RESEARCH ARTICLE



Internalin profiling and multilocus sequence typing suggest four *Listeria innocua* subgroups with different evolutionary distances from *Listeria monocytogenes*

Jianshun Chen¹, Qiaomiao Chen¹, Lingli Jiang¹, Changyong Cheng¹, Fan Bai¹, Jun Wang², Fan Mo² and Weihuan Fang^{*1}

Abstract

Background: Ecological, biochemical and genetic resemblance as well as clear differences of virulence between *L*. *monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* make this bacterial clade attractive as a model to examine evolution of pathogenicity. This study was attempted to examine the population structure of *L. innocua* and the microevolution in the *L. innocua-L. monocytogenes* clade via profiling of 37 internalin genes and multilocus sequence typing based on the sequences of 9 unlinked genes *gyrB*, *sigB*, *dapE*, *hisJ*, *ribC*, *purM*, *gap*, *tuf* and *betL*.

Results: *L. innocua* was genetically monophyletic compared to *L. monocytogenes*, and comprised four subgroups. Subgroups A and B correlated with internalin types 1 and 3 (except the strain 0063 belonging to subgroup C) and internalin types 2 and 4 respectively. The majority of *L. innocua* strains belonged to these two subgroups. Subgroup A harbored a whole set of *L. monocytogenes-L. innocua* common and *L. innocua*-specific internalin genes, and displayed higher recombination rates than those of subgroup B, including the relative frequency of occurrence of recombination versus mutation (ρ/θ) and the relative effect of recombination versus point mutation (r/m). Subgroup A also exhibited a significantly smaller exterior/interior branch length ratio than expected under the coalescent model, suggesting a recent expansion of its population size. The phylogram based on the analysis with correction for recombination revealed that the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of *L. innocua* subgroups A and B were similar. Additionally, subgroup D, which correlated with internalin type 5, branched off from the other three subgroups. All *L. innocua* strains lacked seventeen virulence genes found in *L. monocytogenes* (except for the subgroup D strain L43 harboring *inlJ* and two subgroup B strains bearing *bsh*) and were nonpathogenic to mice.

Conclusions: *L. innocua* represents a young species descending from *L. monocytogenes* and comprises four subgroups: two major subgroups A and B, and one atypical subgroup D serving as a link between *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* in the evolutionary chain. Although subgroups A and B appeared at approximately the same time, subgroup A seems to have experienced a recent expansion of the population size with higher recombination frequency and effect than those of subgroup B, and might represent the possible evolutionary direction towards adaptation to enviroments. The evolutionary history in the *L. monocytogenes-L. innocua* clade represents a rare example of evolution towards reduced virulence of pathogens.

Background

The genus *Listeria* encompasses six species, which could be divided into three major phylogenetic clusters: *L*.

monocytogenes-L. innocua, L. ivanovii-L. seeligeri-L. welshimeri, and L. grayi [1]. L. monocytogenes is a well-recognized intracellular pathogen of humans and animals, with clinical features including meningitis, meningoencephalitis, septicemia, abortion, perinatal infections and gastroenteritis [2]. Three genetic lineages have been



© 2010 Chen et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons. Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: whfang@zju.edu.cn

¹ Zhejiang University Institute of Preventive Veterinary Medicine, and Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Prevent Veterinary Medicine, Hangzhou, PR China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

identified within L. monocytogenes strains, with lineage I including serovars 1/2b, 3b, 4b, 4d, 4e, 4ab and 7, lineage II covering serovars 1/2a, 3a, 1/2c and 3c, and lineage III comprising sublineages IIIA, IIIB and IIIC covering serovars 4a, 4c and atypical 4b [3-5]. Of the 13 L. monocytogenes serovars, four (4b, 1/2a, 1/2b and 1/2c) are responsible for over 98% of human listeriosis cases whereas other serovars (e.g., 4a and 4c) are seldom implicated in listeriosis [6,7]. L. innocua is of particular significance because it is most closely related to L. monocytogenes, and they usually co-exist in various environmental, food and clinical specimens [8]. Although these two species resemble each other ecologically, biochemically and genetically, L. innocua has no pathogenic inclination [1,9]. Therefore, the L. innocua-L. monocytogenes clade within the genus Listeria can be used as a model system to examine the evolution of pathogenicity.

Intriguingly, some L. monocytogenes strains tend to lose virulence factors that play critical roles in infection, which has been considered as a rare example of evolution towards reduced virulence of pathogens [4,10]. Certain L. monocytogenes lineage IIIA strains are presumed to have identifiable linkage between L. monocytogenes and L. innocua by possessing many genes common to L. monocytogenes [e.g., Listeria pathogenicity island I (LIPI-1), inlAB locus, bsh and hpt], and sharing many gene deletions similar to L. innocua (e.g., inlC, inlI, inlJ, internalin cluster between *ascB* and *dapE*, and arginine deiminase island lmo0036-lmo0041) [11-13]. Therefore, the population structure and biodiversity in L. innocua may, from the other side of the coin, provide clues for the evolutionary history in the L. monocytogenes-L. innocua clade. Unfortunately, comprehensive knowledge on the phylogenetic structure of L. innocua is still lacking.

Various strain typing methods have been developed and improved with a general shift from phenotype-based to genotype-based strategies [14]. Given its accuracy, reproducibility and increased speed of DNA sequencing, DNA sequence-based multilocus sequence typing (MLST) has gained more popularity [15], and provided an overview of the population structure of *L. monocytogenes* [4,16]. Internalin profiling seems to be instrumental to subtype *L. monocytogenes* strains into different serovars [17]. Moreover, internalin loci are also present in non-pathogenic species, including *L. innocua*, and seem to play broad roles not merely limited to attachment and invasion of host cells [18-20].

