# Check for updates

# DATA NOTE

# The genome sequence of the common frog, Rana temporaria

# Linnaeus 1758 [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Jeffrey W. Streicher<sup>1</sup>, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life programme, Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: DNA Pipelines collective, Tree of Life Core Informatics collective, Darwin Tree of Life Consortium

<sup>1</sup>Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK

 First published: 22 Oct 2021, 6:286 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17296.1
Latest published: 22 Oct 2021, 6:286 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17296.1

## Abstract

We present a genome assembly from an individual female *Rana temporaria* (the common frog; Chordata; Amphibia; Anura; Ranidae). The genome sequence is 4.11 gigabases in span. The majority of the assembly is scaffolded into 13 chromosomal pseudomolecules. Gene annotation of this assembly by the NCBI Eukaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline has identified 23,707 protein coding genes.

## **Keywords**

Rana temporaria, common frog, genome sequence, chromosomal



This article is included in the Tree of Life gateway.

Open Peer R	eview	
Reviewer Sta	atus 🗹 🗸	
	Invited I	Reviewers
	1	2
<b>version 1</b> 22 Oct 2021	report	report
1. <b>Peng Xu</b> 🗓 China	, Xiamen Unive	ersity, Xiamen,
<b>Baohua Ch</b> Xiamen, Ch	<b>en </b> (10), Xiamen ina	University,
2. <b>Roberto M</b> Ann Arbor	<b>árquez</b> , Univers USA	ity of Michigan,

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

**Corresponding author:** Darwin Tree of Life Consortium (mark.blaxter@sanger.ac.uk)

Author roles: Streicher JW: Investigation, Resources, Writing - Original Draft Preparation, Writing - Review & Editing;

**Competing interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Grant information:** This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust through core funding to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (206194) and the Darwin Tree of Life Discretionary Award (218328).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Copyright:** © 2021 Streicher JW *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Streicher JW, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life programme, Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: DNA Pipelines collective *et al.* The genome sequence of the common frog, *Rana temporaria* Linnaeus 1758 [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] Wellcome Open Research 2021, 6:286 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17296.1

First published: 22 Oct 2021, 6:286 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17296.1

#### **Species taxonomy**

Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi; Amphibia; Batrachia; Anura; Neobatrachia; Ranoidea; Ranidae; Rana; *Rana temporaria* Linnaeus 1758 (NCBI:txid8407).

## Introduction

The common frog, *Rana temporaria* (Anura: Ranidae), is widely distributed throughout Europe. It has a biphasic life cycle that includes aquatic, benthic larvae and terrestrial (sometimes semi-aquatic) adults. In the United Kingdom, populations of *R. temporaria* breed as early as late January with most tadpoles metamorphosing in June or July, however, tadpoles occasionally overwinter (Walsh *et al.*, 2016). The common frog is an emerging model for the study of genetic sex determination, as different populations vary in their degree of sex chromosome differentiation (e.g. (Phillips *et al.*, 2020)).

The nuclear genome size of *R. temporaria* was previously estimated to be between 3.31 and 4.91 picograms (= 3.24 and 4.80 gigabases; (Gregory, 2021)) which is consistent with our 4.11 gigabase assembly. The thirteen pseudomolecules in our assembly match the expected number of chromosomes in *R. temporaria* (2N = 26; five macro- and eight micro-chromosomes; (Spasić-Bošković *et al.*, 1997). This is the second nuclear genome sequence to be reported from a ranid anuran (Hammond *et al.*, 2017).

The *R. temporaria* reference genome sequence from a UKcollected individual will provide a useful resource for enhancing and further interpreting available datasets including transcriptomic data that document the immune response of *R. temporaria* to the amphibian diseases caused by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and *Ranavirus* (Price *et al.*, 2015).

#### **Genome sequence report**

The genome was sequenced from one female *R. temporaria* (Figure 1A–C) collected from The Natural History Museum Wildlife Garden, London, UK (Figure 1D. A total of 63-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule long reads (N50 27 kb) and 51-fold coverage in 10X Genomics read clouds (from molecules with an estimated N50 of 25 kb) were generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 974 missing/misjoins and removed 22 haplotypic duplications, reducing the assembly length by 2.1% and the scaffold number by 42.4%, and increasing the scaffold N50 by 198.1%.

