

Review



2020; 10(14): 6231-6244. doi: 10.7150/thno.45219

# Emerging role of mTOR in tumor immune contexture: Impact on chemokine-related immune cells migration

Jing Jin<sup>1</sup>, Qijie Zhao<sup>2,3,4</sup><sup>⊠</sup>

- 1. Department of Oncology, The Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, Sichuan 646000, P.R. China
- 2. Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, 646000, Sichuan, PR China
- 3. Department of Pathophysiology, College of Basic Medical Science, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, 646000, Sichuan, PR China

4. South Sichuan Institute of Translational Medicine, Luzhou, 646000, Sichuan, PR China

🖂 Corresponding author: Qijie Zhao, Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, 646000, Sichuan, PR China; Email: zhaoqijie77@swmu.edu.cn

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2020.02.23; Accepted: 2020.04.17; Published: 2020.05.15

#### Abstract

During the last few decades, cell-based anti-tumor immunotherapy emerged and it has provided us with a large amount of knowledge. Upon chemokines recognition, immune cells undergo rapid trafficking and activation in disease milieu, with immune cells chemotaxis being accompanied by activation of diverse intercellular signal transduction pathways. The outcome of chemokines-mediated immune cells chemotaxis interacts with the cue of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) in the tumor microenvironment (TME). Indeed, the mTOR cascade in immune cells involves migration and infiltration. In this review, we summarize the available mTOR-related chemokines, as well as the characterized upstream regulators and downstream targets in immune cells chemotaxis and assign potential underlying mechanisms in each evaluated chemokine. Specifically, we focus on the involvement of mTOR in chemokine-mediated immune related cells in the balance between tumor immunity and malignancy.

Key words: mTOR, Chemokine, Chemotaxis, Immune cells, Tumor microenvironment (TME)

## Background

Cancer is a life-threatening disease traditionally categorized by cells and tissue types based on origins. With advance technology of sequencing methodologies and carcinogenic mechanisms, we now understand that considerable genomic, transcriptomic, and epigenetic variation exist within various tumor types. This, in turn, has led to improvement in therapeutic strategies for some patients, such as estimating the response to targeted and individualized therapies for patients based on stratified cancer molecular characteristics [1]. Rather than the "one dose suits all" approach, genomic analysis as a methodology aims to target novel biological targets in tumor disordered for individualized treatment [2]. More recently, with high-throughput tumor sequencing, immune cell populations were found to continuously enrich in tumor microenvironment (TME) and constituted a vital element of tumor tissues [1, 3, 4]. Indeed, cancer

is observably facilitated by immune system disorder, and immune cells play an important role in TME and shape the hallmark of heterogeneous cancer cells survival and resistance to therapy [5]. Increasing body of evidence demonstrated that TME is significantly affected by misled or diminished immune cells responses, such as gastric, liver, lung, melanoma, and breast cancer [1, 3, 4, 6, 7]. Immune cells accumulation or loss in TME is important for tumorigenesis or malignancy, but the underlying mechanisms are still unclear [3, 8]. Now, with multiple approaches in investigation, tumor immune cells exert their capacity to cooperate with appropriate adaptive signaling cascades in response to immunological stimuli [9, 10].

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine kinase, is mostly involved in the central immune microenvironment to regulate cellular functions such as growth, proliferation and survival [11, 12]. Two mTOR protein complexes (mTORC1 and mTORC2) [13, 14], defined by the association of mTOR with the adaptor proteins Raptor and Rictor, have been proved to act as the central nodes of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT downstream signaling pathway effector [15, 16]. mTOR is generally regarded as a potential oncogene in an effective anti-cancer target therapy [11, 17, 18]. Dysregulation of different protein complexes (mTORC1 and mTORC2) were proved to be connected with pathological alteration in tumorigenesis [11, 13]. Critically, clinical application of mTOR cascade intervention did not achieve satisfactory clinical outcomes due to a variety of reasons [19]. Moreover, deregulation of mTOR signaling was found to play a crucial role in regulating the immune responses, such as in T cell and myeloid cell differentiation, and multiple metabolic functions [16, 20]. mTOR selective inhibition has a profound effect on immune cell populations, including CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells and B cells, and also antitumor immunity [21]. In line with this, immune recognition can contribute to tumor suppression, resulting in enhanced cell infiltration and acts as a molecular signature for tumor immune microenvironment activation [22]. However, the molecular mechanisms of the immune cell function or migration are only partly understood. The chemokines were reported to not merely regulate immune heterogeneity and immunotherapy sensitivity, but rather shape the TME immune cell populations [22, 23]. The chemokines and CXCL11) (CXCL9, CXCL10, have been demonstrated to connect with T helper type 1 (Th1) cells immunity activation in TME and provide a favorable response to immunotherapy [23, 24]. Multiplicity of chemokines within tumors may obscure the contributions of individual chemokines mechanism in immune cell chemotaxis, but cascade signaling is indispensable for these processes.

In this review, we discuss the mTOR signaling pathway cascade, focusing on the immune cell chemotaxis and function in human cancers. Current evidence suggests that the mTOR pathway is closely connected with immune cells and chemokines in tumors, but how this mechanism is orchestrated in the TME and the ability of mTOR to conditioning signal is still unclear. The focus of this review is to provide insights for further speculations for potential modulators towards effective immunotherapy.

# mTOR signal in tumor immune microenvironment

The surveillance of TME was proposed to help determine whether an activated immune related pathway promotes or restrains antitumor immunity

[25] (Figure 1A). Importantly, individual immunotherapies tailored to optimal pathways have achieved great clinical efficacy in tumor eradication, activation of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and in the function of antigen presenting cells (APCs) [26]. Deregulation of mTOR cascade increasingly affects immune effector function and influences the features of the tumor immune microenvironment in human cancers [27] (Figure 1B). The mTOR cascade also shows ability to shape different paracrine and autocrine stimuli in TME and modulates tumor immunity [28]. In line with this, based on clinical trials and pre-clinical data, the therapeutic target of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway dose not merely attenuates tumor malignancy and metastasis, but also enhances the tumor immunosurveillance and anti-tumor immunity properties [29]. Schematically, growth, disordered growth factors, in cells chemokines or cytokines can stimulate the activation of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK), the intermediates of which are capable of activating the down-stream PI3K molecules [30]. These kinases catalyze the conversion of PIP2 (phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate) to PIP3 (phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate), following which the lipid products recruit pleckstrin homology (PH) domain-containing proteins such as AKT, mTOR and PDK11 [31]. Ultimately, AKT kinase activates the mTORC1 to promote tumor cell proliferation and protein synthesis [32] (Figure 1D). Generally, hyperactivation of the components of the mTOR pathway contributes significantly to tumorigenesis and malignancy. It thus appears that targeting of the oncogenic mTOR pathway may be a potential cancer therapy strategy [33, 34]. However, the therapeutic effects on tumor PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway network were not always encouraging, which may be due to complex of biological effects in modulating the tumor immune microenvironment [35, 36].

Crosstalk among the immune response and signaling pathways normally ensures an elegant balance between cells function, growth and division [10, 37]. TME dysregulation plays a critical role in tumor development because it contains various types of immune cells, cytokines and chemokines, which can either promote or suppress tumorigenesis [35]. As an important component of the adaptive immune response, T cells are characterized by their rapidly recall response and signaling from immune microenvironment to dictate the effector response [38]. mTOR is emerging as an important modulator in the function of T-cells, macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), and B cells, and controls multiple metabolic pathways to guide the immune microenvironment, such as glucose uptake, lipid and amino acid

metabolism [12, 20, 39]. A large number of trials evaluated mTOR inhibitors as modulators to promote anti-tumor immunity and immune cell function (Table 1). Previous studies have indicated that upon inhibition of mTOR signaling, the stimulated T-cell receptor (TCR) could lead to generation of regulatory T cells (Tregs) and proliferation of memory CD8+ T cells [40, 41]. Moreover, deletion of the mTORC1 upstream regulator TSC2 and RAS homolog enriched in brain (RHEB) can affect CD8+ T cells proliferation and differentiation in antitumor immunity [42]. Through small GTPase RHEB function, mTORC1 promotes the T-cell differentiation into the Th1 [43]. Strikingly, alongside analyses of mTOR selective inhibitor, mTOR cascade has been confirmed as an essential role in T cells differentiation. mTORC1 with metabolic programming is important in T cells activation and T helper 2 (Th2) cells differentiation,

which is linked to the glucose metabolism by cytokine responsiveness [44]. Recently, in humoral adaptive follicular immunity, Т helper (Tfh) cells differentiation was also deemed to depend on mTOR signaling and glucose metabolism [45]. The evidence showed that mTORC1 boosted T cells proliferation to meet the cell division needs for Tfh cells differentiation, whereas mTORC2 mainly promoted Tfh cells differentiation by inducing AKT activation and TCF1 expression [46]. Meanwhile, with the distinct connection to PKC and AKT cascade in T cells, mTORC2 regulated the differentiation of Th1 and Th2 cells subsets, respectively [47]. Complex mTOR signaling mechanisms may co-exist in the different disease conditions [48]. These findings define a specific role for mTOR signaling as a vital integrator of diverse immune cells functions in the tumor immune microenvironment.



Figure 1. Signaling cascade with mTOR activation in a tumor immune setting. (A) Tumor immune microenvironment. Tumor anatomy presents tumor foci environmental characteristics, including tumor core and immune environment. (Adapted with permission from [35], copyright 2012 Fridman). (B) mTOR-based immune cells strategy was presented in the context of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling network. For the upstream, extracellular cytokines or chemokines stimulation lead to the recruitment of PI3 kinase (PI3K), thereby phosphorylating phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) at the 3' position to generate phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3). In this catalytic node, PTEN plays an opposite role to PI3K. Activated PIP3 leads to the recruitment of AKT in signaling cascade by PDK1. Alternatively, mTORC2 is involved in regulating lipid biosynthesis downstream of AKT. AKT activation negatively modulates TSC1, which shapes the GAP activity for RHEB-GTP and increases RHEB-GTP accumulation. This promoted the function of mTORC1. In tumor immune environment, mTORC1 phosphorylates 4EBP1 and S6K to activate critical drivers of global protein translation, inflammation response, cell proliferation and infiltration. Additionally, many immunologic inputs also play a critical role in regulating mTOR signaling activity. Rapamycin and other mTOR inhibitors potently affect both mTOR complexes in an inhibitory manner. (C) The function of mTOR in T cell-based immunosuppression. mTOR function, naïve T cells differentiate into Tregs and contribute to immunosuppression. (D) Two mTOR signaling complexes with specific substrates. mTOR is associated with two distinct sets of adapter proteins. mTORC1 complex is composed of the mLST8, DEPTOR, RAPTOR and PRAS40; and mTORC2 complex is composed of PROTOR, DEPTOR, RICTOR, mLST8, mSIN1.

Table	1. mT(	ЭR	inhibitors	under	clinical	investigation.
-------	--------	----	------------	-------	----------	----------------

Drug	Phase	Clinical trail	Condition	Target	Description			
Rapamycin	Phase II	NCT02874924	Age-associated pathologies/Tumor	T cells	Enhancing immunity			
	Phase II	NCT01620307	H1N1 pneumonia	T cells and B cells	Improves hyperactive environment			
	Phase IV	NCT02990312	02990312 Kidney Transplant CCR5 density 01522820 Solid Tumors T cells		Enhancing immunity			
	Phase I	NCT01522820			Enhancing anti-tumor immunity			
	Phase I	NCT02833506	Ovarian cancer	T cells Induced anti-tumor immunity				
	Phase I	NCT01536054	Ovarian cancer	T cells	Induced anti-tumor immunity			
	Phase I/II	NCT03662412	Pancreatic cancer	T cells	Reverses the immune suppressive microenvironment; T lymphocytes activation and proliferation			
Everolimus	Phase II	NCT01665768	Lymphoma	B cells	Reduce the frequency of circulating cancer cells			
	Phase II	NCT01234974	Myeloma	B cells	Inhibit cell growth			
	Phase II	NCT01637090	Lymphoma	T cells	Inhibit malignant T cells			
	~~~	NCT02441543	Solid Tumors	T-cell and NK cells	Cells phenotype and dynamics			
	~~~	NCT03955172	Kidney Transplanted	NK cells	Prevent Rejection			
Azithromycin	Phase II	NCT04020380	Pulmonary Sarcoidosis	T cells and macrophages	Reduce inflammatory cytokine production			

Table 2. mTOR-associated chemokines in cancer and pathological condition.

Category	Macropha Th2	T cell	vδ T cell	Tregs	Iurkat T-cell	DCs	NK cell	Th1	CTLs	MvLa	B cells	References
Colon cancer	CCL2	CXCL10		0								[68, 69, 138]
Breast cancer	CCL2	CXCL12										[71, 174]
Stomach cancer	CCL2											[79]
Ovarian cancer	CCL2	CCL5/CXCL10										[22, 80, 127, 128]
Glioma		CCL2		CCL2								[93, 175]
Renal cell cancer		CCL2/CXCL10										[93, 133]
Melanoma		CCL2/CCL21/CXCL12	CCL2				CXCL10		CXCL12			[94, 95, 152, 165, 176-178]
Leukemia (APL)					CCL5							[103]
Esophageal		CCL5/CXCL10										[126]
Atheroma								CCL10				[176]
Lung fibrosis			CXCL10									[179]
Leukemia		CXCL12										[62]
Cutaneous										CCL21		[60, 168, 171, 180]
Wound healing	CCI	.2										[73]
Skin tumors			CXCL10									[135]
Inflammation	CCL5	CXCL12				CCL5					CXCL12	[62, 107, 114, 150, 156]

Oncogenic activation of the mTOR signal pathway is involved in promoting immune escape by driving the expression of PD-L1, subsequently decreasing the concentration of tumor-infiltrating T cells [49, 50] (Figure 1C). After the PD-L1 recognizes T cells receptor PD-1, tumor primary T-cell apoptosis is significantly higher than normal tissues [51]. Although there are multiple mechanisms that contribute to tumor immune function, PD-L1 plays a prominent role in many tumors [52, 53]. In a mouse model of lung cancer, PD-L1 protein expression mainly depends on activated mTOR cascade, rather than specific oncogene or cytokine stimuli [49]. Furthermore, along with the T cells mTOR signaling pathway activation, PD-1 and PD-L1 interaction induced differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into Tregs and maintained immunosuppressive functions [54]. Along with these lines, elevated intracellular mTOR signaling and PD-L1 expression influenced the immune cell populations in TME [55]. Conversely, additive effects of anti-PD-L1 and mTOR intervention therapies further promoted the distribution of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and IFN-y production capacity in TME, with more T cells being infiltrated and activated after targeted immunotherapy [56]. Taken together, mTOR is not only involved in tumor progression, but also influences the biological environment of immune

cells.

# mTOR and chemokines-mediated immune cells chemotaxis

In previous studies, immune cells intracellular mTOR cascade was suggested to modulate multiple immune cells, namely T cells, macrophages, Th1 and Tregs [41, 43, 57]. mTOR intervention could alter the types of immune cell populations in various conditions [21, 58]. Moreover, involvement of mTOR cascade in chemokine-mediated immune cells chemotaxis has recently been shown in different tumor types [59-61] (Figure 2). Thus, in TME, we postulated that chemokine-induced immune cells chemotaxis is on an mTOR-dependent manner and invokes multistep signaling in target cells. The chemotaxis-related mTOR cascade might be a potential target for anti-tumor immunotherapy [62]. In this review, we will investigate the involvement of mTOR-related chemokines (CCL2, CCL5, CCL21, CXCL10 and CXCL12) in immune cells chemotaxis, with particular emphasis on the role of mTOR (Table 2).

#### CCR2/CCL2 signal

CCR2, the only known receptor for chemokine C-C motif ligand 2 (CCL2), was expressed on monocytes and macrophages [63]. Moreover, CCL2 is known as monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, plays an important role in the recruitment of cancer related monocytes, such as macrophages [64]. Takanori et al. indicated that activated CCL2 and its receptor CCR2 can trigger the chemokine signaling in macrophages and promote cancer cells metastasis [65]. Furthermore, in colorectal cancer, mTORC2 activity in tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) was correlated with tumorigenesis [66]. Macrophages can alter their function in response to the local microenvironment and cause injury or repair effects. However, the signal required to switch macrophages into positive or negative roles remains poorly understood [67]. Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that CCL2 orchestrates the recruitment of TAMs in TME and is a positive sign of certain cancers [68, 69]. High levels of CCL2 were consistent with increased macrophages infiltration, which promoted tumor development and metastasis [70, 71]. Moreover, neutralization of CCL2 by anti-CCL2 in preclinical researches attenuated tumor burden and improved tumor immune microenvironment by impairing Th2 immunity and abrogating infiltration of TAMs [72, 73].

Hyperplasia and tumorous formation appeared to be connected with enhanced TAMs infiltration by prostaglandin E2 (PGE<sub>2</sub>), suggesting a modulator effect for PGE<sub>2</sub> in TME [74]. Generally, constitutively high CCL2 expression levels existed in tumors, such as melanoma, ovarian, lung and breast cancer, but not all types of cancer [75-78]. In both tumor cells and macrophages, intracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> signal was proved to induce the expression of CCL2 and directly guided macrophages chemotaxis to the tumor [79]. However, downregulation of cellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) kinase activity were linked to mTORC2 inhibition, which significantly attenuated PGE2/CCL2mediated chemotaxis and the number of macrophages [80-82]. ROS regulates gene expression and may be involved in the production of chemokine in several cell types [83]. There are multiple ROS sources in immune cells, including NADPH oxidases. mitochondria electron transportation, nitric-oxide synthases, and arachidonic acid metabolism [84, 85]. However, in macrophages, COX-1-driven arachidonic acid metabolism is a significantly potent mediator for cell proliferation and the source of PGE<sub>2</sub> [86]. Thus, via ROS generation, mTORC2 was regarded as a modulatory node in PGE<sub>2</sub>-induced CCL2 expression and enhanced CCL2/CCR2 axis mediated macrophages chemotaxis [87, 88]. Not surprisingly, consistent with its oxygen-sensing mechanism, hypoxia tensions reduced expression of CCL2 and suppressed macrophages migration and extension in TME [80]. Furthermore, in esophageal cancer, TAMs were closely associated with the decreased number of CD8+ anti-tumor Т cells. Accumulation CCL2-mediated macrophages were negatively connected with CD8+ T cells amount and promoted tumor progress. However, CD8+ T cells antitumor efficacy recovered after CCR2 knockout [88]. Therefore, diminishing the CCL2 and CCR2 interaction through the mTOR cascade may reduce TAMs recruitment and chemotaxis, subsequently promoting the anti-tumor effect of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in TME. Through inhibiting monocytes infiltration and





macrophages accumulation in TME, CCL2 intervention also suppressed the development of several types of tumor and promoted the better prognosis, including esophageal and breast cancers [71, 88]. Together, mTOR signaling promotes the PEG-mediated CCL2 expression in both tumor cells and macrophages, thereby regulating macrophages chemotaxis to the tumor and acts as a potential target for anti-tumor immunotherapy.

As previous study, mTOR blocking in the mast cells can decrease PGE2-induced CCL2 production and chemotaxis [81]. After the mTOR inhibitor Torin treatment, down-regulation of mTORC2 attenuated PGE<sub>2</sub> function and CCL2 secretion [81]. In a feedback loop, PGE2 was suggested to stimulate mTORC2 activation increasing AKT by (Ser473) phosphorylation. Moreover, mast cells expressed a variety of receptors that may contribute to the chemotaxis of mast cells, especially the CCR2 recognition function of CCL2 [89, 90]. Within the CCL2/CCR2 axis, IL-3<sup>+</sup> stem cell factor (SCF) promoted mast cells migration in response to CCL2, which was positively connected with PI3K/mTOR cascade [90, 91]. Furthermore, elevated CCR2 in mast cells showed effective antigen presentation and CD8+ T cells activation [92]. Mast cell-derived leukotriene B4 (LTB4) was also required for CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes recruitment in tumors [92]. Herein, mTOR cascade might be an important signaling intermediary in CCL2-mediated mast cells chemotaxis and immune cells response (Figure 3A).

On the other hand, with the multifunctional activities of chemokines, expression of CCL2 had a positive role in TME and anti-tumor immune response. For example, tumor-derived CCL2 expression elicits primary T cells chemotaxis to sites of tumor foci and tumor regression in adoptively transferred cells (ATCs) therapy [93, 94]. Transferred T cells showed a significant migration towards xenograft tumor in response to tumor-derived CCL2, whose transmigrate was directly mediated by CCR2 recognition. CCL2 directly manipulates T cell tropism toward tumor foci in a protective role, which is abolished by anti-CCL2 neutralizing treatment [95]. Therefore, tumor regression related T cells dose not only depend on antigens recognition, but also are regulated by tumor-derived chemokines. However, whether mTOR cascade affects CCL2-mediated T cells chemotaxis in tumor remains to be further elucidated.

