Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences

cambridge.org/eps

Original Article

Cite this article: Helgesson M, Rahman S, Björkenstam E, Gustafsson K, Amin R, Taipale H, Tanskanen A, Ekselius L, Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2021). Trajectories of labour market marginalisation among young adults with newly diagnosed attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **30**, e67, 1–10. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S2045796021000536

Received: 9 April 2021 Revised: 10 September 2021 Accepted: 14 September 2021

Keywords:

ADHD; attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; labour market marginalisation; sick leave

Author for correspondence: Magnus Helgesson, E-mail: magnus.helgesson@ki.se

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



Trajectories of labour market marginalisation among young adults with newly diagnosed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)

M. Helgesson¹ (b), S. Rahman¹ (b), E. Björkenstam^{1,2}, K. Gustafsson¹, R. Amin¹ (b), H. Taipale^{1,3,4}, A. Tanskanen³, L. Ekselius² and E. Mittendorfer-Rutz¹

¹Division of Insurance Medicine, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, SE-17177 Stockholm, Sweden; ²Department of Neuroscience, Psychiatry, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; ³Department of Forensic Psychiatry, Niuvanniemi Hospital, Kuopio, Finland and ⁴School of Pharmacy, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

Abstract

Aims. Labour market marginalisation (LMM), i.e. severe problems in finding and keeping a job, is common among young adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). This study aimed to disentangle the extent of LMM as well as the heterogeneity in patterns of LMM among young adults with ADHD and what characterises those belonging to these distinct trajectories of LMM.

Methods. This population-based register study investigated all 6287 young adults, aged 22–29 years, who had their first primary or secondary diagnosis of ADHD in Sweden between 2006 and 2011. Group-based trajectory (GBT) models were used to estimate trajectories of LMM, conceptualised as both unemployment and work disability, 3 years before and 5 years after the year of an incident diagnosis of ADHD. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the association between individual characteristics and the trajectory groups of LMM were estimated by multinomial logistic regression.

Results. Six distinct trajectories of LMM were found: 'increasing high' (21% belonged to this trajectory group) with high levels of LMM throughout the study period, 'rapidly increasing' (19%), 'moderately increasing' (21%), 'constant low' (12%) with low levels of LMM throughout the study period, 'moderately decreasing' (14%) and finally 'fluctuating' (13%), following a reversed u-shaped curve. Individuals with the following characteristics had an increased probability of belonging to trajectory groups of increasing LMM: low educational level (moderately increasing: OR: 1.4; CI: 1.2-1.8, rapidly increasing: OR: 1.7; CI: 1.3-2.1, increasing high: OR: 2.9; CI: 2.3-3.6), single parents (moderately increasing: OR: 1.6; CI: 1.1-2.4, rapidly increasing: OR: 2.0; CI: 1.3–3.0), those born outside the European Union/the Nordic countries (rapidly increasing: OR: 1.7; CI: 1.1–2.5, increasing high: OR: 2.1; CI: 1.4–3.1), persons living in small cities/villages (moderately increasing: OR: 2.4; CI: 1.9-3.0, rapidly increasing: OR: 2.1; CI: 1.6-2.7, increasing high: OR: 2.6; CI: 2.0-3.3) and those with comorbid mental disorders, most pronounced regarding schizophrenia/psychoses (rapidly increasing: OR: 6.7; CI: 2.9-19.5, increasing high: OR: 12.8; CI: 5.5-37.0), autism spectrum disorders (rapidly increasing: OR: 4.6; CI: 3.1-7.1, increasing high: OR: 9.6; CI: 6.5-14.6), anxiety/stress-related disorders (moderately increasing: OR: 1.3; CI: 1.1-1.7, rapidly increasing: OR: 2.0; CI: 1.6-2.5, increasing high: OR: 1.8; CI: 1.5-2.3) and depression/bipolar disorder (moderately increasing: OR: 1.3; CI: 1.0-1.6, rapidly increasing: OR: 1.7; CI: 1.4-2.2, increasing high: OR: 1.5; CI: 1.2-1.9). Conclusions. About 61% of young adults were characterised by increasing LMM after a diagnosis of ADHD. To avoid marginalisation, attention should especially be given to young adults diagnosed with ADHD with a low educational level, that are single parents and who are living outside big cities. Also, young adults with comorbid mental disorders should be monitored for LMM early in working life.

Background

The number of individuals diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in adult age has increased during the 2000s (Giacobini *et al.*, 2018). Labour market marginalisation (LMM), that is severe problems in finding and keeping a job, is therefore common and has been reported to be widespread among patients with ADHD (Halmoy *et al.*, 2009; Kupper *et al.*, 2012). The reason is that attention deficiencies, lack of impulse control and problems with controlling the activity level may severely affect the ability to work (Wiklund *et al.*, 2016; Helgesson *et al.*, 2017; Hirvikoski *et al.*, 2017). During the 2000s, the incidence of ADHD in young adults has increased considerably, which has led to public health concerns about the future health status as well as social and occupational development (Thomas

et al., 2015; Edvinsson, 2017; Giacobini *et al.*, 2018; Rydell *et al.*, 2018). Still, today, the scientific knowledge on several aspects of LMM in young adults with ADHD is limited.

As the awareness of adult ADHD has increased, different treatment strategies have been introduced (Geffen and Forster, 2018; Lopez *et al.*, 2018). Multimodal treatment, where the life situation of the person with ADHD is elucidated from a holistic perspective, is the primary recommendation from the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare (National Board of Health and Welfare, 2014). The time of diagnosis is the starting point for different interventions aimed to enhance the ability to lead an everyday life as well as to increase the possibility to find and keep a position on the labour market. Pharmacological treatment may be added when non-pharmacological treatments do not have adequate effects. Studies have reported beneficial effects on functional ability when taking these medications (Vidal-Estrada *et al.*, 2012; National Board of Health and Welfare, 2014; Geffen and Forster, 2018; Lopez *et al.*, 2018).