The final assembly has a total length of 4.11 Gb in 555 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 482 Mb (Table 1). The majority, 99.5%, of the assembly sequence was assigned to 13 chromosomal-level scaffolds (numbered by sequence length) (Figure 2–Figure 5; Table 2). The assembly has a BUSCO



**Figure 1. Images of the** *Rana temporaria* **specimen sequenced.** (**A**) Female voucher specimen of *R. temporaria* (BMNH 2013.483; Field ID, JWS 757; Snout–Vent Length 49.2 mm) from which the genome was sequenced. (**B**) Ventral surface of NHMUK 2013.483. (**C**) Dorsal and posterior thigh surfaces of NHMUK 2013.483. (**D**) The individual was collected from the Natural History Museum Wildlife Garden, London, England.

Project accession data	
Assembly identifier	aRanTem1.1
Species	Rana temporaria
Specimen	aRanTem1; NHMUK 2013.483
NCBI taxonomy ID	NCBI:txid8407
BioProject	PRJEB42239
BioSample ID	SAMEA7521635
Isolate information	Female, heart (genome assembly); kidney (Hi-C)
Raw data accessions	
PacificBiosciences SEQUEL I	ERR7012640-ERR7012642
10X Genomics Illumina	ERR6002771-ERR6002779, ERR6003050- ERR6003052
Hi-C Illumina	ERR6002780-ERR6002782
BioNano	ERZ3003200
Genome assembly	
Assembly accession	GCA_905171775.1
Accession of alternate haplotype	GCA_905171725.1
Span (Mb)	4,111
Number of contigs	2,411
Contig N50 length (Mb)	6.26
Number of scaffolds	554
Scaffold N50 length (Mb)	482
Longest scaffold (Mb)	691
BUSCO* genome score	C:90.7%[S:88.9%,D:1.8%],F:2.3%,M:6.9%,n:5310
Genome annotation	
Number of genes	36,124
Number of protein-coding genes	23,707
Average length of gene (bp)	52,818
Average number of exons per gene	14
Average exon size (bp)	273
Average intron size (bp)	9,757
BUSCO annotation score**	C:95.2%[S:92.8%,D:2.4%],F:0.6%,M:4.1%,n:5310

#### Table 1. Genome data for *Rana temporaria*, aRanTem1.1.

 $\mathsf{C}\mathsf{=}\mathsf{complete}\;[\mathsf{S}\mathsf{=}\mathsf{single}\;\mathsf{copy},\mathsf{D}\mathsf{=}\mathsf{duplicated}],\mathsf{F}\mathsf{=}\mathsf{fragmented},\mathsf{M}\mathsf{=}\mathsf{missing},\mathsf{n}\mathsf{=}\mathsf{number}\;\mathsf{of}$  orthologues in comparison.

\*BUSCO scores based on the terapoda\_odb10 BUSCO set using v5.1.2, run on the aRanTem1.1 genome assembly using BlobToolKit. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/aRanTem1.1/dataset/CAJIMO01/busco.

\*\*BUSCO scores based on the terapoda\_odb10 BUSCO set using v4.0.2, run on the NCBI RefSeq annotation of the aRanTem1.1 genome assembly (NCBI *Rana temporaria* Annotation Release 100).



# Dataset: CAJIMO01

**Figure 2. Genome assembly of** *Rana temporaria*, **aRanTem1.1: metrics.** The BlobToolKit Snailplot shows N50 metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The main plot is divided into 1,000 size-ordered bins around the circumference with each bin representing 0.1% of the 4,111,445,260 bp assembly. The distribution of scaffold lengths is shown in dark grey with the plot radius scaled to the longest scaffold present in the assembly (690,654,357 bp, shown in red). Orange and pale-orange arcs show the N50 and N90 scaffold lengths (481,763,206 and 153,779,893 bp), respectively. The pale grey spiral shows the cumulative scaffold count on a log scale with white scale lines showing successive orders of magnitude. The blue and pale-blue area around the outside of the plot shows the distribution of GC, AT and N percentages in the same bins as the inner plot. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated and missing BUSCO genes in the tetrapoda\_odb10 set is shown in the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ aRanTem1.1/dataset/CA|IMO01/snail.



Figure 3. Genome assembly of *Rana temporaria*, aRanTem1.1: GC-coverage. BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot. Scaffolds are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to scaffold length. Histograms show the distribution of scaffold length sum along each axis. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/aRanTem1.1/dataset/CAJIMO01/blob.

(Simão *et al.*, 2015) v5.1.2 completeness of 90.7% using the tetrapoda\_odb10 reference set. However, a BUSCO (v4.0.2) score of 95.2% using the same reference set was obtained for the annotated gene set of the aRanTem1.1 assembly (see section *Genome annotation*), indicating that the assembly has a high level of completeness and that some genes were missed during BUSCO analysis of the whole genome assembly. The values obtained for this assembly are higher than for a previous transcriptome assembly (Ma *et al.*, 2018). While not fully phased, the

assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited.

