Research Article

Calotropis procera Latex Extract Affords Protection against Inflammation and Oxidative Stress in Freund's Complete Adjuvant-Induced Monoarthritis in Rats

Vijay L. Kumar and Sanjeev Roy

Department of Pharmacology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi 110 029, India

Received 1 December 2006; Revised 12 February 2007; Accepted 12 February 2007

In view of the well-established anti-inflammatory properties of latex of *Calotropis procera* (DL), the present study was carried out to evaluate the protective effect of its methanol extract (MeDL) against inflammation and oxidative stress in monoarthritis induced by Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA) in rats. Intra-articular injection of FCA produced inflammation of the joint with a peak effect occurring on day 4 where a maximum increase in the levels of myeloperoxidase and inflammatory mediators like PGE₂, TNF- α , and nitric oxide was observed. This was associated with oxidative stress with a marked reduction in the levels of glutathione, catalase, superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase and an increase in the lipid peroxidation as indicated by the higher levels of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARSs). Subsequently on day 28 the histological analysis of the joint also revealed arthritic changes. Daily treatment of rats with MeDL (50 and 500 mg/kg) and standard anti-inflammatory drug rofecoxib (20 and 100 mg/kg), produced a significant attenuation in the inflammatory response and ameliorated the arthritic changes in the joint. The protection afforded by MeDL and rofecoxib was more pronounced than that of phenylbutazone and was associated with normalization of the levels of inflammatory mediators and biochemical parameters of oxidative stress. However, the overall protection afforded by rofecoxib was better than that of MeDL.

Copyright © 2007 V. L. Kumar and S. Roy. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

The incidence of degenerative and inflammatory joint diseases, namely osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis, is very high over the world [1, 2]. Typically arthritis is a common inflammatory disorder of the joint characterized by inflammation of the synovial membrane, pain, and restricted joint movement. Experimentally arthritis could be induced by various inflammagens of which Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA) is the most commonly used agent [3, 4]. Intraarticular injection of FCA is known to induce inflammation as well as immune response and to produce features that resemble rheumatoid arthritis in humans. The acute inflammatory response induced by FCA is associated with leukocyte infiltration, mast cell activation, and release of cytokines and free radicals [5, 6]. This process gets aggravated with macrophage activation and secretion of bioactive products that play an important role in tissue destruction, vascular proliferation, and fibrosis over a period of time [7].

The role of cytokines like IL-1, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), prostaglandins (PGs), and nitric oxide

(NO) in arthritis has been well established. The levels of these inflammatory mediators have been reported to be high in both experimental models of arthritis and in patients suffering from arthritis [8, 9]. Besides, generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and other free radicals also contribute to the pathogenesis of arthritis [10]. In view of the underlying mechanisms, both nonsteroidal and steroidal antiinflammatory drugs are used for the management of arthritis [11]. However, due to side effects associated with the longterm use of these agents, many patients tend to use alternative therapeutic approaches including herbal therapies that have been considered safe and effective in alleviating chronic pain associated with arthritis [12].

Calotropis procera (Ait.) R. Br., a wild growing plant of family *Asclepiadaceae*, is well known for its medicinal properties. Different parts of this plant have been reported to exhibit anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antioxidant properties [13]. The latex of this plant produces potent antiinflammatory, analgesic, and weak antipyretic effects in various animal models [14–16]. Both latex and its methanol extract (MeDL) have been shown to inhibit inflammatory cell influx and edema formation induced by various inflammagens [17]. It also improves locomotor functions in experimentally induced monoarthritis in rats (unpublished findings). In view of these properties, the present study was carried out to evaluate the effect of MeDL on the levels of PGE₂, TNF- α , nitric oxide (NO), myeloperoxidase (MPO), oxidative stress parameters, and joint histology in FCA-induced monoarthritis in rats. The effect of MeDL was compared with rofecoxib, a selective COX-2 (cyclooxygenase-2) inhibitor, and phenylbutazone (PBZ) a nonselective COX inhibitor.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant material and drugs

The C. procera plant was identified by the Raw Materials, Herbarium and Museum Division, National Institute of Science and Communication, CSIR, New Delhi, where a voucher specimen is preserved (Voucher no. PID 1739). The latex was collected from the aerial parts of the plant growing in the wild. It was dried under shade at ambient temperature and was soxhlated to obtain methanol extract (MeDL) [18]. The MeDL was triturated with gum acacia used as suspending agent (1 : 1) in normal saline (NS), and administered orally to rats at doses ranging from 50 to 500 mg/kg (MeDL 50 and MeDL 500). Rofecoxib was administered orally at 20 and 100 mg/kg doses (Rofe 20 and Rofe 100) and phenylbutazone at a dose of 100 mg/kg (PBZ). The drugs used in the study were obtained from Arbro Pharmaceuticals (New Delhi, India) (rofecoxib and phenylbutazone). Freund's complete adjuvant was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (Bangalore, India).