Patients with ADHD often suffer from comorbidities such as common mental disorders, autism spectrum disorders and substance use disorders, but also from somatic diseases like asthma and diabetes mellitus (Kupper et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Aduen et al., 2018; Cortese et al., 2018). Comorbidities with other medical conditions are important factors for functional impairment among persons diagnosed with ADHD (Halmoy et al., 2009; Edvinsson et al., 2013; Edvinsson, 2017; Helgesson et al., 2017). Moreover, sociodemographic/socioeconomic characteristics might affect the probability of experiencing LMM. Men are reported to have up to twice as high prevalence of ADHD than women (Thomas et al., 2015; Giacobini et al., 2018). The symptomatic picture seems to be different as men more often have externalising problems while women suffer more from internalising problems (Gershon and Gershon, 2002). This difference in symptomatic picture might therefore be a factor for the ability to find and hold a job. Also, other sociodemographic factors such as educational level, family composition, type of living area and region of birth might be of interest for the association between ADHD and LMM (Lehti et al., 2016; Giacobini et al., 2018).

LMM can be defined in different ways. The most used definition is unemployment (McKee-Ryan *et al.*, 2005; Paul and Moser, 2009). The adverse consequences of ADHD on LMM can, however, be considerably underestimated by only including unemployment. Many with mental disorders are granted disability pension already at young adult age (OECD, 2003, 2010; Helgesson *et al.*, 2017). For this reason, also measures of work disability such as sickness absence and disability pension, which are based on medical assessments, should be included in the conceptualisation of LMM in psychiatric epidemiological studies (OECD, 2010; Helgesson *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, this study used a broad definition of LMM to be comprehensive and to increase the comparability to other countries, as welfare systems do differ to a large extent.

Patients diagnosed with ADHD are assumed to form a heterogeneous group with different degrees of comorbid disorders and different work-related as well as sociodemographic characteristics (Virtanen *et al.*, 2020). Variation in these characteristics may therefore lead to considerable differences in patterns of LMM in this group. For this reason, this study aimed to disentangle this heterogeneity in patterns of LMM and investigate what characterises those young adults with ADHD following these distinct trajectory groups of LMM, both before and after the incident diagnosis. These analyses are warranted to create individual treatment and rehabilitation recommendations among young adults with ADHD. The specific aims were to: (1) investigate different trajectory groups of LMM, measured before and after an incident diagnosis of ADHD in adult age as the sum of months with unemployment, sickness absence and/or disability pension among young adults, and (2) to study to which extent specific medical, sociodemographic and work-related characteristics were associated with those trajectory groups.

Methods

The Swedish social insurance regulations

Individuals who are 16 years and above can receive sickness benefit when ill if they have a previous income from work. The employer covers the first 14 days of the period except for the first qualifying day. The Social Insurance Agency has the responsibility for payment from day 15 and onwards and information on the first 14 days is therefore not available in the registers. Those aged between 19 and 29 years can receive time-restricted disability pension if the work ability is reduced or if compulsory education is not completed at age 19. Individuals from age 30 years and above can be granted disability pension if the work capacity is permanently reduced. Individuals from 16 years and above can be enrolled at the Swedish Public Employment Service and can receive income-related unemployment benefit if they have had previous income from work. From age 20, there is, however, a possibility to receive basic levels of unemployment benefit also without previous income from work. From age 19 and above, it is possible to receive all the benefits included in the measure of LMM in this study.

Registers

Data were merged individually based on the de-identified personal number and information was available for each individual from 1st of January 2003 to 31st of December 2016 from the following five Swedish nation-wide registers: (1) longitudinal integration database for health insurance and labour market studies (LISA), hosted by Statistics Sweden: sociodemographic variables and unemployment; (2) microdata for analysis of social security (MIDAS) hosted by the Social Insurance Agency: sickness absence and disability pension; (3) National Patient Register (NPR): main and secondary diagnoses for ADHD and comorbid disorders during the year of CED 2006–2011; (4) Prescribed Drug Register (PDR): prescription for ADHD-medication and (5) Cause of Death Register: date of mortality. Databases 3–5 are hosted by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare.

Study population

The study base consisted of 8420 young adults between 22 and 29 years of age who had their incident main or secondary diagnosis of ADHD from either inpatient or specialised outpatient healthcare between 2006 and 2011. The lower age limit was motivated as all the participants were eligible for all ingoing benefits of the measure LMM at least three years before their first diagnosis of ADHD in adult age. A diagnosis of ADHD was defined by the code F90 in the International Classification of Diseases, Version 10 (ICD-10). Those with a record of medication for ADHD (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification (ATC) codes: N06BA01-13 and C02AC01-02) before the year of diagnosis were excluded (n = 2133). The final study population consisted of 6287 individuals.

Variables

Outcome measure

LMM was measured as the annual sum of net months with work disability and unemployment during three years before and five years after the incident diagnosis of ADHD (cohort entry date, CED).

Covariates

(I) Sociodemographic factors: sex, age, educational level, region of birth, family composition and type of living area measured on 31st of December in the year preceding CED (see Table 1 for categorisation) and (II) medical factors: inpatient or specialised outpatient healthcare due to: depression and bipolar disorders (ICD-10: F30-F34), anxiety and stress-related disorders (F40-F48), autism spectrum disorders (F84), substance use disorders (F10-F19), behavioural and emotional disorders (F91-F98), schizophrenia/psychoses (F20-F29), mental retardation/developmental disorders (F70-F83, F85-F89), other mental disorders (Other F), musculoskeletal diseases (M01-M99), asthma (J45), diabetes mellitus (E10-E11), neoplasms (C00-D48), cardiovascular diseases (I00-I99), accidents (S00-S99) and other somatic diseases (other codes except for full-term uncomplicated delivery (O.80) and factors influencing health status and contact with health services (Z00-99)); prescription for medication for ADHD during the year after the diagnosis of ADHD, i.e. 'centrally acting sympathomimetics' ATC codes N06BA01-13 and 'imidazoline receptor agonists' C02AC01 and C02AC02 and subtype of hyperactivity disorder (F90), i.e. ADHD, F90.0 and F90.0B), attention-deficit disorder (ADD, F90.0C), dysfunction of attention, motor control and perception (Damp, F90.0A) and unspecified type of hyperactive disorder (UNS, F90.0X).