## CCR5/CCL5 signal

TILs expressing CCR5 are important for their infiltration in tumor foci [96]. While, expression of CCR5 cognate ligands were correlated with anti-tumor immunity response in human melanoma, such as CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5 [97, 98]. Among which, chemokine C-C motif ligand 5 (CCL5) is the member β-subtype chemokines, of showing chemoattractive activity towards Т cells, macrophages, DCs, and natural killer (NK) cells through the recognition of CCR5 [58]. Regulation of T-cell directional migration is a process that requires extracellular chemoattractant molecule signaling to active chemotaxis [99]. Recently, estimation of TILs before immunotherapy was found to play an important role in immune checkpoint blocking effect in melanoma [100]. In the context of adoptive T cell therapy, TILs activation and directional aggregation can contribute to the eradication of tumors via genetic and TME modifications [101, 102]. It is now clear that CCL5 expression is positively correlated with TILs desertification, which orchestrates CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD8+ T cells chemotaxis and immune response [20, 50, 78].

Mouton et al. utilized real-time microscopy and indicated that CCR5-positive Jurkat T cells showed a response to CCL5 forming sharp front and directional migration toward the chemokine aggregation region [103]. In primary monocytes, mTOR signaling blocking was proved to suppress CCL5 secretion, thereby downregulating the amount of infiltrating T cells [104]. Generally, activation of mTOR signaling promoted the function of two well-characterized downstream effectors in RNA and protein synthesis, such as p70 ribosomal S6 kinase (p70S6K) and eukaryotic initiation factor-4E-binding protein-1 (4E-BP1) phosphorylation [105]. This cascade influenced T cells and DCs activation, proliferation and expansion in a macrophage-dependent manner (Figure 3B). In line with this, mTOR inhibition in macrophages directly reduced CCL5 generation and macrophages proliferation, which attenuated the chemotaxis of activated T cells [104, 106, 107]. In which, translation efficiency of CCL5 was directly suppressed by 4E-BP1/2, and activation of 4E-BP1/2 produced lower CCL5 levels. The proportion and chemotaxis of activated T cells were associated with CCR5 expression and CCL5 recognition rate [107, 108]. Thus, mTOR signaling contributes to regulate the chemotaxis of CCL5-responsive T cells by influencing macrophages CCL5 the mRNA expression. Moreover, Patel et al. indicated that mTOR inhibitors can significantly decrease CCL5 levels even after stimulation by neurotensin, a cytokine that can boost CCL5 gene expression and releasing [109]. These indicated the priority role of macrophages mTOR signaling in CCL5 expression and biological function. Indeed, for efficiency of T cells, whose chemotaxis and adhesion are essential for effective anti-tumor immunoreactivity.



Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the mTOR-related chemokines in immune cell chemotaxis. Five nodes that can be targeted when mTOR influences the TME immune cells population. Homing into the tumor foci and migrating within the tumor environment, mTOR establishes contact with various immune cells that have been modulated via recognizable chemokines. (A) mTOR/FGE2 loop is involved in CCL2-mediated Mast cells and macrophages migration. However, whether mTOR modulates T cells by CCL2 remains to be further elucidated. (B) mTOR signaling in the macrophages intracellular affects the migration of CCL5-mediated T cells and DCs. As a downstream of mTOR, elF-4E is not merely involved in T cell migration, but also affects CCL5-related mRNA translation by binding to 5'-cap mRNA structure in T cells, such as cyclin D1 and MMP-9. Moreover, mTOR-induced membrane protein GLUT1 shows the ability to promote glucose uptake and ATP generation to maintain T cells migration. (C) mTOR signaling acts as an upstream of CXCL10-mediated T cells,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, as well as Th1 cells generation and activity. mTOR-mediated ATP generation contributes to Tregs accumulation and immunosuppressive activation, which may collaborate with CXCL10/CXCR3 interaction. (D) mTOR/CXCL12 cascade promotes T cells and tumor cells migration. The CXCL12/CXCR4 interaction could transform the conformation of CXCR4 into  $\beta$ -subunits, which can directly interact with mTOR carboxyl terminal. However, mTOR signaling is not involved in influencing the CXCL12-mediated Jurkat cells chemotaxis. (E) Intracellular mTOR cascade facilitates CCL21-mediated Myla cells chemotaxis and IL-10 secretion. Increased IL-10 shows a potential to DCs and Tregs suppression.

The multistep, efficient process of chemotaxis in immune cells not merely requires chemokines recognition, but also delivers the further modulatory signal to invoke cell migration. In the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, CCL5-mediated chemotaxis and activity partially relied on intracellular mTOR signaling [58]. After CCL5 is recognized by CCR5, it can stimulate the mTOR cascade and resolve the 4E-BP1 into eukaryotic initiation factor-4E (eIF-4E) [110]. Subsequently, eIF-4E can interact with scaffold protein eIF-4G and form a translation initiation complex. CCL5 initiates eIF-4E complex in a sequential manner, which can facilitate ribosome to 5'-cap mRNA structure and promote the production of chemotaxis related proteins, such as cycloheximide, cyclin D1 and Matrix metalloprotein-9 (MM-9) [58, 111-113]. Among these, CCL5-induced cycloheximide acted as the mediator of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells migration in a dose dependent manner.

Consistent with this, an anti-MMP-9 antibody reduced CCL5-mediated migration of DCs [114]. Notably, CCL5-induced mRNA translation in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells chemotaxis were significantly suppressed by mTOR inhibitor treatment [58]. Herein, intracellular mTOR cascade is prime in CCL5-mediated T cells effective chemotaxis and related protein generation.

The metabolism and survival of effector T cells was tightly connected to glucose uptake [115]. To facilitate T cells trafficking, chemokines also invoke diverse biological processes in TME (Figure 3B) [116]. Energy metabolism is important for tumor immune cells to maintain housekeeping functions and T-cell activated status, increased metabolic was demanded to sustain the proliferation, growth, and immunizing potential [117]. Moreover, cellular mTOR cascade has been proved to favor the anti-tumor effect and immune cells metabolic activity [118]. A previous

study indicated that the CCL5/mTOR cascade plays a pivotal role in energy generation to maintain high energy demands of activated T cells chemotaxis [119]. In T cells, CCL5/CCR5-induced glucose uptake and ATP accumulation mainly depend on mTOR cascade manner, and mTOR signaling is necessary to promote intrinsic glucose transporter-1 (GLUT-1) to enhance glucose uptake. However, this process is not accompanied by surface levels of GLUT-1, suggesting that CCL5-stimulated glucose uptake mainly depends on mTOR signaling rather than transporter expression. Meanwhile, mTOR inhibition partly reduced CCL5-mediated glucose uptake compared to glycolysis and ATP production inhibitors [120]. In this aspect, AMPK loop was partly required for ATP generation to sustain CCL5-mediated T cells activation and chemotaxis [119]. Therefore, CCL5 may simultaneously induce both mTOR and AMPK cascade to ensure the T cells effective chemotaxis. Taken together, these studies suggest that mTOR signaling has an ameliorative role in CCL5-mediated efficient T cells migration in TME.

# CXCR3/CXCL10 signal

In the TME, CXCR3 is expressed in T cells, whose ligands (CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11) facilitate effective recruitment of CXCR3+ T cells to tumor foci [121]. Among these, CXCL10, a member of the CXC chemokine subfamily, also known as INF y-induced protein 10 kDa (IP-10) [122]. CXCL10 is strongly induced by IFN-y and IFN- $\alpha/\beta$ , and weakly induced by TNFa [123, 124]. Meanwhile, IFN-y induced CXCL10 was primarily secreted by monocytes, macrophages, eosinophils, and endothelial cells [125]. Comparison of tumor tissues and peripheral blood demonstrated that CXCL10/CXCR3 chemokine axis serves as a potent chemoattractant for T lymphocytes in TME [126]. In addition, CXCL10 is important for recruitment of T lymphocytes such as CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the tumor tissues, and is positively correlated with increased survival and favorable prognosis [127]. Up-regulated CXCL10 expression contributes to lower tumor burden and malignancy, serving as a positive determinant of anti-tumor immune responses, although its exact underlying immunoreaction mechanism in TME yet to be clear [128]

The CXCL10/CXCR3 interaction has been demonstrated to regulate immune cells chemotaxis and activation in TME, and improve the efficacy of cancer immunotherapy (Figure 3C) [129]. Recently, mTOR-generated signal was suggested to play a positive role in the IFN-related biological roles, including T cells immune response and tumor regression [130]. As evidenced by the negative

regulatory properties of 4E-BP1 upon embryonic fibroblasts IFN-specific CXCL10 translation and protein synthesis, mTOR inhibition exerts an important regulatory effect on the IFN responses [131, 132]. The mTOR blocking makes the sequential dissociation of 4E-BP1 to eIF-4E, subsequently promoting the CXCL10 transcription in response to IFN signal, especially INF y. When the vascular endothelial is damaged, CXCL10 attracted by CXCR3+ T cells was accompanied with mTOR signaling stimulation. CXCR3+ T cells recruitment and ROS generation directly associated were with mTOR-dependent manner, which promoted the activity of Th1-mediated immunity [132]. The T cells CXCL10/CXCR3 interaction also invoked the great interest in the differentiation of naive T cells to Th1 and the chemotaxis of activated effector T cells to tumor focal sites, which were mediated by INF y [133]. Therefore, enhancing the level of CXCL10 in tumor cell or TME could promote a strong T cells anti-tumor immunity, inhibiting tumor cells progress and angiogenesis [134]. Herein, the mTOR cascade in both tumor cells and T cells were likely to associated with CXCL10-mediated T cells chemotaxis and anti-tumor effect. Furthermore, in macrophages, the mTOR cascade controlled the CXCL10 mRNAs translation through 4E-BP1/2node, which subsequently affected the T cells chemotaxis [107]. Relying on translational repression of 4E-BP1/2 by CXCL10, mTOR inhibition can activate 4E-BP1/2 and reduce CXCL10 generation. In line with these evidences, the stimulation of mTOR cascade may enhance CXCL10-mediated T cells chemotaxis and anti-tumor immune responses in TME.

