### SHORT COMMUNICATION

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

# Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of tricyclic pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5(5*H*)-one scaffolds as selective BuChE inhibitors

Guo-Liang Qiu<sup>a</sup>\*, Shao-Sheng He<sup>a,b</sup>\*, Shi-Chao Chen<sup>a</sup>, Bo Li<sup>a</sup>, Hui-Hui Wu<sup>c</sup>, Jing Zhang<sup>c</sup> and Wen-Jian Tang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Pharmacy, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, PR China; <sup>b</sup>Lujiang County People's Hospital, Lujiang, Anhui, PR China; <sup>c</sup>Anhui Prevention and Treatment Center for Occupational Disease, Hefei, PR China

#### ABSTRACT

Based on the structural analysis of tricyclic scaffolds as butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) inhibitors, a series of pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5(5H)-one derivatives were designed, synthesized and evaluated for their acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and BuChE inhibitory activity. Compounds with 5-carbonyl and 7- or/and 9-halogen substitutions showed potential BuChE inhibitory activity, among which compounds **6a**, **6c** and **6g** showed the best BuChE inhibition ( $IC_{50} = 1.06$ , 1.63 and 1.63 µM, respectively)). The structure–activity relationship showed that the 5-carbonyl and halogen substituents significantly influenced BuChE activity. Compounds **6a** and **6g** were found nontoxic, lipophilic and exhibited remarkable neuroprotective activity and mixed-type inhibition against BuChE ( $K_i = 7.46$  and 3.09 µM, respectively). Docking studies revealed that compound **6a** can be accommodated into BuChE via five hydrogen bonds, one Pi–Sigma interaction and three Pi–Alkyl interactions.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 21 March 2018 Revised 26 April 2018 Accepted 12 June 2018

#### **KEYWORDS**

acetylcholinesterase; butyrylcholinesterase; pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5(5H)-one; tricyclic scaffold; Alzheimer's disease

# 1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD), characterized by progressive deterioration of memory and other cognitive impairments, is a chronic neurodegenerative disease<sup>1,2</sup>. Forty-seven million people are living with dementia worldwide according to World Alzheimer Report 2016, and this number will increase to more than 131 million by 2050<sup>3</sup>. In the past decades, various pathogenesis hypothesis of AD have been proposed, such as cholinergic dysfunction,  $\beta$ -amyloid oligomerisation, tau-protein hyperphosphorylation and oxidative stress, etc<sup>4-8</sup>. Among them, cholinergic dysfunction hypothesis was the most effective therapeutic strategy. Thus, one efficient approach to treat AD is to restore the level of acetylcholine using cholinesterases (ChEs), such as acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrlcholinesterase (BuChE) inhibitors<sup>9-11</sup>. It has been found that amyloid protein plaques can be caused by ChEs and can be decreased by ChEs inhibitors<sup>12</sup>. Currently, marketed AD drugs are mainly ChEs inhibitors rivastigmine, galantamine and donepezil. Thus, the search for new ChE inhibitors is still of great interest<sup>13–17</sup>

AChE inhibitors have been used for clinical AD treatments. AChE plays an important role in the hydrolysis of ACh in normal brain, while BuChE takes over the hydrolysis of ACh in the AChE deficient brain<sup>18,19</sup>. In the hippocampi of AChE deficient mice, levels of excessive ACh were alleviated by BuChE activity<sup>20</sup>. In view of an increased level of BuChE and decreased level of AChE in the progressed AD, development of effective and selective BuChE inhibitor is of vital importance<sup>21</sup>. Besides, BuChE is associated with drug metabolism and detoxification, lipoprotein metabolism and diseases, etc. The crystal structures of two ChEs are very similar, containing a catalytic active site (CAS), a deep gorge and a peripheral anionic site (PAS)<sup>22</sup>. Compared to AChE, a wider space of BuChE in acyl-binding site allows larger substrates to be recognised and hydrolyzed<sup>23</sup>. The structural feature of BuChE provides a reasonable thought to design selective BuChE inhibitors<sup>24–29</sup>. Although there are some types of scaffolds with BuChE inhibition, selective BuChE inhibitors are far from abundance<sup>30</sup>.

The pyrazole scaffolds are pharmacologically active substances for drug discovery due to their excellent biological and pharmacological activities<sup>31–33</sup>. In our recent works, several pyrazole-containing motifs were designed as potential telomerase inhibitors with anticancer activity<sup>34–36</sup>, selective monoamine oxidase and/or ChE inhibitors for treating Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases<sup>37,38</sup>. Furthermore, a series of pyrazole-containing tricyclic scaffolds were found as selective BuChE inhibitors<sup>39</sup>. *h*BuChE (PDB 1P0I)-targeted molecular docking showed that when the seven-membered benzoxazepine ring is replaced by a six-membered benzoxazine ring, the decreased volume may enhance its affinity with target protein (Figure 1). Therefore, we synthesized a series of pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5(5H)-one derivatives, and evaluated for their cholinesterase inhibitory activity and the active compounds were used to study the preliminary mechanism.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Chemistry

All chemicals, reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Reactions

B Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. The rational design in this study.

were checked by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on precoated silica gel plates (Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, GF<sub>254</sub>); spots were visualized by UV at 254 nm. Melting points are determined on a XT4MP apparatus (Taike Corp., Beijing, China) and are not corrected. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV-600 or AV-300 MHz instruments using DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> and CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) downfield from the signal of tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standards. Coupling constants are reported in Hz. The multiplicity is defined by s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet) or m (multiplet). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on an Agilent 1260-6221 TOF mass spectrometry.

### 2.2. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (3a-3f)

A series of chalcones (1) were synthesized by Claisen–Schmidt condensation of aromatic methyl ketones and salicylaldehyde in alkali ethanol, which were then treated with excess hydrazine hydrate to afford respective pyrazolines (2) according to the references<sup>38–40</sup>. Carbonyl diimidazole (CDI, or thiocarbonyl diimidazole, TCDI, 3.0 mmol) was added to CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml) solution of compound 2 (2.0 mmol), then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until the disappearance of starting material (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtrated and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column (petroleum/EtOAc, 1:1  $\rightarrow$  1:2) to give title compounds **3a–3f**. Compounds **3a–3f** are known ones with no reports about bioactivity<sup>40</sup>.