2.2. Animals

The study was carried out on 5-6-month-old Wistar rats of either sex weighing 150–180 g. The rats were obtained from the Experimetal Animal Facility of the Institute, were kept at ambient temperature, and had free access to water and diet. The animal experiments were carried in accordance with the guidelines of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee.

2.3. Experimental design

Monoarticular arthritis was induced in rats by injecting 0.1 mL of 0.1% FCA (Sigma Aldrich, USA) into the intraarticular space of right ankle joint (day 0) [19]. The increase in joint diameter was measured daily starting from day 0, using a screw gauge till the time of peak inflammation (day 4), and then it was measured every fourth day for a period of 28 days. The rats were divided into seven groups, consisting of six animals each for analysis of histological and biochemical parameters. Group I: normal control; Group II: FCA control. In Group III to Group VII, drugs were administered orally as suspension with gum acacia in NS, 1 hour before injecting FCA on day 0 and then daily either for 4 days or for 28 days at doses based on our earlier studies where no observable toxic effects were seen [17, 20, 21], Group III: MeDL (50 mg/kg, MeDL 50); Group IV: MeDL (500 mg/kg, MeDL 500); Group V: rofecoxib (20 mg/kg, Rofe 20); Group VI: rofecoxib (100 mg/kg, Rofe 100); Group VII: phenylbutazone (100 mg/kg, PBZ).

2.4. Determination of levels of oxidative stress parameters and inflammatory mediators

The levels of biochemical markers of oxidative stress and inflammatory mediators were determined at the site of inflammation. Animals were sacrificed at the time of peak inflammation (day 4) and the tissue of the arthritic joint was removed and processed for the estimation of glutathione (GSH, mg/g tissue) [22], catalase (U/mg protein) [23], superoxide dismutase (SOD, U/mg protein) [24], glutathione peroxidase (GPx, U/mg protein) [25], thiobarbituric acidreactive substances (TBARSs) as a measure of malondialdehyde (MDA, nmol/g tissue) [26], nitric oxide (NO, μ M/mg tissue) [27], prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂, pg/mg tissue, R&D Systems), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α , pg/mg tissue, Diaclone Research), and myeloperoxidase (MPO, OD/mg tissue) [28] levels.

2.5. Estimation of protein

The protein concentration of the samples was determined by Bradford's method [29].

2.6. Histological analysis

Rats were sacrificed on day 28, the limbs were removed above the stifle joints, degloved and fixed in 1% formaldehyde in saline. They were decalcified in EDTA, processed for paraffin embedding, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin [30]. The sections were examined for arthritic changes in the control as well as in the drug-treated rats.

2.7. Statistical analysis

The values are expressed as mean \pm SEM of six observations and ANOVA was used to compare the groups. The statistical analysis was carried out by the version 10 of the SPSS program and the values of *P* < .05 were considered as statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Effect of MeDL on joint inflammation

Injection of FCA into right ankle joint of rat produced an increase in joint diameter that was maximum on day 4 (2.17 \pm 0.13 mm), and thereafter it gradually declined. Injection of NS on the other hand produced a marginal increase in the joint diameter on day 2 (0.04 \pm 0.10 mm) that returned to normal within 4 days (Figure 1).