In another aspect, for the  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, tumor-intrinsic mTOR inhibition promotes the epidermal recruitment of CXCL10-mediated CXCR3+ vδ T-cell and shows anti-tumor effect [135]. However, the mechanisms between mTOR and CXCR3+ γδ T-cell are unchecked. As report, the perforin induced by mTOR-blocking can synergize with INF-y, thereby promoting the killing function of CXCL10-mediated  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in tumor [135]. Importantly, the biological functions of the heterogeneous subsets of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells are essential for various immune responses and immunopathology, such as shaping the T-cell repertoire, distinct kinetics, and response to specific pathogens [136]. As Jean-Jacques report, more than 250 patients with hematological malignancies and solid tumors received yo T-cell-based cancer immunotherapy, which regulated immunosuppressive functions mainly through the shaping of γδ cell activation Т [137]. Tumor-infiltrating  $v\delta$  T cells were widely detected in tumors and interacted with tumor cells, and

up-regulated  $\gamma\delta$  T cells infiltration subsequently prevented tumor progression [138]. Moreover, the migration of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in pyometra samples was suggested to be associated with up-regulation of CXCL10 [139]. Together, in TME, although the mTOR cascade might be involved in CXCL10-mediated  $\gamma\delta$  T cells chemotaxis, the mechanisms still need to be further illustrated.

One interesting find is that mTOR cascade plays a critical role in Tregs fate determination. In the mouse model, mTOR activation contributes to Tregs accumulation and immunosuppressive activation [140-142]. In tumor, Tregs activation decreases various cell populations via multiple mechanisms, which is a barrier for effective antitumor immunity, such as tolerance or rejection [143]. Moreover, dynamic Tregs have high metabolic state and ATP demanded, and mTOR signaling was positively connected with Tregs migration [144]. Among which, cAMP participates in the mTOR-mediated metabolic activation, resulting in an enhanced ATP generation. Besides, CXCR3<sup>+</sup> Tregs showed immunosuppressive and carcinogenic effects in response to CXCL10, which was connected with Tregs chemotaxis [145]. Therefore, mTOR activation might be also associated with CXCR3<sup>+</sup> Tregs intracellular metabolic and energy status for chemotaxis.

## CXCR4/CXCL12 signal

The binding of CXCL12 to CXCR4 initiates intracellular signaling, which can cause a series of responses like chemotaxis, proliferation, and calcium afflux [146]. Two major isoforms of CXCL12 are divided into  $\alpha/\beta$  subtypes and share agonist potency to cognate receptor CXCR4 [147]. The a-isoform is involved in many local tissue-specific physiological processes, while the  $\beta$ -isoform is associated with peripheral blood cells infiltration. In renal cyst (RC) cells, the mTOR cascade acts as the downstream of CXCL12/CXCR4 axis, and CXCR4-mediated CXCL2 recognition can selectively active the mTOR signaling to enhance RC cells proliferation [148]. This process may also exist in human T cells. Moreover, mTOR inhibition directly suppressed CXCL12-mediated primary resting T cells and CEM cell (T-cell leukemia), because CXCL12 directly utilized the intracellular mTOR cascade in T cells chemotaxis [62] (Figure 3D). As a mTOR downstream effector, p70<sup>56K1</sup> has an important role in mTOR function in T cells chemotaxis. Moreover, p7056K1 and mTOR blocking can attenuate the CXCL12-induced T cells chemotaxis. CXCL12 recognizes and alters CXCR4 conformation by favoring conversion of Gai protein into a- and  $\beta\gamma$ -subunits [146].  $G\beta\gamma$  heterodimers can directly interact with the intrinsic mTOR carboxyl terminal

region and promote phosphorylation of p70<sup>56K</sup> [149]. Therefore, mTOR signaling is positively regulated by direct interaction of G $\beta\gamma$ , which is involved in CXCL12-mediated T cells chemotaxis and plays an important role in host immune surveillance. In the immune system, CXCR4 is highly expressed by monocytes, B cells, and naive T cells in peripheral blood [150, 151]. It is not surprising that dysregulated CXCL12/CXCR4 axis can influence cancer cells killing effect and activities of multiple immune cells and chemotaxis in TME [152, 153].

Immune cells migration is tightly correlated to disease progress in both innate and adaptive immune environment. With a gradient of CXCL12/CXCR4 axis to mTOR signaling, immune cells may lead to a directional chemotaxis in TME, such as CD4+ T cells and DCs along with the CXCR4 expression [154, 155]. CXCL12 mainly acts as an effective chemoattractant for T cells, and modulates T cells chemotaxis under various pathophysiological conditions, including tumorigenesis and inflammation [156, 157]. In the Jurkat cells, chemokine receptor CXCR4 response to CXCL12 is required for cells migration [158, 159]. However, CXCL12-mediated Jurkat cells chemotaxis is in contrast to the phenomenon of human primary T cells that cannot be abrogated by mTOR inhibition [157]. Application of mTOR selective inhibitor rapamycin in Jurkat cells also has no significant effect on migration gene set. Of course, alteration at the level mRNA alone cannot fully predict CXCL12-mediated chemotaxis. Moreover, two other mTOR p7056K inhibitors (lindane and DON) also fail to affect the CXCL12-related Jurkat cells migration [157, 160]. Therefore, unlike other primary T cells, mTOR signaling dose not influence the CXCL12-mediated Jurkat cells chemotaxis.

CXCL12/CXCR4 Intriguingly, interaction induced mTOR signaling not only influences the immune cells chemotaxis, but also participates in tumor cells migration, such as gastric, pancreatic and renal cancer cells [161-163]. mTOR signaling and CXCL12/CXCR4 axis have been reared as a positive feedback loop to influence the tumor migration and CXCL12 secretion. Due to the 5'-cap-dependent translation, mTOR inhibition decreased the secretion of CXCL12 and the expression of receptor CXCR4, which subsequently blocked the CXCL12-triggered tumor cells chemotaxis and malignant [161, 163]. Moreover, as a feedback, attenuated CXCL12/CXCR4 interaction will reduce the activity of Gai protein and decrease the activation of mTOR signaling. Here, targeting the mTOR pathway may prevent cancer metastasis driven by CXCL12/CXCR4 interaction [161]. Taken together, various CXCL12-mediated T cells and tumor cells chemotaxis were connected with mTOR signaling, but mTOR interventional therapy plays a pros or cons role in different conditions of TME, and it is unclear.

## CCR7/CCL21 signal

CC-chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7) is expressed in various subtype of T cells, and recognized by its ligands are essentially involved in the migration of T cells, Tregs and DCs in immunity environment [164]. CCL19 and CCL21 are the sole ligands for the CCR7 receptor. The CCL21/CCR7 interaction is positively correlated with T cells chemotaxis in shaping TME, which can enhance the homing of CCR7-expressing T and response to systemic anti-tumor cells immunotherapy [165-167]. On the contrary, chemokine-mediated abnormal T lymphocytes migration contributed to tumor progress. For example, CCR7 positive mycosis fungoides cells (CCR7<sup>+</sup> MyLa) migration can be stimulated by its agonist CCL21 in a mTOR-dependent manner [168]. The migration and activity of MyLa cells and the phosphorylation of downstream p70<sup>S6K</sup> were significantly up-regulated after CCL21 treatment (Figure 3E). Meanwhile, mTOR inhibition suppressed CCL21-induced MyLa cells migration, rather than Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs) [168]. These evidences indicated that mTOR activity was directly involved in CCL21-mediated MyLa cells chemotaxis.

Mycosis fungoides (MF) is the most common form of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma characterized by malignancy of resident T cells, which is indolent but can potentially progress to leukemia [169, 170]. Following the occurrence of malignant T cells in skin, the release of cytokines and chemokines is vital for the initiation or duration of the MF lesion [171, 172]. The MF immune environment increases activated interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) levels to regulate normal host T cells, both of which can contribute to the inhibition of anti-tumor immunity [149, 152]. As a novel regulator in immunity, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) has highly selective IL10 secretion, which can contribute to the tolerogenic DCs and antigen-specific regulatory T cells suppression, thereby attenuating innate immune response [173]. Hong et al. indicated that MALAT1 expression acts as the downstream target of mTOR and promotes CCL21-mediated MyLa cells migration (Figure 3E) [60]. Furthermore, MyLa-expressed MALAT1 was selectively increased in MF site. Intriguingly, mTOR inhibition suppressed both MALAT1 expression and CCL21-induced MyLa cells migration. However, MALAT1 knockdown only inhibited the MyLa cells migration rather than CCL21-induced mTOR activity

[60]. Therefore, both the mTOR or MALAT1 could be regard as a potential target to inhibit the MyLa cells chemotaxis response to CCL21.

## **Conclusions and future perspectives**

Here, we have provided an outline of mTOR function in chemokines-related reactions in both immune or tumor cells, as well as their cascade gene activation. This modulator node is crucially involved in efficient immune cells migration. Emerging evidences highlight the importance of immune cells migration and infiltration in TME, especially T lymphocytes and macrophages that determine the outcomes of cancer immunotherapy. The roles of mTOR signaling in regulation of chemokine-mediated immune cells are quite diverse, and include promotion of T cells accumulation and eradication in tumor foci, as well as boosting tumor development and immune evasion. The hijacking of this pathway by tumor cells for malignant or immune evasion may present an opportunity to develop T cell-based immunotherapy. Likewise, chemical inhibition of the mTOR signaling greatly facilitates enhancement or chemokine-mediated suppression of cells Т chemotaxis in tumor environment or inflammation. These avenues will undoubtedly provide new innovations towards frontiers for efficient immunotherapy.

In the current studies, there are also critical evidences elucidating how dysregulation of mTOR signaling facilitates tumorigenesis. Although the mTOR cascade and chemokine/receptor axis can either present positive or negative for antitumoral roles in regulating immune cells or tumor cells migration, which of its roles determines the outcome is still remains an open question. Future studies also need to explore pros or cons of mTOR intervention in regulating biological environment of chemokine-related immune cells migration or anti-tumor function. Does mTOR guide energy metabolism or other chemokines in selective immune cells towards cancer cells? In this regard, it will be interesting to determine the diverse abilities of mTOR to regulate immune cell chemotaxis and tumor eradication.

## Abbreviations

mTOR: Mammalian target of rapamycin; TME: Tumor microenvironment; mTORC1: mTOR protein complexes 1; PI3K: Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; Th1: T helper type 1; Th2: T helper type 2; Tfh: T follicular helper; APCs: Antigen presenting cells; RTK: Receptor tyrosine kinases; PIP2: Phosphatidylinositol-4,5bisphosphate; PIP3: Phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5trisphosphate; PH: Pleckstrin homology; DCs: Dendritic cells; TCR: T-cell receptor; Tregs: Regulatory T cells; RHEB: RAS homolog enriched in brain; CCL: Chemokine C-C motif ligand; CXCL: Chemokine C-X-C motif ligand; CCR: CC chemokine receptor; CXCR: C-X-C chemokine receptor; TAMs: Tumor-associated macrophages; PGE2: Prostaglandin E 2; ROS: Reactive oxygen species; COX-1: Cyclooxygenase-1; NADPH: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; SCF: Stem cell factor; LTB4: Leukotriene B4; ATCs: Adoptively transferred cells; TILs: Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; p70S6K: p70 ribosomal S6 kinase; 4E-BP1: Eukaryotic initiation factor-4E-binding protein-1; eIF-4E: Eukaryotic initiation factor-4E; TSC1: TSC complex subunit 1; MMP-9: Matrix metalloprotein-9; GLUT-1: Glucose transporter-1; IP-10: INF y-induced protein 10 kDa; RC: Renal cyst; MF: Mycosis fungoides; MyLa: Mycosis fungoides cells; TGF-β: Transforming growth factor β; MALAT1: Metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1.