# 2.2.1. 2-Phenyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (3a)

White powder, yield: 26%; m.p. 200–202°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.91–7.84 (m, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.49–7.34 (m, 4H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.22 (m, 3H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.40 (dd, J=12.9, 10.5 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.83 (dd, J=16.3, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.48 (dd, J=16.2, 13.1 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.2 (C[dbond]O), 150.5 (C[dbond]N), 146.7 (C[sbond]O), 131.3, 130.5, 130.0, 129.0, 127.3, 125.2, 124.8, 122.8, 117.1, 57.6 (C-10b), 38.8 (C-1). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 265.0972; found: 265.0979.

# 2.2.2. 2-Phenyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5thione (3b)

Light yellow powder, yield: 28%; m.p.  $189-191 \degree C$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.92 (m, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.56–7.38 (m, 4H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.32–7.21 (m, 3H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.37–5.26 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.94 (dd, J = 16.5, 10.1 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.55 (dd, J = 16.5, 12.4 Hz,

1H, 1-Hb). TOF-HRMS: m/z  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}N_2O_2$ : 281.0743; found: 281.0750.

### 2.2.3. 2-(4-Fluoro)phenyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (3c)

White powder, yield: 21%; m.p. 257–260 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.92–7.82 (m, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.43–7.22 (m, 3H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.19–7.10 (m, 3H), 5.41 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.81 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.47 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 13.1 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb). TOF-HRMS: *m/z* [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 283.0877; found: 283.0880.

# 2.2.4. 9-Chloro-2-(4-chloro)phenyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c] [1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (3d)

White powder, yield: 32%; m.p. 206–208 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.79 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.23 (s, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.46–5.33 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.80 (dd, J = 16.3, 10.5 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.46 (dd, J = 16.3, 13.0 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>11</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 333.0192; found: 333.0196.

# 2.2.5. 9-Chloro-2-(4-methyl)phenyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (3e)

White powder, yield: 28%; m.p. 186–188 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.34 (dd, *J*=8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.27 (s, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.24 (d, *J*=4.4 Hz, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.13 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.41–5.31 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.80 (dd, *J*=16.2, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.45 (dd, *J*=16.2, 13.0 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.41 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.3 (C[dbond]O), 150.5 (C[dbond]N), 146.7 (C[sbond]O), 141.9, 129.9, 129.7 (2C), 127.7, 127.3 (2C), 125.2, 124.8, 122.8, 117.1, 57.5 (C-10b), 38.8 (C-1), 21.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: *m/z* [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 313.0738; found: 313.0743.

# 2.2.6. 2-(4-Methyl)phenyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-one (3f)

White powder, yield: 20%; m.p. 186–189°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.41–7.32 (m, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 4H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.36 (dd, J = 13.0, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.80 (dd, J = 16.2, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.43 (dd, J = 16.2, 13.1 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.3 (C[dbond]O), 149.1 (C[dbond]N), 146.2 (C[sbond]O), 142.1, 130.3, 130.0, 129.7 (2C), 127.5, 127.3 (2C), 124.9, 124.4, 118.5, 57.3 (C-10b), 38.7 (C-1), 21.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 279.1128; found: 279.1134.

#### 2.3. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds (6a-6q)

A series of pyrazoline derivatives (5) were synthesized by similar synthesis procedure using salicylaldehyde in alkali acetone. CDI (or TCDI, 3.0 mmol) was added to a  $CH_2CI_2$  (20 ml) solution of compound 5 (2.0 mmol), then the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction solution was washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$ , filtrated and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum/EtOAc, 1:1  $\rightarrow$  1:2) to give title compounds **6a–6q**.

# 2.3.1. 7,9-Dichloro-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-one (6a)

White powder, yield: 27%; m.p. 237–240°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.42 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.03 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.31–5.20 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.35 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.11 (dd, J = 16.7, 12.7 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.20 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.3 (C[dbond]O), 145.4 (C[dbond]N), 145.1 (C[sbond]O), 130.3, 130.1, 125.5, 123.34, 123.30, 57.2 (C-10b), 42.4 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 271.0036; found: 271.0038.

# 2.3.2. 7,9-Dichloro-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-thione (6b)

White powder, yield: 35%; m.p.  $230-233 \,^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.43 (d,  $J = 1.7 \,$ Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.04 (s, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.25 (t,  $J = 10.9 \,$ Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.51 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.1 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.22 (dd, J = 17.0, 11.9 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  174.8 (C[dbond]S), 164.7 (C[dbond]N), 144.6 (C[sbond]O), 130.7, 130.4, 124.8, 123.0, 122.7, 57.4 (C-10b), 42.7 (C-1), 16.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>).TOF-HRMS:  $m/z \,$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS: 286.9807; found: 286.9806.

# 2.3.3. 7,9-Dibromo-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-one (6c)

White powder, yield: 30%; m.p.  $229-232 \degree$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.81–7.67 (m, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.21 (dd, J=2.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.26 (dd, J=12.5, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.35 (dd, J=16.9, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.12 (dd, J=16.8, 12.6 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.20 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.3 (C[dbond]O), 147.1 (C[dbond]N), 145.1 (C[sbond]O), 135.9, 126.88, 125.8, 117.5, 112.0, 57.1 (C-10b), 42.4 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 358.9025; found: 358.9023.

# 2.3.4. 7,9-Dibromo-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-thione (6d)

White powder, yield, 26%; m.p.  $268-270 \,^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.21 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.25–5.15 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.47 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.0 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 3.21 (dd, J = 16.2, 11.9 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  175.1 (C[dbond]S), 164.5 (C[dbond]N), 146.6 (C[sbond]O), 136.3, 126.7, 125.1, 118.2, 111.6, 57.5 (C-10b), 42.9 (C-1), 16.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS: 376.8776; found: 376.8777.

