Structural insights into the mechanisms of CNBD channel function

Zachary M. James and William N. Zagotta

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Cyclic nucleotide-binding domain (CNBD) channels are a family of ion channels in the voltage-gated K⁺ channel superfamily that play crucial roles in many physiological processes. CNBD channels are structurally similar but functionally very diverse. This family includes three subfamilies: (1) the cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels, which are cation-nonselective, voltage-independent, and cyclic nucleotide-gated; (2) the hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated; (and (3) the *ether-à-go-go-type* (KCNH) channels, which are strongly K⁺ selective, depolarization-activated, and cyclic nucleotide-gated; and cyclic nucleotide-independent. Recently, several high-resolution structures have been reported for intact CNBD channels, providing a structural framework to better understand their diverse function. In this review, we compare and contrast the recent structures and discuss how they inform our understanding of ion selectivity, voltage-dependent gating, and cyclic nucleotide-dependent gating within this channel family.

Introduction

Ion channels are membrane-embedded, ion-permeable proteins that are responsible for the electrical activity of excitable cells. Ion conduction through these channels, driven by electrochemical gradients across the membrane, produces an electrical current that cells use to interact with the outside world and regulate intracellular processes (Hille, 2001; Zheng and Trudeau, 2015). The central feature of all ion channels is the pore, which provides a pathway for ions to cross the membrane. Depending on the pore architecture, certain ions may permeate more readily, imparting ion selectivity to the channel. Ion permeation through the pore is often gated, with opening of the pore occurring when specific stimuli are applied to the channel. Different modules coupled to the pore, such as voltage-sensor domains or cyclic nucleotide-binding domains (CNBDs), detect stimuli and transduce them into pore opening (i.e., channel activation). The structural basis for ion selectivity and channel gating, particularly the coupling between stimuli-sensing modules and the pore, has been the subject of intense study. To this end, atomic (or near atomic)-resolution structural information from channel fragments, and more recently fulllength channels, has proven valuable for determining the mechanisms for ion channel function.

A wealth of high-resolution structural information has recently become available for the CNBD family of cation channels (Whicher and MacKinnon, 2016; James et al., 2017; Lee and MacKinnon, 2017; Li et al., 2017; Wang and MacKinnon, 2017). This family is part of the voltage-gated K⁺ (K_v) channel superfamily and consists of three subfamilies: the cyclic nucleotide–gated (CNG) channels, the hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide–gated (HCN) channels, and the *ether-â-gogo*-type (KCNH) channels (Fig. 1). Members of this



family share a common core architecture, including a C-terminal CNBD and a C-linker domain that connects the CNBD to the pore. Although they are structurally related, these channels play distinct physiological roles. CNG channels are expressed in photoreceptor cells and olfactory sensory neurons, where they participate in the phototransduction and olfactory transduction pathways, respectively (Fesenko et al., 1985; Nakamura and Gold, 1987; Kaupp and Seifert, 2002; Craven and Zagotta, 2006; Dai and Varnum, 2015). Upon activation (i.e., photon absorption or odorant binding), both pathways produce a transient change in the cytoplasmic cyclic nucleotide concentration. CNG channels, being directly gated by cyclic nucleotides, detect this change and convert it into an electrical signal that regulates neurotransmitter release from the cell. HCN channels, in comparison, are notable for contributing to the spontaneous and rhythmic firing activity of several cell types, including sinoatrial node cells in the heart and thalamocortical relay neurons in the central nervous system (Craven and Zagotta, 2006; Biel et al., 2009; Wahl-Schott and Biel, 2009; Benarroch, 2013). In these cells, HCN channels open during the hyperpolarizing phase of an action potential and conduct an inward current-the "funny" (If) or "hyperpolarization-activated" (I_h) current—that depolarizes the membrane sufficiently to initiate another action potential. Finally, KCNH channels help regulate excitability in a variety of cardiac and neuronal cells (Ganetzky et al., 1999; Morais-Cabral and Robertson, 2015). In neurons, KCNH channels fulfill this role by producing an outward, hyperpolarizing K⁺ current that excitatory (i.e., membrane-depolarizing) stimuli must overcome to elicit an action potential. The KCNH subfamily consists of the

Correspondence to William N. Zagotta: zagotta@uw.edu

^{© 2018} James and Zagotta This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution– Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms/). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 4.0 International license, as described at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).



Figure 1. Dendrogram of the CNBD cation channel family. This family contains three subfamilies: CNG channels, HCN channels, and KCNH channels. The five channels whose structures are discussed in this review (rEAG1, hERG1, hHCN1, TAX-4, and LliK) are highlighted in bold.

ether-à-go-go (EAG), EAG-like (ELK), and EAG-related (ERG) subgroups (Warmke et al., 1991; Warmke and Ganetzky, 1994; Fig. 1), the latter subgroup being responsible for the fast delayed-rectifier current (I_{Kr}) that helps terminate cardiac action potentials (Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Trudeau et al., 1995).

This review focuses on five recent CNBD channel structures resolved by cryo-electron microscopy (cryoEM), including members from all three subfamilies. Through collective analysis of these structures, it highlights how the three CNBD channel subfamilies may share common elements in voltage- and ligand-dependent gating, despite being functionally divergent.

Diverse functional properties

The CNBD channel subfamilies are functionally distinct, differing in their cation selectivity, voltage dependence, and cyclic nucleotide dependence. Within each subfamily, functional differences also arise between channel isoforms (e.g., HCN1 or HCN2) or subgroups (e.g., EAG or ERG), as summarized below.

lon selectivity. CNBD channels vary widely in their selectivity for different cations. CNG channels are essentially nonselective for monovalent cations and conduct K^+ , Na⁺, Li⁺, and Rb⁺ almost equally well (Kaupp and Seifert, 2002). CNG channels also conduct Ca²⁺ (Frings et al., 1995; Dzeja et al., 1999), which serves as a second messenger in both the phototransduction and olfactory transduction pathways (Burns et al., 2002; Matthews and Reisert, 2003). HCN channels are weakly selective for K⁺ over Na⁺ ions, possessing a permeability ratio ($P_{\rm K}/P_{\rm Na}$) of 3–5, and are also considerably less permeable to Ca²⁺ (Gauss et al., 1998; Ludwig et al., 1998; Santoro et al., 1998). Weak selectivity between K⁺ and Na⁺ is an important feature of HCN channels, allowing them to conduct an inward, depolarizing Na⁺ current at the hyperpolarized potentials where they are active. KCNH channels exhibit the greatest selectivity among CNBD channels, and are highly selective for K⁺ over Na⁺ ($P_{\rm K}$ / $P_{\rm Na}$ >100; Ludwig et al., 1994). As with CNG and HCN channels, the ion selectivity of KCNH channels is matched to their physiological roles. The high K⁺ selectivity of ERG channels, for example, allows them to conduct a hyperpolarizing outward K⁺ current that helps repolarize the cardiac action potential (Sanguinetti and Tristani-Firouzi, 2006).

Voltage dependence. Each CNBD channel subfamily exhibits a distinct response to changes in the membrane electrical potential. CNG channels are largely insensitive to membrane voltage, showing only a slight increase in conductance at depolarized potentials (Karpen et al., 1988; Kaupp et al., 1989; Dhallan et al., 1990; Goulding et al., 1992; Fig. 2 A). In comparison, HCN channels activate with membrane hyperpolarization (Gauss et al., 1998; Ludwig et al., 1998; Santoro et al., 1998; Fig. 2 B) and also exhibit "voltage-dependent potentiation" (also called "mode-shift" or "hysteresis"), where prior exposure to hyperpolarizing (i.e., activating) potentials shifts the voltage dependence of activation to more depolarized potentials (Männikkö et al., 2005; Elinder et al., 2006). Finally, KCNH channels behave more like canonical shaker-type channels and activate with membrane depolarization (Brüggemann et al., 1993; Trudeau et al., 1995; Ganetzky et al., 1999; Fig. 2 C). The response of KCNH channels to membrane voltage is complex and varies between the EAG, ELK, and ERG subgroups. ERG channels, for example, undergo rapid and voltage-dependent inactivation from the open state (Smith et al., 1996), whereas EAG channels are non-inactivating (Ludwig et al., 1994). In addition, ERG and ELK channels both exhibit voltage-dependent potentiation, where prior exposure to



Figure 2. **Diverse functional properties of CNBD channels. (A)** Na⁺ currents recorded from bovine CNGA1 (bCNGA1) channels in the absence (top, black) and presence (middle, green) of saturating cGMP concentrations. Scale bar applies to both top and middle panels. Currents were measured by stepping from 0 mV to test potentials ranging from -100 mV to 100 mV, before stepping to a -100-mV tail potential. The conductance–voltage (G-V) relationship (bottom) was obtained from normalized tail currents. **(B)** K⁺ currents recorded from mouse HCN2 (mHCN2) channels in the absence (top, black) and presence (middle, red) of saturating cAMP concentrations. Scale bar applies to both top and middle panels. Currents were measured by stepping from 0 mV to test potentials ranging from -90 mV to -140 mV, before stepping to a 0-mV tail potential. The G-V curve (bottom) was obtained from normalized tail currents. **(C)** K⁺ Currents recorded from mouse EAG1 (mEAG1) channels in the absence of cyclic nucleotides (top, black). Currents were measured by stepping from -120 mV to test potentials ranging from -120 mV to 100 mV, before stepping to a -120-mV tail potential. The G-V curve (bottom) was obtained from normalized tail currents.

depolarizing potentials shifts the voltage dependence of activation to more hyperpolarized potentials (Tan et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015; Dai and Zagotta, 2017).

Cyclic nucleotide regulation. CNG and HCN channels are both regulated by the direct binding of cyclic nucleotides to an intracellular CNBD (Fesenko et al., 1985; Craven and Zagotta, 2006; Fig. 2, A and B), whereas KCNH channels do not bind and are not directly regulated by cyclic nucleotides (Robertson et al., 1996; Brelidze et al., 2009). In CNG channels, cyclic nucleotide binding is sufficient for activation, although the efficacy of activation depends on the specific cyclic nucleotide and varies between CNG channel types. Rod CNG channels activate almost completely in the presence of saturating concentrations of cGMP, whereas cAMP elicits very little current (Ildefonse et al., 1992; Gordon and Zagotta, 1995; Varnum et al., 1995). In contrast, olfactory CNG channels and the TAX-4 CNG channel from Caenorhabditis elegans (whose structure is discussed in this review) are fully activated by both saturating cAMP and cGMP concentrations (Nakamura and Gold, 1987; Dhallan et al., 1990; Paoletti et al., 1999).

Compared with CNG channels, HCN channels are activated by both membrane hyperpolarization and cyclic nucleotide binding to an intracellular CNBD. Cyclic nucleotides have multiple effects on HCN channel function, including (a) an acceleration of channel activation kinetics; (b) a depolarizing shift in the voltage of half-maximal activation $(V_{1/2})$; and (c) an increase in the maximal current (Wang et al., 2001; Craven and Zagotta, 2004; Zhou et al., 2004; Fig. 2 B). However, these effects vary between different HCN channel isoforms, of which there are four in mammals (HCN1-4; Santoro et al., 1998). In HCN4, the primary isoform expressed in sinoatrial node cells, cAMP binding produces a 10-25-mV depolarizing shift in $V_{1/2}$ (Ludwig et al., 1998; Altomare et al., 2003). In HCN1, which is expressed in both the heart and the central nervous system, cAMP binding produces only a ~2-mV depolarizing shift in $V_{1/2}$. Associated regulatory and trafficking proteins also influence the cyclic nucleotide dependence of HCN channels. For example, binding of the trafficking protein TRIP8b reduces or eliminates cyclic nucleotide– dependent activation in HCN channels (Santoro et al., 2009; Zolles et al., 2009; Bankston et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2013; Saponaro et al., 2014; Han et al., 2017).

Common structural features

CNBD channels share a common core architecture composed of four domains: a transmembrane voltage-sensor domain (VSD), a transmembrane pore domain, an intracellular C-linker domain, and an intracellular CNBD (Fig. 3). This architecture may also be shared by the K^+ selective, hyperpolarization-activated KAT- and AKTtype channels found in plants (Anderson et al., 1992; Sentenac et al., 1992; Gaymard et al., 1996), although it is unclear whether these channels are directly regulated by cyclic nucleotides (Hoshi, 1995; Gaymard et al., 1996). In KCNH channels, the CNBD does not bind cyclic nucleotides and is referred to as a cyclic nucleotide–binding homology domain (CNBHD; Brelidze et al., 2012, 2013; Marques-Carvalho et al., 2012).

Voltage-sensor and pore domains. CNBD channels share structural similarities with the $K_V 1-9$, K_{Ca} , and transient receptor potential (TRP) channel families (Zheng and Trudeau, 2015). They are tetramers, with each subunit containing six transmembrane helices (S1-S6) divided into a VSD (S1-S4) and a pore domain (S5–S6) connected by an S4–S5 linker. The subunits tetramerize in the membrane through interactions between their pore domains, which associate to create a centrally located pore (i.e., the ion permeation pathway), with the VSDs surrounding and contacting the pore perimeter (Fig. 3). Between S5 and S6 is a short, reentrant helix (the P-helix) followed by residues comprising the ion selectivity filter, which forms the extracellular entrance to the pore. The pore also contains the gate, which stimuli-sensing modules (VSDs, CNBDs) act on to control ion permeation.

The VSD contains two important components for voltage sensing: an S4 helix with positively charged residues (Lys, Arg) distributed along its length, and a "charge-transfer center" composed of an aromatic residue (Phe, Tyr) on S2 and negatively charged residues (Asp, Glu) on S2 and S3. The positively charged residues along S4 are responsible for detecting changes in membrane voltage. The membrane electrical potential exerts force on these residues, drawing them through the charge-transfer center, and the resulting movement of S4 is coupled to the pore gate to control ion permeation (Long et al., 2005a,b, 2007; Tao et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2016; Kintzer and Stroud, 2016).

In K_v 1–9 channels, the voltage-sensor and pore domains are connected by a long, helical S4–S5 linker (Long et al., 2005a, 2007). This allows the subunits to tetramerize within the membrane in a domain-swapped configuration, in which the pore domain of one sub-



Figure 3. **Core architecture of CNBD channels.** For clarity, the diagram omits the transmembrane regions (VSDs, pore domains) of the front and back subunits, along with the CNBD of the back subunit. The core domains of one subunit are highlighted, and include a VSD (gray), a pore domain (red), a C-linker domain (green), and a CNBD (blue). A cyclic nucleotide (cNMP, yellow) is also shown within CNBD binding pocket. All figures depicting protein structure were generated using the UCSF Chimera software package (Pettersen et al., 2004).

unit interacts with the VSD of an adjacent subunit. Unexpectedly, the S4-S5 linker of CNBD channels instead forms a short loop that permits direct interactions between the VSD and pore domain of the same subunit (Fig. 3). This results in a non-domain-swapped transmembrane architecture, which has important consequences for voltage-dependent gating in these channels. Non-domain-swapped architecture is not unique to CNBD channels and has also been observed recently in the structures of $K_{\mbox{\tiny Ca}}$ channels Slo1 and Slo2.2 (Hite and MacKinnon, 2017; Tao et al., 2017). Interestingly, the bacterial CNG channel MloK1 (alternatively MlotiK1), which lacks a C-linker domain, appears to adopt a domain-swapped transmembrane architecture like that observed in shaker-type channels (Nimigean et al., 2004; Clayton et al., 2008; Kowal et al., 2014).