Statistical analyses

Group-based trajectory (GBT) models were used to calculate trajectory groups of LMM from 3 years before to 5 years after the year of the incident diagnosis of ADHD. According to the founders/developers of the GBT model, the least complicated model based on existing knowledge, regarding both the number of trajectory groups and polynomial orders (Four polynomial orders are possible: 0 = constant level, 1 = a linear function which may constantly increase or decrease, 2 = quadratic level, i.e. that LMM can increase/decrease and then again decrease/ increase and 3 = allows for several decreases and increases.), is to be chosen (Jones et al., 2001). General assumptions in this study were: (1) many individuals do not have any LMM during the study period. Therefore, a zero inflated Poisson (zip) model was chosen, and one trajectory is assumed to be constant low (polynomial order 0) (Wang et al., 2017; Helgesson et al., 2018), (2) many young adults have high levels of LMM already when entering working age which are sustained throughout adulthood. Therefore, one trajectory group is assumed to be linear (polynomial order 1), and (3) all other trajectory groups were assumed to have the possibility to change direction once (polynomial order 2). The association between several covariates and the trajectory groups of LMM was examined by multinomial logistic regression yielding odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and using the group 'constant low', the group with least

LMM, as the reference category. Log likelihood χ^2 test estimated the association between a covariate and a specific trajectory.

Results

Characteristics of young adults diagnosed with ADHD

More men (57%) than women were diagnosed with ADHD during the study period (Table 1). A higher proportion was diagnosed at age 25–29 years (58%) than at age 22–24 years. Most individuals diagnosed with ADHD had low (46%) or medium (45%) educational level. The absolute majority were singles with no children living at home (81%). The most common mental comorbidities were anxiety/stress-related disorder (32%), depression/bipolar disorder (26%) and substance use disorders (21%). Somatic diseases such as musculoskeletal diseases (6%), accidents (12%) and other somatic diseases (40%) were also common among persons diagnosed with ADHD (Table 1). Around half of the persons diagnosed with ADHD (52%) had a record of ADHD-medication dispensing during the year after the diagnosis of ADHD.

Trajectory groups of LMM

Figure 1 shows the different trajectory groups of LMM of individuals with ADHD. Six distinct trajectory groups of LMM were established. Over 21% of all young adults followed the trajectory group of 'increasing high' LMM, starting from an average of eight annual months three years before the diagnosis and ending up with nine annual months five years after the diagnosis with ADHD. Another group, consisting of 19% of young adults with ADHD, followed a trajectory group of 'rapidly increasing' LMM, starting with just two annual months of LMM three years before the diagnosis, but ended up with eight annual months of LMM five years after the diagnosis. About 21% followed a trajectory group of 'moderately increasing' LMM, starting from two months of LMM at the beginning of the period and increasing to about five annual months of LMM at the end of the observation period. Moreover, around 12% belonged to the trajectory group of 'constant low' LMM and around 14% comprised the group of 'moderately decreasing' LMM, beginning with three annual months of LMM and decreasing to very low LMM levels at the end of the observation period. Finally, about 13% followed the trajectory group of 'fluctuating' LMM, starting with about five months three years before the diagnosis, with an increase to over six months of LMM in the year of the diagnosis, and then sharply declining to under two annual months five years after the diagnosis.

Sociodemographic factors by trajectory group

Compared to men, women had higher odds of following the 'increasing high' trajectory group of LMM (OR: 1.5; CI: 1.2–1.9) compared to the 'constant low' trajectory group of LMM (Table 2). The odds of following the 'increasing high' (OR: 1.8; CI: 1.4–2.1) and 'fluctuating' (OR: 1.7; CI: 1.4–2.1) trajectory groups of LMM compared to the 'constant low' trajectory group of LMM were higher among persons aged 25–29 compared to persons aged 22–24. Persons with a low educational level had higher odds of belonging to all trajectory groups of increasing LMM ('increasing high' OR: 2.9; CI: 2.3–3.6, 'rapidly increasing' OR: 1.7; CI: 1.3–2.1, 'moderate increasing' OR: 1.4; CI: 1.2–1.8) but also the fluctuating trajectory group (OR: 1.5; CI: 1.2–1.9)

 Table 1. Characteristics at baseline for the 6287 individuals, 22–29 years,

 diagnosed with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in adult age

 between 2006 and 2011

	N (%)
Sociodemographic factors	
Sex ^a	
Men	3556 (56.6)
Women	2731 (43.4)
Age ^a	
22-24 years	2653 (42.2)
25–29 years	3634 (57.8)
Educational level ^a	
Low (0–9 years) ^b	2869 (45.6)
Medium (10–12 years)	2795 (44.5)
High (>12 years)	623 (9.9)
Family composition ^a	
Married/living together without child at home	112 (1.8)
Married/living together with child at home	623 (9.9)
Single without child at home	5078 (80.8)
Single with child at home	474 (7.5)
Type of living area ^a	
Big cities	1987 (31.6)
Medium cities	2442 (38.8)
Small cities/villages	1858 (29.6)
Region of birth	
Sweden	5736 (91.2)
Nordic countries	45 (0.7)
EU27	64 (1.0)
Other countries	442 (7.0)
Unemployment ^c	
No days	3759 (59.8)
1–180 days	1629 (25.9)
>180 days	899 (14.3)
Sickness absence ^c	
No days	5009 (79.7)
1–90 days	419 (6.7)
>90 days	859 (13.7)
Medical factors	
Mental comorbidities ^d	
Depression/Bipolar disorder	1632 (26.0)
Anxiety/Stress-related disorders	1979 (31.5)
Autism spectrum disorder	666 (10.6)
Substance use	1300 (20.7)
Behavioural/Emotional disorders	207 (3.3)
Schizophrenia/Psychoses	210 (3.3)
Mental retardation	214 (3.4)

(Continued)

Table 1.	(Continued.)
----------	--------------

	N (%)
Other mental disorders	1149 (18.3)
Somatic comorbidities ^d	
Musculoskeletal disorders	358 (5.7)
Asthma/Diabetes	127 (2.0)
Accidents	738 (11.7)
Other somatic disorders	2532 (40.3)
ADHD-medication ^e	
Yes	3258 (51.8)
No	3029 (48.2)
Subtype of hyperactivity disorder	
Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)	4927 (78.4)
Attention deficit disorder (ADD)	615 (9.8)
Deficits in attention, motor control and perception (DAMP)	57 (0.9)
Unspecified	688 (10.9)

^aMeasured on 31st of December in the year preceding the diagnosis of ADHD between 2006 and 2011.

^bMissing education is considered to be low educational level.

^cMeasured during the year preceding the first diagnosis of ADHD.

