

# Epidemiologic Profile of Severe Acute Respiratory Infection in Brazil During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Epidemiological Study

## OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Svetlana Khaiboullina, University of Nevada, United States

#### Reviewed by:

André Ricardo Ribas Freitas, São Leopoldo Mandic School, Brazil Ugur Tirnakli, Ege University, Turkey Renan Pedra de Souza, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil

#### \*Correspondence:

Fernando Augusto Lima Marson fernandolimamarson@hotmail.com; fernando.marson@usf.edu.br

#### <sup>†</sup>ORCID:

Fernando Augusto Lima Marson orcid.org/0000-0003-4955-4234 Nathália Mariana Santos Sansone orcid.org/0000-0003-4398-9245 Matheus Negri Boschiero orcid.org/0000-0002-2866-391X

<sup>‡</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Agents and Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 01 April 2022 Accepted: 26 May 2022 Published: 01 July 2022

#### Citation:

Sansone NMS, Boschiero MN and Marson FAL (2022) Epidemiologic Profile of Severe Acute Respiratory Infection in Brazil During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Epidemiological Study. Front. Microbiol. 13:911036. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.911036 **Fernando Augusto Lima Marson**<sup>1,2\*†‡</sup> <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Cell and Molecular Tumor Biology and Bioactive Compounds, São Francisco University, Bragança Paulista,

Brazil, <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Human and Medical Genetics, São Francisco University, Bragança Paulista, Brazil

Nathália Mariana Santos Sansone<sup>1,2†‡</sup>, Matheus Negri Boschiero<sup>1†‡</sup> and

**Background:** The COVID-19 is a significant public health issue, and monitoring confirmed cases and deaths is an essential epidemiologic tool. We evaluated the features in Brazilian hospitalized patients due to severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil. We grouped the patients into the following categories: Influenza virus infection (G1), other respiratory viruses' infection (G2), other known etiologic agents (G3), SARS-CoV-2 infection (patients with COVID-19, G4), and undefined etiological agent (G5).

**Methods:** We performed an epidemiological study using data from DataSUS (https://opendatasus.saude.gov.br/) from December 2019 to October 2021. The dataset included Brazilian hospitalized patients due to SARI. We considered the clinical evolution of the patients with SARI during the COVID-19 pandemic according to the SARI patient groups as the outcome. We performed the multivariate statistical analysis using logistic regression, and we adopted an Alpha error of 0.05.

**Results:** A total of 2,740,272 patients were hospitalized due to SARI in Brazil, being the São Paulo state responsible for most of the cases [802,367 (29.3%)]. Most of the patients were male (1,495,416; 54.6%), aged between 25 and 60 years (1,269,398; 46.3%), and were White (1,105,123; 49.8%). A total of 1,577,279 (68.3%) patients recovered from SARI, whereas 701,607 (30.4%) died due to SARI, and 30,551 (1.3%) did not have their deaths related to SARI. A major part of the patients was grouped in G4 (1,817,098; 66.3%) and G5 (896,207; 32.7%). The other groups account for <1% of our sample [G1: 3,474 (0.1%), G2: 16,627 (0.6%), and G3: 6,866 (0.3%)]. The deaths related to SARI were more frequent in G4 (574,887; 34.7%); however, the deaths not related to SARI were more frequent among the patients categorized into the G3 (1,339; 21.3%) and G5 (25,829; 4.1%). In the multivariate analysis, the main predictors to classify the patients in the G5 when compared with G4 or G1-G4 were female sex, younger age, Black race, low educational level, rural place of residence, and the use of antiviral to treat the clinical signs. Furthermore, several features predict the risk of death by SARI, such as older age, race (Black, Indigenous, and multiracial background), low educational level,

1

residence in a flu outbreak region, need for intensive care unit, and need for mechanical ventilatory support.

**Conclusions:** The possible COVID-19 underreporting (G5) might be associated with an enhanced mortality rate, more evident in distinct social groups. In addition, the patients' features are unequal between the patients' groups and can be used to determine the risk of possible COVID-19 underreporting in our population. Patients with a higher risk of death had a different epidemiological profile when compared with patients who recovered from SARI, like older age, Black, Indigenous, and multiracial background races, low educational level, residence in a flu outbreak region, need for intensive care unit and need for mechanical ventilatory support.

Keywords: Brazil, COVID-19, COVID-19 underreporting, diagnosis, epidemiology, pandemic, SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory infection

## INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus virus family was responsible for several previous epidemics and a significant number of deaths worldwide (Piret and Boivin, 2021; CSR). This virus family accounted for the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) originated in China, whereas the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) originated in Saudi Arabia, and finally, the novel SARS-CoV (SARS-CoV-2) has been responsible for for the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 pandemic. COVID-19 became a major worldwide public health issue, mainly due to the high transmission rate of SARS-CoV-2, which caused the death of millions of people worldwide (Zhu et al., 2020; Piret and Boivin, 2021), including in Brazil, which is considered an epicenter for the disease (Boschiero et al., 2021a,b).

Since the COVID-19 pandemic onset, monitoring the confirmed cases and deaths due to disease is of the utmost importance (Revealing the toll of COVID-19); the association between the highest COVID-19 mortality and with lowest test number is described in the literature, encouraging thorough monitoring of COVID-19 cases (Liang et al., 2020). Other factors, like older age, low educational level, comorbidities, and being a non-White individual, that is, Black, individuals with multiracial background, south Asian, and Indigenous, were also associated with an enhanced mortality rate due to SARS-CoV-2 infection (Hawkins et al., 2020; Santos et al., 2020; Williamson et al., 2020; Yoshikawa and Asaba, 2021; Sansone et al., 2022). Importantly, race plays an essential role in the COVID-19 diagnosis, and some neglected populations might be at even higher risk of underreporting, such as the Indigenous peoples and Black individuals from Brazil, as well as, Black people from the USA (Palamim et al., 2020; Fellows et al., 2021; Mendes et al., 2021). Unfortunately, many countries, including the developed ones, could not test a significant number of individuals and demonstrated an underreporting of COVID-19 cases, such as the USA, Italy, Spain, and especially Brazil (Marson and Ortega, 2020; Carvalho et al., 2021; Kupek, 2021; Lau et al., 2021). According to race, access to SARS-CoV-2 testing is unequal and restrictive for some individuals, especially the Black ones. Some studies showed a lower testing rate in neglected people due to inadequate access to healthcare, fewer testing sites, and long travels to perform a test in regions with minority residents (Lieberman-Cribbin et al., 2020; Rader et al., 2020; Silva et al., 2020; Pletcher et al., 2021). For example, individuals from the Amazon region of Peru, which comprises nearly 265,000 Indigenous individuals (INEI-Perú: Perfil Sociodemográfico), have low access to SARS-CoV-2 testing, increasing the SARS-CoV-2 transmission and mortality due to COVID-19 (Hernández-Vásquez et al., 2021).

Regarding Brazil, several reasons contributed to the underreporting of COVID-19 cases, mainly the limitations to performing widespread SARS-CoV-2 screen by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), operational difficulties in testing all the Brazilian population, and the lack of new tests. Perhaps, these factors contributed to the underreporting, and some severe COVID-19 cases are being diagnosed only as SARI (Bastos et al., 2020; do Prado et al., 2020; Marson, 2020; Carvalho et al., 2021). To registry the Severe Acute Respiratory Infection (SARI) cases after the 2009 H1N1 pandemic, the Brazilian Ministry of Health instituted epidemiological surveillance of respiratory viral agents. The Brazilian government included monitoring SARS-CoV-2 cases in 2020 (Kupek, 2021; SRAG). Unfortunately, Brazil reported more SARI cases without identifying any etiological agent in 2020 and 2021 than compared to previous years (Bastos et al., 2020; SRAG). The non-diagnosis of COVID-19 in individuals with SARI might be troublesome since they would not get proper treatment with specific drugs to treat COVID-19, such as dexamethasone (RECOVERY Collaborative Group et al., 2021). It can also be responsible for disseminating the disease since the prevention measures such as social distancing and wearing masks would not be followed carefully (Advice for the public on COVID-19 -World Health Organization).

In that sense, we evaluated the features (demographic data, hospitalization information, and outcomes) of hospitalized patients with SARI in Brazil, during the COVID-19 pandemic, according to the following groups: SARI due to Influenza virus infection, SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection, SARI due to other known etiologic agents (OEAs), SARI due to SARS-CoV-2 infection (patients with COVID-19), and SARI due to an undefined etiological agent.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

We performed an epidemiological analysis using epidemiologic data available in OpenDataSUS (https://opendatasus.saude.gov. br/) from December 29, 2019, to October 10, 2021. We computed the patients' features using the data from the Brazilian Ministry of Health according to the surveillance data of SARI and from the Information System platform for Epidemiological Surveillance of Influenza (in Portuguese Sistema de Informação da Vigilância Epidemiológica da Gripe; SIVEP-Flu). We further divided the patients into two periods. The first period was from December 29, 2019, to December 31, 2020. The second period was from January 1, 2021, to October 10, 2021. A previous study has been published elsewhere (Zeiser et al., 2022) and described two waves in Brazil (February 25, 2020, to April 30, 2021, separated into two waves on November 5, 2020). A wave is characterized by an increasing number of cases until it reaches a peak, followed by a valley period (Salyer et al., 2021). However, we decided two describe our data as two periods (years) to demonstrate the disease evolution according to the temporal development of diagnosis and treatment for COVID-19.

We categorize the patients according to the SARI etiologic: Influenza virus infection, other respiratory viruses' infection, OEAs (known), COVID-19, and undefined etiological agent. In addition, from the dataset, we collected the following patient's features: sex (male and female), age [grouped as follows (years old, y.o.): <1, 1-12, 13-24, 25-60, 61-72, 73-85, and +85], race (White, Black, Asian, individuals with a multiracial background, and Indigenous), educational level (Illiterate, 1<sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle, 2<sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle, High school, and University education), place of residence (Urban, Rural, and Peri-urban), whether the patients live in a flu outbreak region, Flu vaccine status during the last vaccination campaign, treatment for SARI clinical signs with an antiviral drug, need for intensive care unit, need for mechanical ventilatory support (invasive, non-invasive, or not required), closure criterion (laboratory analysis or clinical criteria), and outcome (clinical cure, death due to SARI, or death due to other causes). In our study, we classified the race according to the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics into five official races as described above. The race was self-declared, and the individuals should identify themselves by selecting only one category.

The Brazilian Ministry of Health defines a patient with the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) as "any individual with flu syndrome who also presents: dyspnea/respiratory distress, OR persistent thorax pain, OR O<sub>2</sub> saturation lower than 95% in ambient air, OR cyanosis" (Saiba como é feita a definição de casos suspeitos de Covid-19 no Brasil). The World Health Organization (WHO) defines it as "a viral respiratory disease caused by a SARS-associated coronavirus" (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)), such as the patients with COVID-19. This differentiation is essential since the definition of the WHO only comprises those infected by a coronavirus. In contrast, the Brazilian Ministry of Health includes patients infected with any etiological agent causing SARS-like symptoms; in such context, we used the SARI term in our study.

## **Statistical Analysis**

We performed the statistical analysis using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, Version 27, New York, NY, United States) and OpenEpi software (Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM. OpenEpi: Open-Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health, Version. www.OpenEpi.com, 2013/04/06). We used the chi-square statistical test to compare the proportion of the individuals with SARI among the different study groups as described before. We calculated the odds ratio (OR) and the 95% confidence interval (95%CI) to estimate the impact of each marker in the different groups according to the SARI classification. We performed the first analysis to compare SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. SARS-CoV-2 infection. In addition, we completed the second analysis to associate SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. other SARI groups. To facilitate the terminology, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> analysis, we included the individuals with SARI due to Influenza virus infection, SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection, SARI due to OEAs (known) and SARI due to SARS-CoV-2 infection (patients with COVID-19) into one category, into one category, namely another SARI group. We also compared the patients' features between both study periods [period 1: December 29, 2019, to December 31, 2020, vs. period 2: January 1, 2021, to October 10, 2021] using the same statistical protocol. We used the OpenEpi software for 2 x 2 tables, including the value for each patient feature, to calculate the OR and the 95%CI. We summarized the results in tables and figures. We used the GraphPad Prism version 8. for Mac (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, www.graphpad.com) to build the figures.

We performed the multivariate analysis using the logistic regression model with the backward stepwise method. We included in the regression model the features with the presence of significant association ( $P \le 0.05$ ) in the bivariate model. According to SARI groups, we had the following outcomes in the multivariate analysis: (1st analysis) SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. SARS-CoV-2 infection and (2<sup>nd</sup> analysis) SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. other SARI groups. A total of 11 patients' features were included in the multivariate analyses as follows: sex, age, race, educational level, place of residence, whether the patients lived in a flu outbreak region, Flu vaccine status during the last vaccination campaign, treatment for SARI symptoms with an antiviral drug, need for intensive care unit, closure criteria, and outcome. We also performed a third multivariate analysis using all patients' features to determine the main predictors of death. In the logistic regression model, we presented the OR and the 95%CI.

The data used in our study were made publicly available, not containing consent-free personal data since it does not present risks to the research participants.

## RESULTS

# Evolution of the SARI Cases During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Brazil

During the study period comprising the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil, 2,740,272 patients were hospitalized due to SARI. TABLE 1 | Distribution of the hospitalized patients due to severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) in Brazil during the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 pandemic according to the patients' place of notification and residence.

State and Federal district	Place of notification; <i>N</i> (%)	Place of residence; <i>N</i> (%)	Number of inhabitants	Individuals by place of notification per 1,000 inhabitants	Individuals by place of residence per 1,000 inhabitants
Acre	6,640 (0.2%)	6,638 (0.2%)	881,935	7.53	7.53
Alagoas	31,768 (1.2%)	31,978 (1.2%)	3,337,357	9.52	9.58
Amazonas	50,631 (1.8%)	51,723 (1.9%)	4,144,597	12.22	12.48
Amapá	6,318 (0.2%)	6,184 (0.2%)	845,731	7.47	7.31
Bahia	100,737 (3.7%)	101,272 (3.7%)	14,873,064	6.77	6.81
Ceará	111,034 (4.1%)	110,863 (4.0%)	9,132,078	12.16	12.14
Federal district	57,590 (2.1%)	52,506 (1.9%)	3,015,268	19.10	17.41
Espírito Santo	22,389 (0.8%)	22,529 (0.8%)	4,018,650	5.57	5.61
Goiás	90,641 (3.3%)	94,779 (3.5%)	7,018,354	12.91	13.50
Maranhão	33,824 (1.2%)	35,098 (1.3%)	7,075,181	4.78	4.96
Minas Gerais	298,525 (10.9%)	299,457 (10.9%)	21,168,791	14.10	14.15
Mato Grosso do Sul	47,453 (1.7%)	47,872 (1.7%)	2,778,986	17.08	17.23
Mato Grosso	51,465 (1.9%)	52,025 (1.9%)	3,484,466	14.77	14.93
Pará	67,954 (2.5%)	69,026 (2.5%)	8,602,865	7.90	8.02
Paraíba	43,250 (1.6%)	43,264 (1.6%)	4,018,127	10.76	10.77
Pernambuco	105,084 (3.8%)	104,746 (3.8%)	9,557,071	11.00	10.96
Piauí	29,700 (1.1%)	28,609 (1.0%)	3,273,227	9.07	8.74
Paraná	193,060 (7.0%)	192,784 (7.0%)	11,433,957	16.88	16.86
Rio de Janeiro	246,823 (9.0%)	247,101 (9.0%)	17,264,943	14.30	14.31
Rio Grande do Norte	26,380 (1.0%)	26,424 (1.0%)	3,506,853	7.52	7.53
Rondônia	21,021 (0.8%)	21,301 (0.8%)	1,777,225	11.83	11.99
Roraima	4,839 (0.2%)	4,927 (0.2%)	605,761	7.99	8.13
Rio Grande do Sul	148,449 (5.4%)	148,748 (7.0%)	11,377,239	13.05	13.07
Santa Catarina	95,650 (3.5%)	95,540 (3.5%)	7,164,788	13.35	13.33
Sergipe	26,869 (1.0%)	26,555 (1.0%)	2,298,696	11.69	11.55
São Paulo	802,367 (29.3%)	798,382 (29.1%)	45,919,049	17.47	17.39
Tocantins	19,811 (0.7%)	19,598 (0.7%)	1,572,866	12.60	12.46

We presented the data as the number of individuals (N) and percentage (%).

São Paulo state accounted for the most cases [802,367 (29.3%)] followed by Minas Gerais [298,525 (10.9%)] and Rio de Janeiro [246,823 (9.0%)] states (Table 1). Regarding SARI due to COVID-19, the São Paulo state also accounted for most cases [526,184 (29.0%)], followed by Minas Gerais [177,613 (9.8%)] and Rio de Janeiro [173,093 (9.5%)] states. Whereas, non-COVID-19 SARI, that is, SARI by Influenza, SARI by other respiratory infection, SARI due to OEAs, and SARI due to an undefined etiological agent, was most notified in São Paulo state [267,536 (28.9%)], followed by Minas Gerais [120,912 (13.1%)] and Paraná [74,525 (8.0%)] states (Supplementary Table 1). We described the place of residence of each of the five SARI categories in Supplementary Table 2. Also, in Table 1, we described the number of SARI cases by place of notification per 1,000 inhabitants, and we observed that the higher rate of cases occurred in the Federal District (19.10 cases per 1,000 inhabitants), followed by the São Paulo (17.47 cases per 1,000 inhabitants), Mato Grosso do Sul (17.08 cases per 1,000 inhabitants) states, and Amazonas (12.22 cases per 1,000 inhabitants). For the number of COVID-19 cases by place of notification per 1,000 inhabitants, we had the higher value in the Federal District (14.27 cases per 1,000 inhabitants), followed by the São Paulo (11.46 cases per 1,000 inhabitants), Mato Grosso (12.27 cases per 1,000 inhabitants), and Mato Grosso do Sul (11.38 cases per 1,000 inhabitants) states. Finally, for the number of individuals with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent by place of notification per 1,000 inhabitants, we had the higher value in the Tocantins (6.16 cases per 1,000 inhabitants) state, followed by the Paraná (6.15 cases per 1,000 inhabitants), São Paulo (5.83 cases per 1,000 inhabitants), and Pernambuco (5.78 cases per 1,000 inhabitants) states (**Supplementary Table 1**). We described the other SARI categories in **Supplementary Table 1** for the place of notification; also, we described the cases per 1,000 inhabitants according to the place of residence of each of the five SARI categories in **Supplementary Table 2**.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of the patients with SARI according to the notification for SIVEP-Flu (Figure 1A) and the onset of clinical signs (Figure 1B). We presented the data according to the SARI classification, which evidenced the increase of COVID-19 and the increase of SARI due to an





**TABLE 2** | Features of the hospitalized patients due to severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) in Brazil for demographic information, follow-up during the hospitalization, and outcomes during the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 pandemic.

Sex   Female   1,245,116 (45.4%)     Male   1,494,416 (54.5%)     Missing data   740 (0.1%)     Age   <1 y.o.   61,286 (2.2%)     1-12 y.o.   114,014 (4.2%)     13-24 y.o.   75,076 (2.7%)     25-60 y.o.   12,269,338 (46.3%)     61-72 y.o.   587,997 (21.5%)     73-85 y.o.   440,894 (16.1%)     +85 y.o.   191,607 (7.0%)     Race   White   1,105,123 (40.3%)     Black   123,504 (4.5%)     Asian   26,814 (1.0%)     Indigenous peoples   5,581 (0.2%)     Missing data   521,753 (19.0%)     Educational level   Illiterate   78,718 (2.9%)     1 <sup>48</sup> fundamental cycle   258,679 (9.4%)     2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle   258,871 (4.6%)     Place of residence   Urban   2,271,009 (82.9%)     High school   284,764 (10.4%)     Urban   2,271,009 (82.9%)     Rural   1,268,31 (4.6%)     Peri-urban   10,052 (0.4%)     Missing data   322,380 (12.1%)	Patient feature	Category	N (%)
Male1,494,416 (54.5%)Age~1 y.o.~740 (0.1%)Age~1 y.o.~740 (0.1%)1-12 y.o.114,014 (4.2%)13-24 y.o.~75,076 (2.7%)25-60 y.o.1.269,398 (46.3%)61-72 y.o.587,997 (21.5%)73-85 y.o.440,894 (16.1%)+85 y.o.191,607 (7.0%)RaceWhite1,105,123 (40.3%)Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Educational level114 (1mdamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)If fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)191 school284,764 (10.4%)191 school284,764	Sex	Female	1,245,116 (45.4%)
AgeMissing data740 (0.1%)Age<1 y.o.		Male	1,494,416 (54.5%)
Age<1 y.o.61,286 (2.2%)1-12 y.o.114,014 (4.2%)13-24 y.o.75,076 (2.7%)25-60 y.o.1,269,398 (46.3%)61-72 y.o.587,997 (21.5%)73-85 y.o.440,894 (16.1%)+85 y.o.191,607 (7.0%)Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multracial background26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multracial background55,81 (0.2%)Individuals from a multracial background258,679 (9.4%)Educational level116,0738 (62%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Place of residence71,601 (2.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Not applicable10,052 (0.4%)Outorant action22,71,009 (82.9%)Place of residenceWasing dataNot applicable71,801 (2.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Urban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data1,823,418 (65.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo331,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,514 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical asigns1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,628,514 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical asigns1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,628,514 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the cl		Missing data	740 (0.1%)
1-12 y.o.114,014 (4.2%)13-24 y.o.75,076 (2.7%)25-60 y.o.1,269,398 (46.3%)61-72 y.o.587,997 (21.5%)73-85 y.o.440,894 (16.1%)+85 y.o.191,607 (7.0%)RaceBlack123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multracial backgroundIndigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Missing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelIllerate181 fundamental cycle288,679 (9.4%)191 gold284,764 (10.4%)101 worsity education125,891 (4.6%)191 gold284,764 (10.4%)191 gold125,891 (4.6%)191 gold1,749,681 (63.9%)191 gold1,749,681 (63.9%)191 gold1,749,681 (63.9%)191 gold1,749,681 (63.9%)191 gold1,749,681 (63.9%)191 gold1,628,561 (59.%)192 gold1,628,561 (59.%)193 gold1,628,561 (59.%)193 gold1,628,561 (59.%)194 gold1,628,561 (59.%)<	Age	<1 y.o.	61,286 (2.2%)
13-24 y.o.75,076 (2.7%)25-60 y.o.1,269,398 (46.3%)61-72 y.o.587,997 (21.5%)73-85 y.o.440,894 (16.1%)+85 y.o.191,607 (7.0%)Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Educational level11111111111226,8679 (9.4%)2 <sup>rd</sup> fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>rd</sup> fundamental cycle268,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>rd</sup> fundamental cycle71,801 (2.6%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Place of residenceUrbanQuibreak regionNoNo655,385 (23.9%)No322,300 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo31,051 (30.3%)Received Flu yaccineYesNo1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug yansing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug yansing data1,628,561 (59.5%)No1,672,295 (61.0%)No1,628,261 (59.5%)VaccineYesNo1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug yansing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,672,295 (61.0%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (		1–12 y.o.	114,014 (4.2%)
25-60 y.o.1,269,398 (46.3%)61-72 y.o.587,997 (21.5%)73-85 y.o.440,894 (16.1%)+85 y.o.191,607 (7.0%)RaceWhite1,105,123 (40.3%)Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals form a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Missing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelIlliterate1st fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2nd fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu vaccineYesNo655,386 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,823,418 (65.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,628,561 (5.5%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,31		13–24 y.o.	75,076 (2.7%)
61-72 y.o.587,997 (21.5%)73-85 y.o.440,894 (16.1%)+85 y.o.191,607 (7.0%)RaceWhite1,105,123 (40.3%)Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals form a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Missing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelIlliterate1sing data521,753 (19.0%)2nd fundamental cycle258,879 (9.4%)2nd fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)Not applicable71,009 (82.9%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanQurban10,052 (0.4%)Not applicable71,009 (82.9%)Place of residenceVirbanQurban22,71,009 (82.9%)No655,385 (23.9%)Qurban1,052 (0.4%)No655,385 (23.9%)Qurban1,628,561 (59.5%)No655,385 (23.9%)Qurban1,628,561 (59.5%)No1,628,561 (59.5%)QurbanYesQurban1,628,561 (59.5%)Qurban1,628,561 (59.5%)No1,628,561 (59.5%)QurbanNoQurban1,628,561 (59.5%)QurbanNoQurban1,628,561 (59.5%)Qurban1,628,561 (59.5%)QurbanNoQurban1,628,561 (59.5%)No1,628		25–60 y.o.	1,269,398 (46.3%)
Race73-85 y.o.440,894 (16.1%) 191,607 (7.0%)RaceWhite1,105,123 (40.3%) Elack123,504 (4.5%) AsianAsian26,814 (1.0%) Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%) multiracial backgroundEducational levelIndigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%) Missing dataEducational levelIliterate78,718 (2.9%) (2.9%)Educational levelIliterate78,718 (2.9%) (2.9%)Indigenous peoples5,657 (9.4%) (2.6%)Educational levelIliterate78,718 (2.9%) (2.9%)Indigenous peoples5,657 (9.4%) (2.6%)Idi fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%) (1.6%)Indigenous peoples7,801 (2.6%) (2.6%)Idi fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%) (1.6%)Indigenous peoples71,801 (2.6%) (2.6%)Idi fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%) (2.6%)Place of residenceUrbanIdi fundamental cycle2,271,009 (82.9%) (2.6%)No26,831 (4.6%) (2.6%)Utyring in a Flu outbreak regionYesVaccineYesNo655,385 (2.3%) (Missing dataNo1,628,561 (59.5%) (2.3%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,622,956 (1.0%) (Missing dataInsing data1,628,561 (59.5%) (2.3%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,622,564 (28.9%) (NoNo1,622,564 (28.9%) (NoNo1,622,564 (28.9%) (NoNo1,624,205 (54.9%) (Mi		61–72 y.o.	587,997 (21.5%)
HaceHasp.o.191,607 (7.0%)RaceWhite1,105,123 (40.3%)Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Educational levelIlliterate1 <sup>81</sup> fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Place of residenceUrbanQurban2,271,009 (82.9%)Not applicable126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)outbreak regionNoNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu vaccineYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data444,331 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (64.9%)No1,628,561 (59.5%)User art the cinical signsMissing dataNo1,504,205 (64.9%)No1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data449,313 (16.2%)Non-invasive <td></td> <td>73–85 y.o.</td> <td>440,894 (16.1%)</td>		73–85 y.o.	440,894 (16.1%)
RaceWhite1,105,123 (40.3%)Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Missing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelIliterateIndividuals from a multiracial background258,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle70,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanQurban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,628,561 (69.5%)VaccineYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo31,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data44,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit NoNoNo1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Not required1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Mon-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Not required662,117 (20.5%)Not required662,117 (20.5%)		+85 y.o.	191,607 (7.0%)
Black123,504 (4.5%)Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Missing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelIliterateIliterate78,718 (2.9%)1°f fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2°d fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanRural126,831 (4.6%)Not applicable170,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Not applicable10,052 (0.4%)Place of residenceYesBural126,831 (4.6%)No655,385 (23.9%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,288,755 (47.0%)No1,288,755 (47.0%)Not required562,117 (20.5%)Not required662,117 (20.5	Race	White	1,105,123 (40.3%)
Asian26,814 (1.0%)Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Educational levelIliterate1** fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2** d'undamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Not applicable17,49,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data323,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYes261,469 (9.5%)No655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,628,561 (50.5%)VaccineNo631,051 (30.3%)Intensive care unit signsYes283,044 (8.1%)No1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data443,333 (0.9%)Intensive care unitYes792,754 (28.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,333 (16.2%)Mechanical ventilatory supportInvasive420,804 (15.4%)Mechanical ventilatory supportInvasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Not required662,117 (20.5%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterionClinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%) <td></td> <td>Black</td> <td>123,504 (4.5%)</td>		Black	123,504 (4.5%)
Individuals from a multiracial background957,497 (34.9%) multiracial backgroundIndigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%) Missing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelIliterate78,718 (2.9%)1st fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%) 2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Place of residenceWrban10,052 (0.4%)Iving in a Flu outbreak regionYes261,469 (9.5%)No655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYes280,660 (10.2%)Used antiviral drug signsYes280,660 (10.2%)No1,622,561 (59.5%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)No1,622,561 (59.5%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportNo1,504,205 (54.9%)Mechanical ventilatory supportNo-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Missing dataMechanical ventilatory supportNo-invasive2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion Laboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion Laboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion Laboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion Laboratorial criterion2,2		Asian	26,814 (1.0%)
Indigenous peoples5,581 (0.2%)Missing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelMissing dataIliterate78,718 (2.9%)1st fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2nd fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanRural126,831 (4.6%)Parturban10,052 (0.4%)Hissing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo831,051 (30.3%)Intensive care unit signsYesNo1,622,956 (1.0%)Missing data444,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYesNo1,504,205 (54.9%)Mon-invasive1,283,755 (47.0%)Not required562,117 (20.5%)Morinical ventilatory supportInvasiveAussing data468,596 (17.1%)Morinical ventilatory support1,283,016 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%) <td></td> <td>Individuals from a multiracial background</td> <td>957,497 (34.9%)</td>		Individuals from a multiracial background	957,497 (34.9%)
Hissing data521,753 (19.0%)Educational levelIlliterate78,718 (2.9%)1°t1ndamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanUrban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)No332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)No655,385 (23.9%)No831,051 (30.3%)Ito treat the clinical signsYesNo1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data444,331 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Intensive care unit ventilatory support ventilatory supportNoMissing data443,313 (16.2%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,283,758 (17.1%)No-invasive <td></td> <td>Indigenous peoples</td> <td>5,581 (0.2%)</td>		Indigenous peoples	5,581 (0.2%)
Educational levelIlliterate78,718 (2.9%)1stfundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2ndfundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanRural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data322,380 (12.1%)Outbreak regionNoMosing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,672,295 (61.0%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Mechanical ventilatory supportInvasiveMechanical ventilatory supportInvasiveMissing data443,313 (16.2%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,37		Missing data	521,753 (19.0%)
1*f fundamental cycle258,679 (9.4%)2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanRural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Urban261,469 (9.5%)outbreak regionNoMissing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo831,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYesMechanical ventilatory supportNoMissing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure	Educational level	Illiterate	78,718 (2.9%)
2nd fundamental cycle170,738 (6.2%)High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanRural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo831,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Usang data1,628,561 (59.5%)Usang data1,628,561 (59.5%)No831,051 (30.3%)Ito treat the clinical signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYesNo1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No-invasive420,804 (15.4%)Ventilatory support Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Cloinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiologica		1 <sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle	258,679 (9.4%)
High school284,764 (10.4%)University education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanRural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo831,051 (30.3%)Used antiviral drug signsYesNo1,628,561 (59.5%)No1,627,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,627,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unitYesYes792,754 (28.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Mechanical ventilatory supportNoa-invasiveNo-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,216 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,212%)Closure criterion2,230,212%)Cloincal - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)		2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle	170,738 (6.2%)
Interstity education125,891 (4.6%)Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrbanRural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesVaccineYesNo831,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug signsYesVaccineYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data444,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYesNo1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Non-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Non-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterionClinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Closure criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)		High school	284,764 (10.4%)
Not applicable71,801 (2.6%)Place of residenceWissing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Noising data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYes261,469 (9.5%)No655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYes280,660 (10.2%)No831,051 (30.3%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYes223,044 (8.1%)No1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYes792,754 (28.9%)Mo1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,288,755 (47.0%)Non-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Nori required562,117 (20.5%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterionClinical - Epidemiological 32,372 (1.2%)		University education	125,891 (4.6%)
Missing data1,749,681 (63.9%)Place of residenceUrban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYes261,469 (9.5%)No655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYes280,660 (10.2%)No831,051 (30.3%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYes223,044 (8.1%)No1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYes223,044 (8.1%)No1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYes792,754 (28.9%)Mo1,504,205 (54.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Non-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Clinical - Epidemiological Clinical32,372 (1.2%)		Not applicable	71,801 (2.6%)
Place of residenceUrban2,271,009 (82.9%)Rural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesNo655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYesNo831,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYesNo1,504,205 (54.9%)Mon-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Non-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Not required562,117 (20.5%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Clinical - Epidemiological Clinical32,372 (1.2%)		Missing data	1,749,681 (63.9%)
Rural126,831 (4.6%)Peri-urban10,052 (0.4%)Missing data332,380 (12.1%)Living in a Flu outbreak regionYesReceived Flu vaccineYesPeri-urban1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYes280,660 (10.2%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYes10223,044 (8.1%)Missing data1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit ventilatory supportYesNo1,504,205 (54.9%)Mosing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)No1,288,755 (47.0%)Non-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Closure criterion2,230,212%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)	Place of residence	Urban	2,271,009 (82.9%)
Peri-urban   10,052 (0.4%)     Missing data   332,380 (12.1%)     Living in a Flu outbreak region   Yes   261,469 (9.5%)     No   655,385 (23.9%)     Missing data   1,823,418 (66.5%)     Received Flu vaccine   Yes   280,660 (10.2%)     No   831,051 (30.3%)     Missing data   1,628,561 (59.5%)     Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   223,044 (8.1%)     No   1,672,295 (61.0%)   Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)   Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)   Yes     No   1,288,755 (47.0%)   Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Ventilatory support   Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)   Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)   Yes     Closure criterion   Clancial - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)		Rural	126,831 (4.6%)
Missing data   332,380 (12.1%)     Living in a Flu outbreak region   Yes   261,469 (9.5%)     No   655,385 (23.9%)     Missing data   1,823,418 (66.5%)     Received Flu vaccine   Yes   280,660 (10.2%)     No   831,051 (30.3%)     Missing data   1,628,561 (59.5%)     Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   223,044 (8.1%)     No   1,672,295 (61.0%)   Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)   Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)   No     No-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)   Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Ventilatory support   Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)   Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)   Glinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)		Peri-urban	10,052 (0.4%)
Living in a Flu outbreak regionYes261,469 (9.5%)No655,385 (23.9%)Missing data1,823,418 (66.5%)Received Flu vaccineYes280,660 (10.2%)No831,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYes223,044 (8.1%)No1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unit Missing dataYes792,754 (28.9%)No1,504,205 (54.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Mechanical ventilatory supportInvasive420,804 (15.4%)No-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterion2,230,816 (81.4%)Clinical - Epidemiological Clinical32,372 (1.2%)Clinical101,384 (3.7%)		Missing data	332,380 (12.1%)
outbreak region   No   655,385 (23.9%)     Missing data   1,823,418 (66.5%)     Received Flu   Yes   280,660 (10.2%)     Vaccine   No   831,051 (30.3%)     Missing data   1,628,561 (59.5%)     Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   223,044 (8.1%)     No   1,672,295 (61.0%)   Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)   Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)   No     Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)   Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)   2,30,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   2,372 (1.2%)	Living in a Flu	Yes	261,469 (9.5%)
Missing data   1,823,418 (66.5%)     Received Fluvaccine   Yes   280,660 (10.2%)     No   831,051 (30.3%)   1,628,561 (59.5%)     Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   223,044 (8.1%)     No   1,672,295 (61.0%)   1,672,295 (61.0%)     Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Ventilatory support   Invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)   Non-invasive     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   2,372 (1.2%)	outbreak region	No	655,385 (23.9%)
Received Flu vaccineYes280,660 (10.2%)No831,051 (30.3%)Missing data1,628,561 (59.5%)Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signsYesNo1,672,295 (61.0%)Missing data844,933 (30.9%)Intensive care unitYesYes792,754 (28.9%)Missing data443,313 (16.2%)Mechanical ventilatory supportInvasiveNon-invasive1,288,755 (47.0%)Missing data468,596 (17.1%)Closure criterionLaboratorial criterionClosure criterionClinical - Epidemiological2,30,816 (81.4%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)Clinical - Epidemiological32,372 (1.2%)		Missing data	1,823,418 (66.5%)
vaccine   No   831,051 (30.3%)     Missing data   1,628,561 (59.5%)     Used antiviral drug   Yes   223,044 (8.1%)     to treat the clinical signs   No   1,672,295 (61.0%)     Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)   Not required     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)   Missing data     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological Clinical   32,372 (1.2%)   32,372 (1.2%)	Received Flu	Yes	280,660 (10.2%)
Missing data   1,628,561 (59.5%)     Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   223,044 (8.1%)     No   1,672,295 (61.0%)   Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)   Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)   Missing data     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)   Missing data     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   Clinical - Epidemiological	vaccine	No	831,051 (30.3%)
Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   223,044 (8.1%)     No   1,672,295 (61.0%)   Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)   Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)   No     No-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)   Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)   Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)		Missing data	1,628,561 (59.5%)
to treat the clinical signs   No   1,672,295 (61.0%)     Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     ventilatory support   Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   Clinical	Used antiviral drug	Yes	223,044 (8.1%)
signs   Missing data   844,933 (30.9%)     Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     ventilatory support   Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   Clinical	to treat the clinical	No	1,672,295 (61.0%)
Intensive care unit   Yes   792,754 (28.9%)     No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     ventilatory support   Invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   101,384 (3.7%)	signs	Missing data	844,933 (30.9%)
No   1,504,205 (54.9%)     Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     ventilatory support   Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   101,384 (3.7%)	Intensive care unit	Yes	792,754 (28.9%)
Missing data   443,313 (16.2%)     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)   Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)   Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)     Clinical   101,384 (3.7%)   101,384 (3.7%)   101,384 (3.7%)		No	1,504,205 (54.9%)
Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   420,804 (15.4%)     Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)   101,384 (3.7%)		Missing data	443,313 (16.2%)
ventilatory support   Non-invasive   1,288,755 (47.0%)     Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)     Clinical   101,384 (3.7%)	Mechanical	Invasive	420,804 (15.4%)
Not required   562,117 (20.5%)     Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)     Clinical   101,384 (3.7%)	ventilatory support	Non-invasive	1,288,755 (47.0%)
Missing data   468,596 (17.1%)     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   2,230,816 (81.4%)     Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)     Clinical   101,384 (3.7%)		Not required	562,117 (20.5%)
Closure criterion Laboratorial criterion 2,230,816 (81.4%) Clinical - Epidemiological 32,372 (1.2%) Clinical 101,384 (3.7%)		Missing data	468,596 (17.1%)
Clinical - Epidemiological   32,372 (1.2%)     Clinical   101,384 (3.7%)	Closure criterion	Laboratorial criterion	2,230,816 (81.4%)
Clinical 101,384 (3.7%)		Clinical - Epidemiological	32,372 (1.2%)
		Clinical	101,384 (3.7%)

Patient feature	Category	N (%)
	Clinical - Image exams	103,258 (3.8%)
	Missing data	272,442 (9.9%)
Outcome	Cure	1,577,279 (57.6%)
	Death	701,607 (25.6%)
	Death not related to SARI	30,551 (1.1%)
	Missing data	430,835 (15.7%)
SARI categories	SARI due to Influenza	3,474 (0.1%)
using the Brazilian Minister of Health dofinition	SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection	16,627 (0.6%)
demnition	SARI due to another known etiological agent	6,866 (0.3%)
	SARI due to an undefined etiological agent	896,207 (32.7%)
	SARI due to COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2)	1,817,098 (66.3%)

We presented the data as the number of individuals (N) and percentage (%). SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; y.o., years old.

undefined etiological agent among the Brazilian patients after the description of the first positive individual with SARS-CoV-2 infection in São Paulo state in Brazil.

### **Patient's Features**

In our cohort study, most of the patients with SARI were male (1,495,416; 54.6%) and aged between 25 and 60 years (1,269,398; 46.3%). Also, most of the patients were White (1,105,123; 49.8%), had a high school degree (284,764; 28.7%), lived in an urban area (2,271,009; 94.3%), did not receive the flu vaccine in the last Brazilian campaign (831,051; 74.8%), did not live in a flu outbreak region (655,385; 71.5%), and, most of them also did not use any antiviral drug to treat any clinical signs related to SARI (1,672,295; 88.2%) (**Table 2**).

