

The Implications of Low Absolute CD4 Counts in Patients With Cirrhosis and Human Immunodeficiency Virus

TO THE EDITOR—In their recent study, Baranoski et al [1] describe a high rate of liver disease progression in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-hepatitis B virus coinfection and CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³. The authors suggest that more advanced immunosuppression in these patients may lead to accelerated liver fibrosis. Because only 50% of the HIV-infected patients were taking antiretroviral therapy at study entry and 78% had detectable HIV viremia, this is a reasonable explanation for the progression of liver disease in these patients with acquired immune deficiency syndrome.

However, another possible explanation is that a low absolute CD4 count may be an independent marker of liver dysfunction, even in patients without HIV. For example, a 2007 study of 60 HIV-negative patients with cirrhosis found that 43% had CD4 counts <350 cells/mm³ and 7% had CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³ [2]. Yet although low absolute CD4 counts were common in this study, 95% of these patients had normal CD4 cell percentages. Likewise, several studies of patients with HIV and liver disease have found an association between advanced liver disease and discordant absolute CD4 counts and CD4 percentages [3, 4].

One hypothesis is that liver dysfunction and portal hypertension may lead to splenic sequestration of CD4⁺ T cells and a low absolute CD4 count, even as the CD4 percentage remains relatively intact [5, 6]. In these patients, the low absolute CD4 count may be a sign of advanced liver disease as opposed to advanced immunosuppression. The clinical and immunologic implications of a low absolute CD4 count but normal percentage in patients with HIV and cirrhosis remain unclear.

In the study by Baranoski et al [1], it is possible that CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³ were more likely to occur in patients with hepatic fibrosis or splenomegaly, and therefore progression of liver disease was more likely among these patients as well. However, whether or not immunosuppression itself contributed to this progression merits further investigation. In this regard, it would be helpful to know the rates of low CD4 percentages in this study, and whether low percentages were also associated with worsening liver disease in these patients. A link between low CD4 percentage and liver disease progression would support the authors' arguments regarding immunosuppression promoting hepatic fibrosis, whereas lack of association might support consideration of other causes.

Acknowledgments

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No reported conflicts. All authors have submitted

the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.

Timothy Sullivan

Division of Infectious Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York

References

1. Baranoski AS, Cotton D, Heeren T, et al. Clinical liver disease progression among hepatitis C-infected drug users with CD4 cell count less than 200 cells/mm³ is more pronounced among women than men. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2016; 3(1):doi:10.1093/ofid/ofv214.
2. McGovern BH, Golan Y, Lopez M, et al. The impact of cirrhosis on CD4⁺ T cell counts in HIV-seronegative patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 44:431–7.
3. Claassen CW, Diener-West M, Mehta SH, et al. Discordance between CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte counts and percentages in HIV-infected persons with liver fibrosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 54:1806–13.
4. Hull MW, Rollet K, Oduyungbo A, et al. Factors associated with discordance between absolute CD4 cell count and CD4 cell percentage in patients coinfecting with HIV and hepatitis C virus. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 54:1798–805.
5. Gandhi RT. Cirrhosis is associated with low CD4⁺ T cell counts: implications for HIV-infected patients with liver disease. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 44:438–40.
6. Mandorfer M, Reiberger T, Payer BA, Peck-Radosavljevic M; Vienna HIV & Liver Study Group. The influence of portal pressure on the discordance between absolute CD4⁺ cell count and CD4⁺ cell percentage in HIV/hepatitis C virus-coinfecting patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 56:904–5.

Received 17 March 2016; accepted 20 March 2016.

Correspondence: T. Sullivan, Mount Sinai Hospital, 1 Gustave Levy Place, Box 1090, New York, NY 11201 (timothy.sullivan@mountsinai.org).

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofw060