

pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Letter

ACS AUTHORCHOICE

Synthesis and Reactions of 3,3-Difluoro-2-*exo*-methylidene Indolines

Nicolas Zeidan, Matthew Zambri, Sven Unger, Christian Dank, Alexa Torelli, Bijan Mirabi, and Mark Lautens*



F luorine-containing molecules continue to account for a disproportionate number of pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals due to an increase in solubility, lipophilicity, and biological stability against oxidation as compared to the C–H counterparts.¹ Additionally, the benefits of fluorine incorporation are extended to other stages of API development. For example, the nuclei can be used to track compounds *in vitro* or *in vivo* by NMR or MRI, respectively.² Finally, ¹⁸F is the most commonly used radioisotope in positron emitting tomography due to its convenient half-life (110 min) and its low positron energy (635 keV).³

Diverse strategies have been employed for fluorine incorporation, including the use of catalysts, nucleophilic reagents, electrophilic reagents, and radical additions.⁴ One of the most commonly used electrophilic sources of fluorine is *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI) due to its shelf-stability, controlled reactivity, modest cost, ability to vary its electronic properties, and the availability of a ¹⁸F variant.⁵ NFSI has been shown to be a versatile reagent capable of fluorine or sulfonamide transfer to heterocycles, typically by modifying the solvent (Scheme 1a).⁶ The incorporation of fluorine proved to be a useful method to rapidly access functionalized isatin analogues.^{6e,7}

Functionalization of allylic and propargylic fluorides has been studied with various nucleophiles, metal catalysts, and organocatalysts.⁸ Reactions catalyzed by palladium and platinum have been investigated in detail, and in some cases, the reactivity is found to be superior compared to many commonly used oxygen-based leaving groups.^{8i,j} Recently, the Trost group developed an asymmetric palladium-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of allylic fluorides using chiral Trost ligands (Scheme 1b).⁹ In our attempts at a dearomative fluorination of 2-alkylindoles with NFSI, we isolated 3,3-gemdifluoroindoline bearing an exocyclic double bond (Scheme Scheme 1. Use of NFSI for Electrophilic Fluorination of Indoles and Allylic Fluoride Substitutions



(c) This work: Difluorination of indoles; access to highly functionalized molecules



1c). Herein, we report a scalable synthesis of these scaffolds and their modular functionalization. They behave as nucleophiles, electrophiles, and as substrates for metalcatalyzed transformations of the allyl fluoride moiety, allowing

 Received:
 April 1, 2020

 Published:
 April 10, 2020



access of highly substituted indoles and indolines. We were able to successfully form new C–C (aryl/alkyl), C–H, C–N, C–B, C–O, C–P, and C–S bonds.

An optimization of solvent, temperature, and concentration found that the reaction improved in polar solvents such as THF as well as with increased concentration and milder temperatures (see Supporting Information, section 3.1). Additionally, NH₄Cl (1 equiv) was found to benefit the reaction, presumably by either activating NFSI or quenching byproducts of the reaction. The fluorination of 2-methylindole (1a, 0.2–20 mmol) with NFSI (2.2 equiv) delivered exomethylidene containing indoline 2a as a crystalline, bench stable product (Scheme 2).¹⁰ The reaction could be scaled to 20 mmol and purified without the need for column chromatography, as the product could be crystallized from pentanes.

Scheme 2. Dearomative Fluorination of 2-Methylindole on Various Scales



 $^a\mathrm{Using}$ column chromatography purification. $^b\mathrm{Using}$ one round of recrystallization

Next, we investigated the impact of the protecting group on nitrogen as well as a few substituents on the indole backbone (Scheme 3).

The substrate bearing an electron-rich benzoyl group delivered product **2b** in comparable yield. However, electron-withdrawing groups had a negative effect on yield, with the strong π -withdrawing nitro group having the greatest impact (**2c** and **2d**). The opposite trend was found when introducing electronic perturbations at the 5-position of the





^{*a*}Reactions run on a 0.2 mmol scale. ^{*b*}Reaction run on a 1 mmol scale. ^{*c*}Separation difficult, NMR yield using trifluorotoluene as an internal standard. indole; MeO- functionalized indole (2e) provided the product in slightly diminished yield, and F-containing indole (2f) was accessed in good yield. Dimethoxy containing indoline 2g was isolated in low yield; however, an interesting restrictive rotation around the C–N bond was elucidated by NMR, suggesting *meta*-functionalized benzoyl groups are too sterically bulky for the reaction. Azaindole derivative produced 2h in modest yield, which proved to be unstable and decomposed during storage. A carbonyl moiety on the nitrogen was necessary as only acetyl (2i) and Boc (2j) protected indoles gave the desired product (¹H NMR and ¹⁹F NMR), accompanied by inseparable byproducts. Benzyl (2k) and tosyl (2l) protected indoles decomposed upon reaction with NFSI. Extending the carbon chain at the 2-position led to no desired product.

