

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Hundal P, Valani R, Quan C, Assaie-Ardakany S, Sharma T, Abou-Seido M, et al. (2021) Causes of early postpartum complications that result in visits to the emergency department. PLoS ONE 16(11): e0260101. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0260101

Editor: Robert Jeenchen Chen, Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center Department of Surgery, UNITED STATES

Received: May 19, 2021

Accepted: November 2, 2021

Published: November 29, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Hundal et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper. Specific data cannot be shared publicly because of Personal Health Information and Protection Act. Data are available from the William Osler Health System Institutional Data Access / Ethics Committee for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. The data are available by contacting: Research Ethics Office William Osler Health System wohsreb@williamoslerhs.ca 2100 Bovaird Drive **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Causes of early postpartum complications that result in visits to the emergency department

Prabhpreet Hundal¹, Rahim Valani², Cassandra Quan³, Shayan Assaie-Ardakany⁴, Tanmay Sharma³, Maher Abou-Seido⁵, Leila Salehi³, Qamar Amin³, Simina Luca²

 Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, 2 Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 3 Faculty of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 4 University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America,
William Osler Health System, Brampton, Ontario, Canada

These authors contributed equally to this work.
* r.valani@utoronto.ca

Abstract

Objective

This study aimed to review the reasons why postpartum women present to the emergency department (ED) over a short term (\leq 10 days post-delivery) and to identify the risk factors associated with early visits to the ED.

Methods

This retrospective chart review included all women who delivered at a regional health system (William Osler Health System, WOHS) in 2018 and presented to the WOHS ED within 10 days after delivery. Baseline descriptive statistics were used to examine the patient demographics and identify the timing of the postpartum visit. Univariate tests were used to identify significant predictors for admission. A multivariate model was developed based on backward selection from these significant factors to identify admission predictors.

Results

There were 381 visits identified, and the average age of the patients was 31.22 years (SD: 4.83), with median gravidity of 2 (IQR: 1–3). Most patients delivered via spontaneous vaginal delivery (53.0%). The median time of presentation to the ED was 5.0 days, with the following most common reasons: abdominal pain (21.5%), wound-related issues (12.6%), and urinary issues (9.7%). Delivery during the weekend (OR 1.91, 95% CI 1.00–3.65, P = 0.05) was predictive of admission while *Group B Streptococcus* positive patients were less likely to be admitted (OR 0.22, CI 0.05–0.97, P<0.05)

East Brampton, Ontario, Canada L6R 3J7 Please quote REB No: 18-0068.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

This was the first study in a busy community setting that examined ED visits over a short postpartum period. Patient education on pain management and wound care can reduce the rate of early postpartum ED visits.

Introduction

Canada has over 370,000 births each year and providing emergency care during the postpartum period remains a priority [1]. After delivery, mothers are discharged after an average of 2.0 days for vaginal births and after 3.4 days for cesarean sections [2]. The emergency department (ED) is frequently attended for care prior to the scheduled postpartum visit due to ease of access.

The postpartum period is defined as six weeks following delivery, while most visits to the ED occur within 7–10 days [3,4]. Prior studies have looked at postpartum ED visits but none of them focused on the very early period [4,5]. Taking care of a newborn, undergoing postpartum anatomical and physiological changes, as well as the social and financial issues all require the new mother's attention. This is a critical time for emotional bonding with the baby and visiting the ED is an additional stressor.

This study aimed to characterize postpartum ED visits within 10 days of delivery, the reason for their visit, and whether they required obstetrical consultation. We also sought to identify predictors for patients who presented to the ED and were admitted.

Methods

We conducted a retrospective chart review of women who delivered at William Osler Health System (WOHS), which is a regional health care system. Patients who delivered between January 1, 2018 and December 31, 2018 and who presented to the WOHS Emergency Department within 10 days of delivery were included. This study was approved by the WOHS Research Ethics Board (18–0068). The WOHS is composed of the following three hospitals located in the Greater Toronto Area: Peel Memorial Centre, Brampton Civic Hospital, and Etobicoke General Hospital. In 2018, 7878 deliveries occurred at the above-mentioned locations. This study was approved by WOHS Research Ethics Board (18–0068), who waived the requirement for consent given the nature of the study.

All live-born deliveries between January 1, 2018 and December 31, 2018 at WOHS were included. Patients were identified through a request to the Clinical Decision Support Unit for all deliveries that took place during the time period and presented to the emergency department within 10 days. Patients were excluded if they had a prolonged postpartum hospital stay (greater than 24 hours for a vaginal delivery and 48 hours for a Cesarean delivery), as these patients likely experienced other complications that required prolonged stay. We included those who presented to any of the three WOHS EDs within 10 days of delivery.