^d Measured during the same year as the diagnosis of ADHD due to following diagnoses in the International Classification of Diseases version 10 (ICD-10): Depression and Bipolar disorder (ICD-10: F30–F34), Anxiety and stress-related disorders (ICD-10: F40–F48), Autism spectrum disorder (ICD-10: F84), Substance use (ICD-10: F10–F19 and ATC: N07B), Behavioural and Emotional disorders (ICD-10: F91–F98), Schizophrenia/Psychoses (ICD-10: F20–F29), Mental retardation (ICD-10: F70–F83, F85–F89), Other mental disorders (ICD-10: Other F), Musculoskeletal diseases (ICD-10: M01–M99), Asthma (ICD-10: J45), Diabetes Mellitus (ICD-10: E10–E11 and ATC: A10), Neoplasms (ICD-10: C00–D48), Cardiovascular diseases (ICD-10: S00–S99) and Other somatic diseases (ICD-10: other codes except 0.80 and Z00–99).

^eMeasured during the year after the diagnosis of ADHD.

compared to belonging to the 'constant low' trajectory group of LMM. Persons with high educational level had lower odds of following all other trajectory groups compared to 'constant low' LMM (OR range: 0.2–0.6; CI range: 0.1–0.7). Singles living with children at home had a higher odds of following trajectory groups of 'rapidly increasing' LMM (OR: 2.0; CI: 1.3–3.0) and 'moderately increasing' LMM (OR: 1.6; CI: 1.1–2.4). Persons living outside big cities, both in medium cities or in villages, had higher odds of following all other trajectory groups of LMM (OR range: 1.6–2.6; CI range: 1.2–3.3), compared to belonging to the 'constant low' trajectory group of LMM. Finally, individuals born outside the European Union/the Nordic countries had a higher probability of following trajectory groups of increased LMM ('increasing high' OR: 2.1; CI: 1.4–3.1; 'rapidly increasing' OR: 1.7; CI: 1.1–2.5).

Medical factors

Especially high odds of belonging to the trajectory groups of 'increasing high' and/or 'rapidly increasing' LMM compared to those belonging to the trajectory groups of 'constant low' LMM were seen among those with comorbid schizophrenia/psychoses ('increasing high' OR: 12.8; CI: 5.5–37.0 'rapidly increasing' OR: 6.7; CI: 2.9–19.5), autism spectrum disorders ('increasing high' OR: 9.6; CI: 6.5–14.6 'rapidly increasing' OR: 4.6; CI: 3.1–7.1) and mental retardation ('increasing high' OR: 3.9; CI: 2.2–7.6,





Table 2). High odds for 'increasing high' and/or 'rapidly increasing' LMM were also seen among those with comorbid anxiety/ stress-related disorders ('increasing high' OR: 1.8; CI: 1.5–2.3 'rapidly increasing' OR: 2.0; CI: 1.6–2.5), depression/bipolar disorder ('increasing high' OR: 1.5; CI: 1.2–1.9, 'rapidly increasing' OR: 1.7; CI: 1.4–2.2), asthma/diabetes ('increasing high' OR: 2.3; CI: 1.1– 5.6) and behavioural/emotional disorders ('increasing high' OR: 1.9; CI: 1.1–3.7, 'rapidly increasing' OR: 1.9; CI: 1.1–3.6). Additionally, higher odds among individuals with comorbid disorders belonging to the 'fluctuating' trajectory were seen among those with comorbid autism spectrum disorders (OR: 2.4; CI: 1.5–3.8), asthma/diabetes (OR: 2.3; CI: 1.0–5.6), depression/bipolar disorder (OR: 1.8; CI: 1.4–2.3) and anxiety/stress-related disorders (OR: 1.7; CI: 1.4–2.2).

Those who utilised ADHD-medication during the year after the ADHD diagnosis had lower odds (OR: 0.7; CI: 0.6–0.8) of belonging to the 'increasing high' trajectory of LMM compared to the 'constant low' trajectory of LMM. Those diagnosed by ADD had higher risk of belonging to 'rapidly increasing' trajectory of LMM compared to the 'constant low' trajectory of LMM.

Discussion

Main findings

The results revealed that a majority (61%) of young adults diagnosed with ADHD in adult age had a poor prognosis regarding subsequent LMM following trajectory groups of increasing LMM on different levels during the study period. Young adults with comorbid mental disorders, most pronounced regarding schizophrenia/psychoses, autism spectrum disorders, depression/ bipolar disorders, and anxiety/stress-related disorders had a high probability of following trajectory groups of increasing LMM during the study period. Also, those with a low educational level, persons with children living at home, single parents, and individuals living outside big cities had an increased probability of belonging to trajectory groups of increasing LMM.

Trajectory groups of LMM

Nearly two thirds of all young adults diagnosed with ADHD were found to have a poor prognosis regarding future LMM. Previous

Swedish studies reported that the risk of having disability pension was high, about 10-15 times higher among individuals diagnosed with ADHD than among controls without ADHD (Virtanen et al., 2020; Helgesson et al., 2021). Furthermore, as we conceptualised LMM as both work disability and unemployment, our study shows that unemployment was an equally large driving force for future LMM among young adults diagnosed with ADHD. Previous studies on other diagnoses and subsequent LMM show a somewhat different picture. Among young adults with common mental disorders, 50% were found to have a poor prognosis for later LMM (Helgesson et al., 2018). In a comparison with young adults from the general population without any mental disorder, the risk of experiencing trajectories of increasing LMM was about 9% (Helgesson et al., 2018), as compared to 61% among young adults diagnosed with ADHD. A study on individuals (aged 19-60) with low back pain, just about 20% had a poor prognosis for later LMM (Dorner et al., 2018). Young adults diagnosed with ADHD had thus a relatively poor prognosis regarding later LMM, both compared to individuals with other health conditions, but foremost in comparison to the general population without mental disorders. One straightforward explanation might be that the symptoms of inattention and hyperactivity are hard to cope with at a workplace. During the last decades, a rapid increase in adult ADHD has been seen, and employers might still not have sufficient knowledge on how to adapt the work situation for those diagnosed with ADHD (Kupper et al., 2012; Thomas et al., 2015; Giacobini et al., 2018). Another reason might be that the recommendations and interventions stipulated by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare (National Board of Health and Welfare, 2014) are not sufficient, or are not used as intended. Only about 13% of all young adults with ADHD followed the 'fluctuating' trajectory group of LMM, indicating that the intervention at the time of the diagnosis had a good outcome. Optimally, many more would follow this trajectory. One reason for this result might be that many who are diagnosed with ADHD do suffer from severe comorbidities (Virtanen et al., 2020). Studies, however, show that the risk of LMM is also high when comorbidities are accounted for (Virtanen et al., 2020). As nearly two-thirds of all young adults diagnosed with ADHD do have a very volatile connection to the labour market,

