

Phase I study of PD 0332991, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, administered in 3-week cycles (Schedule 2/1)

GK Schwartz^{*1}, PM LoRusso², MA Dickson¹, SS Randolph³, MN Shaik⁴, KD Wilner³, R Courtney³ and PJ O'Dwyer⁵

¹Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA; ²Karmanos Cancer Institute, 4100 John R St., Mail Code: HW04HO, Detroit, MI 48201, USA; ³Pfizer Oncology, 10646 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, USA; ⁴Pfizer Oncology, 10555 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, USA; ⁵Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

BACKGROUND: This phase I, open-label, first-in-human study determined dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) and maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of PD 0332991, an oral cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor with potent anti-proliferative activity *in vitro/vivo*.

METHODS: A total of 33 patients with retinoblastoma protein-positive advanced solid tumours or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma refractory to standard therapy or for which no therapy was available received PD 0332991 once daily (QD) for 14 days followed by 7 days off treatment (21-day cycles; Schedule 2/1).

RESULTS: Six patients had DLTs (18%; four receiving 200 mg QD; two receiving 225 mg QD); the MTD was 200 mg QD. Treatment-related, non-haematological adverse events occurred in 29 patients (88%) during cycle 1 and 27 patients (82%) thereafter. Adverse events were generally mild–moderate. Of 31 evaluable patients, one with testicular cancer achieved a partial response; nine had stable disease (≥ 10 cycles in three cases). PD 0332991 was slowly absorbed (mean T_{max} 4.2 h) and eliminated (mean half-life 26.7 h). Volume of distribution was large (mean 324 l) with dose-proportional exposure. Using a maximum effective concentration model, neutropenia was proportional to exposure.

CONCLUSION: PD 0332991 was generally well tolerated, with DLTs related mainly to myelosuppression. The MTD, 200 mg QD, is recommended for phase II study.

British Journal of Cancer (2011) **104**, 1862–1868. doi:10.1038/bjc.2011.177 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 24 May 2011

© 2011 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: PD 0332991; CDK inhibitor; retinoblastoma-positive solid tumours; non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; G1/S checkpoint

Progression through the cell cycle, which is fundamental to cell proliferation, is controlled by the sequential activation of a family of related cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs). Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) are closely related kinases that, together with their activating subunits, the D-type cyclins, promote cell-cycle progression from G1 to S phase via phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein (Dickson and Schwartz, 2009).

Most tumours circumvent control of G1 to S progression using a variety of mechanisms. In a minority of tumours (such as Rb and small-cell lung cancer), control is lost through mutation of the *Rb* gene (Friend *et al*, 1986; Harbour *et al*, 1988). In the majority of cases, however, other genetic and epigenetic changes lead to increases in CDK4/6 activity contributing to tumour cell growth (Shapiro, 2006). Tumour cell proliferation may therefore be particularly sensitive to selective inhibition of CDK4/6.

PD 0332991 is an orally administered, highly specific reversible inhibitor of CDK4/6 (Fry *et al*, 2004; Toogood *et al*, 2005). PD 0332991 has a potent anti-proliferative effect *in vitro*, leading to cell-cycle arrest at G1, but has no activity against Rb-negative cell lines (Fry *et al*, 2004). Similarly, PD 0332991 significantly reduces tumour growth and leads to tumour regression in several

Rb-positive human xenograft models *in vivo*, without activity against Rb-negative tumours (Fry *et al*, 2004).

Results from the first-in-human phase I study of PD 0332991 on a schedule of 2 weeks on treatment followed by 1 week off treatment (Schedule 2/1) are reported here. This 3-week schedule allows combination with other cancer therapies that are administered using a 3-week dosing cycle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This was a phase I, dose-finding, open-label, non-comparative study of PD 0332991 in patients with Rb-positive solid tumours or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL). As pre-clinical data suggest that tumours that do not express Rb will not respond to treatment with PD 0332991, histologically proven Rb tumour expression was an essential eligibility criterion for patients entering this study. On the basis of the low frequency of Rb expression in small-cell lung cancer and Rb (Lee *et al*, 1987; Yokota *et al*, 1988; Shimizu *et al*, 1994), patients with both tumour types were excluded from the trial.

The primary objectives of this study were to establish the safety profile of PD 0332991 given in a 3-week treatment cycle by identifying dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs), the maximum

*Correspondence: Dr GK Schwartz; E-mail: schwartzg@mskcc.org

Received 23 February 2011; accepted 26 April 2011; published online 24 May 2011

administered dose and the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), and to establish the recommended dose for phase II studies (RP2D). Secondary objectives included characterisation of single-dose and steady-state pharmacokinetics (PKs) of oral PD 0332991 and evaluation of preliminary antitumour activity.

