Mid-Term Follow-Up Results After Hemiarthroplasty Using Long Femoral Stem Prosthesis (Peerless-160) for Intertrochanteric Fractures in Octogenarians

Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation Volume 14: 1–9 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/21514593231184314 journals.sagepub.com/home/gos



Qiang Mao^{1,*}, Yi Zhang^{2,*}, Jiang Hua^{1,*}, and Bangjian He¹

Abstract

Purpose: To analyze the clinical effect and imaging data of cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty employing a long femoral stem (peerless-160) and 2 reconstructed femoral titanium wires to repair intertrochanteric fractures among the octogenarians. Methods: Between June 2014 and August 2016, 58 octogenarians with femoral intertrochanteric fractures underwent the long femoral stem (peerless-160) cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty by the same surgeon. We assessed clinical and radiological outcomes such as operative time, bleeding volume, blood transfusion volume, length of hospital stay, full weight-bearing walking time, walking ability calculated by Koval classification and Harris Hip Score (HHS), including fracture healing and greater trochanter fragments subsidence. Result: The surgery was successfully performed in all patients. The average operation time was 72.8 ± 13.2 min, the average blood loss during surgery was 225.0 ± 91.4 mL, 200 mL of blood was transfused, the mean duration of hospitalization was 11.9 \pm 4.0 days, the mean time of full weight bearing was 12.5 \pm 3.8 days. Patients were followed up for 24-68 months, averagely 49.4 ± 10.3 months. During follow-up, 4 (6.9%) patients died, and I (1.7%) was completely lost to ask about the recent situation. The average Harris Hip Score at the last follow-up was 87.8 ± 6.1 , most of the patients recovered walking ability, under radiological examination, the prosthesis showed no signs of loosening. All trochanteric fractures gradually healed, the clinical and radiographic signs of healing occurred at average of 4.0 ± 1.1 months postoperatively. Conclusion: For osteoporotic unstable intertrochanteric fractures in octogenarians, this study confirmed that the Cementless Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty Using a Long Femoral stem (peerless-160) with double cross binding technique is a satisfactory and safe choice for the octogenarians.

Keywords

intertrochanteric fracture, technique of wiring, cementless femoral, hemiarthroplasty, octogenarians, osteoporosis

Submitted 19 September 2022. Revised 4 June 2023. Accepted 8 June 2023

Introduction

¹Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medicine University, Hangzhou, China ²The Zhejiang Chinese Medicine University, Hangzhou, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Intertrochanteric fractures often occur in older adults; the number of hip fractures worldwide is projected to increase from 1.26 million in 1990 to 4.5 million in 2050.¹ The elderly population has osteoporosis and poor bone quality, muscle atrophy and weakness, even many elderly patients

Corresponding Author: Bangjian He, Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medicine University, No. 54, Youdian Road, Hangzhou 310006, China. Email: hebangjian@163.com



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the

SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

have unstable fractures and are bedridden.² Conservative treatment is less effective and has higher mortality. Intertrochanteric fractures surgical treatment in elderly patients is currently advocated. Intramedullary nail fixation such as proximal femoral nail antirotation (PFNA) or gamma nail (GN) is considered the "gold standard technique" for treating such fractures.³ However, the management of unstable intertrochanteric fractures is a challenge because internal fixation is technically difficult, the sophisticated tools and superb surgical skills are necessary in PFNA operation. Moreover, When closed reduction is difficult to implement, it needs to be changed to open reduction,⁴ nevertheless, elderly patients with severe osteoporosis are prone to complications such as non-union, implant failure, and loss of lag screw.⁵⁻⁸ Several recently syfemoralatic review and metaanalysis⁹⁻¹² have shown the safety and effectiveness of hemiarthroplasty in the remedy of unstable intertrochanteric fractures in the elderly. But it is still not clear which conditions are most suitable for hemiarthroplasty. At the same time, how to choose a reasonable femoral stem prosthesis and reconstruction of femoral titanium are very important. The purpose of this research is to analyze the effect of cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty using a long femoral stem (peerless-160) and 2 reconstructions femoral titanium wires for osteoporotic unstable intertrochanteric fractures among octogenarians.