Table 2. Adjusted^a odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for belonging to each trajectory group of labour market marginalisation (LMM) compared to the reference group (constant low trajectory of LMM) among the individuals aged 22–29 years and registered in Sweden, with an incident diagnosis of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) 2006–2011 (*n* = 6287)

51	, , ,	· · · ·				
	Moderately decreasing <i>v</i> . Constant low	Moderately increasing v. Constant low	Fluctuating v. Constant low	Rapidly increasing v. Constant low	Increasing high v. Constant low	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	Log-likelihood test (<i>p</i> -value) ^b
Sociodemographic fa	ctors					
Sex						
Men	1	1	1	1	1	47.1 (<0.0001)
Women	0.81 (0.65-1.01)	0.93 (0.76-1.14)	0.85 (0.67–1.07)	1.03 (0.83–1.27)	1.50 (1.21–1.86) ^c	
Age						
22 –24 years (younger)	1	1	1	1	1	118.9 (<0.0001)
25–29 years (older)	0.87 (0.71–1.07)	0.84 (0.69–1.01)	1.70 (1.37-2.11)	0.97 (0.80–1.19)	1.75 (1.43-2.14)	
Educational level						
Low (0–9 years)	1.19 (0.96–1.49)	1.44 (1.17–1.76)	1.49 (1.19–1.87)	1.66 (1.34–2.06)	2.88 (2.32-3.58)	294.2 (<0.0001)
Medium (>9–12 years)	1	1	1	1	1	
High (>12 years)	0.39 (0.28-0.53)	0.56 (0.43-0.73)	0.34 (0.24-0.47)	0.34 (0.25-0.46)	0.20 (0.14-0.28)	
Family composition						
Married/living together without child at home	0.95 (0.42–2.13)	0.71 (0.33–1.54)	1.57 (0.77–3.30)	1.40 (0.70–2.89)	1.23 (0.61–2.58)	47.7 (<0.0001)
Married/ living together with child home	0.87 (0.62–1.21)	0.90 (0.67–1.21)	0.78 (0.55–1.09)	1.03 (0.75–1.40)	0.52 (0.37-0.73)	
Single without child	1	1	1	1	1	
Single with child	1.22 (0.77–1.92)	1.56 (1.05-2.36)	1.46 (0.94–2.29)	1.95 (1.31–2.95)	1.08 (0.71–1.65)	
Type of living area						
Big cities	1	1	1	1	1	72.3 (<0.0001)
Medium cities	1.71 (1.36-2.15)	1.62 (1.31-2.00)	1.55 (1.22-1.96)	1.59 (1.27-1.98)	1.95 (1.55-2.44)	
Small cities/ villages	2.09 (1.60-2.72)	2.36 (1.85-3.01)	2.08 (1.59–2.73)	2.09 (1.62-2.69)	2.57 (1.98-3.33)	
Region of birth						
Sweden	1	1	1	1	1	28.5 (0.0186)
Nordic countries	0.68 (0.19–2.34)	0.87 (0.31–2.64)	0.13 (0.01-0.81)	0.85 (0.29–2.66)	1.14 (0.40-3.58)	
EU27	0.35 (0.09–1.05)	0.94 (0.42-2.14)	0.83 (0.31–2.13)	0.87 (0.37–2.11)	0.62 (0.24–1.58)	
Other countries	1.09 (0.70–1.69)	1.41 (0.97–2.10)	1.66 (1.10-2.53)	1.66 (1.12–2.48)	2.08 (1.41-3.10)	
Medical factors						
Comorbidities ^d						
Depression/ Bipolar disorder	1.33 (1.04-1.71)	1.28 (1.02–1.61)	1.82 (1.42-2.32)	1.74 (1.38–2.19)	1.47 (1.17-1.87)	34.2 (<0.0001)
Anxiety/ Stress-related disorders	1.24 (0.98–1.57)	1.33 (1.07–1.66)	1.70 (1.35-2.16)	2.03 (1.63–2.53)	1.84 (1.47-2.30)	59.1 (<0.0001)

Table 2. (Continued.)

	Moderately decreasing <i>v</i> . Constant low	Moderately increasing v. Constant low	Fluctuating <i>v</i> . Constant low	Rapidly increasing v. Constant low	Increasing high v. Constant low	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	Log-likelihood test (<i>p</i> -value) ^b
Autism spectrum disorder	1.37 (0.85–2.24)	1.48 (0.96–2.32)	2.39 (1.53–3.79)	4.63 (3.13-7.07)	9.61 (6.53-14.58)	292.8 (<0.0001)
Substance use	1.64 (1.26-2.16)	1.32 (1.02-1.71)	1.35 (1.02-1.79)	1.40 (1.08-1.83)	0.88 (0.67–1.16)	36.5 (<0.0001)
Behavioural/ Emotional disorders	0.69 (0.32–1.48)	1.11 (0.60–2.14)	1.27 (0.65–2.55)	1.91 (1.07-3.61)	1.93 (1.07-3.66)	17.3 (0.0040)
Schizophrenia/ Psychoses	1.21 (0.40-4.05)	1.91 (0.75–5.88)	2.40 (0.90–7.57)	6.66 (2.86-19.47)	12.75 (5.54-37.02)	104.8 (<0.0001)
Mental retardation	0.89 (0.41-1.94)	0.78 (0.39–1.64)	0.95 (0.43-2.12)	1.43 (0.75–2.88)	3.94 (2.21-7.60)	76.4 (<0.0001)
Other mental disorders	1.09 (0.80-1.50)	1.24 (0.94–1.66)	1.64 (1.21-2.23)	2.04 (1.55–2.72)	2.85 (2.16-3.79)	103.6 (<0.0001)
Musculoskeletal disorders	0.75 (0.46–1.21)	1.12 (0.75–1.69)	0.92 (0.57-1.47)	1.18 (0.78–1.81)	1.15 (0.75- 1.79)	6.1 (0.2981)
Asthma/ Diabetes	1.25 (0.50-3.28)	1.26 (0.55–3.11)	2.27 (1.01-5.61)	2.04 (0.95–4.93)	2.31 (1.08-5.55)	9.0 (0.1103)
Accidents	1.12 (0.80–1.57)	1.36 (1.01-1.85)	1.50 (1.07-2.10)	1.26 (0.92–1.73)	1.03 (0.74–1.45)	11.0 (0.0506)
Other somatic disorders ^e	1.07 (0.87–1.33)	1.18 (0.97–1.44)	0.91 (0.73-1.14)	1.17 (0.95–1.43)	1.17 (0.95–1.44)	10.3 (0.0678)
ADHD-medication ^f						
No	1	1	1	1	1	31.9 (<0.0001)
Yes	1.10 (0.90–1.34)	1.00 (0.83-1.20)	0.89 (0.73-1.10)	0.97 (0.80-1.17)	0.69 (0.56-0.84)	
Subtype of hyper-activity disorder						
ADHD	1	1	1	1	1	22.2 (0.1025)
Attention deficit disorder (ADD)	1.01 (0.70-1.45)	1.14 (0.83–1.58)	1.27 (0.89–1.82)	1.43 (1.03-2.00)	1.32 (0.95–1.86)	
Deficits in attention, motor control and perception (DAMP)	2.33 (0.68–10.64)	1.66 (0.50–7.49)	0.30 (0.02–2.36)	2.69 (0.84–11.95)	2.94 (0.95–12.91)	
Unspecified	0.94 (0.68-1.31)	0.86 (0.64-1.17)	0.78 (0.55-1.10)	1.11 (0.82–1.51)	1.04 (0.77-1.42)	