Study population

Eligible patients were men and women aged ≥ 18 years with histologically or cytologically confirmed Rb-positive solid tumours (except small-cell lung cancer or Rb) or NHL refractory to standard therapy, or for whom no standard-of-care therapy was available. Retinoblastoma expression was assayed by immunohistochemistry at a central laboratory using an archival or fresh tumour biopsy; eligibility required level $\geq 1+$ staining (i.e., of at least the minimal intensity considered above background level). Additional eligibility criteria included Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status ≤ 2 , the ability to swallow intact PD 0332991 capsules, and adequate organ and haematological function, including haemoglobin $> 9.0 \text{ g dl}^{-1}$ without transfusions (erythropoietin to be discontinued ≥ 2 weeks before the first dose of study medication).

Patients were ineligible, if they met any of the following criteria: cytotoxic chemotherapy within 3 weeks before first treatment (8 weeks for mitomycin C or nitrosoureas); hormone therapy, radioimmunotherapy, immunotherapy or other biological therapy within 14 days before treatment; QTc interval > 470 msec; and untreated brain metastases. Patients with brain metastases were eligible, if they had completed treatment ≥ 10 days before starting study medication, had discontinued corticosteroid treatment for these metastases for ≥ 5 days and were neurologically stable.

The final study protocol and amendments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee at each participating site, in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before study participation.

Treatment

Cohorts of patients received escalating doses of PD 0332991 given daily for 2 weeks, followed by 1 week off treatment (Schedule 2/1). Treatment cycles were repeated until the occurrence of disease progression, unacceptable toxicity or withdrawal of consent.

Three to four evaluable patients were initially enrolled per dose-escalation cohort, and the cohort expanded to six evaluable patients, if a DLT occurred during the first treatment cycle. If two DLTs were observed in a single cohort during the first treatment cycle, dose escalation was stopped, and dose finding was continued at a lower level. The MTD was defined as the highest dose level for which the incidence of first-cycle DLT was $< 2/6$. Cohorts receiving the MTD were expanded to include a minimum of 12 patients. If $< 33\%$ of patients in the MTD cohort had a DLT, then the dose taken by this cohort was considered to be the RP2D.

Dose-limiting toxicity was defined as one of the following adverse events (AEs) occurring during cycle 1: grade 4 haematological toxicity; grade 3 neutropenia with infection or fever $\geq 38.5^\circ\text{C}$; grade ≥ 3 non-haematological treatment-related toxicity, except toxicities which had not been maximally treated (such as nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea) or that the patient considered tolerable (such as skin rash); confirmed grade 3 QTc prolongation (QTc > 500 ms) persisting after correction of other possible causes such as electrolyte imbalance or hypoxia; or inability to receive the next dose of PD 0332991 within 1 week (± 1 day) of the last dose because of lack of haematological recovery or prolonged non-haematological toxicity grade ≥ 3 . The dose for patients resuming treatment following a DLT was reduced to the next lower dose level or by 50%, if the DLT occurred at the starting dose of the trial.

Safety assessments

Safety was assessed at baseline, at regular intervals throughout the study, and within 1 week following treatment discontinuation by recording AEs, haematological and biochemical parameters, and physical status (including ECOG performance status). Adverse event severity was graded using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 (Trotti *et al*, 2003). Triplicate electrocardiograms (ECGs) ~ 2 min apart were taken at baseline, 3 h post-dose on days 1, 8 and 15 of cycle 1, and on day 15 of subsequent cycles for up to six cycles.

Tumour response

Tumour measurements derived from computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging scans were obtained at baseline, after every 2 cycles during the study, and at the end of treatment. Tumour responses were evaluated based on Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.0 (Therasse *et al*, 2000).

Pharmacokinetic assessments

Samples for measurement of PK parameters were collected from all patients pre-dose and at intervals up to 10 h post-dose following a single administration (cycle one, day 1) and after repeated dosing (cycle 1, day 8). In cycle 1, three additional blood samples for PK analysis were collected during the 7-day interval without treatment on days 15, 16 and 17. In addition a sample was collected from patients in the expanded MTD cohort 24 h post-dose on cycle 1, day 1. Urine PK assessments (0–10 h post-dose) were carried out on cycle 1, day 1 in patients in the expanded cohort. Blood draws for PK assessment were also obtained at the same time as ECG testing at the projected time-to-first occurrence of the maximum observed plasma concentration (C_{max}). Individual area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) values estimated based on dose and individual apparent clearance (CL/F) was obtained from population PK modelling. Additional PK parameters assessed were the time-to-first occurrence of C_{max} (T_{max}), the apparent volume of distribution during the terminal phase (V_z/F), the terminal elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$) and the drug accumulation ratio (R_{ac}).

