

Current Trends in Pediatric Spine Deformity Surgery: Multimodal Pain Management and Rapid Recovery

Global Spine Journal 2020, Vol. 10(3) 346-352 © The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/iournals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2192568219858308 journals.sagepub.com/home/gsj



Sagar A. Shah, MD, MHA¹, Richard Guidry, BS¹, Abhishek Kumar, MD¹, Tyler White, MD¹, Andrew King, MD¹, and Michael J. Heffernan, MD²

Abstract

Study Design: Narrative review.

Objectives: The purpose of this article is to perform a review of the literature assessing the efficacy of opioid alternatives, multimodal pain regimens, and rapid recovery in pediatric spine surgery.

Methods: A literature search utilizing PubMed database was performed. Relevant studies from all the evidence levels have been included. Recommendations to decrease postoperative pain and expedite recovery after posterior spinal fusion in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis patients have been provided based on results of studies with the highest level of evidence.

Results: Refining perioperative pain management to lessen opioid consumption with multimodal regimens may be useful to decrease recovery time, pain, and complications. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, gabapentin, neuraxial blockades, and local anesthesia alone offer benefits for postoperative pain management, but their combination in multimodal regimens and rapid recovery pathways may contribute to faster recovery time, improved pain levels, and lower reduction in total opioid consumption.

Conclusion: A rapid recovery pathway using the multimodal approach for pediatric scoliosis correction may offer superior postoperative pain management and faster recovery than traditional opioid only pain protocols.

Keywords

multimodal analgesia, NSAIDs, acetaminophen, intrathecal analgesia, epidural analgesia, gabapentin, pediatric spine surgery

Introduction

Postoperative pain after posterior spinal fusion (PSF) for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) has recently seen changing trends in management. By using effective postoperative pain regimens, surgeons can significantly improve patient satisfaction, facilitate early mobilization, promote oral intake, lower postoperative pain, and shorten length of hospital stay.¹⁻³ Customary practice utilized opioids as its cornerstone, but this practice is associated with adverse side effects.3,4 These complications delay patient mobilization and lengthen patient stay thus encouraging a transition to a more balanced regimen. Multimodal pain control in orthopedic surgery has been especially well described in adult reconstruction literature and studies have shown reduced opioid consumption, improved pain control, and shorter hospital stays.⁵ Kurd et al⁶ provided a comprehensive review of the literature and found a growing body of evidence supporting the use of multimodal analgesia in adult spine surgery; however, the role of multimodal analgesia in pediatric spine surgery is not as well established. The purpose of this article was to review current literature supporting multimodal pain regimens and rapid recovery pathways in AIS surgery.

¹ Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA, USA ² Children's Hospital of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, USA

Corresponding Author:

Michael J. Heffernan, Children's Hospital New Orleans, Louisiana State University Health Science Center, 200 Henry Clay Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA.

Email: mheff1@lsuhsc.edu



Creative Commons Non Commercial No Derivs CC BY-NC-ND: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Creative Commons Non Commercial No Dense CC Directory in a decision and distribution of the Commercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work as published without adaptation or alteration, without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Table 1. NSAIDs and Acetaminophen Studies.

| Study | Design | No. of Patients | Drug and Administration |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Munro et al (2002) ¹⁰ | Prospective DB RCT | 35 (11-17 years) | 0.2 mg/kg ketorolac or placebo 6 hours after surgery for 36 hours with PCA |
| Vitale et al (2003) ¹¹ | RR | 208 (\sim 13.4 years) | \sim 0.5 mg/kg IV ketorolac every 6 hours for 2-3 days starting 24-28 hours postoperation or no ketorolac |
| Hiller et al (2012) ¹² | DB RCT | 36 (10-18 years) | 30 mg/kg IV acetaminophen (90 mg/kg/d) or 0.9% NaCl postsurgery, then twice at 8-hour intervals |
| Reuben et al (2005) ¹³ | RR | 434 adults | Perioperative daily dose of 20-240 mg ketorolac, 200-600 mg celecoxib, 50 mg refecoxib, or no NSAIDs for 5 days after spinal fusion |
| lbach et al (2015) ¹⁴ | RR | 44 (\sim 14 years) | IV acetaminophen every 6 hours for 24 hours or oral acetaminophen after surgery, including intrathecal morphine |

Abbreviations: DB, double blind; IV, intravenous; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PCA, patient-controlled analgesia; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, retrospective review.

