for the use of all antibacterial agents showed that use has certainly increased from year to year (Fig. 4a). According to the increase in the total AUD/DOT value, we found that

the MRSA isolation rate (%) in our hospital decreased year to year (Fig. 4b). These results are considered to be important data that clearly show that the isolation rates of pathogens can be reduced by optimizing the use of antibacterial agents. In addition, Nakamura *et al.* reported that closer communication and better feedback between antimicrobial stewardship teams and prescribers lead to the optimal use of antibacterial agents [37]. In this sense, we believe that the current efforts of NHOKMC medical staff need to continue to be strengthened.

In the future, we would like to collect more data and report on how the MRSA isolation rate has changed. We would like also to proceed with similar clinical research on major pathogens other than MRSA.

#### Funding information

This work was supported in part by grants-in-aid from JSPS KAKENHI (grant no. 16K09194) and by a research grant from Hiroshima International University 2020.

#### Acknowledgements

We deeply thank Mao Inoshita, Ayaka Nakashima, Kazuki Higashi, Momoka Higashizuru, Ayaka Horio, and Ayaka Mita for their technical assistance.

#### Author contributions

R.M. and H.K., planned and carried out the experiments and analyses in this study. R.M., M.H., T.M., A.S., K.M., Y.T., K.K., H.I., and T.O., contributed to the collection of the MRSA clinical strains and were involved in providing the necessary clinical data. They also participated in the general discussion. S.S., was involved in some experiments and participated in the general discussion. H.Y., participated in the general discussion and wrote the manuscript by comprehensively summarizing the contributions from all the co-authors.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### Ethical statement

In conducting this research, we obtained the approval of the ethics review committees of both our hospital (Reception number: 30-22, June 27, 2018) and Hiroshima International University (Approval number 17-033, December 12, 2017).

#### References

- Tenover FC, McDougal LK, Goering RV, Killgore G, Projan SJ, et al. Characterization of a strain of community-associated methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus widely disseminated in the United States. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44:108–118.
- Turner NA, Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Maskarinec SA, Eichenberger EM, Shah PP, et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: an overview of basic and clinical research. Nat Rev Microbiol 2019;17:203–218.
- Popovich KJ, Snitkin ES, Hota B, Green SJ, Pirani A, et al. Genomic and epidemiological evidence for community origins of hospitalonset methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections. J Infect Dis 2017;215:1640–1647.
- Arias CA, Rincon S, Chowdhury S, Martínez E, Coronell W, et al. MRSA USA300 clone and VREF--a U.S.-Colombian connection? N Engl J Med 2008;359:2177–2179.
- Reyes J, Rincón S, Díaz L, Panesso D, Contreras GA, et al. Dissemination of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA300 sequence type 8 lineage in Latin America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:1861–1867.
- Song J-H, Hsueh P-R, Chung DR, Ko KS, Kang C-I, et al. Spread of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus between the community and the hospitals in Asian countries: an ANSORP study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011;66:1061–1069.
- Chuang Y-Y, Huang Y-C. Molecular epidemiology of communityassociated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Asia. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2013;13:698–708.
- Liu Y, Wang H, Du N, Shen E, Chen H, et al. Molecular evidence for spread of two major methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones with a unique geographic distribution in Chinese hospitals. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009;53:512–518.
- Zhao C, Liu Y, Zhao M, Liu Y, Yu Y, et al. Characterization of community acquired Staphylococcus aureus associated with skin and soft tissue infection in Beijing: high prevalence of PVL+ ST398. PLoS One 2012;7:e38577.
- Ito T, Okuma K, Ma XX, Yuzawa H, Hiramatsu K. Insights on antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* from its whole genome: genomic island SCC. *Drug Resist Updat* 2003;6:41–52.

- Deurenberg RH, Vink C, Kalenic S, Friedrich AW, Bruggeman CA, et al. The molecular evolution of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007;13:222–235.
- Chongtrakool P, Ito T, Ma XX, Kondo Y, Trakulsomboon S, et al. Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) typing of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated in 11 Asian countries: a proposal for a new nomenclature for SCCmec elements. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006;50:1001–1012.
- Hisata K, Kuwahara-Arai K, Yamanoto M, Ito T, Nakatomi Y, et al. Dissemination of methicillin-resistant staphylococci among healthy Japanese children. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:3364–3372.
- Ma XX, Ito T, Tiensasitorn C, Jamklang M, Chongtrakool P, et al. Novel type of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec identified in community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002;46:1147–1152.
- 15. Oliveira DC, de Lencastre H. Multiplex PCR strategy for rapid identification of structural types and variants of the mec element in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002;46:2155–2161.
- Boyle-Vavra S, Ereshefsky B, Wang C-C, Daum RS. Successful multiresistant community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* lineage from Taipei, Taiwan, that carries either the novel Staphylococcal chromosome cassette mec (SCCmec) type VT or SCCmec type IV. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005;43:4719–4730.
- Kwon NH, Park KT, Moon JS, Jung WK, Kim SH, et al. Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) characterization and molecular analysis for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and novel SCCmec subtype IVg isolated from bovine milk in Korea. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005;56:624–632.
- Miragaia M, Couto I, de Lencastre H. Genetic diversity among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MRSE). *Microb Drug Resist* 2005;11:83–93.
- Hanssen A-M, Kjeldsen G, Sollid JUE. Local variants of Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec in sporadic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant coagulasenegative Staphylococci: evidence of horizontal gene transfer? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;48:285–296.

