



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity and Associated Technology Use in Persons With Multiple Sclerosis: An International RIMS-SIG Mobility Survey Study



Lousin Moumdjian, PhD,^{a,b,c,d} Tori Smedal, PhD,^{d,e,f,g} Ellen Christin Arntzen, PhD,^{d,h,i} Marietta L. van der Linden, PhD,^{d,j} Yvonne Learmonth, PhD,^{d,k,l,m} Ludovico Pedullà, PhD,^{d,n} Andrea Tacchino, PhD,^{d,n} Klara Novotna, PhD,^{d,o,p} Alon Kalron, PhD,^{d,q,r} Yonca Zenginler Yazgan, PhD,^{d,s} Una Nedeljkovic, PhD,^{d,t} Daphne Kos, PhD,^{d,u,v} Johanna Jonsdottir, PhD,^{d,w} Carme Santoyo-Medina, MSc,^{d,x,y} Susan Coote, PhD^{d,z,aa}

From the ^aUMSC Hasselt, Pelt, Belgium; ^bREVAL Rehabilitation Research Center, Faculty of Rehabilitation Sciences, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek Belgium; ^cIPEM Institute of Psychoacoustics and Electronic Music, Faculty of Arts and Philosophy, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; ^dRehabilitation in Multiple Sclerosis (RIMS), Leuven, Belgium; ^eDepartment of Neurology, Norwegian Multiple Sclerosis Competence Centre, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; ^fDepartment of Neurology, Norwegian Multiple Sclerosis Registry and Biobank, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; ^gDepartment of Physiotherapy, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; ^hFaculty of Nursing and Health Science, Nord University, Bodø, Norway; ⁱDepartment of Health and Work, Nordland Hospital Trust, Bodø, Norway; ^jCentre for Health, Activity, and Rehabilitation Research, Queen Margaret University Edinburgh, Musselburgh, United Kingdom; ^kDiscipline of Exercise Science, Murdoch University, Perth, Australia; ^lCentre for Molecular Medicine and Innovative Therapeutics, and Centre for Healthy Ageing, Murdoch University, Perth, Australia; ^mPerron Institute for Neurological and Translational Science, Perth, Australia; ⁿScientific Research Area, Italian Multiple Sclerosis Foundation (FISM), Genoa, Italy; ^oDepartment of Neurology and Center of Clinical Neuroscience, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic; ^pDepartment of Rehabilitation Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine and General University Hospital in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic; ^qDepartment of Physical Therapy, School of Health Professions, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel; ^rMultiple Sclerosis Center, Sheba Medical Center, Ramat-Gan, Israel; ^sDepartment of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey; ^tCentre for Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University Clinical Centre of Serbia, Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia; ^uResearch Group for Neurorehabilitation, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ^vNational Multiple Sclerosis Center Melsbroek, Melsbroek, Belgium; ^wIRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi ONLUS, Milan, Italy; ^xCentre d'Esclerosi Múltiple de Catalunya (Cemcat), Neurology-Neuroimmunology Department and Neurorehabilitation Unit, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ^yDepartment of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universitat Internacional de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain; ^zPhysical Activity for Health Research Centre, Multiple Sclerosis Society of Ireland, Ireland; and ^{aa}University of Limerick, Physical Activity for Health Research Centre, Limerick, Ireland.

Abstract

Objective: To investigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis (PwMS).

Design: Multicenter international online survey study.

Setting: The survey was conducted within 11 participating countries. Each country launched the survey using online platforms from May to July 2021.

Participants: This was an electronic survey study targeting PwMS (N=3725).

Intervention: Not applicable.

Main Outcome Measures: The survey ascertained physical activity performance and its intensity, the nature of the activities conducted, and the use of technology to support home-based physical activity before and during the pandemic.

Results: A total of 3725 respondents completed the survey. Prepandemic, the majority (83%) of respondents reported being physically active, and this decreased to 75% during the pandemic. This change was significant for moderate- and high-intensity activity ($P<.0001$). Activities carried out in physiotherapy centers, gyms, or pools decreased the most. Walking was the most frequently performed activity prepandemic (27%) and increased during the pandemic (33%). A total of 24% of those inactive during the pandemic had no intention of changing their physical activity behavior post pandemic. A total of 58% of the respondents did not use technology to support physical activity during the pandemic. Of those who did use technology, wearables were most used (24%). Of those currently nonactive (25%) expressed a preference for an in-person format to conduct physical activity post pandemic.

