

Pneumopericardium following mechanical ventilation in COVID-19 pneumonia

Roberto Scacciavillani ()¹, Giulia Iannaccone ()¹, Marco Giuseppe Del Buono¹*, and Giuseppe Bello²

¹Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Sciences, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, L.go A. Gemelli, 1, 00168 Rome, Italy; and ²Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, L.go A. Gemelli, 1, 00168 Rome, Italy

Received 1 May 2020; first decision 18 May 2020; accepted 16 June 2020; online publish-ahead-of-print 18 August 2020

A 61-year-old man without comorbidities was admitted to a local hospital in Rome for fever and worsening dyspnoea. Two rhinopharyngeal swabs resulted positive for SARS-CoV2, chest X-ray demonstrated bilateral ground-glass opacities, and he was diagnosed with COVID-19 pneumonia. After intubation for respiratory deterioration, he was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) of our university hospital. At ICU admission, blood tests showed lymphopenia $(0.3 \times 10^9/L)$, increased C-reactive protein (310 mg/L), normal



Figure I Computed tomography scans showing diffuse bilateral ground-glass opacities and consolidations, extended subcutaneous emphysema, pneumomediastinum, and pneumopericardium (white arrows). (A) Axial computed tomography scan; (B) coronal computed tomography scan; (C) sagittal computed tomography scan.

*Corresponding author. Tel: +39 06 30154187, Fax: +39 06 30154432, Email: marcodelbuono@hotmail.it

Handling Editor: Nikos Papageorgiou

Peer-reviewers: Elad Asher and Gianluigi Savarese

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

procalcitonin, and a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio of 143. Ventilator was set on volume-controlled ventilation with FiO2 of 70%, positive endexpiratory pressure (PEEP) of 15 cmH₂O, tidal volume of 420 mL (6.0 mL/kg), and respiratory rate of 30 cycles/min. Resulting plateau pressure was 27 cmH₂O. A few hours later, neck and chest subcutaneous emphysema was noted. Chest computed tomography scan showed ground-glass opacities and consolidations in both lungs, with pneumopericardium, pneumomediastinum, and left pneumothorax, without large-airway lesions. No invasive cardiac procedure was performed because of patient's stable haemodynamics, and chest X-rays showed progressive, spontaneous resolution of the pneumopericardium. After two prone-positioning sessions over the first 3 days of ICU admission, respiratory conditions progressively improved and patient was switched to spontaneous ventilation on Day 5 and, after tracheostomy on Day 14, was gradually taken off ventilatory support 1 month later.

We described the occurrence of a sizeable pneumopericardium following mechanical ventilation for COVID-19 pneumonia, although a lung-protective strategy was applied and no recruitment manoeuvre was performed. Mechanical ventilation can cause alveolar rupture, thus favouring gas passage first in pulmonary interstitium, peribronchial, and pulmonary perivascular sheaths, and then in lung hilum and mediastinum. In case of concomitant pericardial disruption, pneumopericardium may develop. Pneumopericardium due to barotrauma is a rare complication, and physicians should maintain the attention high when using high PEEP in patients with severe pneumonia even though protective ventilation is applied.

Consent: The author/s confirm that written consent for submission and publication of this case report including image(s) and associated text has been obtained from the patient in line with COPE guidance.