

Access this article online
Quick Response Code:

Website: www.jehp.net
DOI: 10.4103/jehp.jehp_163_23

# Psychosocial distress, perceived social support, and coping in women survivors of domestic violence seeking help from a women's helpline in urban India

Jyothsna K. A., Kannappa V. Shetty<sup>1</sup>, Sanjeev Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Upasana Baruah<sup>3</sup>, Jyothi H.P<sup>4</sup>, Amritha K. S.<sup>5</sup>, Sachetha G. M.<sup>6</sup>

Department of Psychiatry, Abhaya Hospital, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, <sup>1</sup>School of Social Work, Indira Gandhi National Open University (IGNOU), New Delhi, India, <sup>2</sup>Department of PSS in Disaster Management, NIMHANS, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatric Social Work, Institute of Human Behaviour and Allied Sciences (IHBAS), Delhi, India, <sup>4</sup>Department of Social Work, Mysore University, Mysuru, Karnataka, India, <sup>5</sup>Department of Rehabilitation, The Banyan, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, <sup>6</sup>Independent Mental Health Counsellor, Delhi, India

## Address for correspondence:

Dr. Kannappa V. Shetty, Assistant Professor, School of Social Work, Indira Gandhi National Open University (IGNOU), New Delhi - 110 068, India.  
E-mail: vksettymsw@gmail.com

Received: 04-02-2023  
Accepted: 08-03-2023  
Published: 31-05-2023

## Abstract:

**BACKGROUND:** Domestic violence against women is a worldwide phenomenon and a major public health concern. The adverse effects on the physical and mental health of the women survivors of domestic violence are influenced by various psychosocial factors. This study aimed to understand psychological distress, perceived social support, and coping strategies among women survivors of domestic violence and its implications.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** It is a cross-sectional study conducted with 30 women survivors of domestic violence from urban Bengaluru who were registered with a women's helpline. Data were collected using a socio-demographic schedule, a self-reporting questionnaire assessing psychological distress, perceived social support scale, and ways of coping scale. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data.

**RESULTS:** Psychological distress was the highest among participants facing violence due to perpetrator using alcohol ( $M = 11.6$ ,  $SD = 3.9$ ) and ( $M = 11.73$ ,  $SD = 3.5$ ) dowry harassment. Perceived social support from family ( $M = 14.76$ ,  $SD = 4.54$ ) and friends ( $M = 11.85$ ,  $SD = 4.7$ ) was the highest among participants who reported that alcohol use was not a reason for violence.

**CONCLUSION:** It can be noticed that alcohol use, dowry harassment, and poor coping strategies were the main reasons for domestic violence, which has led to severe psychosocial distress among the women survivors.

## Keywords:

Coping, Domestic violence, helpline, mental health, social support, urban, women survivors

## Introduction

Women play an important role in the economic and social development of countries around the world.<sup>[1]</sup> However, according to research on women's status in society, the contributions of Indian women to their families are frequently disregarded in both urban and rural settings.<sup>[2]</sup> Around 35% of women experience violence at

some point in their lives and are subjected to maltreatment in physical, sexual, or psychological contexts.<sup>[3]</sup>

Violence against women disrupts and underestimates their human rights and fundamental liberties. It is a multifaceted and complex problem, and there have been a number of theories over the years that attempted to describe this.<sup>[4]</sup> Violence

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

**How to cite this article:** Jyothsna KA, Shetty KV, Kumar S, Baruah U, Jyothi HP, Amritha KS, *et al.* Psychosocial distress, perceived social support, and coping in women survivors of domestic violence seeking help from a women's helpline in urban India. *J Edu Health Promot* 2023;12:158.

against women has been defined as “any act of gender-based violence that results in, or is likely to result in physical, sexual, or psychological harm or suffering to women, including threats of such acts, coercion, or arbitrary deprivation of liberty, whether occurring in public or private life.”<sup>[5]</sup>

**Forms of domestic violence:** Based on the literature, the four forms of domestic violence are as follows. 1) Physical violence is the intentional use of physical force with the potential for causing death, disability, injury, or harm.<sup>[6]</sup> 2) Sexual violence involves the use of physical force to compel a person to engage in a sexual act against his or her will.<sup>[7]</sup> 3) Emotional violence involves trauma to the victim caused by acts, threats of acts, or coercive tactics. Emotional abuse can include humiliating, controlling what the victim can and cannot do, withholding information, isolating the victim from friends and family, and denying other basic resources.<sup>[8]</sup> 4) Intellectual violence refers to willful non-participation in discussions of importance, excusing oneself from such discussions.

