CASE REPORT

A case report of a drug-induced liver injury (DILI) caused by multiple antidepressants with causality established by the updated Roussel Uclaf causality assessment method (RUCAM) and in vitro testing

Miguel González-Muñoz¹ | Jaime Monserrat Villatoro² | Eva Marín-Serrano³ | Stefan Stewart² | Belén Bardón Rivera⁴ | Jesús Marín⁴ | Lucía Martínez de Soto² | Enrique Seco Meseguer² | Elena Ramírez²

¹Immunology Department, La Paz-Cantoblanco-Carlos III University Hospital, IdiPaz, Madrid, España

²Clinical Pharmacology Department, Facultad de Medicina, La Paz-Cantoblanco-Carlos III University Hospital, IdiPaz, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, España

³Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department, La Paz-Cantoblanco-Carlos III University Hospital, IdiPaz, Madrid, España

⁴Psiquiatry Department, La Paz-Cantoblanco-Carlos III University Hospital, IdiPaz, Madrid, España

Correspondence

Elena Ramírez, Clinical Pharmacology Department, Facultad de Medicina, La Paz-Cantoblanco-Carlos III University Hospital, IdiPaz, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Paseo de la Castellana, 26128046 Madrid, Spain.

Email: elena.ramirezg@uam.es

Abstract

A 56-year-old female patient was hospitalized because of a lack of response and poor tolerance to multiple antidepressants, which included an episode of DILI. During hospitalization, the patient suffered another episode of DILI. Causality was assessed both by RUCAM and Lymphocyte Transformation Test, allowing to identify a safer medication.

KEYWORDS

antidepressants, causality assessment, drug-induced liver injury (DILI), lymphocyte transformation test (LTT), pharmacovigilance, Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (RUCAM)

1 **INTRODUCTION**

Drug-Induced Liver Injury (DILI) is the fourth leading cause of liver damage in Western countries, increasingly becoming a matter of concern for drug prescription.¹ Despite data on antidepressant-induced liver injury being scarce, 0.5%-3% of patients treated with antidepressants may develop hepatitis,^{2,3}

being the most susceptible population the elderly and those with polypharmacy.² Liver damage is in most cases idiosyncratic and unpredictable, and it is generally unrelated to drug dosage.² Patients with DILI by antidepressants should be presumed to have increased risk of developing DILI with the same antidepressant or with any other antidepressant that may display cross-toxicity, limiting therapeutic options.

© 2020 The Authors. Clinical Case Reports published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2 | CASE DESCRIPTION

GONZÁLEZ-MUÑOZ ET AL.

A 56-year-old Caucasian female patient with a medical history of recurring depression disorder, no alcohol consumption, no toxic substances abuse was referred by her psychiatrist for hospital admission because of refractory dysthymia and generalized anxiety disorder as well as a poor tolerance to multiple antidepressant drugs prescribed during a period of 17 months. Before hospitalization, the patient had been prescribed fluoxetine during a 5-month period followed by trazodone for 6 months, both of them without any effect; quetiapine and olanzapine for a month respectively producing dizziness and fatigue, which led to it being replaced by venlafaxine starting with a daily dose 75 mg for 2 weeks, followed by 150 mg daily for the next 2 weeks, with partial response, eventually being withdrawn after an alteration on liver tests with elevation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) 175 IU/L (upper limit of normality [ULN], 35) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) 148 IU/L (ULN, 40), while alkaline phosphatase (AP), total bilirubin (TB), and prothrombin activity (PA) remained consistently under the ULN. The patient recovered a month after venlafaxine was interrupted. After recovery, mirtazapine 7.5 mg daily was used for 2 months producing dizziness, so it was replaced by duloxetine 90 mg daily until hospitalization with good tolerance but no effectiveness. The co-medications during the period prior to hospital admission were midazolam 7.5 mg at night and bromazepam 1.5 mg in the morning and 3.5 mg in the night. There were no more relevant medical records or previous pharmacological adverse reactions. During hospitalization, the patient was treated with duloxetine in descending dose from 90 to 10 mg daily, from the 1st day to suspension on 9th day; vortioxetine in ascending dose from 5 to 30 mg daily from the 1st day to 9th day and in descending dose until suspension on 21st day; clomipramine 75 mg daily and trazodone 100 mg daily, from the 7th to the 21st day. Co-medications during hospitalization were midazolam 7.5 mg daily and lorazepam 1 mg if necessary (3 doses administered), from 1st to the 35th day (day of discharge) (Figure 1).