### 2.3.5. 9-Chloro-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (6e)

White powder, yield: 32%; m.p.  $184-186 \,^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.31 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.12 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.24 (dd, J = 12.6, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.34 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.11 (dd, J = 17.9, 12.7 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.9 (C[dbond]O), 149.1 (C[dbond]N), 146.1 (C[sbond]O), 130.2, 129.9, 124.9, 124.3, 118.5, 57.0 (C-10b), 42.5 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS:  $m/z \, [M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 237.0425; found: 237.0430.

# 2.3.6. 9-Chloro-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-thione (6f)

Light yellow powder, yield: 28%; m.p.  $220-222 \degree C; \ ^{1}H$  NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.12 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.28–5.12 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.49 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.0 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.21 (dd, J = 17.5, 12.5 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>);  $\ ^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.1 (C[dbond]S), 164.7 (C[dbond]N), 148.3 (C[sbond]O), 130.9, 130.2, 124.7, 123.5, 118.0, 57.3 (C-10b), 42.9 (C-1), 16.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS:  $m/z \ [M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>OS: 253.0197; found: 253.0201.

# 2.3.7. 9-Bromo-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (6g)

White powder, yield: 27%; m.p. 211–213 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.46 (dd, J=8.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.27–7.25 (m, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.04 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.24 (dd, J=12.6, 10.4 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.33 (dd, J=16.8, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.11 (dd, J=17.9, 12.7 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.18 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.8 (C[dbond]O), 149.6 (C[dbond]N), 146.0 (C[sbond]O), 132.9, 127.8, 124.8, 118.9, 117.5, 56.9 (C-10b), 42.5 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 280.9920; found: 280.9925.

# 2.3.8. 9-Bromo-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-thione (6h)

Light yellow powder, yield: 31%; m.p. 245–247 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50 (dd, J=8.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.27–7.25 (m, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.14 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.26–5.11 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.47 (dd, J=17.1, 10.0 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.21 (dd, J=18.1, 12.0 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.0 (C[dbond]S), 164.2 (C[dbond]N), 148.7 (C[sbond]O), 133.1, 127.5, 123.7, 118.3, 118.1, 57.0 (C-10b), 42.8 (C-1), 16.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>OS: 296.9692; found: 296.9695.

# 2.3.9. 7-Bromo-9-chloro-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c] [1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (6i)

White powder, yield: 29%; m.p.  $228-231 \,^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.59 (d,  $J = 2.2 \,$ Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.07 (s, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.31–5.20 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.34 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.11 (dd, J = 16.3, 12.8 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.20 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.13 (C[dbond]O), 146.66 (C[dbond]N), 145.15 (C[sbond]O), 133.3, 130.5, 125.3, 123.9, 111.8, 57.2 (C-10b), 42.4 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS:  $m/z \,$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 314.9530; found: 314.9537.

### 2.3.10. 7-Bromo-9-chloro-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-thione (6j)

White powder, yield: 30%; m.p.  $248-250 \degree$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.07 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.23 (t, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.49 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.1 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.21 (dd, J = 16.7, 12.2 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  175.0 (C[dbond]O), 165.0 (C[dbond]N), 146.0 (C[sbond]O), 133.4, 131.1, 124.7, 123.9, 111.1, 57.6 (C-10b), 42.8 (C-1), 16.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrClN<sub>2</sub>OS: 330.9302; found: 330.9306.

# 2.3.11. 2-Methyl-7-methoxy-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-one (6k)

White powder, yield: 27%; m.p.  $189-192 \,^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.12 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 6.69 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.25 (dd, J = 12.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H, 10b-H), 3.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.32 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.10 (dd, J = 16.3, 13.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.18 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.7 (C[dbond]O), 148.0 (C[dbond]N), 146.3 (C[sbond]O), 139.9, 125.3, 123.9, 115.9, 112.7, 57.3 (C-10b), 56.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 42.7 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 233.0921; found: 233.0925.

# 2.3.12. 2-Methyl-7-methoxy-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-thione (6l)

Light yellow powder, yield: 28%; m.p. 217-219 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.16 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 6.68 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.25-5.10 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.91 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.47 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.0 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.20 (dd, J = 17.1, 12.1 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.28 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.3 (C[dbond]O), 164.5 (C[dbond]N), 147.4 (C[sbond]O), 139.3, 126.1, 123.1, 115.6, 112.9, 57.5 (C-10b), 56.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 43.1 (C-1), 16.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: 249.0692; found: 249.0694.

# 2.3.13. 2,9-Dimethyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (6m)

White powder, yield: 31%; m.p.  $174-177 \,^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.12 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 6.91 (s, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.29–5.15 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.32 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.2 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.09 (dd, J = 16.3, 13.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.34 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.18 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.7 (C[dbond]O), 148.3 (C[dbond]N), 146.8 (C[sbond]O), 134.8, 130.3, 125.1, 122.4, 116.7, 57.3 (C-10b), 42.6 (C-1), 20.9 (9-CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.4 (2-CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS:  $m/z \, [M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 217.0972; found: 217.0981.

# 2.3.14. 2,9-Dimethyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-thione (6n)

Light yellow powder, yield: 28%; m.p.  $188-190 \,^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.17 (d,  $J = 8.3 \,$ Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.12 (d,  $J = 8.3 \,$ Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 6.91 (s, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.20–5.10 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.44 (dd, J = 17.0, 9.9 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.20 (dd, J = 17.0, 12.2 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.28 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  177.0 (C[dbond]S), 164.4 (C[dbond]N), 147.8 (C[sbond]O), 135.8, 130.7, 124.9, 121.5, 116.4, 57.6 (C-10b), 43.1 (C-1), 21.1 (9-CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.9 (2-CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS:  $m/z \,$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS: 233.0743; found: 233.0753.

