



Systematic Review Effect of Casein Hydrolysate on Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled trials

Shuaishuai Zhou 🗅, Teng Xu, Xu Zhang, Junjie Luo *🕩, Peng An *🕩 and Yongting Luo *🕩

Department of Nutrition and Health, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China * Correspondence: luojj@cau.edu.cn (J.L.); an-peng@cau.edu.cn (P.A.); luo.yongting@cau.edu.cn (Y.L.)

Abstract: Casein hydrolysate has various biological functional activities, especially prominent are angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory activities. Increasing evidence has reported the prominent hypotensive effect of casein hydrolysate. However, the effects of casein hydrolysate on cardiovascular risk factors remain unclear and require more comprehensive and detailed studies. Here, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on eligible randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to summarize the effects of casein hydrolysate supplementation on blood pressure, blood lipids, and blood glucose. In the pooled analyses, casein hydrolysate significantly reduced systolic blood pressure by 3.20 mmHg (-4.53 to -1.87 mmHg) and diastolic blood pressure by 1.50 mmHg (-2.31 to -0.69 mmHg). Supplementation of casein hydrolysate displayed no effect on total cholesterol (-0.07 mmol/L; -0.17 to 0.03 mmol/L), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (-0.04 mmol/L; -0.15 to 0.08 mmol/L), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (-0.01 mmol/L; -0.06 to 0.03 mmol/L), triglycerides (-0.05 mmol/L, -0.14 to 0.05 mmol/L), or fasting blood glucose (-0.01 mmol/L; -0.10 to 0.09 mmol/L) compared with the placebo diets. Collectively, this study indicated that supplementation of casein hydrolysate displayed decreasing effect on blood pressure without affecting blood lipids or glycemic status.

Keywords: blood pressure; blood lipids; cardiovascular disease; casein hydrolysate

1. Introduction

With the aging of the world population, cardiovascular disease (CVD) has become an increasingly prominent public health problem globally. CVD remains the leading cause of mortality worldwide [1]. According to World Health Organization's 2021 World Health Statistics, global CVD deaths had grown by one quarter since 2000, reaching 17.9 million by 2019 [2]. More seriously, CVD deaths are expected to reach 22.2 million by 2030 [3].

Nutritional and lifestyle risk factors such as suboptimal diet, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, and other metabolic diseases (e.g., type 2 diabetes and obesity) were identified to be major risk factors for CVDs [4], among which a healthy diet has been recommended as a preventive or treatment approach for CVDs [5]. Although a variety of foods with cardioprotective potentials have been identified by epidemiological studies [6], the optimal nutrient composition for CVD remains to be investigated [7].

Evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) indicated that milk protein supplementation was an effective diet approach for reducing blood pressure (BP) [8,9] specifically identifying casein as the most abundant high-quality protein in milk, which accounts for 80% of total milk protein [10]. Casein contains nearly all common amino acids and most essential amino acids [11]. It belongs to a slow-digesting protein, possessing a delayed release property in the digestive system. In addition, it also has anti-catabolic properties and inhibits the breakdown of other proteins [12]. Through commercial fermentation and enzymatic hydrolysis, casein can be converted into casein hydrolysate and other active peptides [13].



Citation: Zhou, S.; Xu, T.; Zhang, X.; Luo, J.; An, P.; Luo, Y. Effect of Casein Hydrolysate on Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled trials. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 4207. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu14194207

Academic Editors: Roberto Iacone and Arrigo Cicero

Received: 3 September 2022 Accepted: 1 October 2022 Published: 9 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Casein-derived hydrolysate has various biological functions including, but not limited to, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant [14], and antihypertensive activities [15,16]. Oxidative stress and chronic inflammation play critical roles in the process of CVD development [17,18]. Hence, it is essential to demonstrate how casein hydrolysate regulates cardiometabolic health. Strong evidence indicates that casein hydrolysate lowers BP by blocking the activity of angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) [10,16,19], which converts angiotensin I to vasoconstrictor angiotensin II by removing two amino acids from the C-terminus of the active peptides [20]. In addition to BP elevation, angiotensin II can stimulate the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines and the activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NFkB) [21,22]. The antioxidant properties of casein hydrolysate might be attributed to its powerful scavenging capacity of superoxides and hydroxyl radicals, as well as its chelating activity on iron ions [23–25].

Contradictory results have been reported on the BP lowering effect of casein hydrolysate in RCTs [26,27]. Therefore, systematic reviews and meta-analyses were performed to assess the effects of casein hydrolysate supplementation on BP [28–31]. However, these published systematic reviews and meta-analyses need updating to incorporate recent published studies. In addition, the influences of casein-derived hydrolysate on CVD risk factors also require a systematical assessment. To provide the most updated and comprehensive evaluation, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of published RCTs to assess the effects of casein hydrolysate on multiple CVD risk factors, including BP, blood lipids, and blood glucose.

2. Methods

The systematic review and meta-analysis were performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

2.1. Search Strategy

We searched the PubMed and Web of Science databases from 1994 to July 2022 to identify eligible studies. The involved searching keywords were randomized controlled trial, casein hydrolysate, cardiovascular disease, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides (TG), and fasting blood glucose (FBG). Two reviewers independently searched and assessed the studies retrieved from databases. Any inconsistency was reexamined by another reviewer and resolved via group discussion.

2.2. Study Selection and Selection Criteria

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the study was written in the English language; (2) trials were performed with humans; (3) published RCTs; (4) the intervention diet was casein hydrolysate or lactotripeptides; (5) the study contained a comparable placebo or control group; (6) and reporting at least one CVD risk factor. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the trials involved animals (e.g., rats or mice); (2) no control/placebo group; (3) the intervention diet was not casein hydrolysate or lactotripeptides; (4) no relevant result of CVD risk factor; (5) no result of intervention or control/placebo group; (6) review article. Initially, two reviewers independently screened the retrieved articles based on titles and abstracts to determine whether the studies met the inclusion criteria. The full text was downloaded for detailed examination for eligible or uncertain studies according to titles and abstracts.

2.3. Data Extraction

The following information of eligible studies was extracted: (1) author name; (2) publication year; (3) the study design (randomization, single-or double-blinded; parallel trial, or crossover trial); (4) the source of hydrolysate consumed in the intervention diet; (5) the preparation method of hydrolysate; (6) intervention diet; (7) control/placebo diet; (8) outcomes of CVD risk factor before and after control and intervention diet; (9) intervention duration; (10) health status of participants; (11) population size; (12) geographic location; (13) mean age of participants. For subsequent statistical analysis, the units of TG, related cholesterol indicators, and FBG were unified. The TG value (in mg/dL) was divided by 88.57 (in mmol/L). The related cholesterol value (in mg/dL) was divided by 38.67 (in mmol/L). The FBG value (in mg/dL) was divided by 18 (in mmol/L).

2.4. Risk of Bias

The risk of bias was assessed by two independent reviewers according to the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook recommendations [32]. Review Manager 5.4 was used to evaluate the risk of bias in seven domains. Seven domains were (1) random sequence generation (selection bias); (2) allocation concealment (selection bias); (3) blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias); (4) blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias); (5) incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); (6) selective reporting (reporting bias); (7) other bias. Every domain of risk of bias was categorized as unclear risk (represented by a yellow circle), high risk (represented by a red circle), or low risk (represented by a green circle). Disagreements were resolved by discussion until a consensus was reached.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The change value of indicators (endpoint minus baseline) used in the analysis were presented as means and standard deviations (SDs). A random-effects model was applied to assess the effect sizes. The evaluated effect sizes were presented as weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) according to the Cochrane Handbook [32]. Meta-regression was conducted to analyze the possible source of heterogeneity [33]: (1) baseline BP (SBP \geq 140 mmHg and SBP < 140 mmHg; DBP \geq 90 mmHg and DBP < 90 mmHg); (2) age (\geq 50 years and <50 years); (3) duration of the intervention $(\geq 8 \text{ weeks and } < 8 \text{ weeks});$ (4) preparation method (fermentation and enzyme); (5) disease (BP disease and healthy). Egger's linear regression test was performed to evaluate the existence of publication bias (publication bias was regarded to exist when p < 0.05). The symmetry of funnel plots was also visually judged in evaluating publication bias. Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the existence of a small study effect and the reliability of the results. Review Manager 5.4 was used to evaluate the effects of casein hydrolysate on CVD risk factors. It also showed the risk of bias and visual funnel plots of the included studies. Review Manager 5.4 was used to generate a forest plot. Stata 15 was used to perform Egger's linear regression test and sensitivity analysis. p < 0.05 was considered as a statistically significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection and Study Characteristics

The screening process for eligible studies is shown in Figure 1. We initially retrieved 160 articles in PubMed and Web of Science using fixed search terms (see Supplemental Material). Based on titles and abstracts, 81 articles were removed for duplication or because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Then, the full texts of the remaining 79 articles were downloaded for detailed evaluation. Based on a full-text review, 53 articles were excluded for no control/placebo group, no relevant results of CVD risk factors, or no specific value of CVD risk factors. Eventually, 26 articles containing 33 trials were included.

In total, 30 trials consisting of 1824 participants reported SBP; 29 trials consisting of 1743 participants reported DBP; 13 trials consisting of 648 participants reported TC; 7 trials consisting of 386 participants reported LDL-C; 11 trials consisting of 641 participants reported HDL-C levels; 14 studies trials consisting of 678 participants reported TG; and 7 trials consisting of 396 participants reported FBG. The detailed characteristics of included studies are described in Table S1. The effects of casein hydrolysate on cardiovascular risk factors (SBP, DBP, TC, LDL, HDL, TG, and FBG) were assessed using a random-effects model.



Figure 1. Screening process for eligible studies.

3.2. Effects of Casein Hydrolysate on BP

Casein hydrolysate significantly reduced SBP by 3.20 mmHg (95% CI: -4.53, -1.87 mmHg; p < 0.00001) compared with control diets (Figure 2A). Publication bias was suggested from the visual funnel plot and Egger's linear regression test (p = 0.01) (Figure S1, Table S2). However, sensitivity analysis indicated that the result of SBP was reliable (Figure S2).