_Clinical Case Reports

3105

-WILEY

On 9th day of admission, the patient started with nausea, right hypochondrium pain, and a loss of appetite. Liver tests showcased an elevation of ALT up to 73 IU/L (previous, 34 IU/L); AST to 59 IU/L (previous 26 UI/L). The maximum values of ALT and AST were 132 and 73 IU/L (3.7 and 1.8 times the ULN respectively), on 21st day of admission, AP, TB and PA remained under the ULN. Under the clinical suspicion of DILI, the drug causality of DILI episodes was assessed using the updated Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (RUCAM 2016).^{4,5} In short, venlafaxine (score + 10) at first DILI episode and vortioxetine (score + 10), clomipramine (score + 9), and trazodone (score + 6) at second DILI episode were the drugs involved according to the RUCAM scale (Table 1). Hepatitis A, B, C, E virus, toxoplasma, IgM Cytomegalovirus, and IgM Epstein-Barr virus antibodies were negative. IgG Cytomegalovirus and IgG Epstein-Barr virus antibodies were positive. Findings of the abdominal ultrasound performed on day 23 describe a simple hepatic cyst in segment 8 in an otherwise normal liver parenchyma. No enlargement of either the liver or spleen was objectified. No significant renal alterations were found except for a cortical cyst in the right kidney. Gallbladder and bile duct were also preserved. Medications related were suspended, and liver function was totally recovered in a month. Four months after discharge, the patient underwent a fibroscan with no differing results. One month later, a lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) was performed⁶ to evaluate the antidepressant drugs with a related causality (RUCAM score $\geq +6$), that is, venlafaxine at first DILI episode and clomipramine, trazodone, and vortioxetine at 2nd DILI episode. Other antidepressants not involved in the adverse reactions were also tested to assess possible future medication (cross reactivity) (Table 1). LTT shows an immune response to venlafaxine and agrees with the causality algorithm. As for the 2nd episode, only clomipramine was able to induce a T-cell proliferation (Table 1). Three non-allergic controls did not show proliferative responses (SI < 2) to the antidepressant drugs. Among drugs tested that were not involved in DILI episodes (Table 1), amitriptyline and escitalopram did not trigger



FIGURE 1 Timeline of prescribed medication and the two drug-induced liver injury (DILI) episodes. DILI, following case definition,¹ is represented by times the upper limit of normality (ULN) of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) titers. Alkaline phosphatase, total bilirubin, and prothrombin activity remained consistently under the ULN during the episodes

FABLE 1 Results of lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) and RUCAN	I scores
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

		Drug concentration						
		0.1 μg/ mL	1 μg/ mL	10 µg/ mL	50 µg/ mL		RUCAM	
Antidepressant drug	Class	Stimulation index (SD)				Result	Score ^a 1st	Score ^b 2nd
Amitriptyline	TCA	0.5 (0.2)	0.5 (0.1)	0.3 (0.1)	0.3 (0.1)	Negative	Not involved	Not involved
Aripiprazole	SNRI	4.2 (0.7)	3.3 (0.4)	2.2 (0.4)	3.8 (0.6)	Positive	Not involved	Not involved
Clomipramine	TCA	0.8 (0.1)	2.3 (0.3)	0.5 (0.1)	0.2 (0.0)	Positive	Not involved	+9
Escitalopram	SSRI	0.3 (0.1)	0.7 (0.2)	0.7 (0.3)	0.3 (0.0)	Negative	Not involved	Not involved
Lorazepam	BZD	-	-	-	-	-	+2	+2
Maprotiline	TeCA	0.1 (0.0)	0.2 (0.1)	3.0 (0.8)	0.3 (0.1)	Positive	Not involved	Not involved
Midazolam	BZD	-	-	-	-	-	+2	+2
Sertraline	SSRI	3.9 (0.8)	1.4 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)	0.2 (0.0)	Positive	Not involved	Not involved
Trazodone	SSRI	0.4 (0.2)	0.7 (0.1)	1.6 (0.6)	1.2 (0.2)	Negative	Not involved	+6
Venlafaxine	SNRI	0.8 (0.2)	2.0 (0.2)	4.0 (0.9)	2.7 0.1)	Positive	+10	Not involved
Vortioxetine	SSRI	0.5 (0.0)	0.3 (0.0)	0.4 (0.1)	0.3 (0.1)	Negative	Not involved	+10

Abbreviations: BZD, benzodiazepine; NDRI, norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor; RUCAM, Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method; SD, Standard Deviation; SNRI, serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TCA, Tricyclic antidepressant; TeCA, Tetracyclic antidepressant.

^aBefore hospitalization.

^bDuring hospitalization.

T-cell proliferation in vitro. According to these results, clinicians selected escitalopram as an alternative medication (20 mg a day), and after 3 months, the patient had a positive clinical response with no adverse reactions. In accordance to The Spanish Data Protection law, informed consent signed by the patient was obtained before publishing. A complete adverse reaction report was submitted to the National Health Authorities in Spain (Pharmacovigilance Center in Madrid), number NR-6246.