### Acknowledgments

We apologize for the omission of any primary citations. This work was supported partially by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81602166 and 81672444).

### **Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

#### References

- Zhang XC, Wang J, Shao GG, Wang Q, Qu X, Wang B, et al. Comprehensive genomic and immunological characterization of Chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 1772.
- Oh SC, Sohn BH, Cheong JH, Kim SB, Lee JE, Park KC, et al. Clinical and genomic landscape of gastric cancer with a mesenchymal phenotype. Nat Commun. 2018; 9: 1777.
- Zeng D, Li M, Zhou R, Zhang J, Sun H, Shi M, et al. Tumor Microenvironment Characterization in Gastric Cancer Identifies Prognostic and Immunotherapeutically Relevant Gene Signatures. Cancer Immunol Res. 2019; 7: 737-50.
- Kurebayashi Y, Ojima H, Tsujikawa H, Kubota N, Maehara J, Abe Y, et al. Landscape of immune microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma and its additional impact on histological and molecular classification. Hepatology. 2018; 68: 1025-41.
- Dunn GP, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. The three Es of cancer immunoediting. Annu Rev Immunol. 2004; 22: 329-60.
- Palucka AK, Coussens LM. The Basis of Oncoimmunology. Cell. 2016; 164: 1233-47.
- Chen H, Yang M, Wang Q, Song F, Li X, Chen K. The new identified biomarkers determine sensitivity to immune check-point blockade therapies in melanoma. Oncoimmunology. 2019; 8: 1608132.
- Thorsson V, Gibbs DL, Brown SD, Wolf D, Bortone DS, Ou Yang TH, et al. The Immune Landscape of Cancer. Immunity. 2018; 48: 812-30.e14.
- Becht E, Giraldo NA, Lacroix L, Buttard B, Elarouci N, Petitprez F, et al. Estimating the population abundance of tissue-infiltrating immune and stromal cell populations using gene expression. Genome Biol. 2016; 17: 218.
- Rubio-Patino C, Bossowski JP, Chevet E, Ricci JE. Reshaping the Immune Tumor Microenvironment Through IRE1 Signaling. Trends Mol Med. 2018; 24: 607-14.
- 11. Xu K, Liu P, Wei W. mTOR signaling in tumorigenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2014; 1846: 638-54.
- 12. Powell JD, Pollizzi KN, Heikamp EB, Horton MR. Regulation of immune responses by mTOR. Annu Rev Immunol. 2012; 30: 39-68.
- Caron A, Briscoe DM, Richard D, Laplante M. DEPTOR at the Nexus of Cancer, Metabolism, and Immunity. Physiol Rev. 2018; 98: 1765-803.

- Coussy F, Lavigne M, de Koning L, Botty RE, Nemati F, Naguez A, et al. Response to mTOR and PI3K inhibitors in enzalutamide-resistant luminal androgen receptor triple-negative breast cancer patient-derived xenografts. Theranostics. 2020; 10: 1531-43.
- Jung S, Gamez-Diaz L, Proietti M, Grimbacher B. "Immune TOR-opathies," a Novel Disease Entity in Clinical Immunology. Front Immunol. 2018; 9: 966.
- Huang K, Fingar DC. Growing knowledge of the mTOR signaling network. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2014; 36: 79-90.
- Li J, Cheng D, Zhu M, Yu H, Pan Z, Liu L, et al. OTUB2 stabilizes U2AF2 to promote the Warburg effect and tumorigenesis via the AKT/mTOR signaling pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. Theranostics. 2019; 9: 179-95.
- Zheng YL, Li L, Jia YX, Zhang BZ, Li JC, Zhu YH, et al. LINC01554-Mediated Glucose Metabolism Reprogramming Suppresses Tumorigenicity in Hepatocellular Carcinoma via Downregulating PKM2 Expression and Inhibiting Akt/mTOR Signaling Pathway. Theranostics. 2019; 9: 796-810.
- Wander SA, Hennessy BT, Slingerland JM. Next-generation mTOR inhibitors in clinical oncology: how pathway complexity informs therapeutic strategy. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121: 1231-41.
- Waickman AT, Powell JD. mTOR, metabolism, and the regulation of T-cell differentiation and function. Immunol Rev. 2012; 249: 43-58.
- Hurez V, Dao V, Liu A, Pandeswara S, Gelfond J, Sun L, et al. Chronic mTOR inhibition in mice with rapamycin alters T, B, myeloid, and innate lymphoid cells and gut flora and prolongs life of immune-deficient mice. Aging Cell. 2015; 14: 945-56.
- Dangaj D, Bruand M, Grimm AJ, Ronet C, Barras D, Duttagupta PA, et al. Cooperation between Constitutive and Inducible Chemokines Enables T Cell Engraftment and Immune Attack in Solid Tumors. Cancer Cell. 2019; 35: 885-900.e10.
- Li J, Byrne KT, Yan F, Yamazoe T, Chen Z, Baslan T, et al. Tumor Cell-Intrinsic Factors Underlie Heterogeneity of Immune Cell Infiltration and Response to Immunotherapy. Immunity. 2018; 49: 178-93.e7.
- Mikucki ME, Fisher DT, Matsuzaki J, Skitzki JJ, Gaulin NB, Muhitch JB, et al. Non-redundant requirement for CXCR3 signalling during tumoricidal T-cell trafficking across tumour vascular checkpoints. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 7458.
- Bennani NN, Ansell SM. Tumor Microenvironment in T-Cell Lymphomas. Cancer Treat Res. 2019; 176: 69-82.
- Smyth MJ, Ngiow SF, Ribas A, Teng MW. Combination cancer immunotherapies tailored to the tumour microenvironment. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2016; 13: 143-58.
- O'Donnell JS, Massi D, Teng MWL, Mandala M. PI3K-AKT-mTOR inhibition in cancer immunotherapy, redux. Semin Cancer Biol. 2018; 48: 91-103.
- Bazzichetto C, Conciatori F, Falcone I, Ciuffreda L. Translational Landscape of mTOR Signaling in Integrating Cues Between Cancer and Tumor Microenvironment. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2020; 1223: 69-80.
- Xue G, Zippelius A, Wicki A, Mandala M, Tang F, Massi D, et al. Integrated Akt/PKB signaling in immunomodulation and its potential role in cancer immunotherapy. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015; 107.
- Vanhaesebroeck B, Stephens L, Hawkins P. PI3K signalling: the path to discovery and understanding. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2012; 13: 195-203.
- Engelman JA, Luo J, Cantley LC. The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. Nat Rev Genet. 2006; 7: 606-19.
- Martelli AM, Tabellini G, Bressanin D, Ognibene A, Goto K, Cocco L, et al. The emerging multiple roles of nuclear Akt. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2012; 1823: 2168-78.
- Laplante M, Sabatini DM. mTOR signaling in growth control and disease. Cell. 2012; 149: 274-93.
- Mange A, Coyaud E, Desmetz C, Laurent E, Beganton B, Coopman P, et al. FKBP4 connects mTORC2 and PI3K to activate the PDK1/Akt-dependent cell proliferation signaling in breast cancer. Theranostics. 2019; 9: 7003-15.
- Fridman WH, Pages F, Sautes-Fridman C, Galon J. The immune contexture in human tumours: impact on clinical outcome. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012; 12: 298-306.
- Okkenhaug K. Signaling by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase family in immune cells. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013; 31: 675-704.
- Weichhart T, Costantino G, Poglitsch M, Rosner M, Zeyda M, Stuhlmeier KM, et al. The TSC-mTOR signaling pathway regulates the innate inflammatory response. Immunity. 2008; 29: 565-77.
- 38. Disis ML. Immune regulation of cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28: 4531-8.
- Irelli A, Sirufo MM, Scipioni T, De Pietro F, Pancotti A, Ginaldi L, et al. mTOR Links Tumor Immunity and Bone Metabolism: What are the Clinical Implications? Int J Mol Sci. 2019; 20.
- Delgoffe GM, Kole TP, Zheng Y, Zarek PE, Matthews KL, Xiao B, et al. The mTOR kinase differentially regulates effector and regulatory T cell lineage commitment. Immunity. 2009; 30: 832-44.
- Araki K, Turner AP, Shaffer VO, Gangappa S, Keller SA, Bachmann MF, et al. mTOR regulates memory CD8 T-cell differentiation. Nature. 2009; 460: 108-12.
- Pollizzi KN, Patel CH, Sun IH, Oh MH, Waickman AT, Wen J, et al. mTORC1 and mTORC2 selectively regulate CD8(+) T cell differentiation. J Clin Invest. 2015; 125: 2090-108.
- Delgoffe GM, Pollizzi KN, Waickman AT, Heikamp E, Meyers DJ, Horton MR, et al. The kinase mTOR regulates the differentiation of helper T cells through the selective activation of signaling by mTORC1 and mTORC2. Nat Immunol. 2011; 12: 295-303.