Multimodal Pain Management

Expedient recovery of patients following posterior spinal fusion is intimately related to pain control and mobilization. Conceptually, a multimodal approach to pain control stems from the ills associated with opioid medications. There is variability in the medications utilized in multimodal approaches across institutions. In this section, we review several cornerstone medications that have been associated with multimodal pain regimens, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), intravenous (IV) acetaminophen, gabapentin, epidurals, intrathecal morphine, and local medications.

Opioids

Opioids remain a mainstay in postoperative pain control after AIS surgery. Although effective in pain control, they are associated with well-established side effects. These adverse effects include the following: somnolence, pruritis, respiratory depression, ileus, nausea, and urine retention.⁷ Currently, there is no consensus on what dose of opioid is the optimum dose to control postoperative pain, but controlling pain while minimizing opioid administration is a ubiquitous goal. Traditionally, intravenous opioids were the primary medication for pain relief after PSF for AIS; however, authors have described new protocols to minimize opioid use. In a recent best practice guideline for postoperative care after PSF for AIS, Fletcher et al^{8,9} describe a protocol in which patients are prescribed intravenous morphine-based patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) for 1 day postoperatively. The PCA is then discontinued and patients are transitioned to oral narcotics. These authors attribute a decrease in intravenous opioids as a factor in guicker rehabilitation and recovery for their patients postoperatively.

The duration of oral narcotic prescription after discharge has not been studied and is variable based on surgeon preference. At our institution, patients are prescribed oral hydrocodone/ acetaminophen 5/325 mg every 4 hours as needed for pain for 7 days after discharge. Patients are encouraged to transition to oral acetaminophen or ibuprofen after the 7-day period. Further studies are needed to create a guideline for appropriate prescribing of opioids after discharge.

Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

NSAIDs have shown promise in reducing postoperative opioid requirements in the pediatric population (Table 1). Michelet et al¹⁵ performed a meta-analysis of the use of NSAIDs for pediatric postoperative pain in general pediatric surgery and found that perioperative NSAID administration significantly reduced opioid consumption and nausea. Hayes et al¹⁶ surveyed 61 anesthesiologists from international academic pediatric hospitals and found that 59% routinely use NSAIDs in the postoperative period following pediatric scoliosis surgery. In this survey, IV ketorolac was the most commonly reported agent.¹⁶ Munro et al¹⁰ performed a prospective randomized double-blinded placebo-controlled trial studying the effect of low-dose ketorolac following PSF. Patients who received ketorolac had significantly lower pain scores on postoperative days (POD) 1 and 2 and consumed less morphine in the postanesthesia care unit through POD 2. Patients in the ketorolac group also tolerated activity better on POD 1 than the patients in the control group. There was no difference in the incidence of pruritus, nausea, vomiting or constipation.¹⁰

Despite the benefits, NSAID use has not been unanimously adopted in spinal fusion surgeries because of the potential risk of pseudoarthrosis and postoperative bleeding.^{17,18} Two key studies evaluated these risks but did not find the same risks in pediatric populations. Sucato et al¹⁹ performed a retrospective review comparing patients who had postoperative ketorolac and those who did not following PSF for AIS. The overall incidence of pseudoarthrosis was 2.5% for all patients. There was no difference in the incidence of pseudoarthrosis comparing a patient receiving ketorolac (1.9%) and one who did not (3.1%). They subsequently concluded that ketorolac may be useful to supplement pain management postoperatively in AIS surgery and did not increase the risk of pseudarthrosis.¹⁹

