# Nanobody-based human antibody formats act as IgE surrogate in hymenoptera venom allergy

#### To the Editor,

For the canonical antibody isotypes, antigen recognition is driven by the variable regions of both heavy and light chains. In contrast, single-domain antibodies or nanobodies (nb) are the antigen-binding moiety of heavy chain only antibodies occurring in camelid species and cartilaginous fish.<sup>1.2</sup> Their small-size, high-yield production, and stability render nanobodies versatile building blocks for the development of binders and unorthodox activatory or inhibitory multi-domain derivatives.<sup>3</sup> In the allergological context, single nanobodies were used as anti-IgE molecules and as binding moiety for allergens.<sup>4,5</sup>

Our aim was to generate allergen-specific nanobodies and establish a nanobody-based IgE format in the context of hymenoptera venom allergy (Figure 1A,B). In venom-allergic patients, the IgE response is typically directed to a set of major and minor allergens.<sup>6</sup> The best-characterized and most abundant honeybee venom (HBV) allergens are phospholipase A2 (Api m 1) and hyaluronidase (Api m 2) (Figure S1A). Therefore, native Api m 1 and recombinant Api m 2 were used for llama immunization (Figure S1B,C). Immune libraries were generated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Enrichment by phage display and immunoreactivity of individual phage clones was shown by ELISA (Figure S2). After expression and purification from bacterial supernatant, the nanobodies showed the expected molecular masses in SDS-PAGE and immunoreactivity to their target in ELISA (Figure S3A–C).

Exemplary nanobodies against Api m 1 (AM1-1 and AM1-3) and against Api m 2 (AM2-A1 and AM2-C2) were then converted into homodimeric IgE formats by fusion to IgE CH2-4 domains. After purification from supernatant of stably transfected HEK293 cells, SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting analyses corroborated proper dimerization of the nb-IgE (Figure 1C, Figure S4). Expression yields of 10–20 mg/L pointed at a favorable performance of the nb-lgE in mammalian hosts as compared to the limited yields often observed for entire lgE antibodies.<sup>7</sup> The nb-lgEs remained reactive to both their particular target allergen and the  $Fc\epsilon RI\alpha$  in ELISA (Figure 1D).

The antibodies exhibited sIgE reactivity in Euroline assays to HBV and individual allergens without cross-reactivity to other HBV or yellow jacket venom allergens (Figure 2A,B). Furthermore, individual nb-IgE clones were applied on the ImmunoCAP test system. Concentration-dependent slgE reactivity was detected for Api m 1, Api m 2, and HBV (Figure 2C) corresponding well to the total IgE levels. The slgE reactivity against Api m 1 was found highly comparable to that of HBV. In contrast, a clearly reduced IgE reactivity to HBV compared with the component Api m 2 pointed toward a lower sensitivity of HBV for patients with sensitization to Api m 2 possibly due to a limited accessibility of immunoreactive Api m 2 in the test. Furthermore, an oligoclonal artificial human serum was established by combining the 4 nb-IgE against Api m 1 and Api m 2 in equimolar concentration. Assessment of sIgE reactivity verified the added slgE levels for HBV, Api m 1, and Api m 2 (Figure 2D). Notably, the reduced slgE level for HBV reflected the reduced reactivity of the individual Api m 2-specific nb-lgE to HBV. Hence, artificial sera comprising molecularly defined IgE surrogates of adjustable concentration might replace human sera in applications such as assessment of diagnostic test systems, round-robin tests, and diagnostic and therapeutic extracts, but also mechanistical analyses in basic research.

In summary, we have established nanobodies as a toolbox for the generation of important downstream formats like the novel nb-IgE. This platform also paves the way for the generation of other formats including blocking IgG formats, and hence, has the potential to provide advanced tools for diagnostics, functional analyses, and interventional studies.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2022 The Authors. Allergy published by European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.