Pharmacodynamic assessments

To document the course of changes in absolute neutrophil count (ANC) and platelets over time during the first two cycles of treatment, an exploratory analysis of data available from the weekly safety laboratory tests was carried out. Possible relationships between PD 0332991 exposure and changes in (i) ANC and (ii) platelets were assessed further by fitting a simple model (without baseline) to determine the maximum effective concentration (E_{max}). Parameters determined were the E_{max} for ANC or platelets as a function of PD 0332991 concentration and the EC_{50} (the estimated plasma concentration resulting in a change from baseline of 50% in ANC or platelets). Data from all patients receiving PD 0332991 on Schedule 2/1 were included in the exploratory and modelling analyses.

Statistical methods

Pharmacokinetics parameters were determined using non-compartmental analyses (WinNonLin version 4.1; Pharsight, Mountain View, CA, USA). Descriptive statistics for PK parameters were summarised by dosing group, day and cycle.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics and disposition

A total of 33 patients were enrolled across four cohorts receiving the following daily doses of PD 0332991: 100 mg ($n=3$), 150 mg ($n=4$), 200 mg ($n=20$) and 225 mg ($n=6$). Patient baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Overall, patients received a median of two cycles of treatment (range 1–33). The median relative dose intensity in each cohort was 1.0 (range 0.29–1.04), with a total cumulative dose of PD 0332991 administered of 12 013 mg m⁻² (s.d. 16 324 mg m⁻²). Relative dose intensity was defined as the cumulative dose (mg per week) from treatment start till the end of the treatment divided by the planned cumulative dose, where the end of the treatment was the start date of the last cycle plus the planned cycle duration.

At the time of analysis, treatment was ongoing in three patients, and the remaining 30 patients had discontinued because of AEs ($n=2$; none was considered to be treatment related), death ($n=1$), refusal of further treatment ($n=1$), progressive disease ($n=25$) or other reasons ($n=1$).

Determination of MTD

The sequence of dose escalation progressed from 100 to 150 mg once daily (QD), and then to 225 mg QD, which was the maximum administered dose. The dose was then reduced to 200 mg QD. No DLT was observed in the first two cohorts. In the 225-mg QD cohort, two of six patients experienced DLTs. These included a patient who experienced grade 4 neutropenia and thrombocytopenia, and a patient with grade 3 neutropenia, such that the initiation of cycle 2 dosing was delayed, thereby constituting a DLT. The incidence of neutropenia reported as AEs during cycle 1 is presented in Table 2. Additional key toxicities reported in the patients in the 225 mg per day cohort experiencing DLTs included grade 1 fatigue and diarrhoea in the patient with neutropenia as the DLT, and grade 1 diarrhoea and grade 2 anaemia in the patient who had neutropenia plus thrombocytopenia.

Because of the DLTs at 225 mg QD, an intermediate dose of 200 mg QD was selected for evaluation. With only one DLT (grade 3 neutropenia/grade 3 thrombocytopenia resulting in cycle 2 delay) among six patients treated at 200 mg QD, this dose level was selected as the MTD and was expanded to include a total of 20 patients for further evaluation of safety, efficacy and biomarkers. An additional three patients in the expanded cohort experienced DLTs, comprising grade 3 neutropenia resulting in cycle two delay ($n=2$) and grade 3 neutropenia with grade 3 thrombocytopenia, also resulting in cycle 2 delay ($n=1$). The four DLTs in the 20-patient expanded cohort were considered acceptable, as the DLT rate of 20% was well within the pre-specified upper limit of 33% used to determine the MTD in the initial dose-escalation cohort.

Overall safety

Treatment was generally well tolerated. No patient discontinued treatment permanently because of treatment-related AEs. Five patients required dose reductions, including three patients with haematological AEs associated with DLTs (two patients receiving 250 mg QD and one receiving 200 mg QD) and two patients with gastrointestinal AEs (one patient with grade 2 nausea and one patient with grade 2 vomiting and headache, both receiving 200 mg QD). In addition, treatment was interrupted following treatment-related AEs in eight patients receiving 200 mg QD during cycle 1 and in three patients after cycle 1 (two from the 200-mg QD cohort and one in the 225-mg QD cohort).

Treatment-related non-haematological AEs were observed in 29 of 33 patients (88%) across all cohorts during cycle one and in 27 of 33 patients (82%) after cycle one. These AEs were generally mild

Table 1 Patient baseline characteristics ($N=33$)

Parameter	
Age in years, median (range)	63 (35–78)
Male/female, n (%)	16/17 (48.5/51.5)
ECOG PS, n (%)	
0	17 (51.5)
1	11 (33.3)
2	5 (15.2)
Primary diagnosis, n (%)	
Liposarcoma	7 (21.2)
Colon cancer	6 (18.2)
Melanoma	4 (12.1)
Other	16 (48.5)
Previous therapy, n (%)	
Any	32 (97.0)
Previous surgery, n (%)	29 (90.6)
Previous radiotherapy, n (%)	14 (43.8)
Previous chemotherapy, n (%)	27 (84.4)
1 regimen	6 (22.2)
2 regimens	5 (18.5)
3 regimens	8 (29.6)
> 3 regimens ^a	8 (29.6)
Hormonal	2 (6.3)
Immunotherapy/biological	5 (15.6)

Abbreviation: ECOG PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status. ^aPatients who had received >3 previous chemotherapy regimens were documented as protocol deviations.