The inhibitory effect of various drugs was evaluated on the day of peak inflammation, that is, day 4. Oral administration of MeDL produced a dose-dependent decrease in



FIGURE 1: Time course for increase in joint diameter in FCAinduced monoarthritis in rats. Values are mean \pm SEM.

joint inflammation and the increase in joint diameter was 1.59 ± 0.09 mm and 1.20 ± 0.08 mm in MeDL 50 and MeDL 500 groups against 2.17 ± 0.13 mm in FCA control (27% and 45% inhibition). COX-2 selective inhibitor, rofecoxib, was more effective in inhibiting joint inflammation as compared to MeDL. The increase in joint diameter in Rofe 20 and Rofe 100 groups was 1.66 ± 0.08 mm and 0.70 ± 0.33 mm (24% and 68% inhibition). PBZ, a nonselective COX inhibitor produced 16% inhibition in joint inflammation with the increase in joint diameter of 1.82 ± 0.12 mm (Table 1).

3.2. Effect of MeDL on tissue levels of inflammatory mediators

The inflammation induced by FCA was associated with an increase in the levels of PGE₂ and TNF- α . The tissue levels of PGE₂ and TNF- α were 7.35 \pm 0.14 and 71.5 \pm 5.00 pg/mg tissue in the FCA control as compared to 1.00 ± 0.01 and 2.50 ± 5.00 pg/mg tissue in normal control rats, respectively. Both MeDL and rofecoxib produced a significant decrease in the levels of PGE₂ and TNF- α (*P* < .005). The levels of PGE₂ in MeDL 500 group were 0.6 \pm 0.05, and in Rofe 100 group were 1.00 \pm 0.23, and that of TNF- α in MeDL 500 group were 14.50 ± 15.00 , and in Rofe 100 group were 10.50 ± 5.00 pg/mg tissue, respectively. PBZ on the other hand was not effective in reducing the tissue PGE₂ levels and was only marginally effective in reducing the tissue TNF- α levels (Figure 2). FCA injection produced a significant increase in tissue MPO activity from 0.06 ± 0.01 OD/mg tissue in normal control rats to 1.33 ± 0.11 OD/mg tissue. Treatment with MeDL and rofecoxib significantly reduced the tissue MPO activity and their effect was comparable in this regard. The MPO levels were 0.14 ± 0.02 and 0.09 ± 0 OD/mg tissue in MeDL 500 and Rofe 100 group, respectively. PBZ on the other hand was marginally effective in decreasing the MPO levels as compared to FCA control (1.00 \pm 0.03 versus 1.33 \pm 0.11 OD/mg tissue) (Figure 2). MeDL and rofecoxib were also equieffective in reducing the tissue NO levels in the arthritic rats $(2.0 \pm 0.11 \text{ and } 2.8 \pm 0.10 \text{ against } 5.9 \pm 0.50 \,\mu\text{M/mg tissue}$

TABLE 1: Inhibition of joint inflammation by various drugs in FCAinduced monoarthritis. Values given are mean \pm SEM (n = 6).

Treatment groups	Dose (mg/kg)	Increase in joint diameter (mm)	Inhibition (%)
Normal control	_		
FCA control	—	2.17 ± 0.13	_
MeDL	50	1.59 ± 0.09	27%
MeDL	500	$1.20\pm0.08^*$	45%
Rofecoxib	20	$1.66\pm0.08^*$	24%
Rofecoxib	100	$0.70 \pm 0.33^{*}$	68%
Phenylbutazone	100	1.82 ± 0.12	16%
* D 05			

*P < .05.

in FCA control). The effect of PBZ in this regard was comparable to that of MeDL and rofecoxib $(3.0 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{M/mg} \text{ tissue})$ (Figure 2).

3.3. Effect of MeDL on tissue levels of GSH, catalase, SOD, GPx, and TBARS

Oxidative stress associated with FCA-induced monoarthritis was evaluated by measuring the levels of GSH, catalase, SOD, GPx, and TBARS in the inflamed joint tissue. FCA injection into the ankle joint markedly decreased the tissue GSH, catalase, SOD, and GPx levels from $18.20 \pm 1.10 \text{ mg/g}$ tissue, 28.60 ± 0.15 U/mg protein, 277.70 ± 0.15 U/mg protein, and 31.40 ± 0.10 U/mg protein in normal control rats to 4.80 ± 0.40 mg/g tissue, 0.17 ± 0.02 U/mg protein, 79.90 \pm 0.10 U/mg protein, and 5.97 ± 0.05 U/mg protein, respectively. Both MeDL and rofecoxib produced a dose-dependent increase in the level of these oxidative stress parameters. On the other hand, FCA produced a marked increase in the levels of TBARS from 3.50 \pm 0.50 nmol/g tissue to 103.00 \pm 3.00 nmol/g tissue. Both MeDL and rofecoxib produced a dose-dependent decrease in the levels of TBARS and the effect of these drugs was comparable. PBZ, on the other hand, produced a marginal change in the levels of all the oxidative stress parameters as compared to FCA control (Table 2).