#### **Genome annotation**

The *R. temporaria* assembly was annotated by the NCBI Eukaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline, an automated pipeline that annotates genes, transcripts and proteins on draft and finished genome assemblies. The annotation (NCBI *Rana temporaria* Annotation Release 100; Table 1) was generated from



**Figure 4. Genome assembly of** *Rana temporaria*, **aRanTem1.1: cumulative sequence.** BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/aRanTem1.1/dataset/CAJIMO01/cumulative.

transcripts and proteins retrieved from NCBI Entrez by alignment to the genome assembly, as described here (Pruitt *et al.*, 2014).

## Methods

#### Sample acquisition

A single female *R. temporaria* was collected from a stable, isolated population in the NHM Wildlife Garden, London, UK (latitude 51.49586, longitude -0.178622, elevation 17 m) by

Jeffrey W. Streicher on 1 July 2015 (Figure 1D). The specimen of *R. temporaria* (NHMUK 2013.483, Field ID: JWS 757) was 49.2 mm snout–vent length (determined using a Miyamoto digital calliper to the nearest 0.1 mm). The specimen was collected with permission from the NHM Wildlife Garden management team and is part of a long-term monitoring project run by the Department of Life Sciences and the Angela Marmont Centre for UK Biodiversity. It was humanely euthanised using



Figure 5. Genome assembly of *Rana temporaria*, aRanTem1.1: Hi-C contact map. Hi-C contact map of the aRanTem1.1 assembly, visualised in HiGlass. Chromosomes are arranged in size order from left to right and top to bottom.

Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules inthe genome assembly of Rana temporaria,aRanTem1.1.

INSDC accession	Chromosome	Size (Mb)	GC%
LR991680.1	1	690.65	43.8
LR991681.1	2	541.44	43.7
LR991682.1	3	495.42	44
LR991683.1	4	481.76	43.8
LR991684.1	5	429.35	43.9
LR991685.1	6	224.82	44.4
LR991686.1	7	212.59	44.7
LR991687.1	8	190.44	44.4
LR991688.1	9	184.30	44.4
LR991689.1	10	153.78	44.7
LR991690.1	11	164.33	44.8
LR991691.1	12	148.93	45.4

INSDC accession	Chromosome	Size (Mb)	GC%
LR991692.1	13	121.98	45
LR991693.1	MT	0.02	40.5
-	Unplaced	71.62	47.9

a saturated solution of tricaine mesylate (MS-222). Multiple tissues including heart, thigh muscle, liver, eyes, kidney, ovaries, and intestines were sampled and placed in an ammonium sulfate-based RNA + DNA preservation buffer. After ~24 hours of storage at 4°C, the tissues were transferred to  $-80^{\circ}$ C until they were sent for genome sequencing. Sample tissue has been accessioned by the Natural History Museum Molecular Collections Facility (NHMUK 2013.483).

## DNA extraction and sequencing

DNA was extracted from heart tissue in the Scientific Operations core of the Wellcome Sanger Institute using the Bionano Prep Animal Tissue DNA Isolation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Pacific Biosciences CLR long read and 10X Genomics read cloud sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers' instructions. Hi-C data were generated from kidney tissue taken from the same animal using the Arima v2 Hi-C kit. Extraction and sequencing was performed by the Scientific Operations DNA Pipelines at the Wellcome Sanger Institute on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II (long-read) and Illumina HiSeq X (10X, Hi-C) instruments. DNA was labeled for Bionano Genomics optical mapping following the Bionano Prep Direct Label and Stain (DLS) Protocol and run on one Saphyr instrument chip flowcell.

#### Genome assembly

Assembly was carried out following the Vertebrate Genome Project pipeline v1.6 (Rhie et al., 2021) with Falcon-unzip (Chin et al., 2016), haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge\_dups (Guan et al., 2020) and a first round of scaffolding carried out with 10X Genomics read clouds using scaff10x. Hybrid scaffolding was performed using the BioNano DLE-1 data and BioNano Solve. Scaffolding with Hi-C data (Rao et al., 2014) was carried out with SALSA2 (Ghurye et al., 2019). The Hi-C scaffolded assembly was polished with arrow using the PacBio data, then polished with the 10X Genomics Illumina data by aligning to the assembly with longranger align, calling variants with freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012) and applying homozygous non-reference edits using bcftools consensus. Two rounds of the Illumina polishing were applied. The mitochondrial genome was assembled using the mitoVGP pipeline (Formenti et al., 2021). The assembly was checked for contamination and corrected using the gEVAL system (Chow et al., 2016; Howe et al., 2021). Manual curation was performed using evidence from Bionano (using the

Bionano Access viewer), using HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018) and Pretext, as described previously (Howe *et al.*, 2021). Figure 2–Figure 4 and BUSCO values were generated using BlobToolKit (Challis *et al.*, 2020). Table 3 includes a list of software tools used.