Table 2 Incidence of treatment-emergent neutropenia during cycle 1

Dose QD	Patients, n	Neutropenia, NCICTCAE grade (n)				
		Grade 0	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
100 mg	3	0	0	0	0	0
150 mg	4	0	0	0	0	0
200 mg	20	11	0	3	6	0
225 mg	6	3	0	0	2	1

Abbreviations: QD = once daily; NCICTCAE = National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events.

to moderate in severity, with no treatment-related grade 4 non-haematological AEs, no serious treatment-related AEs and no grade 5 treatment-related AEs observed. Treatment-related AEs occurring in $\geq 5\%$ of patients are presented in Table 3. The most common non-haematological AEs reported during cycle 1 were fatigue (33%), nausea (30%), diarrhoea (18%), constipation and rash (each 12%); after cycle 1, the most frequently reported AEs comprised diarrhoea and fatigue (each 30%), nausea (15%) and epistaxis (12%). Five grade 3 non-haematological AEs were reported ($n=1$ each), all of which occurred after the first cycle and comprised fatigue, hyperglycaemia, hyponatremia, nausea and vomiting.

Haematological toxicity was common (Table 4). Grade 3 or 4 haematological toxicity consisted of lymphopenia (36%), neutropenia (24%), leukopenia (21%), thrombocytopenia (9%) and anaemia seen in a single patient (3%).

Analysis of QT interval changes using Bazett's and Fridericia's corrections showed that Fridericia's was the more appropriate correction method based on plots of the QTc vs RR interval (data not shown). Using Fridericia's correction, no patients had a maximum on-treatment value ≥ 500 -msec or a >30-msec increase from baseline.

Tumour response

Of the total 33 patients, 31 completed at least one post-treatment tumour assessment and were considered evaluable for response. One patient with testicular cancer had a partial response (PR) with PD 0332991 administered at 200 mg QD on Schedule 2/1. This patient had non-seminomatous germ cell tumour and had previously been treated with bleomycin, cisplatin and etoposide in the adjuvant setting. An additional nine patients (29%) experienced stable disease (SD) lasting ≥ 2 cycles. Stable disease

Table 3 Treatment-related non-haematological adverse events^a during and after cycle one occurring in $\geq 5\%$ of patients for all cohorts combined (N = 33)

Adverse event	Cycle 1, n (%)		After cycle 1, n (%)	
	All grades	Grade 3	All grades	Grade 3
Fatigue	11 (33)	0	10 (30)	1 (3)
Nausea	10 (30)	0	5 (15)	1 (3)
Diarrhoea	6 (18)	0	10 (30)	0
Constipation	4 (12)	0	3 (9)	0
Rash	4 (12)	0	2 (6)	0
Vomiting	2 (6)	0	2 (6)	1 (3)
Peripheral oedema	3 (9)	0	2 (6)	0
Dyspnea	3 (9)	0	1 (3)	0
Anorexia	1 (3)	0	3 (9)	0
Pyrexia	1 (3)	0	2 (6)	0
Epistaxis	0	0	4 (12)	0
Flatulence	0	0	3 (9)	0
Mucosal inflammation	0	0	3 (9)	0
Chills	0	0	2 (6)	0
Muscular weakness	0	0	2 (6)	0
Cough	0	0	2 (6)	0
Alopecia	0	0	2 (6)	0

^aAdverse events were defined as treatment-related based on having a relationship to study drug reported as 'yes' or 'unknown'. No treatment-related, grade 4/5 adverse events were reported.

Table 4 Haematological toxicity by maximum toxicity grade among patients whose toxicity worsened relative to baseline across all cohorts and all cycles (N = 33)

Haematological toxicity	Grade 1/2, n (%)	Grade 3/4, n (%)
Anaemia	21 (64)	1 (3)
Leukopenia	22 (67)	7 (21)
Neutropenia	14 (42)	8 (24)
Lymphopenia	8 (24)	12 (36)
Thrombocytopenia	19 (58)	3 (9)

Table 5 Mean and median plasma pharmacokinetic parameters for PD 0332991 by dose on days 1 and 8

