Check for updates

CASE REPORT Case Report: Exome sequencing reveals recurrent *RETSAT* mutations and a loss-of-function *POLDIP2* mutation in a rare undifferentiated tongue sarcoma [version 1; referees: 3 approved]

Jason Y. K. Chan ¹, Peony Hiu Yan Poon², Yong Zhang³, Cherrie W. K. Ng ¹, Wen Ying Piao², Meng Ma⁴, Kevin Y. Yip³, Amy B. W. Chan⁵, Vivian Wai Yan Lui²

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Kong

²School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong

³Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong ⁴Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

⁵Department of Anatomical and Cellular Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong

V1 First published: 26 Apr 2018, 7:499 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14383.1) Latest published: 26 Apr 2018, 7:499 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14383.1)

Abstract

Soft tissue sarcoma of the tongue represents a very rare head and neck cancer with connective tissue features, and the genetics underlying this rare cancer are largely unknown. There are less than 20 cases reported in the literature thus far. Here, we reported the first whole-exome characterization (>x200 depth) of an undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue in a 31-year-old male. Even with a very good sequencing depth, only 19 nonsynonymous mutations were found, indicating a relatively low mutation rate of this rare cancer (lower than that of human papillomavirus (HPV)-positive head and neck cancer). Yet, among the few genes that are somatically mutated in this HPV-negative undifferentiated tongue sarcoma, a noticeable deleterious frameshift mutation (with a very high allele frequency of >93%) of a gene for DNA replication and repair, namely POLDIP2 (DNA polymerase delta interacting protein 2), and two recurrent mutations of the adipogenesis and adipocyte differentiation gene RETSAT (retinol saturase), were identified. Thus, somatic events likely affecting adipogenesis and differentiation, as well as potential stem mutations to POLDIP2, may be implicated in the formation of this rare cancer. This identified somatic whole-exome sequencing profile appears to be distinct from that of other reported adult sarcomas from The Cancer Genome Atlas, suggesting a potential unique genetic profile for this rare sarcoma of the tongue. Interestingly, this low somatic mutation rate is unexpectedly found to be accompanied by multiple tumor protein p53 and NOTCH1 germline mutations of the patient's blood DNA. This may explain the very early age of onset of head and neck cancer, with likely hereditary predisposition. Our findings are, to our knowledge, the first to reveal a unique genetic profile of this very rare undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue.



- Tsung Lin Yang (D), National Taiwan University Hospital and College of Medicine, Taiwan
- 2 Marietta Tan, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, USA
- 3 Robin L. Jones, Royal Marsden Hospital, UK

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Keywords

Head and neck, Sarcoma of the tongue, low mutational burden, POLDIP2 and RETSAT mutations

Corresponding authors: Jason Y. K. Chan (jasonchan@ent.cuhk.edu.hk), Vivian Wai Yan Lui (vlui002@cuhk.edu.hk)

Author roles: Chan JYK: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Poon PHY: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Zhang Y: Formal Analysis, Methodology; Ng CWK: Investigation, Project Administration; Piao WY: Formal Analysis, Methodology; Ma M: Formal Analysis, Methodology; Yip KY: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Chan ABW: Formal Analysis, Methodology; Lui VWY: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Dr Stanley Ho Medical Foundation (to JYKC); General Research Fund from the Research Grant Council, Hong Kong (#1711484 and #17121616 to VWYL); Theme-based Research from the Research Grant Council, Hong Kong (T12-401/13-R to VWYL); Hong Kong Cancer Fund (to VWYL); and the Direct Grant for Research (#2016.095), the Chinese University of Hong Kong (to VWYL and JYKC). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2018 Chan JYK *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Chan JYK, Poon PHY, Zhang Y *et al.* Case Report: Exome sequencing reveals recurrent *RETSAT* mutations and a loss-of-function *POLDIP2* mutation in a rare undifferentiated tongue sarcoma [version 1; referees: 3 approved] *F1000Research* 2018, 7 :499 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14383.1)

First published: 26 Apr 2018, 7:499 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14383.1)

Introduction

Soft tissue sarcomas of the head and neck are an uncommon heterogeneous group of malignancies¹, with the most common subtype being malignant fibrous histiocytoma^{2,3}. Yet, undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue represents an even rarer tumor within this heterogeneous group of sarcomas with limited treatment options. Thus far in the literature, only about 20 cases have been reported⁴. At the time of writing, the underlying genetic aberrations of this rare undifferentiated soft tissue cancer of the tongue remains unknown. Here, we describe a case report of an undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue with associated pathological analysis and whole-exome sequencing (WES) of the tumor to identify the underlying genetic changes and attempt to determine if there exists any potential druggable mutations for treatment.