Casein hydrolysate supplementation decreased DBP by 1.50 mmHg (95% CI: -2.31, -0.69 mmHg; p = 0.0003) (Figure 2B). Publication of bias was suggested by Egger's linear regression test (p = 0.03) (Table S2). Sensitivity analysis indicated that the DBP result was reliable (Figure S2).

3.3. Effects of Casein Hydrolysate on Blood Lipids

Subsequently, the overall effects of casein hydrolysate on blood lipids (TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG) were assessed. It was shown that casein hydrolysate had no significant effect on blood lipids: TC (-0.07 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.17, 0.03 mmol/L; p = 0.17), LDL (-0.04 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.15, 0.08 mmol/L; p = 0.54), HDL (-0.01 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.06, 0.03 mmol/L; p = 0.55), and TG (-0.05 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.14, 0.05 mmol/L; p = 0.33) (Figure 3). The funnel plot and Egger's linear regression test of blood lipids showed that no publication bias existed ($P_{\text{TC}} = 0.304$; $P_{\text{LDL}} = 0.483$; $P_{\text{HDL}} = 0.396$; $P_{\text{TG}} = 0.473$) (Figure S1, Table S2). Sensitivity analysis identified no small-study effects and the results are reliable (Figure S2).

A SBP

Casein hydrolysate		te	Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% C	IV. Random. 95% CI	ABCDEFG
Akazawa N, 2018	-2	7.28010989	7	0	15.03329638	8	1.1%	-2.00 [-13.73, 9.73]		????
Cadée, 2007	-10.7	7.83836718	24	-3.6	11.75755077	24	3.1%	-7.10 [-12.75, -1.45]		?????
Cicero A. F. G, 2010a	-0.4	7.21110255	33	1.7	8.5278954	33	4.4%	-2.10 [-5.91, 1.71]		? 🛑 ? 🖶 🖶 🕀
Cicero A. F. G, 2010b	-1.7	8.76726867	22	-0.7	7.6501634	22	3.6%	-1.00 [-5.86, 3.86]		? 🛑 ? 🖶 🖶 🕒
Cicero A. F. G, 2012	-2.73	12.57973171	82	-1.38	11.91792977	82	4.5%	-1.35 [-5.10, 2.40]		
Cicero A. F. G, 2016	-2.5	6.35491935	40	-0.4	7.40067564	40	5.1%	-2.10 [-5.12, 0.92]		
Engberink M. F. 2008a	-1.6	8.87411967	35	-4.3	7.35391052	32	4.4%	2.70 [-1.19, 6.59]		
Engberink M. F, 2008b	-4.8	10.18233765	32	-4.3	7.35391052	32	4.0%	-0.50 [-4.85, 3.85]		
Engberink M. F. 2008c	-2.8	10.2	36	-4.3	7.35391052	32	4.1%	1.50 [-2.69, 5.69]		
Germino F W, 2010	-3.6	9.97	52	0	8.52	29	4.2%	-3.60 [-7.72, 0.52]		?????
Hata Y, 1996	-14.1	12.78162744	17	-4.4	12.97998459	13	1.6%	-9.70 [-19.01, -0.39]		? • ? • • • •
Hirota T, 2007	0.9	12.96630248	24	-2.1	12.27293771	24	2.3%	3.00 [-4.14, 10.14]		???
Igase M, 2021	-1	16	36	0.5	12.9	44	2.6%	-1.50 [-7.97, 4.97]		•••••
Ishida Y, 2011a	-14.9	8.03523491	8	-3.9	6.18465844	8	2.4%	-11.00 [-18.03, -3.97]		????⊕⊕⊕ €
Ishida Y, 2011b	-6.7	6.71192968	8	-1.9	4.46598254	8	3.1%	-4.80 [-10.39, 0.79]		????
Ishida Y, 2011c	-2.5	8.95684096	8	2.4	3.95284708	8	2.5%	-4.90 [-11.68, 1.88]		· ? ? ?
Jauhiainen T, 2005	-5.1	8.85525408	47	-3.1	10.55818755	47	4.3%	-2.00 [-5.94, 1.94]		? @ ? @ @ @ @
Jauhiainen T, 2010	-4.6	12.64839986	45	-2.6	10.36089503	44	3.7%	-2.00 [-6.80, 2.80]		? @ ? @ @ @ @
Jauhiainen T, 2012	-2.3	8.15488938	45	0.3	7.56509796	44	4.9%	-2.60 [-5.87, 0.67]		????
Kajimoto O, 2002	-13.9	11.4	31	-0.9	9.7	33	3.4%	-13.00 [-18.20, -7.80]		? ?
Mizuno S, 2005a	-13	8.90224691	21	-1.2	9.33809402	20	3.1%	-11.80 [-17.39, -6.21]		🔴 ? ? ? 🔁 🖶 🕒
Mizuno S, 2005b	-2.8	6.26617906	12	0.3	4.5721986	12	4.0%	-3.10 [-7.49, 1.29]		🔴 ? ? ? 🔁 🖶 🖶
Mizushima S, 2004	-5.2	11.33125013	23	-3.7	10.63750012	23	2.7%	-1.50 [-7.85, 4.85]		?
Nakamura T, 2011	-10.5	11.5	35	-3.9	9.6	35	3.5%	-6.60 [-11.56, -1.64]		· · · • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sano J, 2005	-5.9	6.91158448	72	-2.1	7.37868552	72	5.8%	-3.80 [-6.14, -1.46]		??????????????
Seppo L, 2002	-15.4	8.28190799	19	-9.4	13.193938	17	2.3%	-6.00 [-13.29, 1.29]		
Van D, 2008	-1.9	9.26066952	134	-2.3	9.36375993	137	5.9%	0.40 [-1.82, 2.62]	· +	<mark>????⊕⊕⊕</mark> ⊕
Yamasue K, 2010	-6	12.50299964	22	0	15.25204904	22	1.9%	-6.00 [-14.24, 2.24]	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Yoshizawa M, 2009	-6	19.74841766	15	-1	14.4222051	13	0.9%	-5.00 [-17.70, 7.70]		? ? ?
Yoshizawa M, 2010	-6	20.78460969	12	-1	18.97366596	10	0.6%	-5.00 [-21.63, 11.63]		??? €€€€
Total (95% CI)			997			968	100.0%	-3.20 [-4.53, -1.87]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 6.5	59; Chi ² =	64.93, df = 29 ((P = 0.0)	001); l²	= 55%					-
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 4.72 (P	< 0.00001)		258					-zu -iu U 10 20	
	55-525 (SUBA	111111111111111111111111111111111111111							Caselli nyuroiysate Control	

 Risk of bias legend.

 (A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)

 (B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

 (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

 (D) Binding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

 (E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

 (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

 (G) Other bias

B DBP

Casein hydrolysate		0	Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	I IV, Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
Akazawa N, 2018	-1	5.52268051	7	2	10.04987562	8	0.9%	-3.00 [-11.08, 5.08]		????
Cadée, 2007	-6.9	5.87877538	24	-2.7	7.83836718	24	3.0%	-4.20 [-8.12, -0.28]		?????
Cicero A. F. G. 2010a	-1.3	6.06671245	33	1.2	4.1575233	33	5.0%	-2.50 [-5.01, 0.01]		? \varTheta ? 🖶 🕀 🕀
Cicero A, F, G, 2010b	-1.2	7.00285656	22	-0.7	6.35177141	22	2.9%	-0.50 [-4.45, 3.45]		? 🛑 ? 🖶 🖶 🖶 🕒
Cicero A, F, G, 2012	-2.35	8.32164046	82	-0.6	8.07902531	82	5.0%	-1.75 [-4.26, 0.76]		
Cicero A. F. G. 2016	-1.2	5.85021367	40	0.1	5.40092585	40	5.1%	-1.30 [-3.77, 1.17]		
Engberink M. F. 2008a	-0.3	5.91607978	35	-2.4	5.09116882	32	4.8%	2.10 [-0.54, 4.74]		
Engberink M. F. 2008b	-2.4	5.09116882	32	-2.4	5.09116882	32	5.1%	0.00 [-2.49, 2.49]		
Engberink M. F. 2008c	-2.4	6.6	36	-2.4	5.09116882	32	4.5%	0.00 [-2.79, 2.79]	S	
Hata Y. 1996	-6.6	10.30776406	17	-2.2	6.85054742	13	1.5%	-4.40 [-10.55, 1.75]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	? . ?
Hirota T. 2007	0.3	8.21735967	24	-0.9	7.92275205	24	2.4%	1.20 [-3.37, 5.77]		????
Igase M, 2021	1.9	7.9	36	2.8	8.6	44	3.3%	-0.90 [-4.52, 2.72]		
Ishida Y. 2011a	-7.1	6.45600496	8	-1.8	6.4501938	8	1.4%	-5.30 [-11.62, 1.02]		????
Ishida Y. 2011b	-4.1	6,13514466	8	1.1	6.6370174	8	1.4%	-5.20 [-11.46, 1.06]		????
Ishida Y. 2011c	-0.1	6.83117852	8	0.4	5.1623638	8	1.6%	-0.50 [-6.43, 5.43]		????
Jauhiainen T. 2005	-1.1	5.27909378	47	-2.1	5.61968047	47	5.7%	1.00 [-1.20, 3.20]		?
Jauhiainen T. 2010	-3.7	7.98846307	45	-1.7	6.05207836	44	4.3%	-2.00 [-4.94, 0.94]		? . ?
Jauhiainen T, 2012	-1.6	4.99278942	45	-0.8	5.26267684	44	5.8%	-0.80 [-2.93, 1.33]		????
Kajimoto O. 2002	-9.1	7.5	31	-0.7	7.4	33	3.3%	-8.40 [-12.05, -4.75]	2	??
Mizuno S. 2005a	-4.2	7.61183289	21	-1.3	5.78705452	20	2.8%	-2.90 [-7.03, 1.23]		🛑 ? ? ? 🗣 🖶 🖶
Mizuno S. 2005b	-1.4	5.38934133	12	-1	3.59026461	12	3.2%	-0.40 [-4.06, 3.26]		🛑 ? ? ? 🗭 🖶 🕒
Mizushima S. 2004	-2	4,50937505	23	-0.3	8.32500009	23	3.0%	-1.70 [-5.57, 2.17]	100 C	?***** **
Nakamura T. 2011	-4.7	8.7	35	-1	6.7	35	3.3%	-3.70 [-7.34, -0.06]		????
Sano J. 2005	-3.2	5.05618433	72	-1.5	5.65022124	72	6.7%	-1.70 [-3.45, 0.05]		· ? ? • • • • •
Seppo L, 2002	-9.3	4.79478884	19	-5.5	7.42159013	17	2.7%	-3.80 [-7.93, 0.33]		
Van D, 2008	-0.8	4.63033476	134	-1.1	4.68187996	137	8.2%	0.30 [-0.81, 1.41]		????
Yamasue K, 2010	-4	10.25597387	22	1	9.10878697	22	1.7%	-5.00 [-10.73, 0.73]		???? ••••
Yoshizawa M, 2009	-4	9.87420883	15	-2	12.74754878	13	0.8%	-2.00 [-10.54, 6.54]		????
Yoshizawa M, 2010	-2	10.39230485	12	1	11.18033989	10	0.7%	-3.00 [-12.09, 6.09]		???
Total (95% CI)			945			939	100.0%	-1.50 [-2.31, -0.69]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.7	75: Chi ² =	48.97. df = 28 (P = 0.00	08); ² =	43%			60000000000000000000000000000000000000	- <u>k t t t t</u>	-
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 3.63 (P	= 0.0003)							-10 -5 0 5 10 Casein hydrolysate Control	
Risk of bias legend										
(A) Random sequence ge	eneration	(selection bias)								
(B) Allocation concealme	nt (select	ion bias)								