3.4. Effect of MeDL on joint histology

The inflammation induced by FCA was associated with cellular infiltration, edema, granuloma formation, and bone destruction on day 28 (Figure 3(b)).

Both MeDL 500 and Rofe 100 significantly decreased the arthritic changes as compared to FCA control, however, rofecoxib was more effective in this regard (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)).

4. DISCUSSION

The latex of *Calotropis procera* is well known for its antiinflammatory properties in various experimental models. It has also been shown to afford protection against functional impairment produced by FCA in rat model of monoarthritis. In the present study, we have evaluated the effect of latex



FIGURE 2: Effect of drugs on the tissue level of PGE₂, TNF- α , MPO, and NO in FCA-induced monoarthritis in rats. Values are mean \pm SEM. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.001.

of C. procera on the levels of inflammatory mediators, oxidative stress parameters, and joint histology in FCA-induced monoarthritis model and compared it with rofecoxib. Intraarticular injection of FCA produced a peak inflammatory response in the joint on day 4 that is associated with fluid exudation, neutrophil infiltration, and mast cell activation [31, 32]. This was followed by a slow regression and the joint swelling continued up to day 28 possibly due to oil-based adjuvant and the antigenicity of mycobacterium [33]. The inhibitory effect of drugs was evaluated against FCA-induced inflammation on day 4. MeDL produced a dose-dependent inhibition in joint inflammation that could be attributed to its ability to inhibit cellular influx and vascular permeability [17, 20]. It has earlier been shown to inhibit inflammatory response induced by various mediators and inflammagens like histamine, bradykinin, prostaglandins, carragenin, and compound 48/80 [17]. The role of various inflammatory mediators in adjuvant-induced arthritis has been well established [34, 35]. In our study, rofecoxib, a selective COX-2 inhibitor,

was found to be more effective than MeDL and phenylbutazone in inhibiting the FCA-induced joint inflammation as reported earlier by Kumar et al. [21] and Francischi et al. [36]. Rofecoxib acts by inhibiting COX-2 that plays an important role in an inflammatory response. The greater efficacy of rofecoxib could be attributed to its better distribution at the site of inflammation as suggested for other COX-2 inhibitors [37]. Further, rofecoxib was also found to be more effective as compared to MeDL in inhibiting cell influx and bone destruction as revealed by histological analysis. The inhibitory effect of MeDL and rofecoxib on cell influx was further substantiated by their ability to decrease tissue MPO activity that has been used as an index of granulocyte infiltration. It is interesting to note that PBZ produced only a marginal decrease in tissue MPO activity. The inability of PBZ to inhibit cellular influx has also been reported by Meacock and Kitchen [38] and Arva and Kumar [17].

The neutrophilic recruitment at the site of inflammation has been reported to involve TNF- α production that

TABLE 2: Effect of drugs on parameters of oxidative stress in FCA-induced monoarthritis. Values given are mean \pm standard error of the mean (n = 6).

Groups	GSH (mg/g tissue)	Catalase (U/mg protein)	SOD (U/mg protein)	GPx (U/mg protein)	TBARS (nmol/g tissue)
Normal control	18.20 ± 1.10	28.60 ± 0.15	277.70 ± 0.15	31.40 ± 0.10	3.50 ± 0.50
FCA control	4.80 ± 0.40	0.17 ± 0.02	79.90 ± 0.10	5.97 ± 0.05	103.00 ± 3.00
MeDL 50	$7.30\pm0.40^*$	0.21 ± 0.06	95.70 ± 0.08	$9.61 \pm 0.03^{*}$	77.50 ± 6.50
MeDL 500	$11.30 \pm 0.50^{**}$	$20.10 \pm 0.01^{**}$	$222.11 \pm 0.02^{**}$	$29.52 \pm 0.11^{**}$	$5.00 \pm 1.00^{**}$
Rofecoxib 20	6.80 ± 1.00	$6.56 \pm 0.01^{*}$	137.16 ± 0.03	6.55 ± 0.08	$64.00 \pm 7.00^{*}$
Rofecoxib 100	$14.30 \pm 0.90^{**}$	$22.40 \pm 0.02^{**}$	$236.62 \pm 0.10^{**}$	$28.16 \pm 0.01^{**}$	$5.50 \pm 0.50^{**}$
PBZ	$7.20\pm0.80^*$	$4.16\pm0.06^*$	94.62 ± 0.02	6.52 ± 0.01	86.5 ± 5.5