Case report

A 31-year-old Chinese male who had a 1-pack-year smoking history, was a non-drinker, had no significant past medical history, no exposure to radiation, no family history of carcinomas, particularly no family history of members with young onset malignancies, presented in December of 2016 with an anterior tongue mass. Patient biopsy revealed it to be an undifferentiated sarcoma. Further magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated a lesion localized to the tongue that involved the extrinsic tongue musculature. Subsequently the patient underwent a total glossectomy with bilateral selective neck dissection levels I-III with an anterolateral thigh-free-flap reconstruction in January 2017. The pathological findings from the surgical specimen are further described below. WES analyses were performed on the fresh surgically resected tumor (tumor content, >50%) and a paired blood sample. Adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation were recommended for this patient, but both options were declined because of concerns regarding long term toxicity and the effects on speech and swallowing. As of October 2017, the patient was disease-free with no documented recurrences on repeated MRI of the oral cavity and neck.

Pathological findings

The tumor mass measured grossly $7 \times 7 \times 5.5$ cm on examination following resection. On microscopic examination, the tumor was composed of mostly spindle cells arranged in short fascicles or a vague storiform pattern. Frequent mitotic figures and prominent tumoral necrosis were seen. No osseous, chondroid or rhadomyomatous differentiation was seen on haematoxylin and eosin staining (Figure 1–Figure 3). The closest margins were 2 mm and located at the postero-inferior, right and left margins.

Further immunohistochemical studies showed that the tumor cells were positive for vimentin and p16; had focal weak positivity for SMA; had moderate positivity for TLE-1; and were negative for p63, EMA, AE1/AE3 antibodies, c-Kit, S100, CD31, CD34, desmin, myogenin, melan A, HMB45 antibody, ALK-1, human herpesvirus 8 (HHV8), CD99 (MIC-2) and SRY-box 10 (SOX10). Calponin was equivocal. No loss of integrase interactor 1 (INI1) staining was noted. PCR for high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA was negative. The Molecular Break-Apart FISH test



Figure 1. Sarcoma forming cellular fascicles is seen in the stroma of the tongue, focally invading the intrinsic muscle (left upper corner). The surface squamous epithelium shows no dysplastic field change or connection with sarcoma. (H&E stain; magnification, ×20).



Figure 2. Tumor necrosis (pink foci) was prominent in the undifferentiated sarcoma. (H&E stain; magnification, ×40).



Figure 3. The sarcoma tumor cells possessed enlarged, elongated, pleomorphic and hyperchromatic nuclei, distinct nucleoli and small amount of cytoplasm. Mitotic figures were frequently noted. No osseous, chondroid or rhadomyomatous differentiation was seen. (H&E stain; magnification, ×400).

for SS18 translocation was negative. Overall the pathological features demonstrated a high-grade sarcoma with no definite line of differentiation, consistent with an undifferentiated sarcoma.

Mutational profile of the patient's tumor

WES at >200x depth (Illumina HiSeq 4000) was performed to determine the genetic aberrations underlying this rare form of head and neck cancer. Normal DNA from the patient's blood was also subjected to WES at the same depth. Surprisingly, WES revealed only 19 non-synonymous mutations in the tumor. The very low rate of non-synonymous mutations is uncommon in HPV-negative and HPV-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)⁵, and is slightly lower than that of reported sarcomas.