^aAll ORs were mutually adjusted for all other variables. ^b χ^2 statistics from the log-likelihood test (*p*-value) derived by multinomial logistic regression.

^cSignificant associations are in bold text.

^dReference group regarding all comorbidities were those without the respective health condition.

^eAll somatic disorders except musculoskeletal diseases, asthma, diabetes, or accidents.

^fMeasured during the year after the diagnosis of ADHD.

the recommendations and policies for work rehabilitation may need revision.

Sociodemographic factors

Studies have shown sex differences with regard to symptoms of ADHD (Gershon and Gershon, 2002; Edvinsson *et al.*, 2013) and women have generally higher levels of work disability compared to men (Allebeck and Mastekaasa, 2004). The assumption was therefore that there would be significant differences between men and women also regarding patterns of LMM. However, the differences between men and women were found to be rather modest, but noteworthy is that women to a higher extent followed

the trajectory of 'increasing high' LMM compared to men. One explanation of these findings can be that women and men, on a group level, have been found to have a different symptomatic picture, the more internalising problems found among women may lead to that women to a less extent receive a diagnosis of ADHD, and hence women who are diagnosed with ADHD have more severe symptoms (Gershon and Gershon, 2002).

The group of young adults over 24 years seemed to have a higher probability of following the trajectory of 'fluctuating' LMM compared to the younger group. Also, those over 24 years followed, to a greater extent, the 'increasing high' trajectory group of LMM. These findings are in line with other studies which conclude that the risk of LMM increases with age (Karlsson et al., 2008). Young adults with low educational level who were diagnosed with ADHD had a high probability of belonging to trajectory groups of increasing LMM. One might think that the relatively low share of high educational level in the young population depends on their rather young age when having their diagnosis. In a study on individuals in the same age diagnosed with common mental disorders, the share of high educational level was 2.5 times higher than among young adults diagnosed with ADHD. According to the criteria for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV), childhood problems with inattention and hyperactivity before age 12 must be present in order to be diagnosed with ADHD in adulthood (APA, 2000). Those with most severe symptoms of ADHD may have struggled in attaining adequate education. An adequate education, at least upper secondary school, is of high importance for a positive attachment to the labour market and is congruent to the findings of other studies (Helgesson et al., 2017, 2018; Robroek et al., 2020).

Single parents, diagnosed with ADHD, had an increased probability for belonging to the trajectory groups of 'moderately increasing' and 'rapidly increasing' LMM. Mothers diagnosed with ADHD are reported to struggle more regarding parenting compared to mothers without ADHD (Murray and Johnston, 2006). This may lead to parental stress, and there does not seem to be any differences between male and female parents regarding this (Johnston et al., 2012; Waite and Ramsay, 2010). The parental stress may, in turn, lead to higher propensity to have depression, anxiety or stress-related disorders (Theule et al., 2011), disorders known to have a detrimental effect on work capacity (Helgesson et al., 2018). Moreover, single parents with mental disorders are, in general, more vulnerable in their role as parents (Theule et al., 2011). Support to single parents diagnosed with ADHD is therefore warranted to prevent persistent LMM.

The risk of LMM was higher among those diagnosed with ADHD, who are born outside the European Union/the Nordic countries. The general risk of high LMM among non-European migrants with mental disorders has been reported by other studies (Helgesson *et al.*, 2017, 2019), and the reasons seem to be multifaceted. Often acknowledged reasons to differences in labour attachment between migrants and the host population is the generally lower educational level and worse health status among migrants. These factors were adjusted in the analyses, but there might be residual confounding related to those factors. Healthcare utilisation is generally lower among migrants (Lindert *et al.*, 2008), and those who are diagnosed with ADHD might therefore have higher severity of the disease.

Medical factors

Young adults diagnosed with ADHD who had mental comorbidities as depression/bipolar disorders, anxiety/stress-related disorders, autism spectrum disorders, and schizophrenia/psychoses had a high probability of belonging to trajectory groups of increasing LMM. The lifetime prevalence of comorbid mental disorders was also found to be very high, also confirmed by other studies (Jacob *et al.*, 2007; Edvinsson *et al.*, 2013). Studies have reported that mental disorders by themselves are related to a high risk of LMM (McEvilly *et al.*, 2015; Helgesson *et al.*, 2017, 2018; Virtanen *et al.*, 2020). Many with comorbid mental disorders, however, belonged to the trajectory group of 'fluctuating' LMM, which indicates a good prognosis regarding future labour market attachment. This might be due to a good response to the interventions aiming for a better everyday life as well as a better working life, stipulated by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare (National Board of Health and Welfare, 2014). Some studies have reported that strategies and policies aiming to reintegrate persons with work disability due to mental disorders back to the labour market have been successful (Bejerholm *et al.*, 2015; Kuznetsova and Yalcin, 2017). A review on occupational functioning in patients for ADHD concludes: 'Importantly, ADHD is a treatable condition, and patients, employers and physicians have a role to play in ensuring optimal occupational health' (Kupper *et al.*, 2012).