Violence is a significant cause of morbidity from multiple mental, physical, sexual, and reproductive health outcomes. It also links with known risk factors for ill health such as alcohol and drug use, smoking, and unsafe sex.<sup>[9]</sup> According to Jahromi 2016, one out of every three women in the world is subjected to violence, coerced into sex, or sexually assaulted and one out of every five women is raped.<sup>[10]</sup> Physical and sexual abuse in and outside relationships are two common kinds of violence against women.<sup>[11]</sup>

It is, hence, reasonable to conclude that victims of domestic violence experience a range of psychosocial issues as well as mild to severe mental health issues. Depression, elevated suicide risk, loss of trust, low self-esteem, dread, anxiety, guilt, shame, tension, suspicion, somatic difficulties, and posttraumatic stress disorder are some commonly reported psychological repercussions.<sup>[12]</sup> Women in partnerships who suffer from physical abuse are more likely to experience psychological distress.<sup>[13,14]</sup>

Perceived social support relies on interpersonal networks and the extent to which an individual believes his or her needs for support, information, and feedback are fulfilled through interpersonal processes.<sup>[15]</sup> It consists of transactions with others that provide the recipient with emotional support, affirmation of self, appraisal of the situation, instrumental support, and information.<sup>[16]</sup> Many studies have shown that the size of informal social networks and the level of support recognized among their members predict mental health.<sup>[17,18]</sup> Social isolation and a lack of social support, however, have been associated with poor health outcomes for victims

of violence.<sup>[19]</sup> There is a direct relationship between the mental health and the overall well-being of domestic violence survivors and families. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the psychological distress, social support, and coping strategies of survivors of domestic violence. To study these variables was the primary aim of this study.

## Materials and Methods

### Study design and setting

It was a cross-sectional descriptive study. The population of the study was all women survivors of domestic violence approaching Vanitha Sahaya Vani (women’s helpline) in Bengaluru city of Karnataka state, India.

### Study participants and sampling

A total of 680 people approaching Vanitha Sahaya Vani were contacted in three months. Out of the 680, 216 men and few women were sent to the senior citizen’s helpline. One hundred and fifty women came for purely marital and family issues (not comprising violence), 50 women approached for property and legal issues, and 20 women reported to have filed FIR for sexual assault or physical assault by outsiders, hence, referred back to the police station by the agency. A total of 244 women facing domestic violence approached the helpline. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 30 women survivors of domestic violence were selected for the study.

### Eligibility criteria

The inclusion criteria for the study were (i) women above 18 years of age approaching Vanitha Sahaya Vani, (ii) women who reported to be experiencing domestic violence such as physical violence, sexual violence, emotional violence, and intellectual violence, and (iii) women who could converse in Kannada, Hindi, or English.

### Data collection tools and technique

1. **Socio-demographic schedule:** A semi-structured interview schedule was prepared by the researcher to study various socio-demographic details. The schedule had 15 items consisting of personal, family details of the respondents, and details of the perpetrator(s) of violence.
2. **Self-reporting questionnaire (SRQ):** This instrument was developed by the WHO in 1994.<sup>[20]</sup> It is a good measure of general psychological distress, especially in developing countries. It consists of 20 questions with just two responding categories—yes or no. The total score corresponds to the sum of all affirmative responses (yes), based on thirty days recall period. The total maximum score is 20. The total of affirmative responses can be used as an index of psychological distress or mental health problems. Studies have validated the use of the SRQ in India and the cutoff

score is 7. Hence, the cutoff score 7/8 to determine probable and non-probable cases of psychiatric morbidity. A score of 7 or below is considered to represent non-cases. A score of 8 and above indicates evidences of psychological distress.