The somatically mutated genes were, in the order of allele frequencies of these 19 mutational events, POLDIP2 (DNA polymerase delta interacting protein 2), tubulin gamma complex associated protein 3TUBGCP3,, mutated in colorectal cancers (MCC), TUBA3D (tubulin alpha 3d), DDX11 (DEAD/H-box helicase 11), CWF19L2 (CWF19 like 2, cell cycle control), ZNF91 (zinc finger protein 91), RETSAT (retinol saturase) (2 mutations), PRR21 (proline rich 21), TAS2R46 (taste 2 receptor member 46), FAM186A (family with sequence similarity 186 member A), HEATR5A (HEAT repeat containing 5A), VPS4B (vacuolar protein sorting 4 homolog B), PRAMEF12 (PRAME family member 12), FAM170B (family with sequence similarity 170 member B), BBS4 (Bardet-Biedl syndrome 4), ARHGAP5 (Rho GTPase activating protein 5) and ATAD3B (ATPase family, AAA domain containing 3B) (Table 1). Strikingly, based on the functional annotation of these mutated genes, five of the top seven mutated genes with high allele frequencies (>10%) were known to be involved in DNA replication, and mitosis (Table 1). This suggests that major somatic mutations of this rare tumor appear to affect DNA replication and mitosis, consistent with an aggressive phenotype. Of note, the patient's tumor carried a 93% allele frequency of the POLDIP2 S28fs mutation, which is a hotspot mutation in multiple cancers. In addition, the MCC gene was also mutated in this rare tumor (MCC p.G20S) at a high allele frequency of 28%, indicating its likely role as a driver event for tumorigenesis. Interestingly, the PRR21 mutation is also found to be mutated at high frequencies in TCGA HNSCC cohort with a hotspot mutation S86Gfs*291 and M48Tfs*329 mutation, while in this tumor, PRR21 mutation occurred at G114C, a position near to these two hotspot mutations in HNSCC.

Most strikingly, among all the somatic mutations identified in this patient, we found that recurrent somatic events of *RETSAT* (*RETSAT* p.A533V and p.G536R mutations), a gene known to be important for the promotion of adipogenesis and normal adipocyte differentiation. It is plausible that *RETSAT* mutations may affect adipocyte adipogenesis and differentiation, related to the sarcoma features of this rare tumor.

Gene copy number analysis was also performed and a total of 221 somatic copy number alterations (CNA) events were identified

(Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 1). The somatic CNA detected in this patient did not harbor any of these common HNSCC CNA events including losses of chr. 3p and 8p, as well as focal amplification or gains of chr. 3q26/28, 5p15, and $8q24^5$. The common CNA events in sarcomas including aberrations of the MDM2-p53 and p16-cyclin dependent kinase 4 (CDK4)-retinoblastoma-associated protein pathways, deletion of *TP53* (tumor protein p53), *CDKN2A* (cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A), as well as chr. 12q13-q15 and *CDK4* amplification were also absent in this patient⁶. These results suggest that this unique tumor is likely distinct from HNSCC and adult soft tissue sarcomas.

Multiple germline mutations in key tumor suppressor genes Given the young age of this patient, we also carefully examined potential aberrations in his germline mutational profile by WES of his blood DNA. Importantly, we found that this patient carried multiple germline mutational events of TP53, including a missense mutation of TP53 p.P72R (100% allele frequency), 2 mutations at the immediate 5'-UTR, likely in the promoter region of TP53 (c.-112G>A; and c.-123C>G; both with 100% allele frequencies), as well as two other intron variants with unknown effects (Table 2). The TP53 germline events likely explain the early age of onset for cancer for this patient. In addition, a 5'-UTR mutation, likely at the promoter region of CDKN2A, was identified (c.*193G>C), with an allele frequency of 100%. A CASP8 (caspase 8) missense mutation, CASP8 p.L14R, was also found in this patient's blood with a 46.7% allele frequency. However, the potential function of this mutation is unclear. Lastly, this patient carries as many as 23 germline variants of NOTCH1; however, none of these variants are nonsynonymous mutations, and the effects of these variants are largely unknown. No telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter or exon mutation was found. Lastly, no germline gene copy number alterations were observed for any critical HNSCC-associated oncogenes or suppressor genes (Supplementary Table 2)

Discussion

The development of soft tissue sarcomas in the oral cavity and head and neck region in general are rare, with the development of an undifferentiated sarcoma in the oral cavity of an even lower likelihood^{7,8}. A US population database analysis of head and neck sarcoma patients identified that the median age of adults affected was 55-59 years old, most commonly affecting the skin and soft tissues². This contrasts with the young age of our patient at presentation (31 years). The presence of a rare soft tissue sarcoma in a young patient provided the evidence to evaluate for germline mutations in addition to the somatic mutations, leading to the discovery of multiple germline TP53 mutations, as well as multiple CDKN2A, and potentially multiple NOTCH1 mutations of unclear functions. Notably, a CASP8 mutation was also found in his germline. It is important to note that all these genes are known to be somatically mutated in HNSCC^{5,9}.

