



What Does Quality of Care Mean in Lower-Grade Glioma Patients: A Precision Molecular-Based Management of the Tumor or an Individualized Medicine Centered on Patient's Choices?

Luc Taillandier^{1,2}, Tiphaine Obara^{1,2} and Hugues Duffau^{3,4*}

 ¹ Centre de Recherche en Automatique Nancy France - UMR 7039 - BioSiS Department, Faculty of Medicine, Université de Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ² Neurology Departement, Neurooncology Unit, CHRU, Nancy, France,
³ Department of Neurosurgery, Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier University Medical Center, Montpellier, France, ⁴ Team "Plasticity of Central Nervous System, Stem Cells and Glial Tumors", National Institute for Health and Medical Research (INSERM), U1191 Laboratory, Institute of Functional Genomics, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Marie-Therese Forster, University Hospital Frankfurt, Germany

Reviewed by:

Joerg Tonn, LMU Munich University Hospital, Germany

*Correspondence:

Hugues Duffau h-duffau@chu-montpellier.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuro-Oncology and Neurosurgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 01 June 2021 Accepted: 02 July 2021 Published: 20 July 2021

Citation:

Taillandier L, Obara T and Duffau H (2021) What Does Quality of Care Mean in Lower-Grade Glioma Patients: A Precision Molecular-Based Management of the Tumor or an Individualized Medicine Centered on Patient's Choices? Front. Oncol. 11:719014. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.719014 Keywords: glioma, quality of life, evidence-based medicine, precision medicine, awake surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy

INTRODUCTION

Neurooncology is a young specialty which initially dealt mostly with glioblastoma patients with a short overall survival (OS). Yet, recently the scope gradually expanded by taking care of lower-grade glioma (LGG) patients with a longer OS (1). Historically, these patients were managed with a "wait and see attitude" claiming "benignity" despite 6 to 7 years OS (2, 3). Therefore, quality of care was mainly based on physician's subjectivity and not on the natural history, leading to beliefs that early surgery was not adapted due to "normal neurological examination".

Twenty years later, it is now admitted that (i) beyond seizures, LGG patients suffer from cognitive and behavioral deficits at diagnosis even in incidental cases (4) (ii) this tumor will inescapably transform in higher grades, explaining the use of "lower-grade glioma" (mixing II/III) expression (5) (iii) early surgery is a main therapeutic factor (significant correlation between extent of resection and OS) (6, 7) (iv) early radiotherapy, at least given alone, is not associated with decreased mortality (6, 8). These changes resulted in a longer life expectancy now over 15 to 16 years (9–11).

Moreover, neurooncologists had to pay more attention to quality of life (QoL) for patients who must learn to live with a chronic neoplastic disease.

On the other hand, because LGG will systematically recur, further adapted treatments have to be administrated (12). However, heterogeneity of progression patterns (13) makes the prediction of timescales of proliferation, migration, and degeneration at the individual level impossible.

To provide more reliable prognostic factors, advances in molecular biology led to a new classification designed for more appropriate decisions (14). Surprisingly, although genetics was initially a tool to better dissociate types of LGG with distinct prognosis, molecular biology rapidly

1

became the first parameter in guidelines (15). Although useful, by taking mostly account of genetics criteria and extrapolating a correlation to specific OS based upon statistical analysis, there is a risk to neglect tumor-host interactions, patient's wishes, and long-term QoL.

Here, the main purpose is to redefine what "best quality of care" means by considering both tumor characteristics and patient's personal criteria. The ultimate goal is to give the choice of therapeutic orientation at each step thanks to honest although complex and time-consuming information highlighting oncofunctional balance and various strategies individually adapted over time in parallel with changes in tumor behavior and patient's expectations.

TOWARD HEGEMONY OF PRECISION MEDICINE BASED ON GLIOMA MOLECULAR PROFILE: THE RISK TO IMPOSE A "UNIQUE SOLUTION"

Official guidelines, elaborated on EBM and mostly relying on randomized controlled trials (RCTs), were primarily designed to help physicians within a framework facilitating decision making and thus defining a "quality of care".

Particularly, progress allowed a refinement of the WHO classification increasingly based on genetic profiling (14, 16). This praiseworthy initiative gradually drifts toward more drastic molecular recommendations. Such a so-called precision EBM (17), glioma, and not patient-centered, is questionable. First, the 2016 classification (14) was built on few parameters (e.g., 1p19q, IDH, and MGMT status) too simplistic to capture complex glioma behavior and host interactions. Because improved knowledge will still take a considerable time, it is difficult to understand how "quality of care" can be determined on preliminary criteria. For example, IDH wild-type glioma were considered as molecular glioblastoma (15), whereas by integrating markers, such as TERT or EGFR, distinct groups exhibiting different prognosis (18-20) are now identified. Thus, many patients dogmatically receive and continue to receive RT-CT, whereas it would be more adapted to follow some of them by integrating parameters, such as growth rate (21, 22), and wonder about the multimodal heterogeneity. Similarly, because response rate to CT is statistically higher in oligodendrogliomas, it was peremptorily postulated that upfront, CT was not indicated in astrocytomas by neglecting that stabilization or shrinkage was nonetheless possible (23), thus opening the door to surgery which can have a major impact on prognosis. Thereby, tumor genetics represent an important but not exclusive part of the story (24).