Materials and Methods

Ethical Considerations

The author is responsible for all aspects of the work to ensure that issues relating to the accuracy and completeness of any part of the work are properly investigated and resolved, all the patients who participated in this procedure and their children were provided written informed consents for surgery in advance and agreed to publish their information in public. The Ethical Committee approved this presented study (Ethical approval ID: (2013-KL-012)). All methods were executed in according to the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria: ① the patient was over 80 years old; ② with severe osteoporosis (Singh index \leq grade 3 and T < -2.5 SD); ③ unstable intertrochanteric fracture (Evans-Jensen classification type III, IV, and V those were unstable types);¹³ ④ coronal fracture fragment; ⑤ Patients and their families volunteered participation in this study.

Exclusion Criteria. ① Pathological fracture such as metastatic tumor; ② Bilateral intertrochanteric fractures; ③ Age less than 80 years; ④ Stable fractures; ⑤ Unfit for anesthesia; ⑥ Dexa Scan Values T-score>2.5SD; ⑦ Patients unable to walk before the fracture; ⑧ Refuse the consent.

General Information

Between June 2014 and August 2016, according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria 58 octogenarians with an intertrochanteric femoral fracture underwent cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty using a Long Femoral stem (peerless-160 femoral, CHUNLI, China) by the same surgeon. The study included 35 (60.3%) women and 23 (39.7%) men, their ages ranged from 80 to 93 years, with the average of 86 years. According to the Evans- Jensen classification, there were 26 type III cases, 32 type IV cases. The mean T score of the contralateral hip was -3.4 (range, -2.6 to -5.1), 51 patients (87.9%) had combined diseases: 28 patients (48.3%) had hypertension and the remaining patients had diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, cerebrovascular diseases, lung diseases and prostate bladder cancer (Table 1).

Implants

A cementless long-femoral design (peerless-160 femoral; CHUNLI, China) was used. The characteristics of the peerless-160 femoral (CHUNLI) (Figure 1) are: i The femoral is composed of plasma titanium microporous coating; ii Design of long longitudinal rib at the middle and far end to enhance the anti-rotation stability of the prosthesis; iii 130° neck femoral angle, narrow neck design, better mobility, less impact; iv It's a 15 curve, not only reconstructs the original physiological curve of the femur, but also ensures the strength of the femoral stem prosthesis; v The overall length of the femoral ranges from 200 to 250 mm, and the diameter of the femoral ranges from 9 to 20 mm in 1-mm increments. Vi Proximal femoral stem perforated design, which convenient for the fixation of the great trochanteric fracture; vii The distal locking hole design of the prosthesis provides a more reliable fixation method and prevents the prosthesis from sinking. Viii This is a fully coated uncemented cylindrical stem that has bilateral cristae. And the bilateral cristae increase the rotational stability of the femoral stem. (Figure 2).

The intertrochanteric fractures cause damage to the large and small femoral trochanter structure, thereby weakening the fixation of the proximal femur to the femoral stem. Using a long stem cylindrical prosthesis offers several advantages: it serves as intramedullary fixation for different degrees of bone defects, avoids stress concentration in the proximal femur, increases contact area with the bone marrow cavity, reduces the unit load on the femoral stem and bone, thereby compensating for the weakened fixation of the femoral stem to the proximal femur.

Table I. General information of the patient.

	Characteristics	Values	
No. of hips		58	
Sex	Female Male	35 (60.3%) 23 (39.7%)	
Age	Mean (y) 80-89 y 90-95 y	85.6 50 (86.2%) 8 (13.8%)	
Evans type	Туре III Туре IV	26 (44.8%) 32 (55.2%)	
BMD(T-score)	Mean	—3.4	
Concomitant disease	Hypertension Diabetes mellitus Cerebrovascular disease Lung disease Prostate cancer Bladder cancer	28 3 8 2 3 2	
	None	7	

In cases of severe osteoporosis or when the femoral stem was deemed unstable during the operation, 2 locking screws could be implanted in the distal locking holes to prevent the femoral stem from sinking. Therefore, not all patients received 2 locking screws.