Table 1. List of the 19 non-synonymous mutations found in the undifferentiated sarcoma with their mutation type, allele frequency and potential function.

Potential gene function	DNA replication and DNA repair	largely unknown, tubulin gamma may be involved in mitosis	negative regulator of cell cycle	tubulin family, transport, mitosis	putative RNA helicases	likely in cell cycle control	unknown	promote adipogenesis and favors normal adipocyte differentiation	unknown	unknown	unknown	promote adipogenesis and favors normal adipocyte differentiation	intracellular protein trafficking	unknown	unknown	Bardet-Biedl syndrome gene family, associated with severe pigmentary retinopathy, obesity, polydactyly, renal malformation and cognitive disability.	Taste receptor	Negatively regulates RHO GTPases in cytoskeleton changes	Interact with matrix nucleoid complexes
Full gene name	DNA polymerase delta interacting protein 2	Tubulin gamma complex associated protein 3	Mutated in colorectal cancers	Tubulin alpha 3d	DEAD/H-box helicase 11	CWF19 like 2, cell cycle control	Zinc finger protein 91	Retinol saturase	Proline rich 21	Family with sequence similarity 186 member A	HEAT repeat containing 5A	Retinol saturase	Vacuolar protein sorting 4 homolog B	PRAME family member 12	Family with sequence similarity 170 member B	Bardet-Biedl syndrome 4	Taste 2 receptor member 46	Rho GTPase activating protein 5	ATPase family, AAA domain containing 3B
Allele Frequency	93%	50%	28%	15%	14%	13%	11%	9%	9%	7%	7%	6%	6%	5%	5%	ۍ %	4%	4%	3%
Amino acid change	Ser 28fs	Thr412_ Pro416del	Gly20Ser	Ala126Val	Arg186Trp	Glu92Lys	Ala310Asp	Ala533Val	Gly114Cys	Thr1377Pro	Met1256lle	Gly536Arg	Arg366Cys	lle115Val	Ala157Ser	lle239Thr	Leu98Pro	Glu489Lys	Tyr604His
Nucleotide change	c.83dupG	c.1235_ 1249delCGCGCGCGACTTTCCCA	c.58G>A	c.377C>T	c.556C>T	c.274G>A	c.929C>A	c.1598C>T	c.340G>T	c.4129A>C	c.3768G>A	c.1606G>A	c.1096C>T	c.343A>G	c.469G>T	c.716T>C	c.293T>C	c.1465G>A	c.1810T>C
Mutation type	Frameshift insertion	Frameshift deletion	Missense	Missense variant & splice region variant	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense	Missense
Chromosome position	chr17:26,684,390	chr13:113,201,852	chr5:112824054	chr2:132237643	chr12:31237978	chr11:107325241	chr19:23544852	chr2:85570857	chr2:240982060	chr12:50746486	chr14:31792790	chr2:85570849	chr18:61060779	chr1:12835741	chr10:50340041	chr15.73023650	chr12:11214601	chr14:32561340	chr1:1431060
Gene	POLDIP2	TUBGCP3	MCC	TUBA3D	DDX11	CWF19L2	ZNF91	RETSAT	PRR21	FAM186A	HEATR5A	RETSAT	VPS4B	PRAMEF12	FAM170B	BBS4	TAS2R46	ARHGAP5	ATAD3B



Figure 4. A Circos plot showing somatic genetic variations. An ideogram of a normal karyotype is shown in the outermost ring. Chromosomes are segmented into contiguous bins of 1 Mb in size. The second ring from outside shows CNVs at corresponding chromosomal positions. Distinct heights of red dots indicate different inferred copy numbers. Regions that are not covered by any dots have a copy number of two. The third ring shows SNV density. Purple dots with different heights indicate SNV densities of the corresponding regions. The innermost ring shows positions of genes with non-synonymous mutations found in the undifferentiated sarcoma. CNV, copy number variation; SNV, single nucleotide variant.