C-linker domain. The C-linker resides between the pore domain and the CNBD and consists of two antiparallel helix-turn-helix motifs (A'-B' and C'-D'), followed by another two helices (E' and F') that associate closely with the CNBD (Fig. 3). The C-linker forms intersubunit contacts via "elbow-on-shoulder" interactions, where the A'-B' (elbow) motif of one subunit rests atop the C'-D' (shoulder) motif of an adjacent subunit to form a fourfold symmetric ring structure below the pore. The elbow-on-shoulder contacts are maintained by a combination of hydrophobic, hydrogen-bonding, and salt-bridge interactions (Zagotta et al., 2003; Craven and Zagotta, 2004; Craven et al., 2008). CNBD. The CNBD follows the C-linker and makes close contact with the E' and F' helices (Fig. 3). CNBDs are found in many proteins regulated by cyclic nucleotides, including the regulatory subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (Su et al., 1995) and Escherichia coli catabolite activator protein (McKay et al., 1982). These domains consist of an α -helix (A-helix) followed by an eight-stranded β -roll containing a short α -helix (P-helix) between $\beta 6$ and β 7, followed finally by two additional α -helices (Band C-helices). The β -roll forms the cyclic nucleotide-binding pocket and contains several residues that form specific electrostatic and hydrogen-bonding interactions with bound cyclic nucleotides, including a highly conserved Arg residue (e.g., Arg549 in human HCN1; Fig. 4) that interacts with the negatively charged cyclic phosphate moiety (Zagotta et al., 2003; Zhou and Siegelbaum, 2007). Upon cyclic nucleotide binding, the B- and C-helices appear to rotate as a single unit (hinged near the B-helix N terminus) toward the β -roll, allowing the C-helix to interact with the base moiety and thereby cap the binding pocket (Varnum et al., 1995; Zagotta et al., 2003; Akimoto et al., 2014; Puljung et al., 2014; Saponaro et al., 2014; Goldschen-Ohm et al., 2016). The β-roll and C-helix play distinct roles in cyclic nucleotide-dependent activation (Tibbs et al., 1998; Matulef et al., 1999), with the β -roll establishing the initial binding affinity of different cyclic nucleotides and the C-helix contributing to the efficacy of channel activation by different cyclic nucleotides (Gordon and Zagotta, 1995; Varnum et al., 1995; Gordon et al., 1996; Flynn et al., 2007; Zhou and Siegelbaum, 2007).

In place of a CNBD, KCNH channels have a structurally similar CNBHD. These domains lack several of the conserved β -roll residues that interact with bound cyclic nucleotides in CNG and HCN channels, most notably the Arg residue (e.g., Ser630 in rat EAG1; Fig. 4) that forms electrostatic interactions with cyclic phosphate moiety. Predictably, KCNH channels do not bind cyclic nucleotides (Brelidze et al., 2009). The β-roll binding pocket in all KCNH channels is instead occupied by a three-residue β-strand motif, Tyr(Phe)-Asn-Leu, immediately following the C-helix (Brelidze et al., 2012, 2013; Marques-Carvalho et al., 2012; Whicher and MacKinnon, 2016; Wang and MacKinnon, 2017). Here, the side-chains of the Tyr(Phe) and Leu residues are oriented into the binding cavity, with the Leu side chain filling the space otherwise occupied by the cyclic phosphate moiety, and the Tyr(Phe) side chain taking the place of the planar base moiety. Thus, the β -strand motif appears to act as an "intrinsic ligand" that mimics the structural features of a bound cyclic nucleotide. Notably, deletion or mutation of the intrinsic ligand alters the functional properties of KCNH channels

Diversity in N- and distal C-terminal domains. The Nand C-terminal regions falling outside the core CNBD channel architecture also play important roles in channel function. In addition to the four core domains, KCNH channels possess an N-terminal, intracellular eag domain (Fig. 5, A and B), which consists of a Per-ARNT-Sim (PAS) domain preceded by a PAS-cap motif. The eag domains of KCNH channels appear to interact dynamically with the C-terminal CNBHDs (Gustina and Trudeau, 2009, 2011; Haitin et al., 2013; Dai and Zagotta, 2017) to control the activation, deactivation, and inactivation kinetics of these channels (Terlau et al., 1997; Wang et al., 1998; Gustina and Trudeau, 2011; Gianulis et al., 2013). The intracellular N-terminal regions in CNG and HCN channels also have distinct structural and functional features. For example, the N-terminal regions of the rod CNGB1 and olfactory CNGA2 channel subunits contain an inhibitory Ca²⁺-calmodulin (Ca²⁺-CaM) binding site (Munger et al., 2001; Trudeau and Zagotta, 2003; Bradley et al., 2004; Dai and Varnum, 2015). In addition, the recent structure of human HCN1 (discussed in this review) revealed a unique N-terminal "HCN domain" immediately preceding the VSD (Fig. 5 C), although its role in channel function remains unclear (Lee and MacKinnon, 2017).

The distal C-terminal region following the CNBD/ CNBHD also harbors many important features. A portion of the distal C terminus following the CNBHD in rat EAG1 forms a coiled-coil domain that is necessary for channel tetramerization (Ludwig et al., 1997). Furthermore, both human and rat EAG1 channels are inhibited by Ca²⁺-CaM binding, which is partially mediated by two contact points in the C-terminal region following the CNBHD (Schönherr et al., 2000; Ziechner et al., 2006; Whicher and MacKinnon, 2016). In HCN channels, the three most C-terminal residues form one of two binding sites for the regulatory and trafficking protein TRIP8b (Lewis et al., 2009; Santoro et al., 2011; Bankston et al., 2012; DeBerg et al., 2015; Han et al., 2017). In native rod CNG channels, which are heterotetramers composed of three CNGA1 subunits and one CNGB1 subunit (Weitz et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2002; Zhong et al., 2002), part of the distal C terminus forms a coiled-coil domain that is responsible for controlling subunit stoichiometry (Zhong et al., 2002; Shuart et al., 2011).

Overall summary of structures

Recently, five intact CNBD channel structures have been reported, including at least one structure from each subfamily. Although all five channels possess the same core domains (VSD, pore, C-linker, and CNBD/ CNBHD), each structure contains distinct features or additional domains that are summarized here.