Figure 1. A cementless long-handle design peerless-160 handle (CHUNLI).

Operation Method

Under the spinal cord or general anesthesia, hip replacement is performed through a posterior approach, make a 10 cm posterolateral incision and cut the external rotator muscles to expose the femoral neck and fracture fragments. We use double cross binding technique to reposition the trochanteric fracture, the double cross binding fixation technique as follow: the semi-circular guide wire hook is used to guide the titanium cable. One piece is secured by a deep surface of the hip abductor around the lower margin of the lesser trochanter, which is shaped like the number 8 (Figure 3 The red dotted line), another piece is fixed by the transverse ring on the upper edge of the lesser trochanter (Figure 3 The red solid line) (Figure 4). First cut the femoral neck, the medullary cavity is then enlarged with tailor-made flexible reamers. Different flexible reamers have different diameters in .5 mm increments, until the medullary cavity reaches the desired size (flexible reamers is .5 mm larger than the prosthesis); then choose the diameter that corresponds to the prosthesis. After enlarging the medullary cavity, the femoral prosthesis model was selected. Trial reductions are then performed to check joint stability and motion, such as flexion, adduction and extension test. Then a



Figure 2. The distal locking hole design of the prosthesis.



Figure 3. The double cross binding fixation technique.

suitable peerless-160 femoral is inserted, final tightening of the cable is performed and recheck the range of motion and stability after restoring. Repair the capsule and reconnect the short external rotator, then close the wound with a closed suction tub.

In cases of severe osteoporosis or when the femoral stem was deemed unstable during the operation, 2 locking screws could be implanted in the distal locking holes to prevent the femoral stem from sinking. Therefore, not all patients received 2 locking screws.

Postoperative Treatment

Do quadriceps strengthening exercises from the day after surgery. The vacuum drain is removed after 48 h. We developed rehabilitation programs to teach patients on how to stand and walk correctly. They can walk with crutches or a weight-bearing walker the day after surgery.

Evaluation Methods

Clinical and radiological assessments were performed at 6 weeks, 3 month, 6 month, 1 year, and annually thereafter. Clinical outcomes included operative time, bleeding volume, blood transfusion volume, length of hospital stay. Harris Hip Score (HHS)¹⁴ was divided into 4 categories; (90 to 100) excellent, (80 to 89) good, (70 to 79) fair, and (60 to 69) poor. In addition, We investigated walking duration with full weight bearing and walking ability according to Koval's classification¹⁵ (Table 2). Any



Figure 4. (A) A preoperative X-ray of an 86-year-old woman with an Evans TYPE III intertrochanteric fracture (B) A preoperative X-ray of the hip after hemiarthroplasty use the double cross binding fixation technique with a peerless-160 handle.

complication during the surgery and follow-up period were also recorded. At each follow-up visit, we took anteroposterior radiographs of the patient's bilateral hips and a side view of the standing operative hip. By evaluating radiographs, fracture loosening, subsidence, and indicators of radiological healing can be observed. Based on the criteria of Engh¹⁶ (bone ingrowth, stable fibrous fixation, or unstable fibrous fixation), we could assess the loosening of the femoral components and the subsidence rate was determined by comparing 2 measurements between the series of radiographs described by Pelligrini.¹⁷

I	Independent Community Ambulators
2	Community ambulators with cane
3	Community ambulators with crutch or walker
4	Independent household ambulators
5	Household ambulators with cane
6	Household ambulators with crutch or walker
7	Nonfunctional ambulators

 Table 2. Categories of Ambulatory Ability.¹⁵

Results

All patients underwent successful surgery, the average operative time was 72.8 ± 13.2 min (range from 50 to 120 min), the average intraoperative blood loss was 225.0 \pm 91.4 mLl (range from 100 to 500 mL), 200 mL of blood was transfused, the length of hospital stay was 11.9 \pm 4.0 days (range, 6–24 days). The mean time of full weight bearing was 12.5 \pm 3.8 days (range, 7–21 days).