Additionally, the risk of postoperative bleeding following NSAID administration has not been validated. Vitale et al¹¹ performed a retrospective review to determine whether there is any association between the use of ketorolac and postoperative complications in a group of children who underwent sco-liosis surgery. These investigators looked at postoperative

| Study | Design | No. of Patients | Drug and Administration | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Mayell et al (2014) ²¹ | RCT | 44 (10-17 years) | 600 mg gabapentin 1 hour before surgery | | |
| Rusy et al (2010) ²² | DB RCT | 63 (9-18 years) | Preoperative 15 mg/kg gabapentin with 5 mg/kg gabapentin 3 times a day for 5 days with PCA | | |
| Choudhry et al (2017) ²³ | RR | 127 children | Morphine and PCA, PCA $+$ gabapentin, or PCA $+$ gabapentin $+$ clonidine | | |

Table 2. Neuromodulatory Agent Studies.

Abbreviations: DB, double blind, PCA, patient-controlled analgesia; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, retrospective review.

transfusion and reoperation rates for any reason, including pseudoarthrosis. They found that out of 208 children undergoing spine surgery, postoperative use of ketorolac did not significantly increase the incidence of blood transfusion and reoperation for any reason. They concluded that the use of ketorolac was safe in the postoperative period following pediatric scoliosis surgery.¹¹

Intravenous Acetaminophen

IV acetaminophen has shown promise in reducing opioid requirements and decreasing postoperative pain in adult studies; however, evidence in pediatric patients has been lacking. Oral and intravenous acetaminophen are beneficial for their anti-inflammatory, antipyretic, and analgesic effects.²⁰ Hiller et al¹² performed a randomized, placebo-controlled, doubleblind study to evaluate the effect of IV administered acetaminophen on postoperative pain in children and adolescents undergoing surgery for idiopathic scoliosis or spondylolisthesis (Table 1). Patients in this study were given 30 mg/kg of IV acetaminophen or normal saline postoperatively at 8-hour intervals. The authors found that IV acetaminophen administered at 90 mg/kg/d as an adjuvant to oxycodone improved analgesia, but did not diminish oxycodone consumption 24 hours postoperatively after major spine surgery in children and adolescents.¹² Considering the relative safety of IV acetaminophen, using it for controlling postoperative pain may be beneficial; but further studies are needed to determine its efficacy.

Gabapentin

The neuromodulatory agent gabapentin is an antiepileptic medication thought to improve pain through reduction of sensory nerve excitability. Studies have demonstrated efficacy in the following adult spine surgery, but results in pediatric spine deformity population have been mixed (Table 2). Mayell et al²¹ performed a randomized control trial to study the effects of a single preoperative dose of gabapentin 600 mg versus placebo on postoperative morphine consumption in the first 24 hours after surgery. They found that a single preoperative dose of gabapentin was not associated with a significant difference in opioid consumption or pain scores in adolescents undergoing idiopathic scoliosis surgery.²¹ Rusy et al²² performed a randomized double-blind controlled trial to determine the effect of gabapentin on acute pain in the immediate postoperative period, specifically morphine consumption and opioid side effects, in the pediatric spinal fusion patient with idiopathic scoliosis. Patients in this study were randomized to receive a preoperative dose of oral gabapentin at 15 mg/kg followed by 5 mg/kg of oral gabapentin 3 times daily postoperatively or a placebo. They found that preoperative gabapentin with continued administration is effective in reducing morphine consumption and pain scores in the early postoperative period. Benefits were observed for the immediate postoperative period through day 2, but no benefits were observed after POD 2. No significant differences in opioid side effects were found between the gabapentin and placebo groups.²² These studies show that preoperative and postoperative gabapentin may help reduce immediate postoperative opioid consumption, but no benefit after the immediate postoperative period.