Gene	Chromosome position	Mutation type	Nucleotide change	Amino acid change	Allele frequency
CASP8	Chr. 2: 202,122,995	Missense	c.41A>G	Lys14Arg	46.7
CASP8	Chr. 2: 202,141,512	Intron	c.838-38C>T		62.0
CASP8	Chr. 2: 202,151,163	Intron	c.1482-19A>G		50.4
CASP8	Chr. 2: 202,152,162	3_prime_UTR	c.*845T>A		76.9
CDKN2A	Chr. 9: 21,968,199	3_prime_UTR	c.*193G>C		100.0
HRAS	Chr. 11: 534,242	Synonymous	c.81T>C	His27His	44.7
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,391,636	Synonymous	c.6555C>T	Asp2185Asp	99.4
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,396,408	Intron	c.5473-43T>C		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,396,690	Intron	c.5384+34G>A		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,397,707	Synonymous	c.5094C>T	Asp1698Asp	99.4
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,400,406	Intron	c.4015-73G>A		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,400,904	Intron	c.4014+75G>A		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,401,504	Intron	c.3644-79C>T		85.7
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,402,663	Intron	c.3325+21A>G		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,402,908	Intron	c.3172-71A>G		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,403,240	Intron	c.3171+81_3171+82insC		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,403,268	Intron	c.3171+54A>G		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,403,554	Intron	c.2970-31A>G		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,405,261	Splice_region_& intron	c.2588-4G>A		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,407,452	Intron	c.2467+21G>A		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,407,932	Synonymous	c.2265T>C	Asn755Asn	100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,410,177	Intron	c.1670-9A>G		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,410,424	Intron	c.1669+9T>C		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,410,589	Intron	c.1556-43T>C		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,410,679	Intron	c.1556-133A>G		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,411,714	Intron	c.1555+10A>G		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,411,880	Intron	c.1442-43C>T		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,412,197	Splice_region_& intron	c.1441+7C>T		100.0
NOTCH1	Chr. 9: 139,418,260	Synonymous	c.312T>C	Asn104Asn	46.2
TP53	Chr. 17: 7,578,115	Intron	c.672+62A>G		100.0
TP53	Chr. 17: 7,578,645	5_prime_UTR	c112G>A		100.0
TP53	Chr. 17: 7,579,472	Missense	c.215C>G	Pro72Arg	100.0
TP53	Chr. 17: 7,579,643	Intron	c.96+41_97-54delACCTGGAGGGCTGGGG		84.4
TP53	Chr. 17: 7,579,801	5_prime_UTR	c123C>G		100.0

Table 2. List of germline mutations identified by gene, chromosome position, mutation type, nucleotide change, amino acid change and allele frequency.

Interestingly, the somatic mutational profile of this tumor was rather distinct from both HNSCC and sarcomas, with a relatively low number of non-synonymous mutations. Furthermore, unlike the genetic profile of soft tissue sarcomas, our sarcoma patient lacked the common somatic mutations in TP53, PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) and CDKN2A^{6,10}. Moreover, his tumor also lacked the most common somatic mutations of HNSCC, such as TP53, NOTCH1, CKDN2A, PIK3CA (phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha) and FAT1 (FAT atypical cadherin 1)5,11,12. This was partly contributed to by his heavy germline mutations of some of these key tumor suppressor genes, including TP53 and CDKN2A, which are commonly mutated in both sarcoma and HNSCC, usually somatically. Furthermore, his germline events also carried CASP8 and NOTCH1 mutations, which are commonly somatically mutated in HNSCC rather than in the germline. This difference in mutation profile in conjunction with the young age of the patient led us to consider heavy germline mutations as a possible underlying cause of this rare undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue.