3. **Perceived social support scale:** This was developed by Procidano and Heller in 1983. It is a 40-item self-reporting measure, composed of two subscales, social support from family and friends.<sup>[15]</sup> Each subscale measures close and diffuses social support. The response category of each of the items is “yes,” “no,” and “don’t know.” For each of the items, the response is indicated for perceived social support as + 1, so that the scores range from 0 to 20 for each subscale. The higher the individual’s score on the scale, the greater the perceived social support is.
4. **Ways of coping scale:** This was developed by Susan Folkman and Richard Lazarus in 1980.<sup>[21]</sup> It has 66-item self-report measures of both adaptive and maladaptive coping skills. It has eight subscales such as confrontive coping, distancing, self-controlling, seeking social support, accepting responsibility, escape avoidance, planful problem solving, and positive reappraisal. The scale is measured on a four-point Likert scale: 0 does not apply or not used; 1, used somewhat; 2, used quite a bit; and 3, used a great deal.

### Ethical consideration

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee, NIMHANS, Bengaluru. Permission was taken from the women’s helpline in Bengaluru which is working with the survivors of domestic violence. The informed consent was taken from the clients after explaining the purpose of the study. The confidentiality of the information was ensured, and the information collected was for research purpose only.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the socio-demographic data, patterns of violence, ways of coping, psychological distress, and perceived social support. T-test was used to explain the significant differences in various dimensions of coping, perceived social support, and psychological distress with respect to selected socio-demographic variables.

## Results

Table 1: Socio-demographic profile: 65% of the participants were in the age group of 20–30. 46.6% of the participants were educated up to 12<sup>th</sup> standard, and 75% stayed in the nuclear family. 36.7% were married between 6 and 10 years. 66.7% of the participants reported husband or partner to be the perpetrator of violence.

**Table 1: Socio-demographic details of participants**

Socio-demography	Results	Percentage %	
Age distribution	Age group 20–30 years	65	
	Religion	Hindu	80
		Muslim	13.3
Education	Christian	6.7	
	Higher primary school	23	
	12 <sup>th</sup> standard	46.6	
	Under graduation	27	
Type of family	Postgraduation	7	
	Nuclear family	75	
Domicile	Urban	77	
Duration of marital life	6–10 years	36.7	
Family size	Three members	53.3	
Relationship of the perpetrator with respondent	Husband/partner	66.7	
	In-law	20	
Occupation of the perpetrator	Son/brother	13.3	
	Semiskilled	53.3	

Table 2: Majority of the participants reported poor appetite (80%), inadequate sleep (77%), and often suffering from headaches (73%), and 60% of them felt they were worthless. Majority (80%) reported trouble in thinking clearly, 80% of them indicated difficulty in making decisions, and 63.3% had the thought of ending their lives.

Table 3: Mean scores of self-controlling (M = 10.9), accepting responsibility (M = 5.23), and positive reappraisal (M = 9.6) were close to the average score as reported in the validation of the scale while seeking support was more than the average (M = 12.87).

Table 4: Mean score of perceived social support from family is M = 13.23, which indicated that participants had higher perceived social support from family compared to perceived social support from friends (M = 11.73).

Table 5: Psychological distress was the highest among participants facing violence due to perpetrator using alcohol (M = 11.6, SD = 3.9).

## Discussion

The study results summarize that psychological distress was the highest among women facing domestic violence due to inadequate coping skills and lack of social support. The results of the study corroborate with numerous Indian and Western studies. Reviere *et al.* in 2007<sup>[22]</sup> reported that among low-income African–American women who had experienced intimate partner violence and who had suicidality showed less adaptive coping methods, while those who did not have suicidality showed efficacious behavioral coping and used greater social support. The present study findings showed differences in types of coping