These examples illustrate the drift in the utilization of EBM originally defined as "the conscientious, explicit, and judicious use of the current best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients" (25). Yet, the power of population-based observational studies based on real-life data collected in clinical routine was progressively denied for the benefit of exclusive RCTs. Nevertheless, they suffer from serious

limitations (26, 27), first the inclusion of selected patients not reflecting the daily practice [e.g., young age in Stupp et al. trial (28)], or the fact that factors like extent of resection are overlooked (29), whereas a meta-analysis confirmed a strong correlation to OS (7) even after adjustment for molecular markers (6). Currently, a statistical result identified by RCTs is erected as a rigid law to be applied to each patient, without considering the inter-subject multimodal variability (30). If RCTs are the most convincing and effective strategy for answering a simple therapeutic question with measured shortterm effects, they remain unsuitable to the current neurooncological issues. Indeed, the challenge in this era is rather to know what kind of patients will respond effectively to a therapeutic strategy and not to determine the best treatment among highly selected patients. Even if statistical tools as interaction tests used in RCTs design could give results of subgroup analyses, they remain insufficient because of a lack of statistical power and never allow conclusion. In fact, when the clinical questions and situations are not compatible with the use of RCTs, the importance of observational studies should be reconsidered. If they are conducted with a methodological rigor (long follow-up, sufficient size, few missing data) and analyzed with statistical tools limiting biases, they could provide reliable evidence and enable a better understanding of the long-term evolution. Besides, a Cochrane review (31) highlighted that the results of observational studies and RCTs are most often in agreement.

Third, EBM was not designed to validate a multistep strategy over years. Indeed, time-scales are different between the long life expectancy of patients and many RCTs with only a short followup which optionally use surrogates (such as progression-free survival [PFS] moreover often not accurately assessed) to demonstrate within the time allowed a significant difference regarding investigated parameters. This "reality of the moment" does not reflect long-term OS and QoL, e.g., early RT may have an impact on PFS but not on OS (8) while generating delayed and sometimes major cognitive deterioration (32, 33) not observed with too short a follow-up. It was the case in the RCT trial by Buckner et al. (29) within which (i) contrast enhancement was noted for approximately 50% of patients which is quite atypical for LGG (ii) surgical status is mainly represented by biopsies or partial surgeries in opposition to specialized teams practices mainly carrying out subtotal or total resections (iii) IDH status is only accessible in less than half of the cases and 1p19q in a quarter of them (iv) and cognitive analysis was only based on the MMSE (designed for dementia patients) with a longitudinal partial completion (Table 1 for a critical review of RCTs).

Fourthly, whereas the quality of care relying on RCT depends on a reductionist panel of criteria, the selection of parameters "officially recognized" as decreed under the guise of EBM is questionable. For example, velocity expansion diameter is not incorporated in trials while it is an independent prognostic marker not correlated to molecular profile (45) and more reliable than 2007 WHO classification to predict OS (46, 47). Moreover, a main weakness of the 2016 WHO classification is

TABLE 1 | Main RCTs in medical neurooncology for LGGs: critical review of cognition and quality of life data.