### 2.3.15. 2-Methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-one (60)

It is a known compound with no reports about bioactivity<sup>41</sup>. Light yellow powder, yield: 32%; m.p. 162–164 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37–7.32 (m, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.19 (td, *J*=7.4, 3.0 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.16–7.12 (m, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.30–5.22 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.35 (dd, *J*=16.7, 10.2 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.11 (dd, *J*=16.1, 12.5 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.8 (C[dbond]O), 150.5 (C[dbond]N), 146.6 (C[sbond]O), 129.8, 125.1, 124.8, 122.8, 117.0, 57.2 (C-10b), 42.6 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: *m/z* [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 203.0815; found: 203.0817.

# 2.3.16. 2-Methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5-thione (6p)

Light yellow powder, yield: 34%; m.p.  $218-220 \circ \text{C}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.25 (dd, J = 7.6, 4.5 Hz, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.28–5.14 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.49 (dd, J = 17.1, 9.9 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.21 (dd, J = 16.9, 12.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.29 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.6 (C[dbond]S), 164.7 (C[dbond]N), 149.7 (C[sbond]O), 130.2, 125.8, 124.7, 121.8, 116.5, 57.5 (C-10b), 43.0 (C-1), 16.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS:  $m/z \ [M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS: 219.0587; found: 219.0590.

# 2.3.17. 7-Bromo-2-methyl-1,10b-dihydro-5H-pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3] benzoxazin-5-one (6q)

White powder, yield: 30%; m.p. 218-221 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60–7.51 (m, 1H, Ar[sbond]H), 7.06 (dd, J = 8.6, 5.3 Hz, 2H, Ar[sbond]H), 5.34–5.21 (m, 1H, 10b-H), 3.37 (dd, J = 16.8, 10.3 Hz, 1H, 1-Ha), 3.11 (dd, J = 16.7, 12.8 Hz, 1H, 1-Hb), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.2 (C[dbond]O), 147.7 (C[dbond]N), 145.6 (C[sbond]O), 133.7, 125.9, 124.4, 123.9, 111.0, 57.4 (C-10b), 42.5 (C-1), 16.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). TOF-HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 280.9920; found: 280.9918.

### 2.4. AChE/BuChE activity assays

Enzymatic activity assays were performed on AChE from electric eel (C3389-500UN; Sigma) and BuChE from equine serum (C4290-1KU; Sigma), according to Ellman's method with light modification<sup>42</sup>. The experiment was performed in 48-well plates in a final volume of 500  $\mu l.$  Each well contained 0.036 U/ml of EeAChE or eqBuChE, and 0.1 M pH = 8 phosphate buffer. They were incubated for 20 min at different concentrations of test compound at 37 °C. Then 0.35 mM acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCh; A5751-1G; Sigma) or 0.5 mM butyrylthiocholine iodide (20820-1G; Sigma) and 0.35 mM 5,5'-ditiobis-2-nitrobenzoico (DTNB; D8130-1G; Sigma) were added. The DTNB produced the yellow anion 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid along with the enzymatic degradation of acetylthiocholine or butyrylthiocholine. The absorbance of each assay was measured at 410 nm after 20 min in a Biotek Synergy HTX Multi-Mode reader. The IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated graphically from inhibition curves (log inhibitor concentration vs percent of inhibition). A control experiment was performed under the same conditions without inhibitor and the blank contained buffer, DMSO, DTNB and substrate.

### 2.5. Kinetic studies of eqBuChE inhibition

Kinetic studies were performed with the same test conditions, using six concentrations of substrate (from 0.1 to 1 mM) and four concentrations of inhibitor (0–20  $\mu$ M). The kinetic type of enzyme inhibition was obtained through the modified Ellman's method and Lineweaver–Burk secondary plots<sup>43</sup>. Apparent inhibition constants and kinetic parameters were calculated within the "Enzyme kinetics" module of Prism 5.

#### 2.6. Cytotoxicity assays

PC12 cells were used to evaluate cell cytotoxicity by methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) assay. PC12 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum,  $100 \,\mu$ g/ml streptomycin and 100 units/ml penicillin at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified atmosphere, and inoculated at  $1 \times 10^4$  cells per well in 96-well plate. After cultured for 24 h, the cells were treated with different concentration of compounds in DMEM for 24 h. Then 20 µl of 0.5 mg/ml MTT reagent was added into the cells and incubated for 4 h. After 4 h, cell culture was removed and then 150 µl DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan. The optical density was measured at 570 nm (OD<sub>570</sub>). Cell viability was calculated from three independent experiments. OD<sub>570</sub> of formazan in blank group was set as 100% of viability. Cell viability (%) = compound (OD<sub>570</sub>)/blank (OD<sub>570</sub>) × 100%.

Blank: cultured with fresh medium only.

Compound: treated with compounds or donepezil.

### 2.7. Neuroprotective effect

The differentiated PC12 cells and oxidative agent  $H_2O_2$  were used as *in vitro* model to assess neuronal differentiation and neurobiochemical and neurobiological properties<sup>39,44</sup>. Differentiated PC12 cells were incubated with different concentrations of compounds **6a** and **6g** for 3 h before treatment with  $H_2O_2$  (300 µM). Cell viability was measured after 24 h using MTT method. Briefly, 20 µL of 0.5 mg/ml MTT reagent was added into the cells and incubated for 4 h. After 4 h, cell culture was removed and then 150 µl DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan. OD<sub>570</sub> value was measured at 570 nm on the Biotek Synergy HTX Multi-Mode reader. Results were adjusted by OD<sub>570</sub> value in the blank.

### 2.8. Log P assessment

Log *P*, defined as the logarithm of octanol–water partition coefficient, is an important parameter to evaluate lipophilicity of compounds<sup>45</sup>. It can be calculated by determining the concentration of compound in octanol phase and water phase until the partition equilibrium was completed. In this work, log *P* of title compounds was measured by the shake flask method with slight modification. PBS (pH = 7.4) was used as the water. Both the octanol and the aqueous phase were saturated with each other before use. The assay mixture containing test compounds was shaken at 37 °C. After 24 h, the mixture was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 30 min, followed by the measurement with UV spectrophotometer. Experiments were conducted in triplicate and log *p* values were calculated.