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)
 (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
 (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
 (E) incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
 (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)
 (G) Other bias

Figure 2. Effect of casein hydrolysate supplementation on blood pressure: (A) SBP and (B) DBP. Each domain of risk of bias is represented by a circle: a green circle for low risk, a yellow circle for unclear risk, and a red circle for high risk.

A TC

	Case	n hydrolysate			Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Akazawa N, 2018	-0.05172	1.39080167	7	0	1.08642781	8	0.6%	-0.05 [-1.33, 1.22]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hata Y, 1996	-0.16	0.41231056	17	-0.14	0.61294372	13	6.8%	-0.02 [-0.41, 0.37]		?
Hirota T, 2007	-0.020688	1.26467827	24	0.049134	1.168883	24	2.1%	-0.07 [-0.76, 0.62]		???
Ishida Y, 2011	0.010344	0.88200799	24	0.028446	0.97910926	24	3.7%	-0.02 [-0.55, 0.51]		7779999
Kajimoto O, 2002	-0.144816	0.65943	31	-0.47841	1.233522	33	4.4%	0.33 [-0.15, 0.81]		7799799
Lu T M, 2018	-0.093096	1.0190612	22	-0.333594	0.87352653	23	3.3%	0.24 [-0.32, 0.80]		7070000
Mizuno S, 2005	0.023274	0.91816111	33	0.02586	1.04632545	32	4.4%	-0.00 [-0.48, 0.48]		
Mizushima S, 2004	-0.04	0.41625	23	0.2	0.54343751	23	13.0%	-0.24 [-0.52, 0.04]		2000000
Nakamura T, 2011	-0.0680118	0.5505594	35	-0.0377556	0.391779	35	20.3%	-0.03 [-0.25, 0.19]	-	7770000
Sano J, 2005	-0.08	0.98045908	72	-0.06	0.87535707	72	11.1%	-0.02 [-0.32, 0.28]		7700000
Usinger L, 2010	-0.1	0.80622578	32	0	0.75166482	15	4.6%	-0.10 [-0.57, 0.37]		7779799
Yoshizawa M, 2009	0	0.77459667	15	0	0.72111026	13	3.3%	0.00 [-0.55, 0.55]		7778888
Yoshizawa M, 2010	0	0.25495098	12	0.2	0.25495098	10	22.3%	-0.20 [-0.41, 0.01]	-	3338888
Total (95% CI)			347			325	100.0%	-0.07 [-0.17, 0.03]	٠	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi2 = 7	23, df = 12 (P	= 0.84)	; l ² = 0%						-
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.36 (P =	0.17)							-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 Casein hydrolysate Control	
Risk of bias legend										

B LDL-C

	Casein hydrolysate		te	(Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% Cl	IV. Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG	
Igase M, 2021	-0.0181	0.74994	36	0.108612	0.687876	44	13.2%	-0.13 [-0.45, 0.19]			
Jauhiainen T, 2005	-0.06	0.459792	47	-0.06	0.51088	47	34.7%	0.00 [-0.20, 0.20]		7070000	
Lu T M, 2018	-0.1655	0.848117	22	-0.21205	0.692394	23	6.5%	0.05 [-0.41, 0.50]		7070000	
Nakamura T, 2011	-0.00879	0.52651	35	-0.00284	0.340318	35	31.1%	-0.01 [-0.21, 0.20]		????	
Usinger L, 2010	-0.2	0.951315	32	0	0.7	15	5.7%	-0.20 [-0.68, 0.28]		???????	
Yoshizawa M, 2009	0.1	0.774597	15	0.1	0.72111	13	4.4%	0.00 [-0.55, 0.55]		7770000	
Yoshizawa M, 2010	0	0.69282	12	0.2	0.632456	10	4.4%	-0.20 [-0.75, 0.35]		7778888	
Total (95% CI)			199			187	100.0%	-0.04 [-0.15, 0.08]	+		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch?	= 1.44, df = 6	(P=0.	96); l ² = 0%						-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.61 (P	= 0.54)						0	-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Casein hydrolysate Control		

ng of participants and perso nais) nel (perfo me assessment (or me data (attrition ng (reporting bias)

C HDL-C

	Casel	n hydrolysa	te	(Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% Cl	IV. Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
Hata Y, 1996	-0.14	0.288617	17	0.09	0.396611	13	2.8%	-0.23 [-0.49, 0.03]		202000
Igase M, 2021	0.020688	0.235326	36	-0.02069	0.299976	44	13.0%	0.04 [-0.08, 0.16]		
Kajimoto O, 2002	-0.07499	0.253428	31	-0.02586	0.18102	33	15.3%	-0.05 [-0.16, 0.06]		??
Lu T M, 2018	-0.0181	0.271868	22	-0.08275	0.335189	23	5.7%	0.06 [-0.11, 0.24]		
Mizuno S, 2005	-0.02069	0.37497	33	-0.00259	0.320674	32	6.3%	-0.02 [-0.19, 0.15]		• ? ? ? • • •
Mizushima S, 2004	-0.06	0.289063	23	0.08	0.208125	23	8.5%	-0.14 [-0.29, 0.01]		?
Nakamura T, 2011	0.043703	0.194209	35	0.020688	0.131369	35	29.8%	0.02 [-0.05, 0.10]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sano J, 2005	-0.09	0.381182	72	-0.07	0.445253	72	9.8%	-0.02 [-0.16, 0.12]		??
Usinger L, 2010	0	0.3	32	0	0.3	15	5.3%	0.00 [-0.18, 0.18]		???
Yoshizawa M, 2009	0	0.387298	15	0	0.360555	13	2.3%	0.00 [-0.28, 0.28]		???*** **
Yoshizawa M, 2010	0.1	0.547723	12	0.1	0.316228	10	1.3%	0.00 [-0.37, 0.37]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	???*** *
Total (95% CI)			328			313	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.06, 0.03]	+	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	8.55. df = 1	0(P = 0)	.58); 12 = 04	%					+
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.59 (P	= 0.55)	8	10				(-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 (Casein hydrolysate Control	0.5

ment (selection bias) ants and personnel (perform e assessment (detection bias) e data (attrition bias) (reporting bias) on bias

on bias)

D TG

	Case	in hydrolysate	i		Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% C	IV. Random. 95% Cl	ABCDEFO
Akazawa N, 2018	-0.15806	0.930073	7	-0.15806	0.584741	8	1.5%	0.00 [-0.80, 0.80]		7770000
Hata Y, 1996	-0.12	0.288617	17	-0.15	0.72111	13	5.4%	0.03 [-0.39, 0.45]		202000
Hirota T, 2007	-0.359022	1.31533588	24	0.294669	0.89112507	24	2.3%	-0.65 [-1.29, -0.02]		???
Igase M, 2021	-0.15354	0.453858	36	-0.01355	0.534017	44	19.9%	-0.14 [-0.36, 0.08]		
Ishida Y, 2011	0.072256	0.577833	24	0.069998	0.833298	24	5.7%	0.00 [-0.40, 0.41]	i —	
Jauhiainen T, 2005	0.03	0.630085	47	0	0.647115	47	14.0%	0.03 [-0.23, 0.29]		? • ? • • • •
Kajimoto O, 2002	-0.62772	2.693794	31	-0.29919	1.265609	33	0.9%	-0.33 [-1.37, 0.71]		2388388
Lu T M, 2018	0.001129	1.203173	22	-0.07564	0.708789	23	2.8%	0.08 [-0.50, 0.66]	·	202000
Mizuno S, 2005	0.031612	0.711974	33	0.021451	0.455062	32	11.1%	0.01 [-0.28, 0.30]		• ? ? ? • • •
Mizushima S, 2004	0.063	1.086875	23	-0.07	1.075313	23	2.4%	0.13 [-0.49, 0.76]		?...........
Nakamura T, 2011	-0.14937	0.524872	35	-0.02224	0.466729	35	17.2%	-0.13 [-0.36, 0.11]		7770000
Usinger L, 2010	0.04	0.450111	32	-0.03	0.498197	15	10.6%	0.07 [-0.23, 0.37]	· · ·	333936
Yoshizawa M, 2009	0.1	0.774597	15	0.1	0.570088	13	3.7%	0.00 [-0.50, 0.50]		???
Yoshizawa M, 2010	0.1	0.547723	12	0.1	0.806226	10	2.7%	0.00 [-0.59, 0.59]		2338888
Total (95% CI)			358			344	100.0%	-0.05 [-0.14, 0.05]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	6.79, df = 13 (F	= 0.91); l ^a = 0%						-
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.97 (P =	= 0.33)							Casein hydrolysate Control	
Risk of bias legend										
(A) Random sequence	e opperation ((selection bias)								
(B) Allocation conceals	ment (selection	on bias)								
(C) Blinding of particip	ants and per	sonnel (perform	ance h	(85)						
(D) Blinding of outcom	e assessmer	t (detection bia	15)							
(E) Incomplete outcom	e data (attriti	ion bias)	~,							
(F) Selective reporting	(reporting bi	as)								
(C) Other hise	Color mill ou									

Figure 3. Effect of casein hydrolysate supplementation on blood lipids: (A) TG, (B) TC, (C) LDL-C, and (D) HDL-C. Each domain of risk of bias is represented by a circle: a green circle for low risk, a yellow circle for unclear risk, and a red circle for high risk.