*P < .05

**P < .001.



FIGURE 3: Effect of drugs against FCA-induced arthritic changes as revealed by histological analysis: (a) normal control; (b) FCA control; (c) MeDL 500 mg/kg; (d) Rofe 100 mg/kg.

induces the synthesis of LTB4, a well-known chemoattractant and prostaglandins that plays a key role in the pathogenesis of inflammatory diseases. Elevated levels of TNF- α and prostaglandins have been reported in arthritic patients and in experimentally induced arthritis [39, 40]. In our study, both MeDL and rofecoxib produced a marked reduction in the tissue levels of TNF- α and PGE₂. However, PBZ was ineffective in reducing the levels of PGE₂ though it produced a significant decrease in tissue TNF- α levels. A marked reduction in the levels of PGE₂ brought about by MeDL was comparable to that of rofecoxib and suggests that like rofecoxib, MeDL might be inhibiting COX-2. Earlier, the MeDL was shown to inhibit inflammation induced by PGE₂ [17].

The role of NO has been well established in an inflammatory response. As the inflammatory response progresses, large quantities of NO are generated through the induction of iNOS (inducible nitric oxide synthase) that reacts with superoxide anion to form peroxynitrate, a potent oxidizing molecule capable of eliciting lipid peroxidation. Lipid peroxidation is the oxidative deterioration of polyunsaturated lipids to form radical intermediates that bring about cellular damage. MDA, a major end product of this reaction, is an index of lipid peroxidation and has been estimated as TBARS [41]. In our study, both MeDL and rofecoxib brought down the tissue levels of NO and TBARS. Besides, the infiltrating cells also generate reactive oxygen species and free radicals that bring about destruction of the inflamed joint. As a result, the scavenging enzyme SOD that leads to the formation of hydrogen peroxide is utilized and its activity is reduced in arthritic rats. The hydrogen peroxide thus generated is decomposed by catalase and glutathione peroxidase. Excessive production of lipid hydroperoxide may also contribute to decreased activity of GPx in arthritic condition [42]. Beside enzymatic antioxidants, the level of glutathione, a nonenzymatic reducing agent that traps free radicals and prevents oxidative stress, is also decreased in arthritis [43]. Both MeDL and rofecoxib maintained the oxidative homeostasis, and the levels of GSH and activities of catalase, SOD, and GPx were comparable to the control animals. The antioxidant properties of rofecoxib and latex of *C. procera* have also been reported earlier [44, 45].

Thus, present study shows that the latex of *C. procera* markedly reduces cell influx, release of mediators, and oxidative stress associated with arthritic condition, and therefore has the potential to be used as an antiarthritic agent.