- Corkill JE, Anson JJ, Griffiths P, Hart CA. Detection of elements of the staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCC) in a methicillinsusceptible (mecA gene negative) homologue of a fucidin-resistant MRSA. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;54:229–231.
- Mongkolrattanothai K, Boyle S, Murphy TV, Daum RS. Novel non-mecA-containing staphylococcal chromosomal cassette composite island containing pbp4 and tagF genes in a commensal staphylococcal species: a possible reservoir for antibiotic resistance islands in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;48:1823–1836.
- Frénay HM, Bunschoten AE, Schouls LM, van Leeuwen WJ, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, et al. Molecular typing of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus on the basis of protein A gene polymorphism. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1996;15:60–64.
- Schwartz DC, Cantor CR. Separation of yeast chromosomesized DNAs by pulsed field gradient gel electrophoresis. *Cell* 1984;37:67–75.
- Matushek MG, Bonten MJ, Hayden MK. Rapid preparation of bacterial DNA for pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *J Clin Microbiol* 1996;34:2598–2600.
- Reed KD, Stemper ME, Shukla SK. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of MRSA. *Methods Mol Biol* 2007;391:59–69.
- Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, et al. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995;33:2233–2239.
- 27. Xie Y, He Y, Gehring A, Hu Y, Li Q, *et al*. Genotypes and toxin gene profiles of *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates from China. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e28276.
- Kondo Y, Ito T, Ma XX, Watanabe S, Kreiswirth BN, et al. Combination of multiplex PCRs for staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec type assignment: rapid identification system for mec, ccr, and major differences in junkyard regions. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:264–274.
- Shopsin B, Gomez M, Waddington M, Riehman M, Kreiswirth BN. Use of coagulase gene (coa) repeat region nucleotide sequences for typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000;38:3453–3456.
- Lina G, Piémont Y, Godail-Gamot F, Bes M, Peter MO, et al. Involvement of Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing *Staphylococcus* aureus in primary skin infections and pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999;29:1128–1132.
- Deurenberg RH, Vink C, Oudhuis GJ, Mooij JE, Driessen C, et al. Different clonal complexes of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* are disseminated in the Euregio Meuse-Rhine region. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005;49:4263–4271.
- Chung M, Dickinson G, De Lencastre H, Tomasz A. International clones of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in two hospitals in Miami, Florida. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:542–547.

- Denis O, Deplano A, Nonhoff C, De Ryck R, de Mendonça R, et al. National surveillance of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Belgian hospitals indicates rapid diversification of epidemic clones. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;48: 3625–3629.
- Pérez-Roth E, Lorenzo-Díaz F, Batista N, Moreno A, Méndez-Alvarez S. Tracking methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones during a 5-year period (1998 to 2002) in a Spanish hospital. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:4649–4656.
- Shukla SK, Stemper ME, Ramaswamy SV, Conradt JM, Reich R, et al. Molecular characteristics of nosocomial and Native American community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus clones from rural Wisconsin. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:3752–3757.
- Enright MC, Day NPJ, Davies CE, Peacock SJ, Spratt BG. Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible clones of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38:1008–1015.
- 37. Nakamura S, Arima T, Tashiro R, Yasumizu S, Aikou H, et al. Impact of an antimicrobial stewardship in a 126-bed community hospital with close communication between pharmacists working on post-prescription audit, ward pharmacists, and the antimicrobial stewardship team. J Pharm Health Care Sci 2021;7:25.
- Sasai N, Nakaminami H, Iwasaki M, Iwao M, Misegawa K, et al. Clonal change of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from patients with impetigo in Kagawa, Japan. *J Dermatol* 2019;46:301–307.
- Diekema DJ, Richter SS, Heilmann KP, Dohrn CL, Riahi F, et al. Continued emergence of USA300 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in the United States: results from a nationwide surveillance study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2014;35:285–292.
- 40. Tenover FC, Goering RV. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strain USA300: origin and epidemiology. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;64:441–446.
- 41. Lepuschitz S, Huhulescu S, Hyden P, Springer B, Rattei T, *et al.* Characterization of a community-acquired-MRSA USA300 isolate from a river sample in Austria and whole genome sequence based comparison to a diverse collection of USA300 isolates. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:9467.
- Schlievert PM. Staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome: still a problem. Med J Aust 2005;182:651–652.
- Varshney AK, Mediavilla JR, Robiou N, Guh A, Wang X, et al. Diverse enterotoxin gene profiles among clonal complexes of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from the Bronx, New York. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009;75:6839–6849.
- 44. Rasmussen G, Monecke S, Ehricht R, Söderquist B. Prevalence of clonal complexes and virulence genes among commensal and invasive *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in Sweden. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e77477.

#### Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

- 1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
- 2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
- 3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
- 4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as 'excellent' or 'very good'.
- 5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

#### Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.