#### Ethical/compliance issues

The materials that have contributed to this genome note were supplied by a Tree of Life collaborator. The Wellcome Sanger Institute employs a process whereby due diligence is carried out proportionate to the nature of the materials themselves, and the circumstances under which they have been/are to be collected and provided for use. The purpose of this is to address and mitigate any potential legal and/or ethical implications of receipt and use of the materials as part of the research project, and to ensure that in doing so we align with best practice wherever possible.

The overarching areas of consideration are:

- Ethical review of provenance and sourcing of the material;
- Legality of collection, transfer and use (national and international).

Each transfer of samples is undertaken according to a Research Collaboration Agreement or Material Transfer Agreement entered into by the Tree of Life collaborator, Genome Research Limited (operating as the Wellcome Sanger Institute) and in some circumstances other Tree of Life collaborators.

Software tool	Version	Source
	falcon-kit 1.4.2	Chin <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Falcon-unzip		
purge_dups	1.0.0	Guan <i>et al.</i> , 2020
SALSA2	2.2-14-g974589f	Ghurye <i>et al.</i> , 2019
scaff10x	4.2	https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/Scaff10X
Bionano Solve	3.3_10252018	https://bionanogenomics.com/downloads/bionano-solve/
arrow	gcpp 1.9.0-SL-release-8.0.0+1-37-gd7b188d	https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/GenomicConsensus
longranger align	2.2.2	https://support.10xgenomics.com/genome-exome/software/ pipelines/latest/advanced/other-pipelines
freebayes	1.3.1-17-gaa2ace8	Garrison & Marth, 2012
bcftools consensus	1.9-78-gb7e4ba9	http://samtools.github.io/bcftools/bcftools.html
mitoVGP		Formenti <i>et al.</i> , 2021
HiGlass	1.11.6	Kerpedjiev <i>et al.</i> , 2018
PretextView	0.1	https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextView
gEVAL	N/A	Chow <i>et al.</i> , 2016
BlobToolKit	2.6.1	Challis <i>et al.</i> , 2020

#### Table 3. Software tools used.

#### Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: Rana temporaria (common frog). Accession number PRJEB42239: https://identifiers.org/ena.embl: PRJEB42239

The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *R. temporaria* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project and the Vertebrate Genomes Project. All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1.

#### Author information

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life programme collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5377053.

Members of Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: DNA Pipelines collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4790456.

Members of the Tree of Life Core Informatics collective are listed here: https://doi.org10.5281/zenodo.5013542.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Consortium are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4783559.

#### Acknowledgements

JWS thanks Caroline Ware, Nicky Reilly, Naomi Lake, and the NHM Wildlife Garden Team for permitting specimen collection. JWS also thanks Donney Nicholson for assistance with tissue shipment as well as David Gower, Simon Loader (Department of Life Sciences), and John Tweddle (Angela Marmont Centre) for logistical assistance.

#### References

Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, et al.: BlobToolKit - Interactive Quality Assessment of Genome Assemblies. G3 (Bethesda). 2020; 10(4): 1361–74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Chin CS, Peluso P, Sedlazeck FJ, et al.: Phased Diploid Genome Assembly with Single-Molecule Real-Time Sequencing. Nat Methods. 2016; 13(12): 1050–54. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Chow W, Brugger K, Caccamo M, et al.: gEVAL - a Web-Based Browser for Evaluating Genome Assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 2016; 32(16): 2508–10. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Formenti G, Rhie A, Balacco J, et al.: Complete Vertebrate Mitogenomes Reveal Widespread Repeats and Gene Duplications. *Genome Biol.* 2021; 22(1): 120.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Garrison E, Marth G: Haplotype-Based Variant Detection from Short-Read Sequencing. arXiv [q-bio.GN]. arXiv, 2012. Reference Source

Ghurye J, Rhie A, Walenz BP, et al.: Integrating Hi-C Links with Assembly Graphs for Chromosome-Scale Assembly. PLoS Comput Biol. 2019; 15(8): e1007273. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Gregory TR: Animal Genome Size Database. 2021.

```
Reference Source
```

Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, et al.: Identifying and Removing Haplotypic Duplication in Primary Genome Assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 2020; 36(9): 2896–98.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Hammond SA, Warren RL, Vandervalk BP, et al.: The North American Bullfrog Draft Genome Provides Insight into Hormonal Regulation of Long Noncoding RNA. Nat Commun. 2017; 8(1): 1433. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, et al.: Significantly Improving the Quality of Genome Assemblies through Curation. *GigaScience*. 2021; 10(1): giaa153. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, et al.: HiGlass: Web-Based Visual Exploration and Analysis of Genome Interaction Maps. Genome Biol. 2018; 19(1): 125.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ma WJ, Veltsos P, Toups MA, et al.: Tissue Specificity and Dynamics of Sex-Biased Gene Expression in a Common Frog Population with Differentiated, Yet Homomorphic, Sex Chromosomes. Genes (Basel). 2018; 9(6): 294. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Phillips BC, Rodrigues N, van Rensburg AJ, et al.: Phylogeography, More than Elevation, Accounts for Sex Chromosome Differentiation in Swiss Populations of the Common Frog (*Rana Temporaria*). Evolution. 2020; 74(3): 644–54.

#### PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Price SJ, Garner TW, Balloux F, et al.: A de Novo Assembly of the Common Frog (Rana Temporaria) Transcriptome and Comparison of Transcription Following Exposure to Ranavirus and Batrachochytrium Dendrobatidis. PLoS One. 2015; 10(6): e0130500.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Pruitt KD, Brown GR, Hiatt SM, et al.: RefSeq: An Update on Mammalian Reference Sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42(Database issue): D756–63. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rao SS, Huntley MH, Durand NC, *et al.*: **A 3D Map of the Human Genome at Kilobase Resolution Reveals Principles of Chromatin Looping.** *Cell.* 2014; **159**(7): 1665–80.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, *et al.*: Towards Complete and Error-Free Genome Assemblies of All Vertebrate Species. *Nature*. 2021; 592(7856): 737-46.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, *et al.*: BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation Completeness with Single-Copy Orthologs. *Bioinformatics*. 2015; 31(19): 3210–12. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

ubmed Abstract | Publisher Full lext

Spasić-Bošković O, Tanić N, Blagojević J, et al.: Comparative Cytogenetic Analysis of European Brown Frogs: Rana Temporaria, R. Dalmatina and R. Graeca. Caryologia. 1997; 50(2): 139–49. Publisher Full Text

Walsh PT, Downie JR, Monaghan P: Factors Affecting the Overwintering of Tadpoles in a Temperate Amphibian. *J Zool.* 2016; 298(3): 183–90. Publisher Full Text

# **Open Peer Review**

# Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 25 January 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19123.r48171

© **2022 Márquez R.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



# **Roberto Márquez**

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

This report presents an annotated, chromosome-level genome assembly for the European common frog, *Rana temporaria*. Using a combination of sequencing strategies and technologies the authors produced a remarkably contiguous and complete assembly for an amphibian, which will certainly facilitate research on this species, and amphibians in general. The report is complete and thorough, and should be able to be indexed after a couple of very minor adjustments, detailed below:

- 1. According to Table 1 Pac Bio Sequel I was used, but in the Methods section Sequel II is mentioned. Please confirm which of the two technologies was used.
- 2. The use of BioNano technology is not mentioned in the Genome sequence report section. I suggest including it for completeness.
- 3. Although the methodological details provided are very thorough and would mostly allow for replication of the study, I encourage the authors to provide their code in a public repository. This would make the study 100% replicable, and may help other researchers wrestling with amphibian genomes advance their own assembly projects.

# Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

# Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others? Partly

# Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Evolutionary genetics and systematics of neotropical amphibians.

# I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 10 November 2021

## https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19123.r46611

© **2021 Xu P et al.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



# Peng Xu 匝

College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

# Baohua Chen ២

College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

Streicher *et al.* provided the first complete reference genome and corresponding annotation of common frog with extremely high quality. The workflow used in generating this dataset was very appropriate and normative. This work provides a very valuable genetic resource for further relative studies. I appreciate their efforts.

The only flaw of this data note, in my opinion, was that a few importance details in method and report had been missing:

- First, extra information of genome annotation results should be provided besides a single table (Table 1) including, but not limited to, numbers and lengths of genes, ncRNAs and exons. Moreover, due to the extremely large size of this species, the number and classification of repeat sequences were very informative for other researchers. The author should also provide this.
- Second, I am very curious about how the authors combined the Hi-C data and Bionano data. Although a reference had been cited which can illuminate this, I think the authors still should provide a brief introduction in his report for convenience. In addition, the "Genome annotation" section seems like should be placed in "Methods" section.

## Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

# Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?

# Partly

# Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Fish genetics and genomics

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.