Reference	Authors conclusion	Cognition	Quality of Life
Klein M et al. Neuro- Oncology 2021;23:803–11 (34)	"Neuropsychological assessment was performed in 98 patients (53 RT, 46TMZ). At 12 months, compliance had dropped to 66%, restricting analyses to baseline, 6 months, and 12 months. At baseline, patients in either treatment arm did not differ in memory functioning, sex, age, or educational level. Over time, patients in both arms showed improvement in Immediate Recall ($P = 0.017$) and total number of words recalled (Total Recall; $P < 0.001$, albeit with delayed improvement in RT patients (group by time; $P = 0.011$). Memory functioning was not associated with RT gross, clinical, or planned target volumes.	Memory functioning was assessed using the Visual Verbal Learning Test (VVLT). 12 months compliance 66% <u>No data</u> <u>beyond</u>	See Reijneveld JC et al. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17:1533-42. (35)
Breen WG et al. Neuro Oncol.2020;22:830-37 (36)	"Long-term follow-up indicates no benefit to high-dose over low-dose radiation for low-grade gliomas".	one year "Cognitive function appeared to be stable after radiation as measured by MMSE" 187/203 MMSE at base line Completion <50% at all time points Only MMSE	<u>No data</u>
Dirven L, et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2019;104:90- 100. (37)	"The brain target volume receiving focal radiation therapy in fractions of 1.8 Gy to a total of 50.4 Gy did not appear to be independently associated with HRQoL in high-risk patients with low-grade glioma in the short term, as opposed to tumor progression".	No data expect QLQ C30 BN 20 pre- selected "cognitive functioning"	QLQ-C30 and QLQ-BN20 4 preselected HRQoL scales (global health status, cognitive and social functioning, and fatigue)
Baumert BG, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17:1521-32. (38)	"Overall, there was no significant difference in progression- free survival in patients with low-grade glioma when treated with either radiotherapy alone or temozolomide chemotherapy alone. treatment choices".	MMSE See Reijneveld JC <u>et al.</u> Lancet Oncol. 2016 (35)	EORTC QLQC30 + BN 20 See Reijneveld JC et al. Lancet Oncol. 2016 (35)
Reijneveld JC et al. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17:1533-42. (35)	"The effect of temozolomide chemotherapy or radiotherapy on HRQOL or global cognitive functioning did not differ in patients with low-grade glioma".	Only MMSE Completion 1 year •TMZ 74% •RT 67% 3 Years •TMZ 58% •RT 57% No data after 3 years	EORTC QLQC30 + BN 20 Completion 1 year •TMZ 68% •RT 59% 3 years •TMZ 50% •RT 54% No data after 3 years
Buckner JC et al N Engl J Med. 2016;374:1344-55 (29)	"In a cohort of patients with grade 2 glioma who were younger than 40 years of age and had undergone subtotal tumor resection or who were 40 years of age or older, progression-free survival and overall survival were longer	<u>Only</u> <u>MMSE</u> See Prabhu RS <u>et al.</u> ,	No data

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Reference	Authors conclusion	Cognition	Quality of Life	
	among those who received combination chemotherapy in addition to radiation therapy than among those who received radiation therapy alone"	2014 (39)		
Prabhu RS et al. J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32:535– 41 (39)	"The MMSE is a relatively insensitive tool, and subtle changes in CF may have been missed. over RT alone for patients with low-grade glioma.	Only MMSE Completion	-	
(00)	The addition of PCV chemotherapy to RT improves PFS without excessive CF detriment over RT alone for patients with low-grade glioma".	years •57% 3 years		
		•44% 5 years <u>No data</u> <u>after 5</u>		
Shaw EG et al. J Clin Oncol.2012;30:3065- 70. (40)	"PFS but not OS was improved for adult patients with LGG receiving RT + PCV versus RT alone. On post hoc analysis, for 2-year survivors, the addition of PCV to RT conferred a survival advantage, suggesting a delayed benefit for chemotherany."	<u>years</u> <u>No data</u>	<u>No data</u>	
van den Bent MJ, et al. EORTC Radiotherapy and Brain Tumor Groups and the UK Medical Research Council. 2005;366:985-90. (8)	"Early radiotherapy after surgery lengthens the period without progression but does not affect overall survival. Because quality of life was not studied, it is not known whether time to progression reflects clinical deterioration. Radiotherapy could be deferred for patients with low-grade glioma who are in a good condition, provided they are carefully monitored".	"Quality of II, tumour progr signs and syr progression-f of treatment I year but have analysis. Pos- cognitive defit shown)"	Quality of life was not studied" "To investigate whether patients free from mour progression had any neurological signs and symptoms, the neurological gns and symptoms at 1 year were analyzed in patients who were still ogression-free at 2 years. The use of this subset ensures that the acute effects treatment have subsided, and that patients who are already progressing at 1 ar but have not yet been diagnosed with progression are excluded from the palysis. Post-hoc analysis found no differences between the two groups for regnitive deficit, focal deficit, performance status, and headache (data not prove)"	
Brown PD, et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2004;59(1):117- 25	"The presence of an abnormal baseline MMSE score was a strong predictor of poorer progression-free and overall survival for patients with a low-grade glioma. The baseline MMSE should be considered in future prognostic scoring	Only MMSE	<u>No data</u>	
(41) Shaw E et al. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20:2267- 76. (42)	systems" « This phase III prospective randomized trial of low- versus high-dose radiation therapy for adults with supratentorial low-grade astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, and oligoastrocytoma found somewhat lower survival and slightly higher incidence of radiation necrosis in the high-dose RT arm. The most important prognostic factors for survival are histologic subtype, tumor size, and age. The study design of the ongoing intergroup trial in this population will be discussed.	"Grade 3 to 5 with one fatal See Brown P (41)	3 to 5 radiation neurotoxicity (necrosis) was observed in seven patients, le fatality in each treatment arm" own PD et al., 2004	
Karim AB et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2002;52:316- 24.	"Early postoperative conventional RT such as that used for this protocol appears to improve the time to progression or progression-free survival, but not overall survival, for patients with low-grade glioma".	See van den Bent MJ 2005 (8)	See van den Bent MJ 2005 (8)	
(2) Kiebert GM et al.Eur J Cancer.1998;34:1902- 9 (43)	« A quality of life (QoL) questionnaire consisting of 47 items assessing a range of physical, psychological, social, and symptom domains was included in the trial to measure the impact of treatment over time. Patients who received high- dose radiotherapy tended to report lower levels of functioning and more symptom burden following completion of radiotherapy. These group differences were statistically significant for fatigue/malaise and insomnia immediately after radiotherapy and in leisure time and emotional functioning at 7-15 months after randomization. These findings suggest that for conventional radiotherapy for low-grade cerebral glioma, a schedule of 45 Gy in 5 weeks not only saves valuable resources, but also spares patients a prolonged treatment at no loss of clinical efficacy"		Since at the start of the study no well-validated, standardised QoL questionnaire was available for this population of patients, a questionnaire was constructed to meet the requirements of this study protocol. The questionnaire designed for this study was primarily adapted from a variety of sources including the Sickness Impact Pro [®] le (SIP), the Rand Corporation Health Insurance Study battery of questionnaires, the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale, and from previous questionnaire semployed within the EORTC. A preliminary version of the questionnaire was pretested on a sample of patients at the Free University Hospital in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. The questionnaire consisted of 47 items assessing a range of physical, psychological, social, and symptom domains. Initial completion 82/345 pts. Completion à 36-60 months 61/143	