3.4. Effect of Casein Hydrolysate on FBG

There was no effect of casein hydrolysate on FBG (-0.01 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.10, 0.09 mmol/L; p = 0.90) compared with the control diets (Figure 4). No publication bias (p = 0.430) was observed (Figure S1, Table S2). The result of FBG was reliable, as revealed by sensitivity analysis (Figure S2).

FBG

	Casein hydrolysate			(Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total Mean SD Total Weight IV. Random, 95% Cl		IV. Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG				
Akazawa N, 2018	0.055556	0.333333	7	0.055556	0.444444	8	6.1%	0.00 [-0.39, 0.39]	8	??? ? ••••
Hata Y, 1996	-0.3	0.412311	17	-0.04	0.360555	13	12.3%	-0.26 [-0.54, 0.02]		? . ?
Hirota T, 2007	-0.04444	0.541731	24	-0.03889	0.56395	24	9.7%	-0.01 [-0.32, 0.31]	i	? ? ? 🕀 🕀 🕀
Ishida Y, 2011	0.022222	0.488889	24	-0.03333	0.326433	24	17.1%	0.06 [-0.18, 0.29]		????
Mizuno S, 2005	-0.01111	0.472516	33	-0.01111	0.575329	32	14.4%	0.00 [-0.26, 0.26]		🛑 ? ? ? 🔁 🖶 🖶
Nakamura T, 2011	-0.295	0.506111	35	-0.36667	0.327222	35	23.7%	0.07 [-0.13, 0.27]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	? ? ? 🔁 🕀 🕀
Sano J, 2005	-0.3	0.7	72	-0.3	0.751665	72	16.8%	0.00 [-0.24, 0.24]		<mark>?? ?</mark>
Total (95% CI)			212			208	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.10, 0.09]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	4.08, df = 6	(P = 0.6)	57); l ² = 0%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.12 (P	= 0.90)							Casein hydrolysate Control	0.5
Risk of bias legend										
(A) Random sequence	generation	(selection bi	as)							
(B) Allocation concealed	ment (select	ion bias)								
(C) Blinding of participation	ants and per	rsonnel (perf	ormanc	e bias)						
(D) Blinding of outcom	e assessme	nt (detection	bias)							
(E) Incomplete outcom	e data (attri	tion bias)								
(F) Selective reporting	(reporting b	ias)								
(G) Other bias										

Figure 4. Effect of casein hydrolysate on FBG. Each domain of risk of bias is represented by a circle: a green circle for low risk, a yellow circle for unclear risk, and a red circle for high risk.

3.5. Stratified Analysis of the Effects of Casein Hydrolysate on BP

To further identify the effect of casein hydrolysate on BP, we conducted a stratified analysis of 30 trials (Figures 5 and S3-S12). Participants with elevated BP at baseline (SBP \geq 140 mmHg; DBP \geq 90 mmHg) showed no significant decreased effect in SBP (-3.44 mmHg; 95% CI: -5.49, -1.39 mmHg, p = 0.001) and DBP (-2.10 mmHg; 95% CI: -3.71, -3.71)-0.49 mmHg; p = 0.01) compared to participants with normal BP (SBP: -2.77 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.21, -1.34 mmHg; *p* = 0.0002. DBP: -1.03 mmHg; 95% CI: -1.88, -0.17 mmHg; p = 0.02) (Figures S3 and S8). Younger participants (<50 years) had no statistically significant reductions in SBP (-4.11 mmHg; 95% CI: -7.12, -1.09 mmHg; p = 0.008) and DBP (-1.75 mmHg; 95% CI: -3.11, -0.40 mmHg; p = 0.01) compared to the older participants (SBP: -2.97 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.49, -1.46 mmHg; p = 0.0001. DBP: -1.50 mmHg; 95% CI: -2.48, -0.52 mmHg; p = 0.003) (Figures S4 and S9). Short-term casein hydrolysate intervention (<8 weeks) also had no effect on reducing both SBP (-3.65 mmHg; 95% CI: -5.49, -1.80 mmHg; p = 0.0001) and DBP (-1.84 mmHg; 95% CI: -2.88, -0.81 mmHg; p = 0.0005) compared with the long-term intervention (SBP: -2.86 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.76, -0.96 mmHg; p = 0.003. DBP: -1.40 mmHg; 95% CI: -2.55, -0.25 mmHg; p = 0.02) (Figures S5 and S10). Fermentation preparation of casein hydrolysate had no reduction effect on SBP (-3.68 mmHg)95% CI: -6.63, -0.73 mmHg; p = 0.01) and DBP (-2.13 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.00, -0.26 mmHg; p = 0.03) compared with enzymatic preparation method (SBP: -3.04 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.99, -1.10 mmHg; p = 0.002. DBP: -0.89 mmHg; 95% CI: -1.74, -0.04 mmHg; p = 0.04)(Figures S6 and S11). In addition, the blood pressure reduction effect was not different between the healthy participants receiving casein hydrolysate (SBP: -3.18 mmHg; 95% CI: -5.10, −1.26 mmHg; *p* = 0.001. DBP: −2.00 mmHg; 95% CI: −3.36, −0.64 mmHg; *p* = 0.004) and the participants with blood pressure disorders (SBP: -3.23 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.95, -1.51 mmHg; p = 0.0002. DBP: -1.42 mmHg; 95% CI: -2.44, -0.41 mmHg; p = 0.006) (Figures S7 and S12). Taken together, no difference was observed in the BP-reducing effect of casein hydrolysate among participants stratified by baseline BP, age, duration of the intervention, preparation methods, and disease status.

Subgroup	Trials	Intervention /Control	SBP WMD [95% CI]	1 ²	P _{Egger's test}	P _{Meta-regression}	
Baseline SBP							
≥ 140 mmHa	17	676 / 642	-3.44 [-5.49, -1.39]	73	0.049	0.070	
< 140 mmHg	13	321 / 326	-2.77 [-4.21, -1.34]	0	0.024	0.979	
Age							
≥ 50 vears	24	852 / 828	-2.97 [-4.49, -1.46]	55	0.045	0.007	
< 50 years	6	145 / 140	-4.11 [-7.12, -1.09]	60	0.222	0.267	
Duration							
≥ 8 weeks	17	640 / 635	-2.86 [-4.76, -0.96]	61	0.196		
< 8 weeks	13	357 / 333	-3.65 [-5.49, -1.80]	45	0.025	0.424	
Preparation							
Fermentation	11	296 / 284	-3.68 [-6.63, -0.73]	61	0.560	0.830	
Enzyme	12	414 / 416	-3.04 [-4.99, -1.10]	62	0.042	0.850	
Disease							
BP disease	20	806 / 772	-3.23 [-4.95, -1.51]	69	0.021	0.011	
Healthy	10	997 / 968	-3.20 [-4.53, -1.87]	0	0.291	0.914	
Subaroup	Trials	Intervention		12			

В	Subgroup	Trials	/Control	DBP WMD [95% CI]	1 ²	P _{Egger's test}	P _{Meta-regression}
	Baseline DBP						
	≥ 90 mmHg	10	335 / 332	-2.10 [-3.71, -0.49]	62	0.311	0.275
	< 90 mmHg	19	610 / 607	-1.03 [-1.88, -0.17]	21	0.060	0.375
	Age						
	≥ 50 years	23	800 / 799	-1.50 [-2.48, -0.52]	51	0.062	
	< 50 years	6	145 / 140	-1.75 [-3.11, -0.40]	0	0.875	0.568
	Duration						
	≥ 8 weeks	17	640 / 635	-1.40 [-2.55, -0.25]	58	0.102	
	< 8 weeks	12	305 / 304	-1.84 [-2.88, -0.81]	0	0.371	0.508
	Preparation						
	Fermentation	12	318 / 306	-2.13 [-4.00, -0.26]	63	0.276	0.624
	Enzyme	12	414 / 416	-0.89 [-1.74, -0.04]	13	0.102	0.024
	Disease						
	BP disease	19	754 / 743	-1.42 [-2.44, -0.41]	57	0.051	0.500
	Healthy	10	191 / 196	-2.00 [-3.36, -0.64]	0	0.733	0.539

Figure 5. Effects of casein hydrolysate on SBP (A) and DBP (B) in stratified analyses.