#### 2.9. Molecular docking study

In order to further understand SAR, based on the X-ray crystal structure of human BuChE (hBuChE PDB ID: 1P0I), molecular docking was performed on the binding model using the Discovery Studio 2017R2 software<sup>22,23</sup>. A structure based in silico procedure was applied to discover the binding modes of the active compounds at BuChE enzyme active site. The CDOCKER of Discovery Studio 2017R2 (DS) was conducted to explain SAR of series compounds and further guide the design of more effective and specific BuChE inhibitors. The ligand binding to the crystal structure of hBuChE (PDB ID: 1P0I) was selected as template. The target enzyme was prepared with Prepare Protein of DS to ensure the integrity of target. The ligand was processed by Full Minimization of the Small Molecular in DS. Then title compounds were docked into the active site of protein using CDOCKER. The view results of docking were extracted after the program running end, each docking result was analyzed for interaction and their different pose. The binding energies of most potent compounds were

clearly observed and tabulated in Table 2. The lowest -CDOCKER\_INTERACTION\_ENERGY values of those poses were regarded as the most stable and picked to analyze binding interactions with target enzyme visualized.

### 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Chemistry

According to recent works<sup>37–39</sup>, pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazepin-5(5*H*)-one scaffolds (**3a–3f** and **6a–6q**, as shown in Table 1) were synthesized by the protocol outlined in Schemes 1 and 2. The intermediate 2-pyrazolines (**2** and **5**) were synthesized through the cyclization reaction of excess hydrazine hydrate with the styrene ketones, which were obtained from Claisen–Schmidt condensation. The title compounds **3** and **6** were respectively synthesized by the intramolecular coupled reaction of compounds **2** and **5** using carbonyl diimidazole (or thiocarbonyl diimidazole) as the couplant in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The total yield was 20%–35%.

#### 3.2. Biological activity

The inhibitory activities of title compounds against AChE and BuChE were assessed by modified Ellman's method. The  $IC_{50}$  values were obtained and compared to the reference donepezil, a selective AChE inhibitor, which was the only one of four

Table 1. Chemical structures of compounds  $3a{-}3f$  and  $6a{-}6q$  and their inhibitory activities against EeAChE and eqBuChE^a



					IC <sub>50</sub> , μM (or inhibition % at 20μM)	
Compound	х	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_3$	AChE <sup>b</sup>	BuChE <sup>c</sup>
3a	0	Ph	Н	Н	d	9.47 ± 0.23
3b	S	Ph	н	н	d	9±3%
3c	0	4-F[sbond]Ph	н	н	15 ± 3%	15 ± 2%
3d	0	4-Cl[sbond]Ph	н	CI	39±1%	41±6%
3e	0	4-CH <sub>3</sub> [sbond]Ph	Н	CI	$32 \pm 2\%$	$32 \pm 5\%$
3f	0	4-CH <sub>3</sub> [sbond]Ph	Н	Н	$48 \pm 3\%$	$32 \pm 2\%$
ба	0	CH3	Cl	Cl	$39 \pm 3\%$	$1.06 \pm 0.11$
6b	S	CH <sub>3</sub>	Cl	Cl	$25 \pm 1\%$	d
бс	0	CH₃	Br	Br	$10.71 \pm 0.64$	$1.63 \pm 0.42$
6d	S	CH₃	Br	Br	$26 \pm 3\%$	d
бе	0	CH₃	Н	Cl	$16 \pm 1\%$	$6.29 \pm 0.65$
6f	S	CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Cl	$18 \pm 2\%$	d
6g	0	CH₃	Н	Br	26±1%	$1.63 \pm 0.34$
6h	S	CH₃	Н	Br	$27 \pm 2\%$	d
6i	0	CH₃	Br	CI	$13.03 \pm 0.32$	$2.44 \pm 0.44$
бј	S	CH₃	Br	CI	$14 \pm 2\%$	d
бk	0	CH₃	OCH₃	Н	$35 \pm 3\%$	$14 \pm 3\%$
61	S	CH₃	OCH₃	Н	$30 \pm 2\%$	$2 \pm 2\%$
бm	0	CH₃	Н	$CH_3$	d	$14 \pm 1\%$
бn	S	CH₃	Н	$CH_3$	15 ± 2%	d
бо	0	CH₃	Н	Н	d	50.08 ± 4.3%
бр	S	CH₃	Н	Н	d	d
бq	0	$CH_3$	Br	Н	d	$3.22 \pm 1.06$
donepezil					$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$10.38 \pm 0.20$

<sup>a</sup>Each IC<sub>50</sub> value is the mean  $\pm$  SEM from three experiments (n = 3). <sup>b</sup>AChE from electric eel.

<sup>c</sup>BuChE from horse serum.

No inhibitory activity (%) against either EeAChE or eqBuChE at 20 μM.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 3a–3f. Reagents and conditions: (i) 40% NaOH solution, EtOH, 60 °C; (ii) N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, EtOH, reflux; (iii) *N*,*N*<sup>'</sup>-carbonyldiimidazole or 1,1'-thiocarbonyldiimidazole, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, r.t.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of compounds 6a–6q. Reagents and conditions: (iv) 40% NaOH solution, 60 °C; (v) N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, EtOH, reflux; (vi) *N*,*N*'-carbonyldiimidazole or 1,1'-thiocarbonyldiimidazole, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, r.t.

FDA-approved AChEls. The  $IC_{50}$  values of all synthesized compounds against EeAChE and eqBuChE are summarized in Table 1.