(Continued)

Reference	Authors conclusion	Cognition	Quality of Life			
Karim AB et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1996;36:549-56 (44)	arim AB et al. Int J "The EORTC trial 22844 has not revealed the presence of "adiat Oncol Biol radiotherapeutic dose-response for patients with LGG for the anys. 1996;36:549-56 two dose levels investigated with this conventional setup, but objective prognostic parameters are recognized. The tumor size or T parameter as used in this study appears to be a		"The sequelae and the quality of life do not appear to be different in the two arms but will be reported separately later in another report"			
	very important factor".					

arbitrarily to not consider intra-tumoral heterogeneity (19, 48, 49). Indeed, although areas of malignant transformation are frequently identified in the middle of LGG, especially after extensive surgery, they are not recognized as "foci of grade III/IV" within a grade II glioma but condition the final grading for the entire tumor. This oversimplification leads to a monolithic strategy, namely to administrate RT-CT, while efficient alternative exists, particularly to delay adjuvant treatments following maximal resection with a 95% survival rate at 5 years (50).

To sum up, due to a new orientation of EBM different from the Sackett et al. seminal concept (25) this "precision-medicine" risks to indirectly impose a "unique solution" based upon few molecular markers unable to reflect the complex glioma-host interactions. This simplistic inflexible attitude does not really represent the "informed consent" of the patient.

THE ALTERATIVE WAY OF MULTIMODAL AND ADAPTIVE INDIVIDUAL DECISION MAKING AIMING TO ANTICIPATE THE STORY YEARS IN ADVANCE

Because LGG patients live one to two decades, neurooncologists should learn to anticipate functional considerations. Indeed, a major lack of "precision-medicine" in gliomas is to prioritize analysis of PFS and OS as first endpoints at the expense of QoL. However, if a patient is doing well, this means that he/she is still alive, while the reverse is not true. Therefore, QoL should be more systematically considered as the main endpoint since LGG patients should have an active life (30). Yet, physicians are usually content with a basic neurological examination optionally with a simplistic neuropsychological assessment (e.g. MMSE) and a performance scale score (15). Nonetheless, to enjoy an optimal lifestyle (social investment, sexuality, childbirth, work) preservation of higher-order cognitive, emotional, and behavioral functions is mandatory (12). Neurosurgeons developed intraoperative awake mapping and monitoring of conation, cognition, and personality, resulting in a connectomebased resection according to a real-time investigation of neural networks and taking account of neuroplasticity (51-53). This led to a decrease of morbidity with stabilization or even improvement of postoperative neuropsychological scores (4) and over 97% of return to employment (54). By contrast, these types of high-level parameters have never been reported in CT/RT randomized study.