https://two.sci.ukurgisgkaveskogerkwita.opm.itmlysberprivport.itml.uku.ski.ukurjitpvicitpretcet			S1
 HENZ (153) -LENDESGENKAVEREDERKEVUD INTERSOFERFORDETWILTEWORNLITEVOLOFISEGEVENDERGENKAVEREDERKEVUD DEGENTYINKUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUT	hHCN1	(111)	-LRMFGSQKAVEKEQERVKTAGFW <mark>IIHPY</mark> SDFRFY <mark>WD</mark> LIMLIMMVGNLVIIPVGITFFTEQT
TAX-4 (94) VPPPRESTAVILIKYLIANTORDESTINYLYLIVTUVUTUVILIVILIVIAGVENDLIGSSGLCERYL LLIK (1) VPPPRESTAVILIKYLIANTORDESTINYLKICITYLANGTONIAGOVENDLIGSSGLCERYL LLIK (1)	mHCN2	(153)	-LRMFGSQKAVEREQERVKSAGAW <mark>IIHPY</mark> SDFRFY <mark>WD</mark> FTMLLFMVGNLIIIPVGITFFKDET
LONGAL [136]	TAX-4	(94)	VPPPKESYAVRIRKYLANYTQDPSTDNFYYWTCVVTVAYI <mark>YN</mark> LLFVIARQVFNDLIGPSSQSLCRFYNGT
LLIK (1)	bCNGA1	(136)	KKKEEKGKDKKEEEKKEVVV <mark>IDP</mark> SGNTYYN <mark>W</mark> LFCITLPVM <mark>YN</mark> WTMIIARAC <mark>F</mark> DELQS
TEAG1 (166) EVILUGEDILEOYKQEAFKITPHILLE-SCURTAWORILLLUTYTALLPYTNALLPYNASTLIKETEGGUPA	LliK	(1)	Participal Content of Conten
hEEGI (376) QUISLGADULEYKLQAPRIHKWITLE-YSPEKANWOWLILLLUTTAVFTPYSAALLKETEGEPA	rEAG1	(186)	EVLQLGSDILPQYKQEAPKTPPHIILH-YCVFKTTWDWIILILTFYTAILVPYNVSFKTRQN
hHCN1 (172)	hERG1	(376)	QVLSLGADVLPEYKLQAPRIHRWTILH-YSPFKAVWDWLILLLVIYTAVFTPYSAAFLLKETEEGPPA
Intent 11***********************************	L II CONT	(170)	
International (114) INSTIQUECTINNLINNKEMPTSQTPDLGNSKYHERMUTVERLEUWERNERGELINGEL-UN LIIK OPTIETVILAPTYLEUWERNERGENUWERLEUWERNERGELINGEL-UN LIIK (247)	nHCN1	(1/2)	
<pre>International international internation</pre>	TAX-4	(214)	INSTRUCT AND A
LLIK (13) LLIK (14) LLIK (14) LLIK (17) LLIK (17) LLIK (17) LKIK (14) LKK (14)	hCNGA1	(104)	
FEAG1 (247)	LliK	(39)	
hERGI	rEAG1	(247)	NVAWLVVDSIVDVIFLVDIVLNFHTTFVGPAG-EVISD
S3 S4 HCN1 (210) PKVIKNNYLKSKYVDPISSIEVDYIFLIVEKGDSEVYKTARALRIVRFTKI ISLLELIKEVIKIKYL HCN2 (252) PKLKKKYLKTUVDFVSSIEVDYIFLIVEKGDSEVYKTARALRIVRFTKI ISLLELIKESKIEVIT TAX-4 (237) AKVTKAVMQSKQTRIDGISLIPLDYILGWEIPYINKG	hERG1	(443)	TECGYACQPLAVVDLIVDIIINFIVDIIINFTTYVNANE-EVVSH
hHCN (210) PEVIEWNYLKSWEVUPEISIPUYTFLIVEKGNDSEVYKTARALÆIVRFKILSLELLELSELIRVI HHCN (225) PEKIKKYLKTERVEVUPEVSIPUSTFLIVEKGNDSEVYKTARALÆIVRFKILSLELLELSENIRVI TAX-4 (237) AEKVTKAYMOSKOVENDGISLIPLDYILLOWEDIPTINNEGUPTIRALÆIVRFKILSLELLELSENIRVI TAX-4 (237) AEKVTKAYMOSKOVENDGISLIPLDYILLOWEDIPTINNEG			S3S4
 HECZ (252) PEKIKKYLRTFYUPUSYSIPUTYIFLIVEKGID3EVYKTARALENVRTKILSLELLELELESELTKYT HEKLIDKYKSTOFKIDGISLIPUTYILOWIFVINWRGYEFILIELINELIKYKKYR CAGAI (231) EEKILDKYKSTOFKIDULSUPIPULIKOFTKRGWNYEFILIELINELIKYKKYR LIIK (74) RSQUYKDYLGSWEILDIALELEYAPTTIFELQQSQYEFILIELINELIKYKKYR LIIK (74) RSQUYKDYLGSWEILDIALEYAPTTIFELQQSQYEFILIELIKUTSKYKYR LIIK (74) RSQUYKKYGELIELIAYAPTTIFELQQSQYEFILIELIKYKKYR HCN1 (282) EEIFHMTYDLASAVRIFFILIGMALLCKWGCLQEUVPLLQDFPPDCWSIRMMY HACN1 (282) EEIFHMTYDLASAVRIFFILIGMALLCKWGCLQEUVPLLQDFPPDCWSIRMMY HACN1 (282) EEIFHMTYDLASAVRIFFILIGMALLCKWGCLQEUVPLLQDFPPDCWSIRMMY TAX-4 (298) ERTETRSSMPNAFRVVVVWIVIIIIHWNACUYWISSICALGCM-DAWYPDVNMY TAX-4 (298) ERTETRSSMPNAFRVVVVWIVIIIHWNACUYWISSICALGCM-DAWYPDVN	hHCN1	(210)	PKVIKMN <mark>YL</mark> KSWFVVDFISSIPVDYIFLIVEKGMDSEVYKTARALRIVRFTKILSLLRLLRLSRLIRYIHQW
TAX-4 (237) AEKVTKAŻWOSKYRIDCISLIPLDYLLDYILYMWRG	mHCN2	(252)	PEKIKKK <mark>Y</mark> LRTWFVVDFVSSIPVDYIFLIVEKGIDSEVYKTARALRIVRFTKILSLLRLLRLSRLIRYIHQW
bCNGA1 (231) ERKLIDKYKSTFOFKLDU/SVIPTDLLY	TAX-4	(237)	AEKVTKAYWQSKQYRIDGISLIPLDYILGWPIPYINWRGIPILRLNRLIRYKRVRNCL
LLIK (14) RSQVIKDYLGSWTIDLIAALPLEYATTIFGLQSGYYLILLGUTRILKVYRIS FEAGI (24) PKLIKNYLKTWYLDILSC.PYVINTAFEND	bCNGA1	(231)	ERKLIDK <mark>Y</mark> KSTFQFKLDVLSVIPTDLLYIKFGWNYPEIRLNRLLRISRMFEFF
FEAG (284) PKLERMNYLKTWFVIDLSCLPTDVINAPEND SISSLFSSLEVVRLEKUGRARLAND hERGI (486) PCRIAVBYFKGWELDWAALFDULWAS SI hERGI (282) EEIFHMTYDLASAVRICNLISMLLCHNDGCLOFLVPLLQDFPPCGWSINEMV mHCN2 (224) EEIFHMTYDLASAVVRICNLISMLLCHNDGCLOFLVPLLQDFPSDGWSINEMV mHCN2 (224) EEIFHMTYDLASAVVRICNLISMLLCHNDGCLOFLVPLLQDFPSDGWSINE	LliK	(74)	RSQVIKDYLGSWFIIDLIAALPLEYATTIFGLQQSQYPYLYLLLGVTRILKVFRISDIL
Arrow and a second s	rEAG1	(284)	PKLIRNNYLKTWFVIDLISCLPYDVINAFENVDEGISSLFSSLKVVRLLRLGRVARKLDHY
Discrete Discrete hHCN1 (282) EEIFHMTYDLASAVVRIFNIIGMLJCHWDGCLQFLVPLLQDFPDDGWVSINEMVN mHCN2 (284) EEIFHMTYDLASAVVRIFNIIGMLJCHWDGCLQFLVPHLQDFPDDGWVSINEMVN TAX-4 (286) EEIFHMTYDLASAVVRIFNIIGHMLLCHWDGCLQFLVPHLQDFPDDGWVSINEMVN CASH (287) QRTETRTNYPNIFRISNLVMYIVIIHWNACVYFSISKAIGFGNDTWVYPDVN	nergi	(486)	PGRIAVHIFKGWFLIDMVAAIPFDLLIFGSGSEELIGLLKTARLLKLVRVAKKLDRY
<pre>HRCN2 (324) ELIFEMITDLAGAYMRICULISMULLCUNDGCUDFLYPHLQDFP-SDCWSIN- HRCN2 (324) ELIFEMITDLAGAYMRICULISMULLCUNDGCUDFLYPHLQDFP-SDCWSIN- MICK2 (324) ELIFEMITDLAGAYMRICULISMULLCUNDGCUDFLYPHLQDFP-SDCWSIN</pre>	bHCN1	(282)	
TAX-4 (298) ERTETRSSM-PNAFRVVVVVWIVIIIIHWAACLYFWISEWIGLG-TDAWYYGBLNKQSLPI bCNGA1 (287) QRTETRTNYP-NIFRISNUVVIVIIIIHWAACLYFWISEWIGLG-NDTWYPDVNLPI LIIK (137) QRTLAFQPT-PGILRULPFAVATUAMKCVGWIVDUDLUDYGTG	mHCN2	(324)	EETIMATYDIASSAVMETCHIISHIMACHIDGGLOGILYEMIODEP-SDCWVSINN
bCNGA1 (287) QRTETRTNYPNIFRISNLWYIIIIIHWNACVYFSISKAIGFGNDTWYPDVN	TAX-4	(298)	ERTETRSSM - PNAFRVVVVVVVI IVI I HWNACI, YFWISEWIGI.G-TDAWVYGHINKOSIPDDI
Llik (137) QRINLAFQPT-PGILRLVLFAFWATLVAHWCAVGWLYVDDLLDTQTG	bCNGA1	(287)	ORTETRTNYPNIFRISNIVMYIIIIIIHWNACVYFSISKAIGFGNDTWYYPDVN
rEAG1 (345)	LliK	(137)	ORINLAFOPT-PGILRLVLFAFWATLVAHWCAVGWLYVDDLLDYOTG
hERGI (543)SEYGAAVLFLLMCTFALIA <mark>HWLACIWYAIGNMEQPHMDSRIGWLHNLGDQIC PH 56 HCN1 (341)WGKQYSYALFKAMSHMLCIGYGAQAPVSMSDLWITMLSMIVGATCYAMFYGHATALIQSIDSS mHCN2 (383)WSELYSFALFKAMSHMLCIGYGAQAPSSMTDIWLTMLSMIVGATCYAMFYGHATALIQSIDSS mHCN2 (383)WSELYSFALFKAMSHMLCIGYGAQAPSSMTDIWLTMLSMIVGATCYAMFYGHATALIQSIDSS mHCN2 (383)</mark>	rEAG1	(345)	IEYGAAVLVLLVCVFGLAAHWMACIWYSIGDYEIFDEDTKTIRNNSWLYQLALDIGTPYQFNGS
PH 56 mHCN1 (341)	hERG1	(543)	SEYGAAVLFLLMCTFALIAHWLACIWYAIGNMEQPHMDSRIGWLHNLGDQIGKP
hECN1 (341)WGKQYSYALFKAMSHMLCIGYGAQAPVSMSDUNITMLSMIVGATCYAMFVGHATALIQSIDS: mHCN2 (383)WGKQYSYALFKAMSHMLCIGYGAQAPVSMSDUNITMLSMIVGATCYAMFVGHATALIQSIDS: TAX-4 (356) TDTLIRRYVYSFYMSTLILITTG-EVPSPVRDIVLITLSMIVGATCYAMFIGHATALIQSIDS: TAX-4 (356) TDTLIRRYVYSFYMSTLILITTG-ETPSPVRDSEYFFVADFLIGVLIFATIVGNIGSMISNMSAJ LLIK (180)WSEYIIALYMTVATIATVGYGDITFSTDSQITTIFVMILGAGVYATVIGNIASILGSLDI, rEAG1 (409) GSGKWEGGPSKNSVJISLYFTMTSLTSVGFGNLAPSTDIEKIFAVAINMIGSLLYATFGNVTIFQQMYAN hERG1 (597) YNSSGLGGPSIKDKYVTALYFTFSSLTSVGFGNVSPNTNSEKIFSICVMLIGSLMYASIFGNVSAIIQLIYSG C-Linker hHCN1 (406) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KMFDEDSILGELNOPLREEIVNFNCRKLVATMPLF7 TAX-4 (423) EFQNKMDGIKQYMELRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKQSLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFG bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKQSLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFG bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKSLDPLRVLKVLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFF rEAG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDY IVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNLRQRLEEYFQHAWSTNGIDMAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQHCKPFF bCNGA1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVAGVITKG-NKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLKLKFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVASULKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQHCKPFF bERG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLUQVPSGDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKGRQVVDDGKKVFVTLQGSVFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGTIGKKMYFIQHGVASULKG-NKEMKLSDGSYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSEKTILLSLQEGYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSEKTILLSLQEGYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSEKTILLSLQEGYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSEKTILLSLQEGYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSEKTILLSLQEGYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) DPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSEKTILLSLQEGYFGEISILNIKGSF LLIK (319) OPALVSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKCDLIF			PHS6
mHCN2 (383) WSELYSFALFKAMSHMLCIGYGRQAPESMTINUTMLSMIVGATCYAMFIGHATALIQSLDS: bCN0A1 (340) FGRLLRRYVYSFYWSTLILTTIG-EVPSPVRNIEYAFVULDLCGVUIFATIVGNUGSMISNMAA LLik (180) WSEYIIALYMTVATIATVGYGDITPSTDQRIYTIFVMILGAGVYATVIGNUGSMISNMAA LLik (180) WSEYIIALYMTVATIATVGYGDITPSTDQRIYTIFVMILGAGVYATVIGNUASIIGNUASI hERG1 (597) YNSSGLGGPSKNSVYISSLYFTMTSLTSVGFGNIAPSTDEKIFAVAIMMIGSLIYATIFGNVTTIFQQMYAN hERG1 (597) YNSSGLGGPSKNSVYISSLYFTMTSLTSVGFGNIAPSTDEKIFAVAIMMIGSLIYATIFGNVTTIFQQMYAN hHCN1 (406) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KMFDEDILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVAMPLEZ mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KMFDEDILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVAMPLEZ mHCN1 (440) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KMFDEDILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVAMPLEZ L1ik (244) QYKKMQVQDYHELRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKVLPDKLRAEIANVHHDTLKKVRIEZ L1ik (244) QRKKMAQVDSHLKRNVSQNIRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWEDENALINDLPISLREVKIQLHRDLLKVFEKPEPAF hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMAVLKGPPECLQADICLHLNRKVKEKPEPAF hERG1 (484) PUFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGVKMYF1VSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLKVRVKEKPEPAF hERG1 (484) PUFVTMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGVKMYF1UGGVAGVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEIS	hHCN1	(341)	WGKQ <mark>Y</mark> SYALFKAMSHMLC <mark>IG</mark> YGAQAPVSMSDLWITMLSMIVGATC <mark>YA</mark> MFVGHATALIQSLDSSRR
 TAX-4 (356) TDTLIRRYVYSFWSTLILTTIG-EVPSPVRDIEYAFVTLDLMCGVLIFATIVGNVGSMISNMSAJ bCNGA1 (340) FGRLARKYVYSLYWSTLTLTTIG-ETPPPVRDSEYFFVVADFLIGVIIFATIVGNIGSMISNMSAJ LIIK (180)WSEYIIALYWTVATIATVGYGDIFBSTDSQRIYTIFVMILGAGVYATVICNIASILGSLDLJ rEAG1 (409) GSGKWEGGPSKNSVISSLYFTMTSLTSVGFGNIAPSTDIEKIFAVAIMMIGSLLYATIFCNVTTIFQMYAN hERG1 (597) YNSSCLGGPSIKDKYVTALYFTFSLTSVGFGNIAPSTDIEKIFAVAIMMIGSLLYATIFCNVTTIFQMYAN hERG1 (406) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYG-KIFDEDNILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVATMPLF7 mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFRQKIHDYYEHRYG-KIFDEDNILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVATMPLF7 mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFRQKIHDYYEHRYG-KIFDEDNILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVATMPLF7 TAX-4 (423) EFQNKMDGIKQYMFERKVSKQLEIRVIKMFDYLWTNKQSLSDQOVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIF5 LIIK (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWGEDENALINDLPISLRREVKIQLHRDLLEKVPFLF rEAG1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMFERNVSKDMEKKVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKVLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIF5 LIIK (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWGEDENALINDLPISLRREVKIQLHRDLLEKVPFLF rEAG1 (484) RYHEMINSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSSRIDTEKVLQICPKOMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYPHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLQHCKFFF CXHD hHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVSVLTKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLLTK TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVKLQUQVSPGDYICKGDIGREMYIIKGGNUVDDGKKVFTLQEGSYFGELSILNIAGSI bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKLQUPYSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIIKGGNUVDDDGKVFTLQEGSYFGELSILNIAGSI LIIK (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGOFFGELALVMDAP FEAG1 (547) TKGCLRALMEFGTVHCAPGDIYHAGSVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDD-EVVAILGKNDIFGEPLNLYARP CNBD	mHCN2	(383)	WSEL <mark>Y</mark> SFALFKAMSHMLCI <mark>G</mark> YGRQA <mark>P</mark> ESMTDIWLTMLSMIV <mark>G</mark> ATCYAMFIGHATALIQSLDSSRR
bCN6A1 (340) FGRLARKYVYSLYWSTLTLTIG-ETPPPVDSEYFFVVADFLIGVLFATUGNIGSMISMINAA Llik (180) WSEYIALYWVATATAYGYGFGNIAPSTDERIFAVAIMMIGSLYATIFGNVIASILGSLDLA rEAG1 (409) GSGKWEGGPSKNSVJISLYFMTSLTSVGFGNIAPSTDERIFAVAIMMIGSLYATIFGNVIAIIGRLYSG hERG1 (597) YNSSGLGGPSIKDKYVTALYFTFSSLTSVGFGNUSPINSEKIFSICVMLIGSLMYASIFGNVSAIIQRLYSG hHCN1 (406) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYGG-KMFDEDSILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVATMPLFZ mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFQKIHDYYEHRYGG-KMFDEDSILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLFZ mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFQKIHDYYEHRYGG-KMFDEDSILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLFZ bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKSQLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFZ bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKYLDERLAREVK1QLHRDLLEKVFIFZ bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLQICFKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF rEAG1 (444) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICFKDARADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF rEAG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICFKDARADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF hEG1 (612) RYHTMMIKKKEFYFQPCDJIIREGTCKKMYFIQHGVASVITKG-NKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTK	TAX-4	(356)	TDTLLRR <mark>Y</mark> VYSFYWSTLILTTIG-EVPSPVRNIEYAFVTLDLMC <mark>GVL</mark> IFATIVGNVGSMISNMSAART
LLIK (180)WSEYIIALYWTATIATYGYGDITSTDSURIYTIYMILGAGVYATUIGALSILGSLDL rEAG1 (40) GSGKWEGGPSKNSVYISSLYFTMISLTSVGFGNIAPSTDIEKIFAVAIMMIGSLYATIFGNVTTIFQQMYAM hEGG1 (597) YNSSGLGGPSIKDKYVTALYFTTSSLTSVGFGNVSPNINSEKIFSICVALIGSLMYASIFGNVSAIIQRLYSG C-Linker hHCN1 (406) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KIFDEENILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVATMPLF7 mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KIFDEENILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLF7 TAX-4 (423) EFGNKMGGIKQYMEIRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKGSLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFY bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKGSLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFY LLIK (244) AQRKMAQUDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDGRGGEDENALLNDLPISLRREVKIQLHRDLLEKVFFH hEGG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLQCKPFT mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGTKGKMYFIQHGVASVIKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLQCKPFT mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGTKGKNDIGREMYINKGRQCVVDDDGKKVFVTLQGSVFGEICLLTK TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYINKGRQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQGSVFGEISILNIAGSF bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYINKGRQCVVDDDGKKVFVTLQGSVFGEISILNIAGSF LLIK (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKGDLYIIREGTVAIDSGSIFGISCILLSLSQGGFFGELALMNDAP- rEAG1 (559) SGCCLRALAMEFQTVALAPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILNGGDVVAILGKQDVFGDVFWKEATL hERG1 (547) TKGCLRALAMEFGTVALAPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILNGGVVAILGKQDFFGLALMNDAP- NHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLSLQGEFGELALMADAP CNBD hHCN1 (547) RRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKSDVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLSLQKGDLFDLVSGSVFNQEM TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKVDLWALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKRDLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHL bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKVDLWALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKRDLLDINIANAGSDFRDLEEKVTRM LLIK (300)RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRYPDARSLEESKNILLKKR	bCNGA1	(340)	FGRLARKYVYSLYWSTLTLTTIG-ETPPPVRDSEYFFVVADFLIGVLIFATIVGNIGSMISNMMAARA
TEAGI (409) GSGKWEGGPSKNSVIJSSLIPINTSLISVGFGNVSPITNSEKIFSICVALIGSLIATIFGAVTTIQUMIAT hERGI (597) YNSSGLGGPSIKDKYVTALYFTFSSLISVGFGNVSPITNSEKIFSICVALIGSLIATIFGAVTTIQUMIAT hHCN1 (406) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KIFDEENILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLF mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KIFDEENILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLF TAX-4 (423) EFQNKMGIKQYMEIRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKQSLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIF Llik (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYHYDIUMTNKKTVDEREVLKVLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIF Llik (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYHYTUSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKOMRADICVHLNRRVFKEHPAFF hERGI (672) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKOMRADICVHLNRRVFKEHPAFF hERGI (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKOMRADICVHLNRRVFKEHPAFF hERGI (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGTICKKMYFIQHGVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGTICKKMYFIQHGVSVSULTKG-NKEMKLSDGSYFGEISILNIAGSI bCNGA1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYICKKGDICREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGELSILNIAGSI bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKLQPQVSPGDYICKKGDICREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGELSILNIAGSI bLNK1 (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKMIFIEGDTIFRGEKCDD	LLIK	(180)	
C-Linker hHCN1 (406) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADMRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KIFDEENIINELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLFA mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KMFDEDSIIGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLFA mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KMFDEDSIIGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLFA tdxa-4 (423) EFQNKMDGIKQYMELRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKYLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFF bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKYLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFF LLik (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWGEDENALLNDLPISLRREVKIQLHRDLLEKVPFLF rEAG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVRFFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYNGIDMAVIKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLQCKCKPF NHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVASVITKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKG-NKEMKLSDGSYFGEISINIAGS bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLAELVLKLQVPYSPGDYICKKGDICREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISINIAGS bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKQVPYSPGDYICKKGDICREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQGESYFGEISINIAGS bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKMPMIFIEGDTIFRRGEKCDLYIIKSGSVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKDVGDVFWKEATL rEAG1 (53	TEAGI	(409)	GSGKWEGGESSRNSVIISSLIFTMISLISVGFGNIAFSTDIELIFAVAIMMIGSLIJATIFGNVIIFQOMIANIN VNSCICCOSINFWWIAIIVETESSITEVCECNIVSDNINSERITESIIVATIFGSVIJSITOODIVSCID
http://doi.org/10.1011/journet/park/park/park/park/park/park/park/park	HERGI	(397)	C-Linker
 mHCN2 (448) QYQEKYKQVEQYMSFHKLPADFRQKIHDYYEHRYQG-KMFDEDSILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLFA TAX-4 (423) EFQNKMDGIKQYMELRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKQSLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFG bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKVDEREVLKYLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFG L11K (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWGEDENALINDLPISLRREVKIQHRDLEKVPFIL rEAG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVRFFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICHLNRSLLQHCKPFF CNBD hHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVASVLTKG-NKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLITK TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGELSILNIKGSF L11K (319) DPNFVTAMLTKLKFEVFQPGDYIIREGTIGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKG-NKEMKLSDGSYFGEISLINIKGSF L11K (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGQFFGELALVMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFWKEATL hERG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFWKEATL NEDD hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDRLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDRLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDRLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANKSIGYSDLFCLSKDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDRLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (569) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDRLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (569) AQSCANVRALTYCDLHVIKRDALQKVLEFYTAFSHSFSNILLITVNLRKRVFKISDVKREEEERMKRKMEZ hERG1 (816) GKSNGDVRALTYCDLHKIHRDD	hHCN1	(406)	OYOEKYKOVEOYMSFHKLPADMROKIHDYYEHRYOG-KIFDEENILNELNDPLREEIVNFNCRKLVATMPLFANA
TAX-4 (423) EFONKMDGIKQYMELRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKQSLSDQQULKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFQ bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKYLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFQ L1iK (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDGGWEDENALLNDLPISLRREVKIQHRDLEKVPFIL rEAG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFT hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQHCKPFT hHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVCKKMYFIQHGVASVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLLTK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLKLKFEVFQPGDYIIREGTCKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEISLLTR TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISILNIKGSI bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISILNIKGSI L1iK (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKGDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEQFFGEIALVMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFMKEATL hERG1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLLKVQHDLSSGVFNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMM	mHCN2	(448)	OYOEKYKOVEOYMSFHKLPADFROKIHDYYEHRYOG-KMFDEDSILGELNGPLREEIVNFNCRKLVASMPLFANA
bCNGA1 (407) EFQARIDAIKQVMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKYLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFA Llik (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWGEDENALINDLPISLRREVKIQLHRDLLEKVPFLI rEAG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRIDTEKVIQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFT hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQHCKPFT mHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVAGVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLITK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLITR TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDYICKKGDICREMYIIKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISILNIAGSH bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLAELVLKLQPQVTSPGDYICKKGDICREMYIIKEGKLAVADDGITQFVVLSDGSYFGEISILNIKGSH LLik (319) DPALVTSIVFSMKPMTFLEGDTIFRRGEKGDDLYILSGSVDILDSDEKTILSLQEGVFGEDALVMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLYHAGSULTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKNDIFGEVLAUMDAP NRC1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFKTTHAPPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKNDIFGEVLAUMAP NRC2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSLSVDNFNEVLEEYP	TAX-4	(423)	EFONKMDGIKOYMELRKVSKOLEIRVIKWFDYLWTNKOSLSDOOVLKVLPDKLOAEIAMOVHFETLRKVRIFODC
Llik (244) AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWGEDENALINDLPISLRREVKIQHRDLLEKVPFLH rEAG1 (484) RYHEMINSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVIQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFF hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLQHCKPFT NBD hHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVAGVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLITK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLTKLKFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLITR TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDICREMYIIKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISIINIAGSP bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKLQPQVSSPGDFICKKGDICREMYIIKEGKLAVVADDGITQFVVLSDGSYFGEISIINIAGSP LLik (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKGDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILSLQEGSFFGELALVMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFWKEATL hERG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFKTTHAPFGDTLYHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKNDIFGEPLNLYARP CNBD hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQER mHCN2 (559) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLM bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLM bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLM bCNGA1 (557) RRRTANTKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLM bCNGA1 (557) RRRTANTKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLM bCNGA1 (557) RRRTANTKSIGYSDLFCLSKDLMEALTEYPDARKLLEKGRUILMKDGLDLININAAGSDFKDLEEKTRME L11K (390)RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESKVAHLKKR	bCNGA1	(407)	EFOARIDAIKOYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWTNKKTVDEREVLKYLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFADC
rEAG1 (484) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFT hERG1 (672) RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFT hERG1 (672) RYHEMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKLEYYGHMSVTGDMAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQHCKPFT CNBD hHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVAGVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLITK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLTKLKFEVFQPGDYIIREGTIGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLITK TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDICREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISILNIAGSF bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKLQPQVSSPGDYICKKGDICREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISILNIAGSF LLIK (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKGDLYILSEGSVDILDSDKTILLSLQEGFFGELALVMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGSVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVGDVFWKEATL hERG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFKTTHAPPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKDNIFGEPLNLYARP CNBD MHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLM bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLM bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLAKGREIEKGKQILMKGGLDININAAGSDFKDLEEKVTRWE hERG1 (816) GKSNGDVRALTYCDLHVIKRDALQKVLEFYAFSSFSNLILTYNLRKRVFRKISDKKREEEERMKRKNEZ	LliK	(244)	AQRKKMAQVDSFLKARNISQNIRRRVRDYYMYIIDRGWGEDENALLNDLPISLRREVKIQLHRDLLEKVPFLKGA
hERG1 (672) RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQHCKPFT NHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVAGVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLLTK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLTKLKFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTR TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKGRLQVVDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISILNIAGSI bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKGRLQVVDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISILNIAGSI bLik (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKGDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGPFGELALVMDAP rEAG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFMKEATL hERG1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLLKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKILLAKGREILKKDNILDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLI bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLI bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLI bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLI bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTD	rEAG1	(484)	RYHEMLNSVRDFLKLYQVPKGLSERVMDYIVSTWSMSRGIDTEKVLQICPKDMRADICVHLNRKVFKEHPAFRLA
CNBD http://www.communicationalized-communication-communi	hERG1	(672)	RYHTQMLRVREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQHCKPFRGA
hHCN1 (480) DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVPOPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVAGVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLLTK mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLTKLKFEVFOPGDYIIREGTIGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTR TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGELSILNIKGSF bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKLQPQVTSPGDYICKKGDIGREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGITQFVVLSDGSYFGEISILNIKGSF Llik (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGQFFGELALVMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFMKEATL hERG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDVVVAILGKGDVFGDVFMKEATL NBD hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFLSKTDEWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDGLDINIANAGSDPKDLEEKVTRHE Llik (390)RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKR			CNBD
mHCN2 (522) DPNFVTAMLTKLKFEVFOPGDYIIREGTICKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTR TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIKKGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISLINKGSF bCNGA1 (492) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVYSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIKKGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISLINKGSF Llik (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRRGEKGDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILSLQEGVFGELALMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFWKEATL hERG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFKTTHAPPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKNDIFGEPLNLYARP NRCTA CNBD hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESGNILMKRGLLDINIANAGSDFKDLEEKVTRME L11K (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESGNILLKKVFKKISDVKREEEERMKRKNEZ hERG1 (816) GKSNGDVRALTYCDLHVIKRDALQKVLEFYTAFSHSFSNLLILTVNLRKRVFRKISDVKREEEERMKRKNEZ	hHCN1	(480)	DPNFVTAMLSKLRFEVFQPGDYIIREGAVGKKMYFIQHGVAGVITKSSKEMKLTDGSYFGEICLLTK
 TAX-4 (498) EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIIVKGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGEISIINIAGSB bCNGA1 (482) EAGLLVELVLKLQPQVYSPGDYICKKGDIGREMYIIKEGKLAVVADDGITQFVTLSDGSVFGEISIINIKGSF LLiK (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFRGEKGDDLYILSGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGPFGELALVMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGDVFWKEATL hERG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFKTTHAPPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKNDIFGEPLNLYARP CNBD hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSUGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSUGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSUGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSUGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSUGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLAKGREIEKGKQILMNTGULDININAAGSDFKDLEEKVTRM L11K (390)RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEEKGKNILKKR	mHCN2	(522)	DPNFVTAMLTKLKFEVFQPGDYIIREGTIGKKMYFIQHGVVSVLTKGNKEMKLSDGSYFGEICLLTR
BCNGAI (482) EAGLIVELVILUOPQUISEGDIICKEGIICKEGIILKEGKLAVVADUGTIOFVVLSDGSYFEEISILNIKGS Llik (319) DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDIIFREGEKCDDLYILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGOFFGELALVMDAP rEAGI (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKGDVFGVFWKEATL hERGI (747) TKGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKDVFGVFWKEATL hERGI (747) TKGCLRALAMEFKTHAPPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKDVFGVFMVEATL hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGAI (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGAI (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEEKGKQILMKDGLDININAAGSDFKDLEEKVTRM Llik (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESKNHLKKR	TAX-4	(498)	EAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSPGDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGELSILNIAGSKNG
LIIK (319) DPALVISLVFSMRPMIFLEGDTIFRGERGDDIIISEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLGEGGFFGELALMDAP rEAG1 (559) SDGCLRALAMEFQTVHCAPGDLIYHAGESVDSLCFVVSGSLEVIQDDEVVAILGKQDVFGDVFMKEATL hERG1 (747) TKGCLRALAMEFKTTHAPFGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVVAILGKDNIFGEPINLYARP NRCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRIDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRIDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPMMRRAFETVAIDRIDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPMMRRAFETVALDRIDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN LLIK (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKRGLUDINIANAGSDPKDLEEKVTRME LLIK (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKR	bCNGA1	(482)	EAGLLVELVELQPQVISPEDVICKKEDIGREMVIIKEGKLAVVADDGITQFVVLSDGSVFGEISILNIKGSKAG
IEAGI (539) SDGCERALAMERGUINICAPGULTINAGESUDSLEVUSDL=-XVAILGKUDVEGUVERLEATL hERGI (747) TKGCLRALAMERKTTHAPPGDTLUHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGDVVAILGKUDVEGUVERLEATL CNED CNED hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVQTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN CMBD bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVQTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDAKGMLEEKGKQILMKDGLLDINIANAGSDPKDLEEKVTRHILLIK LLIK (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKR	LIIK TEACI	(319)	DPALVTSLVFSMKPMIFLEGDTIFKKGEKGDDLIILSEGSVDILDSDEKTILLSLQEGGFGELALVMDAP
IERGI (747) IRCELARIART KITARPEGI IN HASDELITATI ISASIELIKGDVVALLEKNDIFGEPENETAKPE	reagi herci	(229)	SUBCLEARANER OF WHCAPGULI INAGES VUSLER VISGSLEVIQUD-EVVALLGRGDVFGDVFGVFWEATL
hHCN1 (547) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLQKFQKDLNTGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKNLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANVRSVGYDLFVLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKRGULDINIANAGSDPKDLEEKVTRME L1iK (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIESVAHLKKR	ILENGI	(/4/)	
mHCN2 (589) GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVQHDLSSGVFNNQEN TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANIKSIGYSDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANIKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bL1ik (390) RSATVRATTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESGNLLKKR rEAG1 (628) AQSCANVRALTYCDLHVIKRDALQKVLEFYTAFSHSFSRNLILTYNLRKRIVFRKISDVKREEEERMKRKNEZ hERG1 (816) GKSNGDVRALTYCDLHKIHRDDLLEVLDMYPEFSDHFWSSLEITFNLRDTNMIPGSPGSTELEGGFSRQRKRH	hHCN1	(547)	GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLOKFOKDLNTGVFNNOENEI
TAX-4 (573) NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNILDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLN bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANIKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDAKGMLEEKGKQILMKDGLLDINIANAGSDPKDLEEKVTRME Llik (390) -RSATVRATTTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKR	mHCN2	(589)	GRRTASVRADTYCRLYSLSVDNFNEVLEEYPMMRRAFETVAIDRLDRIGKKNSILLHKVOHDLSSGVFNNOENAI
bCNGA1 (557) NRRTANIKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDAKGMLEEKGKQILMKDGLLDINIANAGSDFKDLEEKVTRME Llik (390) RSATVRATTTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKR rEAG1 (628) AQSCANVRALTYCDLHVIKRDALQKVLEFYTAFSHSFSRNLILTYNLRKRIVFRKISDVKREEEERMKRKNEA hERG1 (816) GKSNGDVRALTYCDLHKIHRDDLLEVLDMYPEFSDHFWSSLEITFNLRDTNMIPGSPGSTELEGGFSRQRKREA	TAX-4	(573)	NRRTANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLLAKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEEQKTVEEIAEHLNNA
Llik (390)RSATVRATTTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKR rEAG1 (628) AQSCANVRALTYCDLHVIKRDALQKVLEFYTAFSHSFSRNLILTYNLRKRIVFRKISDVKREEEERMKRKNEA hERG1 (816) GKSNGDVRALTYCDLHKIHRDDLLEVLDMYPEFSDHFWSSLEITFNLRDTNMIPGSPGSTELEGGFSRQRKRF	bCNGA1	(557)	NRRTANIKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDAKGMLEEKGKQILMKDGLLDINIANAGSDPKDLEEKVTRMESS
reag1 (628) aqsc <mark>anvraltycdl</mark> hvikrdalqkv <mark>l</mark> efytafshsfsrnliltynlrkrivfrkisdvkreeeermkrkne# herg1 (816) gksngd <mark>vraltycdl</mark> hkihrddllev <mark>l</mark> dmypefsdhfwssleitfnlrdtnmipgspgsteleggfsrqrkr	LliK	(390)	RSATVRATTTCEIYTLSKTDFDNVLKRFSQFRSAIEESVAHLKKR
herg1 (816) GKSNGD <mark>VRALTYCDL</mark> HKIHR <mark>DDLLEVL</mark> DMYPEFSDHFWSSLEITFNLRDTNMIPGSPGSTELEGGFSRQRKRF	rEAG1	(628)	AQSCANVRALTYCOLHVIKRDALQKVLEFYTAFSHSFSRNLILTYNLRKRIVFRKISDVKREEEERMKRKNEAPL
	hERG1	(816)	GKSNGD <mark>VRALTYCDL</mark> HKIHRDDLLEVLDMYPEFSDHFWSSLEITFNLRDTNMIPGSPGSTELEGGFSRQRKRKLS