3.6. Stratified Analysis of the Effects of Casein Hydrolysate on Blood Lipids and Blood Glucose

To further assess the effect of casein hydrolysate on blood lipids and blood glucose, we conducted a stratified analysis for extracted data (Figures 6 and S13–S30). We analyzed 13 trials to explore the effect of casein hydrolysate supplementation on TC. TC were not altered by casein hydrolysate supplementation in subgroups (Figure 6A). LDL levels were not changed in the subgroups according to the age or disease status of participants (Figure 6B). HDL levels from 11 trials were analyzed in subgroups according to similar risk factors, and no effect was observed in different subgroups (Figure 6C). Data extracted from 14 trials were used for the stratified analyses of TG, and casein hydrolysate supplementation had no reduction effect on TG according to the age of participants, duration of intervention, preparation method, or disease status (Figure 6D). Data from the seven relevant trials were used to explore the effect of casein hydrolysate on FBG in different subgroups, and casein hydrolysate had no effect on FBG concentrations in different subgroups (Figure 6E).

Α	Subgroup	Trials	TC WMD [95% CI]	1 ²	В	Subgroup	Trials	LDL WMD [95% CI]	1 ²
	Age ≥ 50 years < 50 years	10 3	-0.09 [-0.20, 0.02] 0.04 [-0.22, 0.31]	0 0	_	Age ≥ 50 years < 50 years	6 1	-0.04 [-0.16, 0.08] 0.05 [-0.41, 0.50]	0
	Duration ≥ 8 weeks < 8 weeks	9 4	-0.05 [-0.16, 0.07] -0.14 [-0.35, 0.07]	0 0		Disease BP disease Healthy	4 3	-0.01 [-0.14, 0.12] -0.12 [-0.36, 0.13]	0
	Preparation Fermentation Enzyme	8 4	-0.10 [-0.23, 0.03] -0.02 [-0.24, 0.20]	0 0					
	Disease								
	BP disease Healthy	10 3	-0.03 [-0.15, 0.08] -0.17 [-0.37, 0.03]	0 0					
C	Subgroup	Trials	HDL WMD [95% CI]	1 ²	п	Subgroup	Trials	TG WMD [95% CI]	 ²
Ŭ	Age					Age			
	≥ 50 years < 50 years	8 3	-0.01 [-0.06, 0.04] -0.04 [-0.19, 0.11]	0 42		≥ 50 years < 50 years	11 3	-0.07 [-0.17, 0.04] 0.03 [-0.19, 0.25]	0 0
	Duration					Duration			
	≥ 8 weeks < 8 weeks	9 2	0.00 [-0.05, 0.05] -0.09 [-0.21, 0.03]	0 13		≥ 8 weeks <8 weeks	10 4	-0.05 [-0.16, 0.06] -0.07 [-0.32, 0.19]	0 25
	Preparation Fermentation Enzyme	7 2	-0.05 [-0.12, 0.01] -0.02 [-0.13, 0.09]	0 0		Preparation Fermentation Enzyme	9 3	0.04 [-0.11, 0.18] -0.12 [-0.45, 0.20]	0 45
	Disease					Disease			
	BP disease Healthy	8 3	-0.02 [-0.07, 0.03] 0.03 [-0.07, 0.14]	8 0		BP disease Healthy	10 4	-0.03 [-0.14, 0.09] -0.10 [-0.28, 0.08]	0 0
Е	Subgroup	Trials	FBG WMD [95% CI]	1 ²					
	Age ≥ 50 years < 50 years	5 2	0.04 [-0.08, 0.15] -0.12 [-0.38, 0.13]	0 45					
	Duration								
	≥ 8 weeks < 8 weeks	4 3	-0.03 [-0.18, 0.11] 0.02 [-0.13, 0.17]	19 0					
	Preparation Fermentation Enzyme	2 4	-0.17 [-0.41, 0.07] 0.02 [-0.11, 0.14]	10 0					
	Disease								
	BP disease Healthy	6 1	-0.01 [-0.11, 0.09] 0.00 [-0.39, 0.39]	0 -					

Figure 6. The effect of casein hydrolysate in stratified analyses of TC (**A**), LDL (**B**), HDL (**C**), TG (**D**), and FBG (**E**) compared with control diets.

4. Discussion

To determine the association between casein hydrolysate consumption and different CVD risk factors, the current systematic review and meta-analyses evaluated the effects of casein hydrolysate on SBP, DBP, TC, LDL, HDL, and FBG. Twenty-six RCTs were included to investigate the effect of casein hydrolysate supplementation on multiple CVD risk factors. Casein hydrolysate significantly reduced SBP (-3.20 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.53, -1.87 mmHg; p < 0.00001) and DBP (-1.50 mmHg; 95% CI: -2.31, -0.69 mmHg; p = 0.0003) compared with control diets, but no effect on TC (-0.07 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.17, 0.03 mmol/L; p = 0.17), LDL-C (-0.04 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.15, 0.08 mmol/L; p = 0.54), HDL-C (-0.01 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.06, 0.03 mmol/L; p = 0.55), TG (-0.05 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.14, 0.05 mmol/L; p = 0.33), or FBG (-0.01 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.10, 0.09 mmol/L; p = 0.90). Furthermore, no difference in reducing BP was observed among participants stratified by different

baseline BP, age, duration of the intervention, preparation methods, and disease status. Consistent with the BP results of stratified analyses, no significant difference was observed in blood lipids and blood glucose among participants stratified by age, duration of the intervention, preparation methods, and disease status. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review comprehensively assessing the overall effects of the available RCTs of casein hydrolysate supplementation on CVD risk factors. Our study possesses several strengths. First, our analysis reflected the most updated and comprehensive assessment of the effects of casein hydrolysate on multiple CVD risk factors. Second, we provided stratified analyses to identify potential covariates modifying the effect of casein hydrolysate supplementation on CVD risk factors. Third, a detailed sensitivity analysis and publication bias analysis were performed to ensure the robustness of the results. The findings of this study had several public health implications. For instance, our findings supported the consumption of casein hydrolysate in the population at risk for the prevention of CVDs.

The BP decreasing mechanism of casein hydrolysate is that it contains various bioactive peptides during fermentation or enzymatic preparation of casein, such as isoleucineproline-proline and valine-proline-proline, the most studied casein hydrolysate [34,35]. These bioactive peptides were shown to have a vasodilative effect by inhibiting ACE activity in human interventional studies [36,37]. ACE is the critical enzyme converting angiotensin I into the vasoconstrictor angiotensin II, making vasodilator bradykinin inactivation and eliciting BP elevation [38]. In addition to the ACE inhibitory effect, these bioactive peptides in casein hydrolysate also inhibit the activity of renin and endothelin-converting enzyme, which interacts with bradykinin receptors and Ca²⁺ channels, modulating sympathetic nervous activity to reduce blood pressure [39,40]. Furthermore, bioactive peptides derived from casein hydrolysate have been reported to display preventive effects on cerebrovascular aging and neurovascular diseases [37,41]. However, the underlying mechanism still requires further investigation. Compared with casein hydrolysate, the CVD preventive effect of casein protein was less investigated [42-44]. Casein is regarded as a slow digesting protein in the stomach that delays the gastric emptying process [43,45]. Weight reduction and improving blood lipid have been reported in persons receiving casein protein intervention [44]. Therefore, the health impact of casein and casein hydrolysate may be different.

The potent ACE-inhibitory peptides from natural casein hydrolysate have been widely studied as a safe alternative to synthetic ACE inhibitors for hypertension treatment [15,46]. Different from synthetic ACE inhibitors (e.g., captopril, fosinopril, and ramipril), the administration of which is associated with unfavorable side effects, including persistent coughs, angioneurotic edema, skin rash, taste disturbance, and renal impairment [47,48]. Natural ACE-inhibitory peptides from casein hydrolysate could avoid these side effects. Production of casein-derived peptides is achieved by fermentation or enzymatic methods in commercial production. The fermentation process utilized lactic acid bacteria [49], while the enzymatic methods utilized pepsin and trypsin to release the active peptides [50]. These preparation methods possess adequate safety, high reliability, and quite effective advantages.

In animals receiving oral gavage of casein hydrolysate, no behavioral, organic, or histopathological differences were observed between intervention and control groups [51–53]. In interventional studies performed on humans, the lowest (3 mg/day) and highest dose (52.5 mg/day) of ACE-inhibitory peptides from casein hydrolysate displayed no adverse reactions [54,55]. In summary, the consumption of casein hydrolysate is relatively safe within a reasonable dose. Considering the antihypertensive effect of casein hydrolysate, casein hydrolysate may generate a synergistic effect with synthetic ACE inhibitors and reduce the amount of synthetic ACE inhibitor administration in hypertensive patients.

There are published systematic reviews and meta-analyses investigating the influences of casein hydrolysate supplementation on BP and other CVD risk factors [28–31,56,57]. Our findings suggest that casein hydrolysate supplementation is beneficial for blood pressure control, consistent with previous systematic reviews. However, limitations still existed

in these studies. For instance, these studies did not include the most recent studies on CVD risk factors. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis about the effects of casein hydrolysate on blood pressure was published in 2015 [28]. An increasing number of new RCTs that met the inclusion criteria was published, potentially leading to different discoveries. Moreover, some published meta-analyses studies lack detailed subgroup analysis [29,31]. Turpeinen et al. [29] only conducted the overall analysis on SBP (-4 mmHg); 95% CI: −5.9, −2.1 mmHg; *p* < 0.001) and DBP (−1.9 mmHg; 95% CI: −3.1 to −0.8 mmHg; p < 0.001). In another study, subgroup analysis only included a small number of participants, which may lead to a biased conclusion [30]. Two studies only concentrated on the pooled effects of casein hydrolysate on BP in specific populations [56,57]. Cicero et al. [57] reported that the case in hydrolysate could moderately reduce SBP (-1.28 mmHg); 95% CI: -2.09, -0.48 mmHg; p = 0.0017) in European subjects. Compared with these published meta-analysis articles, our review conducted pooled and detailed subgroup analyses of casein-derived hydrolysate supplementation on multiple CVD risk factors. We also incorporated recent published RCTs investigating the effect of casein hydrolysate on BP (see Supplemental Material in Table S1). Although our updated results still support the BP lowering effect of casein hydrolysate, we also found that the BP reduction effect of casein hydrolysate was similar for participants stratified by baseline BP, age, duration of the intervention, preparation methods, and disease status. These results suggest that the antihypertension activities of casein hydrolysate could be generalized to diverse populations.