Conclusions: Physical activity performance, especially activities at moderate and high intensities, decreased during the pandemic in PwMS compared with prepandemic. Walking and using wearables gained popularity as ways to stay active. As we move toward an endemic COVID-19, a call for action to develop interventions focused on walking programs with specific emphasis on increasing physical activity of PwMS is proposed. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2022;103:2009–15

© 2022 by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine.

Physical activity is associated with a wide range of benefits for physical and mental outcomes and secondary disease prevention.^{1,2} For persons with multiple sclerosis (PwMS), an extensive body of literature reports evidence for the benefits of physical activity on walking,³ fatigue,⁴ and quality of life⁵ in PwMS. PwMS are less physically active than the general population,⁶ and this may have been further reduced during the COVID-19 pandemic.^{7,8}

National and local restrictions aiming to slow down the spread of COVID-19 forced many venues offering physical activity to close,⁷ reducing the availability of physiotherapy and exercise services and thus possibly decreasing the level and type of physical activity for PwMS. In the general population and in people living with disabilities there is emerging evidence that the COVID-19 pandemic has negatively affected physical activity behavior.⁷⁻¹¹ There is, however, a lack of knowledge regarding whether the COVID-19 pandemic has influenced physical activity in PwMS compared to prepandemic times.

Because of COVID-19 restrictions, rehabilitation services often transitioned to a virtual environment heavily reliant on technology at the beginning of the pandemic and progressed to a blended environment as restrictions were eased. Prepandemic, there was limited use of technology for physical activity promotion in clinical settings, despite several studies supporting technology-based interventions.^{12,13} It is unclear how and whether technology was used by PwMS for performing physical activity during the pandemic.

The Special Interest Group for Mobility of the Rehabilitation in Multiple Sclerosis network launched this international survey study, which aimed at investigating whether and how physical activity carried out by PwMS may have changed during the COVID-19 pandemic. In this article, we describe the levels of physical activity and its intensity, the nature of the activities conducted, and the use of technology to support physical activity as reported by PwMS before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

List of abbreviations:

PI primary investigator
PwMS persons with multiple sclerosis

Methods

The Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys guideline for online surveys¹⁴ was used to inform the conduct and reporting of this study.

Design

This was an electronic survey study targeting PwMS. Ethical approval to conduct the study was obtained from all participating institutions, and all respondents provided their informed consent electronically prior to commencing the survey. No identifiable personal data were collected from the survey. A primary investigator (PI) was identified for each country, and a project coordinator was assigned. The PI was locally responsible for all the project phases and for ensuring communication with the local project partners, the other PIs, and the project coordinator.

Development and pretesting

The study was initiated by the Special Interest Group for Mobility of Rehabilitation in Multiple Sclerosis. Physiotherapists and researchers from 11 countries (centers/institutes/individuals) agreed to participate: Australia, Belgium, Czech Republic, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Norway, Serbia, Spain, Turkey, and the United Kingdom.

Development

A small working group of PIs drafted the first version of the survey based on previous work⁸ and extensive expertise. File sharing on Google Drive and regular discussion meetings with the project PIs enabled shared online working. During January and February 2021, input from all project partners were accounted for to improve the content and focus of the survey. The English-language version of the survey was piloted with PwMS in 4 countries for usability and clarity. The surveys were then translated into the national language of the participating countries and transferred into an online survey platform. The following platforms were used across the 11 countries: Survey Monkey, Qualtrics, Google Forms, Corporater Surveyor, Eusurvey, onlinesurveys.ac.uk, and Research Electronic Data Capture. Additional pilots were

conducted by all project PIs to explore usability and technical functionality of the individual platforms at country level.

The final survey consisted of 74 questions and took approximately 30 minutes to complete. Response options included multiple choice and open-ended answers. The latter was not used in Norway because of their ethical considerations. The complete survey as well as the coding methodology of the variables applied can be found in [supplemental table S1](#). This article reports on the following information collected in the survey: (1) Descriptive information such as country of participation, age, sex, years since diagnosis, patient-determined disease steps scale, and local restrictions because of the pandemic was aimed at slowing the spread of COVID-19; (2) Self-reported physical activity participation, which included type and intensity of physical activity; type of technology used to perform physical activity both prior to COVID-19 and at the time of the survey, that is, during the pandemic; (3) Intention to change physical activity participation and preferred mode of performing physical activity once restrictions are removed; (4) Perceived positive and negative aspects of home-based physical activity using technology.