With an efficient medium-scale synthesis of indoline 2a in hand, we sought to evaluate the reactivity of these interesting exomethylidene-containing fluorinated scaffolds. First, we aimed to study the electronic properties of the olefin as it appears there is potential for amphoteric reactivity as there are σ -bond withdrawing fluorine atoms as well as weak π -donation from the nitrogen atom (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Nucleophilic and Electrophilic Reactivity of Product 2a



We found that the olefin could participate in an $S_N 2'$ reaction with morpholine acting as the nucleophile.¹¹ The reaction required DMA as no product was isolated in toluene, in contrast to the outcome using palladium (*vide infra*). Rapid and quantitative epoxidation with DMDO was also achieved with preservation of the fluorine moiety.

We aimed to further elucidate the electrophilic nature of these indolines as potential substrates in palladium-allylation chemistry with various nucleophiles (Scheme 5).^{8k,12}

Phenylsilane, a mild hydride source, delivered 3-fluoroindole 5 in quantitative yield.¹³ When reactions were run with 1.5 equiv of morpholine at 60 °C, we obtained a 4:1 mixture favoring 3 over 6.¹⁴ However, using a larger excess of morpholine (5 equiv) and running the reaction at 100 °C led to an 80% yield of 6. In a similar manner, sulfonylation of 2a led to the product of double addition (7), which upon treatment with LiOH led to cleavage of the labile benzoyl moiety.¹⁵ A clean phosphorylation reaction was observed using dialkylphosphite as a nucleophile, producing product 8 in good yield.¹⁶ Finally, using sodium dimethylmalonate, according to the literature procedure, the difunctionalized product 9 was isolated in excellent yield.^{8j,17}

We sought to investigate the order of events in the double addition reaction and in particular the site of the first amination under palladium catalysis (Scheme 6).

With this goal in mind, we subjected **3** to the higher temperature reaction conditions (see Scheme 5) and observed no reaction, which implies that **3** is not the species that leads to





^{*a*}Unless otherwise stated, reactions were run on a 0.1 mmol scale using $[(C_3H_5)PdCl]_2$ (2.5–5 mol %) and xantphos (5–10 mol %). Reaction conditions: (a) XPhos (5 mol %) instead of xantphos, PhSiH₃ (2 equiv), Et₃N (1 equiv), EtOH, 50 °C, 6 h. (b) Morpholine (5 equiv), PhMe, 100 °C, 6 h. (c) Sodium phenylsulfinate (5 equiv), PhMe, 100 °C, 18 h, then LiOH (2 M), 2 h. (d) HP(O)(OEt)₂ (2 equiv), CsF (1 equiv), PhMe, 70 °C, 18 h. (e) Sodium dimethylmalonate (5 equiv), 15-crown-5 (5 equiv), DCM, r.t., 2 h.

Scheme 6. Investigation of the Mechanism for the Double Addition Reaction of Morpholine



6. Instead it appears that reaction occurs *via* the π -allyl intermediate to give **10** which undergoes an amine-assisted ionization and amination or a second palladium-mediated ionization and amination.¹⁴ All attempts to observe **10** have met with failure, so for the moment, this is a proposal that requires confirmation.

We also explored the viability of metal-catalyzed addition to the olefin through a sterically congested metal complex 11 which could undergo a β -fluoride elimination (Scheme 7).¹⁸

The rhodium-catalyzed arylation/ β -fluoride elimination of **2a** provided monoarylated product **12** in good yield and maintained a fluoride within the product. Similarly, the copper-

Scheme 7. Cu and Rh Addition/ β -Fluoride Elimination



catalyzed borylation/ β -fluoride elimination provided product 13, which possesses two handles for future transformations.

In conclusion, we developed an efficient and scalable protocol for the synthesis of a *gem*-difluoroindoline bearing an exocyclic and allylic olefin without the need for column chromatography. These scaffolds are versatile building blocks capable of acting in an amphoteric sense as well as with various Pd/Cu/Rh reactions forming new C–H, C–B, C–C, C–N, C–O, C–P, and C–S bonds.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c01175.

Experimental procedures, optimization, mechanistic studies, characterization data, X-ray data, and ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C/{}^{19}F/{}^{31}P$ NMR spectra (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1956222 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Mark Lautens – Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H6, Canada; occid.org/0000-0002-0179-2914; Email: mark.lautens@utoronto.ca

Authors

- Nicolas Zeidan Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario MSS 3H6, Canada; Socid.org/0000-0002-7959-5005
- Matthew Zambri Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H6, Canada

- **Sven Unger** Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario MSS 3H6, Canada
- Christian Dank Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario MSS 3H6, Canada
- Alexa Torelli Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H6, Canada
- Bijan Mirabi Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario MSS 3H6, Canada

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c01175

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC), the University of Toronto (UofT), Alphora Inc, and Kennarshore Inc. for financial support. N.Z., A.T., and B.M. thank the province of Ontario for a graduate scholarship (OGS). C.D. was supported by an Erwin Schrödinger postdoctoral fellowship (J 4348-N28) awarded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF). Thanks to Dr. Darcy Burns and the NMR team at UofT, as well as Dr. Alan Lough for single-crystal X-ray results.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Zhou, Y.; Wang, J.; Gu, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhu, W.; Acena, J. L.;
Soloshonok, V. A.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 422.
(b) Fujiwara, T.; O'Hagan, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2014, 167, 16.