Neuraxial Blockades—Epidural Analgesia

Efficacy of neuraxial blockade for postoperative pain control after adult spine surgery has been demonstrated with level 1 evidence, but efficacy or safety have not been shown to be superior compared with IV medication after pediatric scoliosis surgery. There have been several retrospective studies assessing the efficacy of epidural analgesia after pediatric spine surgery (Table 3). Hong et al³² retrospectively reviewed the charts of 56 children who had epidural analgesia after AIS surgery. They found that none of these children had any neurologic or respiratory adverse event, ambulation occurred on PODs 1 or 2, and that mean length of stay was 3.95 days. They concluded that epidural analgesia was a safe and effective way to control postoperative pain after AIS surgery.

Cassady et al²⁵ performed a prospective randomized control trial comparing the effects of continuous epidural anesthesia (CEA) with PCA after posterior spinal fusion for AIS. These investigators randomized 33 patients into either the CEA or PCA group and measured pain intensity with self-reported visual analogue scale (VAS), postoperative resumption of bowel sounds, liquid intake, and adverse effects. They found that there was no significant difference in VAS scores, liquid intake, or adverse effects; however, return of bowel sounds was significantly faster in the CEA group. The authors concluded that both CEA and PCA are comparable in terms of efficacy and safety.

O'Hara et al³³ also performed a prospective double-blinded randomized controlled trial studying the effect of epidural

Table 3. Epidural Analgesia Studies.

| Study | Design | No. of Patients | Drug and Administration |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|
| Lavelle et al (2010) ²⁴ | RR | 55 adolescents | 0.1% bupivacaine with fentanyl 2 μ g/mL DCEA |
| Cassady et al $(2000)^{25}$ | RCT | 23 (11-18 years) | 0.125% bupivacaine in 0.0025 mg/mL fentanyl SCEA and PCA |
| Gall et al (2001) ²⁶ | Prospective DB RCT | 30 (9-19 years) | 2 or 5 μg/kg IT morphine with PCA |
| Cohen et al (2017) ²⁷ | Prospective DB RCT | 71 (8-17 years) | 7.5 μg/kg IT morphine or 0.15 mg/kg EREM |
| Van Boerum et al (2000) ⁷ | RR | 127 children | 0.1% bupivacaine SCEA with morphine sulfate at 0.05 mg morphine/kg/h. Additional doses at 0.03 mg/kg/h |
| Shaw et al (1996) ²⁸ | RR | 71 (13.6 years) | 0.0625%-0.125% bupivacaine SCEA in morphine or fentanyl |
| Ekatodramis et al (2002) ²⁹ | Case series | 23 (12-19 years) | 0.0625% bupivacaine DCEA initial bolus (8 mL for >50 kg patients and 5 mL for <50 kg patients). After 0.0625% bupivacaine continuous infusion with 2 μg/mL fentanyl and 3 μg/mL clonidine at 10 mL/h |
| Tobias et al (2001) ³⁰ | Cohort | 14 (5-17 years) | I µg/kg hydromorphine DCEA. Succeeded by 0.1% ropivacaine and 20 µg/mL hydromorphine continuous infusion |
| Klatt et al (2013) ³¹ | RCT | 66 (10-21 years) | IV-PCA or 0.1% bupivicaine in 2 μ g/mL fentanyl SCEA, DCEA |

Abbreviations: DB, double blind; DCEA, double catheter epidural analgesia; EREM, extended release epidural morphine; IT, intrathecal; IV, intravenous; PCA, patient-controlled alalgesia; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, retrospective review; SCEA, single catheter epidural analgesia.

versus intravenous analgesia following PSF for AIS. They randomized 31 patients into 3 groups: a low-dose epidural group, high-dose epidural group, and a placebo group. They found no difference in postoperative pain control, oral intake, bowel sounds, ambulation, or length of stay between the 3 groups.³³ They concluded that there was no difference in in outcomes when using epidural or intravenous analgesia after PSF in adolescents.