For each delivery, information on patient (age, gravidity-parity status, pre-existing comorbidities) and delivery characteristics (date and gestational age at delivery, Group B streptococcus (GBS) status, type of delivery, duration of rupture of membranes, any complications at delivery) were collected by manual extraction from the chart. For each ED visit, information about the visit (date, number of postpartum days, presenting complaint, discharge diagnosis, treatment, disposition, consultations) was extracted. These variables were chosen based on a blended model of the literature search results and by the authors *a priori*.

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Version 25 statistical package. Descriptive analysis was carried out to assess the distribution of traits within the study sample. The effects of maternal age, gravidity, parity, gestational age, duration of rupture of membrane, GBS status, day of delivery (i.e., weekend vs. weekday), and type of delivery (i.e., C-section vs. vaginal) on admission status were assessed via univariate analysis. Missing data were imputed using the multiple imputation technique and pooled estimates were used for analysis. A multivariate model was then developed based on backward selection from the set of significant factors identified on univariate analysis. All retention criteria were set at P<0.05.

Results

There were 429 unique visits during the period and 381 were included in the final analysis and the remainder not included as they met the exclusion criteria. The average age of the patients was 31.22 years (range: 19–43 years, SD 4.83), and the average gestational age at delivery was 38.78 weeks (SD: 2.29).

Most deliveries were identified as spontaneous vaginal deliveries (53.0%), and an additional 7.9% were assisted vaginal deliveries. Weekend deliveries occurred in 26.2% of cases. The majority of patients were GBS-negative (71.9%). On univariate analysis, weekend deliveries and positive GBS status were significantly associated with admission (P<0.05). In the multivariable analysis, weekend delivery (OR 1.91, 95% CI 1.00–3.65, P = 0.05) was associated with admission, while a positive GBS status was less likely to be admitted (OR 0.22, 95% CI 0.05–0.97, P<0.05). See Table 1.

<u>Table 2</u> provides a summary of the medical history, type of delivery, complications during delivery, and GBS status. The most common medical conditions were previous gynecological conditions (17.1%), endocrine issues (12.3%), and a prior C-section (10.0%).

Only one- quarter of the patients required an obstetric consultation when they presented to the ED, and most were then discharged (87.9%). Table 3 provides the discharge diagnosis and relative frequency. The most common diagnoses were pain (21.5%) and wound-related issues (12.6%).

| Variable | Mean (SD) ^a Median (IQR) ^b | Univariate analysis p-value | Multivariate analysis Odds Ratio | Multivariate analysis 95% CI | p-value |
|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | % of cohort ^c | | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | | | | | |
| Age ^a | 31.22 years (4.83) | 0.605 | | | |
| Gravidity ^a | 2.28 (1.47) | 0.741 | | | |
| Parity ^a | 0.72 (0.86) | 0.151 | | | |
| Gestational age at delivery ^b | 38.78 weeks (2.29) | 0.229 | | | |
| Peri-partum factors | | | | | |
| Duration of rupture of membranes ^b | 5 hrs (2-11) | 0.426 | | | |
| Group B Strep status (positive) | 67% | 0.039 | 0.22 | 0.05-0.97 | 0.05 |
| Vaginal delivery | 60.9% | 0.412 | | | |
| Cesarean Section delivery | 39.1% | 0.997 | | | |
| Weekend delivery | 26.2% | 0.037 | 1.91 | 1.00-3.65 | < 0.05 |

Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics of patients and predictors of admission.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260101.t001