Most patients with SARI did not need for intensive care unit (1,504,205; 65.5%), whereas 1,288,755 (56.7%) patients needed for non-invasive ventilatory support, 420,804 (18.5%) patients needed for invasive mechanical ventilatory support, and 562,117 (24.7%) patients did not require any mechanical ventilatory support (**Table 2**). A total of 1,577,279 (68.3%) patients were cured, whereas 701,607 (30.4%) patients died due to the SARI progression, and only 30,551 (1.3%) had their deaths not related to SARI. Most of the hospitalizations were due to COVID-19 (1,817,098; 66.3%), followed by SARI due to an undefined etiological agent (896,207; 32.7%) (**Table 2**). We showed the patients' features distribution according to the SARI categories in **Table 3**.

## Bivariate Analysis of the Patients' Features Associated With SARI Due to an Undefined Etiological Agent vs. SARI Due to COVID-19

In our study, female individuals were more likely to be diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent than COVID-19

(Continued)

TABLE 3 | Association between the severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) categories and the features of the hospitalized patients due to SARI in Brazil during the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 pandemic.

Patient's features	Category	SARI due to Influenza virus	SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection	SARI due to another known etiological agent	SARI due to an undefined etiological agent	SARI due to COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2)	Total	Р
Sex	Female	1,699 (48.9%)	7,619 (45.8%)	3,076 (44.8%)	428,319 (47.8%)	804,403 (44.3%)	1,245,116 (45.4%)	<0.001
	Male	1,772 (51.1%)	9,001 (54.2%)	3,790 (55.2%)	467,446 (52.2%)	1,012,407 (55.7%)	1,494,416 (54.6%)	
Age	<1 y.o.	201 (5.8%)	7,322 (44.0%)	258 (3.8%)	44,783 (5.0%)	8,722 (0.5%)	61,286 (2.2%)	<0.001
	1–12 y.o.	692 (19.9%)	5,918 (35.6%)	534 (7.8%)	91,605 (10.2%)	15,265 (0.8%)	114,014 (4.2%)	
	13–24 y.o.	213 (6.1%)	536 (3.2%)	343 (5.0%)	38,550 (4.3%)	35,434 (2.0%)	75,076 (2.7%)	
	25–60 y.o.	1,404 (40.4%)	1,368 (8.2%)	2,401 (35.0%)	320,694 (35.8%)	943,531 (51.9%)	1,269,398 (46.3%)	
	61–72 y.o.	433 (12.5%)	577 (3.5%)	1,347 (19.6%)	167,494 (18.7%)	418,146 (23.0%)	587,997 (21.5%)	
	73–85 y.o.	358 (10.3%)	599 (3.6%)	1,248 (18.2%)	153,703 (17.2%)	284,986 (15.7%)	440,894 (16.1%)	
	+85 y.o.	173 (5.0%)	307 (1.8%)	735 (10.7%)	79,378 (8.9%)	111,014 (6.1%)	191,607 (7.0%)	
Race	White	1,271 (44.4%)	7,223 (54.6%)	3,319 (53.0%)	335,067 (46.4%)	758,243 (51.4%)	1,105,123 (49.8%)	< 0.001
	Black	134 (4.7%)	524 (4.0%)	397 (6.3%)	44,907 (6.2%)	77,542 (5.3%)	123,504 (5.6%)	
	Asian	34 (1.2%)	70 (0.5%)	75 (1.2%)	8,611 (1.2%)	18,024 (1.2%)	26,814 (1.2%)	
	Individuals from a multiracial background	1,422 (49.7%)	5,353 (40.4%)	2,453 (39.2%)	331,031 (45.9%)	617,238 (41.9%)	957,497 (43.2%)	
	Indigenous peoples	3 (0.1%)	70 (0.5%)	21 (0.3%)	1,912 (0.3%)	3,575 (0.2%)	5,581 (0.3%)	
Educational level	Illiterate	147 (8.7%)	1,506 (15.8%)	395 (11.4%)	35,962 (11.2%)	40,708 (6.2%)	78,718 (7.9%)	<0.001
	1 <sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle	344 (20.5%)	607 (6.4%)	1,083 (31.2%)	87,618 (27.3%)	169,027 (25.8%)	258,679 (26.1%)	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle	190 (11.3%)	343 (3.6%)	822 (23.7%)	48,417 (15.1%)	120,966 (18.5%)	170,738 (17.2%)	
	High school	352 (20.9%)	349 (3.7%)	681 (19.6%)	68,191 (21.3%)	215,191 (32.8%)	284,764 (28.7%)	
	University education	249 (14.8%)	163 (1.7%)	213 (6.1%)	25,431 (7.9%)	99,835 (15.2%)	125,891 (12.7%)	
	Not applicable	399 (23.7%)	6,534 (68.8%)	280 (8.1%)	55,000 (17.2%)	9,588 (1.5%)	71,801 (7.2%)	
Place of residence	Urban	2,973 (93.3%)	13,895 (92.9%)	5,584 (90.8%)	731,535 (93.5%)	1,517,022 (94.7%)	2,271,009 (94.3%)	< 0.001
	Rural	202 (6.3%)	547 (3.7%)	517 (8.4%)	46,709 (6.0%)	78,856 (4.9%)	126,831 (5.3%)	
	Peri-urban	12 (0.4%)	514 (3.4%)	47 (0.8%)	3,852 (0.5%)	5,627 (0.4%)	10,052 (0.4%)	
Living in a Flu	Yes	292 (17.2%)	880 (19.5%)	488 (18.0%)	91,417 (25.5%)	168,392 (30.7%)	261,469 (28.5%)	< 0.001
outbreak region	No	1,406 (82.8%)	363 (80.5%)	2,219 (82.0%)	267,646 (74.5%)	380,482 (69.3%)	655,385 (71.5%)	
Received Flu	Yes	487 (29.2%)	1,284 (22.7%)	580 (25.3%)	100,584 (28.5%)	177,725 (23.7%)	280,660 (25.2%)	<0.001
vaccine	No	1,182 (70.8%)	4,362 (77.3%)	1,708 (74.7%)	251,894 (71.5%)	571,905 (76.3%)	831,051 (74.8%)	
Used antiviral drug	Yes	1,450 (52.9%)	2,208 (15.1%)	503 (9.6%)	88,925 (14.4%)	129,958 (10.3%)	223,044 (11.8%)	<0.001
to treat the clinical signs	No	1,291 (47.1%)	12,455 (84.9%)	4,730 (90.4%)	527,242 (85.6%)	1,126,577 (89.7%)	1,672,295 (88.2%)	
Intensive care unit	Yes	974 (33.6%)	4,417 (28.2%)	1,848 (31.3%)	199,736 (28.1%)	585,779 (37.5%)	792,754 (34.5%)	<0.001
	No	1,926 (66.4%)	11,249 (71.8%)	4,052 (68.7%)	511,504 (71.9%)	975,474 (62.5%)	1,504,205 (65.5%)	
Mechanical	Invasive	436 (14.9%)	1,542 (9.9%)	1,110 (18.4%)	96,416 (13.7%)	321,300 (20.8%)	420,804 (18.5 %)	< 0.001
ventilatory support	Non-Invasive	1,219 (41.6%)	8,878 (57.0%)	3,406 (56.6%)	367,104 (52.1%)	908,148 (58.8%)	1,288,755 (56.7%)	
	Not required	1,273 (43.5%)	5,159 (33.1%)	1,502 (25.0%)	240,438 (34.2%)	313,745 (20.3%)	562,117 (24.7%)	

(Continued)

Patient's features	category	SAHI due to Influenza virus	respiratory viruses' infection	another known etiological agent	undefined etiological agent	COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2)		
Closure criterion	Laboratorial criterion Clinical - Epidemiological	2,990 (90.3%) 51 (1.5%)	16,214 (99.0%) 22 (0.1 <i>%</i> )	5,370 (83.6%) 181 (2.8%)	598,654 (88.8%) 13,021 (1.9%)	1,607,588 (90.9%) 19,097 (1.1%)	2,230,816 (90.4%) 32,372 (1.3%)	<0.001
	Clinical Clinical - Image avame	214 (6.5%) 55 (1 702)	136 (0.8%)	509 (7.9%) 366 (F. 7%)	57.021 (8.5%) 5.001 (0.8%)	43.504 (2.5%) 07 620 (5.5%)	101,384 (4.1%)	
Outcome	Cure - Intage exampts	2,662 (85.2%)	+ (0.0.%) 14,436 (94.8%)	4,272 (68.1%)	478,039 (76.1%)	1,077,870 (65.1%)	1,577,279 (68.3%)	<0.001
	Death	444 (14.2%)	696 (4.6%)	1,339 (21.3%)	124,241 (19.8%)	574,887 (34.7%)	701,607 (30.4%)	
	Death not related to SARI	19 (0.6%)	102 (0.7%)	664 (10.6%)	25,829 (4.1%)	3,937 (0.2%)	30,551 (1.3%)	

Sansone et al

SARI During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Brazil

(OR: 1.153; 95%CI: 1.147–1.159) (**Supplementary Table 3**). In the same way, younger individuals were also more likely to be diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent than COVID-19, which is aged <1 y.o. (OR: 15.1; 95%CI: 14.76–15.46), between 1 and 12 y.o. (OR: 17.6; 95%CI: 17.35–17.97) and 13–24 y.o. (OR: 3.201; 95%CI: 3.153–3.249), were at increased risk when compared to individuals between 25 and 60 y.o. (**Supplementary Table 3**). Even though older individuals, those aged between 61 and 72 y.o. (OR: 1.179; 95%CI: 1.17–1.187), 73–85 y.o. (OR: 1.587; 95%CI: 1.575–1.599), and +85 y.o. (OR: 2.104; 95%CI: 2.083–2.125) (**Supplementary Table 3**) also presented an enhanced chance of being diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent when compared to COVID-19.

Most of the individuals from the neglected races such as Black (OR: 1.311; 95%CI: 1.285-1.327), Asian (OR: 1.081; 95%CI: 1.053-1.11), individuals with a multiracial background (OR: 1.214; 95%CI: 1.207-1.221), and Indigenous peoples (OR: 1.21; 95%CI: 1.145-1.28), were more likely to be diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent than COVID-19 when compared to White individuals (Supplementary Table 3). In the same way, individuals with decreased educational levels also presented a higher chance of being diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent. For instance, the illiterate presented a 2.5-fold increase (OR: 3.468; 95%CI: 3.4-3.537), and those with only the 1<sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle presented a 1-fold-increase (OR: 2.035; 95%CI: 2.003-2.068), those with the 2<sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle presented a 0.6-fold-increase (OR: 1.571; 95%CI: 1.544-1.599), and those with a high school diploma presented a 0.2-fold-increase (OR: 1.244; 95%CI: 1.224-1.264) when compared to those who finished a college (Supplementary Table 3).

Individuals with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent were less likely to need invasive (OR: 0.392; 95%CI: 0.388–0.395) and non-invasive (OR: 0.528; 95%CI: 0.524–0.531) mechanical ventilatory support when compared to patients with COVID-19. Individuals with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent were also less likely to need an intensive care unit (OR: 0.65; 95%CI: 0.646–0.654) and die (OR: 0.487; 95%CI: 0.484–0.491) when compared to the patients with COVID-19. However, they presented a higher chance of death not related to SARI (OR: 14.79; 95%CI: 14.3–15.3) when compared to patients with COVID-19 (**Supplementary Table 3**).

We summarized the patients' features from the bivariate analysis in **Supplementary Table 3**. We showed the ORs and 95%CI in **Figure 2**.

## Bivariate Analysis of the Patients' Features Associated With SARI Due to an Undefined Etiological Agent vs. Other SARI Patients

Female individuals were more likely to be diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent than other SARI patients (OR: 1.152; 95%CI: 1.146–1.158) (**Supplementary Table 3**). In the same way, younger individuals [aged <1 y.o. (OR: 8.028; 95%CI: 7.882–8.176), between 1 and 12 y.o. (OR: 12.09; 95%CI: 11.91–12.28), and 13–24 y.o. (OR: 3.122; 95%CI: 3.076–3.169)] when compared to individuals aged between 25 and

Frontiers in Microbiology | www.frontiersin.org

TABLE 3 | Continued

SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; y.o., years old.



FIGURE 2 | We demonstrated the result of bivariate analysis to identify the patients' features associated with severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) diagnosis. We compared the patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. COVID-19. We demonstrated the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI). SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; y.o., years old. \*Reference group.

60 y.o. were more likely to be diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent than other SARI patients (**Supplementary Table 3**). Even though older individuals, those aged between 61 and 72 y.o. (OR: 1.178; 95%CI: 1.17–1.187), 73– 85 y.o. (OR: 1.583; 95%CI: 1.572–1.595), and +85 y.o. (OR: 2.092; 95%CI: 2.072–2.113) (**Supplementary Table 3**) also presented an enhanced chance of being diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent when compared to other SARI patients aged between 25 and 60 y.o.

Individuals with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent were less likely to need both invasive (OR: 0.398; 95%CI: 0.394–0.401) and non-invasive (OR: 0.533; 95%CI: 0.529–0.536) mechanical ventilatory support when compared to other SARI patients. Individuals with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent were less likely to need an intensive care unit (OR: 0.654; 95%CI: 0.65–0.658) and die due to SARI progression (OR: 0.495; 95%CI: 0.491–0.498) when compared to patients with COVID-19. However, they also presented a higher chance of death not related to SARI (OR: 12.58; 95%CI: 12.19– 12.98) (**Supplementary Table 3**) when compared with patients with COVID-19.

Most of the individuals from the neglected races such as Black (OR: 1.313; 95%CI: 1.297–1.329), individuals with a multiracial background (OR: 1.214; 95%CI: 1.207-1.222), and Indigenous peoples (OR: 1.198; 95%CI: 1.133-1.266), were more likely to be diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent than other SARI when compared to White individuals (Supplementary Table 3). In the same way, individuals with decreased educational levels also presented a higher chance of being diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent. For instance, the illiterate presented a 2.3-fold increase (OR: 3.323; 95%CI: 3.258-3.389), and those with only the 1<sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle presented a 1-fold-increase (OR: 2.023; 95%CI: 1.991-2.056), those with the 2nd fundamental cycle presented a 0.6-fold-increase (OR: 1.564; 95%CI: 1.537-1.591), and those with a high school diploma presented a 0.2-foldincrease (OR: 1.244; 95%CI: 1.224-1.264) when compared to those who finished a college (Supplementary Table 3).

We summarized the patients' features from the bivariate analysis in **Supplementary Table 3**. We showed the ORs and 95%CI presented in **Figure 3**.

## **Multivariate Analysis**

We performed the multivariate analysis using binary logistic regression to determine whether the patients' features could categorize the individuals according to SARI groups. We compared the patients for (primary analysis) SARS-CoV-2 infection vs. SARI due to an undefined etiological agent and (secondary analysis) SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. another SARI group. We built two models for each analysis, including the following patient features: (model 1) sex, age, race, educational level, place of residence, whether the patients live in a flu outbreak region, Flu vaccine status during the last vaccination campaign, treatment for SARI symptoms with an antiviral drug, need for intensive care unit, closure criteria, and outcome; (model 2) sex, age, race, educational level, place of residence, whether the patients live in a flu outbreak region, and Flu vaccine

status during the last vaccination campaign. We showed the complete information in **Table 4**, **Supplementary Table 4**, and **Supplementary Table 5**. In addition, we did a third analysis to describe the main predictors of death in patients with SARI (**Table 5**).

## Multivariate Analysis of SARI Patients With an Undefined Etiological Agent vs. Patients With COVID-19

The first model containing the selected markers was significant in differentiating the SARI due to an undefined agent from patients with COVID-19 [X<sup>2</sup>: 31,250.682; *P* < 0.001; Nagelkerke's R<sup>2</sup>: 0.213]. Among the patients' features, the following were significant to classify SARI due to an undefined etiological agent and COVID-19 diagnosis: female (OR: 1.116; 95%CI: 1.093-1.139), age, that is, those aged <1 y.o. (OR: 7.556; 95%CI: 6.557-8.709), those aged between 1 and 12 y.o. (OR: 9.159; 95%CI: 8.448-9.923), 13-24 y.o. (OR: 3.571; 95%CI: 3.379-3.774), 73-85 y.o, (OR: 1.438; 95%CI: 1.392-1.485), and +85 y.o. (OR: 2.091; 95%CI: 2.002-2.183), Black individuals (OR: 1.224; 95%CI: 1.172-1.279), illiterate (OR: 2.186; 95%CI: 2.077-2.301), 1<sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.858; 95%CI: 1.788–1.93), 2<sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.605; 95%CI: 1.543-1.671), high school (OR: 1.246; 95%CI: 1.201-1.394), living in a rural area (OR: 1.257; 95%CI: 1.202-1.314), to use an antiviral drug to treat clinical signs (OR: 1.376; 95%CI: 1.341-1.412), clinical - epidemiological closure criterion (OR: 1.277; 95%CI: 1.136-1.434), clinical closure criterion (OR: 4.307; 95%CI: 4.002-4.635), and death not related to SARI (OR: 14.35; 95%CI: 12.595-16.439). All the patients' features and the reference groups were summarized in Table 4.

In the second model, the following patients' features were significant to classify SARI due to an undefined agent and COVID-19 diagnosis [X<sup>2</sup>: 21,229.12; P < 0.001; Nagelkerke's R<sup>2</sup>: 0.117]: female (OR: 1.13; 95%CI: 1.11-1.15), age, that is, those aged <1 y.o. (OR: 6.603; 95%CI: 5.833-7.474), those aged between 1 and 12 y.o. (OR: 7.782; 95%CI: 7.265-8.334), 13-24 v.o. (OR: 2.861; 95%CI: 2.736-2.993), 73-85 y.o. (OR: 1.104; 95%CI: 1.076-1.134), and +85 y.o. (OR: 1.438; 95%CI: 1.387-1.49), Black individuals (OR: 1.218; 95%CI: 1.175-1.264), illiterate (OR: 2.018; 95%CI: 1.935-2.104), 1st fundamental cycle (OR: 1.707; 95%CI: 1.654-1.761), 2<sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.426; 95%CI: 1.380-1.474), high school (OR: 1.167; 95%CI: 1.132-1.204), living in a rural area (OR: 1.27; 95%CI: 1.225-1.317), and received Flu vaccine (OR: 1.021; 95%CI: 1.002-1.04). All the patients' features and the reference groups are summarized in Table 4.

## Multivariate Analysis of Patients With SARI Due to an Undefined Etiological Agent vs. Patients With Other Causes of SARI

The first model containing the selected markers was significant in differentiating the patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent from the patients with other causes of SARI [X<sup>2</sup>: 28,125.350; P < 0.001; Nagelkerke's R<sup>2</sup>: 0.191]. Among the patients' features, the following were significant to classify



FIGURE 3 | We demonstrated the result of bivariate analysis to identify the patients' features associated with severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) diagnosis. We compared the patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. other causes. The other causes included SARI due to Influenza virus infection, SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection, SARI due to other known etiological agents, and SARI due to SARS-CoV-2 infection (patients with COVID-19). We demonstrated the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI). SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; y.o., years old. \*Reference group.

TABLE 4 | Multivariate analysis using Binary Logistic Regression for the association between the severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) categories and the features of the hospitalized patients due to SARI in Brazil during the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 pandemic.

