Correspondence

Susceptibility testing of Staphylococaus aureus

Sir,

Chitnis *et al*¹ have done an excellent job of determining the MIC of daptomycin, linezolid and teicoplanin in *S. aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis* but they did not interpret the sensitivity results properly, as given below:

- (i) Oxacillin disc diffusion (DD) has a sensitivity of only 91 per cent and specificity of only 58.9 per cent while cefoxitin DD has sensitivity and specificity of 97.8 & 100 per cent, respectively². Therefore, oxacillin DD could not have given a result identical to cefoxitin DD.
- (ii) Though the authors have reported the susceptibility of erythromycin and clindamycin, but they did not make an effort to detect inducible resistance to clindamycin which has got immense clinical significance.
- (iii) The table shows that resistance to ampicillin was 67.67 per cent while MRSA rate was 73.33 per cent which implies that almost 5 per cent of the MRSA isolates were actually sensitive to ampicillin¹. The authors seem to have committed an error in susceptibility test reporting.
- (*iv*) For MRSA there is no need to test and report beta-lactams (Table) as these all are considered resistant irrespective of their zone diameters.
- (v) CLSI has done away with vancomycin DD and recommends only MIC testing³. Therefore, the data presented in Table on vancomycin susceptibility based on DD are not valid.
- (vi) High level aminoglycoside (gentamicin $120 \mu g$) needs to be tested for enterococci to determine its synergy with ampicillin/penicillin/vancomycin. The authors have tested $10 \mu g$ instead³.

(vii) According to CLSI (2009) the vancomycin MIC for susceptible is $\leq 2 \mu g/ml$, intermediate is 4-8 $\mu g/ml$ and resistant is $\geq 16 \mu g/ml$. The authors have reported 16 MRSA isolates with MIC of $3\mu g/ml$ and classified these as sensitive³.

References

- Chitnis S, Katara G, Hemvani N, Pareek S, Chitnis DS. In vitro activity of daptomycin & linezolid against methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus & vancomycin resistant enterococci isolated from hospitalized cases in Central India. Indian J Med Res 2013; 137: 191-6.
- Swenson JM, Lonsway D, McAllister S, Thompson A, Jevitt L, Zhu W, et al. Detection of mecA-mediated resistance using reference and commercial testing methods in a collection of Staphylococcus aureus expressing borderline oxacillin MICs. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; 58: 33-9.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 19th informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S19. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2009.

V. Anil Kumar

Department of Microbiology Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences Ponekara, Kochi, Kerala, 682 041 India vanilkumar@aims.amrita.edu