Beyond the lack of cognitive or QoL parameters framing each treatment in RCTs for LGG, and criticisms concerning tools (MMSE or QoL questionnaires tailored for malignant rapidly evolving tumors), these criteria are nonetheless essential to elaborate new guidelines paving the way for "quality of care." Neuro-oncologists should ask the patient to define his/her own expectations and adjust the management accordingly (12, 33), e.g., awake surgery with identification of eloquent networks à la carte (55). Indeed, the patient must understand during the first meeting that therapeutic reserve is not inexhaustible. Typically, early RT may improve glioma control for years but entire reirradiation is not possible at progression. This issue should be clearly explained to anticipate next stages. Moreover, because RT may induce delayed cognitive deteriorations, the onco-functional balance must be extensively discussed by tailoring a real patientcentered attitude (12, 56). The ultimate aim should be to use the good treatment(s) at the optimal moment(s) according not only to the tumor genetics but also other prognostic parameters and patient's expectations over time. Remarkably, recent series showed that applying this concept led to OS over 16 to 17 years while preserving the QoL for over one decade (10, 11).

CONCLUSIONS

Beyond the fundamental opposition between precision medicine relying on molecular EBM and individualized multistep therapeutic approach adapted over years, "best quality of care" starts by giving the choice to the patient and family and by honestly detailing both philosophies. This approach of complexity is time-consuming and poorly suited to productionist practices of our care systems. It is, nevertheless, possible, independent of the socio-cultural level of each patient, and it represents the condition of a true interactive patientcentered medicine, far from a "unique solution" dogma.

The other risk of a single thought is to disempower the physicians who will not continue to actively discuss the best therapeutic option tailored to each patient but only passively apply a "standardized protocol". This could lead to an impoverishment of knowledge, failing to see the full picture if all alternatives are not critically considered anymore. The ultimate danger would be to end up with strategies exclusively dictated by processing of large databanks with pre-defined reductive parameters or to use artificial intelligence methods disconnected from clinical practice and real life: this may turn doctors into uncritical executing agents. Therefore, official recommendations should only be a guide, and tumor boards should provide consultative proposals but not become too oppressive (particularly for medico-legal issues); otherwise, a rigid EBM might kill innovation, which is still essential because glioma patients cannot yet be cured.

In summary, although efforts have been made to excavate different molecular subtypes from the formerly not well-defined mix of gliomas LGG (57, 58), more refined instruments measuring QoL are still lacking. Overcoming the problem of an overbalance of molecular marker can only be counteracted by

REFERENCES

- 1. Duffau H. Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas in Adults. 2nd edition. London: Springer (2017).
- Karim AB, Afra D, Cornu P, Bleehan N, Schraub S, De Witte O, et al. Randomized Trial on the Efficacy of Radiotherapy for Cerebral Low-Grade Glioma in the Adult: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Study 22845 With the Medical Research Council Study BRO4: An Interim Analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* (2002) 52:316–24. doi: 10.1016/ S0360-3016(01)02692-X
- Pignatti F, van den Bent M, Curran D, Debruyne C, Sylvester R, Therasse P, et al. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Brain Tumor Cooperative Group; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Radiotherapy Cooperative Group. Prognostic Factors for Survival in Adult Patients With Cerebral Low-Grade Glioma. *J Clin Oncol* (2002) 20:2076–84. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2002.08.121
- Ng S, Herbet G, Lemaitre AL, Cochereau J, Moritz-Gasser S , Duffau H. Neuropsychological Assessments Before and After Awake Surgery for Incidental Low-Grade Gliomas. *J Neurosurg* (2020), 1–10. doi: 10.3171/ 2020.7.JNS201507 Online ahead of print.
- Brat DJ, Verhaak RG, Aldape KD, Yung WK, Salama SR, Cooper LA, et al. Comprehensive, Integrative Genomic Analysis of Diffuse Lower-Grade Gliomas. N Engl J Med (2015) 372:2481–98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1402121
- Jakola AS, Skjulsvik AJ, Myrmel KS, Sjåvik K, Unsgård G, Torp SH, et al. Surgical Resection Versus Watchful Waiting in Low-Grade Gliomas. *Ann* Oncol (2017) 28:1942–8. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx230
- Brown TJ, Bota DA, van Den Bent MJ, Brown PD, Maher E, Aregawi D, et al. Management of Low-Grade Glioma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neurooncol Pract* (2019) 6:249–58. doi: 10.1093/nop/npy034
- van den Bent MJ, Afra D, de Witte O, Ben Hassel M, Schraub S, Hoang-Xuan K, et al. Long-Term Efficacy of Early Versus Delayed Radiotherapy for Low-Grade Astrocytoma and Oligodendroglioma in Adults: The EORTC 22845 Randomised Trial. *Lancet* (2005) 366:985–90. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67070-5
- Capelle L, Fontaine D, Mandonnet E, Taillandier L, Golmard JL, Bauchet L, et al. Spontaneous and Therapeutic Prognostic Factors in Adult Hemispheric World Health Organization Grade II Gliomas: A Series of 1097 Cases. *J Neurosurg* (2013) 118:1157–68. doi: 10.3171/2013.1.JNS121
- Obara T, Blonski M, Brzenczek C, Mézières S, Gaudeau Y, Pouget C, et al. Adult Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas: 35-Year Experience Nancy France Neurooncology Unit. Front Oncol (2020) 10:574679. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020. 574679
- 11. Hamdan N, Duffau H. Extending the Multistage Surgical Strategy for Recurrent Initially Low-Grade Gliomas: Functional and Oncological Outcomes in 31 Consecutive Patients Who Underwent a Third Resection Under Awake Mapping. J Neurosurg.
- Duffau H, Taillandier L. New Concepts in the Management of Diffuse Low-Grade Glioma: Proposal of a Multistage and Individualized Therapeutic Approach. *Neuro Oncol* (2015) 17:332–42. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nou153
- Ferracci FX, Michaud K, Duffau H. The Landscape of Postsurgical Recurrence Patterns in Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol (2019) 138:148–55. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.04.009
- Louis DN, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK. Who Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. 4th edition. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC (2016).