Patients' features	Patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. patients with COVID-19				Patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. patients with other causes of SARI			
	Р	OR	95% CI	for OR	Р	OR	95% CI	for OR
			Lower	Upper	_		Lower	Upper
Model 1. Included social and demographic c	lata as well as ho	ospitalization inf	ormation <sup>a</sup>					
Sex (female)	< 0.001	1.116	1.093	1.139	< 0.001	1.112	1.089	1.135
Age								
<1 y.o.	< 0.001	7.556	6.557	8.709	< 0.001	5.123	4.557	5.759
1–12 у.о.	< 0.001	9.156	8.448	9.923	< 0.001	6.563	6.113	7.046
13–24 y.o.	< 0.001	3.571	3.379	3.774	< 0.001	3.401	3.221	3.591
25–60 y.o.	< 0.001				< 0.001			
61–72 y.o.	0.088	1.025	0.996	1.055	0.070	1.027	0.998	1.056
73–85 y.o.	<0.001	1.438	1.392	1.485	< 0.001	1.435	1.390	1.481
+85 y.o.	< 0.001	2.091	2.002	2.183	< 0.001	2.070	1.983	2.161
Race								
White	< 0.001				< 0.001			
Black	< 0.001	1.224	1.172	1.279	<0.001	1.223	1.172	1.277
Asian	0.888	1.008	0.908	1.118	0.861	1.009	0.910	1.119
Individuals from a multiracial background	< 0.001	0.939	0.918	0.961	<0.001	0.938	0.917	0.960
Indigenous peoples	< 0.001	0.333	0.276	0.402	<0.001	0.372	0.310	0.446
Educational level								
Illiterate	< 0.001	2.186	2.077	2.301	<0.001	2.161	2.054	2.273
1 <sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle	< 0.001	1.858	1.788	1.930	<0.001	1.855	1.786	1.927
2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle	< 0.001	1.605	1.543	1.671	<0.001	1.606	1.544	1.671
High school	< 0.001				<0.001			
High school	< 0.001	1.246	1.201	1.294	< 0.001	1.252	1.206	1.299
Not applicable	<0.001	2.151	1.915	2.417	< 0.001	1.660	1.504	1.833
Place of residence								
Urban	<0.001				< 0.001			
Bural	< 0.001	1.257	1.202	1.314	< 0.001	1.261	1.207	1.318
Peri-urban	0.200	1.126	0.939	1.349	0.106	1,155	0.970	1.374
Living in a Flu outbreak region	< 0.001	0.706	0.688	0.724	< 0.001	0.720	0.702	0.738
Beceived Flu vaccine	< 0.001	0.931	0.911	0.952	< 0.001	0.937	0.917	0.958
Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs	< 0.001	1.376	1.341	1.412	< 0.001	1.312	1.280	1.346
Need for intensive care unit	< 0.001	0.879	0.857	0.902	< 0.001	0.875	0.853	0.898
Closure criterion								
l aboratorial criterion	< 0.001				< 0.001			
Clinical – Epidemiological	< 0.001	1 277	1 136	1 434	< 0.001	1 307	1 165	1 467
Clinical	< 0.001	4 307	4 002	4 635	< 0.001	4 252	3 956	4 570
Clinical – Image exams	< 0.001	0.108	0.094	0 125	< 0.001	0.112	0.097	0.128
Outcome	<0.001	0.100	0.001	0.120	<0.001	0.112	0.007	0.120
Clinical cure	<0.001				<0.001			
Death	< 0.001	0 445	0 433	0 458	< 0.001	0 452	0 439	0 465
Death not related to SARI	<0.001	14.350	12 595	16.349	<0.001	12.546	11 099	14 182
Constant	<0.001	0.339	12.000	10.010	<0.001	0.336	11.000	11.102
Model 2. Included social and demographic of	lata <sup>b</sup>	0.000			~0.001	0.000		
Sex (female)	~0.001	1 130	1 110	1 150	<0.001	1 126	1 107	1 146
Age	~0.001	1.100	1.110	1.100	<0.001	1.120	1.107	1.140
<1 v 0	~0.001	6 603	5 833	7 474	<0.001	4 766	4 295	5 280
1–12 vo	~0.001	7 780	7 265	8 221	~0.001	6.007	5.6/6	6 200
1 12 y.U.	<0.00T	1.102	1.200	0.004	<0.001	0.007	0.040	0.090

(Continued)

#### TABLE 4 | Continued

Patients' features	Patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent vs. patients with COVID-19				al Patients with SARI due to an undefined etiol agent vs. patients with other causes of Sa		etiological of SARI	
	Р	OR	95% CI	for OR	Р	OR	95% CI	for OR
			Lower	Upper	_		Lower	Upper
13–24 y.o.	<0.001	2.861	2.736	2.993	<0.001	2.773	2.652	2.899
25–60 y.o.	< 0.001				< 0.001			
61–72 y.o.	<0.001	0.893	0.872	0.914	<0.001	0.896	0.875	0.918
73–85 y.o.	<0.001	1.104	1.076	1.134	<0.001	1.109	1.080	1.139
+85 y.o.	<0.001	1.438	1.387	1.490	<0.001	1.438	1.388	1.491
Race								
White	< 0.001				< 0.001			
Black	< 0.001	1.218	1.175	1.264	< 0.001	1.217	1.174	1.262
Asian	0.622	0.979	0.900	1.065	0.640	0.980	0.901	1.066
Individuals from a multiracial background	< 0.001	0.955	0.937	0.973	< 0.001	0.957	0.940	0.975
Indigenous peoples	< 0.001	0.368	0.317	0.429	< 0.001	0.403	0.348	0.467
Educational level								
Illiterate	< 0.001	2.018	1.935	2.104	< 0.001	1.997	1.915	2.082
1 <sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle	< 0.001	1.707	1.654	1.761	< 0.001	1.702	1.649	1.756
2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle	<0.001	1.426	1.380	1.474	< 0.001	1.425	1.379	1.473
High school	< 0.001	1.167	1.132	1.204	< 0.001	1.170	1.135	1.206
University education	< 0.001				< 0.001			
Not applicable	<0.001	2.156	1.950	2.384	< 0.001	1.669	1.530	1.820
Place of residence								
Urban	< 0.001				< 0.001			
Rural	< 0.001	1.270	1.225	1.317	< 0.001	1.276	1.231	1.322
Peri-urban	0.156	1.116	0.959	1.298	0.105	1.130	0.975	1.309
Living in a Flu outbreak region	< 0.001	0.755	0.739	0.770	< 0.001	0.766	0.750	0.781
Received Flu vaccine	0.028	1.021	1.002	1.040	0.018	1.023	1.004	1.042
Constant	< 0.001	0.400			<0.001	0.394		

OR, odds ratio; 95%Cl, 95% confidence interval; y.o., years. old.

We adopted an alpha error of 0.05. The other causes included SARI due to Influenzae virus infection, SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection, SARI due to other known etiological agents, and SARI due to SARS-CoV-2 infection (patients with COVID-19).

<sup>a</sup> The statistical analysis included the following patients' features: sex, age, race, educational level, place of residence, residence in a Flu outbreak region, Flu vaccine status during the last vaccination campaign, treatment for SARI symptoms with an antiviral drug, need for intensive care unit, closure criteria, and outcome.

<sup>b</sup>The statistical analysis included the following patients' features: sex, age, race, educational level, place of residence, residence in a Flu outbreak region, and Flu vaccine status during the last vaccination campaign.

SARI due to an undefined etiological agent and other causes of SARI: female (OR: 1.112; 95%CI: 1.089–1.135), age, that is, those aged <1 y.o. (OR: 5.123; 95%CI: 4.557–5.759), those aged between 1 and 12 y.o. (OR: 6.563; 95%CI: 6.113–7.046), 13–24 y.o. (OR: 3.401; 95%CI: 3.221–3.591), 73–85 y.o. (OR: 1.435; 95%CI: 1.39–1.481), and +85 y.o. (OR: 2.07; 95%CI: 1.983–2.161), Black individuals (OR: 1.223; 95%CI: 1.172–1.277), illiterate (OR: 2.161; 95%CI: 2.054–2.273), 1<sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.855; 95%CI: 1.544–1.671), high school (OR: 1.252; 95%CI: 1.207–1.318), to use antiviral drug to treat clinical signs (OR: 1.312; 95%CI: 1.28–1.346), clinical – epidemiological closure criterion (OR: 1.307; 95%CI: 1.165–1.467), clinical closure criterion (OR: 4.252; 95%CI: 3.956–4.57), and death not related to SARI (OR:

12.546; 95%CI: 11.099–14.182). We summarized the patients' features and the reference groups in **Table 4**.

In the second model, the following patients' features were significant to classify SARI with an undefined etiological agent and other causes of SARI [X<sup>2</sup>: 18,271.422; P < 0.001; Nagelkerke's R<sup>2</sup>: 0.100]: female (OR: 1.126; 95%CI: 1.107–1.146), age, that is, those aged <1 y.o. (OR: 4.766; 95%CI: 4.295–5.289), those aged between 1 and 12 y.o. (OR: 6.007; 95%CI: 5.646–6.39), 13–24 y.o. (OR: 2.773; 95%CI: 2.652–2.899), 73–85 y.o. (OR: 1.109; 95%CI: 1.08–1.139), and +85 y.o. (OR: 1.438; 95%CI: 1.388–1.491), Black individuals (OR: 1.217; 95%CI: 1.174–1.262), illiterate (OR: 1.997; 95%CI: 1.915–2.082), 1<sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.702; 95%CI: 1.649–1.756), 2<sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.425; 95%CI: 1.379–1.473), high school (OR: 1.17; 95%CI: 1.135–1.206), living in a rural area (OR: 1.276; 95%CI: 1.231–1.322), and received

TABLE 5 | Multivariate analysis using Binary Logistic Regression to predict the chance of death and the features of the hospitalized patients due to severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) in Brazil during the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 pandemic.

Patients' features	Р	OR	95%	CI for OR
			Lower	Upper
Sex (Female)	<0.001	0.815	0.794	0.838
Age				
<1 y.o.	<0.001	0.184	0.135	0.250
1–12 у.о.	<0.001	0.121	0.098	0.149
13–24 y.o.	<0.001	0.479	0.425	0.541
25–60 y.o.	<0.001			
61–72 y.o.	<0.001	2.538	2.450	2.629
73–85 y.o.	<0.001	4.183	4.025	4.347
+85 y.o.	<0.001	7.343	6.986	7.718
Race				
White	<0.001			
Black	<0.001	1.310	1.238	1.387
Asian	0.161	1.100	0.963	1.257
Individuals from a multiracial background	<0.001	1.389	1.349	1.430
Indigenous peoples	<0.001	1.988	1.623	2.436
Educational level				
Illiterate	<0.001	2.191	2.054	2.336
1 <sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle	<0.001	1.901	1.807	2.000
2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle	<0.001	1.652	1.566	1.743
High school	<0.001	1.365	1.297	1.437
University education	<0.001			
Not applicable	<0.001	2.590	1.970	3.404
Living in a Flu outbreak region	<0.001	1.135	1.100	1.171
Received Flu vaccine	<0.001	0.748	0.727	0.769
Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs	<0.001	0.912	0.882	0.944
Need for intensive care unit	<0.001	2.561	2.484	2.640
Mechanical ventilatory support				
Invasive	<0.001	12.151	11.606	12.722
Non-invasive	<0.001	1.865	1.802	1.930
Not required	<0.001			
SARI categorizes				
SARI due to Influenza	0.001	0.541	0.380	0.770
SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection	<0.001	0.278	0.201	0.384
SARI due to another known etiological agent	<0.001	0.614	0.473	0.799
SARI due to an undefined etiological agent	<0.001	0.463	0.449	0.478
SARI due to COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2)	<0.001			
Constant	<0.001	0.045		

SE, standard error; df, degrees of freedom; OR, odds ratio; 95%Cl, 95% confidence interval; y.o., years old.

We adopted an alpha error of 0.05.

Flu vaccine (OR: 1.023; 95%CI: 1.004–1.042). All the patients' features and the reference groups are summarized in **Table 4**.

# Multivariate Analysis to Predict the Chance of Death in Hospitalized Patients Due to SARI

The model containing the selected markers was significant in predicting the death in hospitalized patients with SARI in Brazil [X<sup>2</sup>: 57,779.281; P < 0.001; Nagelkerke's R<sup>2</sup>: 0.41]. Among the patients' features, the following were significant in predicting

death among hospitalized patients due to SARI: age, those with 61–72 y.o. (OR: 2.53; 95%CI: 2.45–2.629), 73–85 y.o. (OR: 4.183; 95%CI: 4.025–4.347), and +85 y.o. (OR: 7.343; 95%CI: 6.989–7.718), Black individuals (OR: 1.31; 95%CI: 1.238–1.387), individuals with a multiracial background (OR: 1.389; 95%CI: 1.349–1.43), Indigenous peoples (OR: 1.988; 95%CI: 1.623–2.436), illiterate (OR: 2.191; 95%CI: 2.054–2.336), 1<sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.901; 95%CI: 1.807–2), 2<sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle (OR: 1.652; 95%CI: 1.566–1.743), high school (OR: 1.365;

95%CI: 1.297–1.437), living in a flu outbreak region (OR: 1.135; 95%CI: 1.1–1.171), need for intensive care unit (OR: 2.561; 95%CI: 2.484–2.64), need for invasive mechanical ventilatory support (OR: 12.151; 95%CI: 11.606–12.722), and non-invasive mechanical ventilatory support (OR: 1.865; 95%CI: 1.802–1.93). Several patients' features [e.g., female sex, younger age, patients who received a flu shot, the use of antiviral drugs, SARI due to Influenza, SARI due to other respiratory infections, SARI due to OEAs (known), and SARI due to an undefined agent] were more frequent in the group of patients who recovered. We summarized the patients' features and the reference groups in **Table 5**.

## Association Between Features of the Hospitalized Patients Due to SARI in Brazil During the COVID-19 Pandemic According to the Year of Data Collection

In our study, we compared the patients' features according to the year of data collection for two study populations: (i) first analysis: all patients enrolled in the study; (ii) second analysis: only patients with a positive result in the SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR. For the first analysis, we observed in 2020 a higher proportion of female patients (OR: 1.032; 95%CI: 1.027-1.037) than males; younger [<1 y.o. (OR: 1.025; 95%CI: 1.008-1.042), 1-12 y.o. (OR: 1.375; 95%CI: 1.359-1.392), and 13-24 y.o. (OR: 1.634; 95%CI: 1.61-1.658)] and older [61-72 y.o. (OR: 1.294; 95%CI: 1.286-1.302), 73-85 y.o. (OR: 1.547; 95%CI: 1.537-1.558), and +85 y.o. (OR: 1.757; 95%CI: 1.74-1.774) patients when compared to patients aged between 25 and 60 y.o.; Black (OR: 1.281; 95%CI: 1.266-1.297), Asian (OR: 1.261; 95%CI: 1.23-1.292), individuals with multiracial background (OR: 1.084; 95%CI: 1.078-1.09), and Indigenous peoples (OR: 1.928; 95%CI: 1.829-2.033) when compared with White ones; low educational level [illiterate (OR: 1.12; 95%CI: 1.1-1.14) and 1st fundamental cycle (OR: 1.052; 95%CI: 1.038-1.067)]; and deaths not relate to SARI (OR: 1.139; 95%CI: 1.114-1.165) when compared to 2021 year. Also, we described a higher proportion of patients who received the flu vaccine in the last Brazilian campaign (OR: 1.971; 95%CI: 1.954-1.988), and that used an antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs (OR: 6.72; 95%CI: 6.646-6.795) in 2020 than 2021. In addition, the patients selected from 2020 were more prone to be classified as SARI due to Influenza (OR: 3.965; 95%CI: 3.688-4.262), SARI due to OEAs (OR: 1.406; 95%CI: 1.34-1.474), and SARI due to an undefined etiological agent (OR: 1.901; 95%CI: 1.891-1.911) (Table 6) when compared to patient selected from 2021.

We also compared the patients' features according to the year of data collection for patients with a positive result in the SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR, and we observed in 2020 a higher proportion of female patients (OR: 1.007; 95%CI: 1.001–1.013) when compared to males; younger [<1 y.o. (OR: 1.706; 95%CI: 1.635–1.78), 1–12 y.o. (OR: 1.893; 95%CI: 1.833–1.955), and 13–24 y.o. (OR: 1.447; 95%CI: 1.416–1.479)] and older [61–72 y.o. (OR: 1.359; 95%CI: 1.348–1.369), 73–85 y.o. (OR: 1.632; 95%CI: 1.618–1.646), and +85 y.o. (OR: 1.828; 95%CI: 1.805–1.851)] patients when compared to patients aged between 25 and 60 y.o.; Black (OR: 1.354; 95%CI: 1.334–1.375), Asian (OR: 1.367; 95%CI: 1.326–1.409), individuals with multiracial background

(OR: 1.191; 95%CI: 1.182–1.199), and Indigenous peoples (OR: 2.706; 95%CI: 2.532–2.892) when compared with White ones; low educational level [illiterate (OR: 1.183; 95%CI: 1.156–1.211)]; and deaths not related to SARI (OR: 1.471; 95%CI: 1.381–1.566) when compared to 2021. Also, we described a higher proportion of patients who received the flu vaccine in the last Brazilian campaign (OR: 2.048; 95%CI: 2.026–2.07), and that used an antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs (OR: 6.965; 95%CI: 6.871–7.061) in 2020 than 2021. The data was summarized in the Table 7.

## DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first one to evaluate and compare the epidemiological features of five distinct groups, namely individuals with SARI due to Influenza virus infection, SARI due to other respiratory viruses' infection, SARI due to OEAs (known), SARI due to COVID-19 and SARI due to an undefined etiological agent, in Brazil and worldwide. Interestingly, our results showed that several epidemiological features were associated with an increased risk of being diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent, which might be due to, at least in part, the poor testing policy for SARS-CoV-2 in Brazil. Brazil only accounts for 304.64 tests per 1,000 inhabitants, behind countries such as the USA (1,989.7 tests per 1,000 inhabitants), Argentina (571.21 tests per 1,000 inhabitants), and Chile (1,319.51 per 1,000 inhabitants) (Ritchie et al., 2020). Brazil faces an intense underreporting of COVID-19 cases and deaths, as reported in the literature (do Prado et al., 2020; Veiga e Silva et al., 2020; Albani et al., 2021; Carvalho et al., 2021). Interestingly, a study showed an increase in the notifications of SARI death, ranging from 553 to 6,991%, in several Brazilian capitals in 2020, when compared to previous years (Veiga e Silva et al., 2020). In this context, most of the deaths notified as SARI only might be named as COVID-19 ones as death causes.

The authors did not wholly elucidate the mechanisms associated with "preference" for the male sex of the SARS-CoV-2, and the literature demonstrated conflicting results. However, the male sex seems to be at increased death and need for intensive care unit (Grasselli et al., 2020; Gupta S. et al., 2020; Peckham et al., 2020; Jun et al., 2021; Pijls et al., 2021), perhaps due to underlying comorbidities, hormonal factors, or even differences between immune systems (La Vignera et al., 2020; Maleki Dana et al., 2020; Scully et al., 2020; Sharma et al., 2020; Jun et al., 2021). Also, women are more worried about their health and visit health care units more frequently (NCHS Pressroom, 2019; PNS), especially in Brazil, in which 82.3% of the women had at least one consult with a doctor per year, while only 69.4% of the men had a consult (PNS). In our study, the female sex had an enhanced chance of being diagnosed with SARI with an undefined etiological agent; perhaps this might be due to low SARS-CoV-2 testing in this sex, which might have led to testing more of those at higher risk of death, which as the male sex.

Regarding age, the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in children was lower when compared to adults, and most of the infected

TABLE 6 | Association between features of the hospitalized patients due to severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) in Brazil during the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 pandemic according to the collection period.