Comorbid disorders are to some extent a consequence of the problems which persons with ADHD encounter in everyday life. High severity of ADHD symptoms seems to correlate with higher rates of both anxiety and depression (Michielsen et al., 2013). Therefore, support early in life may prevent the occurrence of disabling depression, anxiety- and stress-related disorders, thereby decreasing the probability for LMM in young adult age. Although many young adults with ADHD and comorbidities belonged to the trajectory groups of increasing LMM, there are also many that have a good prognosis of future attachment to the labour market. A review concludes that many with ADHD have an extraordinary work ability if only the prerequisites are beneficial (Kupper et al., 2012). To prevent long-term marginalisation of young adults with ADHD, more knowledge on which treatment options that are successful for integrating young adults into the labour market is warranted. Information and awareness programmes could also increase employers' knowledge on the disorder and provide information on how to adapt working tasks to optimise work ability in this patient group (Kupper et al., 2012).

In this study, we found an indication that a record of ADHD-medication during the year after the diagnosis of ADHD decreased the risk of permanent high LMM. Also, other studies have reported slight improvements regarding the possibility to work when taking medication (Geffen and Forster, 2018; Giacobini *et al.*, 2018). However, studies show that discontinuation of ADHD medication is common (Edvinsson and Ekselius, 2018). Individuals receiving ADHD medication may be a selected group and thus, studies with a design that are able to better control treatment bias are warranted before robust conclusions can be drawn of the effect of ADHD-medication for later LMM.

Strengths and limitations

The main strengths of this study were the use of register data with high quality, including individual information on a vast number of sociodemographic and health-related covariates (Ludvigsson *et al.*, 2011, 2016). Another strength was the population-based design including all young adults between 22 and 29 who were diagnosed with ADHD in adult age and a long follow-up period (nine years) with little loss to follow-up. Finally, the conceptualisation of LMM, including both work disability and unemployment reduces the risk of underestimating the true rate of marginalisation among young adults diagnosed with ADHD.

Limitations worth mentioning are that ADHD as well as all comorbid disorders were measured from visits to specialised healthcare. Hence, information on conditions treated in primary care has not been covered. Still, the number of young adults with ADHD might not have been severely affected, as those patients are mainly diagnosed and treated within specialised healthcare. Finally, data on sickness absence only includes information of spells longer than 14 days as the first two weeks are covered by the employers and information on unemployed individuals who are not registered by The Swedish Employment Agency is not covered by this study. This might slightly underestimate the risk of LMM among individuals with ADHD.

Conclusions

Nearly two thirds of young adults diagnosed with ADHD in adult age are characterised by patterns of increasing LMM during the follow-up period. To avoid marginalisation, young adults with comorbid mental disorders must be monitored for LMM early in working life. Also, special attention must be given to young adults with low educational level, singles with children and those living in medium cities or villages.

Data. The data of this study are available from Statistics Sweden, The Swedish Social Insurance Agency and the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare. The data are not publicly available but can be used if an ethical permission is obtained.

Financial support. This work was supported by the AFA Insurance, grant number 180295.

Conflict of interest. None.

Ethical Standards. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this study comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

References

- Aduen PA, Kofler MJ, Sarver DE, Wells EL, Soto EF and Cox DJ (2018) ADHD, depression, and motor vehicle crashes: a prospective cohort study of continuously-monitored, real-world driving. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **101**, 42–49.
- Allebeck P and Mastekaasa A (2004) Chapter 5. Risk factors for sick leave general studies. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health 32, 49–108.
- APA (2000) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th Edn., Text Revision). Washington, DC: APA.
- **Bejerholm U, Areberg C, Hofgren C, Sandlund M and Rinaldi M** (2015) Individual placement and support in Sweden – a randomized controlled trial. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry* **69**, 57–66.
- Chen H-J, Lee Y-J, Yeh GC and Lin H-C (2013) Association of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder with diabetes: a population-based study. *Pediatric Research* 73, 492.
- Cortese S, Sun S, Zhang J, Sharma E, Chang Z, Kuja-Halkola R, Almqvist C, Larsson H and Faraone SV (2018) Association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis and a Swedish population-based study. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 5, 717–726.
- Dorner TE, Helgesson M, Nilsson K, Pazarlis KA, Ropponen A, Svedberg P and Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2018) Course and characteristics of work disability 3 years before and after lumbar spine decompression surgery – a national population-based study. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 11811.
- Edvinsson D (2017) Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Adults Prevalence, Psychiatric Comorbidities and Long-term Outcome (Doctoral thesis). Department of Neuroscience, Psychiatry, University Hospital, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.
- Edvinsson D and Ekselius L (2018) Long-term tolerability and safety of pharmacological treatment of adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a 6-year prospective naturalistic study. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology* 38, 370–375.
- Edvinsson D, Lindstrom E, Bingefors K, Lewander T and Ekselius L (2013) Gender differences of axis I and II comorbidity in subjects diagnosed with

attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder as adults. Acta Neuropsychiatrica. Officieel Wetenschappelijk Orgaan van Het IGBP (Interdisciplinair Genootschap voor Biologische Psychiatrie) **25**, 165–174.