According to the biological activity results, 23 tricyclic compounds showed inhibitory activities against cholinesterases. Compared to the reference donepezil, some compounds showed potent inhibitory activity against BuChE, but only moderate against AChE. From Table 1, it was obvious from the data that compounds 6a, 6c and 6g exhibited the best activities against BuChE with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 1.06, 1.63 and 1.63  $\mu$ M, respectively, surpassing that of control donepezil ( $IC_{50} = 10.38 \,\mu$ M). On inspection of the chemical structures, it can be concluded that BuChE inhibitory activity was related to the substituent groups at C2, C5, C7 and C9 positions of benzoxazinone moiety. When carbonyl at C5 position was substituted by sulfur carbonyl, BuChE inhibitory activity significantly decreased. Further, for compounds with carbonyl at C5 position, halogen substituents at the benzene ring have great influence on the BuChE inhibition, for example, compounds 6a, 6c, 6e, 6g, 6i and 6q with 7- or/and 9-halogen substitutions at the benzene ring exhibited better in terms of potency than the corresponding compounds **6k**, **6m** and **60** with methyl or methoxyl substituents, except for compound 3a. Compound 6a with 7,9-dichloro substituents showed the best BuChE inhibitory activity (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.06  $\mu$ M) than the non-halogen substituted compounds **6k** and **6m** (inhibition rate at  $20 \,\mu$ M < 20%). The SAR was observed in our recent work<sup>39</sup>. The dehydroevodiamine-derived tri- and tetracyclic compounds also showed potent inhibitory

activity and selectivity towards BuChE<sup>30</sup>. The polycyclic scaffold could be used to design selective BuChE inhibitors.

#### 3.3. Kinetic study of eqBuChE inhibition

The kinetic studies were carried out at three fixed inhibitor concentrations (5, 10 and  $20 \,\mu$ M). As shown in Figure 2(A,B), for compounds **6a** and **6g**, overlaid reciprocal Lineweaver–Burk plots showed that both slopes (decreased  $V_{max}$ ) and intercepts (higher  $K_m$ ) increase with the increase of inhibitor concentration, which trend is usually ascribed to a mixed-type inhibition. The dissociation constants  $K_i$  for compounds **6a** (Figure 2(C)) and **6d** (Figure 2(D)) were estimated to be 7.46 and 3.09  $\mu$ M, respectively.

### 3.4. Cytotoxicity assays and neuroprotective effect

The neuroprotective activity of compounds **6a**, **6g** and donepezil against oxidative stress-induced cell death in differentiated PC12 neurons was assayed. The differentiated PC12 cells were pretreated with three concentrations (10, 25 and 50  $\mu$ M) for 3 h, before treatment with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (300  $\mu$ M), and cell viability was measured after 24 h using MTT method. As shown in Figure 3, Compounds **6a**, **6g** and donepezil at the test concentrations (1–50  $\mu$ M) had no obvious cytotoxicity in PC-12 cells, and the relative cell viabilities of treated cells were all more than 90%. Neuroprotective activity of compounds **6a** and **6g** against



Figure 2. Lineweaver – Burk plots of esBChE inhibition kinetics of compounds **6a** (A), **6g** (B). The Lineweaver–Burk secondary plots of compounds **6a** (C) and **6g** (D). Reciprocals of enzyme activity (esBuChE) vs. reciprocals of substrate (butyrylthiocholine iodide) concentration in the presence of different concentrations ( $0-20 \mu M$ ) of inhibitor. Inset: Concentrations used for inhibitor are coded with different graphic symbols.



Figure 3. Cytotoxicity of compounds 6a, 6g and donepezil tested at concentrations in the range  $1-50 \,\mu$ M in PC12 cell lines for 24 h. Untreated cells were used as control. Results are expressed as percentage of cell survival vs. untreated cell (control) and shown as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

eqBuChE was evaluated by subjecting PC12 cells to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-induced damage. As shown in Figure 4, compared to control group, the percent of cell viability was calculated. Compounds **6a** and **6g** exhibited remarkable neuroprotective activity at 25  $\mu$ M (cell viability >70%, and p < .05 vs. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment alone).

### 3.5. Log P assessment

As a potential compound for treatment of AD, log P (octanolwater partition coefficient) was thought as an important physical and chemical parameter to predict the ability to cross blood brain barrier (BBB). The log P with optimum central nervous system (CNS) penetration was around  $2.0 \pm 0.7$ . The log *P* values of active compounds **6a**, **6c** and **6g** were 1.50, 1.48 and 1.63, respectively, which indicated that the active compounds had sufficient lipophilicity to pass the BBB *in vivo*.

### 3.6. Molecular docking

The results of docking calculation in Table 2 showed that compounds **6a-6q** had good binding affinity to BuChE and their CDOCKER\_INTERACTION\_ENERGY had almost the same trend as the BuChE inhibitory activities, which further proved the correlation between BuChE inhibitory activity and binding energy.



**Figure 4.** Neuroprotective effect on PC12 cell lines of compounds **6a** and **6g**. After 24 h incubation at different concentration (25 and 50  $\mu$ M) with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (300  $\mu$ M). Untreated cells were used as control. Results represent mean ± SD (n = 3). Statistical significance was calculated using one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni *post hoc* tests. ###p < .001 compared with the control group; \*p < .05 compared with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> group.

Especially, when carbonyl at C5 position was substituted by sulfur carbonyl, the binding energy significantly decreased.

Among them, compound **6a** had the maximum -CDOCKER\_INTERACTION\_ENERGY and highest activity, while compound **6b** with lower energy exhibited lower activity. The 2D and 3D binding models of compound **6a** and **6b** with BuChE are depicted in Figure 5. Compound **6a** (Figure 5(A,B)) was well accommodated into BuChE via five conventional hydrogen bonds between the C[dbond]O group and Gly116 (distance = 2.67 Å),

Table 2. -CDOCKER\_INTERACTION\_ENERGY of title compounds 6a-6q and 1P0I

Compound	-CDOCKER_INTERACTION_ENERGY $\Delta G$ (kcal/mol)
ба	34.3548
6b	28.6536
бс	33.1657
бе	30.9456
6g	32.8973
6i	32.2189
60	27.7660
6q	31.5867



Figure 5. (A,C) 3D mode of interaction of compounds 6a and 6b and BuChE (PDB code: 1POI) analysed by Discovery Studio 2017R2. Conventional hydrogen bond and carbon hydrogen bond and alkyl as well as Pi–alkyl are shown by green, light green and pink, respectively. (B,D) Two dimensional mode of interaction of compounds 6a and 6b and BuChE (PDB code: 1POI) analysed by Discovery Studio 2017R2.