Patient's features	Category	2020*	2021*	Total	P	OR	95%CI
Sex	Female	524,388 (45.9%)	720,728 (45.1%)	1,245,116 (45.4%)	<0.001	1.032	1.027-1.037
	Male	617,832 (54.1%)	876,584 (54.9%)	1,494,416 (54.6%)		1	Reference
Age	<1 y.o.	23,048 (2.0%)	38,238 (2.4%)	61,286 (2.2%)	0.004	1.025	1.008-1.042
	1–12 y.o.	50,992 (4.5%)	63,022 (3.9%)	114,014 (4.2%)	< 0.001	1.375	1.359–1.392
	13–24 y.o.	36,796 (3.2%)	38,280 (2.4%)	75,076 (2.7%)	< 0.001	1.634	1.610–1.658
	25–60 y.o.	470,160 (41.1%)	799,238 (50.0%)	1,269,398 (46.3%)		1	Reference
	61–72 y.o.	254,149 (22.2%)	333,848 (20.9%)	587,997 (21.5%)	< 0.001	1.294	1.286-1.302
	73–85 y.o.	210,092 (18.4%)	230,802 (14.4%)	440,894 (16.1%)	< 0.001	1.547	1.537-1.558
	+85 y.o.	97,382 (8.5%)	94,225 (5.9%)	191,607 (7.0%)	< 0.001	1.757	1.740–1.774
Race	White	434,573 (48.2%)	670,550 (50.9%)	1,105,123 (49.8%)		1	Reference
	Black	56,032 (6.2%)	67,472 (5.1%)	123,504 (5.6%)	< 0.001	1.281	1.266-1.297
	Asian	12,057 (1.3%)	14,757 (1.1%)	26,814 (1.2%)	< 0.001	1.261	1.230-1.292
	Individuals from a multiracial background	395,041 (43.9%)	562,456 (42.7%)	957,497 (43.2%)	<0.001	1.084	1.078–1.090
	Indigenous peoples	3,100 (0.3%)	2,481 (0.2%)	5,581 (0.3%)	<0.001	1.928	1.829–2.033
Educational level	Illiterate	36,341 (8.5%)	42,377 (7.5%)	78,718 (7.9%)	< 0.001	1.120	1.100-1.140
	1 <sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle	115,419 (27.0%)	143,260 (25.5%)	258,679 (26.1%)	< 0.001	1.052	1.038-1.067
	2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle	72,134 (16.8%)	98,604 (17.5%)	170,738 (17.2%)	< 0.001	0.955	0.941-0.970
	High school	115,525 (27.0%)	169,239 (30.1%)	284,764 (28.7%)	< 0.001	0.892	0.880-0.904
	University education	54,593 (12.8%)	71,298 (12.7%)	125,891 (12.7%)		1	Reference
	Not applicable	34,101 (8.0%)	37,700 (6.7%)	71,801 (7.2%)		-	-
Place of residence	Urban	959,510 (94.6%)	1,311,499 (94.1%)	2,271,009 (94.3%)		1	Reference
	Rural	50,545 (5.0%)	76,286 (5.5%)	126,831 (5.3%)	< 0.001	0.906	0.895–0.916
	Peri-urban	4,027 (0.4%)	6,025 (0.4%)	10,052 (0.4%)	< 0.001	0.914	0.878-0.951
Living in a Flu outbreak	Yes	207,673 (28.0%)	53,796 (30.9%)	261,469 (28.5%)	< 0.001	0.867	0.857-0.877
region	No	535,181 (72.0%)	120,204 (69.1%)	655,385 (71.5%)		1	Reference
Received Flu vaccine	Yes	153,446 (32.7%)	127,214 (19.8%)	280,660 (25.2%)	<0.001	1.971	1.954–1.988
	No	315,497 (67,3%)	515.554 (80.2%)	831.051 (74.8%)		1	Reference
Used antiviral drug to treat	Yes	180.826 (21.7%)	42.218 (4.0%)	223.044 (11.8%)	< 0.001	6.720	6.646-6.795
the clinical signs	No	650 953 (78 3%)	1 021 342 (06 0%)	1 672 205 (88 2%)		1	Reference
Intensive care unit	Yes	328 149 (34 3%)	464 605 (34 6%)	792 754 (34 5%)	~0.001	0.987	0.982-0.993
	No	627 365 (65 7%)	404,000 (04.076) 876 840 (65.4%)	1 504 205 (65 5%)	<0.001	1	Reference
Mechanical ventilatory	Invasive	167 340 (17 8%)	253 464 (19 1%)	1,004,200 (00.070)	<0.001	0.576	0.572_0.581
support		107,040 (17.070)	200,404 (19.170)	420,004 (10.070)	0.001	0.570	0.572-0.501
	Non-Invasive	474,813 (50.4%)	813,942 (61.2%)	1,288,755 (56.7%)	<0.001	0.509	0.506-0.513
		300,128 (31.9%)	201,989 (19.7%)	562,117 (24.7%)	0.001	1	Reference
Closure criterion	Clinical Finisherials size	981,259 (92.1%)	1,249,557 (89.1%)	2,230,816 (90.4%)	<0.001	0.050	Reference
	Clinical - Epidemiological	10,967 (1.0%)	21,405 (1.5%)	32,372 (1.3%)	<0.001	0.652	0.638-0.678
		46,582 (4.4%)	54,802 (3.9%)	101,384 (4.1%)	<0.001	1.082	1.069-1.096
0. +	Clinical - Image exams	27,148 (2.5%)	76,110 (5.4%)	103,258 (4.2%)	<0.001	0.454	0.448-0.461
Outcome	Cure	703,085 (69.6%)	874,194 (67.3%)	1,577,279 (68.3%)	0.001	0.000	Reference
	Death	293,037 (29.0%)	408,570 (31.5%)	701,607 (30.4%)	<0.001	0.892	0.887-0.897
	Death not related to SARI	14,608 (1.4%)	15,943 (1.2%)	30,551 (1.3%)	<0.001	1.139	1.114-1.165
SARI categories	SARI due to influenza	2,416 (0.2%)	1,058 (0.1%)	3,474 (0.1%)	<0.001	3.965	3.688-4.262
	Viruses' infection	4,648 (0.4%)	11,979 (0.7%)	16,627 (0.6%)	<0.001	0.674	0.651-0.697
	SARI due to another known etiological agent	3,072 (0.3%)	3,794 (0.2%)	6,866 (0.3%)	<0.001	1.406	1.340–1.474
	SARI due to an undefined etiological agent	468,407 (41.0%)	427,800 (26.8%)	896,207 (32.7%)	<0.001	1.901	1.891–1.911
	SARI due to COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2)	664,076 (58.1%)	1,153,022 (72.2%)	1,817,098 (66.3%)		1	Reference

We presented the data as the number of individuals (N) and percentage (%).

We did the statistical analyses using the chi-square test. We adopted an alpha error of 0.05.

SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; OR, odds ratio; 95%Cl, 95% confidence interval; y.o., years old.

\*We further divided the patients into two periods. The first period was from December 29, 2019, to December 31, 2020.

TABLE 7 | Association between features of the hospitalized patients due to severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) in Brazil due to the Coronavirus Disease (COVID)-19 according to the data collection period.

Sex   Female   294,666 (44.4%)   509,747 (44.2%)   804,403 (44.3%)   0.031   1.007   1.011-1013     Age   -11 yo.   38,76 (0.5%)   44,84 (0.4%)   502,207 (55.7%)   -1   Reference     1-12 yo.   7,178 (1.1%)   8,087 (0.7%)   15,265 (0.5%)   -0.001   1.433   1.833-1.855     13-24 yo.   14,425 (2.2%)   21.100 (1.8%)   35,434 (2.0%)   -0.001   1.447   1.418-1.479     28-60 yo.   301,199 (4.47%)   62.325 (7.5%)   945,3315 (1.6.1%)   1   Reference     61-72 yo.   123,551 (18.6%)   161,465 (14.0%)   448,146 (2.0.%)   -0.001   1.432   1.648-1.861     73-85 yo.   51,236 (7.7%)   59,775 (5.2%)   111,101 (4.15%)   -0.001   1.432   1.632   1.632   1.632   1.632   1.633   1.534   1.343   1.353   1.342   1.343   1.353   1.341   1.354   1.353   1.342   1.343   1.353   1.343   1.353   1.343   1.354   1.333   1.343   1.354   1.334 <t< th=""><th>Patient's features</th><th>Category</th><th>2020*</th><th>2021*</th><th>Total</th><th>Р</th><th>OR</th><th>95%CI</th></t<>	Patient's features	Category	2020*	2021*	Total	Р	OR	95%CI
AgeAdeSet 20 (56.5%)64.3 (127 (56.5%)1.012 (407 (56.7%)1.012 (407 (56.7%)1.0101.0135 (1.013)Age1.12 (x.0.7.178 (1.178)8.067 (1.018%)8.72 (2.13%)<.0001	Sex	Female	294,656 (44.4%)	509,747 (44.2%)	804,403 (44.3%)	0.031	1.007	1.001-1.013
Áge   -1 yo.   3.876 (0.9%)   4.946 (0.4%)   3.722 (0.3%)   -0.001   1.768   1.833-1.955     13-24 yo.   1.14 yo.   1.4 yo.		Male	369,280 (55.6%)	643,127 (55.8%)	1,012,407 (55.7%)		1	Reference
1-12 yo.   7,178 (1.1%)   8,087 (0.7%)   15,286 (0.8%)   -0.001   1.803   1.439-1.985     12-64 yo.   101,199 (65.4%)   62.332 (65.7%)   93.533 (61.9%)   -1   Reference     61-72 yo.   157.78 (24.5%)   255.418 (14.0%)   284.986 (15.7%)   -0.001   1.829   1.618-1.648     645 yo.   52.517 (42.5%)   508.116 (63.1%)   77.52 (2.5%)   -0.001   1.829   1.338-1.395     Rece   Minie   250.127 (42.5%)   508.116 (63.1%)   78.524 (51.4%)   -0.001   1.326   1.338-1.375     Back   31.017 (60%)   46.55 (40.9%)   77.52 (2.3%)   -0.001   1.326   1.328-1.195     Individuals from a multiracial background   7.249 (1.4%)   10.077 (1.1%)   18.024 (1.2%)   -0.001   1.328   1.328-1.195     Educational level   Indiversity and tangen   7.756 (7.1%)   3.577 (0.2%)   -0.001   1.338   1.328-1.195     Lindiversity and tangen   7.569 (1.5%)   3.578 (0.2%)   -0.001   0.560 (5.5%)   -0.001   0.560 (5.5%)   0.000 (2.55 (5.5%)   0.001	Age	<1 y.o.	3,876 (0.6%)	4,846 (0.4%)	8,722 (0.5%)	< 0.001	1.706	1.635-1.780
13-24 yo.   14.325 (229)   21.107 (1.89)   36,344 (2.09)   -0.001   1.447   1.416-1.479     25-60 yo.   107.199 (45.4%)   642.32 (55.7%)   943.531 (51.9%)   -0.01   1.329   1.348-1.399     61-72 yo.   152.39 (7.7%)   59.775 (5.2%)   254.410-90   141.64 (63.0%)   -0.001   1.529   1.101 - 1.616     465 yo.   51.239 (7.7%)   59.775 (5.2%)   11.101 - 1.619,   -0.001   1.524   1.384 - 1.395     Back   31.017 (8.0%)   46.525 (4.9%)   77.542 (5.3%)   -0.001   1.535   1.384 - 1.395     Back   31.017 (8.0%)   39.858 (0.2%)   61.738 (1.5%)   -0.001   1.535   1.354 - 1.395     Educational level   Indigenous peoples   2.7249 (1.4%)   10.775 (1.1%)   16.024 (1.2%)   -0.001   1.535   0.940 - 0.971     Educational level   Indigenous peoples   2.532 - 2.632   1.600 - 0.983   0.849 - 0.971   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 - 1196   1.182 -		1–12 y.o.	7,178 (1.1%)	8,087 (0.7%)	15,265 (0.8%)	< 0.001	1.893	1.833-1.955
25-60 y.0.   301.199 (45.49)   263.32 (6.7)   943.53 (16.99)   .1   Pelerence     61-72 y.0.   162.728 (24.59)   255.418 (14.09)   418.146 (23.09)   .0.001   1.636   1.336   1.346-1.309     76-86 y.0.   25.239 (7.7)8   59.775 (2.6)8   111.014 (65.149)   .0.001   1.826   1.305-1.851     86 y.0.   50.127 (49.0)   66.52 (4.99)   77.54 (2.39)   .0.001   1.826   1.326-1.499     Asian   7.249 (1.49)   10.775 (1.19)   18.024 (1.29)   .0.001   1.361   1.326-1.499     Individual from a mutiracial   228.083 (44.09)   3.575 (0.29)   .0.001   1.182   1.162-121     Individual from a mutiracial   228.083 (44.09)   1.532 (4.29)   .0.001   1.08   1.566-121     Matgenous peoples   2.042 (0.49)   1.532 (0.29)   .0.001   0.895   0.3040-037     Pinturbanental cycle   43.510 (17.99)   7.466 (18.90)   169.027 (25.8)   .0.001   0.896   0.896-087     Pinturbanental cycle   43.510 (17.99)   7.456 (18.90)   .0.101   Refere		13–24 y.o.	14,325 (2.2%)	21,109 (1.8%)	35,434 (2.0%)	< 0.001	1.447	1.416-1.479
61-72 yo.   162.728 (24.5%)   25.418 (14.0%)   118.146 (20.9%)   -0.001   1.369   1.348-1.369     Has by o.   123.531 (18.6%)   161.456 (14.0%)   284.968 (15.7%)   -0.001   1.832   1.618-1.468     Has by o.   512.391 (7.7%)   505.175 (2.3%)   11.014 (6.1%)   -0.001   1.36   1.334-1.375     Back   31.017 (6.0%)   46.525 (4.9%)   77.542 (5.3%)   -0.001   1.36   1.334-1.375     Asian   7.249 (1.4%)   10.524 (1.2%)   -0.001   1.38   1.132-1.199     background   224.02 (0.4%)   1.533 (0.2%)   3.575 (0.2%)   -0.001   1.38   1.156-1.211     Educational level   Illiencite   17.306 (7.1%)   2.5402 (5.7%)   40.706 (6.2%)   -0.001   1.83   1.156-1.211 <sup>144</sup> fundamental cycle   63.170 (7.60)   105.848 (25.7%)   40.706 (6.1%)   -0.001   0.838   0.3450-0.677 <sup>146</sup> fundamental cycle   63.170 (7.60)   105.848 (25.7%)   40.00   0.838   0.3460-0.671 <sup>146</sup> fundamental cycle   50.505 (2.1%)   10.9853 (15.		25–60 y.o.	301,199 (45.4%)	642,332 (55.7%)	943,531 (51.9%)		1	Reference
Pacebyo.   123,591 (16.9%)   164,455 (14.0%)   284,986 (15.7%)   -0.001   1.632   1.1614-1.646     HB yo.   51,239 (7.7%)   59,775 (5.2%)   111.014 (6.1%)   -0.001   1.828   1.806-1.831     Back   31.017 (6.0%)   46,525 (4.9%)   77,542 (5.3%)   -0.001   1.334   1.334-1.376     Asian   7.249 (1.4%)   10.775 (1.1%)   18.024 (1.2%)   -0.001   1.334   1.334-1.376     Asian   7.249 (1.4%)   10.775 (1.1%)   18.024 (1.2%)   -0.001   1.131   1.151-121     Indigenous peoples   2.042 (0.4%)   1.533 (0.2%)   3.075 (0.2%)   -0.001   0.835   0.940-037     2 <sup>m</sup> fundamental cycle   63.179 (26.0%)   105,848 (25.7%)   169,027 (25.8%)   -0.011   0.885   0.940-037     2 <sup>m</sup> fundamental cycle   43.510 (17.9%)   139,728 (3.3%)   169,027 (25.8%)   -0.011   0.885   0.825-0.87     High school   75,409 (81.5%)   10,147 (4.5%)   9.438 (4.1%)   9.438 (1.4%)   9.438 (1.48,%)   -0.011   0.886   0.825-0.87     Hi		61–72 y.o.	162,728 (24.5%)	255,418 (14.0%)	418,146 (23.0%)	< 0.001	1.359	1.348–1.369
+45 yo.   51,239 (7.7%)   50,775 (6.2%)   111,014 (6.1%)   -0.001   1.828   1.805-1.831     Race   Winte   250,127 (48.2%)   508,116 (5.31%)   77.542 (5.3%)   -0.001   1.364   1.334-1.375     Asian   7,240 (1.4%)   10,775 (1.1%)   18,024 (1.2%)   -0.001   1.367   1.326-1.309     Individuals from a multinoial background   228,083 (44.0%)   389,156 (40.7%)   41,020   2.706   2.852-2.892     Educational level   Individuals from a multinoial background   2.704 (7.1%)   1533 (0.2%)   3.675 (0.2%)   -0.001   2.706   2.852-2.892     Educational level   Individuals from a multinoial background   63,179 (2.6%)   106,842 (2.7%)   40,006 (18.5%)   -0.001   0.855   0.850-0.971     1   Individuals from a multinoial background   75,069 (1.0%)   15,472 (4.9%)   9.858 (15.8%)   -0.001   0.863   0.850-0.871     2 <sup>14</sup> Indamental cycle   43,510 (7.9%)   16,472 (4.9%)   9.858 (15.8%)   -0.01   0.862   0.982     2 <sup>14</sup> Indamental cycle   5,663 (2.1%)   4,527 (1.9%)   <		73–85 y.o.	123,531 (18.6%)	161,455 (14.0%)	284,986 (15.7%)	< 0.001	1.632	1.618–1.646
Bace   White   250,127 (42.2*)   508,11 (50.1*)   77.52,243 (51.4*)		+85 y.o.	51,239 (7.7%)	59,775 (5.2%)	111,014 (6.1%)	< 0.001	1.828	1.805-1.851
Black   31,017 (6.0%)   46,825 (4.9%)   77,542 (5.3%)   <0.01   1.354   1.334-1.375     Asian   7.249 (1.4%)   10.775 (1.1%)   16,024 (1.2%)   <0.01	Race	White	250,127 (48.2%)	508,116 (53.1%)	758,243 (51.4%)		1	Reference
Asian   7,249 (1.4%)   10,775 (1.1%)   18,024 (1.2%)   <0.011   1.367   1.326-1.409     Individuals from a multiracial background   228,083 (4.0%)   389,155 (40.7%)   57,238 (41.9%)   <0.011		Black	31,017 (6.0%)	46,525 (4.9%)	77,542 (5.3%)	< 0.001	1.354	1.334–1.375
Individuals from a multinacial background   228,083 (44.0%)   389,155 (40.7%)   617,238 (41.9%)   <0.001   1.191   1.182-1.199     Educational level   Illerate   17,306 (7.1%)   23,402 (5.7%)   40,708 (6.2%)   <0.001		Asian	7,249 (1.4%)	10,775 (1.1%)	18,024 (1.2%)	< 0.001	1.367	1.326-1.409
Indigenous peoples   2.042 (0.4%)   1,533 (0.2%)   3,575 (0.2%)   <0.001   2.706   2.532-2.892     Educational level   Ilferato   17.306 (7.1%)   23,402 (5.7%)   40,708 (6.2%)   <0.001		Individuals from a multiracial background	228,083 (44.0%)	389,155 (40.7%)	617,238 (41.9%)	<0.001	1.191	1.182–1.199
Educational level   Illiterate   17,306 (7.1%)   23,402 (5.7%)   40,708 (6.2%)   <0.001   1.183   1.156-1.11     1 <sup>4</sup> fundamental cycle   63,179 (26.0%)   105,848 (25.7%)   160,027 (25.8%)   <0.001		Indigenous peoples	2,042 (0.4%)	1,533 (0.2%)	3,575 (0.2%)	< 0.001	2.706	2.532-2.892
1 <sup>eff</sup> fundamental cycle   63,179 (26.0%)   105,848 (25.7%)   169,027 (25.8%)   <.0.01	Educational level	Illiterate	17,306 (7.1%)	23,402 (5.7%)	40,708 (6.2%)	< 0.001	1.183	1.156-1.211
9 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle   43,510 (17.9%)   77,456 (18.8%)   120,966 (18.5%)   <0.011		1 <sup>st</sup> fundamental cycle	63,179 (26.0%)	105,848 (25.7%)	169,027 (25.8%)	< 0.001	0.955	0.940-0.971
High school   75,409 (31.0%)   139,782 (33.9%)   215,191 (32.8%)   <0.011   0.863   0.850-0.877     University education   38,398 (15.8%)   61,437 (14.9%)   99,835 (15.2%)   1   Reference     Not applicable   5,063 (2.1%)   4,525 (1.1%)   9,886 (1.5%)   -   -     Place of residence   Urban   55,966 (95.2%)   957,366 (94.4%)   1,517,022 (94.7%)   0.224   1.034   0.886-0.851     Peri-urban   2,120 (0.4%)   52,922 (5.2%)   78,866 (4.9%)   0.021   0.838   0.886-0.851     Peri-urban   2,120 (0.4%)   52,922 (5.2%)   78,806 (4.9%)   0.224   1.034   0.986-0.851     Living in a Flu outbreak   Yes   129,409 (30.5%)   38,983 (31.3%)   168,392 (30.7%)   <0.01		2 <sup>nd</sup> fundamental cycle	43,510 (17.9%)	77,456 (18.8%)	120,966 (18.5%)	< 0.001	0.899	0.883–0.915
Iniversity education   38,398 (15.8%)   61,437 (14.9%)   99,835 (15.2%)   1   Reference     Not applicable   5,063 (2.1%)   4,525 (1.1%)   9,588 (1.5%)   -   -     Place of residence   Urban   559,656 (95.2%)   957,366 (94.4%)   1,517,022 (94.7%)   1   Reference     Peri-urban   25,934 (4.4%)   52,922 (5.2%)   78,856 (4.9%)   <0.001		High school	75,409 (31.0%)	139,782 (33.9%)	215,191 (32.8%)	< 0.001	0.863	0.850-0.877
Not applicable5,063 (2.1%)4,525 (1.1%)9,588 (1.5%)Place of residenceUrban559,656 (95.2%)957,366 (94.4%)1,517,022 (94.7%)1ReferenceRual25,934 (4.4%)52,922 (5.2%)78,856 (4.9%)<0.001		University education	38,398 (15.8%)	61,437 (14.9%)	99,835 (15.2%)		1	Reference
Place of residence   Urban   559,656 (95.2%)   957,366 (94.4%)   1,517,022 (94.7%)   1   Reference     Rural   25,934 (4.4%)   52,922 (5.2%)   78,856 (4.9%)   <0.001		Not applicable	5,063 (2.1%)	4,525 (1.1%)	9,588 (1.5%)		-	-
Bural   25,934 (4.4%)   52,922 (5.2%)   78,856 (4.9%)   <0.011   0.838   0.826-0.851     Peri-urban   2,120 (0.4%)   3,507 (0.3%)   5,627 (0.4%)   0.224   1.034   0.980-1.091     Living in a Flu outbreak   Yes   129,409 (30.5%)   38,983 (31.3%)   168,392 (30.7%)   <0.01	Place of residence	Urban	559,656 (95.2%)	957,366 (94.4%)	1,517,022 (94.7%)		1	Reference
Peri-urban   2,120 (0.4%)   3,507 (0.3%)   5,627 (0.4%)   0.224   1.034   0.980-1.091     Living in a Flu outbreak region   Yes   129,409 (30.5%)   38,983 (31.3%)   168,392 (30.7%)   <0.01		Rural	25,934 (4.4%)	52,922 (5.2%)	78,856 (4.9%)	< 0.001	0.838	0.826-0.851
Living in a Flu outbreak region   Yes   129,409 (30.5%)   38,983 (31.3%)   168,392 (30.7%)   <0.011   0.961   0.948-0.974     Received Flu vaccine   Yes   85,822 (32.4%)   91,903 (19.0%)   177,725 (23.7%)   <0.001		Peri-urban	2,120 (0.4%)	3,507 (0.3%)	5,627 (0.4%)	0.224	1.034	0.980-1.091
region   No   295,062 (69.5%)   85,420 (68.7%)   380,482 (69.3%)   1   Reference     Received Flu vaccine   Yes   86,822 (32.4%)   91,903 (19.0%)   177,725 (23.7%)   <0.01	Living in a Flu outbreak	Yes	129,409 (30.5%)	38,983 (31.3%)	168,392 (30.7%)	< 0.001	0.961	0.948-0.974
Received Flu vaccine   Yes   85,822 (32.4%)   91,903 (19.0%)   177,725 (23.7%)   <0.001   2.048   2.026-2.070     No   179,115 (67.6%)   392,790 (81.0%)   571,905 (76.3%)   1   Reference     Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   100,925 (21.2%)   29,033 (3.7%)   129,958 (10.3%)   <0.001	region	No	295,062 (69.5%)	85,420 (68.7%)	380,482 (69.3%)		1	Reference
No   179,115 (67.6%)   392,790 (81.0%)   571,905 (76.3%)   1   Reference     Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   100,925 (21.2%)   29,033 (3.7%)   129,958 (10.3%)   <0.001	Received Flu vaccine	Yes	85,822 (32.4%)	91,903 (19.0%)	177,725 (23.7%)	<0.001	2.048	2.026-2.070
Used antiviral drug to treat the clinical signs   Yes   100,925 (21.2%)   29,033 (3.7%)   129,958 (10.3%)   <0.001   6.965   6.871-7.061     Intensive care unit   Yes   375,069 (78.8%)   751,508 (96.3%)   1,126,577 (89.7%)   1   Reference     Intensive care unit   Yes   209,877 (37.5%)   375,902 (37.5%)   585,779 (37.5%)   0.597   0.998   0.992-1.005     No   349,909 (62.5%)   625,656 (62.5%)   975,474 (62.5%)   1   Reference     Mechanical ventilatory   Invasive   108,760 (19.7%)   212,540 (21.4%)   321,300 (20.8%)   <0.001		No	179,115 (67.6%)	392,790 (81.0%)	571,905 (76.3%)		1	Reference
the clinical signs No 375,069 (78.8%) 751,508 (96.3%) 1,126,577 (89.7%) 1 Reference   Intensive care unit Yes 209,877 (37.5%) 375,902 (37.5%) 585,779 (37.5%) 0.597 0.998 0.992–1.005   No 349,909 (62.5%) 625,565 (62.5%) 975,474 (62.5%) 1 Reference   Mechanical ventilatory Invasive 108,760 (19.7%) 212,540 (21.4%) 321,300 (20.8%) <0.001	Used antiviral drug to treat	Yes	100,925 (21.2%)	29,033 (3.7%)	129,958 (10.3%)	< 0.001	6.965	6.871-7.061
Intensive care unit   Yes   209,877 (37.5%)   375,902 (37.5%)   585,779 (37.5%)   0.597   0.998   0.992–1.005     No   349,909 (62.5%)   625,565 (62.5%)   975,474 (62.5%)   1   Reference     Mechanical ventilatory   Invasive   108,760 (19.7%)   212,540 (21.4%)   321,300 (20.8%)   <0.001	the clinical signs	No	375.069 (78.8%)	751.508 (96.3%)	1.126.577 (89.7%)		1	Reference
No   349,909 (62.5%)   625,565 (62.5%)   975,474 (62.5%)   1   Reference     Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   108,760 (19.7%)   212,540 (21.4%)   321,300 (20.8%)   <0.001	Intensive care unit	Yes	209,877 (37.5%)	375,902 (37.5%)	585,779 (37.5%)	0.597	0.998	0.992-1.005
Mechanical ventilatory support   Invasive   108,760 (19.7%)   212,540 (21.4%)   321,300 (20.8%)   <0.001   0.520   0.515-0.525     Non-Invasive   287,920 (52.1%)   620,228 (62.6%)   908,148 (58.8%)   <0.001		No	349,909 (62,5%)	625.565 (62.5%)	975.474 (62.5%)		1	Reference
Support   Non-Invasive   287,920 (52.1%)   620,228 (62.6%)   908,148 (58.8%)   <0.001   0.472   0.468–0.476     Not required   155,636 (28.2%)   158,109 (16.0%)   313,745 (20.3%)   1   Reference     Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   606,937 (93.1%)   1,000,651 (89.7%)   1,607,588 (90.9%)   1   Reference     Clinical - Epidemiological   5,626 (0.9%)   13,471 (1.2%)   19,097 (1.1%)   <0.001	Mechanical ventilatory	Invasive	108.760 (19.7%)	212,540 (21,4%)	321.300 (20.8%)	<0.001	0.520	0.515-0.525
Not required 155,636 (28.2%) 158,109 (16.0%) 313,745 (20.3%) 1 Reference   Closure criterion Laboratorial criterion 606,937 (93.1%) 1,000,651 (89.7%) 1,607,588 (90.9%) 1 Reference   Clinical - Epidemiological 5,626 (0.9%) 13,471 (1.2%) 19,097 (1.1%) <0.001	support	Non-Invasive	287 920 (52 1%)	620,228 (62,6%)	908 148 (58 8%)	< 0.001	0 472	0 468-0 476
Closure criterion   Laboratorial criterion   606,937 (93.1%)   1,000,651 (89.7%)   1,607,588 (90.9%)   1   Reference     Clinical - Epidemiological   5,626 (0.9%)   13,471 (1.2%)   19,097 (1.1%)   <0.001		Not required	155.636 (28.2%)	158.109 (16.0%)	313.745 (20.3%)		1	Reference
Clinical - Epidemiological   5,626 (0.9%)   13,471 (1.2%)   19,097 (1.1%)   <0.001   0.689   0.667–0.710     Clinical   14,350 (2.2%)   29,154 (2.6%)   43,504 (2.5%)   <0.001	Closure criterion	Laboratorial criterion	606.937 (93.1%)	1.000.651 (89.7%)	1.607.588 (90.9%)		1	Reference
Clinical   14,350 (2.2%)   29,154 (2.6%)   43,504 (2.5%)   <0.001   0.812   0.795-0.828     Clinical - Image exams   25,054 (3.8%)   72,575 (6.5%)   97,629 (5.5%)   <0.001		Clinical - Epidemiological	5.626 (0.9%)	13.471 (1.2%)	19.097 (1.1%)	< 0.001	0.689	0.667-0.710
Clinical - Image exams   25,054 (3.8%)   72,575 (6.5%)   97,629 (5.5%)   <0.001   0.569   0.561–0.578     Outcome   Cure   405,324 (65.5%)   672,546 (64.8%)   1,077,870 (65.1%)   1   Reference     Death   211,873 (34.2%)   363,014 (35.0%)   574,887 (34.7%)   <0.001		Clinical	14.350 (2.2%)	29.154 (2.6%)	43.504 (2.5%)	< 0.001	0.812	0.795-0.828
Outcome   Cure   405,324 (65.5%)   672,546 (64.8%)   1,077,870 (65.1%)   1   Reference     Death   211,873 (34.2%)   363,014 (35.0%)   574,887 (34.7%)   <0.001		Clinical - Image exams	25.054 (3.8%)	72,575 (6.5%)	97.629 (5.5%)	< 0.001	0,569	0.561-0.578
Death   211,873 (34.2%)   363,014 (35.0%)   574,887 (34.7%)   <0.001   0.968   0.962–0.975     Death   1 850 (0.3%)   2 087 (0.2%)   2 087 (0.2%)   <0.001	Outcome	Cure	405.324 (65.5%)	672.546 (64.8%)	1.077.870 (65.1%)		1	Reference
Death part related to SAPI 1950 (0.20%) 20 PC (0.9%) 20 202 (0.9%) 20 001 1471 1291 1566		Death	211.873 (34.2%)	363.014 (35.0%)	574.887 (34.7%)	< 0.001	0.968	0.962-0.975
Dealimotreated to SANI 1,000 (0.370) 2,007 (0.270) 3,337 (0.270) <0.001 1.471 1.301=1.300		Death not related to SARI	1,850 (0.3%)	2,087 (0.2%)	3,937 (0.2%)	< 0.001	1.471	1.381-1.566