Germline mutations in *TP53* are associated with familial clustering of early onset carcinomas, including pre-menopausal breast cancer, soft tissue sarcomas, adrenal cortical carcinomas or choroid plexus carcinomas, which together are classified as Li–Fraumeni syndrome based on Chompret criteria^{13–16}. Li–Fraumeni-like syndrome represents a subset of patients with familial clustering that do not meet the criteria for Li–Fraumeni syndrome¹⁵. However, *de novo* germline mutations in *TP53* have been described, proven and are thought to be relatively common^{17,18}. Our case highlights the importance of considering *TP53* mutations in early onset soft tissue sarcomas, even in those without familial histories, as this offers the potential to appropriately manage the patient and possibly the family.

The development of soft tissue sarcomas in an uncommon location in a young patient indicated the possibility of germline events, despite the lack of a family history of carcinomas at a young age. The early detection of these mutations can be useful in treating these patients, given the consideration that radiation wherever possible should be avoided to prevent the development of radiation-induced second malignancies^{19–22}. Regarding the surveillance for second primary cancers, fullbody MRI examinations and positron emission tomography have been recommended, as opposed to exposure to radiation with computed tomography¹⁹. This case highlights the need for vigilance and consideration of possible hereditary predisposition syndromes or *de novo* germline mutations in patients with rare tumours at a young age and with atypical genetic profiles.

Methods

Tissue collection

Tumor tissue and blood sample were collected from the patient under written informed consent according to The Joint

Chinese University of Hong Kong – New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee, Hong Kong SAR (protocol number CRE-2015.396). The tumor mass was freshly frozen for DNA extraction using the QIAGEN DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit. Blood DNA was extracted from patient's buffy coat in a similar manner. DNA samples were then quantified and quality-assessed using a bioanalyzer.

Exome sequencing and variant calling

Genomic DNA of the patient's tumor and buffy coat were used for WES using the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon V5 Kit, with sequencing performed using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Macrogen, Korea) with a goal coverage of $\times 200$ for tumor and $\times 100$ for the blood (buffy coat) sample of the same patient.

Upon sequencing, all reads (FASTQ files) were mapped to the hg19 human reference genome assembly with Burrows-Wheeler Alignment Tool (version 0.7.12). Variant calling of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and indels was performed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (version v3.4.0) HaplotypeCaller pipeline. Called variants were annotated with SnpEff (version 4.3), the exome sequencing data have been deposited into European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with accession number PRJEB25783. Somatic mutations were defined as mutations found in the tumor tissue of the patient but not in the patient's blood. All identified recurrent mutations were confirmed in Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (version 2.4) as a final check of the calling (100% accurate).

Copy number alterations (CNAs)

CNAs were analyzed from segmented WES data using the Control-FREEC copy number and genotype caller (version 11.0) in both somatic and germline mode. In both modes, captured genomic regions were characterized using the SureSelect Human All Exon V5 bed file. Somatic CNA analyses were run by referencing tumor WES data to the paired blood WES data, whereas germline CNA analysis were run by referencing blood WES data to the hg19 human genome assembly. Identified regions were annotated with ANNOVAR (version 2017-07-17) and SnpEff. Segments with CNA were manually confirmed with an IGV check. Genetic variations were visualized using Circos (version 0.69) with "chromosomes_unites = 1000000".

Immunohistochemical staining

The patient's tumor tissue was FFPE-preserved and sectioned (5–8 µm) for immunohistochemical and H&E staining. Immunohistochemical staining was performed with the following antibodies: Melan A (M7196, Dako, Denmark), HMB34 (M0634, Dako, Denmark), ALK-1 (M7195, Dako, Denmark), HHV8 (NCL-HHV8-LNA, Novo, UK), MIC-2 (M3601, Dako, Denmark), SOX-10 (ACI3099, Biocare, USA), Calp (M3556, Dako, Denmark), INI1 (612110, DB transduction, USA), Vimentin (M0725, Dako, Denmark), p16 (805-4713, Ventana, USA),

SMA (M0851, Dako, Denmark), p53 (M7001, Dako, Denmark), TLE1 (401M-16, Cell Marque, USA), p63 (M7317, Dako, Denmark), EMA (MS348, Thermo, UK), AE1/3 (M3515, Dako, Denmark), c-kit (A4502, Dako, Denmark), S100 (NCL-S100p, Leica, UK), CD31 (M0823, Dako, Denmark), CD34 (NCL-L-END, Leica, UK), Desmin (M0760, Dako, Denmark) and Myogenin (M3559, Dako, Denmark). Results were examined by an experienced pathologist for the presence or absence of the target proteins. Pictures were taken under a light microscope at ×20, ×40 and ×400 magnification.