**Table 2: Item-wise distribution on psychological distress**

Item no.	Item description	Yes (f)	Yes (%)	No (f)	No (%)
1	Often has headaches	22	73.33	8	26.66
2	Appetite is poor	24	80	6	20
3	Sleeps badly	23	76.66	7	23.33
4	Is easily frightened	15	50	15	50
5	Hands shake	0	0	30	100
6	Feels nervous, tense	25	83.33	5	16.66
7	Digestion is poor	2	6.66	28	93.33
8	Has trouble thinking clearly	24	80	6	20
9	Feels unhappy	30	100	0	0
10	Cries more than usual	20	66.66	10	33.33
11	Finds it difficult to enjoy daily activities	22	73.33	8	26.66
12	Finds it difficult to make decisions	24	80	6	20
13	Her daily work is suffering	14	46.66	16	53.33
14	Is unable to play a useful part in life	16	53.33	14	46.66
15	Has lost interest in things	25	83.33	5	16.66
16	Feels she is a worthless person	18	60	12	40
17	Thought of ending life has been on her mind	19	63.33	11	36.66
18	Feels tired all the time	13	43.33	17	56.66
19	Has uncomfortable feelings in the stomach	2	6.66	28	93.33
20	Is easily tired	4	13.33	26	86.66

F: Frequency, %: Percentage

**Table 3: Mean scores on pattern of coping**

Type of coping	n	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Score range	Avg score
Confrontive coping	30	1	13	8.10	3.02	0–18	9
Distancing	30	2	12	7.30	3.22	0–18	9
Self-controlling	30	6	13	10.90	2.04	0–21	10.5
Seeking support	30	10	15	12.87	1.43	0–18	9
Accept responsibility	30	2	6	5.23	0.90	0–12	6
Escape avoidance	30	4	13	10.40	2.91	0–24	12
Planful problem solving	30	2	11	7.57	3.43	0–18	9
Positive reappraisal	30	5	17	9.60	2.88	0–21	10.5

n: Total number, SD: Standard deviation

**Table 4: Mean scores for perceived social support**

Source of perceived social support	n	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Dev	Score range	Avg Score
PSS family	30	3	18	13.23	5.35	0–20	10
PSS friends	30	1	17	11.73	4.44	0–20	10

PSS: Perceived social support, n: Total number, SD: Standard deviation

between homemakers and skilled workers, but it did not account for statistically significant difference across the groups. It should also be noted that culture practices do influence the coping patterns of individuals in addition to their learned skills and behaviors. Such cultural beliefs and practices as “treating husband as God,” “giving preference to male children,” “men being the head of the family,” “involving women mainly in household work,” “not involving women in family decision making,” “women should not get remarried,” “women should eat after serving food to all other family members,” etc., make women more vulnerable not only to domestic violence but also to stress-related mental illnesses in addition to physical health problems.<sup>[23-25]</sup>

Even though there have been many positive changes in such beliefs about women in Indian contexts, and there are several government initiatives and programs for women’s empowerment, it should be noted that women are still treated as a vulnerable group in various communities of Indian society.<sup>[26]</sup>

A study among 406 Caucasian and African–American women on violence, coping, and social support concluded that women did not experience uniform patterns of violence. Social support is a protective factor, and women used pray as a coping strategy. Hence, they are less likely to seek mental health help from professionals.<sup>[27]</sup>

Our study also explores the significant differences between coping age, family type, socioeconomic status, occupation, the perpetrator relationship with the respondent, and reasons for violence. The high-income group showed more negative coping strategy, while low-income group showed all types of coping. This could be because of the close social networks that people with low income get associated with for meeting their cultural, social, and financial needs. Another theoretical understanding is that adversities including poverty and lack of amenities can make people more resilient, adaptive, and socially well-connected. Positive coping of seeking social support was the highest among those reporting in-laws as perpetrators of violence. In the case of husband or son/brother being the perpetrator, the participants showed the following coping strategies: confrontive coping, distancing, self-controlling, seeking social support, accepting responsibility, escape avoidance, and positive reappraisal. Participants in the



**Table 5: Comparison of coping, social support, and psychological distress with respect to alcohol use by perpetrator as a reason for violence**