Reviewing the RCTs articles that met inclusion criteria, few articles investigated the effects of casein hydrolysate consumption on blood lipids or blood glucose in humans [58]. Jauhiainen et al. [58] reported that casein hydrolysate intake for three months showed no differences in blood lipids compared with the control group for mildly hypertensive subjects. Until now, there has been a lack of systematic review and meta-analysis on blood lipids and blood glucose of casein hydrolysate. Therefore, we extracted relevant blood lipids and blood glucose data from numerous BP RCTs to explore the effects of casein hydrolysate intake. Different from previous systematic reviews of casein hydrolysate, the influences of casein hydrolysate supplementation on blood lipids and blood glucose were also analyzed by the overall and stratified analyses in our study. Our results indicated that casein hydrolysate intervention did not affect blood lipids or blood glucose in both overall and stratified analyses. Although casein hydrolysate contains beneficial bioactive peptides with anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities, which are expected to display improving effects on blood lipids and blood glucose, such effects may require a longer-term intervention of casein hydrolysate. In the current analyses, the intervention duration of most studies was less than 2 months. Therefore, a long-term intervention (e.g., 6 months or more) is needed to capture the influences of casein hydrolysate on blood lipids or blood glucose.

Limitations still exist in the present study. First, several results were obtained from a small number of RCTs, especially in some stratified analyses, which may lead to biased results. Second, although the risk of bias in all eligible studies was adequately represented in the figures, some included RCT studies did not report sufficient information on the random sequence generation and allocation concealment. Third, some results may have significant heterogeneity and publication bias, which potentially influences the reliability of the results. Fourth, the BP reduction in casein hydrolysate may be also attributed to weight loss [59,60]. Casein hydrolysate supplementation induces satiety hormone (i.e., glucagon-like peptide 1, GLP-1) release, thus reducing portion size and food intake over time [60]. In addition, office blood pressure cannot be considered a specific indicator for an independent antihypertensive mechanism. Thus, the review requires weight and other clinical, biochemical results. Fifth, CVD risk factors are not equivalent to CVD risk; the CVD preventative effect still requires long-term evaluations in the future.

In conclusion, our study supports the beneficial role of casein hydrolysate intake in improving blood pressure. Unlike casein protein, casein hydrolysate displayed no effect on blood lipids and fasting blood glucose. Large-scale and long-term studies are still warranted in the future to validate the effect of casein hydrolysate on CVD incidence and the findings obtained in this study. In addition, our findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis have certain public health implications, and casein hydrolysate combined with other food-effective nutrients may generate potential synergistic effects on improving human health.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.action.com/actionals //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu14194207/s1. Table S1: Characteristics of the publications included in the meta-analysis. Table S2: P value of Egger's test analyses on SBP, DBP, TC, LDL, HDL, TG, and FBG from included RCTs articles. Figure S1: Funnel plots on SBP, DBP, TC, LDL, HDL, TG, FBG in the overall effect analysis. Figure S2: Sensitivity analysis on SBP, DBP, TC, LDL, HDL, TG, FBG in the overall effect analysis. Figure S3: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on SBP (mmHg) in baseline SBP analysis. Figure S4: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on SBP (mmHg) in the age analysis. Figure S5: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on SBP (mmHg) in the duration analysis. Figure S6: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on SBP (mmHg) in the preparation analysis. Figure S7: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on SBP (mmHg) in the disease analysis. Figure S8: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analyses (B), and funnel plots (C) on DBP (mmHg) in baseline DBP analysis. Figure S9: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analyses (B), and funnel plots (C) on DBP (mmHg) in the age analysis. Figure S10: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analyses (B), and funnel plots (C) on DBP (mmHg) in the duration analysis. Figure S11: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analyses (B), and funnel plots (C) on DBP (mmHg) in the preparation analysis. Figure S12: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analyses (B), and funnel plots (C) on DBP (mmHg) in the disease analysis. Figure S13: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TC (mmol/L) in the age analysis. Figure S14: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TC (mmol/L) in the duration analysis. Figure S15: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TC (mmol/L) in the preparation analysis. Figure S16: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TC (mmol/L) in the disease analysis. Figure S17: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on LDL (mmol/L) in the age analysis. Figure S18: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on LDL (mmol/L) in the disease analysis. Figure S19: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on HDL (mmol/L) in the age analysis. Figure S20: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on HDL (mmol/L) in the duration analysis. Figure S21: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on HDL (mmol/L) in the preparation analysis. Figure S22: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on HDL (mmol/L) in the disease analysis. Figure S23: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TG (mmol/L) in the age analysis. Figure S24: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TG (mmol/L) in the duration analysis. Figure S25: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TG (mmol/L) in the preparation analysis. Figure S26: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on TG (mmol/L) in the disease analysis. Figure S27: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on FBG (mmol/L) in the age analysis. Figure S28: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on FBG (mmol/L) in the duration analysis. Figure S29: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on FBG (mmol/L) in the preparation analysis. Figure S30: Forest plots (A), sensitivity analysis (B), and funnel plots (C) on FBG (mmol/L) in the disease analysis. References [61–79] are cited in the Supplementary Materials.

Author Contributions: Y.L., P.A., and J.L. conceived the study; S.Z., T.X., and X.Z. conducted the systematic search.; S.Z., T.X., and X.Z. evaluated all articles; S.Z., T.X., and X.Z. extracted detailed information and outcome data; S.Z. and Y.L. evaluated the risk of bias; S.Z., P.A., and J.L. analyzed the data; S.Z. and P.A. drafted the manuscript; Y.L. and J.L. revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Food Nutrition and Human Health, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31970717, 82170429), the Chinese Universities Scientific Fund (2020TC015), and the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (7222111).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Virani, S.S.; Alonso, A.; Benjamin, E.J.; Bittencourt, M.S.; Callaway, C.W.; Carson, A.P.; Chamberlain, A.M.; Chang, A.R.; Cheng, S.; Delling, F.N.; et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2020 Update: A Report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2020, 141, e139–e596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. World Health Statistics 2021: Monitoring Health for the SDGs, Sustainable Development Goals; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2021.
- 3. Tu, M.; Qiao, X.; Wang, C.; Liu, H.; Cheng, S.; Xu, Z.; Du, M. In Vitro and in Silico Analysis of Dual-Function Peptides Derived from Casein Hydrolysate. *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness* **2021**, *10*, 32–37. [CrossRef]
- Joseph, P.; Leong, D.; McKee, M.; Anand, S.S.; Schwalm, J.-D.; Teo, K.; Mente, A.; Yusuf, S. Reducing the Global Burden of Cardiovascular Disease, Part 1: The Epidemiology and Risk Factors. *Circ. Res.* 2017, 121, 677–694. [CrossRef]
- Lichtenstein, A.H.; Appel, L.J.; Vadiveloo, M.; Hu, F.B.; Kris-Etherton, P.M.; Rebholz, C.M.; Sacks, F.M.; Thorndike, A.N.; van Horn, L.; Wylie-Rosett, J.; et al. 2021 Dietary Guidance to Improve Cardiovascular Health: A Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2021, 144, e472–e487. [CrossRef]
- 6. Asgary, S.; Rastqar, A.; Keshvari, M. Functional Food and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Treatment: A Review. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **2018**, *37*, 429–455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Naghshi, S.; Sadeghi, O.; Willett, W.C.; Esmaillzadeh, A. Dietary Intake of Total, Animal, and Plant Proteins and Risk of All Cause, Cardiovascular, and Cancer Mortality: Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *BMJ* 2020, 370, m2412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- He, J.; Wofford, M.R.; Reynolds, K.; Chen, J.; Chen, C.-S.; Myers, L.; Minor, D.L.; Elmer, P.J.; Jones, D.W.; Whelton, P.K. Effect of Dietary Protein Supplementation on Blood Pressure: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Circulation* 2011, 124, 589–595. [CrossRef]
- Fekete, Á.A.; Giromini, C.; Chatzidiakou, Y.; Givens, D.I.; Lovegrove, J.A. Whey Protein Lowers Blood Pressure and Improves Endothelial Function and Lipid Biomarkers in Adults with Prehypertension and Mild Hypertension: Results from the Chronic Whey2Go Randomized Controlled Trial. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2016, 104, 1534–1544. [CrossRef]
- 10. Tu, M.; Wang, C.; Chen, C.; Zhang, R.; Liu, H.; Lu, W.; Jiang, L.; Du, M. Identification of a Novel ACE-Inhibitory Peptide from Casein and Evaluation of the Inhibitory Mechanisms. *Food Chem.* **2018**, *256*, 98–104. [CrossRef]
- Koury, O.H.; Scheede-Bergdahl, C.; Bergdahl, A. The Role of Casein in the Development of Hypercholesterolemia. J. Physiol. Biochem. 2014, 70, 1021–1028. [CrossRef]
- 12. Hu, F.B. Protein, Body Weight, and Cardiovascular Health. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2005, 82 (Suppl. S1), 242S-247S. [CrossRef]
- 13. Korhonen, H.; Pihlanto, A. Bioactive Peptides: Production and Functionality. Int. Dairy J. 2006, 16, 945–960. [CrossRef]
- 14. Sowmya, K.; Bhat, M.I.; Bajaj, R.K.; Kapila, S.; Kapila, R. Buffalo Milk Casein Derived Decapeptide (YQEPVLGPVR) Having Bifunctional Anti-inflammatory and Antioxidative Features Under Cellular Milieu. *Int. J. Pept. Res. Ther.* **2019**, *25*, 623–633. [CrossRef]
- 15. Ibrahim, H.R.; Ahmed, A.S.; Miyata, T. Novel Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Peptides from Caseins and Whey Proteins of Goat Milk. *J. Adv. Res.* **2017**, *8*, 63–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Tu, M.; Liu, H.; Cheng, S.; Xu, Z.; Wang, L.-S.; Du, M. Identification and Analysis of Transepithelial Transport Properties of Casein Peptides with Anticoagulant and ACE Inhibitory Activities. *Food Res. Int.* **2020**, *138 Pt A*, 109764. [CrossRef]
- Ferrucci, L.; Fabbri, E. Inflammageing: Chronic Inflammation in Ageing, Cardiovascular Disease, and Frailty. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 2018, 15, 505–522. [CrossRef]
- Wen, Z.-J.; Wang, Z.-Y.; Zhang, Y.-F. Adverse Cardiovascular Effects and Potential Molecular Mechanisms of DEHP and Its Metabolites-A Review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2022, 847, 157443. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez, D.; Kassan, M.; Contreras, M.D.M.; Carrón, R.; Recio, I.; Montero, M.-J.; Sevilla, M.-Á. Long-Term Intake of a Milk Casein Hydrolysate Attenuates the Development of Hypertension and Involves Cardiovascular Benefits. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2011, 63, 398–404. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Malinowski, J.; Klempt, M.; Clawin-R\u00e4decker, I.; Lorenzen, P.C.; Meisel, H. Identification of a NFκB Inhibitory Peptide from Tryptic β-Casein Hydrolysate. *Food Chem.* 2014, 165, 129–133. [CrossRef]
- Ruiz-Ortega, M.; Lorenzo, O.; Suzuki, Y.; Rupérez, M.; Egido, J. Proinflammatory Actions of Angiotensins. Curr. Opin. Nephrol. Hypertens. 2001, 10, 321–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Ghosh, S.; Hayden, M.S. Celebrating 25 Years of NF-KB Research. Immunol. Rev. 2012, 246, 5–13. [CrossRef]
- 23. Wang, C.; Zheng, L.; Su, G.; Zeng, X.-A.; Sun, B.; Zhao, M. Evaluation and Exploration of Potentially Bioactive Peptides in Casein Hydrolysates against Liver Oxidative Damage in STZ/HFD-Induced Diabetic Rats. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2020, 68, 2393–2405. [CrossRef]
- 24. Rao, P.S.; Bajaj, R.; Mann, B. Impact of Sequential Enzymatic Hydrolysis on Antioxidant Activity and Peptide Profile of Casein Hydrolysate. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, *57*, 4562–4575. [CrossRef]