Clinical Results

Patients were followed up for 24-68 months, averagely 49.4 ± 10.3 months. During follow-up, 4 (6.9%) patients died, and 1 (1.7%) was completely lost to follow-up. The mean Harris hip score at the last follow-up was 87.8 ± 6.1 (range, 76-99), among 53 patients, excellent in 23 (43.4%), good in 26 (49.1%), fair in 4 (7.5%), no patients had significant thigh pain. There were no infections, no dislocation, sciatic nerve paralysis, and deep venous thrombosis.

Walking ability according to Koval's categories¹⁵ at the last follow-up,9 patients (17.0%) in 1 level, 12 patients (22.6%) in 2 level, 14 patients (26.4%)in 3 level, 12 patients (22.6%) in 4 level, 6 patients (11.3%) in 5 level.

Radiological Results

Radiological examination showed no loosening of the prosthesis. All trochanteric fractures had united, the signs of clinical and radiological healing were found at an average of 4.0 ± 1.1 months (range, 3-6 months) postoperatively. There was a 3-mm subsidence of the femoral stem in 1 patient with Evan's type IV. One patient happened periprosthetic fracture 4 years after surgery, due to the old age of 96 years, another operation cannot be performed.

Case Presentation

An elderly woman developed an unstable intertrochanteric fracture with type III of left hip due to a fall (Figure 5) when she was 84 years old. The Dexa Scan Values T-score was -3.6. She was able to walk independently before the



Figure 5. An 84-year-old female preoperative anteroposterior radiograph with type III unstable.

injury without any support and she had 2 concomitant diseases (hypertension and Diabetes mellitus). We performed bipolar hemiarthroplasty using the double cross binding fixation technique with a peerless-160 femoral 3 days after the injury. Two days after surgery, the walking exercise with a walker was started. One week after the operation, the X-ray showed that the fracture was reduced and the prosthesis was fixed well (Figure 6). One year after surgery, she was able to walk independently without any assistance. The X-rays taken 1 year (Figure 7(A)) and 3 years (Figure 7(B)) revealed that the bone union of the greater trochanter and the prosthesis were well fixed.

Discussion

The elderly people are prone to intertrochanteric fractures, most of which consist of unstable fracture, often comminuted and accompanied by severe osteoporosis, most cases of those patients with concomitant disease, such as Hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, Cerebrovascular disease, lung diseases and so on, so the intertrochanteric fractures have high morbidity and mortality rate.^{18,19} Intertrochanteric fractures surgical treatment in elderly patients is currently advocated, intramedullary nail fixation such as gamma nail (GN) or proximal femoral anti-rotation nail (PFNA) is considered the "gold standard technique" for treating such fractures.³ However, the management of



Figure 6. Immediate post operative X-ray.

unstable intertrochanteric fractures remains challenging because of technically difficult internal fixation and, in cases where closed reduction is difficult to achieve, open reduction has to be performed. Besides, internal fixation which was not suitable for all types of fractures and still with high internal fixation failure rates.^{20,21} Some studies shown that octogenarians,²² severe osteoporosis,²³ coronal fracture fragment,²⁴ the lateral femoral wall fracture,²⁵ quality of reduction²⁶ were main failure factors of internal fixation after PFNA treatment. Several recently review paper and meta-analysis⁹⁻¹² have shown the safety and efficacy of hemi-replacement arthroplasty to treat the senile unstable intertrochanteric fractures, could reduce the implant complications and the chance of reoperation. However, it is still not clear which conditions are most suitable for semi-replacement joint replacement. So in current research the patients who were (1) over 80 years old; (2) with severe osteoporosis (Singh index \leq grade 3 and T < -2.5 SD); (3) unstable intertrochanteric fracture (Evans-Jensen classification type III, IV, and V which were unstable types); (4) coronal fracture fragment. We performed bipolar hemiarthroplasty using the double cross binding fixation technique with a peerless-160 femoral. The aim of this study was to analyze the clinical effect and radiographic outcomes of consecutive series of peerless-160 femoral arthroplasties in patients with unstable intertrochanteric osteoporotic fractures with a mean follow-up of 49.4 months.