Figure 4. **Protein sequence alignment covering the core architecture of CNBD channels.** Alignment includes the five channels discussed in this review (rEAG1, hERG1, hHCN1, TAX-4, and LliK), along with mouse HCN2 (mHCN2) and bovine CNGA1 (bCNGA1). Approximate boundaries of the transmembrane helices (S1–S6), pore helix (PH), and intracellular domains (C-linker, CNBD) are shown above the alignment. Cyan highlighting indicates strong sequence conservation, whereas yellow highlighting indicates complete conservation.

Rat EAG1 (rEAG1). The rEAG1 channel is a K⁺-selective, depolarization-activated KCNH channel (Ludwig et al., 1994) that is inhibited by the binding of Ca²⁺-CaM (Schönherr et al., 2000; Ziechner et al., 2006). The structure of detergent-solubilized rEAG1 in complex with Ca²⁺-CaM was resolved by cryoEM to an overall res-

olution of 3.8 Å, with local resolution ranging from 3.3 to 6 Å (Whicher and MacKinnon, 2016; Fig. 5 A). The construct used for structure determination lacks residues 773–886 from the distal C-terminal region but is otherwise intact. The rEAG1 structure resolves five domains per subunit, including (from N to C terminus)



Figure 5. Overview of the five CNBD channel structures. For each channel, the core domains of one subunit are colored as in Fig. 3 (VSD in gray, pore domain in red, C-linker domain in green, and CNBD in blue). Additional domains and/or associated regulatory proteins are colored separately, whereas bound cyclic nucleotides or intrinsic ligand residues are shown as yellow sticks. (A) The rEAG1 (PDB ID: 5K7L) channel, with the N-terminal eag domain shown in orange and one bound Ca²⁺-calmodulin shown in cyan. (B) The hERG1 (PDB ID: 5VA1) channel, with the N-terminal eag domain shown in orange. (C) The hHCN1 channel bound by cAMP (PDB ID: 5U6P), with the N-terminal HCN domain shown in light brown. (D) *C. elegans* CNG channel (TAX-4, PDB ID: 5H3O) bound by cGMP. (E) *Leptospira licerasiae* bacterial CNG channel (LliK, PDB ID: 5V4S) in the presence of cAMP.

an eag domain, transmembrane voltage-sensor and pore domains, a C-linker domain, and a CNBHD. The transmembrane domains are non-domain-swapped, with the VSDs adopting an apparently depolarized conformation while the pore is closed (presumably because of the bound Ca²⁺-CaM). The C-linkers and CNB HDs adopt a quaternary architecture like that observed in the structures of HCN channel C-terminal fragments (Zagotta et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2010; Lolicato et al., 2011). The C-linkers form elbow-on-shoulder contacts to create a fourfold symmetric ring below the pore, with the CNBHDs docking to the intracellular face of this ring. The rEAG1 C-terminal region is surrounded by the N-terminal eag domains, which dock to the outer faces of the CNBHDs. Four Ca²⁺-CaM molecules are also observed in the structure. Here, the N- and C-lobes of each Ca²⁺-CaM are separated by \sim 12 Å and interact with distinct parts of the channel. The N-lobe binds to a single site on the eag domain, and the C-lobe is gripped by two helices located near the rEAG1 C terminus (Schönherr et al., 2000; Ziechner et al., 2006; Fig. 5 A). Each Ca²⁺-CaM lobe interacts with two channel subunits, which sit crosswise (rather than adjacent) in the tetrameric assembly.

Human ERG1 (hERG1). The hERG1 channel is a K⁺-selective, depolarization-activated KCNH channel that undergoes rapid, voltage-dependent inactivation (Trudeau et al., 1995; Schönherr and Heinemann, 1996). The structure of detergent-solubilized hERG1 was resolved by cryoEM to an overall resolution of 3.8 Å, with local resolution ranging from 3.2 to 5.6 Å (Wang and MacKinnon, 2017; Fig. 5 B). The construct used for structure determination contains two internal deletions, one in the linker region connecting the eag domain to the VSD (residues 141-350) and one in the distal C-terminal region following the CNBHD (residues 871–1005). The structure of a slowly inactivating hERG1 mutant (Ser631Ala; Schönherr and Heinemann, 1996) with a slightly larger internal N-terminal deletion (residues 141-380) was also resolved to an overall resolution of 4.0 Å, although only the nonmutant hERG1 structure will be discussed in this review. The overall structure of hERG1 strongly resembles that of rEAG1: the transmembrane region is non-domain-swapped, the voltage sensors are in an apparently depolarized state, and the intracellular region (eag domains, C-linkers, CNBHDs) adopts a similar quaternary architecture; however, the hERG1 pore appears to be open.

Human HCN1 (hHCN1). The hHCN1 channel is a weakly K⁺-selective channel activated by membrane hyperpolarization, with cAMP binding producing a small (~ 2 mV) depolarizing shift in the voltage dependence of activation (Wainger et al., 2001). The structure of detergent-solubilized hHCN1 was determined by cryoEM in both the unliganded and cAMP-bound states to an overall resolution of 3.5 Å, with local resolution ranging from 3 to 6.6 Å (Lee and MacKinnon, 2017; Fig. 5 C). The construct used for structure determination lacks residues 636-865 from the distal C-terminal region but is otherwise intact. The unliganded and cAMP-bound structures both resolve four core domains per subunit, including a non-domain-swapped VSD and closed pore domain, followed by a C-linker domain and a CNBD. Additionally, both structures resolve an unexpected fifth domain near the N terminus-the HCN domainwhich docks into a crevice between the VSD and the C-linker/CNBD. This domain encompasses ~45 residues preceding S1 and adopts a unique fold consisting of three short α-helices. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments have identified this region as a potential interaction site between HCN channels and the β_2 adrenergic receptor (Greene et al., 2012), suggesting that the HCN domain may help mediate protein-protein interactions in vivo.