3 | DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Liver injury can be caused by different factors such as infections, toxic substances, autoimmunity, and drugs. DILI is considered when a pharmacological cause is suspected, and other causes have been discarded. Two pathological types of DILI have been identified. The first category is predictable and dose-dependent. The second is idiosyncratic, slightly dose-dependent, and unpredictable. It is the consequence either of immune-related liver damage or of direct cellular injury.⁷ Antidepressant-associated DILI is generally hepatocellular type and less frequency of the cholestatic or mixed types. The mechanism of DILI associated with antidepressants was thought to be metabolic or immuno-allergic. In most cases, the onset of DILI is between several days and 6 months after the beginning of antidepressant treatment. A short latency of less than a month or clinical hallmarks (fever, rash, eosinophilia, autoantibodies) suggests an immunologic mechanism.⁸ Causality algorithms can help in the diagnosis of the culprit drug of liver damage, assigning a score to the suspected drugs.9 RUCAM-based assessment has shown high sensitivity (86%), specificity (89%), positive predictive value (93%), and negative predictive value (78%).¹⁰ for a score between -1 to +4 for the non-culprit drugs and +6 to +13for the culprit drugs. RUCAM has been used to evaluate the causative drugs of DILI in inpatients at a single medical center in Korea. Antidepressants, antihistamines, and antibacterials were the common causative medicines for hepatotoxicity.¹¹ However, RUCAM has poor discrimination when it is used in polypharmacy settings as that of our case (four drugs with a score $\geq +6$). Very few drugs cause specific laboratory abnormalities. For instance, specific autoantibodies were reported against cytochrome P450 (CYP) such as CYP2C9 (by tienilic acid, not anymore marketed), CYP1A2 (by dihydralazin), CYP3A4 (by antiepileptic drugs), and CYP2E1 (by halothane).¹² Therefore, alternative approaches are needed. LTT has been widely used in Japan for the diagnosis of DILI. Although some problems of LTT such as the presence of false positive and false negative cases have been reported,¹³ a new Japanese diagnostic scale adds +2 point for positive LTT cases to RUCAM.¹⁴ At first DILI episode, our results demonstrated a specific T-cell reactivity to venlafaxine, supporting the algorithm and providing evidence of the type of mechanism involved. At 2nd episode, LTT provided evidence of

-WILEY

cellular immune response to one of three drugs involved by RUCAM. A case of DILI caused by ipragliflozin and assessed by RUCAM and LTT has been previously reported.¹⁵ Other authors have found that LTT seems to be a reliable test for diagnosing DILI.^{16,17} However, disappointed results were reported using a modified LTT with readouts for soluble mediators (interleukins (IL)-2, IL-5, IL-13, interferon $-\gamma$ and granzyme B),¹⁸ Adopting LTT as a routine test in clinical laboratories is complicated because it is relatively technical demanding and difficult to reproduce.⁶ Furthermore, studies on standardization and validation of the test are necessary to determine its putative clinical utility.

Finally, LTT results with antidepressants not involved in DILI episodes have helped to select another clinically effective and safe treatment. Predictive values, specificity, and sensitivity of LTT in DILI induced by antidepressants are unknown. Therefore, we cannot interpret accurately the meaning of the pattern of LTT reactivity of our patient. There is not a straightforward relationship between drug exposure and LTT positivity since not all antidepressants to which the patient has been exposed give a positive LTT. On the other hand, our results also show that an antidepressant to which the patient has not previously been exposed, and with a negative LTT, has been an appropriate choice as an alternative medication (escitalopram). Chemical structure of antidepressants varies even within the same class (ie, SSRI), making it difficult to anticipate LTT results solely based on this factor. Clomipramine and amitriptyline, for instance, show different degrees of T-cell proliferation despite sharing a tricyclic core. As for the side chain, primary amine may be involved in the T-cell reactivity since clomipramine, maprotiline, and sertraline contain a primary amine in their structure and trigger cell proliferation. However, escitalopram has also a primary amine and does not induce a positive LTT. It would appear that immunogenicity of antidepressants in this patient might depend on the whole structure of the drug (rings and side chains), as it has been proposed for tricyclic antidepressant and aromatic anticonvulsants, or their metabolites.¹⁹ Therefore, our results suggest that LTT may be useful in polypharmacy settings and in selecting a future safety alternative drug for patients with DILI by antidepressants. The utility of LTT in polymedicated patients has also been previously proposed by others.¹⁷

To conclude, LTT combined with RUCAM algorithm may make treatments safer and more individualized in the event of an adverse drug reaction. Further research should be conducted to establish the performance and the cost-effectiveness of this technique.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MGM: made a substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, and interpretation of data; involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content. JMV: made a substantial contribution to the acquisition of data and analysis; involved in drafting the manuscript. EMS: made a substantial contributions to analysis, and interpretation of data; involved in and revising the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. SS: made a substantial contribution to the acquisition of data and analysis; and involved in drafting the manuscript. BBR: made a substantial contributions to analysis, and interpretation of data; involved in and revising the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. JM: made a substantial contributions to analysis, and interpretation of data; involved in and revising the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. LMS: made a substantial contribution to the acquisition of data and analysis; involved in drafting the manuscript. ESM: made a substantial contribution to the acquisition of data and analysis; involved in drafting the manuscript. ER: made a substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, and interpretation of data; involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content.