	Response	n	Mean	Std. Dev	t	P
Confrontive coping	Yes	9	9	0	12.564	0.001
	No	21	7.71	3.56		
Distancing	Yes	9	9	1.5	5.41	0.027
	No	21	6.57	3.50		
Self-controlling	Yes	9	12	1.5	0.673	0.419
	No	21	10.42	2.08		
Seeking support	Yes	9	13.33	0.5	7.939	0.009
	No	21	12.66	1.65		
Accepting responsibility	Yes	9	5.33	0.5	0.967	0.334
	No	21	5.19	1.03		
Escape avoidance	Yes	9	12.33	1	17.144	0.000
	No	21	9.57	3.07		
Planful problem solving	Yes	9	9	3	1.28	0.268
	No	21	6.95	3.48		
Positive reappraisal	Yes	9	10.66	2	1.767	0.194
	No	21	9.14	3.11		
Psychological distress	Yes	9	11.66	3.90	0.004	0.949
	No	21	11.42	3.93		
Perceived social support family	Yes	9	9.66	5.63	0.79	0.382
	No	21	14.76	4.54		
Perceived social support friends	Yes	9	11.44	3.97	0.335	0.567
	No	21	11.85	4.72		

n: Total number, SD: Standard deviation, T: T-test, P: Statistical significant

age group of 30–50 showed all types of coping, while the respondents in the age group of 20–29 largely sought support and accepted responsibility for the situation. This was similar to the results of earlier studies.<sup>[27,28]</sup>

Perceived social support from family for the respondents was higher than perceived social support from friends. Perceived social support from family was the highest among the age group of 20–29 years with those homemakers who stayed in the nuclear family reporting the highest perceived social support from family. However, earlier studies from India have reported that perceived social support was inadequate from the same age group<sup>[28,29]</sup>. The study findings and the available literature indicate that psychological distress, coping patterns, and social support systems are interconnected, so understanding them together seems to be the right approach toward better interventions.<sup>[30-32]</sup>

We have also found that psychological distress was the highest among the participants facing violence due to the perpetrator using alcohol. The same thing has been corroborated with many other Indian and Western studies that alcohol intake may alter the mood of the perpetrator and subsequently involve in violence.

### Limitations

The study was conducted in only one organization catering to women in distress and at a single point

of time with the respondents. The experiences of the respondents were not corroborated by other significant family members, and the sample size was small. Hence, the study findings cannot be generalized.

### Conclusion

The study concludes that women facing violence for the reason of dowry take the blame on themselves, and in the initial years of their marriage, they adopt negative coping strategies, which may cause significant psychological distress. Apart from mental health, domestic violence also has a serious impact on physical health of women, particularly during their reproductive health. This negative effect of domestic violence hampers adequate and healthy family functioning and overall well-being of women. Thus, there is an urgent need for providing psychosocial care to women facing such stressful situations across the county.

### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

### References

1. Boserup E, Tan SF, Toulmin C. Woman’s Role in Economic Development. Routledge; United Kingdom 2013.
2. Saha UC, Saha KB. A trend in women’s health in India—what has been achieved and what can be done. Rural Remote Health 2010;10:296-306.
3. Harbishettar V, Math SB. Violence against women in India: Comprehensive care for survivors. Indian J Med Res 2014;140:157.
4. Fulu E and Miedema S. Violence against women: Globalizing the integrated ecological model. Violence Against Women 2015;21:1431-55.
5. Bhattacharya A, Yasmin S, Bhattacharya A, Baur B, Madhwani KP. Domestic violence against women: A hidden and deeply rooted health issue in India. J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:5229-35.
6. Chowdhury AN, Brahma A, Banerjee S, Biswas MK. Pattern of domestic violence amongst non-fatal deliberate self-harm attempters: A study from primary care of West Bengal. Indian J Psychiatry 2009;51:96-100.
7. Kaur R, Garg S. Addressing domestic violence against women: An unfinished agenda. Indian J Community Med 2008;33:73-6.
8. Bhatti RS, George T. The measurement of family violence. Indian J Soc Work 2001;62:347-66.
9. Garcia-Moreno C, Watts C. Violence against women: An urgent public health priority. Bull World Health Organ 2011;89:2. doi: 10.2471/blt. 10.085217.
10. Jahromi MK, Jamali S, Koshkaki AR, Javadpour S. Prevalence and risk factors of domestic violence against women by their husbands in Iran. Glob J Health Sci 2016;8:175-83.
11. Krahé B. Violence against women. Curr Opin Psychol 2018;19:6-10.
12. Avdibegović E and Sinanović O. Consequences of domestic violence on women’s mental health in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Croat Med J 2006;47:730-41.
13. Gust DA, Gvetadze R, Furtado M, Makanga M, Akelo V, Ondenge K, et al. Factors associated with psychological distress