Intrathecal Morphine

Intrathecal morphine has shown promise in decreasing intraoperative blood loss and opioid requirement after AIS surgery. Blackman et al³⁴ administered intrathecal morphine to 33 pediatric patients undergoing PSF and found that the mean duration of postoperative pain relief was 18.8 hours. They concluded that intrathecal morphine administration was effective in controlling postoperative pain after AIS surgery; however, this study did not include a control group. Goodzari³⁵ evaluated the efficacy of lumbar intrathecal morphine and intrathecal sufentanil administration preoperatively and compared it with intrathecal sufentanil administration alone in 80 pediatric patients undergoing PSF. He found that intraoperative blood loss was almost 50% lower in the intrathecal morphine group than in the control group. He also found that the need for postoperative analgesia was delayed by 14.5 hours in the intrathecal morphine group whereas the control group needed postoperative analgesia immediately. Additionally, postoperative mobilization was tolerated better in the intrathecal morphine group.³⁵ Gall et al²⁶ studied the effect of intrathecal morphine in 30 pediatric patients undergoing PSF. They compared a high dose of intrathecal morphine (5 µg) with a lower dose of intrathecal morphine $(2 \mu g)$ and a saline control group. They found that intraoperative blood loss was lower in the high-dose group than in the low-dose and control groups.²⁶ They also found that the first 24-hour morphine consumption by PCA was lower in the intrathecal morphine groups compared with the control group.

Table 4. Local Anesthesia—Bupivacaine Studies.

| Study | Design | No. of Patients | Drug and Administration |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|--|
| Ross et al (2011) ³⁶ | RR | 244 (10-18 years) | Continuous infusion of 0.5% bupivacaine at 4 mL/h via one 10-inch soaker or two 5-inch soakers for ~ 100 hours after surgery |

Abbreviation: RR, retrospective review.

A noteworthy concern from neuraxial blockades, especially intrathecal use, is respiratory depression, which is potentially fatal.⁶ In the study by Blackman et al,³⁴ the authors found that respiratory depression was noted in 8 out of the 33 patients in their study, but they attribute this to the large volume of fluid used for the infusion rather than the intrathecal morphine itself. Goodzari³⁵ did not report any incidence of respiratory depression in the 80 patients in their study, though they found that carbon dioxide levels were higher in the intrathecal morphine group compared with the control group. Gall et al²⁶ did not find any statistical difference in the adverse effects between the high-dose, low-dose, or control groups. Intrathecal morphine, therefore, is a safe and effective way to control early postoperative pain following PSF in pediatric patients.^{26,34,35}

Local Anesthesia—Bupivacaine

Bupivacaine provides analgesia by infusion through catheters in paraspinal muscle, subfascial area, or subcutaneous areas adjacent to spinal instrumentation. There has been only 1 study to date evaluating the use of bupivacaine in pediatric spine surgery (Table 4). Ross et al³⁶ stated that continuous basal infusion of morphine was required in 85.2% of patients without bupivacaine and only 32.6% of patients with continuous bupivacaine 1 day after surgery (18.9 vs 26.4 mg). The depth of catheter insertion did not influence postoperative opioid use, but ideal depth needs future investigation. Pain scores were equivalent in treatment and placebo groups at 6, 12, and 24 hours postoperatively but was significantly higher by 1 point at 18 hours.

The Rapid Recovery Pathway

In recent years, the immediate postoperative period has been a primary focus of change in pain management for patients undergoing orthopedic surgery, including PSF for AIS. Traditional postoperative regimens often involved 24 hours of bed rest or limited activity; however, there have been studies reporting early mobilization in combination with multimodal analgesia may decrease hospital stay. Multimodal analgesia incorporates the assortment of nonopioid agents previously discussed in this review. Given at predetermined intervals, these agents act synergistically with opioids to accelerate recovery time, lower pain levels, decrease the incidence of adverse effects, and reduce total opioid consumption. Multimodal practices have received praise in orthopedic procedures, especially joint arthroplasty^{5,37}; but there is little research on pediatric scoliosis correction. This treatment promotes faster recovery and early mobilization associated with the decrease in opioid requirements.