HPV analysis

The tumor cells from the formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections were isolated for DNA extraction. The DNA was subjected to PCR analysis using the consensus primers GP5+/6+, as previously documented²³.

Consent

Written informed consent for publication of their clinical details and accompanying images was obtained from the patient.

Data availability

The exome sequencing data have been deposited into European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with accession number PRJEB25783.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

Dr Stanley Ho Medical Foundation (to JYKC); General Research Fund from the Research Grant Council, Hong Kong (#1711484 and #17121616 to VWYL); Theme-based Research from the Research Grant Council, Hong Kong (T12-401/13-R to VWYL); Hong Kong Cancer Fund (to VWYL); and the Direct Grant for Research (#2016.095), the Chinese University of Hong Kong (to VWYL and JYKC).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table 1. Somatic copy number variations obtained from DNA taken from the patient's tumor. Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Table 2. Germline copy number variations obtained from DNA taken from the patient's blood. Click here to access the data.

References

- Cormier JN, Pollock RE: Soft tissue sarcomas. CA Cancer J Clin. 2004; 54(2): 94–109.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Peng KA, Grogan T, Wang MB: Head and neck sarcomas: analysis of the SEER database. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014; 151(4): 627–633.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Shuman AG, Brennan MF, Palmer FL, et al.: Soft tissue sarcoma of the head & neck: nomogram validation and analysis of staging systems. J Surg Oncol. 2015; 111(6): 690–695.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Nguyen A, Vaudreuil A, Haun P, et al.: Clinical Features and Treatment of Fibrous Histiocytomas of the Tongue: A Systematic Review. Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2018; 22(1): 94–102.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network: Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015; 517(7536): 576–582.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Electronic address: elizabeth.demicco@ sinaihealthsystem.ca, Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network: Comprehensive and Integrated Genomic Characterization of Adult Soft Tissue Sarcomas. Cell. 2017; 171(4): 950–965.e28.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ariyoshi Y, Shimahara M, Omura K, et al.: Epidemiological study of malignant tumors in the oral and maxillofacial region: survey of member institutions of

the Japanese Society of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, 2002. Int J Clin Oncol. 2008; 13(3): 220–228. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 Chang AE, Chai X, Pollack SM, et al.: Analysis of clinical prognostic factors for adult patients with head and neck sarcomas. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014; 151(6): 976–983.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Stransky N, Egloff AM, Tward AD, et al.: The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Science. 2011; 333(6046): 1157–1160.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jour G, Scarborough JD, Jones RL, et al.: Molecular profiling of soft tissue sarcomas using next-generation sequencing: A pilot study toward precision therapeutics. Hum Pathol. 2014; 45(8): 1563–1571.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Agrawal N, Frederick MJ, Pickering CR, et al.: Exome sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma reveals inactivating mutations in NOTCH1. Science. 2011; 333(6046): 1154–1157.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Stransky N, Egloff AM, Tward AD, et al.: The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Science. 2011; 333(6046): 1157–1160.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Li FP, Fraumeni JF Jr, Mulvihill JJ, et al.: A cancer family syndrome in twentyfour kindreds. Cancer Res. 1988; 48(18): 5358–5362.
 PubMed Abstract