Parameter	100 mg QD		150 mg QD		200 mg QD		225 mg QD	
	Day 1 (n = 3)	Day 8 (n = 3)	Day 1 (n = 4)	Day 8 (n = 3)	Day 1 (n = 20)	Day 8 (n = 8)	Day 1 (n = 6)	Day 8 (n = 6)
C_{max} (ng ml ⁻¹), mean (%CV)	44 (72)	58 (43)	78 (22)	194 (41)	81 (35)	174 (17)	104 (58)	186 (64)
T_{max} (h), median (range)	4.0 (2.0–10.0)	4.0 (4.0–7.0)	6.0 (4.0–10.0)	7.0 (7.0–10.0)	5.7 (1.0–10.2)	4.0 (2.0–7.0)	4.0 (4.0–7.0)	4.5 (1.0–7.0)
$AUC_{(0-10)}$ ^a (ng h ml ⁻¹), mean (%CV)	333 (NA)	433 (NA)	622 (NA)	1599 (35)	525 (36)	1395 (23)	718 (55)	1491 (64)

Abbreviations: QD = once daily; C_{max} = maximum observed plasma concentration; CV = coefficient of variation; T_{max} = time-to-first occurrence of C_{max} ; $AUC_{(0-10)}$ = area under the plasma concentration–time curve from 0 to 10 h; NA = not applicable. ^aThe number of patients on day 1 for the 100-mg, 150-mg and 200-mg cohorts was 2, 2 and 19, respectively, and on day 8 for the 100-mg cohort was 2.

was observed in the following tumour types: liposarcoma ($n = 4$), thyroid ($n = 1$), melanoma ($n = 1$), cholangiosarcoma ($n = 1$) and angiomyxoma ($n = 1$). Six of the patients (19%) with SD had SD lasting ≥ 4 cycles, and three patients (10%) had SD for ≥ 10 cycles. Stable disease lasting ≥ 10 cycles was observed in two patients with liposarcoma (one in the 100-mg QD cohort lasting 11 cycles and one in the 225-mg QD cohort lasting ≥ 23 cycles) and one patient with angiomyxoma in the 200-mg QD cohort lasting 19 cycles. The course of patients with liposarcoma can be associated with prolonged SD. However, the patient with liposarcoma, who remains on study with SD after 23 cycles, is particularly noteworthy, having progressed previously on a phase II clinical trial with sorafenib. In addition, this patient continues on trial without cumulative toxicity. Two patients were on study at the time of data cutoff: the patient with testicular cancer who achieved a PR and the patient with liposarcoma and SD lasting ≥ 23 cycles.

Pharmacokinetics

On day 1 of treatment, PD 0332991 was detectable in the plasma of all patients at the first measured timepoint (i.e., 1 h post-dose). Exposure ($AUC_{(0-10)}$ and C_{max}) increased in a broadly dose-proportional manner over the range of 100–225 mg PD 0332991 on days 1 and 8, although there was some variability particularly at the 150-mg dose level (Table 5, Supplementary Figures 1A and B). Overall, values for C_{max} and $AUC_{(0-10)}$ showed low to moderate inter-patient variability after both single- and multiple-dose administration. Plasma PK parameters showed low to moderate variability with a generally dose-dependent increase in exposure over the dose range of 100–225 mg based on C_{max} and $AUC_{(0-10)}$. Area under the concentration–time curve was determined only up to 10 h post-dose because of limited availability of data at later timepoints. Dose-normalised AUC for PD 0332991 after administration of 100–225 mg QD demonstrated dose proportionality (Supplementary Figure 1C).

Following repeated daily dosing to steady state (based on samples taken from the 200-mg QD cohort), PD 0332991 was absorbed with a median T_{max} of 4.2 h. The mean PD 0332991 V_d/F was 3241 l, which is significantly greater than that of total body water (42 l), suggesting that PD 0332991 penetrates extensively into peripheral tissues. The PD 0332991 was eliminated slowly with a mean $t_{1/2}$ of 26.7 h; the mean CL/F was 88.5 l per hour. Following repeated dosing, PD 0332991 accumulated with a median R_{ac} of 2.4, which is consistent with the $t_{1/2}$.

Pharmacodynamics

A nadir in both ANC and levels of platelets was observed at the end of the dosing period in cycle 1 (Supplementary Figure 2), which was followed by a rebound in levels of both ANC and platelets during the off-drug period that continued up to day 8 of cycle 2.




Figure 1 Pharmacodynamic modelling: percentage change in neutrophil count vs individual AUC during cycle 1. ANC, absolute neutrophil count; AUC, area under the plasma concentration–time curve.

The ANC did not return to baseline levels, but platelet levels recovered such that baseline levels were exceeded. From day 9 of cycle 2, ANC and platelet levels declined to the end of the cycle 2 dosing period. Changes from baseline during cycle 1 in levels of ANC and platelets vs individual AUC for 30 patients were assessed. For ANC (Figure 1), E_{max} was estimated to be 88.6% (s.e. 11.2; 12.6% coefficient of variation (CV) with an EC_{50} of 716 ng h ml^{-1} (s.e. 400; 56.9% CV). For platelets (data not shown), E_{max} was estimated to be 92.2% (s.e. 16.9; 18.3% CV) and EC_{50} was estimated to be $1370 \text{ ng h ml}^{-1}$ (s.e. 720; 52.9% CV).