REFERENCES

- K. D. Brandt, "Osteoarthritis," in *Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine*, D. L. Kasper, E. Braunwald, A. S. Fauci, S. L. Hauser, D. L. Longo, and J. L. Jameson, Eds., vol. 2, pp. 2036– 2045, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 16th edition, 2005.
- [2] J. A. Markenson, "Worldwide trends in the socioeconomic impact and long-term prognosis of rheumatoid arthritis," *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 21, no. 2 supplement 1, pp. 4–12, 1991.
- [3] P. H. Wooley, "Animal models of rheumatoid arthritis," Current Opinion in Rheumatology, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 407–420, 1991.
- [4] C. M. Pearson, "Development of arthritis, periarthritis and periostitis in rats given adjuvants," *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 95–101, 1956.
- [5] P. A. Nigrovic and D. M. Lee, "Mast cells in inflammatory arthritis," *Arthritis Research and Therapy*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1– 11, 2005.
- [6] K.-I. Yamada, T. Nakamura, and H. Utsumi, "Enhanced intraarticular free radical reactions in adjuvant arthritis rats," *Free Radical Research*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 455–460, 2006.
- [7] S. M. Wahl, "The role of lymphokines and monokines in fibrosis," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 460, no. 1, pp. 224–231, 1985.
- [8] Z. Szekanecz, M. M. Halloran, M. V. Volin, et al., "Temporal expression of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in rat adjuvant-induced arthritis," *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1266–1277, 2000.
- [9] S. B. Abramson, M. Attur, A. R. Amin, and R. Clancy, "Nitric oxide and inflammatory mediators in the perpetuation of osteoarthritis," *Current Rheumatology Reports*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 535–541, 2001.
- [10] P. H. J. Remans, M. van Oosterhout, T. J. M. Smeets, et al., "Intracellular free radical production in synovial T lymphocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis," *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 2003–2009, 2005.
- [11] W. D. Blackburn Jr., "Management of osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: prospects and possibilities," *The American Journal of Medicine*, vol. 100, no. 2A, pp. 24S–30S, 1996.
- [12] J. K. Rao, K. Mihaliak, K. Kroenke, J. Bradley, W. M. Tierney, and M. Weinberger, "Use of complementary therapies for arthritis among patients of rheumatologists," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 131, no. 6, pp. 409–416, 1999.
- [13] V. L. Kumar and S. Arya, "Medicinal uses and pharmacological properties of *Calotropis procera*," in *Recent Progress in Medici-*

nal Plants, J. N. Govil, Ed., vol. 11, pp. 373–388, Studium Press, Houston, Tex, USA, 2006.

- [14] V. L. Kumar and N. Basu, "Anti-inflammatory activity of the latex of *Calotropis procera*," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 123–125, 1994.
- [15] S. Dewan, H. Sangraula, and V. L. Kumar, "Preliminary studies on the analgesic activity of latex of *Calotropris procera*," *Journal* of *Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 73, no. 1-2, pp. 307–311, 2000.
- [16] S. Dewan, S. Kumar, and V. L. Kumar, "Antipyretic effect of latex of *Calotropis procera*," *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 32, no. 3, p. 252, 2000.
- [17] S. Arya and V. L. Kumar, "Antiinflammatory efficacy of extracts of latex of *Calotropis procera* against different mediators of inflammation," *Mediators of Inflammation*, vol. 2005, no. 4, pp. 228–232, 2005.
- [18] T. Choedon, G. Mathan, S. Arya, V. L. Kumar, and V. Kumar, "Anticancer and cytotoxic properties of the latex of *Calotropis procera* in a transgenic mouse model of hepatocellular carcinoma," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 12, no. 16, pp. 2517–2522, 2006.
- [19] Y. Wang, C. Huang, Y. Cao, and J.-S. Han, "Repeated administration of low dose ketamine for the treatment of monoarthritic pain in the rat," *Life Sciences*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 261–267, 2000.
- [20] H. Sangraula, S. Dewan, and V. L. Kumar, "Evaluation of antiinflammatory activity of latex of *Calotropis procera* in different models of inflammation," *Inflammopharmacology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 257–264, 2002.
- [21] V. L. Kumar, S. Roy, R. Sehgal, and B. M. Padhy, "A comparative study on the efficacy of rofecoxib in monoarticular arthritis induced by latex of *Calotropis procera* and Freund's complete adjuvant," *Inflammopharmacology*, vol. 14, no. 1-2, pp. 17–21, 2006.
- [22] G. L. Ellman, "Tissue sulfhydryl groups," Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 70–77, 1959.
- [23] H. Aebi, "Catalase in vitro," *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 105, pp. 121–126, 1984.
- [24] P. Kakkar, B. Das, and P. N. Viswanathan, "A modified spectrophotometric assay of superoxide dismutase," *Indian Journal of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 130–132, 1984.
- [25] D. E. Paglia and W. N. Valentine, "Studies on the quantitative and qualitative characterization of erythrocyte glutathione peroxidase," *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 158–169, 1967.
- [26] H. Ohkawa, N. Ohishi, and K. Yagi, "Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 351–358, 1979.
- [27] D. Bilici, E. Akpinar, and A. Kiziltunç, "Protective effect of melatonin in carrageenan-induced acute local inflammation," *Pharmacological Research*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 133–139, 2002.
- [28] M. C. Calixto, K. M. Trichês, and J. B. Calixto, "Analysis of the inflammatory response in the rat paw caused by the venom of Apis melifera bee," *Inflammation Research*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 132–139, 2003.
- [29] M. M. Bradford, "A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 72, no. 1-2, pp. 248–254, 1976.
- [30] G. D. Anderson, S. D. Hauser, K. L. McGarity, M. E. Bremer, P. C. Isakson, and S. A. Gregory, "Selective inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 reverses inflammation and expression of COX-2 and interleukin 6 in rat adjuvant arthritis," *Journal* of Clinical Investigation, vol. 97, no. 11, pp. 2672–2679, 1996.