- Malkin D, Li FP, Strong LC, et al.: Germ line p53 mutations in a familial syndrome of breast cancer, sarcomas, and other neoplasms. *Science*. 1990; 250(4985): 1233–1238.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Olivier M, Hollstein M, Hainaut P: TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010; 2(1): a001008.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Gonzalez KD, Noltner KA, Buzin CH, et al.: Beyond Li Fraumeni Syndrome: clinical characteristics of families with p53 germline mutations. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27(8): 1250–1256.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Chompret A, Brugières L, Ronsin M, et al.: P53 germline mutations in childhood cancers and cancer risk for carrier individuals. Br J Cancer. 2000; 82(12): 1932–1937. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Lalloo F, Varley J, Moran A, et al.: BRCA1, BRCA2 and TP53 mutations in very early-onset breast cancer with associated risks to relatives. Eur J Cancer. 2006; 42(8): 1143–1150.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Agir H, MacKinnon C, Tan ST: Li-Fraumeni syndrome: a case with 4 separate primary sarcomas and 5 sequential free flaps in the maxillofacial region. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2008; 66(8): 1714–1719.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Varley J: TP53, hChk2, and the Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Methods Mol Biol. 2003; 222: 117–129.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hisada M, Garber JE, Fung CY, et al.: Multiple primary cancers in families with Li-Fraumeni syndrome. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1998; 90(8): 606–611.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Limacher JM, Frebourg T, Natarajan-Ame S, et al.: Two metachronous tumors in the radiotherapy fields of a patient with Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Int J Cancer. 2001; 96(4): 238–242.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Jacobs MV, Snijders PJ, van den Brule AJ, et al.: A general primer GP5+/GP6(+)mediated PCR-enzyme immunoassay method for rapid detection of 14 highrisk and 6 low-risk human papillomavirus genotypes in cervical scrapings. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1997; 35(3): 791–795.
 PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:

Version 1

Referee Report 11 July 2018

doi:10.5256/f1000research.15650.r34003

Robin L. Jones

Sarcoma Unit, Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK

J J

This is an informative and well written report on a very rare cancer, undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue. The authors could consider discussing the challenges of the pathological classification of sarcomas. For instance, they reference a review by Dr Nguyen and colleagues – this review cites studies dating from the 1980s. There have been clear improvements in the classification of sarcomas over this time. Consequently, this strengthens the importance of the current study which provides detailed exome sequencing data.

I agree with other referees that it is not surprising that the profile of an undifferentiated sarcoma is different to that of a head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail? Yes

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?

Yes

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment? Yes

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Expertise: Sarcomas and Phase I trials

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Referee Report 15 May 2018

doi:10.5256/f1000research.15650.r33531



Marietta Tan

Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue is a rare malignancy of the head and neck, and its underlying genetic alterations have not previously been investigated. In this case report, the authors performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) of a tongue sarcoma and paired blood sample of a 31-year-old male. They identified a fairly low mutation rate, with mutations in *POLDIP2*, a gene important in DNA replication and repair, and *RETSAT*, a gene involved in adipogenesis. WES analysis of the patient's blood DNA revealed mutations in *TP53*, *CDKN2A*, *CASP8*, and *NOTCH1*.

Overall, this is a well-written case report of a rare tumor arising in a patient with an interesting germline mutational profile. The authors should consider the following:

- 1. Is "undifferentiated sarcoma of the tongue" a distinct clinicopathologic entity? The introduction discusses the rarity of undifferentiated sarcomas of the tongue but then references a review of malignant fibrous histiocytoma.
- 2. Several times throughout the manuscript, the authors compare the mutational profile of the tongue sarcoma to that of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. They remark that the mutational profiles of the two tumor types are distinct. I would not necessarily expect that the mutational events underlying a mesenchymal-derived tumor would be similar to an epithelial-derived tumor. Can the authors comment on why they expected that the mutational profiles might be similar?

Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?

Yes

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment? Yes

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Referee Report 08 May 2018

doi:10.5256/f1000research.15650.r33489



Tsung Lin Yang 🔟

Department of Otolaryngology, National Taiwan University Hospital and College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan

A rare undifferentiated tongue sarcoma showing recurrent *RETSAT* mutations and a loss-of-function *POLDIP2* mutation was presented in this case report. By showing comprehensive genetic analyses, it was interesting to identify the unique presentation of the common genes found in head and neck cancer, including TP53, CDKN2A, and NOTCH1. It is undoubtedly that the genetic profiles of this rare tongue sarcoma is different from those found in the common HNSCC because of distinct pathological background. Nonetheless, the presentations of this case different from the common sarcoma merit further confirmation. If it is really the case, it infers the possibility that the anatomical factor is presumably involved. Data related to the sarcoma of head and neck is suggested to be compared. Although this case might provide a chance to evaluate the mutual influence between the germline and somatic mutation, evidences are required to confirm whether the heavy germline mutation indeed affect the incidence of common somatic mutation found in this patient.

Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail? $\gamma_{\mbox{es}}$

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?

Yes

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment? Yes

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review

• Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