triggering high-quality multicentric studies focusing on imaging and QoL issues.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LT and HD contributed to conception and design of the study. HD wrote the first draft of the manuscript. LT and TO wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

- Weller M, van den Bent M, Tonn JC, Stupp R, Preusser M, Cohen-Jonathan-Moyal E, et al. European Association for Neuro-Oncology (EANO) Guideline on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Adult Astrocytic and Oligodendroglial Gliomas. *Lancet Oncol* (2017) 18:e315–29. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30194-8
- Louis DN, Wesseling P, Aldape K, Brat DJ, Capper D, Cree IA, et al. cIMPACT-NOW Update 6: New Entity and Diagnostic Principle Recommendations of the cIMPACT-Utrecht Meeting on Future CNS Tumor Classification and Grading. *Brain Pathol* (2020) 30:844–56. doi: 10.1111/bpa.12832
- Levine VA. Personalized Medicine in Neuro-Oncology. CNS Oncol (2016) 5:55–8. doi: 10.2217/cns-2016-0006
- Ceccarelli M, Barthel FP, Malta TM, Sabedot TS, Salama SR, Murray BA. et al: Molecular Profiling Reveals Biologically Discrete Subsets and Pathways of Progression in Diffuse Glioma. *Cell* (2016) 164:550–63. doi: 10.1016/ j.cell.2015.12.028
- Suzuki H, Aoki K, Chiba K, Sato Y, Shiozawa Y, Shiraishi Y. et al: Mutational Landscape and Clonal Architecture in Grade II and III Gliomas. *Nat Genet* (2015) 47:458–68. doi: 10.1038/ng.3273
- Zhang ZY, Chan AK, Ding XJ, Qin ZY, Hong CS, Chen LC, et al. TERT Promoter Mutations Contribute to IDH Mutations in Predicting Differential Responses to Adjuvant Therapies in WHO Grade II and III Diffuse Gliomas. Oncotarget (2015) 6:24871–83. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.4549
- Poulen G, Gozé C, Rigau V, Duffau H. Huge Heterogeneity in Survival in a Subset of Adult Patients With Resected, Wild-Type Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Status, WHO Grade II Astrocytomas. *J Neurosurg* (2019) 130:1289–98. doi: 10.3171/2017.10.JNS171825
- 22. Di Carlo DT, Duffau H, Cagnazzo F, Benedetto N, Morganti R, Perrini P. IDH Wild-Type WHO Grade II Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas. A Heterogeneous Family With Different Outcomes. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neurosurg Rev* (2020) 43:383–95. doi: 10.1007/s10143-018-0996-3
- Blonski M, Taillandier L, Herbet G, Maldonado IL, Beauchesne P, Fabbro M, et al. Combination of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Followed by Surgical Resection as a New Strategy for WHO Grade II Gliomas: A Study of Cognitive Status and Quality of Life. J Neurooncol (2012) 106:353–66. doi: 10.1007/s11060-011-0670-x
- The European Low-Grade Glioma Network. Evidence-Based Medicine in Glioma: Molecular Biology is Only Part of the Story. *Lancet Oncol* (2017) 18: e429. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30510-7
- Sackett D, Rosenberg W, Gray M, Haynes RB, Richardson WS. Evidence Based Medicine: What it is and What it Isn't. *BMJ* (1996) 312:71–2. doi: 10.1136/bmj.312.7023.71
- Bothwell LE, Greene JA, Podolsky SH, Jones DS. Assessing the Gold Standard-Lesson From the History of Rcts. N Eng J Med (2016) 374:2175–81. doi: 10.1056/NEJMms1604593
- Mielke D, Rohde V. Randomized Controlled Trials-a Critical Re-Appraisal. Neurosurg Rev (2020). doi: 10.1007/s10143-020-01401-4
- Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJ, et al. Radiotherapy Plus Concomitant and Adjuvant Temozolomide for Glioblastoma. N Engl J Med (2005) 352:987–96. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa043330
- Buckner JC, Shaw EG, Pugh SL, Chakravarti A, Gilbert MR, Bargeret GR. Al. Radiation Plus Procarbazine, CCNU, and Vincristine in Low-Grade Glioma. *N Engl J Med* (2016) 374:1344–55. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1500925
- Duffau H. Paradoxes of Evidence-Based Medicine in Lower-Grade Glioma: To Treat the Tumor or the Patient? *Neurology* (2018) 91:657–62. doi: 10.1212/ WNL.00000000006288