- Geffen J and Forster K (2018) Treatment of adult ADHD: a clinical perspective. Therapeutic Advances in Psychopharmacology 8, 25–32.
- Gershon J and Gershon J (2002) A meta-analytic review of gender differences in ADHD. Journal of Attention Disorders 5, 143–154.
- Giacobini M, Medin E, Ahnemark E, Russo LJ and Carlqvist P (2018) Prevalence, patient characteristics, and pharmacological treatment of children, adolescents, and adults diagnosed with ADHD in Sweden. *Journal of Attention Disorders* 22, 3–13.
- Halmoy A, Fasmer OB, Gillberg C and Haavik J (2009) Occupational outcome in adult ADHD: impact of symptom profile, comorbid psychiatric problems, and treatment: a cross-sectional study of 414 clinically diagnosed adult ADHD patients. *Journal of Attention Disorders* 13, 175–187.
- Helgesson M, Tinghog P, Niederkrotenthaler T, Saboonchi F and Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2017) Labour-market marginalisation after mental disorders among young natives and immigrants living in Sweden. BMC Public Health 17, 593.
- Helgesson M, Tinghog P, Wang M, Rahman S, Saboonchi F and Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2018) Trajectories of work disability and unemployment among young adults with common mental disorders. *BMC Public Health* 18, 1228.
- Helgesson M, Wang M, Niederkrotenthaler T, Saboonchi F and Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2019) Labour market marginalisation among refugees from different countries of birth: a prospective cohort study on refugees to Sweden. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 73, 407–415.
- Helgesson M, Björkenstam E, Rahman S, Gustafsson K, Taipale H, Tanskanen A, Ekselius L and Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2021) Labour market marginalisation in young adults diagnosed with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a population-based longitudinal cohort study in Sweden. *Psychological Medicine* 1–9. doi: 10.1017/S0033291721002701
- Hirvikoski T, Lindström T, Nordin V, Jonsson U and Bölte S (2017) Föräldraskapsinsatser för föräldrar med ADHD: kartläggning av aktuellt kunskapsläge som grund för utformning av anpassad insats (Parenting initiatives for parents with ADHD: mapping of the current state of knowledge as a basis for designing adapted initiatives) [In Swedish]. Kalmar, Sweden: Linnéuniversitetet.
- Jacob CP, Romanos J, Dempfle A, Heine M, Windemuth-Kieselbach C, Kruse A, Reif A, Walitza S, Romanos M, Strobel A, Brocke B, Schäfer H, Schmidtke A, Böning J and Lesch K-P (2007) Co-morbidity of adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with focus on personality traits and related disorders in a tertiary referral center. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 257, 309–317.
- Johnston C, Mash EJ, Miller N and Ninowski JE (2012) Parenting in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Clinical Psychology Review* 32, 215–228.
- Jones BL, Nagin DS and Roeder K (2001) A SAS procedure based on mixture models for estimating developmental trajectories. *Sociological Methods & Research* 29, 374–393.
- Karlsson NE, Carstensen JM, Gjesdal S and Alexanderson KAE (2008) Risk factors for disability pension in a population-based cohort of men and women on long-term sick leave in Sweden. *European Journal of Public Health* **18**, 224–231.
- Kupper T, Haavik J, Drexler H, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Wermelskirchen D, Prutz C and Schauble B (2012) The negative impact of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder on occupational health in adults and adolescents. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health **85**, 837–847.
- Kuznetsova Y and Yalcin B (2017) Inclusion of persons with disabilities in mainstream employment: is it really all about the money? A case study of four large companies in Norway and Sweden. *Disability & Society* 32, 233–253.
- Lehti V, Chudal R, Suominen A, Gissler M and Sourander A (2016) Association between immigrant background and ADHD: a nationwide population-based case-control study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* 57, 967–975.

- Lindert J, Schouler-Ocak M, Heinz A and Priebe S (2008) Mental health, health care utilisation of migrants in Europe. *European Psychiatry* (Suppl 1), 14–20.
- Lopez PL, Torrente FM, Ciapponi A, Lischinsky AG, Cetkovich-Bakmas M, Rojas JI, Romano M and Manes FF (2018) Cognitive-behavioural interventions for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 3, CD010840.
- Ludvigsson JF, Andersson E, Ekbom A, Feychting M, Kim JL, Reuterwall C, Heurgren M and Olausson PO (2011) External review and validation of the Swedish national inpatient register. *BMC Public Health* 11, 450.
- Ludvigsson JF, Almqvist C, Bonamy AK, Ljung R, Michaelsson K, Neovius M, Stephansson O and Ye W (2016) Registers of the Swedish total population and their use in medical research. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 31, 125–136.
- McEvilly M, Wicks S and Dalman C (2015) Sick leave and work participation among parents of children with autism spectrum disorder in the Stockholm youth cohort: a register linkage study in Stockholm, Sweden. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* 45, 2157–2167.
- McKee-Ryan F, Song Z, Wanberg CR and Kinicki AJ (2005) Psychological and physical well-being during unemployment: a meta-analytic study. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 90, 53–76.
- Michielsen M, Comijs HC, Semeijn EJ, Beekman ATF, Deeg DJH and Sandra Kooij JJ (2013) The comorbidity of anxiety and depressive symptoms in older adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a longitudinal study. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 148, 220–227.
- Murray C and Johnston C (2006) Parenting in mothers with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 115, 52–61.
- National Board of Health and Welfare (2014) Stöd till barn, ungdomar och vuxna med adhd ett kunskapsstöd (Support to Children, Adolecents and Adults with ADHD) [In Swedish]. Stockholm, Sweden: Socialstyrelsen (National Board of Health and Welfare).

OECD (2003) Transforming Disability Into Ability. Paris, France: OECD.

OECD (2010) Sickness, Disability and Work: Breaking the Barriers. Paris, France: OECD.

- Paul KI and Moser K (2009) Unemployment impairs mental health: meta-analyses. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 74, 264–282.
- Robroek S, Nieboer D, Järvholm B and Burdorf A (2020) Educational differences in duration of working life and loss of paid employment: working life expectancy in The Netherlands. *Scandinavian Journal of Work*, *Environment and Health* **46**, 77–84.
- Rydell M, Lundström S, Gillberg C, Lichtenstein P and Larsson H (2018) Has the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder phenotype become more common in children between 2004 and 2014? Trends over 10 years from a Swedish general population sample. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 59, 863–871.
- Theule J, Wiener J, Rogers MA and Marton I (2011) Predicting parenting stress in families of children with ADHD: parent and contextual factors. *Journal of Child and Family Studies* **20**, 640–647.
- Thomas R, Sanders S, Doust J, Beller E and Glasziou P (2015) Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* **135**, e994–e1001.
- Vidal-Estrada R, Bosch-Munso R, Nogueira-Morais M, Casas-Brugue M and Ramos-Quiroga JA (2012) Psychological treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults: a systematic review. Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría: Acepsi 40, 147–154.
- Virtanen M, Lallukka T, Kivimaki M, Alexanderson K, Ervasti J and Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2020) Neurodevelopmental disorders among young adults and the risk of sickness absence and disability pension: a nationwide register linkage study. Scandinavian Journal of Work, *Environment and Health* 46, 410–416.
- Waite R and Ramsay JR (2010) A model for parental ADHD: help-seeking and readiness to change. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing* 31, 793–803.
- Wang M, Helgesson M, Rahman S, Niederkrotenthaler T and Mittendorfer-Rutz E (2017) Trajectories and characteristics of functional impairment before and after suicide attempt in young adults – a nationwide registerbased cohort study. BMC Psychiatry 17, 393.
- Wiklund J, Patzelt H and Dimov D (2016) Entrepreneurship and psychological disorders: how ADHD can be productively harnessed. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights* 6, 14–20.