- Yang K, Shrestha S, Zeng H, Karmaus PW, Neale G, Vogel P, et al. T cell exit from quiescence and differentiation into Th2 cells depend on Raptor-mTORC1-mediated metabolic reprogramming. Immunity. 2013; 39: 1043-56.
- Zeng H, Cohen S, Guy C, Shrestha S, Neale G, Brown SA, et al. mTORC1 and mTORC2 Kinase Signaling and Glucose Metabolism Drive Follicular Helper T Cell Differentiation. Immunity. 2016; 45: 540-54.
- Yang J, Lin X, Pan Y, Wang J, Chen P, Huang H, et al. Critical roles of mTOR Complex 1 and 2 for T follicular helper cell differentiation and germinal center responses. Elife. 2016; 5.
- Lee K, Gudapati P, Dragovic S, Spencer C, Joyce S, Killeen N, et al. Mammalian target of rapamycin protein complex 2 regulates differentiation of Th1 and Th2 cell subsets via distinct signaling pathways. Immunity. 2010; 32: 743-53.
- Dazert E, Hall MN. mTOR signaling in disease. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2011; 23: 744-55.
- Lastwika KJ, Wilson W, 3rd, Li QK, Norris J, Xu H, Ghazarian SR, et al. Control of PD-L1 Expression by Oncogenic Activation of the AKT-mTOR Pathway in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Cancer Res. 2016; 76: 227-38.
- Zhao R, Song Y, Wang Y, Huang Y, Li Z, Cui Y, et al. PD-1/PD-L1 blockade rescue exhausted CD8+ T cells in gastrointestinal stromal tumours via the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signalling pathway. Cell Prolif. 2019; 52: e12571.
- Dong H, Strome SE, Salomao DR, Tamura H, Hirano F, Flies DB, et al. Tumor-associated B7-H1 promotes T-cell apoptosis: a potential mechanism of immune evasion. Nat Med. 2002; 8: 793-800.
- Dong H, Zhu G, Tamada K, Chen L. B7-H1, a third member of the B7 family, co-stimulates T-cell proliferation and interleukin-10 secretion. Nat Med. 1999; 5: 1365-9.
- Jiang X, Wang J, Deng X, Xiong F, Ge J, Xiang B, et al. Role of the tumor microenvironment in PD-L1/PD-1-mediated tumor immune escape. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 10.
- Zhang Y, Liu Z, Tian M, Hu X, Wang L, Ji J, et al. The altered PD-1/PD-L1 pathway delivers the 'one-two punch' effects to promote the Treg/Th17 imbalance in pre-eclampsia. Cell Mol Immunol. 2018; 15: 710-23.
- Coy S, Rashid R, Lin JR, Du Z, Donson AM, Hankinson TC, et al. Multiplexed immunofluorescence reveals potential PD-1/PD-L1 pathway vulnerabilities in craniopharyngioma. Neuro Oncol. 2018; 20: 1101-12.
- Moore EC, Cash HA, Caruso AM, Uppaluri R, Hodge JW, Van Waes C, et al. Enhanced Tumor Control with Combination mTOR and PD-L1 Inhibition in Syngeneic Oral Cavity Cancers. Cancer Immunol Res. 2016; 4: 611-20.
- Zeng H, Yang K, Cloer C, Neale G, Vogel P, Chi H. mTORC1 couples immune signals and metabolic programming to establish T(reg)-cell function. Nature. 2013; 499: 485-90.
- Murooka TT, Rahbar R, Platanias LC, Fish EN. CCL5-mediated T-cell chemotaxis involves the initiation of mRNA translation through mTOR/4E-BP1. Blood. 2008; 111: 4892-901.
- Scala S. Molecular Pathways: Targeting the CXCR4-CXCL12 Axis--Untapped Potential in the Tumor Microenvironment. Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 21: 4278-85.
- Hong CH, Lin SH, Lee CH. CCL21 Induces mTOR-dependent MALAT1 Expression, Leading to Cell Migration in Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma. In Vivo. 2019; 33: 793-800.
- Reikvam H, Nepstad I, Bruserud O, Hatfield KJ. Correction: Pharmacologic targeting of the PI3K/mTOR pathway controls release of angioregulators from primary human acute myeloid leukemia cells and their neighboring stromal cells. Oncotarget. 2017; 8: 5638-9.
- Munk R, Ghosh P, Ghosh MC, Saito T, Xu M, Carter A, et al. Involvement of mTOR in CXCL12 mediated T cell signaling and migration. PLoS One. 2011; 6: e24667.
- Li X, Yao W, Yuan Y, Chen P, Li B, Li J, et al. Targeting of tumour-infiltrating macrophages via CCL2/CCR2 signalling as a therapeutic strategy against hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut. 2017; 66: 157-67.
- Roca H, Varsos ZS, Mizutani K, Pienta KJ. CCL2, survivin and autophagy: new links with implications in human cancer. Autophagy. 2008; 4: 969-71.
- Kitamura T, Qian BZ, Soong D, Cassetta L, Noy R, Sugano G, et al. CCL2-induced chemokine cascade promotes breast cancer metastasis by enhancing retention of metastasis-associated macrophages. J Exp Med. 2015; 212: 1043-59.
- Katholnig K, Schutz B, Fritsch SD, Schorghofer D, Linke M, Sukhbaatar N, et al. Inactivation of mTORC2 in macrophages is a signature of colorectal cancer that promotes tumorigenesis. JCI Insight. 2019; 4.
- Anders HJ, Ryu M. Renal microenvironments and macrophage phenotypes determine progression or resolution of renal inflammation and fibrosis. Kidney Int. 2011; 80: 915-25.
- Popivanova BK, Kostadinova FI, Furuichi K, Shamekh MM, Kondo T, Wada T, et al. Blockade of a chemokine, CCL2, reduces chronic colitis-associated carcinogenesis in mice. Cancer Res. 2009; 69: 7884-92.
- 69. De la Fuente Lopez M, Landskron G, Parada D, Dubois-Camacho K, Simian D, Martinez M, et al. The relationship between chemokines CCL2, CCL3, and CCL4 with the tumor microenvironment and tumor-associated macrophage markers in colorectal cancer. Tumour Biol. 2018; 40: 1010428318810059.
- Melgar S, Drmotova M, Rehnstrom E, Jansson L, Michaelsson E. Local production of chemokines and prostaglandin E2 in the acute, chronic and recovery phase of murine experimental colitis. Cytokine. 2006; 35: 275-83.
- Fujimoto H, Sangai T, Ishii G, Ikehara A, Nagashima T, Miyazaki M, et al. Stromal MCP-1 in mammary tumors induces tumor-associated macrophage

infiltration and contributes to tumor progression. Int J Cancer. 2009; 125: 1276-84.

- Loberg RD, Ying C, Craig M, Day LL, Sargent E, Neeley C, et al. Targeting CCL2 with systemic delivery of neutralizing antibodies induces prostate cancer tumor regression in vivo. Cancer Res. 2007; 67: 9417-24.
- Dewald O, Zymek P, Winkelmann K, Koerting A, Ren G, Abou-Khamis T, et al. CCL2/Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 regulates inflammatory responses critical to healing myocardial infarcts. Circ Res. 2005; 96: 881-9.
- Oshima H, Oshima M, Inaba K, Taketo MM. Hyperplastic gastric tumors induced by activated macrophages in COX-2/mPGES-1 transgenic mice. Embo j. 2004; 23: 1669-78.
- Nesbit M, Schaider H, Miller TH, Herlyn M. Low-level monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 stimulation of monocytes leads to tumor formation in nontumorigenic melanoma cells. J Immunol. 2001; 166: 6483-90.
- Attar R, Yilmaz SG, Cakmakoglu B, Duman S, Yildirim G, Gormus U, et al. Relationship between MCP1 (-2518A>G) gene variants and ovarian cancer in Turkish population. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand). 2017; 63: 100-3.
- Dutta P, Sarkissyan M, Paico K, Wu Y, Vadgama JV. MCP-1 is overexpressed in triple-negative breast cancers and drives cancer invasiveness and metastasis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2018; 170: 477-86.
- Yoshimura T, Liu M, Chen X, Li L, Wang JM. Crosstalk between Tumor Cells and Macrophages in Stroma Renders Tumor Cells as the Primary Source of MCP-1/CCL2 in Lewis Lung Carcinoma. Front Immunol. 2015; 6: 332.
- Oshima H, Hioki K, Popivanova BK, Oguma K, Van Rooijen N, Ishikawa TO, et al. Prostaglandin E(2) signaling and bacterial infection recruit tumor-promoting macrophages to mouse gastric tumors. Gastroenterology. 2011; 140: 596-607-e7.
- Negus RP, Turner L, Burke F, Balkwill FR. Hypoxia down-regulates MCP-1 expression: implications for macrophage distribution in tumors. J Leukoc Biol. 1998; 63: 758-65.
- Kuehn HS, Jung MY, Beaven MA, Metcalfe DD, Gilfillan AM. Prostaglandin E2 activates and utilizes mTORC2 as a central signaling locus for the regulation of mast cell chemotaxis and mediator release. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286: 391-402.
- Prates DB, Araujo-Santos T, Luz NF, Andrade BB, Franca-Costa J, Afonso L, et al. Lutzomyia longipalpis saliva drives apoptosis and enhances parasite burden in neutrophils. J Leukoc Biol. 2011; 90: 575-82.
- 83. Yang K, Wang XQ, He YS, Lu L, Chen QJ, Liu J, et al. Advanced glycation end products induce chemokine/cytokine production via activation of p38 pathway and inhibit proliferation and migration of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2010; 9: 66.
- Pinegin B, Vorobjeva N, Pashenkov M, Chernyak B. The role of mitochondrial ROS in antibacterial immunity. J Cell Physiol. 2018; 233: 3745-54.
- Swindle EJ, Coleman JW, DeLeo FR, Metcalfe DD. FcepsilonRI- and Fcgamma receptor-mediated production of reactive oxygen species by mast cells is lipoxygenase- and cyclooxygenase-dependent and NADPH oxidase-independent. J Immunol. 2007; 179: 7059-71.
- Nieves D, Moreno JJ. Effect of arachidonic and eicosapentaenoic acid metabolism on RAW 264.7 macrophage proliferation. J Cell Physiol. 2006; 208: 428-34.
- Raghu H, Lepus CM, Wang Q, Wong HH, Lingampalli N, Oliviero F, et al. CCL2/CCR2, but not CCL5/CCR5, mediates monocyte recruitment, inflammation and cartilage destruction in osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017; 76: 914-22.
- Yang H, Zhang Q, Xu M, Wang L, Chen X, Feng Y, et al. CCL2-CCR2 axis recruits tumor associated macrophages to induce immune evasion through PD-1 signaling in esophageal carcinogenesis. Mol Cancer. 2020; 19: 41.
- Zhang J, Chen H, Liu L, Sun J, Shi MA, Sukhova GK, et al. Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2 mediates mast cell migration to abdominal aortic aneurysm lesions in mice. Cardiovasc Res. 2012; 96: 543-51.
- Collington SJ, Hallgren J, Pease JE, Jones TG, Rollins BJ, Westwick J, et al. The role of the CCL2/CCR2 axis in mouse mast cell migration in vitro and in vivo. J Immunol. 2010; 184: 6114-23.
- Wyszynski RW, Gibbs BF, Varani L, Iannotta D, Sumbayev VV. Interleukin-1 beta induces the expression and production of stem cell factor by epithelial cells: crucial involvement of the PI-3K/mTOR pathway and HIF-1 transcription complex. Cell Mol Immunol. 2016; 13: 47-56.
- Bodduluri SR, Mathis S, Maturu P, Krishnan E, Satpathy SR, Chilton PM, et al. Mast Cell-Dependent CD8(+) T-cell Recruitment Mediates Immune Surveillance of Intestinal Tumors in Apc(Min/+) Mice. Cancer Immunol Res. 2018; 6: 332-47.
- Brown CE, Vishwanath RP, Aguilar B, Starr R, Najbauer J, Aboody KS, et al. Tumor-derived chemokine MCP-1/CCL2 is sufficient for mediating tumor tropism of adoptively transferred T cells. J Immunol. 2007; 179: 3332-41.
- Nakasone Y, Fujimoto M, Matsushita T, Hamaguchi Y, Huu DL, Yanaba M, et al. Host-derived MCP-1 and MIP-1alpha regulate protective anti-tumor immunity to localized and metastatic B16 melanoma. Am J Pathol. 2012; 180: 365-74.
- Lanca T, Costa MF, Goncalves-Sousa N, Rei M, Grosso AR, Penido C, et al. Protective role of the inflammatory CCR2/CCL2 chemokine pathway through recruitment of type 1 cytotoxic gammadelta T lymphocytes to tumor beds. J Immunol. 2013; 190: 6673-80.
- Li F, Sheng Y, Hou W, Sampath P, Byrd D, Thorne S, et al. CCL5-armed oncolytic virus augments CCR5-engineered NK cell infiltration and antitumor efficiency. J Immunother Cancer. 2020; 8.