In a quality improvement project at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Muhly et al³⁸ standardized a rapid recovery pathway to promote early mobilization after posterior spinal fusion for AIS. In this pathway, patients were started on PCA on POD 0. On POD 1, they were taken off the PCA and started on oral pain medication with intravenous hydromorphone for breakthrough pain. Oral diazepam and gabapentin are also included in the oral regimen. IV ketorolac was added at the discretion of the surgeon. Ambulation was started three times daily. On POD 2, the oral pain regimen and physical therapy for ambulation three times daily is continued. On POD 3, discharge is finalized once the patient is tolerating oral analgesia, has clearance from physical therapy, and is tolerating a diet. After implementing this pathway, they noticed a significant decrease in hospital stay, from 5 days to 4 days. They also noted a decrease in numerical pain score on PODs 0 to 2 with the rapid recovery pathway implementation. These authors concluded that implementing this rapid recovery pathway reduced time to functional recovery and maintained effective analgesia postoperatively following PSF for AIS.

Fletcher et al⁸ published a study in which they retrospectively compared 105 patients treated with an accelerated discharge (AD) pathway to 45 patients treated with a traditional discharge (TD) pathway. The TD pathway consisted of transition from PCA to oral pain medication on POD 3, mobilization with physical therapy once on POD 1, transition to solid diet on POD 2, and discharge on PODs 4 to 5. The AD pathway consisted of transition from PCA to oral medication on POD 1, mobilization with physical therapy twice-daily starting POD 1, transition to a solid diet on POD 1, and discharge PODs 2 to 3. They retrospectively compared the following characteristics between the 2 groups: Cobb angles, surgical times, estimated blood loss, length of stay, readmissions, and wound complications. They found that length of stay was 48% lower in patients treated with the AD pathway compared to the TD pathway without any difference in complications. In another study looking at financial implications of this AD pathway, Fletcher et al⁹ found that the AD pathway led to a small but significant decrease in hospital cost when compared with the TD pathway. These authors concluded that the AD pathway not only may decrease hospital stay, but total hospital cost associated with PSF for AIS as well.⁹

At our institution, we modeled our postoperative regimen after that established by Fletcher et al.^{8,9} Our patients are started on PCA on POD 0. At 6 AM on POD 1, the PCA is discontinued and patients are started on oral hyrocodone/acetaminophen and diazepam with IV morphine for breakthrough pain. Additionally, on POD 1, our patients ambulate 3 times daily and the urinary catheter is removed. Patients are started on a solid diet on POD 1 or 2 once bowel sounds are confirmed. They are discharged on POD 3 pending pain control on oral medication, adequate mobilization, and toleration of a diet. We have not found a difference in mobilization initiated with physical therapy as opposed to nursing staff. After transitioning to an accelerated mobilization model 11/2 years ago, we have noted a significant decrease in length of stay with over 90%discharged on POD 3 as opposed to POD 4. We are currently investigating our accelerated protocol in comparison to the traditional protocol and have also noted patient expectations and nursing staff buy-in as cornerstones to successful implementation of an accelerated protocol.

Summary

Pediatric scoliosis patients are a unique population as they often enter the hospital generally healthy and functional but find themselves leaving in a debilitated condition. As such, the employment of an effective pain management protocol should be a priority. Although a consensus has not been reached regarding on a postoperative protocol, earlier transition to oral pain medication, earlier ambulation, and earlier discharge are current trends in the perioperative care of AIS patients undergoing posterior spinal fusion.⁸ Studies have shown that managing pain with adjuvants to opioid treatment may provide good outcomes by lowering opioid use, shortening length of stay, and increasing patient satisfaction. This has the added benefit of a quicker return to mobilization after surgery, which accelerates recovery allowing ambulation to begin sooner. Although NSAIDs, acetaminophen, neuraxial blockades, local anesthesia, and neuromodulatory agents alone offer many benefits, more emphasis should be laid on utilizing them in multimodal practices to yield the best result. Multimodal analgesic regimens may be used as part of a rapid recovery pathway to facilitate faster recovery in patients undergoing PSF for AIS.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Sagar A. Shah, MD, MHA (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1927-8761 Tyler White, MD (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2091-2181