We presented the data as the number of individuals (N) and percentage (%).

We did the statistical analyses using the chi-square test. We adopted an alpha error of 0.05.

SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; OR, odds ratio; 95%Cl, 95% confidence interval; y.o., years old.

\*We further divided the patients into two periods. The first period was from December 29, 2019, to December 31, 2020.

children did not have severe symptoms, only those common in the regular cold (CDC COVID-19 Response Team, 2020; Dong et al., 2020; Wu and McGoogan, 2020; Borrelli et al., 2021; Viner et al., 2021). In Brazil, we can attribute the low number of COVID-19 diagnoses among children to the low number of RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests performed, which we can explain by the low prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 and the less severe cases in these age groups (CDC COVID-19 Response Team, 2020; Dong et al., 2020; Wu and McGoogan, 2020; Borrelli et al., 2021; Viner et al., 2021), which might contribute to the diagnosis of SARI to the undefined cause. Even though we face a pandemic, there is over 200 virus, other than SARS-CoV-2, which can cause diseases related to the respiratory system (Berman et al., 1983). The most common viruses are Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Parainfluenza, Adenovirus, Influenza, Enterovirus, Human Metapneumovirus, and Rhinovirus (Hazlett et al., 1988; Ruutu et al., 1990; Ray et al., 1993; Shi et al., 2015). In Brazil, the prevalence of these viruses in children is also elevated, especially in those under five y.o. (Tsuchiya et al., 2005; Thomazelli et al., 2007). Perhaps, this might have contributed to our findings, in which the younger individuals have an enhanced chance of being diagnosed with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent. Since the pandemic's beginning, several studies showed that older age, especially that of +70 y.o., is a risk factor for worst outcomes, such as death, need for intensive care unit, and need for mechanical ventilatory support (Liu et al., 2020; Richardson et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2020; Pijls et al., 2021). Curiously, in our study, those older than 73 y.o. also increased the chance of SARI due to an undefined etiological agent and not SARI due to COVID-19.

The situation brought by COVID-19 affects those with underlying conditions such as cardiovascular disease or obesity (Williamson et al., 2020; Yoshikawa and Asaba, 2021) and low socioeconomic status and educational level (Abedi et al., 2020; Hawkins et al., 2020; Niedzwiedz et al., 2020; Ribeiro et al., 2021; Yoshikawa and Asaba, 2021). For example, São Paulo city had four times more mortality due to COVID-19 in areas with a low percentage of individuals with a university degree than in areas with a high rate of individuals with a university degree (Ribeiro et al., 2021). The race also plays a vital role in the outcomes related to COVID-19 since individuals from neglected races, like Black, Indigenous peoples, and individuals with multiracial backgrounds, were more affected by the disease, as shown in the literature and our data (Baqui et al., 2020; Martins-Filho et al., 2021; Mendes et al., 2021).

The Brazilian Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde, SUS) has three principles: universality, equity, and integrality (Princípios do SUS). Unfortunately, the access to SUS by its population is full of inequalities between distinct social and races groups (Lima-Costa et al., 2002; Travassos et al., 2006; Stopa et al., 2017), as observed in the Brazilian National Health Survey from 2013, in which individuals with enhanced educational levels had greater access to Health System compared to those with low educational levels (Stopa et al., 2017). In the same way, individuals living in the South or Southeast regions had greater access to the health system, perhaps due to life in these regions having better social status, enhanced growth domestic product, improved urbanization rate, and more health investment (IBGE; Saúde - Portal da transparência). In addition, the South and Southeast regions had the highest Human Development Index in Brazil, and most of the population from these regions live in cities where access to the SUS is easier (Cazelli et al., 2002; Oliveira and Dallabrida, 2013; Stopa et al., 2017; Azevedo). On top of that, individuals from neglected races, especially the Black and the Indigenous peoples, have classically low access to health care centers in Brazil due to structural racism, the difficulties in reaching a basic health unit since most of these people live in rural areas (Silva et al., 2020; Mendes et al., 2021).

In our study, the lowest educational level, Black individuals, and individuals living in rural areas were at increased risk of being categorized as SARI due to an undefined etiological agent. Perhaps, these individuals had low access to health care centers to perform the SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR (Rentsch et al., 2020; Silva et al., 2020; Mody et al., 2021; Souch and Cossman, 2021). Noteworthy, in individuals of the Black race or living in rural areas, the COVID-19 testing was less performed (Rentsch et al., 2020; Mody et al., 2021; Souch and Cossman, 2021), which might have also contributed to our results. Curiously in our data, the Indigenous peoples were more prone to be diagnosed with SARI due to COVID-19 and not SARI with an undefined etiological agent, as we expected. Our data regarding Indigenous peoples presented results as the previous studies that showed an increased burden of COVID-19 in these peoples (Cupertino et al., 2020; Palamim et al., 2020; Santos et al., 2020; Mendes et al., 2021; Sansone et al., 2022). The increased diagnosis rate of COVID-19 might be partly due to the law n°14.021/2020, which reinforces the right of Indigenous peoples to have RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests or other tools that can identify COVID-19 in their territory (Nacional).

Unfortunately, few studies tried to evaluate the epidemiologic characteristics differences between SARI and COVID-19. For example, a study conducted in North India enrolled 212 SARI patients, in which the authors observed patients with COVID-19 are older than individuals with SARI. However, the clinical presentation was similar in both groups (Pannu et al., 2021). Another study conducted in India (Sharma et al., 2021) enrolled 500 participants, in which only 88 were positive for COVID-19. Similar to the study conducted in North India, the authors observed a similar clinical profile between individuals with COVID-19 and SARI, and also, older patients were diagnosed with COVID-19 (Sharma et al., 2021). Finally, the most extensive study in India accounted for nearly 5,000 individuals with SARI, out of which 104 were diagnosed with COVID-19 (Gupta, N. et al., 2020), being the COVID-19 group had an increased number of male patients. To the best of our knowledge, no study had the groups proposed by our study, which makes comparison difficult; however, in our study, individuals from the COVID-19 group were also older and male.

In Brazil, only two other studies tried to compare individuals with SARI and COVID-19 (Bastos et al., 2020; Niquini et al., 2020). The study performed by Niquini et al. (2020) accounted for 39,349 hospitalized patients with SARI up to the 21<sup>st</sup> epidemiologic week of 2020. The patients were further divided into a COVID-19 and a SARI due to Influenza, being that most of the patients had COVID-19. Similar to our study, the COVID-19 group accounted for more White patients (Niquini et al., 2020). Another study performed by Bastos et al. (2020) evaluated the notification of SARI until the 12<sup>th</sup> week of 2020. The authors observed enhanced hospitalization of SARI in 2020 when compared to previous years. In fact, for the 12<sup>th</sup> epidemiologic week, the hospitalizations seem to have increased 59% from previous years. A higher hospitalization rate of older individuals was also noted (Bastos et al., 2020), which is in accordance with our study.

The common cold and COVID-19, even though caused by different etiological agents, share some clinical similarities, as both can manifest myalgia, fever, cough, and headache (Czubak et al., 2021). In our results, people living in a flu outbreak region might test for COVID-19 more often since the clinical presentation is similar. Unfortunately, individuals with SARI, due to an undefined etiological agent, received more antiviral drugs (e.g., oseltamivir and zanamivir) to treat the clinical symptoms. The antiviral drugs were first developed to treat Influenza (Jefferson et al., 2014), and their use in COVID-19 is not approved yet, since some clinical studies are still in progress (University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, 2020; Elkholy, 2021). A higher proportion of individuals received antiviral drugs in 2020 when compared to 2021; perhaps due to the fact in 2020, there were more individuals with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent, which might have led the physicians to treat individuals as it was caused by Influenza virus.

Brazil accounts for a significant number of COVID-19 underreporting. In this context, many patients categorized as SARI due to an undefined etiological agent are patients with COVID-19 (Marson and Ortega, 2020; Veiga e Silva et al., 2020; Carvalho et al., 2021; Kupek, 2021). In fact, all the Brazilian states account for at least some underreporting of COVID-19 cases and deaths (Paixão et al., 2021); however, not homogenously. It seems the states with the highest underreporting of cases were Minas Gerais and Mato Grosso, which might be explained by different social, economic, and demographic characteristics, being unique in each state (Paixão et al., 2021). Some singularities across the Brazilian states, including socioeconomic characteristics, and COVID-19 testing policies, might have played a crucial role in the underreports. Although the testing policies across the states might be similar, they harbor some differences, which could explain, at least in part, the different underreports rates (Boschiero et al., 2021a,b; Cidadão - Secretaria da Saúde -Governo do Estado de São Paulo; Secretaria de Estado de Saúde de Minas Gerais).