The following explanations were provided to define physical activity and intensity in the survey.

Physical activity

Physical activity includes activities you do at work, as part of your house and garden work, to get from place to place, and in your spare time for recreation, exercise, or sport. It also includes rehabilitation or exercise led by your physiotherapist in person or using technology, doing a home program provided by a physiotherapist or other professional. It also includes activities such as walking, gardening, sports, fitness classes, going to the gym, Pilates, yoga, home exercises, and dance. It also includes active travel such as cycling or walking to work.

Intensity

Light: you can do this activity and sing a song. Moderate: you can do this activity and have a conversation but not sing. Strenuous: you can only utter a few words while doing this activity.

Recruitment

For each country, the PI was responsible for sending the online surveys to their respective recruitment channels and for collating responses. The recruitment channels composed of local MS centers and hospitals (through websites, social media, and direct mailing to neurologists), national MS registries, physiotherapy MS associations, neurologists and networks involved in MS research or clinical care, and the PI's or national MS organizations' professional social media (LinkedIn, Facebook, Instagram, Twitter).

Data collection

Each country launched the survey for a total duration of 6 weeks from May to July 2021. PIs had the possibility of sending a reminder every 2 weeks if it was feasible within their respective recruitment channels.

Statistical analysis

Survey questions that involved perceived ratings are reported as the percentage proportion of the responses.

The McNemar test was applied to determine whether significant differences existed between the proportion of respondents taking part in physical activity before the pandemic and at the time of completing the survey (during the pandemic). The chi-square test was applied to determine whether significant differences exist in proportions of responders on physical activity intensity (light, moderate, high) across time and physical activity type across the 16 listed physical activities across time. All analyses were conducted using the statistical software JMP Pro 15,^a with a significance level of α set at 0.05.

Results

Descriptive information on the responders

In total, data were collected from 11 countries, with a total of 3725 responders completing the survey: (Australia $n=91$, Belgium $n=26$, Czech Republic $n=264$, Ireland $n=153$, Israel $n=52$, Italy= 585 , Norway $n=2218$, Serbia $n=27$, Spain $n=230$, Turkey $n=35$, UK $n=44$).

[Figure 1](#) shows the percentage distribution of age, the number of years since diagnosis, and the patient-determined disease steps scale across the responders. In total, 70% of respondents were female, reflecting the normal distribution of sex in MS.¹⁵ Of the total responders, 72% had no local restrictions because the pandemic was aimed at slowing the spread of COVID-19 at the time of completing the survey.

Physical activity

Overall, the proportion of responders conducting physical activity at the time of completing the survey was significantly decreased compared with the proportion of responders conducting physical activity before the pandemic: 75% during the pandemic compared with 83% pre-pandemic ($P<.001$).

Intensity of physical activity performance pre-pandemic compared with post-pandemic significantly differed ($\chi^2[2, 10421]=36.22, P<.0001$). The proportions of responders conducting




Fig 1 Percentage distribution of age, years of diagnosis, and patient-determined disease steps scale across the responders.




Fig 2 Percentage distribution of physical activity conducted pre-pandemic and during the pandemic.

physical activity at light intensity did not change over time (pre 10.9%, during 10.5%). However, the proportion of responders conducting physical activity at moderate and high intensity decreased at the time of answering the survey compared with pre-pandemic times (moderate: pre 35.5%, during 27.98%; high: pre 9.23%, during 5.99%).

The contingency model revealed significant changes (increase or decrease) within the 16 activities reported ($\chi^2[15, 10561] = 379.27, P < .0001$). Respondents reported changes in 4 of the 16 listed activities. These were home exercise programs, exercises in the gym, exercises in water, and walking. The changes reflect proportions of respondents changing their activities at the time of completing the survey compared with pre-pandemic times. As seen in [fig 2](#), There was a 3% increase in respondents participating in physiotherapy home exercise programs, 6% increase of walking, 7% decrease in exercise in the gym (strength and aerobic exercises), and 3% decrease in exercise in water (eg, swimming, aqua aerobics).