- [31] J. Keeble, M. Blades, C. Pitzalis, F. A. Castro Da Rocha, and S. D. Brain, "The role of substance P in microvascular responses in murine joint inflammation," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 144, no. 8, pp. 1059–1066, 2005.
- [32] B. Johnston, A. R. Burns, and P. Kubes, "A role for mast cells in the development of adjuvant-induced vasculitis and arthritis," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 152, no. 2, pp. 555–563, 1998.
- [33] S. Kleinau, H. Erlandsson, and L. Klareskog, "Percutaneous exposure of adjuvant oil causes arthritis in DA rats," *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 281–284, 1994.
- [34] F. F. Y. Lam, H. H. L. Wong, and E. S. K. Ng, "Time course and substance P effects on the vascular and morphological changes in adjuvant-induced monoarthritic rats," *International Immunopharmacology*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 299–310, 2004.
- [35] M. Di Rosa, J. P. Giroud, and D. A. Willoughby, "Studies on the mediators of the acute inflammatory response induced in rats in different sites by carrageenan and turpentine," *Journal* of *Pathology*, vol. 104, no. 1, pp. 15–29, 1971.
- [36] J. N. Francischi, C. T. Chaves, A. C. L. Moura, et al., "Selective inhibitors of cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) induce hypoalgesia in a rat paw model of inflammation," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 137, no. 6, pp. 837–844, 2002.
- [37] C.-C. Chan, S. Boyce, C. Brideau, et al., "Pharmacology of a selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, L-745,337: a novel nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent with an ulcerogenic sparing effect in rat and nonhuman primate stomach," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 274, no. 3, pp. 1531–1537, 1995.
- [38] S. C. R. Meacock and E. A. Kitchen, "Some effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on leucocyte migration," *Agents and Actions*, vol. 6, no. 1–3, pp. 320–325, 1976.
- [39] J. H. Yen, J. R. Chen, W. J. Tsai, and H. W. Liu, "Correlation of tumor necrosis factor alpha levels with disease activity of rheumatoid arthritis," *Zhonghua Minguo wei sheng wu ji mian yi xue za zhi*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 232–243, 1992.
- [40] L. Philippe, P. Gegout-Pottie, C. Guingamp, et al., "Relations between functional, inflammatory, and degenerative parameters during adjuvant arthritis in rats," *American Journal of Physiology*, vol. 273, no. 4, part 2, pp. R1550–R1556, 1997.
- [41] R. Kohen and A. Nyska, "Oxidation of biological systems: oxidative stress phenomena, antioxidants, redox reactions, and methods for their quantification," *Toxicologic Pathology*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 620–650, 2002.
- [42] F. Karatas, I. Ozates, H. Canatan, I. Halifeoglu, M. Karatepe, and R. Colak, "Antioxidant status & lipid peroxidation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis," *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, vol. 118, pp. 178–181, 2003.
- [43] A. Kamanli, M. Naziroğlu, N. Aydilek, and C. Hacievliyagil, "Plasma lipid peroxidation and antioxidant levels in patients with rheumatoid arthritis," *Cell Biochemistry and Function*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 53–57, 2004.
- [44] A. Dhir, S. S. V. Padi, P. S. Naidu, and S. K. Kulkarni, "Protective effect of naproxen (non-selective COX-inhibitor) or rofecoxib (selective COX-2 inhibitor) on immobilization stressinduced behavioral and biochemical alterations in mice," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 535, no. 1–3, pp. 192–198, 2006.
- [45] S. Roy, R. Sehgal, B. M. Padhy, and V. L. Kumar, "Antioxidant and protective effect of latex of *Calotropis procera* against alloxan-induced diabetes in rats," *Journal of Ethnopharmacol*ogy, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 470–473, 2005.