DISCUSSION

This paper presents data from the first-in-human, phase I dose-escalation study of the potent and selective CDK4/6 inhibitor, PD 0332991. Dosing ranged from 100 to 225 mg QD for 2 weeks, followed by 1 week off treatment (Schedule 2/1).

A total of six patients in the overall study population experienced DLT. Of these, four (one patient in the dose-escalation cohort and three patients in the expansion cohort) received a dose of 200 mg QD, which was identified as the MTD. Dose-limiting toxicities consisted exclusively of myelosuppression (neutropenia with or without thrombocytopenia), leading to a delay in initiation of cycle 2 dosing. Overall, myelosuppression was common, with grade 1/2 leukopenia and thrombocytopenia seen in 58–67% of patients, grade 3/4 leukopenia and neutropenia in ~20–25% of patients, and grade 3/4 lymphopenia in 36% of patients. Preliminary pharmacodynamic modelling suggested that myelosuppression was related to PD 0332991 exposure. Indeed, based on preclinical data, myelosuppression was an expected toxicity and is consistent with a mechanism of action that targets the cell cycle (Lin *et al*, 2009). Of note, the lymphopenic toxicity of the CDK inhibitor flavopiridol (see below) resulted in this agent being tested for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, for which it has demonstrated promising activity.

Treatment-related, non-haematological toxicity was generally mild to moderate in intensity with only five grade 3 AEs reported overall and no treatment-related grade 4 toxicity. The most common non-haematological AEs included fatigue and gastrointestinal toxicities consisting primarily of nausea, diarrhoea and constipation, with some patients also experiencing vomiting. Similar AE profiles have been reported for other CDK inhibitors targeting the G1/S checkpoint (Thomas *et al*, 2002; Dittrich *et al*, 2003; Mahadevan *et al*, 2008; Shapiro *et al*, 2008; Tibes *et al*, 2008).

Pharmacokinetics analyses using a non-compartmental model suggest that PD 0332991 exposure increases in a dose-proportional model over the dose range explored in this study, although there was low to moderate inter-patient variability. Population PK studies are ongoing. As anticipated from preclinical data, the half-life of PD 0332991 was relatively long, at 26.7 h, resulting in its accumulation following repeated dosing. Extensive penetration of peripheral tissue was suggested by the large V_z/F value (3241 l). Further studies are needed to define precisely when steady state is reached and to characterise the extent of washout in the 1 week off treatment embedded within each cycle.

An exploratory analysis of ANC and platelet levels during the first two treatment cycles showed that levels of both neutrophils and platelets decreased during PD 0332991 treatment, a pharmacodynamic observation that is consistent with CDK inhibition in rapidly dividing cell types. The exploratory analysis demonstrated that the observed nadirs in percentage decreases from baseline were equivalent in each cycle and, importantly, decreases in cell numbers were not cumulative. The lack of cumulative effect indicated a saturable effect of the drug on both ANC and platelets. Furthermore, a PK/pharmacodynamic relationship was established between change in ANC and platelets levels vs plasma exposure using a simple E_{max} model, with increasing exposures resulting in a decrease from baseline for both ANC and platelets that was saturable. During the 1-week off-drug period, the extent of recovery of neutrophils and platelets was dependent on the cell type. It is possible that multiple factors (including system-based (i.e., cell type) and drug-based) underlie in the overall changes observed following PD 0332991 treatment. More mechanistic population PK/pharmacodynamic modelling, based on a previously described semi-mechanistic physiological model developed to describe the neutropenic effects of cytotoxic agents such as docetaxel, etoposide, paclitaxel and irinotecan (Friberg *et al*, 2002), is currently underway to investigate further the changes in ANC and platelet levels associated with PD 0332991 treatment. It is possible that the use of ANC or platelet modelling may have utility as surrogate pharmacodynamic markers of PD 0332991 activity.

The PD 0332991 showed preliminary evidence of antitumour activity in this study, with one PR (Vaughn *et al*, 2009) and ~30% of patients exhibiting disease stabilisation, which in three cases was prolonged beyond 10 cycles of treatment. The patient numbers were too small from which to draw conclusions about differences in response between cohorts or within any individual tumour type. The one PR shows that, like certain other cytostatic agents such as tamoxifen, PD 0332991 is capable of both cytostatic and cytotoxic activity in the clinic, as suggested by results from some preclinical models (Fry *et al*, 2004).