Reasons to start a new activity or increase physical activity level

The most frequently reported reasons to start a new activity or increase level of physical activity were more awareness of the public health message to go for a walk and stay active (14%), more time to exercise because there was no traveling to work (6%), more time for physical activity because less time was spent socializing or shopping (6%), more structure and routine in the day (6%), and more family and friends support for physical activity (5%).

Reasons to stop or do less physical activity levels

The most frequently reported reasons to stop or do less physical activity were closed venues (12%), restrictions preventing going to the venue (9%), restrictions preventing exercising in groups (7%), fear of contracting COVID-19 (7%), worsening of MS symptoms (6%), less motivation to exercise (5%), and classes were cancelled by the organizer (5%).

Plans to change physical activity post pandemic

Of the 75% (n=2756) who were active at the time of survey completion during the pandemic, 44% reported not wanting to change physical activity after restrictions were to be removed, 33% did want to change physical activity, and 22% reported they were unsure if they wanted to make changes when restrictions were lifted. These respondents (of the 75% that were active) had the following preferences to conduct physical activity after COVID-19 pandemic: 31% in-person, 3% remote, 25% mix, 26% no preferences, and 15% did not know.

Of the 25% (n=928) who were active pre-pandemic but not at the time of survey completion during the pandemic, 24% reported not wanting to change their physical activity after restrictions were removed, 31% did want to make changes, and 44% were unsure. These respondents had the following preferences to conduct physical activity after COVID-19 pandemic: 44% in-person, 2% remote, 14% mix, 19% no preferences, and 21% did not know of their preferences.

Use of technology

[Table 1](#) provides an overview of the technology used to perform physical activity pre-pandemic and at the time of survey completion, by respondents who were physically active. [Tables 2 and 3](#) show the perceived rating of performing home based physical activity using technology.

Discussion

This relatively large international survey study focused in the population with MS found that 83% of respondents reported being physically active pre-pandemic, and this decreased significantly to 75% during the COVID-19 pandemic. Not surprisingly, activities carried out in the physiotherapy centers, gyms, or pools decreased the most. Walking was the most frequently performed activity pre-pandemic and increased during the pandemic. A total of 31% of those inactive during the pandemic had no intention of changing their physical activity behavior once restrictions because of the pandemic were lifted (42% were unsure). Two thirds of the

Table 1 Technology used to perform physical activity pre-pandemic and at survey completion by respondents who were physically active; participants were instructed to select all answers that apply.

Answer	Prepandemic (%)	During the Pandemic (%)
I did not use any technology	64	58
I used a wearable device, for example, Fitbit, smart watch, pedometer	21	24
I used an application on my phone, laptop, or tablet	8	9
I used a live video call on my phone, laptop, or tablet	1	2
I watched a recorded video on a device	2	3
I used a physiotherapy exercise website	0	1
I watched a live exercise class on the TV or device	7	1
Other	3	2

Table 2 Perceived rating of the positive aspects of performing home-based physical activity using technology; participants were instructed to select all answers that apply.

Answer	Proportion (%)
I can select when I do my physical activity (not dependent on class times, weather)	25
Not having to travel to the venue	16
Takes less time	11
Low cost	10
Enjoyment	7
There were no positive aspects	6
I learned new skills to motivate me to exercise	6
Other	7

respondents (66%) did not use technology aimed to support physical activity during the pandemic. For those who used technology, wearables were the most common device used. Those currently nonactive had a preference for an in-person format for physical activity post pandemic.

Many of our respondents reported being physically active; however, previous studies (pre-pandemic)^{16,17} indicate that most PwMS are not reaching sufficient levels of physical activity for mental and physical health benefits.¹⁸ Thus, our findings of a reduction of physical activity during the pandemic is now of even greater concern.

There is a significant body of evidence of the benefits of physical activity for PwMS for physical and mental health, symptoms, and secondary disease prevention.^{4,19,20} Therefore, it is concerning that there was a significant reduction of number of people who were physically active during the pandemic. The MS clinical research community needs to turn their attention to reengaging

those persons who ceased being active, in addition to engaging those that were not active at either time point.