ORCID

Miguel González-Muñoz https://orcid. org/0000-0002-3957-4955 *Elena Ramírez* https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4052-8876

REFERENCES

- Ramirez E, Carcas AJ, Borobia AM, et al. A pharmacovigilance program from laboratory signals for the detection and reporting of serious adverse drug reactions in hospitalized patients. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2010;87(1):74-86.
- Voican CS, Corruble E, Naveau S, Perlemuter G. Antidepressantinduced liver injury: a review for clinicians. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2014;171(4):404-415.
- Bunchorntavakul C, Reddy KR. Drug hepatotoxicity: newer agents. Clin Liver Dis. 2017;21(1):115-134.
- 4. Danan G, Teschke R. RUCAM in drug and herb induced liver injury: the update. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2015;17(1):E14.
- Danan G, Teschke R. Roussel Uclaf causality assessment method for drug-induced liver injury: present and future. *Front Pharmacol.* 2019;29(10):853.
- Pichler WJ, Tilch J. The lymphocyte transformation test in the diagnosis of drug hypersensitivity. *Allergy*. 2004;59(8):809-820.
- Kuna L, Bozic I, Kizivat T, et al. Models of drug induced liver injury (DILI) - current issues and future perspectives. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2018;19(10):830-838.
- Lucena MI, Carvajal A, Andrade RJ, Velasco A. Antidepressantinduced hepatotoxicity. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2003;2(3):249-262.
- Teschke R. Idiosyncratic DILI: analysis of 46,266 cases assessed for causality by RUCAM and published from 2014 to early 2019. *Front Pharmacol.* 2019;23(10):730.

FY_Clinical Case Reports

- Bénichou C, Danan G, Flahault A. Causality assessment of adverse reactions to drugs—II. An original model for validation of drug causality assessment methods: case reports with positive rechallenge. J Clin Epidemiol. 1993;46:1331-1336.
- Woo HJ, Kim HY, Choi ES, et al. Drug-induced liver injury: a 2-year retrospective study of 1169 hospitalized patients in a single medical center. *Phytomedicine*. 2015;22(13): 1201-1205.
- Abboud G, Kaplowitz N. Drug-induced liver injury. Drug Saf. 2007;30:277-294.
- Mantani N, Kogure T, Sakai S, et al. Incidence and clinical features of liver injury related to Kampo (Japanese herbal) medicine in 2496 cases between 1979 and 1999: problems of the lymphocyte transformation test as a diagnostic method. *Phytomedicine*. 2002;9:280-287.
- Takikawa H, Takamori Y, Kumagi T, et al. Assessment of 287 Japanese cases of drug induced liver injury by the diagnostic scale of the international consensus meeting. *Hepatol Res.* 2003;27(3):192-195.
- Niijima K, Niijima Y, Okada S, Yamada M. Drug-induced liver injury caused by ipragliflozin administration with causality established by a positive lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) and the Roussel Uclaf causality assessment method (RUCAM): a case report. *Ann Hepatol.* 2017;16(2):308-311.

- 16. Warrington RJ, McPhilips-Feener S, Rutherford WJ. The predictive value of the lymphocyte transformation test in isoniazid-associated hepatitis. *Clin Allergy*. 1982;12(3):217-222.
- Maria VA, Victorino RM. Diagnostic value of specific T cell reactivity to drugs in 95 cases of drug induced liver injury. *Gut.* 1997;41(4):534-540.
- Whritenour J, Ko M, Zong Q, et al. Development of a modified lymphocyte transformation test for diagnosing drug-induced liver injury associated with an adaptive immune response. J Immunotoxicol. 2017;14(1):31-38.
- Seitz CS, Pfeuffer P, Raith P, Bröcker EB, Trautmann A. Anticonvulsant hypersensitivity syndrome: cross-reactivity with tricyclic antidepressant agents. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2006;97:698–702. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1081-1206(10)61103-9

How to cite this article: González-Muñoz M,

Monserrat Villatoro J, Marín-Serrano E, et al. A case report of a drug-induced liver injury (DILI) caused by multiple antidepressants with causality established by the updated Roussel Uclaf causality assessment method (RUCAM) and in vitro testing. *Clin Case Rep.* 2020;8:3104–3108. https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.3348