Several other CDK inhibitors are in development (Dickson and Schwartz, 2009), including flavopiridol, which has activity against CDK4/6. As previously reported, the activity of PD 0332991 in one of the patients with testicular cancer is striking (Vaughn *et al*, 2009). Flavopiridol has also been shown to be active in patients with germ-cell tumours, especially in combination with oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy (Rathkopf *et al*, 2009). Therefore, CDK inhibitors, particularly those that target CDK4/6, may offer promise for patients with this particular disease.

In summary, PD 0332991 given on Schedule 2/1 is generally well tolerated by patients with advanced solid tumours, with the DLT being myelosuppression. The MTD on this schedule was 200 mg QD, and this dose is recommended for further study in phase II. Phase II studies of PD 0332991 are ongoing/planned in patients with multiple myeloma (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00555906), breast cancer (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00721409) and refractory solid tumours (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01037790). In addition, CDK4 has been shown to be amplified in patients with liposarcoma, which may explain the unusual degree of SD observed in this clinical trial (Singer *et al*, 2007). In view of this, a phase II study of

this agent in CDK4-amplified, Rb-positive liposarcoma is now underway (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01209598).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all of the participating patients and their families, as well as the network of investigators, research nurses, study coordinators and operations staff. Medical writing assistance was provided by Christine Arris (ACUMED, Tytherington, UK) and funded by Pfizer Inc. This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.

Conflict of interest

MAD declare no conflict of interest. GKS has received compensation (less than \$10 000) from Pfizer for attending an advisory board and has had travel expenses paid by Pfizer for attending an advisory board; his institution (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer

Center) has received funding from Pfizer for the current study, as well as for other research. PML has received compensation (less than \$10 000) from Pfizer for attending an advisory board and has had travel expenses paid by Pfizer for attending an advisory board; her institution (Karmanos Cancer Institute) has received funding from Pfizer for the current study, as well as for other research. SR, MNS, KW, RC are employees of Pfizer and own stock/stock options in Pfizer. PO'D has received a consulting fee (less than \$10 000) from Pfizer, for other research, and his institution (Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania) has received funding from Pfizer for the current study and for other research. Work presented in this manuscript is original and has not been published elsewhere. Some of the data have been presented previously as listed in Appendix.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (<http://www.nature.com/bjcr>)