Furthermore, since we included the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in our analysis, the first health public policies might have affected our results. For instance, the Federal Government only released a "National Plan for Expansion of COVID-19 testing" at the end of 2021; in this scenario, the absence of a public health policy aimed at COVID-19 diagnosis at the beginning of the pandemic can be associated with a higher possible underdiagnosis, which reflects the higher number of patients classified as individuals with an undefined etiological agent (Ministério da Saúde lança Plano Nacional de Expansão da Testagem para Covid-19). Also, at the beginning of the pandemic, Brazil performed more antibody tests than RT-PCR tests for COVID-19, which could decrease diagnosis accuracy since the RT-PCR is the gold standard (Oliveira et al., 2020; Boschiero et al., 2021a,b; Localiza SUS). Brazil also accounted for at least two COVID-19 waves (Zeiser et al., 2022), with differences across them; the second wave might even be more severe than the first, with more deaths and hospitalizations (Bastos et al., 2021; Zeiser et al., 2022). In our study, we also observed a more severe clinical profile in individuals from 2021 since more of them required ventilatory support, both invasive and non-invasive, and more individuals died when compared to 2020. One of the main reasons different outcomes and clinical characteristics were observed between the waves might be due to different SARS-CoV-2 variants. In the first wave, which occurred mainly during 2020, the predominant variant was B.1.1.33 and B.1.1.28. In the second wave, which occurred mainly during 2021, a higher prevalence of the Gamma (P.1) variant was observed (Zeiser et al., 2022). Curiously, the emergence of the Gamma variant coincided with the collapse of the healthcare system in Manaus (Boschiero et al., 2021a,b). Furthermore, the Delta variant was also important, as from July 2021 until 2022, it quickly became one of the most prevalent variants in Brazil and might even be more transmissible (Planas et al., 2021; Giovanetti et al., 2022).

Two recent Brazilian studies also observed an enhancement in severe cases of COVID-19 and also enhanced mortality, especially among the youngest women, which corresponded to the emergence of the Gamma variant (Freitas et al., 2021; Banho et al., 2022). Another study also pointed out the Gamma variant might be nearly two times more transmissible than non-Gamma variants (Coutinho et al., 2021). In our study, a higher proportion of SARI due to COVID-19 was observed in 2021 when compared to 2020 (58.1% vs. 72.2%). However, several other reasons might also have played a key role in the diagnosis of SARI due to COVID-19 such as an improvement in the diagnosis policies, widespread testing and the higher investment in RT-PCR tests instead antibodies tests (Boschiero et al., 2021a,b; Ministério da Saúde lança Plano Nacional de Expansão da Testagem para Covid-19), and the rise of new and more transmissible variants might have also been important in the increased of SARI due to COVID-19. In fact, even though Brazil started the COVID-19 vaccination in 2021 (Boschiero et al., 2021a), more severe cases were reported in 2021 (Freitas et al., 2021), despite the vaccination, which reinforces the hypothesis the Gamma lineage is more pathogenic.

Regarding the Flu vaccine, studies have shown an inverse correlation between the Flu vaccine coverage and the worst outcomes of the SARS-CoV-2 infection, such as a higher risk for the need for hospitalization, need for intensive care units, and death (Amato et al., 2020; Fink et al., 2021; Reina, 2021). The vaccine affects innate immunity, determining an overall (non-target) protective effect that would affect viruses not initially contained in the vaccine by trained immunity. The Flu vaccine can induce nonspecific immunotherapeutic mechanisms that increase the immune response to other pathogens, such as the SARS-CoV-2 (Netea et al., 2020; Fink et al., 2021). Curiously, we observed that vaccinated individuals against Flu were less prone to be diagnosed with SARI by an undefined etiological agent than COVID-19. We also noticed that fewer individuals were vaccinated against Flu in 2021 when compared to 2020; perhaps individuals might have been scared to go outside since Brazil was facing one of the highest numbers of deaths due to COVID-19 at that time (Boschiero et al., 2021a).

Interestingly, the necessity of intensive care unit treatment for individuals with SARI, especially those caused by Influenza and SARI due to COVID-19, is similar. Nearly 10-30% of patients needed intensive care unit treatment (Booth et al., 2003; Choi et al., 2003; Fowler et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2003; Lew et al., 2003; Peiris et al., 2003; Beumer et al., 2019; Guan et al., 2020; Phua et al., 2020; Zangrillo et al., 2020; Hajjar et al., 2021). However, in our study, those with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent were less prone to need intensive care unit treatment than patients with COVID-19. Since Brazil faced a major COVID-19 outbreak, with the health system's collapse and almost 100% occupancy in Brazilian intensive care units (Buss et al., 2021; Naveca et al., 2021; Sabino et al., 2021; Silva and Pena, 2021), perhaps the attending doctors reserved the few remaining intensive care unit beds for those with confirmed COVID-19 diagnoses. Furthermore, we observed the mortality of those with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent to be lower if compared to SARI due to COVID-19, which is similar to the literature, in which the hospitalized patients with COVID-19 accounted for nearly 37% of lethality rate and hospitalized Influenza patients for only 6.7% of lethality rate (Rößler et al., 2021; Zeiser et al., 2022). Importantly, in Brazil, the severe SARI cases were more tested than other patients (Boschiero et al., 2021a,b), which could be associated with a low number of deaths among the patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent.

The literature described a higher incidence of venous thromboembolism in patients infected by the SARS-CoV-2, and nearly one-third of patients have thrombotic events. Several thrombotic mechanisms are essential in the prothrombotic pathophysiology of COVID-19, such as immobilization, endothelial dysfunction, decreased fibrinolysis, and increased coagulation (Obi et al., 2019; Giannis et al., 2020; Helms et al., 2020; Loo et al., 2021). In a multicenter study, nearly 42% of the patients with COVID-19 had thrombotic events, with the most common being pulmonary embolism (Helms et al., 2020). The thrombotic events might contribute to our data, in which patients with COVID-19 had an increased chance of dying. However, we described more deaths unrelated to SARI for patients grouped as SARI due to another OEA (known) or an undefined etiological agent. The SIVEP-Flu did not explain which kind of death occurred in the dataset. However, we can postulate that some patients who presented deaths associated with lung disease progression or secondary outcomes, such as venous thromboembolism, were classified in the wrong groups and, in this case, could also be patients with COVID-19.

We hypothesize that many patients were categorized as having an undetermined etiological agent to be, in fact, COVID-19. However, we are unsure since we do not have a positive test confirming COVID-19. Besides that, many of these patients have epidemiology characteristics, such as younger age, Black race, lower education level, and living in a rural area might have contributed to lower COVID-19 testing, as aforementioned. In addition, even though these patients were not tested, the pretest probability of having COVID-19 is high, and they might not even know they are infected, as observed by a previous Brazilian study (Hallal et al., 2020). Thus, it is essential to assess the necessity of mass testing to have a number closer to reality for public health measures to be taken properly.

Undoubtedly, the SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR testing is crucial since the knowledge of the etiological agent responsible for to cause of the SARI can direct the proper management of the disease, that is, to a suitable treatment that can prevent deaths and to adequate measures to decrease the SARS-CoV-2 spread.

## Limitations

We based our study on a public dataset, and the authors did not have access to the original data. Some patients' features had many patients without the data presentation, which reduced the study power. We cannot assure some patients with SARI with an undefined etiological agent are indeed patients with COVID-19 since we do not have a positive result in the SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test. In our study, we did not evaluate the information about COVID-19 vaccination, which could bias our results.

# CONCLUSIONS

In Brazil, the COVID-19 underreporting (here, namely, like patients with SARI due to an undefined etiological agent) might be associated with enhanced mortality, more evident in distinct social groups. The patients' features are unequal between patients' groups according to the SARI diagnoses and can be used to determine the risk of possible COVID-19 underreporting in our population. Patients with a higher risk of death had a different epidemiological profile than patients who recovered. Understanding the possible COVID-19 underreporting in Brazil is essential to take public health measures properly and attenuate the COVID-19 pandemic.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent from the participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MB and FM made substantial contributions to the study conception and design, performed the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work. NS, MB, and FM drafted the work and revised it critically for important intellectual content, and gave the final approval of the version to be published.

# FUNDING

MB Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Foundation for Research Support of the State of São Paulo, Brazil; #2021/05810-7).

## REFERENCES

- Abedi, V., Olulana, O., Avula, V., Chaudhary, D., Khan, A., Shahjouei, S., et al. (2020). Racial, Economic, and Health Inequality and COVID-19 Infection in the United States. *J. Racial Ethn. Health Disparities* 8, 732–742. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.26.20079756
- Advice for the public on COVID-19 World Health Organization. (2021). https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advicefor-public (accessed October 4, 2021).
- Albani, V., Loria, J., Massad, E., and Zubelli, J. (2021). COVID-19 underreporting and its impact on vaccination strategies. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 21, 1111. doi: 10.1186/s12879-021-06780-7
- Amato, M., Werba, J. P., Frigerio, B., Coggi, D., Sansaro, D., Ravani, A., et al. (2020). Relationship between Influenza Vaccination Coverage Rate and COVID-19 Outbreak: an Italian ecological study. *Vaccines* 8, E535. doi: 10.3390/vaccines8030535
- Azevedo, A. L. M. (2021). IBGE Educa | Jovens. IBGE Educa Jovens. Available online at: https://educa.ibge.gov.br/jovens/conheca-o-brasil/populacao/ 18313-populacao-rural-e-urbana.html (accessed December 5, 2021).
- Banho, C. A., Sacchetto, L., Campos, G. R. F., Bittar, C., Possebon, F. S., Ullmann, L. S., et al. (2022). Impact of SARS-CoV-2 Gamma lineage introduction and COVID-19 vaccination on the epidemiological landscape of a Brazilian city. *Commun. Med.* s, 41. doi: 10.1038/s43856-022-00108-5
- Baqui, P., Bica, I., Marra, V., Ercole, A., and van der Schaar, M. (2020). Ethnic and regional variations in hospital mortality from COVID-19 in Brazil: a cross-sectional observational study. *Lancet Glob. Health.* 8, e1018–e1026. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30285-0
- Bastos, L. S., Niquini, R. P., Lana, R. M., Villela, D. A. M., Cruz, O. G., Coelho, F. C., et al. (2020). COVID-19 and hospitalizations for SARI in Brazil: a comparison up to the 12th epidemiological week of 2020. *Cad. Saúde Pública*. 36, e00070120. doi: 10.1590/0102-311x00070120
- Bastos, L. S., Ranzani, O. T., Souza, T. M. L., Hamacher, S., and Bozza, F. A. (2021). COVID-19 hospital admissions: Brazil's first and second waves compared. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 9, e82–e83. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00287-3
- Berman, S., Duenas, A., Bedoya, A., Constain, V., Leon, S., Borrero, I., et al. (1983). Acute lower respiratory tract illnesses in Cali, Colombia: a two-year ambulatory study. *Pediatrics*. 71, 210–218. doi: 10.1542/peds.71.2.210
- Beumer, M. C., Koch, R. M., van Beuningen, D., OudeLashof, A. M., van de Veerdonk, F. L., Kolwijck, E., et al. (2019). Influenza virus and factors that are associated with ICU admission, pulmonary co-infections and ICU mortality. J. Crit. Care. 50, 59–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.11.013
- Booth, C. M., Matukas, L. M., Tomlinson, G. A., Rachlis, A. R., Rose, D. B., Dwosh, H. A., et al. (2003). Clinical Features and Short-term Outcomes of 144 Patients With SARS in the Greater Toronto Area. *JAMA*. 289, 2801–2809. doi: 10.1001/jama.289.21.JOC30885
- Borrelli, M., Corcione, A., Castellano, F., Fiori Nastro, F., and Santamaria, F. (2021). Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children. *Front. Pediatr.* 9, 668484. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.668484
- Boschiero, M. N., Palamim, C. V. C., and Marson, F. A. L. (2021a). The hindrances to perform the COVID-19 vaccination in Brazil. *Hum. Vaccin. Immunother.* 14, 3989–4004. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2021.1955607
- Boschiero, M. N., Palamim, C. V. C., Ortega, M. M., Mauch, R. M., and Marson, F. A. L. (2021b). One year of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Brazil: a political and social overview. *Ann. Glob. Health* 87, 44. doi: 10.5334/aogh.3182
- Buss, L. F., Prete, C. A., Abrahim, C. M. M., Mendrone, A., Salomon, T., de Almeida-Neto, C., et al. (2021). Three-quarters attack rate of SARS-CoV-2 in the Brazilian Amazon during a largely unmitigated epidemic. *Science*. 371, 288–292. doi: 10.1126/science.abe9728

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.911036/full#supplementary-material

- Carvalho, T. A., Boschiero, M. N., and Marson, F. A. L. (2021). COVID-19 in Brazil: 150,000 deaths and the Brazilian underreporting. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 99, 115258. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2020.115258
- Cazelli, C. M., Brito, J. S., Sá, K., and Ornelli, C. B. (2002). Análise das desigualdades regionais na oferta de serviços de saúde no Brasil: pesquisa da assistência médico-sanitária, 1992 e 1999. Saúde Debate. 26, 198–209.
- CDC COVID-19 Response Team (2020). Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children -United States, February 12-April 2, 2020. MMWR Morb. Mortal Wkly. Rep. 69, 422–426. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6914e4
- Choi, K. W., Chau, T. N., Tsang, O., Tso, E., Chiu, M. C., Tong, W. L., et al. (2003). Outcomes and prognostic factors in 267 patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. Ann. Intern. Med. 139, 715–723. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-139-9-200311040-00005
- Cidadão Secretaria da Saúde Governo do Estado de São Paulo. (2022). Available online at: http://www.saude.sp.gov.br/ (accessed May 12, 2022).
- Coutinho, R. M., Marquitti, F. M. D., Ferreira, L. S., Borges, M. E., da Silva, R. L. P., Canton, O., et al. (2021). Model-based estimation of transmissibility and reinfection of SARS-CoV-2 P.1 variant. *Commun. Med.* 1, 48. doi: 10.1038/s43856-021-00048-6
- CSR. MERS outbreaks. (2021). World Health Organ Reg Off East Mediterr. Available online at: http://www.emro.who.int/health-topics/mers-cov/mersoutbreaks.html (accessed November 17, 2021).
- Cupertino, G. A., Cupertino, M. C., Gomes, A. P., Braga, L. M., and Siqueira-Batista, R. (2020). COVID-19 and Brazilian Indigenous Populations. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 103, 609–612. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0563
- Czubak, J., Stolarczyk, K., Orze, A., Fraczek, M., and Zatoński, T. (2021). Comparison of the clinical differences between COVID-19, SARS, influenza, and the common cold: a systematic literature review. Adv. Clin. Exp. Med. 30, 109–114. doi: 10.17219/acem/129573
- do Prado, M. F., Antunes, B. B. P., Bastos, L., S, Peres, I. T., da Silva, A. A. B., Dantas, L. F., et al. (2020). Analysis of COVID-19 under-reporting in Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Ter. Intensiva.* 32, 224–228. doi: 10.5935/0103-507X.20200030
- Dong, Y., Mo, X., Hu, Y., Qi, X., Jiang, F., Jiang, Z., et al. (2020). Epidemiology of COVID-19 among children in China. *Pediatrics*. 145, e20200702. doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-0702
- Elkholy, A. A. (2021). Evaluation of The Efficacy of Triazavirin Versus Oseltamivir in Egyptian Patients Infected With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). [Clinical trial registration]. clinicaltrials.gov. Available online at: https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04973462 (accessed December 2, 2021).
- Fellows, M., Paye, V., Alencar, A., Nicácio, M., Castro, I., Coelho, M. E., et al. (2021). Under-reporting of COVID-19 cases among indigenous peoples in Brazil: a new expression of old inequalities. *Front. Psychiatry.* 12, 638359. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.638359
- Fink, G., Orlova-Fink, N., Schindler, T., Grisi, S., Ferrer, A. P. S., Daubenberger, C., et al. (2021). Inactivated trivalent influenza vaccination is associated with lower mortality among patients with COVID-19 in Brazil. *BMJ Evid Based Med.* 26, 192–193. doi: 10.1136/bmjebm-2020-111549
- Fowler, R. A., Lapinsky, S. E., Hallett, D., Detsky, A. S., Sibbald, W. J., et al., and Toronto SARS Critical Care Group. (2003). Critically ill patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. JAMA. 290, 367–373. doi: 10.1001/jama.290.3.367
- Freitas, A. R. R., Beckedorff, O. A., Cavalcanti, L. P. G., Siqueira, A. M., Castro, D. B., Costa, C. F., et al. (2021). The emergence of novel SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 in Amazonas (Brazil) was temporally associated with a change in the age and sex profile of COVID-19 mortality: a population based ecological study. *Lancet Reg. Health Am.* 1, 100021. doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2021.100021
- Giannis, D., Ziogas, I. A., and Gianni, P. (2020). Coagulation disorders in coronavirus infected patients: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV and lessons from the past. J. Clin. Virol. 127, 104362. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104362

- Giovanetti, M., Fonseca, V., Wilkinson, E., Tegally, H., San, E. J., Althaus, C. L., et al. (2022). Replacement of the Gamma by the Delta variant in Brazil: Impact of lineage displacement on the ongoing pandemic. *Virus Evol.* 8, veac024. doi: 10.1093/ve/veac024
- Grasselli, G., Greco, M., Zanella, A., Albano, G., Antonelli, M., Bellani, G., et al. (2020). Risk Factors associated with mortality among patients with COVID-19 in intensive care units in lombardy, Italy. *JAMA Intern Med.* 180, 1345–1355. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3539
- Guan, W. J., Ni, Z. Y., Hu, Y., Liang, W. H., Ou, C. Q., He, J. X., et al. (2020). Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382, 1708–1720. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
- Gupta, N., Praharaj, I., Bhatnagar, T., Vivian Thangaraj, J. W., Giri, S., Chauhan, H., et al. (2020). Severe acute respiratory illness surveillance for coronavirus disease 2019, India, 2020. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 151, 236–240. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR\_1035\_20
- Gupta, S., Hayek, S. S., Wang, W., Chan, L., Mathews, K. S., Melamed, M. L., et al. (2020). Factors Associated With Death in Critically Ill Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in the US. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 180, 1436–1447. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3596
- Hajjar, L. A., Costa, I. B. S. S., Rizk, S. I., Biselli, B., Gomes, B. R., Bittar, C. S., et al. (2021). Intensive care management of patients with COVID-19: a practical approach. Ann. Intensive Care. 11, 36. doi: 10.1186/s13613-021-00820-w
- Hallal, P. C., Hartwig, F. P., Horta, B. L., Silveira, M. F., Struchiner, C. J., Vidaletti, L. P., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence in Brazil: results from two successive nationwide serological household surveys. *Lancet Glob. Health.* 8, e1390–e1398. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30387-9
- Hawkins, R. B., Charles, E. J., and Mehaffey, J. H. (2020). Socio-economic status and COVID-19-related cases and fatalities. *Public Health*. 189, 129–134. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2020.09.016
- Hazlett, D. T., Bell, T. M., Tukei, P. M., Ademba, G. R., Ochieng, W. O., Magana, J. M., et al. (1988). Viral etiology and epidemiology of acute respiratory infections in children in Nairobi, Kenya. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 39, 632–640. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.1988.39.632
- Helms, J., Tacquard, C., Severac, F., Leonard-Lorant, I., Ohana, M., Delabranche, X., et al. (2020). High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* 46, 1089–1098. doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-06062-x
- Hernández-Vásquez, A., Barrenechea-Pulache, A., and Azañedo, D. (2021). COVID-19 testing in Peru: low access and inequalities. J. Public Health Oxf. Engl. 43:e504–e506. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdab125
- IBGE | Portal do IBGE | IBGE. (2021). Available online at: https://www.ibge.gov. br/pt/inicio.html (accessed October 3, 2021).
- INEI-Perú: Perfil Sociodemográfico. (2021). Informe Nacional. Available online at: https://www.inei.gob.pe/media/MenuRecursivo/publicaciones\_digitales/ Est/Lib1539/index.html (accessed November 22, 2021).
- Jefferson, T., Jones, M. A., Doshi, P., Mar, C. B. D., Hama, R., Thompson, M. J., et al. (2014). Neuraminidase inhibitors for preventing and treating influenza in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2014, CD008965. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008965.pub4
- Jun, T., Nirenberg, S., Weinberger, T., Sharma, N., Pujadas, E., Cordon-Cardo, C., et al. (2021). Analysis of sex-specific risk factors and clinical outcomes in COVID-19. *Commun. Med.* 1, 3. doi: 10.1038/s43856-021-00006-2
- Kupek, E. (2021). How many more? Under-reporting of the COVID-19 deaths in Brazil in 2020. Trop. Med. Int. Health. 26, 1019–1028. doi: 10.1111/tmi.13628
- La Vignera, S., Cannarella, R., Condorelli, R. A., Torre, F., Aversa, A., and Calogero, A. E. (2020). Sex-Specific SARS-CoV-2 Mortality: Among Hormone-Modulated ACE2 Expression, Risk of Venous Thromboembolism and Hypovitaminosis D. *Int J Mol Sci.* 21, 2948. doi: 10.3390/ijms21082948
- Lau, H., Khosrawipour, T., Kocbach, P., Ichii, H., Bania, J., and Khosrawipour, V. (2021). Evaluating the massive underreporting and undertesting of COVID-19 cases in multiple global epicenters. *Pulmonology* 27, 110–115. doi: 10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.05.015
- Lee, N., Hui, D., Wu, A., Chan, P., Cameron, P., Joynt, G. M., et al. (2003). A major outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. N. Engl. J. Med. 348, 1986–1994. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa030685
- Lew, T. W. K., Kwek, T. K., Tai, D., Earnest, A., Loo, S., Singh, K., et al. (2003). Acute respiratory distress syndrome in critically ill patients with

severe acute respiratory syndrome. JAMA. 290, 374–380. doi: 10.1001/jama.29 0.3.374