TAX-4. The TAX-4 channel is a nonselective CNG channel from *C. elegans* that displays near-complete activation by cGMP (Paoletti et al., 1999). The structure of TAX-4 bound to cGMP was determined by cryoEM to an overall resolution of 3.5 Å, with local resolution ranging from 3 to 5 Å (Li et al., 2017; Fig. 5 D). The full-length channel reconstituted into amphipol A8-35 was used for structure determination. The overall architecture of TAX-4 is similar to the other channel structures, but the pore domain is open. The C-linkers and CNBDs of TAX-4 adopt a structure similar to the C-terminal fragment of cGMP-bound mouse HCN2 (Zagotta et al., 2003).

LliK. The structure of detergent-solubilized bacterial CNG channel LliK in the presence of 5 mM cAMP was determined to an overall resolution of 4.5 Å, with local resolution in the transmembrane region and C-linker domain averaging 4.2 Å (James et al., 2017; Fig. 5 E). From fluorescence-based flux measurements and homology to known bacterial CNG channels (Brams et al., 2014), LliK is likely a K⁺-selective CNG channel. The transmembrane region of LliK shares many similarities

with the CNBD channels discussed previously, particularly rEAG1 and hERG1. However, the C-linker/CNBD of LliK adopts a quaternary architecture that is unique among the structures discussed here. The CNBDs adopt a compact, cyclic nucleotide–bound conformation but are splayed and rotated away from the channel fourfold symmetry axis. Moreover, the C-linker domains adopt a "flattened" conformation, with both the A'- and B'-helices lying nearly parallel to the membrane surface. At the interface between the LliK pore and C-linker domains, the kink between S6 and the A'-helix occurs six residues later than in the other structures, making S6 considerably longer at its intracellular end and the A'-helix proportionately shorter.

lon selectivity

CNBD channels range from highly K^+ -selective to essentially nonselective for monovalent cations. The recent structures support established models for high K^+ selectivity, while providing a framework for understanding weak selectivity in HCN channels and nonselectivity in eukaryotic CNG channels.

High selectivity. Both rEAG1 and hERG1 are highly selective for K⁺ over Na⁺ (Ludwig et al., 1994), whereas LliK seems to exhibit similar selectivity (James et al., 2017). These channels also possess the signature Thr(Ser)-Val-Gly-Tyr(Phe)-Gly motif common to highly K⁺-selective channels (Fig. 4). Predictably, their selectivity filters all adopt a similar structure (Fig. 6 A) that resembles the selectivity filters found in other K⁺-selective channels, such as KcsA (Doyle et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 2001) and the K_{v} 1.2-2.1 chimera (Long et al., 2007). The rEAG1, hERG1, and LliK selectivity filters are constructed such that the backbone carbonyl groups, along with a Ser(Thr) side-chain hydroxyl group, are oriented toward the permeation pathway. In the KcsA and K_V structures, this creates a series of four cation binding sites (1-4) with the proper geometry to "hydrate" de-solvated K⁺ ions (but not Na⁺ ions) as they pass through the filter (Zhou et al., 2001).

Weak selectivity. HCN channels also possess the Gly-Tyr(Phe)-Gly motif (Fig. 4) but are only weakly selective for K⁺ over Na⁺ ions. The hHCN1 structure provides insight into this unexpected functional property. Compared with the selectivity filters in rEAG1, hERG1, and LliK (Fig. 6 A), the extracellular half of the hHCN1 selectivity filter is distorted (Fig. 6 B), with the conserved Tyr side chain (Tyr361 in hHCN1) rotated nearly 180° relative to its counterparts in the highly K⁺-selective channels (Fig. 6 A). As a consequence, the backbone carbonyl groups flanking the Tyr side-chain are directed away from the permeation pathway. In addition, the hHCN1 selectivity filter is considerably wider at its extracellular end, completely disrupting the first two cat-



Figure 6. Selectivity filters of CNBD channels. (A) Stick representations of selectivity filters from the highly K⁺-selective rEAG1, hERG1, and LliK channels. Cation-binding sites 1–4, composed of backbone carbonyl groups and Ser(Thr) side chains, are labeled in the rEAG1 selectivity filter. (B) Stick representation of the weakly selective hHCN1 selectivity filter, with retained cation binding sites 3 and 4 labeled. (C) Stick representation of the nonselective TAX-4 selectivity filter, with highly conserved residue Glu379 labeled.

ion binding sites (1 and 2). Mutational and structural studies of the nonselective bacterial channel NaK indicate that at least four sequential cation-binding sites are necessary for high K⁺ selectivity (Derebe et al., 2011a,b), suggesting that weak selectivity in hHCN1 results from disruption of the first and second cation-binding sites.

Nonselective. Eukaryotic CNG channels are nonselective for monovalent cations and also allow divalent cations such as Ca²⁺ to permeate, although Ca²⁺ (and Mg²⁺) can block these channels at high concentrations (Dzeja et al., 1999). Unlike the other CNBD channel filters, the TAX-4 selectivity filter is relatively wide throughout (Fig. 6 C), possibly allowing its binding sites to accommodate a variety of cations in different hydration states. The extracellular entrance of the TAX-4 selectivity filter is also constricted by a highly conserved Glu residue (Glu379; Fig. 4) that has been found to contribute to divalent cation and proton block in other eukaryotic CNG channels (Root and MacKinnon, 1993, 1994), and also likely contributes to their poor cation selectivity (Napolitano et al., 2015). In the TAX-4 structure, this residue is well situated to impede ion permeation after protonation or divalent cation binding.

Channel gating mechanisms

Stimuli-sensing domains (e.g., VSDs, CNBDs) control ion permeation through CNBD channels by acting on a gate, located in the pore domain. The recent structures provide insight into the conformational changes each domain undergoes in the process of gating (i.e., pore opening) or stimuli detection (e.g., cyclic nucleotide binding) and how these processes are coupled to one another.

Modular scheme for gating. Channels may be conceptualized as a series of energetically coupled "modules," each capable of transitioning between different conformations (Horrigan and Aldrich, 2002; Craven and Zagotta, 2004; DeBerg et al., 2016). The conformation adopted by one module influences the favorability of an associated module adopting a particular conformation (Fig. 7). For example, the pore functions as a module that transitions between closed (impermeant) and open (permeant) states. The VSDs, meanwhile, act as modules that transition between hyperpolarized and depolarized states in response to changes in membrane voltage. When occupying the depolarized state, the VSDs of depolarization-activated channels increase the favorability of the pore adopting the open state. This coupling is apparently inverted in HCN channels, where the VSD depolarized state promotes channel closure. Similarly, the CNBDs may be treated as ligand-sensing modules that transition between unliganded and ligand-bound states. The C-linker domains, which connect the CNBDs to the pore, function as "transduction modules," with resting and active states that are influenced by the conformation of the CNBDs. The C-linkers, in turn, influence the state of the pore, and thus serve to energetically couple the CNBDs to the pore. The C-linkers may also directly influence the state of the VSDs, perhaps because of interactions between the C-linker and the S4-S5 linker (Lee and MacKinnon, 2017). Stimuli-sensing modules can be either activating or inhibitory with respect to the pore. For example, the C-linker/CNBD of HCN channels appears to inhibit pore opening when in the resting, unliganded state (Wainger et al., 2001). In truncation mutants whose C-terminal region is deleted, HCN channels exhibit a depolarizing shift in their voltage dependence of activation, similar to the effect of cyclic nucleotide binding to the intact channel.

Gating of the pore

Mutagenesis and accessibility studies have established that the ion permeation gate in HCN and KCNH channels resides near the intracellular end of the pore and is composed of S6 residues (Shin et al., 2001; Rothberg et al., 2002, 2003; Thouta et al., 2014; Fig. 8, A and B). Permeation is controlled through dilation of the gate, which must open sufficiently to accommodate hydrated cations. In HCN channels, which undergo both voltage-dependent and cyclic nucleotide-dependent activation, these processes appear to act on the same gate, rather than separate gates (Shin et al., 2004). CNG channels are distinct in that they lack an intracellular ion permeation gate, despite this part of their pore undergoing dilation during channel activation (Flynn and Zagotta, 2001, 2003; Contreras and Holmgren, 2006; Contreras et al., 2008). What structural changes occur in the pore to gate ion permeation, and how do these changes compare between the different subfamilies? Comparison of the closed- and open-state CNBD channel structures helps address these questions.

Comparison of open and closed channel structures. Of the five CNBD channel structures, three (rEAG1, hHCN1, LliK) have permeation pathways that are



Figure 7. Modular gating scheme for ion channel function. CNBD channels may be treated as a collection of energetically coupled modules, each capable of independently transitioning between different states. The VSDs can adopt hyperpolarized (H) or depolarized (D) states; the CNBDs can adopt unbound (U) or bound (B) states; the C-linker can adopt a resting (R) or active (A) state; and the pore can adopt a closed (C) or open (O) state. The conformation adopted by a given module influences the favorability of connected modules occupying a particular state. Energetic coupling between modules is indicated by the double-headed arrows, and equilibration of a module between different conformational states is indicated by the single-headed arrows.

tightly constricted by one or more S6 residues (Fig. 8, A–C), consistent with a closed state. In rEAG1, which is in complex with inhibitory Ca^{2+} -CaM, an intracellular gate is clearly formed by S6 residue Gln476 (Thouta et al., 2014; Fig. 8, A and B), which constricts the pore diameter to <1 Å. In hHCN1, which is closed in the absence of a hyperpolarizing potential, the pore is constricted to 1–2-Å diameter by three residues (Val390, Thr394, and Gln398) lining one face of S6 (Fig. 8, B and C). As expected, these constriction points lie along



Figure 8. Comparison of pore domains in CNBD channels. (A) Structural alignment of rEAG1 and hERG1 pore domains, with intracellular gating residues (Gln476 in rEAG1; Gln664 in hERG1) labeled and shown as sticks. VSDs from one subunit are also shown. Arrows highlight the relative displacement of the hERG1 S5 and S6 helices toward the VSD to permit dilation of the intracellular gate. (B) Structural alignment of the rEAG1 and hHCN1 pore domains, with gating residues (Gln476 in rEAG1; Val390, Thr394, and Gln398 in hHCN1) shown as sticks and overlapping gating residues (Gln476 in rEAG1; Gln398 in hHCN1) highlighted by a dashed circle. (C) Structural alignment of the hHCN1 and LliK pore domains, with gating residues (Tyr224 and Ile228 in LliK; Val390, Thr394, and Gln398 in hHCN1) shown as sticks, and overlapping gating residues (Val390 in hHCN1, Ile228 in LliK) highlighted by a dashed circle. (D) Structural alignment of hERG1 and TAX-4 pore domains, with the hERG1 gating residue Gln664 shown as sticks.

the intracellular half of S6, with the most C-terminal point (Gln398) aligning with the Gln476 gating residue in rEAG1 (Fig. 8 B). The LliK pore is unusual in that its two constricting S6 residues (Tyr224 and Ile228) reside near the membrane center, with the more C-terminal residue (Ile228) aligning with the most N-terminal constriction point in hHCN1 (Val390; Fig. 8 C). The closed permeation pathway in LliK is also unexpected, as this structure was determined in the presence of saturating cAMP concentrations.

The two remaining CNBD channel structures (hERG1 and TAX-4) are dilated at the intracellular end of their pore (Fig. 8, A and D), consistent with an open state. Dilation is made possible by a kink in S6, which occurs at a conserved Gly "hinge" residue (Gly648 in hERG1, Gly399 in TAX-4) located just below the selectivity filter. Relative to rEAG1, the entire permeation pathway in hERG1 below the selectivity filter is substantially wider (Wang and MacKinnon, 2017), particularly at the conserved Gln residue (Gln664 in hERG1), whose counterpart in rEAG1 serves as the gate (Fig. 8 A). Comparison of hERG1 and rEAG1 offers a simple model for what structural changes occur in the pore during channel activation. In the closed (rEAG1) state, the S6 helices are relatively straight, bringing the Gln gating residues into close proximity (<1-Å pore diameter). In transitioning to the open (hERG1) state, the S6 helices develop a kink at the conserved Gly hinge residue, allowing them (along with the intracellular half of S5) to bend away from the permeation pathway and toward the VSDs. Consequently, the gating residues are moved apart, dilating the pore in this region to ~11-Å diameter, more than sufficient to accommodate hydrated cations. The pore domains of hHCN1 and LliK also possess the conserved Gly hinge residue (Gly382 in hHCN1, Gly220 in LliK) and may undergo a similar structural change to control ion permeation.

Gating at the selectivity filter. Although dilation at the intracellular end of the pore is associated with activation in eukaryotic CNG channels, it does not directly control ion permeation (Flynn and Zagotta, 2001, 2003; Contreras and Holmgren, 2006). Instead, the selectivity filter itself appears to act as the gate in these channels (Contreras et al., 2008). In TAX-4, the intracellular ends of the S6 helices are bent away from the symmetry axis, similar to hERG1 (Fig. 8 D), suggesting that the TAX-4 structure indeed represents an open state. However, in the absence of an unliganded, closed-state structure, it remains unclear what changes the selectivity filter undergoes to control ion permeation or how cyclic nucleotide binding induces these changes. Scanning cysteine accessibility measurements in the bovine rod CNG channel suggest that the pore helix rotates along its axis during activation (Liu and Siegelbaum,

Voltage-dependent gating

In Kv1-9 channels, where the transmembrane region is domain-swapped, voltage-dependent gating is likely mediated by the helical S4-S5 linker, which runs parallel to the membrane surface and contacts the pore-lining S6 helix (Long et al., 2007). Upon membrane depolarization, S4 gating residues pass through the charge-transfer center as S4 moves up toward the membrane extracellular surface (Guo et al., 2016; Kintzer and Stroud, 2016). This motion is thought to be coupled to movement of the S4-S5 linker and consequently S6, forcing (or permitting) S6 to bend at a conserved Pro-Val-Pro "hinge" motif to open the intracellular gate (Long et al., 2005b). The transmembrane region of CNBD channels differs from K_v1–9 channels in several respects: (a) the transmembrane region is non-domain-swapped; (b) the S4-S5 linker is a short loop that does not interact extensively with S6; and (c) the S6 helix lacks the Pro-Val-Pro hinge motif. Together, these differences indicate that CNBD channels use a different mechanism to couple voltage sensing to pore gating.

Depolarization activation. The VSDs of rEAG1 are structurally similar to their counterparts in K_v1-9 channels. In rEAG1, S4 consists of a short 3_{10} helix flanked by α-helical components at either end (Fig. 9 A) and contains six basic residues: Lys327 (K1), Arg330 (R2), Arg333 (R3), Arg336 (R4), Arg339 (R5), and Lys340. A charge-transfer center is also observed within the rEAG1 VSD, composed of residues Phe261 and Asp264 on S2 and Asp299 on S3 (Fig. 9 A). R4 resides within the charge-transfer center, whereas K1-R3 lie above, near the extracellular face of the channel. Mutational studies of closely related hERG1 have demonstrated that only K1-R3 are required for voltage-dependent gating (Zhang et al., 2004), and because these residues sit above the charge-transfer center in rEAG1, its VSDs likely adopt a depolarized conformation. However, because of the inhibitory effects of Ca2+-CaM, the pore remains closed. The VSDs of hERG1 are virtually identical to those of rEAG1, including the presence of a charge-transfer center composed of S2 and S3 residues, along with position and orientation of the S4 gating residues (Fig. 9 A). As such, its VSDs are also likely in a depolarized conformation, and the hERG1 intracellular gate is open.

Comparison of rEAG1 and hERG1 suggests a possible mechanism for depolarization activation in CNBD channels (Wang and MacKinnon, 2017). In both channels, the S5 and S6 helices form extensive contacts along their entire lengths, whereas S4 and S5 interact at their intracellular ends (Fig. 8 A). During voltage-dependent activation, S4 translates vertically through the membrane



Figure 9. Voltage-sensor domains of CNBD channels. In top panels, the C-terminal portion of S3 is omitted for clarity, and S2 residues (Phe, Asp) contributing to the charge-transfer center and basic residues along S4 (Arg, Lys) are shown as sticks. In bottom panels, the VSDs are rotated by 45° relative to the top panels, with the S4 helices individually colored. (A) Structurally aligned VSDs of rEAG1 and hERG1, with rEAG1 charge-transfer center residues Phe261 and Asp264, along with residue Arg336 (R4) occupying the charge-transfer center, labeled in the top panel. (B) VSD of hHCN1, with residue Arg273 (R5) occupying the charge-transfer center labeled in the top panel. (C) VSD of TAX-4, with the three regions of S4 (S4a, S4b, and S4c) labeled in the bottom panel. (D) VSD of LliK.