In this study, we use long femoral (peerless-160) and 2 wires reconstruction of femoral titanium to treat the intertrochanteric fractures in older adults with osteoporosis and achieved good short-term clinical effect and imaging results. The peerless-160 implantation is a kind of long-femoral femoral prosthesis composed of plasma titanium



Figure 7. (A) X-ray one year after surgery shown bone union (B) X-ray of the third year after surgery shown bone union and the prosthesis was well fixed.

microporous coating that is designed for revision, the long longitudinal rib at the middle and far end to enhance the antirotation stability of the prosthesis, which has a good stable effect in both short and long term. According to HHS, 92.5% of patients in our group were very satisfied with the outcome of the surgery at the last follow-up. We recommend the biological long-femoral prosthesis to the elderly patients with intertrochanteric fractures. The reasons are as follows: Firstly, avoid excessive stress concentration at the proximal femur; Secondly, the proximal stable biological prosthesis loses its fixation function for osteoporotic unstable intertrochanteric fractures, which can only be achieved by distal fixation; thirdly, the long-femoral prosthesis can increase the contact area with the femoral bone marrow cavity and reduce the unit

Study	Hips Patient Age	Greater Trochanter Fixation	Handle	Blood Loss (cc)	Surgical time (min)	Follow-up (months)	HSS	Mortality (%)
Chu X ³⁰	47	73	Steel cables or GTR	NR	NR	37	89.4	NR
Cankaya ³¹	76	40	Dall–Miles cable	468	83	24	82.76	32.5
Wada K ³²	44	89.6	Integrated plate	358	96	12	NR	9.1
Lee YK ³³	92	81.7	Vertical wires	412.5	93.4	59	NR	17.4
Present study	58	86	Double cross cable	225	72.8	49.4	87.8	6.9

Table 3. Comparison of bipolar hemiarthroplasty for intertrochanteric fractures.

NR, not recorded.

load of the prosthesis and bone; fourthly, peerless-160 femoral has proximal perforated design, which convenient for the fixation of the great trochanteric fracture (Figure 1) also the distal locking hole design of the prosthesis provides a more reliable fixation method and prevents the prosthesis from sinking (Figure 2); Finally, although some studies²⁷⁻²⁹ suggest that primary cemented hemiarthroplasty can also achieve good results in the treatment of unstable intertrochanteric fractures, while the use of cementless prostheses can avoid intraoperative cement reaction. Another concern is how to reduce and fix fractures of the greater or lesser trochanter. The gluteus minimums and gluteus medias, which are responsible for lower extremity abduction, attach to the greater trochanter of the femur. When these muscles are not adequately immobilized at the time of surgery, pain may persist, and the Trendelenburg gait may develop. Therefore, how to effectively and reliably reduce and fix fractures of the trochanteric has become 1 of the criteria to measure the success of surgery. Previously, screws, K-wires, steel wires, titanium wires, GTR devices, or trochanter hooks were commonly used to construct the greater trochanter. In this study, we use double cross binding technique to reposition the trochanteric fracture. The double cross binding technique has the following advantages. On the 1 hand, all the trochanteric fractures were bound in series. On the other hand, combined with the transverse ring of the upper edge of the lesser trochanter, the lateral tension band is produced, which plays an effective role in fixing all fracture pieces. After inserted the long-femoral prosthesis can further increase the fastness of strapping. And which can also reduce the incidence of trochanteric fracture displacement and bursitis. The goals to treat the unstable intertrochanteric fractures are pain relief, early ambulation, and recovery of the patient to pre-fracture levels of function. After arthroplasty, the greater and lesser trochanteric fractures healed well, the radiological examination showed no significant movement of the prosthesis, and the patient has no obvious pain. After undergoing arthroplasty. On the second postoperative day, we allowed the patient to walk with a crutch or a walker with an acceptable weight bearing. None of our patients with unstable fractures

experienced nonunion or significant prosthesis subsidence due to early ambulation. At the last follow-up, the walking ability score of the patients was obtained according to Koval's categories, 9 patients (17.0%) were in 1 level, 12 patients (22.6%) were in 2 level, 14 patients (26.4%) were in 3 level, 12 patients (22.6%) were in 4 level, 6 patients (11.3%) were in 5 level, which obviously enhance the patient's quality of life.