References

- Lemos P, Pinto A, Morais G, et al. Patient satisfaction following day surgery. J Clin Anesth. 2009;21:200-205.
- Kehlet H, Dahl JB. Anaesthesia, surgery, and challenges in postoperative recovery. *Lancet*. 2003;362:1921-1928.
- Dunn LK, Durieux ME, Nemergut EC. Non-opioid analgesics: novel approaches to perioperative analgesia for major spine surgery. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 2016;30:79-89.
- Bajwa SJ, Haldar R. Pain management following spinal surgeries: an appraisal of the available options. *J Craniovertebr Junction Spine*. 2015;6:105-110.
- Parvizi J, Miller AG, Gandhi K. Multimodal pain management after total joint arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93: 1075-1084.
- Kurd MF, Kreitz T, Schroeder G, Vaccaro AR. The role of multimodal analgesia in spine surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2017; 25:260-268.
- Van Boerum DH, Smith JT, Curtin MJ. A comparison of the effects of patient-controlled analgesia with intravenous opioids versus epidural analgesia on recovery after surgery for idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25:2355-2357.
- Fletcher ND, Andras LM, Lazarus DE, et al. Use of a novel pathway for early discharge was associated with a 48% shorter length of stay after posterior spinal fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2017;37:92-97.
- Fletcher ND, Shourbaji N, Michell PM, Oswald TS, Devito DP, Bruce RW. Clinical and economic implications of early discharge following posterior spinal fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Child Orthop. 2014;8:257-263.
- Munro HM, Walton SR, Malviya S, et al. Low-dose ketorolac improves analgesia and reduces morphine requirements following posterior spinal fusion in adolescents. *Can J Anaesth.* 2002;49: 461-466.
- Vitale MG, Choe JC, Hwang MW, et al. Use of ketorolac tromethamine in children undergoing scoliosis surgery: an analysis of complications. *Spine J.* 2003;3:55-62.
- Hiller A, Helenius I, Nurmi E, et al. Acetaminophen improves analgesia but does not reduce opioid requirement after major spine surgery in children and adolescents. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 2012;37:E1225-E1231.
- Reuben SS, Ablett D, Kaye R. High dose nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs compromise spinal fusion. *Can J Anaesth*. 2005;52:506-512.
- Ibach BW, Loeber C, Shukry M, Hagemann TM, Harrison D, Johnson PN. Duration of intrathecal morphine effect in children with idiopathic scoliosis undergoing posterior spinal fusion. *J Opioid Manag.* 2015;11:295-303.