(Long et al., 2005b, 2007; Guo et al., 2016), and given the S4-S5 and S5-S6 interactions, S4 movement could be communicated indirectly via S5 to the intracellular end of S6 (near the permeation gate). Within this framework, two possibilities arise. The VSD could function as an inhibitory module where, in the hyperpolarized state, S4 exerts force on S5 (and consequently S6) to hold the permeation gate closed. Alternatively, the VSD could behave as an activating module where, in the depolarized state, it exerts force on S5-S6 to pull the gate open. In both cases, no covalent connection between S4 and S5 is required, as it is the interaction between S4 and S5 (rather than their covalent linkage) that communicates force between the VSD and the pore. This could explain the ability of "split" KCNH channels, where the S4-S5 linker is severed, to assemble into functional, voltage-gated channels (Lörinczi et al., 2015; Tomczak et al., 2017). Notably, splitting EAG1 near the C-terminal end of S4 produces a constitutively open channel, arguing in favor of the VSD acting as an inhibitory module (Tomczak et al., 2017).

Hyperpolarization activation. The VSDs of HCN channels behave like their counterparts in depolarization-activated channels, with S4 moving up toward the membrane extracellular surface upon depolarization and down toward the intracellular surface upon hyperpolarization (Männikkö et al., 2002; Vemana et al., 2004). The VSDs of hHCN1 are also structurally similar to the VSDs of depolarization-activated rEAG1 and hERG1, with the exception that the S4 helix in hHCN1 is considerably longer, extended on its intracellular end by several α -helical turns (Fig. 9 B). The charge-transfer center of hHCN1 is also occupied by voltage-sensing residue R5 (Arg273), rather than R4 as in the rEAG1

and hERG1 structures (Fig. 9, A and B). As in rEAG1 and hERG1, the VSDs in hHCN1 likely adopt a depolarized conformation, probably because of the lack of an electrical potential, which for HCN channels is associated with channel closure. Given these similarities, what is the structural basis for inverse gating in HCN channels? Why is the depolarized VSD conformation in hHCN1 coupled to pore closure, when a similar conformation is coupled to pore opening in rEAG1 and hERG1? One possibility is that inverse gating involves interactions between S4 (or the S4-S5 linker) and the C-linker elbow motif below it (Prole and Yellen, 2006; Kwan et al., 2012; Lee and MacKinnon, 2017). However, HCN channels lacking the C-linker and CNBD still exhibit hyperpolarization activation (Wainger et al., 2001). Without a hyperpolarized-state HCN channel structure, it remains unclear how S4 translation toward the intracellular membrane surface would result in opening of the pore.

Voltage insensitivity. TAX-4 is largely insensitive to the membrane potential (Fig. 2 A; Paoletti et al., 1999), despite its VSDs sharing many structural features with those found in voltage-gated CNBD channels (Fig. 9 C). In particular, the TAX-4 VSDs contain a charge-transfer center composed of residues Tyr215 (S2), Asp218 (S2), and Asp253 (S3), which is occupied by an S4 basic residue (Arg286). Other basic residues are found along S4 both above (Arg280, Arg283) and below (Lys288, Arg289, Arg291, Arg296) the charge-transfer center. However, the S4 helix of TAX-4 is uniquely structured (Fig. 9 C) and can be divided into three distinct regions: an N-terminal loop (S4a), a central 3_{10} helix (S4b), and a C-terminal α -helix (S4c). Between S4b and S4c, the

helix kinks sharply, allowing S4c to lie nearly parallel to the membrane surface. Voltage insensitivity could be a consequence of the unusual, segmented nature of S4, which might prevent it from translating vertically through membrane in response to changes in the membrane potential. However, substitution of the *Drosophila* EAG channel S4 helix with its counterpart from the rat olfactory CNG channel produced a functioning, voltage-dependent channel (Tang and Papazian, 1997), suggesting that VSD elements besides S4 contribute to voltage insensitivity in CNG channels.

The VSDs of LliK share a common architecture with other CNBD channel VSDs (Fig. 9 D). The LliK VSDs appear to adopt a depolarized conformation, with S4 containing four conserved basic residues (Arg122, Lys125, Arg128, and Arg135). Structurally, the S4 helices of LliK appear most similar to those of rEAG1, and contain a central 3_{10} -helical segment flanked by α -helical segments at either end. The LliK S4 helix also kinks slightly near its C terminus, although not to the same extent as in TAX-4. LliK is putatively voltage insensitive, based on its homology to other bacterial CNG channels (Brams et al., 2014). However, the electrophysiological properties of LliK have not yet been characterized, which may reveal unexpected voltage dependence.

Cyclic nucleotide-dependent gating

Before the determination of intact CNG and HCN channel structures, our understanding of cyclic nucleotide-dependent activation was based primarily on HCN channel C-terminal fragments (Zagotta et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2010; Akimoto et al., 2014; Puljung et al., 2014; Saponaro et al., 2014; Goldschen-Ohm et al., 2016). These structures revealed that upon cyclic nucleotide binding to the β -roll, the B- and C-helices rotate as a single unit toward the binding pocket, allowing the C-helix to interact with the cyclic nucleotide base moiety. However, the fragment structures fail to reveal any large-scale changes in the C-linker domain between the apo and ligand-bound states, making it unclear how cyclic nucleotide binding is communicated to the pore domain to control ion permeation. The recent intact CNBD channel structures support a mechanism wherein the entire C-terminal region rotates relative to the pore to control opening of the permeation gate.

Comparison of intact channels to fragment structures. The structure of hHCN1 was determined in both the unliganded and cAMP-bound states (Lee and MacKinnon, 2017), and most of the structural differences between these two states are localized to the CNBDs. Here, the B- and C-helices are rotated away from β -roll in the unliganded state (Fig. 10 A), similar to the apo structures of isolated CNBDs from human HCN2 (Saponaro et al., 2014), mouse HCN2 (Goldschen-Ohm et al., 2016), and human HCN4 (Akimoto et al., 2014). In the

cAMP-bound structure, which is nearly identical to the fragment structure of cAMP-bound mouse HCN2 (Fig. 10 B; Zagotta et al., 2003), these helices are moved toward the β -roll cavity to permit interaction between the C-helix and cAMP, which is docked into the β -roll in an *anti* configuration (Fig. 10 A). In the cAMP-bound hHCN1 structure, two additional helices (D- and E-helix) were also observed following the C-helix (not depicted), which interact with both the C-helix and the β -roll. The C-helix and nearby sites on the β -roll, along with residues at the very C terminus of HCN, form interactions with the trafficking protein TRIP8b (Santoro et al., 2011; Saponaro et al., 2014; DeBerg et al., 2015; Han et al., 2017), although it is unclear what role the D- and E-helices play in TRIP8b binding.

As with HCN channels, the structures of KCNH channel intracellular fragments (i.e., eag domain, C-linker/CNBHD) correctly predicted many of the features observed in the rEAG1 and hERG1 structures. For example, the fragment structures correctly predicted the presence of an intrinsic ligand within the β -roll binding pocket that mimics a bound cyclic nucleotide (Brelidze et al., 2012, 2013; Marques-Carvalho et al., 2012; Fig. 10, C and D), as well as the interaction between the N-terminal eag domain and the C-terminal CNBHD (Haitin et al., 2013; Whicher and MacKinnon, 2016; Wang and MacKinnon, 2017; Fig. 10 D).

Cyclic nucleotide-dependent activation. Both the fragment and full-length HCN channel structures show that cyclic nucleotide binding to the β-roll causes the B- and C-helices to rotate as a rigid body, bringing the C-helix into contact with the base moiety (Fig. 10 A). How is this structural change within the CNBD communicated to the C-linker? The recent hHCN1 channel structures provide insight into this mechanism. As in the HCN channel fragment structures (Zagotta et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2010; Lolicato et al., 2011), the C-linker E'- and F'-helices are closely associated with the CNBDs in hHCN1, stacking atop the B- and C-helices. Rotation of the B- and C-helices toward the β-roll may exert an upward force on the E'- and F'-helices, moving them closer to the elbow-on-shoulder gating ring beneath the pore (Fig. 10 A). The C-linker/CNBD of HCN channels acts as an inhibitory domain that maintains the pore in a closed state (Wainger et al., 2001), and force exerted by the E'- and F'-helices may alter the structure of the gating ring to relieve this inhibition.

What structural changes does the C-linker gating ring undergo to influence the pore? Comparison of the unliganded and cAMP-bound hHCN1 structures reveals a subtle, counterclockwise rotation (viewed top-down) of the intracellular domains relative to the transmembrane region (Fig. 11 A). This rotation is in opposition to the right-handed twist of the S6 helical bundle, suggesting that further rotation could dilate the bundle near the



Figure 10. Comparison of intracellular domains. (A) Structural alignment of unliganded (PDB ID: 5U6O) and cAMP-bound hHCN1 CNBDs, with cAMP shown in yellow sticks. Arrows highlight the rotation of the C-helix toward the $\beta\text{-roll}$ after cyclic nucleotide binding, along with upward movement of the C-linker E'- and F'-helices. (B) Structural alignment of the cAMP-bound CNBDs from hHCN1 and mouse HCN2 (PDB ID: 1Q5O), which adopt essentially identical conformations. Bound cAMP shown in yellow sticks. (C) Structural alignment of the cAMP-bound hHCN1 CNBD and the rEAG1 CNBHD. Bound cAMP is shown in yellow sticks, and the rEAG1 intrinsic ligand residues (Tyr672-Asn673-Leu674) are shown as sticks colored like the rEAG1 ribbon structure. (D) Structural alignment of the rEAG1 eag domain (orange) + CNBHD (blue, from an adjacent subunit) with the cocrystal structure of the mEAG1 eag domain + CNBHD (gray, PDB ID: 4LLO). Intrinsic ligand residues (yellow for rEAG1, gray for mEAG1) are shown as sticks.

intracellular gate to allow ion permeation. Comparison of the rEAG1 and hERG1 structures (which possess closed and open intracellular gates, respectively) reveals a more dramatic counterclockwise rotation of the intracellular domains (Fig. 11 B), consistent with the structural change suggested by the unliganded and cAMP-bound hHCN1 structures (Fig. 11 A). Together, these results support a "twist-open" model of channel regulation (Fig. 12), where binding of cyclic nucleotides to the CNBDs induces a large-scale rotation of the intracellular region that unwinds the right-handed S6 helical bundle to dilate the intracellular gate. In the hHCN1 structures, where the cAMP-bound intracellular domain is rotated only slightly relative to its unliganded counterpart, the pore is presumably held closed by the depolarized-state VSDs, which could, in turn, prevent the cAMP-bound intracellular domains from rotating further. Rotation of the intracellular domains

has also been proposed as a mechanism for coupling cyclic nucleotide binding to pore gating in CNG channels (Wainger et al., 2001), suggesting that all three CNBD subfamilies use this mechanism for coupling their Cterminal region to the pore.

Open questions

The recent structures of CNBD channels provide insight into mechanisms of ion selectivity, voltage-dependent gating, and ligand-dependent gating within this channel family. In particular, the structures suggest a mechanistic framework for depolarization activation in rEAG1 and hERG1 that involves direct interactions between the S4, S5, and S6 helices, made possible by the non-domain-swapped transmembrane architecture adopted by these channels. For ligand-dependent activation, comparison of the apo and cAMP-bound hHCN1 structures, together with the rEAG1 and hERG1 struc-



Figure 11. Rotation of the C-terminal domains associated with CNBD channel gating. (A) Top-down view of the unliganded and cAMP-bound hHCN1 structures aligned by their pore domains, with the view clipped to highlight the C-linker domains. Alignment reveals a subtle counterclockwise rotation of the C-linker/CNBD in cAMP-bound hHCN1, relative to the apo structure. (B) Top-down view of the rEAG1 (closed gate) and hERG1 (open gate) structures aligned by their pore domains, with the view clipped as in A. Alignment reveals a dramatic counterclockwise rotation of the hERG1 C-linker/ CNBHD relative to rEAG1.



Figure 12. Proposed mechanism for cyclic nucleotide-dependent activation of CNBD channels. In the absence of cyclic nucleotides (left), the C-linker/ CNBD adopts a resting state that exerts a tonic inhibitory force on the pore domain, maintaining it in the closed state. Cyclic nucleotide binding to the CNBDs promotes the transition of the C-linker to its active state, relieving this inhibition. The dis-inhibited pore domain can now dilate at the intracellular gate, inducing a counterclockwise rotation of the C-terminal domains.

tures, suggest a twist-open mechanism wherein cyclic nucleotide binding is communicated via the C-linker E'- and F'-helices to the elbow-on-shoulder gating ring to relieve the tonic, inhibitory force it exerts on the intracellular gate. However, the following questions remain unanswered.

CNG channel gating at the selectivity filter. Mammalian CNG channels do not use an intracellular gate to control ion permeation, and instead the selectivity filter appears to be responsible for obstructing current flow. If CNG channels use the twist-open mechanism, it is unclear how rotation of the intracellular domains is propagated up to the selectivity filter to gate the channel.

HCN channel hyperpolarization activation. It remains unclear how downward movement of S4 upon membrane hyperpolarization would influence the S5 and S6 helices to activate the channel, and whether interactions between the VSD and the C-linker (as observed in the hHCN1 structure) play a role in hyperpolarization activation.

KNCH channel regulation by the PAS domain. The N-terminal eag domain of KCNH channels has profound effects on the activation and deactivation properties of these channels. The rEAG1 and hERG1 channel structures have confirmed that the eag domains interact directly with the C-terminal CNBHDs, although it is not clear how this interaction influences channel gating.

Conclusions. Although high-resolution structures offer valuable insight into channel architecture, allowing for the rationalization of other biophysical data (e.g., mutagenesis and accessibility data) and the creation of detailed mechanistic hypotheses, they are effectively static channel "snapshots" that provide little energetic information. Understanding the coupling between channel modules, along with the energetics of confor-

mational changes within each module, is crucial to understanding the mechanism of CNBD channel function. To this end, electrophysiological measurements provide a wealth of functional and energetic information, although it is often difficult to interpret these results in terms of channel structure. Biophysical approaches that yield both structural and energetic information, particularly spectroscopic techniques such as fluorescence-resonance energy transfer and double electron-electron resonance (DEER) spectroscopy, will prove valuable for developing truly comprehensive models for channel function.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Galen Flynn for providing the electrophysiological data shown in Fig. 2 and for critically reading the manuscript. We also acknowledge Drs. Jacob Morgan and Gucan Dai for critically reading the manuscript.

This publication was supported by National Eye Institute grant R01EY010329 (to W.N. Zagotta) and National Institute of Mental Health grant R01MH102378 (to W.N. Zagotta). Molecular graphics were generated with the UCSF Chimera software package. Chimera is developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco (supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences grant P41GM103311).

The authors declare no competing financial interests. Lesley C. Anson served as editor.