- Anglemyer A, Horvath HT, Bero L. Healthcare Outcomes Assessed With Observational Study Designs Compared With Those Assessed in Randomized Trials. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2014) 4):MR000034. doi: 10.1002/ 14651858.MR000034.pub2
- 32. Douw L, Klein M, Fagel SS, van den Heuvel J, Taphoorn MJB, Aaronson NK, et al. Cognitive and Radiological Effects of Radiotherapy in Patients With Low-Grade Glioma: Long-Term Follow-Up. *Lancet Neurol* (2009) 8:810–8. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70204-2
- 33. Duffau H. Why Brain Radiation Therapy Should Take Account of the Individual Structural and Functional Connectivity: Toward an Irradiation "À La Carte". Crit Rev Oncol Hematol (2020) 154:103073. doi: 10.1016/ j.critrevonc.2020.103073
- 34. Klein M, Drijver AJ, van den Bent MJ, Bromberg JC, Hoang-Xuan K, Taphoorn MJB, et al. Memory in Low-Grade Glioma Patients Treated With Radiotherapy or Temozolomide: A Correlative Analysis of EORTC Study 22033-26033. *Neuro Oncol* (2021) 23:803–11. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa252
- Reijneveld JC, Taphoorn MJB, Coens C, Bromberg JEC, Mason WP, Hoang-Xuan K, et al. Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients With High-Risk Low-Grade Glioma (EORTC 22033-26033): A Randomised, Open-Label, Phase 3 Intergroup Study. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17:1533–42. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045 (16)30305-9
- 36. Breen WG, Anderson SK, Carrero XW, Brown PD, Ballman KV, O'Neill BP, et al. Final Report From Intergroup Ncctg 86-72-51 (Alliance): A Phase III Randomized Clinical Trial of High-Dose Versus Low-Dose Radiation for Adult Low-Grade Glioma. *Neuro Oncol* (2020) 22:830–7. doi: 10.1093/ neuonc/noaa021
- 37. Dirven L, Reijneveld JC, Taphoorn MJB, Coens C, El-Badawy SA, Tzuk-Shina T, et al. Impact of Radiation Target Volume on Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients With Low-Grade Glioma in the 2-Year Period Post Treatment: A Secondary Analysis of the EORTC 22033-26033. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* (2019) 104:90–100. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.01.003
- Baumert BG, Hegi ME, van den Bent MJ, von Deimling A, Gorlia T, Hoang-Xuan K, et al. Temozolomide Chemotherapy Versus Radiotherapy in High-Risk Low-Grade Glioma (EORTC 22033-26033): A Randomised, Open-Label, Phase 3 Intergroup Study. *Lancet Oncol* (2016) 17:1521–32. doi: 10.1016/ S1470-2045(16)30313-8
- Prabhu RS, Won M, Shaw EG, Hu C, Brachman DG, Buckner JC, et al. Effect of the Addition of Chemotherapy to Radiotherapy on Cognitive Function in Patients With Low-Grade Glioma: Secondary Analysis of RTOG 98-02. J Clin Oncol (2014) 32:535–41. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2013.53.1830
- 40. Shaw EG, Wang M, Coons SW, Brachman DG, Buckner JC, Stelzer KJ, et al. Randomized Trial of Radiation Therapy Plus Procarbazine, Lomustine, and Vincristine Chemotherapy for Supratentorial Adult Low-Grade Glioma: Initial Results of RTOG 9802. *J Clin Oncol* (2012) 30:3065–70. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2011.35.8598
- Brown PD, Buckner JC, O'Fallon JR, Iturria NL, O'Neill BP, Brown CA, et al. Importance of Baseline Mini-Mental State Examination as a Prognostic Factor for Patients With Low-Grade Glioma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* (2004) 59:117–25. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2003.10.040
- 42. Shaw E, Arusell R, Scheithauer B, O'Fallon J, O'Neill B, Dinapoli R, et al. Prospective Randomized Trial Of Low- Versus High-Dose Radiation Therapy In Adults With Supratentorial Low-Grade Glioma: Initial Report of a North Central Cancer Treatment Group/Radiation Therapy Oncology Group/ Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol (2002) 20:2267– 76. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2002.09.126
- Kiebert GM, Curran D, Aaronson NK, Bolla M, Menten J, Rutten EH, et al. Quality of Life After Radiation Therapy of Cerebral Low-Grade Gliomas of The Adult: Results of a Randomised Phase III Trial on Dose Response (EORTC Trial 22844). EORTC Radiotherapy Co-operative Group. *Eur J Cancer* (1998) 34:1902–9. doi: 10.1016/S0959-8049(98)00268-8
- 44. Karim AB, Maat B, Hatlevoll R, Menten J, Rutten EH, Thomas DG, et al. A Randomized Trial on Dose-Response in Radiation Therapy Of Low-Grade Cerebral Glioma: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (Eortc) Study 22844. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys (1996) 36:549–56. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(96)00352-5

- 45. Gozé C, Blonski M, Le Maistre G, Bauchet L, Dezamis E, Page P, et al. Imaging Growth and Isocitrate Dehydrogenase 1 Mutation are Independent Predictors for Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas. *Neuro Oncol* (2014) 16:1100–9. doi: 10.1093/ neuonc/nou085
- 46. Pallud J, Mandonnet E, Duffau H, Kujas M, Guillevin R, Galanaud D, et al. Prognostic Value of Initial Magnetic Resonance Imaging Growth Rates for World Health Organization Grade II Gliomas. Ann Neurol (2006) 60:380–3. doi: 10.1002/ana.20946
- Pallud J, Blonski M, Mandonnet E, Audureau E, Fontaine D, Sanai N, et al. Velocity of Tumor Spontaneous Expansion Predicts Long-Term Outcomes for Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas. *Neuro-Oncol* (2013) 15:595–606. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nos331
- Pedeutour-Braccini Z, Burel-Vandenbos F, Gozé C, Roger C, Bazin A, Costes-Martineau V, et al. Microfoci of Malignant Progression in Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas: Towards the Creation of an Intermediate Grade in Glioma Classification? Virchows Arch (2015) 466:433–44. doi: 10.1007/ s00428-014-1712-5
- Augustus M, Pineau D, Aimond F, Azar S, Lecca D, Scamps F, et al. Identification of CRYAB+ Kcnn3+ SOX9+ Astrocyte-Like and EGFR+ Pdgfra+ OLIG1+ Oligodendrocyte-Like Tumoral Cells in Diffuse Idh1-Mutant Gliomas and Implication of NOTCH1 Signalling in Their Genesis. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13:2107. doi: 10.3390/cancers13092107
- Darlix A, Rigau V, Fraisse J, Gozé C, Fabbro M, Duffau H. Postoperative Follow-Up for Selected Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas With WHO Grade III/IV Foci. *Neurology* (2020) 94:e830–41. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000008877
- Duffau H. Stimulation Mapping of White Matter Tracts to Study Brain Functional Connectivity. Nat Rev Neurol (2015) 11:255–65. doi: 10.1038/ nrneurol.2015.51
- Herbet G, Duffau H. Revisiting the Functional Anatomy of the Human Brain: Toward a Meta-Networking Theory of Cerebral Functions. *Physiol Rev* (2020) 100:1181–228. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00033.2019
- Herbet G, Maheu M, Costi E, Lafargue G, Duffau H. Mapping Neuroplastic Potential in Brain-Damaged Patients. *Brain* (2016) 139:829–44. doi: 10.1093/ brain/awv394
- Ng S, Herbet G, Moritz-Gasser S, Duffau H. Return to Work Following Surgery for Incidental Diffuse Low-Grade Glioma: A Prospective Series With 74 Patients. *Neurosurgery* (2020) 87:720–9. doi: 10.1093/neuros/nyz513
- Duffau H. New Philosophy, Clinical Pearls, and Methods for Intraoperative Cognition Mapping and Monitoring "À La Carte" in Brain Tumor Patients. *Neurosurgery* (2021) 88:919–30. doi: 10.1093/neuros/nyaa363
- Mandonnet E, Duffau H. An Attempt to Conceptualize the Individual Onco-Functional Balance: Why a Standardized Treatment is an Illusion for Diffuse Low-Grade Glioma Patients. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* (2018) 122:83–91. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2017.12.008
- 57. Fujimoto K, Arita H, Satomi K, Yamasaki K, Matsushita Y, Nakamura T, et al. TERT Promoter Mutation Status is Necessary and Sufficient to Diagnose IDH-wildtype Diffuse Astrocytic Glioma With Molecular Features of Glioblastoma. Acta Neuropathol (2021) 142(2):323–38. doi: 10.1007/s00401-021-02337-9
- Weller M, van den Bent M, Preusser M, Le Rhun E, Tonn JC, Minniti G, et al. EANO Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Diffuse Gliomas of Adulthood. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2021) 18:170–86. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-00447-z

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Taillandier, Obara and Duffau. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.