It is generally assumed that hemiarthroplasty is an alternative surgical procedure to the treatment of unstable intertrochanteric fractures in elderly patients with osteoporosis. And generally considered that related to high loss of blood, long operation time, large surgical trauma and high morality. We compared the results of this study with previous studies (Table 3). There were no significant differences in blood loss and operation time. However, our 1-year mortality rate was 6.9% (4 cases), which was lower than in previous studies. Chen J's recently meta-analysis¹¹ have shown that under some circumstances hip replacement is more advantageous than PFNA in the treatment of elderly intertrochanteric fracture. In Current study, by using long femoral (peerless-160) and 2 wires reconstruction of femoral titanium to perform hemiarthroplasties, we have achieved very satisfactory result.

Conclusion

For osteoporotic unstable intertrochanteric fractures in octogenarians, this study shows that Cementless Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty Using a Long femoral (peerless-160) and double cross binding technique is a satisfactory and safe choice.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by 2023 Medical Rookie——He Bangjian (1S22317). Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY21H270008). National Natural Science Foundation of China (82074469). Study on the clinical experience of Hua Jiang Famous Traditional Chinese Medicine treating back and leg pain based on complex network (2023ZF019).

Ethical Approval

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. The experiments comply with the current laws of the Country in which they were performed.

Data Availability

Data of this paper were retrieved in accordance with the Statement of human and animal rights.

ORCID iD

Yi Zhang **b** https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8657-9081 Bangjian He **b** https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7853-813X

References

- Veronese N, Maggi S. Epidemiology and social costs of hip fracture. *Injury*. 2018;49(8):1458-1460.
- Center JR, Nguyen TV, Schneider D, et al. Mortality after all major types of osteoporotic fracture in men and women: an observational study. *Lancet*. 1999;353:878-882.
- Shu WB, Zhang XB, Lu HY, Wang HH, Lan GH. Comparison of effects of four treatment methods for unstable intertrochanteric fractures: A network meta-analysis. *Int J Surg.* 2018;60:173-181.
- Huang C, Wu X. surgical selection of unstable intertrochanteric fractures: PFNA combined with or without cerclage cable. *BioMed Res Int.* 2021;8:8875370.
- Keating JF, Robinson CM, Court-Brown CM, et al. The effect of complications after hip fracture on rehabilitation. *J Bone Jt Surg Br.* 1993;75(6):976.
- Hsu CJ, Chou WY, Chiou CP, et al. Hemi-arthroplasty with supplemental fixation of greater trochanter to treat failed hip screws of femoral intertrochanteric fracture. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2008;128:841-845.
- Thakur RR, Deshmukh AJ, Goyal A, et al. Management of failed trochanteric fracture fixation with cementless modular hip arthroplasty using a distally fixing femoral. *J Arthroplasty*. 2011;26(3):398-403.
- 8. Lee YK, Kim JT, Alkitaini AA, et al. Conversion hip arthroplasty in failed fixation of intertrochanteric fracture: A

propensity score matching study. *J Arthroplasty*. 2017;32(5): 1593-1598. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.12.018