There seems to be an opportunity to get people more physically active through engaging in activities of walking because this was the most frequently performed activity pre-pandemic and during the pandemic. There are studies that focus on improving walking outcomes such as speed, distance, kinetics and kinematics, following physiotherapy,²¹ and exercise.³ However, our initial scoping search found no studies that solely evaluated walking programs with a focus on increasing physical activity and meeting the exercise guidelines.^{18,22,23} We found only a few studies included walking activity in various forms, but those focused on reducing perceived fatigue,^{24,25} cardiovascular parameters,²⁴ and quality of life²⁶ in MS, while other included walking as an aerobic activity in exercise interventions and programs.²⁷⁻²⁹

These data suggest that PwMS could potentially favor walking programs, and as such, paying attention to the impairments underlying walking restrictions in addition to addressing walking as an activity is essential. For example, addressing drop foot or impaired balance by using assistive devices may be important prior to increasing walking distance or intensity.³⁰ Addressing these factors will be an essential element of any sustainable program development in the fluctuating restrictions and uncertainties with COVID-19 becoming endemic in society. We note that fear of contracting COVID-19 was reported as a barrier for physical activity participation as well as lack of access to venues and indoor group activities. As we focus on developing interventions to reverse the inactivity during the pandemic, these data suggest that combining education, information provision, and behavior change techniques with the relevant physical activity will be important.

The format of any future physical activity program is also an important consideration. Our results suggest that purely

Table 3 Perceived rating of the negative aspects of performing home-based physical activity using technology; participants were instructed to select all answers that apply.

Answer	Proportion (%)
There were no negative aspects	29
Lack of social contact	16
Lack of social or in person contact (eg, visual cues, eye contact, body language, visual feedback) that you get in a person	12
Difficult to find an appropriate space at home	11
Difficulty to do the exercises without physical support	8
I don't enjoy this type of physical activity	7
Other	8

technology-based or remote interventions are not favored by most PwMS. Those PwMS who continued to be physically active during the pandemic preferred a blended approach, and those persons who were physically inactive preferred an in-person approach. Wearables were the most frequently used technology to support physical activity. Wearables are highly sensitive in detection of gait disturbances and fatigue in PwMS,^{31,32} and evidence of their use to sustain physical activity behavior is largely growing³³; thus, they can be a valuable addition to walking programs.

We noticed a mismatch between what PwMS were doing (in terms of physical activity) with what the research and clinical community made available during the pandemic. For example, a large number of video-based resources were developed and widely circulated³⁴⁻³⁶; however, only 3% of respondents in our sample used them during the pandemic. Similarly, use of physiotherapy exercise platforms was minimal, highlighting the need to collaborate with PwMS during any future intervention developments to ensure the resources health care professionals provide are in line with the preferences of the end users. The Lifestyle, Exercise, and Activity Package for People Living With Progressive Multiple Sclerosis study is an example of good practice in public patient involvement in intervention and trial design.³⁷

Strengths and limitations

A few methodological considerations are noteworthy. The first is that data were collected within a multicenter setting to increase sample size. We noted that those countries using registers or MS societies were the ones who were the most successful in recruiting a bigger sample of patients, and thus we recommend future survey studies to consider this recruitment channel. We acknowledge the variation in number of respondents between countries as well as the high proportion of respondents from Norway. However, [supplemental table S2](#) shows that the change in physical activity behavior of the Norwegian respondents was not markedly different from that seen in the other countries; hence, it is unlikely that the high proportion of Norwegian respondents has skewed the data of this international sample. The survey was conducted during the pandemic (May to July 2021). We argue that additional factors other than the restrictions that aimed at reducing the spread of COVID-19 may have influenced physical activity behavior. The analysis of the association between stopping and reducing physical activity participation and factors such as disease severity, restrictions aimed at reducing the spread of COVID-19, and fear of contracting COVID-19 is explored within the project's working group and will be reported elsewhere.

Conclusions

In PwMS, physical activity performance, especially at moderate and high intensities, decreased during the pandemic compared with prepandemic. PwMS who were active during the pandemic expressed the preference for delivery of physical activity in a hybrid form once the pandemic restrictions ended, while inactive PwMS preferred an in-person form of physical activity. The most frequent type of physical activity was walking. We propose a call for action to develop interventions that include walking programs with specific emphasis on increasing physical activity. These interventions have an enormous potential to address the concerns of PwMS in terms of fear of contracting COVID-19 and are not reliant on a venue. Including wearable technologies as part of

these interventions can be considered for PwMS who are keen to use them.

Supplier

a. JMP Pro 15; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC.

Keywords

COVID-19; Exercise; Multiple sclerosis; Rehabilitation; Technology; Walking

Corresponding author

Lousin Moudjian, PhD, Agoralaan, 3590, Diepenbeek, Belgium.
E-mail address: lousin.moudjian@uhasselt.be.

Acknowledgements

We thank local organizers who assisted in the survey translations and data collection: Deborah Severijns (Rehabilitation and MS Center Noorderhart, Belgium); Antoine Vanbeylen (National MS Center Melsbroek, Belgium); Dr Shaun Y.M. Teo (Murdoch University, Australia); Ela Tarakci and Feray Gungor (Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa); Sandra Fernández (Federación Española de Esclerosis Múltiple); Gisela Carrés, Roger Meza, Ingrid Galan, Dolors Fàbregas, Montserrat Janer, Samuel Sánchez, Gaizka Loyola, Noemí Martínez, Gloria Yaya, Marta Lordán, and Eugènia Lacasa (Fundació Esclerosi Múltiple, FEM); Pedro Carrascal, Iratxe Elorriaga, and Erika Otxoa (Asociación de Esclerosis Múltiple de Bizkaia); and Inger Elise Englund, Jan Harald Aarseth, Britt Normann (Norway), and Erica Grange (CRRF "Mons. L. Novarese, Moncrivello [VC], Italy). We also thank the respondents for sharing their valuable information.

References

- Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *CMAJ* 2006;174:801–9.
- Biddle S. Physical activity and mental health: evidence is growing. *World Psychiatry* 2016;15:176–7.
- Pearson M, Dieberg G, Smart N. Exercise as a therapy for improvement of walking ability in adults with multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2015;96:1339–48.
- Razazian N, Kazemina M, Moayed H, et al. The impact of physical exercise on the fatigue symptoms in patients with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Neurol* 2020;20:93.
- Motl RW, McAuley E, Snook EM, Gliottoni RC. Physical activity and quality of life in multiple sclerosis: intermediary roles of disability, fatigue, mood, pain, self-efficacy and social support. *Psychol Health Med* 2009;14:111–24.
- Casey B, Coote S, Galvin R, Donnelly A. Objective physical activity levels in people with multiple sclerosis: meta-analysis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2018;28:1960–9.
- Marck CH, Hunter A, Heritage B, et al. The effect of the Australian bushfires and the COVID-19 pandemic on health behaviours in people with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021;53:103042.
- Kalron A, Dolev M, Greenberg-Abrahami M, et al. Physical activity behavior in people with multiple sclerosis during the COVID-19