Submitted: 5 September 2017 Accepted: 28 November 2017

REFERENCES

- Akimoto, M., Z. Zhang, S. Boulton, R. Selvaratnam, B. VanSchouwen, M. Gloyd, E.A. Accili, O.F. Lange, and G. Melacini. 2014. A mechanism for the auto-inhibition of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channel opening and its relief by cAMP. J. Biol. Chem. 289:22205–22220. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M114.572164
- Altomare, C., B. Terragni, C. Brioschi, R. Milanesi, C. Pagliuca, C. Viscomi, A. Moroni, M. Baruscotti, and D. DiFrancesco. 2003. Heteromeric HCN1-HCN4 channels: a comparison with native

pacemaker channels from the rabbit sinoatrial node. J. Physiol. 549:347–359. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2002.027698

- Anderson, J.A., S.S. Huprikar, L.V. Kochian, W.J. Lucas, and R.F. Gaber. 1992. Functional expression of a probable Arabidopsis thaliana potassium channel in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 89:3736–3740. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.89.9.3736
- Bankston, J.R., S.S. Camp, F. DiMaio, A.S. Lewis, D.M. Chetkovich, and W.N. Zagotta. 2012. Structure and stoichiometry of an accessory subunit TRIP8b interaction with hyperpolarizationactivated cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 109:7899–7904. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1201997109
- Benarroch, E.E. 2013. HCN channels: Function and clinical implications. *Neurology*. 80:304–310. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e31827dec42
- Biel, M., C. Wahl-Schott, S. Michalakis, and X. Zong. 2009. Hyperpolarization-activated cation channels: From genes to function. *Physiol. Rev.* 89:847–885. https://doi.org/10.1152/ physrev.00029.2008
- Bradley, J., W. Bönigk, K.-W. Yau, and S. Frings. 2004. Calmodulin permanently associates with rat olfactory CNG channels under native conditions. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7:705–710. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nn1266
- Brams, M., J. Kusch, R. Spurny, K. Benndorf, and C. Ulens. 2014. Family of prokaryote cyclic nucleotide-modulated ion channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111:7855–7860. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1401917111
- Brelidze, T.I., A.E. Carlson, and W.N. Zagotta. 2009. Absence of direct cyclic nucleotide modulation of mEAG1 and hERG1 channels revealed with fluorescence and electrophysiological methods. J. Biol. Chem. 284:27989–27997. https://doi.org/10 .1074/jbc.M109.016337
- Brelidze, T.I., A.E. Carlson, B. Sankaran, and W.N. Zagotta. 2012. Structure of the carboxy-terminal region of a KCNH channel. *Nature*. 481:530–533. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10735
- Brelidze, T.I., E.C. Gianulis, F. DiMaio, M.C. Trudeau, and W.N. Zagotta. 2013. Structure of the C-terminal region of an ERG channel and functional implications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 110:11648–11653. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1306887110
- Brüggemann, A., L.A. Pardo, W. Stühmer, and O. Pongs. 1993. Ether-à-go-go encodes a voltage-gated channel permeable to K+ and Ca2+ and modulated by cAMP. *Nature*. 365:445–448. https:// doi.org/10.1038/365445a0
- Burns, M.E., A. Mendez, J. Chen, and D.A. Baylor. 2002. Dynamics of cyclic GMP synthesis in retinal rods. *Neuron*. 36:81–91. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00911-X
- Clayton, G.M., S. Altieri, L. Heginbotham, V.M. Unger, and J.H. Morais-Cabral. 2008. Structure of the transmembrane regions of a bacterial cyclic nucleotide-regulated channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 105:1511–1515. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711533105
- Contreras, J.E., and M. Holmgren. 2006. Access of quaternary ammonium blockers to the internal pore of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels: Implications for the location of the gate. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 127:481–494. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200509440
- Contreras, J.E., D. Srikumar, and M. Holmgren. 2008. Gating at the selectivity filter in cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 105:3310–3314. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0709809105
- Craven, K.B., and W.N. Zagotta. 2004. Salt bridges and gating in the COOH-terminal region of HCN2 and CNGA1 channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 124:663–677. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200409178
- Craven, K.B., and W.N. Zagotta. 2006. CNG and HCN channels: Two peas, one pod. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 68:375–401. https://doi .org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.68.040104.134728

- Craven, K.B., N.B. Olivier, and W.N. Zagotta. 2008. C-terminal movement during gating in cyclic nucleotide-modulated channels. J. Biol. Chem. 283:14728–14738. https://doi.org/10 .1074/jbc.M710463200
- Dai, G., and M.D. Varnum. 2015. Cyclic Nucleotide-Gated Channels. In The Handbook of Ion Channels. J. Zheng and M.C. Trudeau, editors. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 361–382.
- Dai, G., and W.N. Zagotta. 2017. Molecular mechanism of voltagedependent potentiation of KCNH potassium channels. *eLife*. 6:e26355. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26355
- DeBerg, H.A., J.R. Bankston, J.C. Rosenbaum, P.S. Brzovic, W.N. Zagotta, and S. Stoll. 2015. Structural mechanism for the regulation of HCN ion channels by the accessory protein TRIP8b. *Structure*. 23:734–744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2015.02.007
- DeBerg, H.A., P.S. Brzovic, G.E. Flynn, W.N. Zagotta, and S. Stoll. 2016. Structure and energetics of allosteric regulation of HCN2 ion channels by cyclic nucleotides. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291:371–381. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.696450
- Derebe, M.G., D.B. Sauer, W. Zeng, A. Alam, N. Shi, and Y. Jiang. 2011b. Tuning the ion selectivity of tetrameric cation channels by changing the number of ion binding sites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 108:598–602. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013636108
- Derebe, M.G., W. Zeng, Y. Li, A. Alam, and Y. Jiang. 2011a. Structural studies of ion permeation and Ca2+ blockage of a bacterial channel mimicking the cyclic nucleotide-gated channel pore. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 108:592–597. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013643108
- Dhallan, R.S., K.-W. Yau, K.A. Schrader, and R.R. Reed. 1990. Primary structure and functional expression of a cyclic nucleotideactivated channel from olfactory neurons. *Nature*. 347:184–187. https://doi.org/10.1038/347184a0
- Doyle, D.A., J. Morais Cabral, R.A. Pfuetzner, A. Kuo, J.M. Gulbis, S.L. Cohen, B.T. Chait, and R. MacKinnon. 1998. The structure of the potassium channel: Molecular basis of K⁺ conduction and selectivity. *Science*. 280:69–77. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .280.5360.69
- Dzeja, C., V. Hagen, U.B. Kaupp, and S. Frings. 1999. Ca²⁺ permeation in cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *EMBO J.* 18:131–144. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.1.131
- Elinder, F., R. Männikkö, S. Pandey, and H.P. Larsson. 2006. Mode shifts in the voltage gating of the mouse and human HCN2 and HCN4 channels. *J. Physiol.* 575:417–431. https://doi.org/10.1113 /jphysiol.2006.110437
- Fesenko, E.E., S.S. Kolesnikov, and A.L. Lyubarsky. 1985. Induction by cyclic GMP of cationic conductance in plasma membrane of retinal rod outer segment. *Nature*. 313:310–313. https://doi.org /10.1038/313310a0
- Flynn, G.E., and W.N. Zagotta. 2001. Conformational changes in S6 coupled to the opening of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Neuron*. 30:689–698. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896 -6273(01)00324-5
- Flynn, G.E., and W.N. Zagotta. 2003. A cysteine scan of the inner vestibule of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels reveals architecture and rearrangement of the pore. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 121:563–582. https ://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200308819
- Flynn, G.E., K.D. Black, L.D. Islas, B. Sankaran, and W.N. Zagotta. 2007. Structure and rearrangements in the carboxy-terminal region of SpIH channels. *Structure*. 15:671–682. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.str.2007.04.008
- Frings, S., R. Seifert, M. Godde, and U.B. Kaupp. 1995. Profoundly different calcium permeation and blockage determine the specific function of distinct cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Neuron*. 15:169–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(95)90074-8
- Ganetzky, B., G.A. Robertson, G.F. Wilson, M.C. Trudeau, and S.A. Titus. 1999. The eag family of K+ channels in Drosophila and

mammals. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 868(1):356–369. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb11297.x

- Gauss, R., R. Seifert, and U.B. Kaupp. 1998. Molecular identification of a hyperpolarization-activated channel in sea urchin sperm. *Nature*. 393:583–587. https://doi.org/10.1038/31248
- Gaymard, F., M. Cerutti, C. Horeau, G. Lemaillet, S. Urbach, M. Ravallec, G. Devauchelle, H. Sentenac, and J.-B. Thibaud. 1996. The baculovirus/insect cell system as an alternative to Xenopus oocytes. First characterization of the AKT1 K+ channel from Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Biol. Chem. 271:22863–22870. https://doi .org/10.1074/jbc.271.37.22863
- Gianulis, E.C., Q. Liu, and M.C. Trudeau. 2013. Direct interaction of eag domains and cyclic nucleotide–binding homology domains regulate deactivation gating in hERG channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 142:351–366.
- Goldschen-Ohm, M.P., V.A. Klenchin, D.S. White, J.B. Cowgill, Q. Cui, R.H. Goldsmith, and B. Chanda. 2016. Structure and dynamics underlying elementary ligand binding events in human pacemaking channels. *eLife*. 5:e20797. https://doi.org/10.7554/ eLife.20797
- Gordon, S.E., and W.N. Zagotta. 1995. Localization of regions affecting an allosteric transition in cyclic nucleotide-activated channels. *Neuron.* 14:857–864. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896 -6273(95)90229-5
- Gordon, S.E., J.C. Oakley, M.D. Varnum, and W.N. Zagotta. 1996. Altered ligand specificity by protonation in the ligand binding domain of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Biochemistry*. 35:3994–4001. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi952607b
- Goulding, E.H., J. Ngai, R.H. Kramer, S. Colicos, R. Axel, S.A. Siegelbaum, and A. Chess. 1992. Molecular cloning and singlechannel properties of the cyclic nucleotide-gated channel from catfish olfactory neurons. *Neuron*. 8:45–58. https://doi.org/10 .1016/0896-6273(92)90107-O
- Greene, D., S. Kang, A. Kosenko, and N. Hoshi. 2012. Adrenergic regulation of HCN4 channel requires protein association with β2-adrenergic receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287:23690–23697. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.366955
- Guo, J., W. Zeng, Q. Chen, C. Lee, L. Chen, Y. Yang, C. Cang, D. Ren, and Y. Jiang. 2016. Structure of the voltage-gated two-pore channel TPC1 from Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature*. 531:196–201. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16446
- Gustina, A.S., and M.C. Trudeau. 2009. A recombinant N-terminal domain fully restores deactivation gating in N-truncated and long QT syndrome mutant hERG potassium channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 106:13082–13087. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0900180106
- Gustina, A.S., and M.C. Trudeau. 2011. hERG potassium channel gating is mediated by N- and C-terminal region interactions. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 137:315–325. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp .201010582
- Haitin, Y., A.E. Carlson, and W.N. Zagotta. 2013. The structural mechanism of KCNH-channel regulation by the eag domain. *Nature*. 501:444–448. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12487
- Han, Y., R.J. Heuermann, K.A. Lyman, D. Fisher, Q.-A. Ismail, and D.M. Chetkovich. 2017. HCN-channel dendritic targeting requires bipartite interaction with TRIP8b and regulates antidepressant-like behavioral effects. *Mol. Psychiatry*. 22:458–465. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.99
- Hille, B. 2001. Ionic channels of excitable membranes. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Mass.
- Hite, R.K., and R. MacKinnon. 2017. Structural titration of Slo2.2, a Na+-dependent K+ channel. *Cell*. 168:390–399.e11. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.12.030
- Horrigan, F.T., and R.W. Aldrich. 2002. Coupling between voltage sensor activation, Ca²⁺ binding and channel opening in large

conductance (BK) potassium channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 120:267–305. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.20028605

- Hoshi, T. 1995. Regulation of voltage dependence of the KAT1 channel by intracellular factors. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 105:309–328. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.105.3.309
- Hu, L., B. Santoro, A. Saponaro, H. Liu, A. Moroni, and S. Siegelbaum. 2013. Binding of the auxiliary subunit TRIP8b to HCN channels shifts the mode of action of cAMP. J. Gen. Physiol. 142:599–612. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201311013
- Ildefonse, M., S. Crouzy, and N. Bennett. 1992. Gating of retinal rod cation channel by different nucleotides: Comparative study of unitary currents. *J. Membr. Biol.* 130:91–104. https://doi.org /10.1007/BF00233741
- James, Z.M., A.J. Borst, Y. Haitin, B. Frenz, F. DiMaio, W.N. Zagotta, and D. Veesler. 2017. CryoEM structure of a prokaryotic cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 114:4430– 4435. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700248114
- Karpen, J.W., A.L. Zimmerman, L. Stryer, and D.A. Baylor. 1988. Gating kinetics of the cyclic-GMP-activated channel of retinal rods: Flash photolysis and voltage-jump studies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 85:1287–1291. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.4.1287
- Kaupp, U.B., and R. Seifert. 2002. Cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels. *Physiol. Rev.* 82:769–824. https://doi.org/10.1152/ physrev.00008.2002
- Kaupp, U.B., T. Niidome, T. Tanabe, S. Terada, W. Bönigk, W. Stühmer, N.J. Cook, K. Kangawa, H. Matsuo, T. Hirose, et al. 1989. Primary structure and functional expression from complementary DNA of the rod photoreceptor cyclic GMP-gated channel. *Nature*. 342:762–766. https://doi.org/10.1038/342762a0
- Kintzer, A.F., and R.M. Stroud. 2016. Structure, inhibition and regulation of two-pore channel TPC1 from Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature*. 531:258–262. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17194
- Kowal, J., M. Chami, P. Baumgartner, M. Arheit, P.-L. Chiu, M. Rangl, S. Scheuring, G.F. Schröder, C.M. Nimigean, and H. Stahlberg. 2014. Ligand-induced structural changes in the cyclic nucleotide-modulated potassium channel MloK1. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3106. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4106
- Kwan, D.C.H., D.L. Prole, and G. Yellen. 2012. Structural changes during HCN channel gating defined by high affinity metal bridges. J. Gen. Physiol. 140:279–291. https://doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.201210838
- Lee, C.-H., and R. MacKinnon. 2017. Structures of the human HCN1 hyperpolarization-activated channel. *Cell*. 168:111–120. e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.12.023
- Lewis, A.S., E. Schwartz, C.S. Chan, Y. Noam, M. Shin, W.J. Wadman, D.J. Surmeier, T.Z. Baram, R.L. Macdonald, and D.M. Chetkovich. 2009. Alternatively spliced isoforms of TRIP8b differentially control h channel trafficking and function. *J. Neurosci.* 29:6250– 6265. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0856-09.2009
- Li, M., X. Zhou, S. Wang, I. Michailidis, Y. Gong, D. Su, H. Li, X. Li, and J. Yang. 2017. Structure of a eukaryotic cyclic-nucleotidegated channel. *Nature*. 542:60–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature20819
- Li, X., A. Anishkin, H. Liu, D.B. van Rossum, S.V. Chintapalli, J.K. Sassic, D. Gallegos, K. Pivaroff-Ward, and T. Jegla. 2015. Bimodal regulation of an Elk subfamily K⁺ channel by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 146:357–374. https://doi.org /10.1085/jgp.201511491
- Liu, J., and S.A. Siegelbaum. 2000. Change of pore helix conformational state upon opening of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Neuron*. 28:899–909. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896 -6273(00)00162-8
- Lolicato, M., M. Nardini, S. Gazzarrini, S. Möller, D. Bertinetti, F.W. Herberg, M. Bolognesi, H. Martin, M. Fasolini, J.A. Bertrand, et al.