- Schlecker E, Stojanovic A, Eisen C, Quack C, Falk CS, Umansky V, et al. Tumor-infiltrating monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells mediate CCR5-dependent recruitment of regulatory T cells favoring tumor growth. J Immunol. 2012; 189: 5602-11.
- Bedognetti D, Spivey TL, Zhao Y, Uccellini L, Tomei S, Dudley ME, et al. CXCR3/CCR5 pathways in metastatic melanoma patients treated with adoptive therapy and interleukin-2. Br J Cancer. 2013; 109: 2412-23.
- Sektioglu IM, Carretero R, Bulbuc N, Bald T, Tuting T, Rudensky AY, et al. Basophils Promote Tumor Rejection via Chemotaxis and Infiltration of CD8+ T Cells. Cancer Res. 2017; 77: 291-302.
- Tumeh PC, Harview CL, Yearley JH, Shintaku IP, Taylor EJ, Robert L, et al. PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. Nature. 2014; 515: 568-71.
- Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Robbins PF, Yang JC, Hwu P, Schwartzentruber DJ, et al. Cancer regression and autoimmunity in patients after clonal repopulation with antitumor lymphocytes. Science. 2002; 298: 850-4.
- Leen AM, Rooney CM, Foster AE. Improving T cell therapy for cancer. Annu Rev Immunol. 2007; 25: 243-65.
- 103. Gomez-Mouton C, Lacalle RA, Mira E, Jimenez-Baranda S, Barber DF, Carrera AC, et al. Dynamic redistribution of raft domains as an organizing platform for signaling during cell chemotaxis. J Cell Biol. 2004; 164: 759-68.
- Lin HY, Chang KT, Hung CC, Kuo CH, Hwang SJ, Chen HC, et al. Effects of the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin on monocyte-secreted chemokines. BMC Immunol. 2014; 15: 37.
- Liu Y. Rapamycin and chronic kidney disease: beyond the inhibition of inflammation. Kidney Int. 2006; 69: 1925-7.
- Vielhauer V, Kulkarni O, Reichel CA, Anders HJ. Targeting the recruitment of monocytes and macrophages in renal disease. Semin Nephrol. 2010; 30: 318-33.
- 107. William M, Leroux LP, Chaparro V, Graber TE, Alain T, Jaramillo M. Translational repression of Ccl5 and Cxcl10 by 4E-BP1 and 4E-BP2 restrains the ability of mouse macrophages to induce migration of activated T cells. Eur J Immunol. 2019; 49: 1200-12.
- Khalid A, Wolfram J, Ferrari I, Mu C, Mai J, Yang Z, et al. Recent Advances in Discovering the Role of CCL5 in Metastatic Breast Cancer. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2015; 15: 1063-72.
- 109. Patel AB, Tsilioni I, Leeman SE, Theoharides TC. Neurotensin stimulates sortilin and mTOR in human microglia inhibitable by methoxyluteolin, a potential therapeutic target for autism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016; 113: E7049-e58.
- Richter JD, Sonenberg N. Regulation of cap-dependent translation by eIF4E inhibitory proteins. Nature. 2005; 433: 477-80.
- Proud CG. Signalling to translation: how signal transduction pathways control the protein synthetic machinery. Biochem J. 2007; 403: 217-34.
- 112. Li Z, Wang C, Jiao X, Lu Y, Fu M, Quong AA, et al. Cyclin D1 regulates cellular migration through the inhibition of thrombospondin 1 and ROCK signaling. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26: 4240-56.
- Khandoga A, Kessler JS, Hanschen M, Khandoga AG, Burggraf D, Reichel C, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-9 promotes neutrophil and T cell recruitment and migration in the postischemic liver. J Leukoc Biol. 2006; 79: 1295-305.
- Hu Y, Ivashkiv LB. Costimulation of chemokine receptor signaling by matrix metalloproteinase-9 mediates enhanced migration of IFN-alpha dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2006; 176: 6022-33.
- Maekawa Y, Ishifune C, Tsukumo S, Hozumi K, Yagita H, Yasutomo K. Notch controls the survival of memory CD4+ T cells by regulating glucose uptake. Nat Med. 2015; 21: 55-61.
- Zhang Y, Ertl HC. Depletion of FAP+ cells reduces immunosuppressive cells and improves metabolism and functions CD8+T cells within tumors. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 23282-99.
- 117. Maciver NJ, Jacobs SR, Wieman HL, Wofford JA, Coloff JL, Rathmell JC. Glucose metabolism in lymphocytes is a regulated process with significant effects on immune cell function and survival. J Leukoc Biol. 2008; 84: 949-57.
- Viel S, Besson L, Marotel M, Walzer T, Marcais A. Regulation of mTOR, Metabolic Fitness, and Effector Functions by Cytokines in Natural Killer Cells. Cancers (Basel). 2017; 9.
- 119. Chan O, Burke JD, Gao DF, Fish EN. The chemokine CCL5 regulates glucose uptake and AMP kinase signaling in activated T cells to facilitate chemotaxis. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287: 29406-16.
- Aft RL, Zhang FW, Gius D. Evaluation of 2-deoxy-D-glucose as a chemotherapeutic agent: mechanism of cell death. Br J Cancer. 2002; 87: 805-12.
- 121. Yue C, Shen S, Deng J, Priceman SJ, Li W, Huang A, et al. STAT3 in CD8+ T Cells Inhibits Their Tumor Accumulation by Downregulating CXCR3/CXCL10 Axis. Cancer Immunol Res. 2015; 3: 864-70.
- 122. Mirzaei H, Salehi H, Oskuee RK, Mohammadpour A, Mirzaei HR, Sharifi MR, et al. The therapeutic potential of human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells producing CXCL10 in a mouse melanoma lung metastasis model. Cancer Lett. 2018; 419: 30-9.
- 123. Qian C, An H, Yu Y, Liu S, Cao X. TLR agonists induce regulatory dendritic cells to recruit Th1 cells via preferential IP-10 secretion and inhibit Th1 proliferation. Blood. 2007; 109: 3308-15.
- 124. Clarke DL, Clifford RL, Jindarat S, Proud D, Pang L, Belvisi M, et al. TNFalpha and IFNgamma synergistically enhance transcriptional activation of CXCL10 in human airway smooth muscle cells via STAT-1, NF-kappaB, and the transcriptional coactivator CREB-binding protein. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285: 29101-10.