| | | Frequency/count | Percent of total |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Medical History | Mental health (depression, anxiety, bipolar disorder, panic) | 21 | 5.5 |
| | Hypertension | 11 | 2.9 |
| | Cardiac/pulmonary | 23 | 6.0 |
| | Previous Cesarean section | 38 | 10.0 |
| | Complications in previous pregnancies | 36 | 9.4 |
| | Gynecological (incl. surgery) | 65 | 17.1 |
| | Other abdominal surgeries | 20 | 5.2 |
| | Breast (incl. surgery) | 5 | 1.3 |
| | Urinary tract | 9 | 2.4 |
| | Gastrointestinal/liver | 9 | 2.4 |
| | Neurological | 12 | 3.1 |
| | Endocrine | 47 | 12.3 |
| | MSK/rheum | 2 | 0.5 |
| | Hematological | 19 | 5.0 |
| | Thromboembolic disease | 3 | 0.8 |
| | Others | 3 | 0.8 |
| | None | 191 | 50.1 |
| Type of delivery | Spontaneous vaginal delivery | 202 | 53.0 |
| | Cesarean section | 149 | 39.1 |
| | Vacuum-assisted vaginal delivery | 22 | 5.8 |
| | Forceps-assisted vaginal delivery | 8 | 2.1 |
| Complications at delivery | Cord around neck or body; knot | 79 | 20.7 |
| | Shoulder dystocia | 6 | 1.6 |
| | Post-partum hemorrhage | 15 | 3.9 |
| | Stillbirth/neonatal death | 5 | 1.3 |
| | Manual removal of placenta | 2 | 0.5 |
| | None | 280 | 73.5 |
| GBS | Negative | 274 | 71.9 |
| | Positive | 67 | 17.6 |
| | Unknown | 40 | 10.5 |
| Number of births on weekends | | 100 | 26.2 |
| Consultations | Obstetrician | 98 | 25.7 |
| | Anesthesia | 6 | 1.6 |
| | Anesthesia, internal medicine | 1 | 0.3 |
| | Cardiology | 1 | 0.3 |
| | Neurology | 1 | 0.3 |
| | General surgery | 3 | 0.8 |
| | Intensive Care Unit | 1 | 0.3 |
| | Infectious disease | 3 | 0.8 |
| | Internal medicine | 15 | 3.9 |
| | Interventional radiology | 1 | 0.3 |
| | Urology | 1 | 0.3 |
| Disposition | Home or transfer | 335 | 87.9 |
| | Admitted | 46 | 12.1 |
| | numiticu | 40 | 12.1 |

Table 2. Frequency and percentage of key patient characteristics.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260101.t002

| | TOTAL C | COHORT | ADMITTED PATIENTS | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Discharge diagnosis | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent |
| Breast problems | 6 | 1.6 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Cardiovascular/Respiratory issues | 19 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Edema/swelling | 12 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Endometritis | 29 | 7.6 | 11 | 23.9 |
| Gastrointestinal issues | 21 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Hypertension/preeclampsia | 32 | 8.4 | 8 | 17.4 |
| Left without being seen | 5 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Mental health issues | 2 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 11 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 18 | 4.7 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Pain | 82 | 21.5 | 6 | 13.0 |
| Post-epidural issues | 7 | 1.8 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Postpartum hemorrhage | 5 | 1.3 | 4 | 8.7 |
| Rash | 6 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Retained products of conception | 19 | 5.0 | 3 | 6.5 |
| Sepsis | 9 | 2.4 | 5 | 10.9 |
| Urinary issues | 37 | 9.7 | 3 | 6.5 |
| Vaginal bleeding | 13 | 3.4 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Wound issues | 48 | 12.6 | 2 | 4.3 |
| Total | 381 | 100.0 | 46 | 100.0 |

Table 3. Frequency and percentage of discharge diagnoses.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260101.t003

Discussion

Our study showed that 5% of new mothers presented to the ED within 10 days of delivery. While other studies have looked at postpartum visits to the ED, they extend the duration of visit up to a year [4,5]. This is the first study to look at the first ten days postpartum and characterize the reasons for presentation as well as identify predictors of admission. The early postpartum period is important as it is an overwhelming time to the new mother. By identifying modifiable factors to prevent early ED visits can be helpful and this was the purpose of our study. Over three quarters of the patients presented within eight days of delivery and the most common complaints were pain and wound-related issues. Only 7.3% and 4.1% of patients in these categories respectively were admitted which would indicate that these visits could be mitigated with appropriate instructions and discharge planning.

We found that patients who were born on a weekend were at increased risk for being admitted during the ED visit. This was not surprising given the reduced complement of healthcare staff such as social workers and lactation consultants over the weekend. This may contribute to a lack of education related to pain management, lactation issues, and discharge instructions. Furthermore, patients who were positive for Group B Strep status (GBS) were less likely to be admitted upon presentation to the ED. With appropriate screening and peripartum prophylaxis, the incidence of maternal infections is decreased [6]. Hence the antibiotics used to treat GBS may confer protection against infections.

Our study was limited due to its retrospective nature conducted at a single health care system. The follow up visits were also only for our ED and we may not have captured women who sought care elsewhere. Furthermore, we excluded patients with a prolonged hospital stay which may have resulted in the exclusion of patients at a higher risk of early ED visits. In addition, we were unable to gather other patient characteristics such as level of education or socioeconomic status, which could be an important contributing factors for the ED visit.