- pandemic in Israel: results of an online survey. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2021;47:102603.
9. Marco-Ahullo A, Montesinos-Magraner L, Gonzalez LM, Morales J, Bernabeu-Garcia JA, Garcia-Masso X. Impact of COVID-19 on the self-reported physical activity of people with complete thoracic spinal cord injury full-time manual wheelchair users. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2021 Jan 19. [Epub ahead of print].
 10. Theis N, Campbell N, De Leeuw J, Owen M, Schenke KC. The effects of COVID-19 restrictions on physical activity and mental health of children and young adults with physical and/or intellectual disabilities. *Disabil Health J* 2021;14:101064.
 11. Kinnett-Hopkins D, Adamson B, Rougeau K, Motl RW. People with MS are less physically active than healthy controls but as active as those with other chronic diseases: an updated meta-analysis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2017;13:38–43.
 12. Tallner A, Pfeifer K, Maurer M. Web-based interventions in multiple sclerosis: the potential of tele-rehabilitation. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2016;9:327–35.
 13. Khan F, Amatya B, Kesselring J, Galea MP. Telerehabilitation for persons with multiple sclerosis. A Cochrane review. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2015;51:311–25.
 14. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J Med Internet Res* 2004;6:e34.
 15. Harbo HF, Gold R, Tintore M. Sex and gender issues in multiple sclerosis. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2013;6:237–48.
 16. Motl RW, McAuley E, Sandroff BM, Hubbard EA. Descriptive epidemiology of physical activity rates in multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2015;131:422–5.
 17. Marck CH, Learmonth YC, Chen J, van der Mei I. Physical activity, sitting time and exercise types, and associations with symptoms in Australian people with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil* 2022;44:1380–8.
 18. Latimer-Cheung AE, Pilutti LA, Hicks AL, et al. Effects of exercise training on fitness, mobility, fatigue, and health-related quality of life among adults with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review to inform guideline development. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2013;94:1800–28.
 19. Coulter EH, Bond S, Dalgas U, Paul L. The effectiveness of interventions targeting physical activity and/or sedentary behaviour in people with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil* 2020;42:594–612.
 20. Campbell E, Coulter EH, Mattison PG, Miller L, McFadyen A, Paul L. Physiotherapy rehabilitation for people with progressive multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2016;97:141–51.
 21. Learmonth Y, Ensari E, Motl R. Physiotherapy and walking outcomes in adults with multiple sclerosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther Rev* 2016;21:160–72.
 22. Kim Y, Lai B, Mehta T, et al. Exercise Training guidelines for multiple sclerosis, stroke, and Parkinson disease: rapid review and synthesis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2019;98:613–21.
 23. Kalb R, Brown TR, Coote S, et al. Exercise and lifestyle physical activity recommendations for people with multiple sclerosis throughout the disease course. *Mult Scler* 2020;26:1459–69.
 24. Geddes EL, Costello E, Raivel K, Wilson R. The effects of a twelve-week home walking program on cardiovascular parameters and fatigue perception of individuals with multiple sclerosis: a pilot study. *Cardiopulm Phys Ther J* 2009;20:5–12.
 25. Moudmjian L, Moens B, Maes PJ, et al. Continuous 12 min walking to music, metronomes and in silence: auditory-motor coupling and its effects on perceived fatigue, motivation and gait in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019;35:92–9.
 26. Martinez-Lemos I, Martinez-Aldao D, Seijo-Martinez M, Ayan C. Nordic walking for people with relapsing-remittent multiple sclerosis: a case series study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2020;46:102479.
 27. Learmonth YC, Adamson BC, Kinnett-Hopkins D, Bohri M, Motl RW. Results of a feasibility randomised controlled study of the guidelines for exercise in multiple sclerosis project. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2017;54:84–97.
 28. Learmonth YC, Kaur I, Baynton SL, Fairchild T, Paul L, van Rens F. Changing Behaviour towards Aerobic and Strength Exercise (BASE): design of a randomised, phase I study determining the safety, feasibility and consumer-evaluation of a remotely-delivered exercise programme in persons with multiple sclerosis. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2021;102:106281.
 29. Hayes S, Uszynski MK, Motl RW, et al. Randomised controlled pilot trial of an exercise plus behaviour change intervention in people with multiple sclerosis: the Step it Up study. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e016336.
 30. Renfrew LM, Paul L, McFadyen A, et al. The clinical- and cost-effectiveness of functional electrical stimulation and ankle-foot orthoses for foot drop in multiple sclerosis: a multicentre randomized trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2019;33:1150–62.
 31. Muller R, Hamacher D, Hansen S, Oschmann P, Keune PM. Wearable inertial sensors are highly sensitive in the detection of gait disturbances and fatigue at early stages of multiple sclerosis. *BMC Neurol* 2021;21:337.
 32. Bradshaw MJ, Farrow S, Motl RW, Chitnis T. Wearable biosensors to monitor disability in multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2017;7:354–62.
 33. Rodgers MM, Alon G, Pai VM, Conroy RS. Wearable technologies for active living and rehabilitation: current research challenges and future opportunities. *J Rehabil Assist Technol Eng* 2019;6:2055668319839607.
 34. Van Asch P. Keep moving with MS. Available at: <https://emsp.org/news/keep-moving-with-ms/>. Accessed August 18, 2022.
 35. Involved MG. Get active with multiple sclerosis - exercise during COVID 19. Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cc0V6ovRxE>. Accessed August 18, 2022.
 36. National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Ask an MS expert: COVID-19 update and exercise at home. Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nkfk8mqYNKw>. Accessed August 18, 2022.
 37. Latchem-Hastings J, Randell E, Button K, et al. Lifestyle, Exercise and Activity Package for People Living With Progressive Multiple Sclerosis (LEAP-MS): protocol for a single-arm feasibility study. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2021;7:111.