(2) Ruiz-Cabello, J.; Barnett, B. P.; Bottomley, P. A.; Bulte, J. W. NMR Biomed. 2011, 24, 114.

(3) Jacobson, O.; Kiesewetter, D. O.; Chen, X. Bioconjugate Chem. 2015, 26, 1.

(4) (a) Szpera, R.; Moseley, D. F. J.; Smith, L. B.; Sterling, A. J.; Gouverneur, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 14824.
(b) Champagne, P. A.; Desroches, J.; Hamel, J. D.; Vandamme, M.; Paquin, J. F. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9073. (c) Campbell, M. G.; Ritter, T. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 612. (d) Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950.

(5) (a) Teare, H.; Robins, E. G.; Arstad, E.; Luthra, S. K.; Gouverneur, V. *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 2330. (b) Buckingham, F.; Kirjavainen, A. K.; Forsback, S.; Krzyczmonik, A.; Keller, T.; Newington, I. M.; Glaser, M.; Luthra, S. K.; Solin, O.; Gouverneur, V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 13366. (c) Meyer, D.; Jangra, H.; Walther, F.; Zipse, H.; Renaud, P. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 4888.

(6) (a) Sakurai, F.; Yukawa, T.; Taniguchi, T. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 7254. (b) Wang, X. J.; Lei, B. W.; Ma, L. F.; Jiao, H. X.; Xing, W. H.; Chen, J. M.; Li, Z. Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2017, 359, 4284. (c) Meanwell, M.; Nodwell, M. B.; Martin, R. E.; Britton, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 13244. (d) Liu, H. H.; Wang, Y.; Deng, G. J.; Yang, L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3369. (e) Lim, Y. H.; Ong, Q.; Duong, H. A.; Nguyen, T. M.; Johannes, C. W. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5676. (f) Lozano, O.; Blessley, G.; Martinez del Campo, T.; Thompson, A. L.; Giuffredi, G. T.; Bettati, M.; Walker, M.; Borman, R.; Gouverneur, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8105.

(7) Bhrigu, B.; Pathak, D.; Siddiqui, N.; Alam, M.; Ahsan, W. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Drug Res. 2010, 2, 229.

(8) (a) Nishimine, T.; Fukushi, K.; Shibata, N.; Taira, H.; Tokunaga, E.; Yamano, A.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 517.
(b) Ahrens, T.; Kohlmann, J.; Ahrens, M.; Braun, T. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 931.
(c) Unzner, T. A.; Magauer, T. Tetrahedron Lett.

2015, *56*, 877. (d) Shen, Q.; Huang, Y.-G.; Liu, C.; Xiao, J.-C.; Chen, Q.-Y.; Guo, Y. J. Fluorine Chem. **2015**, *179*, 14. (e) Crimmin, M.; Chen, W.; Bakewell, C. Synthesis **2017**, *49*, 810. (f) Hamel, J. D.; Paquin, J. F. Chem. Commun. **2018**, *54*, 10224. (g) Fujita, T.; Fuchibe, K.; Ichikawa, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2019**, *58*, 390. (h) Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. Chem. Rev. **2009**, *109*, 2119. (i) Benedetto, E.; Keita, M.; Tredwell, M.; Hollingworth, C.; Brown, J. M.; Gouverneur, V. Organometallics **2012**, *31*, 1408. (j) Hazari, A.; Gouverneur, V.; Brown, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, *48*, 1296. (k) Pacheco, M. C.; Purser, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Rev. **2008**, *108*, 1943.

(9) Trost, B. M.; Gholami, H.; Zell, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11446.

(10) CCDC deposition number 1956222.

(11) Bergeron, M.; Guyader, D.; Paquin, J. F. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5888.

(12) Trost, B. M. Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 2615.

(13) Narumi, T.; Tomita, K.; Inokuchi, E.; Kobayashi, K.; Oishi, S.; Ohno, H.; Fujii, N. *Org. Lett.* **200**7, *9*, 3465.

(14) Pigeon, X.; Bergeron, M.; Barabe, F.; Dube, P.; Frost, H. N.; Paquin, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 1123.

(15) Eichelmann, H.; Gais, H.-J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1995, 6, 643.

(16) (a) Hirao, T.; Masunaga, T.; Ohshiro, Y.; Agawa, T. Synthesis 1981, 1981, 56. (b) Hong, Y.; Liu, W.; Dong, M.; Chen, X.; Xu, T.; Tian, P.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 5742.

(17) Drouin, M.; Tremblay, S.; Paquin, J. F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 2376.

(18) (a) Jang, Y. J.; Rose, D.; Mirabi, B.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 16147. (b) Huang, Y.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12340. (c) Gao, P.; Yuan, C.; Zhao, Y.; Shi, Z. Chem. 2018, 4, 2201.