FIGURE 1 Generation of nanobody-based human IgE against nApi m 1 and rApi m 2 (A, B) Schematic representation of the nanobody generation. Upon immunization of Ilamas with nApi m 1 and rApi m 2, immune repertoire libraries were generated and selected by phage display. Nanobody-based human IgEs (nb-IgEs) were then generated by fusion of obtained allergen-specific nanobodies to the Fc domains of human IgE. (C) SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting analysis of purified nb-IgEs under non-reducing (NR) and reducing (R) conditions. The recombinant nb-IgE were detected using an anti-IgE antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase. (D) The immunoreactivity of purified nb-IgEs was further assessed in ELISA. Binding to immobilized allergen or  $FceRI\alpha$  was detected using an anti-IgE antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.d. of triplicates



(B)

	ССД	rVes v 1	rVes v 5	Common wasp venom	rApi m 10	rApi m 2	rApi m 1	Honeybee venom
AM-1-1 hlgE	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	15,41	8,46
AM1-3 hlgE	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	10,45	2,72
AM2-A1 hlgE	< 0,35	< 0,35	0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	69,09	< 0,35	10,45
AM2-C2 hlgE	< 0,35	0,52	<0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	88,11	< 0,35	33,25



FIGURE 2 IgE measurements on diagnostic platforms. The immunoreactivity of purified nb-IgE was further assessed in commercial test platforms. (A and B) Euroline assay. (C) ImmunoCAP measurements of tIgE and sIgE were performed for dilution series of the four different nb-IgEs. (D) ImmunoCAP measurements of an oligoclonal serum substitute comprising the four different nb-IgEs. Values are single measurements

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Gratefully acknowledged is the excellent technical assistance by Nanna Breum Nielsen, Britta Dorn, and Manuel Schulze-Dasbeck. Figure 1 A and B was created with BioRender.com. This study was supported by the Novo Nordisc Foundation, grant NNF19OC0058484, and the Independent Research Foundation Denmark, grant 9041-00291A.

#### KEYWORDS

allergens, anaphylaxis, IgE, insect venom, nanobodies

### FUNDING INFORMATION

ILEY-Allergy

Novo Nordisk Fonden, Grant/Award Number: NNF19OC0058484; Independent Research Fund Denmark, Grant/Award Number: 9041-00291A

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

A patent application covering part of the manuscript has been filed by JBA, CS, MM, and ES. The other authors have no financial conflict of interest and nothing to disclose.

> Josephine Baunvig Aagaard<sup>1</sup> Coline Sivelle<sup>1</sup> Michaela Fischer<sup>1</sup> Kristina Byskov<sup>1</sup> Nick S. Laursen<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Wolfgang Pfützner<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Thilo Jakob<sup>4</sup> Christian Möbs<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Michaela Miehe<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Immunological Biotechnology, Department of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark <sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

<sup>3</sup>Clinical & Experimental Allergology, Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Philipps Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Department of Dermatology and Allergy, University Medical Center Giessen, Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Germany

#### Correspondence

Edzard Spillner, Immunological Biotechnology, Department of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Aarhus University, Gustav Wieds Vej 10, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. Email: e.spillner@bce.au.dk

# ORCID

Nick S. Laursen b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7512-2649 Wolfgang Pfützner b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8721-724X Christian Möbs b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5197-7669 Michaela Miehe https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6622-7230 Edzard Spillner b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0999-5254

#### REFERENCES

- Hamers-Casterman C, Atarhouch T, Muyldermans S, et al. Naturally occurring antibodies devoid of light chains. *Nature*. 1993;363(6428):446-448.
- Ward ES, Güssow D, Griffiths AD, Jones PT, Winter G. Binding activities of a repertoire of single immunoglobulin variable domains secreted from *Escherichia coli*. *Nature*. 1989;341(6242):544-546.
- Konning D, Zielonka S, Grzeschik J, et al. Camelid and shark single domain antibodies: structural features and therapeutic potential. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2016;45:10-16.
- Jabs F, Plum M, Laursen NS, et al. Trapping IgE in a closed conformation by mimicking CD23 binding prevents and disrupts FcepsilonRI interaction. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):7.
- Zettl I, Ivanova T, Strobl MR, et al. Isolation of nanobodies with potential to reduce patients IgE binding to Bet v 1. Allergy. 2021;77(6):1751-1760. doi:10.1111/all.15191
- Spillner E, Blank S, Jakob T. Hymenoptera allergens: from venom to "venome". Front Immunol. 2014;5(77):1-7. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2014.00077
- Braren I, Blank S, Seismann H, et al. Generation of human monoclonal allergen-specific IgE and IgG antibodies from synthetic antibody libraries. *Clin Chem*. 2007;53(5):837-844.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.