- 125. Liu M, Guo S, Hibbert JM, Jain V, Singh N, Wilson NO, et al. CXCL10/IP-10 in infectious diseases pathogenesis and potential therapeutic implications. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2011; 22: 121-30.
- 126. Liu J, Li F, Ping Y, Wang L, Chen X, Wang D, et al. Local production of the chemokines CCL5 and CXCL10 attracts CD8+ T lymphocytes into esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Oncotarget. 2015; 6: 24978-89.
- 127. Au KK, Le Page C, Ren R, Meunier L, Clement I, Tyrishkin K, et al. STAT1-associated intratumoural TH1 immunity predicts chemotherapy resistance in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. J Pathol Clin Res. 2016; 2: 259-70.
- 128. K KA, Peterson N, Truesdell P, Reid-Schachter G, Khalaj K, Ren R, et al. CXCL10 alters the tumour immune microenvironment and disease progression in a syngeneic murine model of high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2017; 145: 436-45.
- 129. Tokunaga R, Zhang W, Naseem M, Puccini A, Berger MD, Soni S, et al. CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11/CXCR3 axis for immune activation - A target for novel cancer therapy. Cancer Treat Rev. 2018; 63: 40-7.
- Chang CH, Qiu J, O'Sullivan D, Buck MD, Noguchi T, Curtis JD, et al. Metabolic Competition in the Tumor Microenvironment Is a Driver of Cancer Progression. Cell. 2015; 162: 1229-41.
- 131. Kaur S, Lal L, Sassano A, Majchrzak-Kita B, Srikanth M, Baker DP, et al. Regulatory effects of mammalian target of rapamycin-activated pathways in type I and II interferon signaling. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282: 1757-68.
- 132. Novel role of the CXC chemokine receptor 3 in inflammatory response to arterial injury: involvement of mTORC1: retraction. Circ Res. 2011; 108: e14.
- 133. Tannenbaum CS, Tubbs R, Armstrong D, Finke JH, Bukowski RM, Hamilton TA. The CXC chemokines IP-10 and Mig are necessary for IL-12-mediated regression of the mouse RENCA tumor. J Immunol. 1998; 161: 927-32.
- 134. Yang X, Zhao J, Duan S, Hou X, Li X, Hu Z, et al. Enhanced cytotoxic T lymphocytes recruitment targeting tumor vasculatures by endoglin aptamer and IP-10 plasmid presenting liposome-based nanocarriers. Theranostics. 2019; 9: 4066-83.
- 135. Dao V, Liu Y, Pandeswara S, Svatek RS, Gelfond JA, Liu A, et al. Immune-Stimulatory Effects of Rapamycin Are Mediated by Stimulation of Antitumor gammadelta T Cells. Cancer Res. 2016; 76: 5970-82.
- Vantourout P, Hayday A. Six-of-the-best: unique contributions of gammadelta T cells to immunology. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013; 13: 88-100.
- 137. Fournie JJ, Sicard H, Poupot M, Bezombes C, Blanc A, Romagne F, et al. What lessons can be learned from gammadelta T cell-based cancer immunotherapy trials? Cell Mol Immunol. 2013; 10: 35-41.
- Corvaisier M, Moreau-Aubry A, Diez E, Bennouna J, Mosnier JF, Scotet E, et al. V gamma 9V delta 2 T cell response to colon carcinoma cells. J Immunol. 2005; 175: 5481-8.
- 139. Bartoskova A, Turanek-Knotigova P, Matiasovic J, Oreskovic Z, Vicenova M, Stepanova H, et al. gammadelta T lymphocytes are recruited into the inflamed uterus of bitches suffering from pyometra. Vet J. 2012; 194: 303-8.
- 140. Park Y, Jin HS, Lopez J, Elly C, Kim G, Murai M, et al. TSC1 regulates the balance between effector and regulatory T cells. J Clin Invest. 2013; 123: 5165-78.
- 141. Fang L, Tu H, Guo W, Wang S, Xue T, Yang F, et al. mTORC1-Activated Monocytes Increase Tregs and Inhibit the Immune Response to Bacterial Infections. Mediators Inflamm. 2016; 2016; 7369351.
- Yang K, Chi H. Tuning mTOR activity for immune balance. J Clin Invest. 2013; 123: 5001-4.
- Overacre-Delgoffe AE, Vignali DAA. Treg Fragility: A Prerequisite for Effective Antiiumor Immunity? Cancer Immunol Res. 2018; 6: 882-7.
- 144. Procaccini C, De Rosa V, Galgani M, Abanni L, Cali G, Porcellini A, et al. An oscillatory switch in mTOR kinase activity sets regulatory T cell responsiveness. Immunity. 2010; 33: 929-41.
- Lunardi S, Jamieson NB, Lim SY, Griffiths KL, Carvalho-Gaspar M, Al-Assar O, et al. IP-10/CXCL10 induction in human pancreatic cancer stroma influences lymphocytes recruitment and correlates with poor survival. Oncotarget. 2014; 5: 11064-80.
- 146. Teicher BA, Fricker SP. CXCL12 (SDF-1)/CXCR4 pathway in cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2010; 16: 2927-31.
- Janowski M. Functional diversity of SDF-1 splicing variants. Cell Adh Migr. 2009; 3: 243-9.
- 148. Kim H, Sung J, Kim H, Ryu H, Cho Park H, Oh YK, et al. Expression and secretion of CXCL12 are enhanced in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. BMB Rep. 2019; 52: 463-8.
- 149. Robles-Molina E, Dionisio-Vicuna M, Guzman-Hernandez ML, Reyes-Cruz G, Vazquez-Prado J. Gbetagamma interacts with mTOR and promotes its activation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014; 444: 218-23.
- 150. Wang A, Guilpain P, Chong BF, Chouzenoux S, Guillevin L, Du Y, et al. Dysregulated expression of CXCR4/CXCL12 in subsets of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2010; 62: 3436-46.
- 151. Aiuti A, Tavian M, Cipponi A, Ficara F, Zappone E, Hoxie J, et al. Expression of CXCR4, the receptor for stromal cell-derived factor-1 on fetal and adult human lympho-hematopoietic progenitors. Eur J Immunol. 1999; 29: 1823-31.
- 152. Lee CH, Kakinuma T, Wang J, Zhang H, Palmer DC, Restifo NP, et al. Sensitization of B16 tumor cells with a CXCR4 antagonist increases the efficacy of immunotherapy for established lung metastases. Mol Cancer Ther. 2006; 5: 2592-9.

- Lombardi L, Tavano F, Morelli F, Latiano TP, Di Sebastiano P, Maiello E. Chemokine receptor CXCR4: role in gastrointestinal cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2013; 88: 696-705.
- 154. Rempel SA, Dudas S, Ge S, Gutierrez JA. Identification and localization of the cytokine SDF1 and its receptor, CXC chemokine receptor 4, to regions of necrosis and angiogenesis in human glioblastoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2000; 6: 102-11.
- 155. Circelli L, Sciammarella C, Guadagno E, Tafuto S, del Basso de Caro M, Botti G, et al. CXCR4/CXCL12/CXCR7 axis is functional in neuroendocrine tumors and signals on mTOR. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 18865-75.
- Zhang X, Nakajima T, Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Tissue trafficking patterns of effector memory CD4+ T cells in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2005; 52: 3839-49.
- 157. Shao J, Stout I, Volger OL, Hendriksen PJ, van Loveren H, Peijnenburg AA. Inhibition of CXCL12-mediated chemotaxis of Jurkat cells by direct immunotoxicants. Arch Toxicol. 2016; 90: 1685-94.
- Hesselgesser J, Liang M, Hoxie J, Greenberg M, Brass LF, Orsini MJ, et al. Identification and characterization of the CXCR4 chemokine receptor in human T cell lines: ligand binding, biological activity, and HIV-1 infectivity. J Immunol. 1998; 160: 877-83.
- 159. Ticchioni M, Charvet C, Noraz N, Lamy L, Steinberg M, Bernard A, et al. Signaling through ZAP-70 is required for CXCL12-mediated T-cell transendothelial migration. Blood. 2002; 99: 3111-8.
- 160. Shao J, Stout I, Hendriksen PJ, van Loveren H, Peijnenburg AA, Volger OL. Protein phosphorylation profiling identifies potential mechanisms for direct immunotoxicity. J Immunotoxicol. 2016; 13: 97-107.
- 161. Chen G, Chen SM, Wang X, Ding XF, Ding J, Meng LH. Inhibition of chemokine (CXC motif) ligand 12/chemokine (CXC motif) receptor 4 axis (CXCL12/CXCR4)-mediated cell migration by targeting mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway in human gastric carcinoma cells. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287: 12132-41.
- 162. Weekes CD, Song D, Arcaroli J, Wilson LA, Rubio-Viqueira B, Cusatis G, et al. Stromal cell-derived factor 1alpha mediates resistance to mTOR-directed therapy in pancreatic cancer. Neoplasia. 2012; 14: 690-701.
- 163. Ierano C, Santagata S, Napolitano M, Guardia F, Grimaldi A, Antignani E, et al. CXCR4 and CXCR7 transduce through mTOR in human renal cancer cells. Cell Death Dis. 2014; 5: e1310.
- 164. Forster R, Davalos-Misslitz AC, Rot A. CCR7 and its ligands: balancing immunity and tolerance. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008; 8: 362-71.
- 165. Fankhauser M, Broggi MAS, Potin L, Bordry N, Jeanbart L, Lund AW, et al. Tumor lymphangiogenesis promotes T cell infiltration and potentiates immunotherapy in melanoma. Sci Transl Med. 2017; 9.
- 166. Lo JC, Chin RK, Lee Y, Kang HS, Wang Y, Weinstock JV, et al. Differential regulation of CCL21 in lymphoid/nonlymphoid tissues for effectively attracting T cells to peripheral tissues. J Clin Invest. 2003; 112: 1495-505.
- Flanagan K, Moroziewicz D, Kwak H, Horig H, Kaufman HL. The lymphoid chemokine CCL21 costimulates naive T cell expansion and Th1 polarization of non-regulatory CD4+ T cells. Cell Immunol. 2004; 231: 75-84.
- 168. Hu SC, Lin CL, Hong CH, Yu HS, Chen GS, Lee CH. CCR7 expression correlates with subcutaneous involvement in mycosis fungoides skin lesions and promotes migration of mycosis fungoides cells (MyLa) through mTOR activation. J Dermatol Sci. 2014; 74: 31-8.
- 169. Campbell JJ, Clark RA, Watanabe R, Kupper TS. Sezary syndrome and mycosis fungoides arise from distinct T-cell subsets: a biologic rationale for their distinct clinical behaviors. Blood. 2010; 116: 767-71.
- Girardi M, Heald PW, Wilson LD. The pathogenesis of mycosis fungoides. N Engl J Med. 2004; 350: 1978-88.
- Rubio Gonzalez B, Zain J, Rosen ST, Querfeld C. Tumor microenvironment in mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. Curr Opin Oncol. 2016; 28: 88-96.
- 172. Jawed SI, Myskowski PL, Horwitz S, Moskowitz A, Querfeld C. Primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome): part I. Diagnosis: clinical and histopathologic features and new molecular and biologic markers. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014; 70: 205.e1-16; quiz 21-2.
- 173. Wu J, Zhang H, Zheng Y, Jin X, Liu M, Li S, et al. The Long Noncoding RNA MALAT1 Induces Tolerogenic Dendritic Cells and Regulatory T Cells via miR155/Dendritic Cell-Specific Intercellular Adhesion Molecule-3 Grabbing Nonintegrin/IL10 Axis. Front Immunol. 2018; 9: 1847.
- Williams SA, Harata-Lee Y, Comerford I, Anderson RL, Smyth MJ, McColl SR. Multiple functions of CXCL12 in a syngeneic model of breast cancer. Mol Cancer. 2010; 9: 250.
- 175. Chang AL, Miska J, Wainwright DA, Dey M, Rivetta CV, Yu D, et al. CCL2 Produced by the Glioma Microenvironment Is Essential for the Recruitment of Regulatory T Cells and Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells. Cancer Res. 2016; 76: 5671-82.
- Wendel M, Galani IE, Suri-Payer E, Cerwenka A. Natural killer cell accumulation in tumors is dependent on IFN-gamma and CXCR3 ligands. Cancer Res. 2008; 68: 8437-45.
- 177. Vianello F, Papeta N, Chen T, Kraft P, White N, Hart WK, et al. Murine B16 melanomas expressing high levels of the chemokine stromal-derived factor-1/CXCL12 induce tumor-specific T cell chemorepulsion and escape from immune control. J Immunol. 2006; 176: 2902-14.
- 178. Dunussi-Joannopoulos K, Zuberek K, Runyon K, Hawley RG, Wong A, Erickson J, et al. Efficacious immunomodulatory activity of the chemokine stromal cell-derived factor 1 (SDF-1): local secretion of SDF-1 at the tumor site

serves as T-cell chemoattractant and mediates T-cell-dependent antitumor responses. Blood. 2002; 100: 1551-8.

- 179. Bank I. The Role of gammadelta T Cells in Fibrotic Diseases. Rambam Maimonides Med J. 2016; 7.
- Wittry DB. Use of coincidence techniques to improve the detection limits of electron spectroscopy in STEM. Ultramicroscopy. 1976; 1: 297-300.