REFERENCES

- Dickson MA, Schwartz GK (2009) Development of cell-cycle inhibitors for cancer therapy. *Curr Oncol* **16**: 36–43
- Dittrich C, Dumez H, Calvert H, Hanauske A, Faber M, Wanders J, Yule M, Ravic M, Fumoleau P (2003) Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of E7070, a chloroindolyl-sulfonamide anticancer agent, administered on a weekly schedule to patients with solid tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* **9**: 5195–5204
- Friberg LE, Henningson A, Maas H, Nguyen L, Karlsson MO (2002) Model of chemotherapy-induced myelosuppression with parameter consistency across drugs. *J Clin Oncol* **20**: 4713–4721
- Friend SH, Bernardis R, Rogelj S, Weinberg RA, Rapaport JM, Albert DM, Dryja TP (1986) A human DNA segment with properties of the gene that predisposes to retinoblastoma and osteosarcoma. *Nature* **323**: 643–646
- Fry DW, Harvey PJ, Keller PR, Elliott WL, Meade M, Trachet E, Albassam M, Zheng X, Leopold WR, Pryer NK, Toogood PL (2004) Specific inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 by PD 0332991 and associated antitumor activity in human tumor xenografts. *Mol Cancer Ther* **3**: 1427–1438
- Harbour JW, Lai SL, Whang-Peng J, Gazdar AF, Minna JD, Kaye FJ (1988) Abnormalities in structure and expression of the human retinoblastoma gene in SCLC. *Science* **241**: 353–357
- Lee WH, Bookstein R, Hong F, Young LJ, Shew JY, Lee EY (1987) Human retinoblastoma susceptibility gene: cloning, identification, and sequence. *Science* **235**: 1394–1399
- Lin TS, Ruppert AS, Johnson AJ, Fischer B, Heerema NA, Andritsos LA, Blum KA, Flynn JM, Jones JA, Hu W, Moran ME, Mitchell SM, Smith LL, Wagner AJ, Raymond CA, Schaaf LJ, Phelps MA, Villalona-Calero MA, Grever MR, Byrd JC (2009) Phase II study of flavopiridol in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia demonstrating high response rates in genetically high-risk disease. *J Clin Oncol* **27**: 6012–6018
- Mahadevan D, Plummer R, Squires MS, Rensvold D, Dragovich T, Adams J, Lock V, Smith D, Von Hoff DD, Calvert H (2008) A dose escalation, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic study of AT7519, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor in patients with refractory solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol* **26**(15S): 161s (abstr. 3533)
- Rathkopf D, Dickson MA, Feldman DR, Carvajal RD, Shah MA, Wu N, Lefkowitz R, Gonen M, Cane LM, Dials HJ, Winkelmann JL, Bosl GJ, Schwartz GK (2009) Phase I study of flavopiridol with oxaliplatin and fluorouracil/leucovorin in advanced solid tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**: 7405–7411
- Shapiro GI (2006) Cyclin-dependent kinase pathways as targets for cancer treatment. *J Clin Oncol* **24**: 1770–1783
- Shapiro GI, Bannerji R, Small K, Black S, Statkevich P, Abutarif M, Moseley J, Yao S, Takimoto CH, Mita MM (2008) A phase I dose-escalation study of the safety, pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) of the novel cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor SCH 727965 administered every 3 weeks in subjects with advanced malignancies. *J Clin Oncol* **26**(15S): 161s (abstr. 3532)
- Shimizu E, Coxon A, Otterson GA, Steinberg SM, Kratzke RA, Kim YW, Fedorko J, Oie H, Johnson BE, Mulshine JL, Minna JD, Gazdar AF, Kaye FJ (1994) RB protein status and clinical correlation from 171 cell lines representing lung cancer, extrapulmonary small cell carcinoma, and mesothelioma. *Oncogene* **9**: 2441–2448
- Singer S, Socci ND, Ambrosini G, Sambol E, Decarolis P, Wu Y, O'Connor R, Maki R, Viale A, Sander C, Schwartz GK, Antonescu CR (2007) Gene expression profiling of liposarcoma identifies distinct biological types/subtypes and potential therapeutic targets in well-differentiated and dedifferentiated liposarcoma. *Cancer Res* **67**: 6626–6636
- Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubinstein L, Verweij J, Van GM, van Oosterom AT, Christian MC, Gwyther SG (2000) New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **92**: 205–216
- Thomas JP, Tutsch KD, Cleary JF, Bailey HH, Arzooonian R, Alberti D, Simon K, Feierabend C, Binger K, Marnocha R, Dresen A, Wilding G (2002) Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic trial of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor flavopiridol. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **50**: 465–472
- Tibes R, Jimeno A, Von Hoff DD, Walker R, Paccarini MA, Scaburri A, Fiorentini F, Borad MJ, Jameson GS, Hidalgo M (2008) Phase I dose escalation study of the oral multi-CDK inhibitor PHA-848125. *J Clin Oncol* **26**(15S): 160s (abstr. 3531)
- Toogood PL, Harvey PJ, Repine JT, Sheehan DJ, VanderWel SN, Zhou H, Keller PR, McNamara DJ, Sherry D, Zhu T, Brodfuehrer J, Choi C, Barvian MR, Fry DW (2005) Discovery of a potent and selective inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6. *J Med Chem* **48**: 2388–2406
- Trotti A, Colevas AD, Setser A, Rusch V, Jaques D, Budach V, Langer C, Murphy B, Cumberlin R, Coleman CN, Rubin P (2003) CTCAE v3.0: development of a comprehensive grading system for the adverse effects of cancer treatment. *Semin Radiat Oncol* **13**: 176–181
- Vaughn DJ, Flaherty K, Lal P, Gallagher M, O'Dwyer P, Wilner K, Chen I, Schwartz G (2009) Treatment of growing teratoma syndrome. *N Engl J Med* **360**: 423–424
- Yokota J, Akiyama T, Fung YK, Benedict WF, Namba Y, Hanaoka M, Wada M, Terasaki T, Shimosato Y, Sugimura T, Terada M (1988) Altered expression of the retinoblastoma (RB) gene in small-cell carcinoma of the lung. *Oncogene* **3**: 471–475

Appendix

O'Dwyer PJ, LoRusso P, DeMichele A, Gupta V, Barbi A, Dials H, Chen I, Courtney R, Wilner K, Schwartz GK (2007) A phase I dose-escalation trial of a daily oral CDK 4/6 inhibitor

PD 0332991. *J Clin Oncol* **25**(18S): 150s (abstr 3550). Vaughn DJ, Flaherty K, Lal P, Gallagher M, O'Dwyer PJ, Wilner K, Chen I, Schwartz GK (2009) Treatment of growing teratoma syndrome. *N Engl J Med* **360**(4): 423–424. Shaik MN, O'Dwyer P, LoRusso PM, Schwartz G, Wilner K, Courtney R

(2010) Population pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic evaluation of the effect of PD 0332991 on QTc in patients with advanced cancers. Presented at the American Society for Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics 2010 Annual Meeting, March 17–20, Atlanta, GA, USA. Tortorici MA, O'Dwyer P, LoRusso P,

Schwartz G, Wilner K, Ruiz-Garcia A, Courtney R (2010) Population pharmacokinetics of PD 0332991 in patients with advanced cancers. Presented at the American Society for Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics 2010 Annual Meeting, March 17–20, Atlanta, GA, USA.