- among young women in Kisumu, Kenya. *Int J Womens Health* 2017;9:255-64.
14. Shetty K, Sridharan P, Kumar S, Akhila C, Sreenivas J, Raghavan V. Domestic violence among married couples during COVID-19 lockdown in an urban setting: A cross-sectional survey. *Indian J Ment Health Neurosci* 2020;3:45-52.
  15. Procidano ME, Heller K. Measures of perceived social support from friends and from family: Three validation studies. *Am J Community Psychol* 1983;11:1-24. doi: 10.1007/BF00898416.
  16. Heller K, Swindle RW, Dusenbury L. Component social support processes: Comments and integration. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1986;54:466-70.
  17. Kawachi I, Berkman LF. Social ties and mental health. *J Urban Health* 2001;78:458-67.
  18. Lindsey MA, Joe S, Nebbitt V. Family matters: The role of mental health stigma and social support on depressive symptoms and subsequent help seeking among African American boys. *J Black Psychol* 2010;36:458-82.
  19. Ogbe E, Harmon S, Van den Bergh R, Degomme O. A systematic review of intimate partner violence interventions focused on improving social support and/mental health outcomes of survivors. *PLoS One* 2020;15:e0235177. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235177.
  20. World Health Organization WH. A user's guide to the Self Reporting Questionnaire (SRQ). Geneva: World Health Organization. 1994:1-84.
  21. Folkman S, Lazarus RS. An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. *J Health Soc Behav* 1980;21:219-39.
  22. Reviere SL, Farber EW, Twomey H, Okun A, Jackson E, Zanzville H, et al. Intimate partner violence and suicidality in low-income African American women: A multimethod assessment of coping factors. *Violence Against Women* 2007;13:1113-29.
  23. Kimuna SR, Djamba YK, Ciciurkaite G, Cherukuri S. Domestic violence in India: Insights from the 2005-2006 national family health survey. *J Interpers Violence* 2013;28:773-807.
  24. Bhattacharya R. *Behind Closed Doors: Domestic Violence in India*. Sage Publications India; New Delhi 2004.
  25. Bishwajit G, Sarker S, Yaya S. Socio-cultural aspects of gender-based violence and its impacts on women's health in South Asia. *F1000 Research* 2016;5:802.
  26. Malhotra A, Schuler SR. Women's empowerment as a variable in international development. *Measuring Empowerment: Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives*. The World Bank, Washington, USA Vol 1. 2005. p. 71-88.
  27. Mary A. *Ecological Model of Battered Women's Experience over Time*. USA: Dept of Justice; 2006.
  28. Lee J, Pomeroy EC, Bohman TM. Intimate partner violence and psychological health in a sample of Asian and Caucasian women: The roles of social support and coping. *J Fam Violence* 2007;22:709-20.
  29. Rocca CH, Rathod S, Falle T, Pande RP, Krishnan S. Challenging assumptions about women's empowerment: Social and economic resources and domestic violence among young married women in urban South India. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;38:577-85.
  30. Bhandari S. Coping strategies in the face of domestic violence in India. In: *Women's Studies International Forum*. Elsevier; New Delhi 2019. p. 204-9.
  31. Mitchell RE, Hodson CA. Coping with domestic violence: Social support and psychological health among battered women. *Am J Community Psychol* 1983;11:629-54.
  32. Tonsing KN, Tonsing JC, Orbuch T. Domestic violence, social support, coping and depressive symptomatology among South Asian women in Hong Kong. *J Loss Trauma* 2021;26:134-52.