- 25. Shazly, A.B.; Mu, H.; Liu, Z.; El-Aziz, M.A.; Zeng, M.; Qin, F.; Zhang, S.; He, Z.; Chen, J. Release of Antioxidant Peptides from Buffalo and Bovine Caseins: Influence of Proteases on Antioxidant Capacities. *Food Chem.* **2019**, 274, 261–267. [CrossRef]
- Van der Zander, K.; Bots, M.L.; Bak, A.A.A.; Koning, M.M.G.; de Leeuw, P.W. Enzymatically Hydrolyzed Lactotripeptides Do Not Lower Blood Pressure in Mildly Hypertensive Subjects. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2008, 88, 1697–1702. [CrossRef]
- Ishida, Y.; Shibata, Y.; Fukuhara, I.; Yano, Y.; Takehara, I.; Kaneko, K. Effect of an Excess Intake of Casein Hydrolysate Containing Val-Pro-Pro and Ile-Pro-Pro in Subjects with Normal Blood Pressure, High-Normal Blood Pressure, or Mild Hypertension. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 2011, 75, 427–433. [CrossRef]
- Fekete, Á.A.; Givens, D.I.; Lovegrove, J.A. Casein-Derived Lactotripeptides Reduce Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure in a Meta-Analysis of Randomised Clinical trials. *Nutrients* 2015, 7, 659–681. [CrossRef]
- 29. Turpeinen, A.M.; Järvenpää, S.; Kautiainen, H.; Korpela, R.; Vapaatalo, H. Antihypertensive Effects of Bioactive Tripeptides-a Random Effects Meta-Analysis. *Ann. Med.* 2013, *45*, 51–56. [CrossRef]
- Qin, L.-Q.; Xu, J.-Y.; Dong, J.-Y.; Zhao, Y.; van Bladeren, P.; Zhang, W. Lactotripeptides Intake and Blood Pressure Management: A Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled Clinical trials. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2013, 23, 395–402. [CrossRef]
- Chanson-Rolle, A.; Aubin, F.; Braesco, V.; Hamasaki, T.; Kitakaze, M. Influence of the Lactotripeptides Isoleucine-Proline-Proline and Valine-Proline-Proline on Systolic Blood Pressure in Japanese Subjects: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled trials. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0142235. [CrossRef]
- Stewart, L.A.; Tierney, J.F.; Clarke, M. Reviews of Individual Patient Data. In Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2008; pp. 547–558.
- Jackson, D.; White, I.R.; Riley, R.D. Quantifying the Impact of Between-Study Heterogeneity in Multivariate Meta-Analyses. *Stat. Med.* 2012, 31, 3805–3820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Engberink, M.F.; Schouten, E.G.; Kok, F.J.; van Mierlo, L.A.J.; Brouwer, I.A.; Geleijnse, J.M. Lactotripeptides Show No Effect on Human Blood Pressure: Results from a Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. *Hypertension* 2008, *51*, 399–405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kurosawa, M.T.; Nakamura, Y.; Yamamoto, N.; Yamada, K.; Iketani, T. Effects of Val-Pro-Pro and Ile-Pro-Pro on Nondipper Patients: A Preliminary Study. J. Med. Food 2011, 14, 538–542. [CrossRef]
- 36. Igase, M.; Okada, Y.; Igase, K.; Matsumoto, S.; Senzaki, K.; Ochi, M.; Ohyagi, Y.; Yamagishi, S.-I. Casein Hydrolysate Containing Milk-Derived Peptides Reduces Facial Pigmentation Partly by Decreasing Advanced Glycation End Products in the Skin: A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2021, 24, 97–103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Akazawa, N.; Hamasaki, A.; Tanahashi, K.; Kosaki, K.; Yoshikawa, T.; Myoenzono, K.; Maeda, S. Lactotripeptide Ingestion Increases Cerebral Blood Flow Velocity in Middle-Aged and Older Adults. *Nutr. Res.* **2018**, *53*, 61–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hove, K.D.; Brøns, C.; Færch, K.; Lund, S.S.; Rossing, P.; Vaag, A. Effects of 12 Weeks of Treatment with Fermented Milk on Blood Pressure, Glucose Metabolism and Markers of Cardiovascular Risk in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Randomised Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Study. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* 2015, 172, 11–20. [CrossRef]
- Usinger, L.; Ibsen, H.; Linneberg, A.; Azizi, M.; Flambard, B.; Jensen, L.T. Human in Vivo Study of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System and the Sympathetic Activity after 8 Weeks Daily Intake of Fermented Milk. *Clin. Physiol. Funct. Imaging* 2010, 30, 162–168. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-Rivera, L.; Ferreira Santos, P.; Sevilla, M.A.; Montero, M.J.; Recio, I.; Miralles, B. Implication of Opioid Receptors in the Antihypertensive Effect of a Bovine Casein Hydrolysate and As1-Casein-Derived Peptides. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2020, 68, 1877–1883. [CrossRef]
- 41. Schättin, A.; Baur, K.; Stutz, J.; Wolf, P.; de Bruin, E.D. Effects of Physical Exercise Combined with Nutritional Supplements on Aging Brain Related Structures and Functions: A Systematic Review. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **2016**, *8*, 161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Fekete, Á.A.; Giromini, C.; Chatzidiakou, Y.; Givens, D.I.; Lovegrove, J.A. Whey Protein Lowers Systolic Blood Pressure and Ca-Caseinate Reduces Serum TAG after a High-Fat Meal in Mildly Hypertensive Adults. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 5026. [CrossRef]
- Chin-Dusting, J.; Shennan, J.; Jones, E.; Williams, C.; Kingwell, B.; Dart, A. Effect of Dietary Supplementation with Beta-Casein A1 or A2 on Markers of Disease Development in Individuals at High Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2006, *95*, 136–144. [CrossRef]
- 44. Mariotti, F.; Valette, M.; Lopez, C.; Fouillet, H.; Famelart, M.-H.; Mathé, V.; Airinei, G.; Benamouzig, R.; Gaudichon, C.; Tomé, D.; et al. Casein Compared with Whey Proteins Affects the Organization of Dietary Fat during Digestion and Attenuates the Postprandial Triglyceride Response to a Mixed High-Fat Meal in Healthy, Overweight Men. J. Nutr. 2015, 145, 2657–2664. [CrossRef]
- Pal, S.; Ellis, V. The Chronic Effects of Whey Proteins on Blood Pressure, Vascular Function, and Inflammatory Markers in Overweight Individuals. *Obesity* 2010, 18, 1354–1359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Wu, Z.; Pan, D.; Zhen, X.; Cao, J. Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Peptides Derived from Bovine Casein and Identified by MALDI-TOF-MS/MS. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2013**, *93*, 1331–1337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gao, D.; Zhang, F.; Ma, Z.; Chen, S.; Ding, G.; Tian, X.; Feng, R. Isolation and Identification of the Angiotensin-I Converting Enzyme (ACE) Inhibitory Peptides Derived from Cottonseed Protein: Optimization of Hydrolysis Conditions. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 2019, 22, 1296–1309. [CrossRef]
- 48. Riordan, J.F. Angiotensin-I-Converting Enzyme and Its Relatives. Genome Biol. 2003, 4, 225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. López-Fandiño, R.; Otte, J.; van Camp, J. Physiological, Chemical and Technological Aspects of Milk-Protein-Derived Peptides with Antihypertensive and ACE-Inhibitory Activity. *Int. Dairy J.* **2006**, *16*, 1277–1293. [CrossRef]