Figure 6. The H-Bonds surface of compound 6a and BuChE (PDB code: 1P0I) analysed by Discovery Studio 2017R2.

Gly117 (distance = 1.98 Å), Ala199 (distance = 2.49 Å), Ser198 (distance = 2.17 Å), and between the N atom and Gly116 (distance = 2.67 Å); three carbon hydrogen bond interactions between the C[dbond]O group and CH<sub>2</sub> group of Ser198 and Gly117, and between the N atom and the CH<sub>2</sub> group of Ser198; one Pi–Sigma interaction between benzene ring and the aromatic ring of Phe329; one Alkyl interaction between 7-Cl and the end group of Val288; three Pi-Alkyl interactions between 2-CH<sub>3</sub> and the end group of Trp82, His438 and between 9-Cl and the end group of Tyr332. However, compound 6b (Figure 5(C,D)) could also be accommodated into BuChE via one hydrogen bond between the O atom and Gly116 (distance = 2.67 Å); one Amide-Pi Stacked interaction between benzene ring and the amide of Gly116; one Pi-Pi shaped interaction between benzene ring and the aromatic ring of Phe329; two Alkyl interactions between 9-Cl and the end group of Val288, Leu286; three Pi-Alkyl interactions between 9-Cl and the end group of Trp231, and between 7-Cl and the end group of His438. Furthermore, the H-bonds surface of compound **6a** and BuChE is also depicted in Figure 6. The above results, along with the biological assay data, suggested that compound **6a** possessed the best inhibitory activity, which will help us carry out the next structure optimization.

# 4. Conclusions

Based on the structural analysis of BuChE-targeted tricyclic scaffolds, we reported design and synthesis of a series of tricyclic pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazin-5(5H)-one derivatives and evaluated in vitro AChE and BuChE activities. Compounds with 5-carbonyl and 7- or/and 9-halogen substitutions showed potent BuChE inhibitory activity, among them, compounds **6a**, **6c** and **6g** showed the best BuChE inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.06, 1.63 and 1.63  $\mu$ M, respectively). The SARs analysis showed that (i) BuChE inhibitory activity significantly decreased for compounds with 5-sulfurcarbonyl; (ii) compounds bearing 5-carbonyl and halogen substituents at the benzene ring had better BuChE inhibitory activity; (iii) the volumes of the substituted groups at the C2 position have influences for the BuChE activity. Kinetic studies revealed that compounds 6a and 6g showed a mixed-type inhibition against BuChE ( $K_i = 7.46$  and 3.09 µM, respectively). The active compounds were found to be nontoxic at their effective concentrations and to have sufficient

lipophilicity to pass the BBB *in vivo*. Compounds **6a** and **6g** had remarkable neuroprotective activity. Docking results showed that the synthesized compounds had same binding orientation within the active site of target enzyme. Compound **6a** could be accommodated into BuChE via five hydrogen bonds with Gly116, Gly117, Ala199, Ser198 and Gly116, one Pi–Sigma interaction and three Pi–Alkyl interactions. The active compounds may be developed as selective BuChE inhibitors against progressive neurodegenerative disorder.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

### Funding

This study was financial supported by Anhui University Natural Science Research Project [KJ2016A339, 12925KJ2018B12].

# References

- 1. Mucke L. Neuroscience: Alzheimer's disease. Nature 2009;461:895–7.
- 2. Pivtoraiko VN, Abrahamson EE, Leurgans SE, et al. Cortical pyroglutamate amyloid- $\beta$  levels and cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2015;36:12–19.
- Alzheimer's Association. Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement 2016;12:459–509.
- 4. Masters CL, Selkoe DJ. Biochemistry of amyloid  $\beta$ -protein and amyloid deposits in Alzheimer Disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2012;2:a006262.
- 5. Avila J. Tau phosphorylation and aggregation in Alzheimer's disease pathology. FEBS Lett 2006;580:2922–7.
- 6. Müller ML, Bohnen NI. Cholinergic dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2013;13:377.
- 7. Rosini M, Simoni E, Milelli A, et al. Oxidative stress in Alzheimer's disease: are we connecting the dots? J Med Chem 2014;57:2821–31.
- Scheltens P, Blennow K, Breteler MM, et al. Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 2016;388:505–17.
- Giacobini E, Spiegel R, Enz A, et al. Inhibition of acetyl- and butyryl-cholinesterase in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with Alzheimer's disease by rivastigmine: correlation with cognitive benefit. J Neural Transm 2002;109:1053–65.
- Mushtaq G, Greig NH, Khan JA, Kamal MA. Status of acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase in Alzheimer's disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets 2014;13:1432–9.
- 11. Tonelli M, Catto M, Tasso B, et al. Multitarget therapeutic leads for Alzheimer's disease: quinolizidinyl derivatives of biand tricyclic systems as dual inhibitors of cholinesterases and  $\beta$ -Amyloid (A $\beta$ ) aggregation. ChemMedChem 2015;10: 1040–53.
- 12. Zhou D, Zhou W, Song JK, et al. DL0410, a novel dual cholinesterase inhibitor, protects mouse brains against  $A\beta$ -induced neuronal damage via the Akt/JNK signaling pathway. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2016;37:1401–12.
- Asadipour A, Alipour M, Jafari M, et al. Novel coumarin-3carboxamides bearing N-benzylpiperidine moiety as potent acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2013;70: 623–30.