2011. Tetramerization dynamics of C-terminal domain underlies isoform-specific cAMP gating in hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286:44811–44820. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.297606

- Long, S.B., E.B. Campbell, and R. Mackinnon. 2005a. Crystal structure of a mammalian voltage-dependent *Shaker* family K⁺ channel. *Science*. 309:897–903. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .1116269
- Long, S.B., E.B. Campbell, and R. Mackinnon. 2005b. Voltage sensor of Kv1.2: Structural basis of electromechanical coupling. *Science*. 309:903–908. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116270
- Long, S.B., X. Tao, E.B. Campbell, and R. MacKinnon. 2007. Atomic structure of a voltage-dependent K+ channel in a lipid membrane-like environment. *Nature*. 450:376–382. https://doi .org/10.1038/nature06265
- Lörinczi, É., J.C. Gómez-Posada, P. de la Peña, A.P. Tomczak, J. Fernández-Trillo, U. Leipscher, W. Stühmer, F. Barros, and L.A. Pardo. 2015. Voltage-dependent gating of KCNH potassium channels lacking a covalent link between voltage-sensing and pore domains. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6672. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms7672
- Ludwig, A., X. Zong, M. Jeglitsch, F. Hofmann, and M. Biel. 1998. A family of hyperpolarization-activated mammalian cation channels. *Nature*. 393:587–591. https://doi.org/10.1038/31255
- Ludwig, J., H. Terlau, F. Wunder, A. Brüggemann, L.A. Pardo, A. Marquardt, W. Stühmer, and O. Pongs. 1994. Functional expression of a rat homologue of the voltage gated either á go-go potassium channel reveals differences in selectivity and activation kinetics between the Drosophila channel and its mammalian counterpart. *EMBO J.* 13:4451–4458.
- Ludwig, J., D. Owen, and O. Pongs. 1997. Carboxy-terminal domain mediates assembly of the voltage-gated rat ether-à-go-go potassium channel. *EMBO J.* 16:6337–6345. https://doi.org/10 .1093/emboj/16.21.6337
- Männikkö, R., F. Elinder, and H.P. Larsson. 2002. Voltage-sensing mechanism is conserved among ion channels gated by opposite voltages. *Nature*. 419:837–841. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature01038
- Männikkö, R., S. Pandey, H.P. Larsson, and F. Elinder. 2005. Hysteresis in the voltage dependence of HCN channels: Conversion between two modes affects pacemaker properties. J. Gen. Physiol. 125:305–326. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp .200409130
- Marques-Carvalho, M.J., N. Sahoo, F.W. Muskett, R.S. Vieira-Pires, G. Gabant, M. Cadene, R. Schönherr, and J.H. Morais-Cabral. 2012. Structural, biochemical, and functional characterization of the cyclic nucleotide binding homology domain from the mouse EAG1 potassium channel. *J. Mol. Biol.* 423:34–46. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.jmb.2012.06.025
- Matthews, H.R., and J. Reisert. 2003. Calcium, the two-faced messenger of olfactory transduction and adaptation. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 13:469–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959 -4388(03)00097-7
- Matulef, K., G.E. Flynn, and W.N. Zagotta. 1999. Molecular rearrangements in the ligand-binding domain of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Neuron*. 24:443–452. https://doi.org /10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80857-0
- McKay, D.B., I.T. Weber, and T.A. Steitz. 1982. Structure of catabolite gene activator protein at 2.9-A resolution. Incorporation of amino acid sequence and interactions with cyclic AMP. J. Biol. Chem. 257:9518–9524.
- Morais-Cabral, J.H., and G.A. Robertson. 2015. The enigmatic cytoplasmic regions of KCNH channels. *J. Mol. Biol.* 427:67–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.08.008

- Munger, S.D., A.P. Lane, H. Zhong, T. Leinders-Zufall, K.-W. Yau, F. Zufall, and R.R. Reed. 2001. Central role of the CNGA4 channel subunit in Ca2+-calmodulin-dependent odor adaptation. *Science*. 294:2172–2175. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1063224
- Nakamura, T., and G.H. Gold. 1987. A cyclic nucleotide-gated conductance in olfactory receptor cilia. *Nature*. 325:442–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/325442a0
- Napolitano, L.M.R., I. Bisha, M. De March, A. Marchesi, M. Arcangeletti, N. Demitri, M. Mazzolini, A. Rodriguez, A. Magistrato, S. Onesti, et al. 2015. A structural, functional, and computational analysis suggests pore flexibility as the base for the poor selectivity of CNG channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 112:E3619–E3628. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503334112
- Nimigean, C.M., T. Shane, and C. Miller. 2004. A cyclic nucleotide modulated prokaryotic K+ channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 124:203–210. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200409133
- Paoletti, P., E.C. Young, and S.A. Siegelbaum. 1999. C-Linker of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels controls coupling of ligand binding to channel gating. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 113:17–34. https://doi .org/10.1085/jgp.113.1.17
- Pettersen, E.F., T.D. Goddard, C.C. Huang, G.S. Couch, D.M. Greenblatt, E.C. Meng, and T.E. Ferrin. 2004. UCSF Chimera–a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 25:1605–1612. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084
- Prole, D.L., and G. Yellen. 2006. Reversal of HCN channel voltage dependence via bridging of the S4-S5 linker and Post-S6. J. Gen. Physiol. 128:273–282. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200609590
- Puljung, M.C., H.A. DeBerg, W.N. Zagotta, and S. Stoll. 2014. Double electron-electron resonance reveals cAMP-induced conformational change in HCN channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA. 111:9816–9821. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405371111
- Robertson, G.A., J.M. Warmke, and B. Ganetzky. 1996. Potassium currents expressed from Drosophila and mouse eag cDNAs in Xenopus oocytes. *Neuropharmacology*. 35:841–850. https://doi.org /10.1016/0028-3908(96)00113-X
- Root, M.J., and R. MacKinnon. 1993. Identification of an external divalent cation-binding site in the pore of a cGMP-activated channel. *Neuron*. 11:459–466. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896 -6273(93)90150-P
- Root, M.J., and R. MacKinnon. 1994. Two identical noninteracting sites in an ion channel revealed by proton transfer. *Science*. 265:1852–1856. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7522344
- Rothberg, B.S., K.S. Shin, P.S. Phale, and G. Yellen. 2002. Voltage-controlled gating at the intracellular entrance to a hyperpolarization-activated cation channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 119:83–91. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.119.1.83
- Rothberg, B.S., K.S. Shin, and G. Yellen. 2003. Movements near the gate of a hyperpolarization-activated cation channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 122:501–510. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200308928
- Sanguinetti, M.C., and M. Tristani-Firouzi. 2006. hERG potassium channels and cardiac arrhythmia. *Nature*. 440:463–469. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04710
- Sanguinetti, M.C., C. Jiang, M.E. Curran, and M.T. Keating. 1995. A mechanistic link between an inherited and an acquired cardiac arrhythmia: HERG encodes the IKr potassium channel. *Cell*. 81:299–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(95)90340-2
- Santoro, B., D.T. Liu, H. Yao, D. Bartsch, E.R. Kandel, S.A. Siegelbaum, and G.R. Tibbs. 1998. Identification of a gene encoding a hyperpolarization-activated pacemaker channel of brain. *Cell.* 93:717–729. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092 -8674(00)81434-8
- Santoro, B., R.A. Piskorowski, P. Pian, L. Hu, H. Liu, and S.A. Siegelbaum. 2009. TRIP8b splice variants form a family of auxiliary subunits that regulate gating and trafficking of HCN

channels in the brain. *Neuron*. 62:802–813. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.neuron.2009.05.009

- Santoro, B., L. Hu, H. Liu, A. Saponaro, P. Pian, R.A. Piskorowski, A. Moroni, and S.A. Siegelbaum. 2011. TRIP8b regulates HCN1 channel trafficking and gating through two distinct C-terminal interaction sites. J. Neurosci. 31:4074–4086. https://doi.org/10 .1523/JNEUROSCI.5707-10.2011
- Saponaro, A., S.R. Pauleta, F. Cantini, M. Matzapetakis, C. Hammann, C. Donadoni, L. Hu, G. Thiel, L. Banci, B. Santoro, and A. Moroni. 2014. Structural basis for the mutual antagonism of cAMP and TRIP8b in regulating HCN channel function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111:14577–14582. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1410389111
- Schönherr, R., and S.H. Heinemann. 1996. Molecular determinants for activation and inactivation of HERG, a human inward rectifier potassium channel. *J. Physiol.* 493:635–642. https://doi.org/10 .1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021410
- Schönherr, R., K. Löber, and S.H. Heinemann. 2000. Inhibition of human ether à go-go potassium channels by Ca²⁺/calmodulin. *EMBO J.* 19:3263–3271. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.13 .3263
- Sentenac, H., N. Bonneaud, M. Minet, F. Lacroute, J.M. Salmon, F. Gaymard, and C. Grignon. 1992. Cloning and expression in yeast of a plant potassium ion transport system. *Science*. 256:663–665. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1585180
- Shin, K.S., B.S. Rothberg, and G. Yellen. 2001. Blocker state dependence and trapping in hyperpolarization-activated cation channels: Evidence for an intracellular activation gate. J. Gen. Physiol. 117:91–101. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.117.2.91
- Shin, K.S., C. Maertens, C. Proenza, B.S. Rothberg, and G. Yellen. 2004. Inactivation in HCN channels results from reclosure of the activation gate: Desensitization to voltage. *Neuron*. 41:737–744. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(04)00083-2
- Shuart, N.G., Y. Haitin, S.S. Camp, K.D. Black, and W.N. Zagotta. 2011. Molecular mechanism for 3:1 subunit stoichiometry of rod cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels. *Nat. Commun.* 2:457. https ://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1466
- Smith, P.L., T. Baukrowitz, and G. Yellen. 1996. The inward rectification mechanism of the HERG cardiac potassium channel. *Nature*. 379:833–836. https://doi.org/10.1038/379833a0
- Su, Y., W.R.G. Dostmann, F.W. Herberg, K. Durick, N.H. Xuong, L. Ten Eyck, S.S. Taylor, and K.I. Varughese. 1995. Regulatory subunit of protein kinase A: Structure of deletion mutant with cAMP binding domains. *Science*. 269:807–813. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.7638597
- Tan, P.S., M.D. Perry, C.A. Ng, J.I. Vandenberg, and A.P. Hill. 2012. Voltage-sensing domain mode shift is coupled to the activation gate by the N-terminal tail of hERG channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 140:293–306. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201110761
- Tang, C.-Y., and D.M. Papazian. 1997. Transfer of voltage independence from a rat olfactory channel to the *Drosophila* ether-à-go-go K⁺ channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 109:301–311. https:// doi.org/10.1085/jgp.109.3.301
- Tao, X., A. Lee, W. Limapichat, D.A. Dougherty, and R. MacKinnon. 2010. A gating charge transfer center in voltage sensors. *Science*. 328:67–73. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185954
- Tao, X., R.K. Hite, and R. MacKinnon. 2017. Cryo-EM structure of the open high-conductance Ca2+-activated K+ channel. *Nature*. 541:46–51. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20608
- Terlau, H., S.H. Heinemann, W. Stühmer, O. Pongs, and J. Ludwig. 1997. Amino terminal-dependent gating of the potassium channel rat eag is compensated by a mutation in the S4 segment. *J. Physiol.* 502:537–543. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.1997.537bj.x
- Thouta, S., S. Sokolov, Y. Abe, S.J. Clark, Y.M. Cheng, and T.W. Claydon. 2014. Proline scan of the HERG channel S6 helix reveals

the location of the intracellular pore gate. *Biophys. J.* 106:1057–1069. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2014.01.035

- Tibbs, G.R., D.T. Liu, B.G. Leypold, and S.A. Siegelbaum. 1998. A state-independent interaction between ligand and a conserved arginine residue in cyclic nucleotide-gated channels reveals a functional polarity of the cyclic nucleotide binding site. J. Biol. Chem. 273:4497–4505. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.8.4497
- Tomczak, A.P., J. Fernández-Trillo, S. Bharill, F. Papp, G. Panyi, W. Stühmer, E.Y. Isacoff, and L.A. Pardo. 2017. A new mechanism of voltage-dependent gating exposed by Kv10.1 channels interrupted between voltage sensor and pore. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 149:577–593. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201611742
- Trudeau, M.C., and W.N. Zagotta. 2003. Calcium/calmodulin modulation of olfactory and rod cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels. J. Biol. Chem. 278:18705–18708. https://doi.org/10 .1074/jbc.R300001200
- Trudeau, M.C., J.W. Warmke, B. Ganetzky, and G.A. Robertson. 1995. HERG, a human inward rectifier in the voltage-gated potassium channel family. *Science*. 269:92–95. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.7604285
- Varnum, M.D., K.D. Black, and W.N. Zagotta. 1995. Molecular mechanism for ligand discrimination of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels. *Neuron.* 15:619–625. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896 -6273(95)90150-7
- Vemana, S., S. Pandey, and H.P. Larsson. 2004. S4 movement in a mammalian HCN channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 123:21–32. https://doi .org/10.1085/jgp.200308916
- Wahl-Schott, C., and M. Biel. 2009. HCN channels: Structure, cellular regulation and physiological function. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 66:470–494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-008-8525-0
- Wainger, B.J., M. DeGennaro, B. Santoro, S.A. Siegelbaum, and G.R. Tibbs. 2001. Molecular mechanism of cAMP modulation of HCN pacemaker channels. *Nature*. 411:805–810. https://doi.org /10.1038/35081088
- Wang, W., and R. MacKinnon. 2017. Cryo-EM structure of the open human ether-à-go-go-related K+ channel hERG. *Cell.* 169:422– 430.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.048
- Wang, J., M.C. Trudeau, A.M. Zappia, and G.A. Robertson. 1998. Regulation of deactivation by an amino terminal domain in human ether-à-go-go-related gene potassium channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 112:637–647. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.112.5.637
- Wang, J., S. Chen, and S.A. Siegelbaum. 2001. Regulation of hyperpolarization-activated HCN channel gating and cAMP modulation due to interactions of COOH terminus and core transmembrane regions. J. Gen. Physiol. 118:237–250. https://doi .org/10.1085/jgp.118.3.237
- Warmke, J.W., and B. Ganetzky. 1994. A family of potassium channel genes related to eag in Drosophila and mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 91:3438–3442. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.8.3438
- Warmke, J., R. Drysdale, and B. Ganetzky. 1991. A distinct potassium channel polypeptide encoded by the Drosophila eag locus. *Science*. 252:1560–1562. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1840699
- Weitz, D., N. Ficek, E. Kremmer, P.J. Bauer, and U.B. Kaupp. 2002. Subunit stoichiometry of the CNG channel of rod photoreceptors. *Neuron.* 36:881–889. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0896-6273(02)01098-X
- Whicher, J.R., and R. MacKinnon. 2016. Structure of the voltagegated K⁺ channel Eag1 reveals an alternative voltage sensing mechanism. *Science*. 353:664–669. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.aaf8070
- Xu, X., Z.V. Vysotskaya, Q. Liu, and L. Zhou. 2010. Structural basis for the cAMP-dependent gating in the human HCN4 channel. J. Biol. Chem. 285:37082–37091. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110 .152033

- Zagotta, W.N., N.B. Olivier, K.D. Black, E.C. Young, R. Olson, and E. Gouaux. 2003. Structural basis for modulation and agonist specificity of HCN pacemaker channels. *Nature*. 425:200–205. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01922
- Zhang, M., J. Liu, and G.-N. Tseng. 2004. Gating charges in the activation and inactivation processes of the HERG channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 124:703–718. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200409119
- Zhao, Y., M.P. Goldschen-Ohm, J.H. Morais-Cabral, B. Chanda, and G.A. Robertson. 2017. The intrinsically liganded cyclic nucleotide-binding homology domain promotes KCNH channel activation. J. Gen. Physiol. 149:249–260. https://doi.org/10.1085 /jgp.201611701
- Zheng, J., and M.C. Trudeau. 2015. Handbook of Ion Channels. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. https://doi.org/10.1201/b18027
- Zheng, J., M.C. Trudeau, and W.N. Zagotta. 2002. Rod cyclic nucleotide-gated channels have a stoichiometry of three CNGA1 subunits and one CNGB1 subunit. *Neuron*. 36:891–896. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)01099-1
- Zhong, H., L.L. Molday, R.S. Molday, and K.-W. Yau. 2002. The heteromeric cyclic nucleotide-gated channel adopts a 3A:1B stoichiometry. *Nature*. 420:193–198. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature01201
- Zhou, L., and S.A. Siegelbaum. 2007. Gating of HCN channels by cyclic nucleotides: Residue contacts that underlie ligand binding,

selectivity, and efficacy. *Structure*. 15:655–670. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.str.2007.04.012

- Zhou, L., N.B. Olivier, H. Yao, E.C. Young, and S.A. Siegelbaum. 2004. A conserved tripeptide in CNG and HCN channels regulates ligand gating by controlling C-terminal oligomerization. *Neuron.* 44:823–834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.11.012
- Zhou, Y., J.H. Morais-Cabral, A. Kaufman, and R. MacKinnon. 2001. Chemistry of ion coordination and hydration revealed by a K+ channel-Fab complex at 2.0 A resolution. *Nature*. 414:43–48. https ://doi.org/10.1038/35102009
- Ziechner, U., R. Schönherr, A.-K. Born, O. Gavrilova-Ruch, R.W. Glaser, M. Malesevic, G. Küllertz, and S.H. Heinemann. 2006. Inhibition of human ether à go-go potassium channels by Ca2+/ calmodulin binding to the cytosolic N- and C-termini. *FEBS J.* 273:1074–1086. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006 .05134.x
- Zolles, G., D. Wenzel, W. Bildl, U. Schulte, A. Hofmann, C.S. Müller, J.-O. Thumfart, A. Vlachos, T. Deller, A. Pfeifer, et al. 2009. Association with the auxiliary subunit PEX5R/Trip8b controls responsiveness of HCN channels to cAMP and adrenergic stimulation. *Neuron.* 62:814–825. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .neuron.2009.05.008