- Ju JB, Zhang PX, Jiang BG. Hip replacement as alternative to intramedullary nail in elderly patients with unstable intertrochanteric fracture: A syfemoralatic review and metaanalysis. *Orthop Surg.* 2019;11(5):745-754.
- Tu DP, Liu Z, Yu YK, et al. Internal fixation versus hemiarthroplasty in the treatment of unstable intertrochanteric fractures in the elderly: A syfemoralatic review and metaanalysis. *Orthop Surg.* 2020;12(4):1053-1064.
- Chen J, Yue C, He P, et al. Comparison of clinical outcomes with hip replacement versus PFNA in the treatment of intertrochanteric fractures in the elderly: A syfemoralatic review and meta-analysis (PRISMA). *Medicine (Baltim)*. 2021;100(9):e24166.
- Yoo JI, Cha YH, Kim KJ, et al. Comparison between cementless and cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty for treatment of unstable intertrochanteric fractures: Syfemoralatic review and meta-analysis. *Hip Pelvis*. 2018; 30(4):241-253.
- Evans EM. The treatment of trochanteric fractures of the femur. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1949;31:190-203.
- Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after disloca-tion and acetabular fractures: treatment by mold arthro-plasty. An end-result study using a new method of result evaluation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1969;51:737-755.
- Koval KJ, Aharonoff GB, Rosenberg AD, et al. Functional outcome after hip fracture. Effect of general versus regional anesthesia. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1998;348:37.
- Engh CA, Bobyn JD, Glassman AH. Porous-coated hip replacement. The factors governing bone ingrowth, stress shielding, and clinical results. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1987; 69(1):45.
- Pellegrini VD Jr, Hughes SS, Evarts CM. A collarless cobalt-chrome femoral component in uncemented total hip arthroplasty. Five- to eight-year follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1992;74(6):814.
- Zhang J, Chen X, Wang J, et al. Poor prognosis after surgery for intertrochanteric fracture in elderly patients with clopidogrel treatment: A cohort study. *Medicine (Baltim)*. 2017; 96(39):e8169.
- Soo CG, Della Torre PK, Yolland TJ, et al. Clopidogrel and hip fractures, is it safe? A syfemoralatic review and metaanalysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2016;17:136.
- Kim WY, Han CH, Park JI, et al. Failure of intertrochanteric fracture fixation with a dynamic hip screw in relation to preoperative fracture stability and osteoporosis. *Int Orthop.* 2001;25:360e362.
- Paulsson J, Stig JC, Olsson O. Comparison and analysis of reoperations in two different treatment protocols for trochanteric hip fractures - postoperative technical complications with dynamic hip screw, intramedullary nail and Medoff sliding plate. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2017; 18(1):364.

- Tang X, Liu L, Yang TF, et al. Preliminary effect of proximal femoral nail antirotation on emergency treatment of senile patients with intertrochanteric fracture. *Chin J Traumatol*. 2010;13(4):212-216.
- Mansukhani SA, Tuteja SV, Kasodekar VB, et al. A comparative study of the dynamic hip screw, the cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty and the proximal femoral nail for the treatment of unstable intertrochanteric fractures. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017;11(4):RC14-RC19.
- Cho JW, Kent WT, Yoon YC, et al. Fracture morphology of AO/OTA 31-A trochanteric fractures: A 3D CT study with an emphasis on coronal fragments. *Injury*. 2017;48(2): 277-284.
- Gotfried Y. The lateral trochanteric wall: A key element in the reconstruction of unstable pertrochanteric hip fractures [J]. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2004(425):82-86.
- Zhang W, Antony Xavier RP, Decruz J, et al. Risk factors for mechanical failure of intertrochanteric fractures after fixation with proximal femoral nail antirotation (PFNA II): A study in a southeast asian population. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2021;141(4):569-575.
- Xie Y, Zhou H. Primary cemented hemiarthroplasty for unstable intertrochanteric fractures in elderly severe osteoporotic patients. *Injury*. 2020;51(3):670-673.

- Kim JT, Kim HH, Kim JH, et al. Mid-term survivals after cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty for unstable intertrochanteric fractures in elderly patients. *J Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(3):777-782.
- 29. Gu GS, Wang G, Sun DH, et al. Cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty with a novel cerclage cable technique for unstable intertrochanteric hip fractures in senile patients. *Chin J Traumatol.* 2008;11(1):13-17.
- Chu X, Liu F, Huang J, et al. Good short-term outcome of arthroplasty with Wagner SL implants for unstable intertrochanteric osteoporotic fractures. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014; 29(3):605-608.
- Cankaya D, Ozkurt B, Tabak AY. Cemented titaniumr replacement versus cementless hemiarthroplasty for unstable intertrochanteric femur fractures in the elderly. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg.* 2013;19(6):548-553.
- Wada K, Mikami H, Oba K, et al. Cementless titaniumrreplacement femoral with integrated greater trochanter plate for unstable intertrochanteric fracture in very elderly patients. *J Orthop Surg.* 2017;25(1):2309499016684749.
- Lee YK, Park CH, Koo KH. Fixation of trochanteric fragments in cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty of unstable intertrochanteric fracture: Cerclage wiring. *Hip Pelvis*. 2017;29(4):262-269.