- 14. Liu HR, Fan HQ, Gao XH, et al. Design, synthesis and preliminary structure-activity relationship investigation of nitrogen-containing chalcone derivatives as acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors: a further study based on Flavokawain B Mannich base derivatives. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2016;31:580–9.
- 15. Farina R, Pisani L, Catto M, et al. Structure-based design and optimization of multitarget-directed 2*H*-chromen-2-one derivatives as potent inhibitors of monoamine oxidase B and cholinesterases. J Med Chem 2015;58:5561–78.
- Bajda M, Więckowska A, Hebda M, et al. Structure-based search for new inhibitors of cholinesterases. Int J Mol Sci 2013;14:5608–32.
- 17. Liu H, Liu L, Gao X, et al. Novel ferulic amide derivatives with tertiary amine side chain as acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors: the influence of carbon spacer length, alkylamine and aromatic group. Eur J Med Chem 2017;126:810–22.
- 18. Appleyard ME, Smith AD, Berman P, et al. Cholinesterase activities in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with senile dementia of Alzheimer type. Brain 1987;110:1309–22.
- Norel X, Angrisani M, Labat C, et al. Degradation of acetylcholine in human airways: role of butyrylcholinesterase. Br J Pharmacol 1993;108:914–19.
- 20. Brimijoin S, Tye S. Favorable impact on stress-related behaviors by modulating plasma butyrylcholinesterase. Cell Mol Neurobiol 2018;38:7–12.
- 21. Greig NH, Utsuki T, Ingram DK, et al. Selective butyrylcholinesterase inhibition elevates brain acetylcholine, augments learning and lowers Alzheimer  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide in rodent. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102:17213–18.
- 22. Nicolet Y, Lockridge O, Masson P, et al. Crystal structure of human butyrylcholinesterase and of its complexes with substrate and products. J Biol Chem 2003;278:41141–7.
- 23. Brus B, Kosak U, Turk S, et al. Discovery, biological evaluation, and crystal structure of a novel nanomolar selective butyrylcholinesterase inhibitor. J Med Chem 2014;57: 8167–79.
- 24. Csuk R, Albert S, Kluge R, et al. Resveratrol derived butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors. Arch Pharm (Weinheim) 2013;346:499–503.
- 25. Košak U, Brus B, Knez D, et al. Development of an in-vivo active reversible butyrylcholinesterase inhibitor. Sci Rep 2016;6:39495.
- 26. Gao XH, Zhou C, Liu HR, et al. Tertiary amine derivatives of chlorochalcone as acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and buthyl-cholinesterase (BuChE) inhibitors: the influence of chlorine, alkyl amine side chain and  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketone group. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2017;32:146–52.
- 27. Košak U, Brus B, Knez D, et al. The magic of crystal structure-based inhibitor optimization: development of a butyrylcholinesterase inhibitor with picomolar affinity and in vivo activity. J Med Chem 2018;61:119–39.
- Chen Y, Zhu J, Mo J, et al. Synthesis and bioevaluation of new tacrine-cinnamic acid hybrids as cholinesterase inhibitors against Alzheimer's disease. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2018;33:290–302.

- 29. Tasso B, Catto M, Nicolotti O, et al. Quinolizidinyl derivatives of bi- and tricyclic systems as potent inhibitors of acetyland butyrylcholinesterase with potential in Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Med Chem 2011;46:2170–84.
- Li Q, Yang H, Chen Y, et al. Recent progress in the identification of selective butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Med Chem 2017;132:294–309.
- 31. Secci D, Carradori S, Bolasco A, et al. Discovery and optimization of pyrazoline derivatives as promising monoamine oxidase inhibitors. Curr Top Med Chem 2012;12:2240–57.
- 32. Alex JM, Kumar R. 4,5-Dihydro-1*H*-pyrazole: an indispensable scaffold. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2014;29:427–42.
- 33. Khan MF, Alam MM, Verma G, et al. The therapeutic voyage of pyrazole and its analogs: a review. Eur J Med Chem 2016;120:170–201.
- 34. Wu XQ, Huang C, Jia YM, et al. Novel coumarin-dihydropyrazole thio-ethanone derivatives: design, synthesis and anticancer activity. Eur J Med Chem 2014;74:717–25.
- 35. Qiang DZ, Shi JB, Song BA, et al. Novel 2*H*-chromen derivatives: design, synthesis and anticancer activity. RSC Adv 2014;4:5607–17.
- 36. Chen YY, Wu XQ, Tang WJ, et al. Novel dihydropyrazolechromen: design and modulates hTERT inhibition proliferation of MGC-803. Eur J Med Chem 2016;110:65–75.
- Tong X, Chen R, Zhang TT, et al. Design and synthesis of novel 2-pyrazoline-1-ethanone derivatives as selective MAO inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem 2015;23:515–25.
- 38. Chen R, Xiao J, Ni Y, et al. Novel tricyclic pyrazolo[1,5d][1,4]benzoxazepin-5(6H)-one: design, synthesis, model and use as hMAO-B inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem 2016;24:1741.
- Chen SC, Qiu GL, Li B, et al. Tricyclic pyrazolo[1,5-d][1,4]benzoxazepin-5(6H)-one scaffold derivatives: synthesis and biological evaluation as selective BuChE inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2018;147:194–204.
- 40. Nawwar GAM. Tetrachloro-o-benzoquinone in organic synthesis: a novel synthetic route to pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazines and 5,7-disubstituted 4-hydroxycoumarins. J Chem Res Synop 2010;23:5.
- 41. Svetlik J, Pronayova N, Kubista J. Synthesis of some pyrazolo[1,5-c][1,3]benzoxazines and a new 5*H*-pyrazolo[1,5c][1,3,2]benzoxazaphosphorine ring system. J Heterocyclic Chem 2005;42:1143–7.
- 42. Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres V, et al. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem Pharmacol 1961;7:88–95.
- 43. Tamagno E, Bardini P, Obbili A, et al. Oxidative stress increases expression and activity of BACE in NT2 neurons. Neurobiol Dis 2002;10:279–88.
- 44. Zha X, Lamba D, Zhang L, et al. Novel tacrine-benzofuran hybrids as potent multitarget-directed ligands for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: design, synthesis, biological evaluation, and X-ray crystallography. J Med Chem 2016;59:114–31.
- 45. Tang WJ, Wang J, Tong X, et al. Design and synthesis of celastrol derivatives as anticancer agents. Eur J Med Chem 2015;95:166–73.