- 50. Contreras, M.D.M.; Carrón, R.; Montero, M.J.; Ramos, M.; Recio, I. Novel Casein-Derived Peptides with Antihypertensive Activity. *Int. Dairy J.* 2009, 19, 566–573. [CrossRef]
- Ponstein-Simarro Doorten, A.Y.; vd Wiel, J.a.G.; Jonker, D. Safety Evaluation of an IPP Tripeptide-Containing Milk Protein Hydrolysate. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2009, 47, 55–61. [CrossRef]
- 52. Dent, M.P.; O'Hagan, S.; Braun, W.H.; Schaetti, P.; Marburger, A.; Vogel, O. A 90-Day Subchronic Toxicity Study and Reproductive Toxicity Studies on ACE-Inhibiting Lactotripeptide. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2007**, *45*, 1468–1477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mizuno, S.; Mennear, J.H.; Matsuura, K.; Bernard, B.K. Studies of the Toxicological Potential of Tripeptides (L-Valyl-L-Proly
- 54. Sano, J.; Ohki, K.; Higuchi, T.; Aihara, K.; Mizuno, S.; Kajimoto, O.; Nakagawa, S.; Kajimoto, Y.; Nakamura, Y. Effect of Casein Hydrolysate, Prepared with Protease Derived from Aspergillus Oryzae, on Subjects with High-Normal Blood Pressure or Mild Hypertension. *J. Med. Food* **2005**, *8*, 423–430. [CrossRef]
- Duffuler, P.; Bhullar, K.S.; de Campos Zani, S.C.; Wu, J. Bioactive Peptides: From Basic Research to Clinical trials and Commercialization. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2022, 70, 3585–3595. [CrossRef]
- Cicero, A.F.G.; Gerocarni, B.; Laghi, L.; Borghi, C. Blood Pressure Lowering Effect of Lactotripeptides Assumed as Functional Foods: A Meta-Analysis of Current Available Clinical trials. J. Hum. Hypertens. 2011, 25, 425–436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cicero, A.F.G.; Aubin, F.; Azais-Braesco, V.; Borghi, C. Do the Lactotripeptides Isoleucine-Proline-Proline and Valine-Proline-Proline Reduce Systolic Blood Pressure in European Subjects? A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled trials. *Am. J. Hypertens.* 2013, 26, 442–449. [CrossRef]
- 58. Jauhiainen, T.; Niittynen, L.; Orešič, M.; Järvenpää, S.; Hiltunen, T.P.; Rönnback, M.; Vapaatalo, H.; Korpela, R. Effects of Long-Term Intake of Lactotripeptides on Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Hypertensive Subjects. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2012, *66*, 843–849. [CrossRef]
- Lillefosse, H.H.; Tastesen, H.S.; Du, Z.-Y.; Ditlev, D.B.; Thorsen, F.A.; Madsen, L.; Kristiansen, K.; Liaset, B. Hydrolyzed Casein Reduces Diet-Induced Obesity in Male C57BL/6J Mice. J. Nutr. 2013, 143, 1367–1375. [CrossRef]
- 60. O'Halloran, F.; Bruen, C.; McGrath, B.; Schellekens, H.; Murray, B.; Cryan, J.F.; Kelly, A.L.; McSweeney, P.L.H.; Giblin, L. A Casein Hydrolysate Increases GLP-1 Secretion and Reduces Food Intake. *Food Chem.* **2018**, 252, 303–310. [CrossRef]
- 61. Cadée, J.A.; Chang, C.-Y.; Chen, C.-W.; Huang, C.-N.; Chen, S.-L.; Wang, C.-K. Bovine Casein Hydrolysate (C12 Peptide) Reduces Blood Pressure in Prehypertensive Subjects. *Am. J. Hypertens.* **2007**, *20*, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cicero, A.F.G.; Rosticci, M.; Ferroni, A.; Bacchelli, S.; Veronesi, M.; Strocchi, E.; Borghi, C. Predictors of the Short-Term Effect of Isoleu-cine-Proline-Proline/Valine-Proline-Proline Lactotripeptides from Casein on Office and Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Subjects with Pharmacologically Untreated High-Normal Blood Pressure or First-Degree Hypertension. *Clin. Exp. Hypertens.* 2012, 34, 601–605. [CrossRef]
- 63. Germino, F.W.; Neutel, J.; Nonaka, M.; Hendler, S.S. The Impact of Lactotripeptides on Blood Pressure Response in Stage 1 and Stage 2 Hypertensives. *J. Clin. Hypertens.* **2010**, *12*, 153–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Mizuno, S.; Matsuura, K.; Gotou, T.; Nishimura, S.; Kajimoto, O.; Yabune, M.; Kajimoto, Y.; Yamamoto, N. Antihypertensive Effect of Casein Hydrolysate in a Placebo-Controlled Study in Subjects with High-Normal Blood Pressure and Mild Hypertension. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2005**, *94*, 84–91. [CrossRef]
- 65. Nakamura, T.; Mizutani, J.; Ohki, K.; Yamada, K.; Yamamoto, N.; Takeshi, M.; Takazawa, K. Casein Hydrolysate Containing Val-Pro-Pro and Ile-Pro-Pro Improves Central Blood Pressure and Arterial Stiffness in Hypertensive Subjects: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Atherosclerosis* **2011**, *219*, 298–303. [CrossRef]
- 66. Usinger, L.; Jensen, L.T.; Flambard, B.; Linneberg, A.; Ibsen, H. The Antihypertensive Effect of Fermented Milk in Individuals with Prehypertension or Borderline Hypertension. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* **2010**, *24*, 678–683. [CrossRef]
- Yoshizawa, M.; Maeda, S.; Miyaki, A.; Misono, M.; Choi, Y.; Shimojo, N.; Ajisaka, R.; Tanaka, H. Additive Beneficial Effects of Lactotripeptides and Aerobic Exercise on Arterial Compliance in Postmenopausal Women. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2009, 297, H1899–H1903. [CrossRef]
- Yoshizawa, M.; Maeda, S.; Miyaki, A.; Misono, M.; Choi, Y.; Shimojo, N.; Ajisaka, R.; Tanaka, H. Additive Beneficial Effects of Lactotripeptides In-take with Regular Exercise on Endothelium-Dependent Dilatation in Postmenopausal Women. *Am. J. Hypertens.* 2010, 23, 368–372. [CrossRef]
- Kajimoto, O.; Kurosaki, T.; Mizutani, J.; Ikeda, N.; Kaneko, K.; Aihara, K.; Yabune, M.; Nakamura, Y. Antihypertensive Effects of Liquid Yogurts Containing "Lactotripeptides (VPP, IPP)" in Mild Hypertensive Subjects. J. Nutr. Food 2002, 5, 55–66.
- Hata, Y.; Yamamoto, M.; Ohni, M.; Nakajima, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Takano, T. A Placebo-Controlled Study of the Effect of Sour Milk on Blood Pressure in Hypertensive Subjects. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1996, 64, 767–771. [CrossRef]
- Jauhiainen, T.; Vapaatalo, H.; Poussa, T.; Kyrönpalo, S.; Rasmussen, M.; Korpela, R. Lactobacillus Helveticus Fermented Milk Lowers Blood Pressure in Hypertensive Subjects in 24-h Ambulatory Blood Pressure Measurement. Am. J. Hypertens. 2005, 18, 1600–1605. [CrossRef]
- Jauhiainen, T.; Rönnback, M.; Vapaatalo, H.; Wuolle, K.; Kautiainen, H.; Groop, P.-H.; Korpela, R. Long-Term Intervention with Lactobacillus Helveticus Fermented Milk Reduces Augmentation Index in Hypertensive Subjects. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2010, 64, 424–431. [CrossRef]

- 73. Mizushima, S.; Ohshige, K.; Watanabe, J.; Kimura, M.; Kadowaki, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Tochikubo, O.; Ueshima, H. Randomized Controlled Trial of Sour Milk on Blood Pressure in Borderline Hypertensive Men. Am. J. Hypertens. 2004, 17, 701–706. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Seppo, L.; Jauhiainen, T.; Poussa, T.; Korpela, R. A Fermented Milk High in Bioactive Peptides Has a Blood Pressure-Lowering Effect in Hypertensive Subjects. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2003**, *77*, 326–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, T.; Chiu, H.; Lu, Y.; Han, Y.-C.; Shen, Y.; Kamesh, V.; Golovinskaia, O.; Wang, C.-K. Efficacy of Fermented Goat Milk on Blood Pressure in Pre-hypertensive Adults: A Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Clinical Trial. J. Food Biochem. 2017, 42, e12474. [CrossRef]
- 76. Cicero, A.F.G.; Colletti, A.; Rosticci, M.; Cagnati, M.; Urso, R.; Giovannini, M.; Borghi, C.; D'Addato, S. Effect of Lactotripeptides (Isoleu-cine-Proline-Proline-Proline-Proline) on Blood Pressure and Arterial Stiffness Changes in Subjects with Suboptimal Blood Pressure Control and Metabolic Syndrome: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Crossover Clinical Trial. Metab. Syndr. *Relat. Disord.* 2016, 14, 161–166. [CrossRef]
- 77. Cicero, A.F.G.; Rosticci, M.; Veronesi, M.; Bacchelli, S.; Strocchi, E.; Melegari, C.; Grandi, E.; Borghi, C. Hemodynamic Effects of Lactotripeptides from Casein Hydrolysate in Mediterranean Normotensive Subjects and Patients with High-Normal Blood Pressure: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Crossover Clinical Trial. J. Med. Food. 2010, 13, 1363–1368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hirota, T.; Ohki, K.; Kawagishi, R.; Kajimoto, Y.; Mizuno, S.; Nakamura, Y.; Kitakaze, M. Casein Hydrolysate Containing the Antihypertensive Tripep-tides Val-Pro-Pro and Ile-Pro-Pro Improves Vascular Endothelial Function Independent of Blood Pressure-Lowering Effects: Contribution of the Inhibi-tory Action of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme. Hypertens. *Res. Off. J. Jpn. Soc. Hypertens.* 2007, *30*, 489–496. [CrossRef]
- 79. Yamasue, K.; Morikawa, N.; Mizushima, S.; Tochikubo, O. The Blood Pressure Lowering Effect of Lactotripeptides and Salt Intake in 24-h Ambulatory Blood Pressure Measurements. *Clin. Exp. Hypertens.* **2010**, *32*, 214–220. [CrossRef]