- Michelet D, Andreu-Gallien J, Bensalah T, et al. A meta-analysis of the use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs for pediatric postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2012;114:393-406.
- Hayes J, Pehora C, Bissonnette B. The use of NSAIDs in pediatric scoliosis surgery—a survey of physicians' prescribing practice. *Paediatr Anesth.* 2009;19:756-763.
- Glassman SD, Rose SM, Dimar JR, Puno RM, Campbell MJ, Johnson JR. The effect of postoperative nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug administration on spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1998;23:834-838.
- Martin GJ Jr, Boden SD, Titus L. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 overcomes the inhibitory effect of ketorolac, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), on posterolateral lumbar intertransverse process spine fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1999;24:2188-2193.
- Sucato DJ, Lovejoy JF, Agrawal S, Elerson E, Nelson T, McClung A. Postoperative ketorolac does not predispose to pseudoarthrosis following posterior spinal fusion and instrumentation for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2008; 33:1119-1124.
- Lachiewicz PF. The role of intravenous acetaminophen in multimodal pain protocols for perioperative orthopedic patients. *Orthopedics*. 2013;36(2 suppl):15-19.
- Mayell A, Srinivasan I, Campbell F, Peliowski A. Analgesic effects of gabapentin after scoliosis surgery in children: a randomized controlled trial. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2014;24:1239-1244.
- Rusy LM, Hainsworth KR, Nelson TJ, et al. Gabapentin use in pediatric spinal fusion patients: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;110:1393-1398.
- Choudhry DK, Brenn BR, Sacks K, Shah S. Evaluation of gabapentin and clonidine use in children following spinal fusion surgery for idiopathic scoliosis: a retrospective review [published online April 10, 2017]. J Pediatr Orthop. doi:10.1097/BPO. 000000000000989
- Lavelle ED, Lavelle WF, Goodwin R, et al. Epidural analgesia for postoperative pain control after adolescent spinal fusion procedures which violated the epidural space. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2010;23:347-350.
- 25. Cassady JF Jr, Laderhaas G, Cancel DD, Cummings RJ, Loveless EA. A randomized comparison of the effects of continuous thoracic epidural analgesia and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia after posterior spinal fusion in adolescents. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2000;25:246-253.
- Gall O, Aubineau JV, Berniere J, Desjeaux L, Murat I. Analgesic effect of low-dose intrathecal morphine after spinal fusion in children. *Anesthesiology*. 2001;94:447-452.
- Cohen M, Zuk J, McKay N, Erickson M, Pan Z, Galinkin J. Intrathecal morphine versus extended-release epidural morphine for postoperative pain control in pediatric patients undergoing posterior spinal fusion. *Anesth Analg.* 2017;124:2030-2037.
- Shaw BA, Watson TC, Merzel DI, Gerardi JA, Birek A. The safety of continuous epidural infusion for postoperative analgesia in pediatric spine surgery. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1996;16:374-377.
- 29. Ekatodramis G, Min K, Cathrein P, Borgeat A. Use of a double epidural catheter provides effective postoperative analgesia after spine deformity surgery. *Can J Anaesth*. 2002;49:173-177.

- Tobias JD, Gaines RW, Lowry KJ, Kittle D, Bildner C. A dual epidural catheter technique to provide analgesia following posterior spinal fusion for scoliosis in children and adolescents. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2001;11:199-203.
- Klatt JW, Mickelson J, Hung M, Durcan S, Miller C, Smith JT. A randomized prospective evaluation of 3 techniques of postoperative pain management after posterior spinal instrumentation and fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:1626-1631.
- Hong R, Gauger V, Caird MS, Burke C. Narcotic-only epidural infusion for posterior spinal fusion patients: a single center, retrospective review. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2016;36:526-529.
- O'Hara JF Jr, Cywinski JB, Tetzlaff JE, Xu M, Gurd AR, Andrish JT. The effect of epidural vs intravenous analgesia for posterior spinal fusion surgery. *Pediatr Anesth.* 2004;14:1009-1015.

- Blackman R, Reynolds J, Shively J. Intrathecal morphine: dosage and efficacy in younger patients for control of postoperative pain following spinal fusion. *Orthopaedics*. 1991;14:555-557.
- 35. Goodzari M. The advantage of intrathecal opioids for spinal fusion in children. *Pediatr Anesth.* 1998;8:131-134.
- Ross PA, Smith BM, Tolo VT, Khemani RG. Continuous infusion of bupivacaine reduces postoperative morphine use in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis after posterior spine fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 2011;36:1478-1483.
- Rajpal S, Gordon DB, Pellino TA, et al. Comparison of perioperative oral multimodal analgesia versus IV PCA for spine surgery. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* 2010;23:139-145.
- Muhly WT, Sankar WN, Ryan K, et al. Rapid recovery pathway after spinal fusion for idiopathic scoliosis. *Pediatrics*. 2016;137:e20151568.