Our study reveals that the majority of postpartum patients that present to the ED can be discharged home. Appropriate discharge instructions pertaining to pain control and wound care would be beneficial as these are the two most common reasons for ED visits. The Enhanced Recovery After Surgery guidelines recommend that patients should be discharged with standardized written discharge instructions, which has also been shown to improve patient satisfaction and recall of instructions [7,8]. Further, the current postpartum visit of 6 weeks may need to be re-examined. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends that all women should be in contact with their obstetrical care provider within the first three weeks as this is the critical period to set the stage of long-term health and wellness [9]. As the majority of patients in our study presented between day 4 and 8, an additional appointment at 1 week will provide an opportunity for patients to discuss their concerns with their provider.

Conclusion

This study investigated patients who present to the ED in the early postpartum period. By identifying the common reasons for the visit and recognizing that many patients are discharged home, a clinic setting (either Family Medicine or Obstetrics) may be the more appropriate setting to address these patient concerns. Further studies are needed to identify additional predictors of admission.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the Clinical Decision Support Unit at William Osler Health Services for their support with this project.

Author Contributions

- **Conceptualization:** Prabhpreet Hundal, Rahim Valani, Maher Abou-Seido, Leila Salehi, Qamar Amin, Simina Luca.
- **Data curation:** Prabhpreet Hundal, Rahim Valani, Cassandra Quan, Shayan Assaie-Ardakany, Tanmay Sharma, Maher Abou-Seido, Leila Salehi, Simina Luca.
- **Formal analysis:** Prabhpreet Hundal, Rahim Valani, Cassandra Quan, Shayan Assaie-Ardakany, Tanmay Sharma.
- Investigation: Rahim Valani, Cassandra Quan, Shayan Assaie-Ardakany, Tanmay Sharma, Qamar Amin, Simina Luca.
- Methodology: Prabhpreet Hundal, Rahim Valani, Cassandra Quan, Shayan Assaie-Ardakany, Tanmay Sharma, Leila Salehi, Simina Luca.
- Project administration: Rahim Valani.

Resources: Prabhpreet Hundal, Qamar Amin, Simina Luca.

Supervision: Rahim Valani, Maher Abou-Seido, Leila Salehi.

- Writing original draft: Prabhpreet Hundal, Rahim Valani, Cassandra Quan, Tanmay Sharma, Maher Abou-Seido, Leila Salehi, Qamar Amin, Simina Luca.
- Writing review & editing: Prabhpreet Hundal, Rahim Valani, Cassandra Quan, Tanmay Sharma, Qamar Amin.

References

- 1. Statistics Canada. Table 13-10-0415-01 Live births, by month 2020 [cited 2021]. Available from: https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tbl1/en/tv.action?pid=1310041501.
- 2. Public Health Agency of Canada. Canadian Hospitals Maternity Policies and Practices Survey. 2012.
- Varner CE, Park AL, Little D, Ray JG. Emergency department use by pregnant women in Ontario: a retrospective population-based cohort study. CMAJ Open. 2020; 8(2):E304–e12. Epub 2020/04/30. https://doi.org/10.9778/cmajo.20190154 PMID: 32345709; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7207029.
- Clark SL, Belfort MA, Dildy GA, Englebright J, Meints L, Meyers JA, et al. Emergency department use during the postpartum period: implications for current management of the puerperium. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2010; 203(1):38.e1–6. Epub 2010/04/27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2010.02.033</u> PMID: 20417492.
- Brousseau EC, Danilack V, Cai F, Matteson KA. Emergency Department Visits for Postpartum Complications. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2018; 27(3):253–7. Epub 2017/09/25. https://doi.org/10.1089/ jwh.2016.6309 PMID: 28937843; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5865248.
- Venkatesh KK, Vladutiu CJ, Glover AV, Strauss RA, Stringer JSA, Stamilio DM, et al. Is Group B Streptococcus Colonization Associated with Maternal Peripartum Infection in an Era of Routine Prophylaxis? Am J Perinatol. 2021; 38(S 01):e262–e8. Epub 2020/05/24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1709666</u> PMID: 32446262.
- Macones GA, Caughey AB, Wood SL, Wrench IJ, Huang J, Norman M, et al. Guidelines for postoperative care in cesarean delivery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations (part 3). Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019; 221(3):247.e1–.e9. Epub 2019/04/18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajog.2019.04.012 PMID: 30995461</u>.
- Doyle SK, Rippey JC, Jacques A, Rea AM, Kaiser BN, Miller SM, et al. Effect of personalised, mobileaccessible discharge instructions for patients leaving the emergency department: A randomised controlled trial. Emerg Med Australas. 2020; 32(6):967–73. Epub 2020/05/10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.13516 PMID: 32383347</u>.
- ACOG Committee Opinion No. 736: Optimizing Postpartum Care. Obstet Gynecol. 2018; 131(5):e140– e50. Epub 2018/04/24. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.00000000002633 PMID: 29683911.