- Liang, L. L., Tseng, C. H., Ho, H. J., and Wu, C. Y. (2020). Covid-19 mortality is negatively associated with test number and government effectiveness. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 12567. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68862-x
- Lieberman-Cribbin, W., Tuminello, S., Flores, R. M., and Taioli, E. (2020). Disparities in COVID-19 testing and positivity in New York City. Am. J. Prev. Med. 59, 326–332. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2020.06.005
- Lima-Costa, M. F., Barreto, S., and Giatti, L. (2002). A situação socioeconômica afeta igualmente a saúde de idosos e adultos mais jovens no Brasil? Um estudo utilizando dados da Pesquisa Nacional por Amostras de Domicílios PNAD/98. *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva*. 7, 813–824. doi: 10.1590/S1413-81232002000400015
- Liu, R., Han, H., Liu, F., Lv, Z., Wu, K., Liu, Y., et al. (2020). Positive rate of RT-PCR detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection in 4880 cases from one hospital in Wuhan, China, from Jan to Feb 2020. *Clin. Chim. Acta.* 505, 172–175. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2020.03.009
- Localiza SUS. (2021). Available online at: https://localizasus.saude.gov.br/ (accessed May 15, 2021).
- Loo, J., Spittle, D. A., and Newnham, M. (2021). COVID-19, immunothrombosis and venous thromboembolism: biological mechanisms. *Thorax*. 76, 412–420. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-216243
- Maleki Dana, P., Sadoughi, F., Hallajzadeh, J., Asemi, Z., Mansournia, M. A., Yousefi, B., et al. (2020). An insight into the sex differences in COVID-19 patients: what are the possible causes? *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 35, 438–441. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X20000837
- Marson, F. A. L. (2020). COVID-19 6 million cases worldwide and an overview of the diagnosis in Brazil: a tragedy to be announced. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 98, 115113. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2020.115113
- Marson, F. A. L., and Ortega, M. M. (2020). COVID-19 in Brazil. *Pulmonology* 26, 241–244. doi: 10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.04.008
- Martins-Filho, P. R., Araújo, B. C. L., Sposato, K. B., Araújo, A. A., S., Quintans-Júnior, L. J., et al. (2021). Racial disparities in COVID-19related Deaths in Brazil: Black Lives Matter? J. Epidemiol. 31, 239–240. doi: 10.2188/jea.JE20200589
- Mendes, M. F., Pereira, L. R., Lima, T. M., Melani, V. F., Palamim, C. V. C., Boschiero, M. N., et al. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic evolution in the Brazilian Indigenous population. *J. Racial Ethn. Health Disparities*. 9, 921–937 doi: 10.1007/s40615-021-01031-6
- Ministério da Saúde lança Plano Nacional de Expansão da Testagem para Covid-19. (2022). Secr Atenção Primária À Saúde. Available online at: https://aps. saude.gov.br/noticia/13857 (accessed May 12, 2022).
- Mody, A., Pfeifauf, K., Bradley, C., Fox, B., Hlatshwayo, M. G., Ross, W., et al. (2021). Understanding Drivers of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Racial Disparities: a Population-Level Analysis of COVID-19 Testing Among Black and White Populations. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 73, e2921–e2931. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1848
- Nacional, I. (2021). LEI No 14.021, DE 7 DE JULHO DE 2020 DOU - Imprensa Nacional. Available online at: https://www.in.gov.br/web/dou (accessed December 5, 2021).
- Naveca, F. G., Nascimento, V., de Souza, V. C., Corado, A. L., Nascimento, F., Silva, G., et al. (2021). COVID-19 in Amazonas, Brazil, was driven by the persistence of endemic lineages and P.1 emergence. *Nat. Med.* 27, 1230–1238. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01378-7
- NCHS Pressroom, 2001 News Release Women Visit Doctor More Often than Men. (2019). Available online at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/ 01news/newstudy.htm (accessed November 30, 2021).
- Netea, M. G., Giamarellos-Bourboulis, E. J., Domínguez-Andrés, J., Curtis, N., van Crevel, R., van de Veerdonk, F. L., et al. (2020). Trained immunity: a tool for reducing susceptibility to and the severity of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Cell*. 181, 969–977. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.042
- Niedzwiedz, C. L., O'Donnell, C. A., Jani, B. D., Demou, E., Ho, F. K., Celis-Morales, C., et al. (2020). Ethnic and socioeconomic differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection: prospective cohort study using UK Biobank. *BMC Med.* 18, 160. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01640-8
- Niquini, R. P., Lana, R. M., Pacheco, A. G., Cruz, O. G., Coelho, F. C., Carvalho, L. M., et al. (2020). Description and comparison of demographic characteristics and comorbidities in SARI from COVID-19, SARI from

influenza, and the Brazilian general population. *Cad. Saude Publica.* 36, e00149420. doi: 10.1590/0102-311x00149420

- Obi, A. T., Tignanelli, C. J., Jacobs, B. N., Arya, S., Park, P. K., Wakefield, T. W., et al. (2019). Empirical systemic anticoagulation is associated with decreased venous thromboembolism in critically ill influenza A H1N1 acute respiratory distress syndrome patients. J. Vasc. Surg. Venous Lymphat Disord. 7, 317–324. doi: 10.1016/j.jvsv.2018.08.010
- Oliveira, B. A., Oliveira, L. C., Sabino, E. C., and Okay, T. S. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 and the COVID-19 disease: a mini review on diagnostic methods. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop.* 62, e44. doi: 10.1590/s1678-9946202062044
- Oliveira, N. M., and Dallabrida, J. R. R. (2013). Desenvolvimento socioeconômico de um país periférico da América Latina: Brasil. *Obs Econ Latinoam*. Available online at: https://ideas.repec.org/a/erv/observ/y2013i1795.html (accessed December 5, 2021).
- Paixão, B., Baroni, L., Pedroso, M., Salles, R., Escobar, L., de Sousa, C., et al. (2021). Estimation of COVID-19 Under-Reporting in the Brazilian States Through SARI. New Gener Comput. 39, 623–645. doi: 10.1007/s00354-021-00125-3
- Palamim, C. V. C., Ortega, M. M., and Marson, F. A. L. (2020). COVID-19 in the indigenous population of Brazil. J. Racial Ethn. Health Disparities. 7, 1053–1058. doi: 10.1007/s40615-020-00885-6
- Pannu, A. K., Kumar, M., Singh, P., Shaji, A., Ghosh, A., Behera, A., et al. (2021). Severe Acute Respiratory Infection Surveillance during the Initial Phase of the COVID-19 Outbreak in North India: a comparison of COVID-19 to Other SARI Causes. *Indian J. Crit. Care Med.* 25, 761–767. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23882
- Peckham, H., de Gruijter, N. M., Raine, C., Radziszewska, A., Ciurtin, C., Wedderburn, L. R., et al. (2020). Male sex identified by global COVID-19 metaanalysis as a risk factor for death and ITU admission. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 6317. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19741-6
- Peiris, J. S. M., Chu, C. M., Cheng, V. C. C., Chan, K. S., Hung, I. F. N., Poon, L. L. M., et al. (2003). Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 361, 1767–1772. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13412-5
- Phua, J., Weng, L., Ling, L., Egi, M., Lim, C. M., Divatia, J. V., et al. (2020). Intensive care management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): challenges and recommendations. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 8, 506–517. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30161-2
- Pijls, B. G., Jolani, S., Atherley, A., Derckx, R. T., Dijkstra, J. I. R., Franssen, G. H. L., et al. (2021). Demographic risk factors for COVID-19 infection, severity, ICU admission and death: a meta-analysis of 59 studies. *BMJ Open.* 11, e044640. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044640
- Piret, J., and Boivin, G. (2021). Pandemics throughout history. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 3594. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.631736
- Planas, D., Veyer, D., Baidaliuk, A., Staropoli, I., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Rajah, M. M., et al. (2021). Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta to antibody neutralization. *Nature*. 596, 276–280. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03777-9
- Pletcher, M. J., Olgin, J. E., Peyser, N. D., Modrow, M. F., Lin, F., Martin, J., et al. (2021). Factors associated with access to and timing of coronavirus testing among us adults after onset of febrile illness. *JAMA Netw. Open.* 4, e218500. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.8500
- PNS (2019). Sete em cada dez pessoas que procuram o mesmo serviço de saúde vão à rede pública | Agência de Notícias. Available online at: https:// agenciadenoticias.ibge.gov.br/agencia-sala-de-imprensa/2013-agencia-de-noticias/releases/28793-pns-2019-sete-em-cada-dez-pessoas-que-procuram-o-mesmo-servico-de-saude-vao-a-rede-publica (accessed November 30, 2021).
- Princípios do SUS. (2021). Available online at: https://antigo.saude.gov.br/sistemaunico-de-saude/principios-do-sus (accessed October 12, 2021).
- Rader, B., Astley, C. M., Sy, K. T. L., Sewalk, K., Hswen, Y., Brownstein, J. S., et al. (2020). Geographic access to United States SARS-CoV-2 testing sites highlights healthcare disparities and may bias transmission estimates. *J. Travel. Med.* 27, taaa076. doi: 10.1093/jtm/taaa076
- Ray, C. G., Holberg, C. J., Minnich, L. L., Shehab, Z. M., Wright, A. L., and Taussig, L. M. (1993). Acute lower respiratory illnesses during the first three years of life: potential roles for various etiologic agents. The Group Health Medical Associates. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 12, 10–14. doi: 10.1097/00006454-199301000-00004

- RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby, P., Lim, W. S., Emberson, J. R., Mafham, M., Bell, J. L., Linsell, L., et al. (2021). Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med. 384, 693–704. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436
- Reina, J. (2021). Influenza vaccination in the time of SARS-CoV-2. *Med. Clin.* (*Barc*). 156, 17–19. doi: 10.1016/j.medcle.2020.10.003
- Rentsch, C. T., Kidwai-Khan, F., Tate, J. P., Park, L. S., King, J. T., Skanderson, M., et al. (2020). Patterns of COVID-19 testing and mortality by race and ethnicity among United States veterans: a nationwide cohort study. *PLoS Med.* 17, e1003379. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003379
- Revealing the toll of COVID-19. (2021). Available online at: https://www. who.int/publications-detail-redirect/revealing-the-toll-of-covid-19 (accessed November 13, 2021).
- Ribeiro, K. B., Ribeiro, A. F., de Sousa Mascena Veras, M. A., and de Castro, M. C. (2021). Social inequalities and COVID-19 mortality in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 50, 732–742. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab022
- Richardson, S., Hirsch, J. S., Narasimhan, M., Crawford, J. M., McGinn, T., Davidson, K. W., et al. (2020). Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA. 323, 2052–2059. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.6775
- Ritchie, H., Mathieu, E., Rodés-Guirao, L., Appel, C., Giattino, C., Ortiz-Ospina, E., et al. (2020). Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). *Our World Data*. Available online at: https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations (accessed October 3, 2021).
- Rößler, S., Ankert, J., Baier, M., Pletz, M. W., and Hagel, S. (2021). Influenzaassociated in-hospital mortality during the 2017/2018 influenza season: a retrospective multicentre cohort study in central Germany. *Infection*. 49, 149–152. doi: 10.1007/s15010-020-01529-x
- Ruutu, P., Halonen, P., Meurman, O., Torres, C., Paladin, F., Yamaoka, K., et al. (1990). Viral lower respiratory tract infections in Filipino children. *J. Infect Dis.* 161, 175–179. doi: 10.1093/infdis/161.2.175
- Sabino, E. C., Buss, L. F., Carvalho, M. P. S., Prete, C. A., Crispim, M. A. E., Fraiji, N. A., et al. (2021). Resurgence of COVID-19 in Manaus, Brazil, despite high seroprevalence. *Lancet.* 397, 452–455. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00183-5
- Saiba como é feita a definição de casos suspeitos de Covid-19 no Brasil. (2021). Minist Saúde. Available online at: https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-br/ coronavirus/artigos/definicao-e-casos-suspeitos (accessed December 1, 2021).
- Salyer, S. J., Maeda, J., Sembuche, S., Kebede, Y., Tshangela, A., Moussif, M., et al. (2021). The first and second waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in Africa: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 397, 1265–1275. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00632-2
- Sansone, N. M. S., Boschiero, M. N., Ortega, M. M., Ribeiro, I. A., Peixoto, A. O., Mendes, R. T., et al. (2022). Severe acute respiratory syndrome by SARS-CoV-2 infection or other etiologic agents among brazilian indigenous population: an observational study from the first year of coronavirus disease (COVID)-19 Pandemic. *Lancet Reg. Health Am.* 8, 100177. doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2021.100177
- Santos, V. S., Souza Araújo, A. A., de Oliveira, J. R., Quintans-Júnior, L. J., and Martins-Filho, P. R. (2020). COVID-19 mortality among Indigenous people in Brazil: a nationwide register-based study. J. Public Health Oxf. Engl. 43, e250–e251. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdaa176
- Saúde Portal da transparência. (2022). Available online at: https://www. portaltransparencia.gov.br/funcoes/10-saude?ano=2020 (accessed January 22, 2022).
- Scully, E. P., Haverfield, J., Ursin, R. L., Tannenbaum, C., and Klein, S. L. (2020). Considering how biological sex impacts immune responses and COVID-19 outcomes. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 20, 442–447. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-0348-8
- Secretaria de Estado de Saúde de Minas Gerais | Página inicial. (2022). Available online at: https://www.saude.mg.gov.br/ (accessed May 12, 2022).
- Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS). (2021). Available online at: https://www.who.int/westernpacific/health-topics/severe-acute-respiratorysyndrome (accessed December 1, 2021).
- Sharma, A., Kothari, N., Goel, A. D., Narayanan, B., Goyal, S., Bhatia, P., et al. (2021). Clinical features and mortality in COVID-19 SARI versus non COVID-19 SARI cases from Western Rajasthan, India. J. Fam. Med. Prim Care. 10, 3240–3246. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_14\_21
- Sharma, G., Volgman, A. S., and Michos, E. D. (2020). Sex differences in mortality from COVID-19 Pandemic. JACC Case Rep. 2, 1407–1410. doi: 10.1016/j.jaccas.2020.04.027

- Shen, N., Zhu, Y., Wang, X., Peng, J., Liu, W., Wang, F., et al. (2020). Characteristics and diagnosis rate of 5630 subjects receiving SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid tests from Wuhan, China. JCI Insight. 5, 137662. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.137662
- Shi, T., McLean, K., Campbell, H., and Nair, H. (2015). Aetiological role of common respiratory viruses in acute lower respiratory infections in children under five years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Glob. Health. 5, 010408. doi: 10.7189/jogh.05.010408
- Silva, N. N., Favacho, V. B. C., Boska, G. A., Andrade, E. C., Merces, N. P., Oliveira, M. A. F., et al. (2020). Access of the black population to health services: integrative review. *Rev. Bras. Enferm.* 73, e20180834. doi: 10.1590/0034-7167-2018-0834
- Silva, S. J. R., and Pena, L. (2021). Collapse of the public health system and the emergence of new variants during the second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil. One Health. 13, 100287. doi: 10.1016/j.onehlt.2021.100287
- Souch, J. M., and Cossman, J. S. (2021). A Commentary on Rural-Urban Disparities in COVID-19 Testing Rates per 100,000 and Risk Factors. J. Rural Health. 37, 188–190. doi: 10.1111/jrh.12450
- SRAG (2021). Banco de Dados de Síndrome Respiratória Aguda Grave incluindo dados da COVID-19 - Open Data. Available online at: https://opendatasus. saude.gov.br/dataset/bd-srag-2021 (accessed November 13, 2021).
- Stopa, S. R., Malta, D. C., Monteiro, C. N., Szwarcwald, C. L., Goldbaum, M., and Cesar, C. L. G. (2017). Use of and access to health services in Brazil, 2013 National Health Survey. *Rev. Saúde Pública*. 51, 3s. doi: 10.1590/s1518-8787.2017051000074
- Thomazelli, L. M., Vieira, S., Leal, A. L., Sousa, T. S., Oliveira, D. B. L., Golono, M. A., et al. (2007). Surveillance of eight respiratory viruses in clinical samples of pediatric patients in southeast Brazil. *J. Pediatr (Rio J)*. 83, 422–428. doi: 10.2223/JPED.1694
- Travassos, C., Oliveira, E. X. G., and Viacava, F. (2006). Desigualdades geográficas e sociais no acesso aos serviços de saúde no Brasil: 1998 e 2003. *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva*. 11, 975–986. doi: 10.1590/S1413-81232006000400019
- Tsuchiya, L. R. R. V., Costa, L. M. D., Raboni, S. M., Nogueira, M. B., Pereira, L. A., Rotta, I., et al. (2005). Viral respiratory infection in Curitiba, Southern Brazil. J. Infect. 51:401–407. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2004.12.002
- University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust (2020). Prospective, Randomized, Parallel-Group, Open-Label Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of IMU-838, in Combination With Oseltamivir, in Adults With COVID-19. [Clinical trial registration]. clinicaltrials.gov. Available online at: https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04516915 (accessed December 2, 2021).
- Veiga e Silva, L., de Andrade Abi Harb, M. D. P., Teixeira Barbosa dos Santos, A. M., de Mattos Teixeira, C. A., Macedo Gomes, V. H., Silva Cardoso, E. H., et al. (2020). COVID-19 Mortality Underreporting in Brazil: Analysis of Data From Government Internet Portals. *J. Med. Internet Res.* 22, e21413. doi: 10.2196/21413
- Viner, R. M., Mytton, O. T., Bonell, C., Melendez-Torres, G. J., Ward, J., Hudson, L., et al. (2021). Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection among children and

adolescents compared with adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr*. 175, 143–156. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4573

- Wei, J. F., Huang, F. Y., Xiong, T. Y., Liu, Q., Chen, H., Wang, H., et al. (2020). Acute myocardial injury is common in patients with COVID-19 and impairs their prognosis. *Heart Br. Card. Soc.* 106, 1154–1159. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317007
- Williamson, E. J., Walker, A. J., Bhaskaran, K., Bacon, S., Bates, C., Morton, C. E., et al. (2020). Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. *Nature*. 584, 430–436. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4
- Wu, Z., and McGoogan, J. M. (2020). Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA. 323, 1239–1242. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.2648
- Yoshikawa, M., and Asaba, K. (2021). Educational attainment decreases the risk of COVID-19 severity in the European population: a twosample mendelian randomization study. *Front. Public Health.* 9, 673451. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.673451
- Zangrillo, A., Beretta, L., Silvani, P., Colombo, S., Scandroglio, A. M., Dell'Acqua, A., et al. (2020). Fast reshaping of intensive care unit facilities in a large metropolitan hospital in Milan, Italy: facing the COVID-19 pandemic emergency. Crit. Care Resusc. 22, 91–94. doi: 10.51893/2020.2.pov1
- Zeiser, F. A., Donida, B., Costa, C. A., Ramos, G. O., Scherer, J. N., Barcellos, N. T., et al. (2022). First and second COVID-19 waves in Brazil: a cross-sectional study of patients' characteristics related to hospitalization and in-hospital mortality. *Lancet Reg. Health Am.* 6, 100107. doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2021.100107
- Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., et al. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 727–733. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Sansone, Boschiero and Marson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.