In this study, we attempted to delineate a phylogenetic framework based on internalin profiling and MLST analysis of a collection of *L. innocua* isolates from various food sources, and further to investigate microevolution in the *L. innocua-L. monocytogenes* clade.

Results

Biochemical patterns of L. innocua and L. monocytogenes strains

All *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* strains displayed similar utilization patterns for xylose (negative), mannitol (negative) and glucose (positive), while hemolysis could distinguish these two species with *L. monocytogenes* showing β -hemolysis and all *L. innocua* strains being non-hemolytic. With regard to the rhamnose utilization pattern, three *L. innocua* strains 386, L19 and L103 (3/34, 8.8%) as well as *L. monocytogenes* sublineages IIIB and IIIC strains covering serovars 4a, 4b and 4c were atypically negative for rhamnose fermentation (Table 1).

Internalin profiling indicates five internalin types (ITs) of L. innocua

Upon examination of 14 L. monocytogenes-L. innocua common, 4 L. innocua-specific and 19 L. monocytogenesspecific internalin genes, L. innocua strains harbored 15 to 18 internalin genes, with three L. monocytogenes-L. innocua common and one L. innocua-specific internalin genes absent individually or in combination in certain L. innocua strains (Table S1; Additional file 1). Eighteen L. monocytogenes-specific internalin genes were absent in all L. innocua strains except for L43 having inlJ (Table 1). These L. innocua strains could be separated into five internalin types (ITs), with IT1 containing a whole set of L. monocytogenes-L. innocua common and L. innocuaspecific internalin genes, IT2 lacking lin1204, IT3 lacking lin1204 and lin2539, IT4 lacking lin0661, lin0354 and lin2539, and IT5 lacking lin1204 but bearing inlJ. The majority of L. innocua strains fell into IT1 (17/34, 50%) and IT2 (12/34, 35.4%). Among the remainders, three belonged to IT3 (8.8%), one to IT4 (2.9%) and one to IT5 (2.9%). In addition, all L. monocytogenes strains contained L. monocytogenes-L. innocua common internalin genes ranging from 6 to 13, and lacked all L. innocua-specific internalin genes (Table 2).

MLST correlates with internalin profiling of L. innocus strains

Sixty-four strains in the *L. monocytogenes-L. innocua* clade were classified into 61 unique sequence types (ST) in the MLST scheme with a high discrimination index (DI = 0.99, 0.76 to 0.98 per gene). The concatenated sequence data showed that *L. innocua* was genetically monophyletic as compared to *L. monocytogenes*, with 34 *L. innocua* and 30 *L. monocytogenes* strains bearing 391 (6.69%) and 820 (14.03%) polymorphisms respectively. The average nucleotide diversity π of *L. innocua* was lower than that of *L. monocytogenes* (1.06% vs 4.38%). However, the non-synonymous/synonymous mutation rate of *L. innocua* was higher than that of *L. monocytogenes* (0.0865 vs 0.0500) (Table 3).

Strain	Source	:e Subgroup/ lineage (serovar)	/ rhamnose	Allele designation										Virulence gene		Mous	Mouse assay	
					gyrB	dapE	hisJ	sigB	ribC	purM	betL	gap	tuf	STa	bsh	inlJ	CFU	Relative virulence (%)
L. innocua																		
ATCC33090	reference	А	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			$3.5 imes 10^7$	0	
90001	reference	В	+	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2			$2.7 imes 10^7$	0	
1603	reference	В	+	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	3	+		$2.0 imes 10^7$	0	
AB2497	reference	А	+	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	4			3.3×10^{7}	0	
CLIP11262	reference	А	+	1	4	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	5			ND	ND	
0063	meat	С	+	4	5	6	4	4	5	4	1	1	6			5.3×10^{7}	0	
0065	meat	А	+	1	6	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	7			1.5×10^{7}	0	
0068	meat	В	+	2	2	5	2	5	2	5	1	2	8			1.8×10^{7}	0	
0072	meat	А	+	1	7	5	5	1	4	3	2	1	9			2.1×10^{7}	0	
0082	meat	А	+	1	7	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	10			$2.3 imes 10^7$	0	
0083	meat	А	+	1	8	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	11			1.7×10^{7}	0	
0173	meat	А	+	4	9	1	6	6	1	6	1	1	12			$2.2 imes 10^7$	0	
0197	meat	А	+	4	10	1	6	6	1	6	1	1	13			1.9×10^{7}	0	
01174	meat	А	+	5	11	7	7	7	4	1	1	1	14			1.3×10^{7}	0	
01178	meat	А	+	1	12	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	15			$3.3 imes10^7$	0	
01182	meat	А	+	1	13	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	16			$2.3 imes10^7$	0	
317	milk	А	+	1	1	5	1	1	1	7	1	1	17			$3.3 imes10^7$	0	
337	milk	В	+	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	3			$2.0 imes 10^7$	0	
376	milk	А	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			$2.5 imes 10^7$	0	
380	milk	В	+	3	3	3	3	8	3	2	1	2	18			2.1 × 10 ⁷	0	
386	milk	В		5	14	8	8	9	4	8	1	1	19	+		3.0×10^{7}	0	
438	milk	В	+	6	15	9	9	10	6	3	3	1	20			1.6×10^{7}	0	
693	milk	А	+	1	12	7	1	1	4	3	1	1	21			2.3×10^{7}	0	
694	milk	А	+	5	11	1	7	11	4	9	1	1	22			4.7 × 10 ⁷	0	

Table 1: MLST and mouse assay of *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* strains

Table 1: MLST and mouse assa	v of L. innocua and L. monoc	vtogenes strains (Continued)
Tuble Times Tuble 11005C ussu	y of E , <i>m</i> , <i>b</i> cuu unu E , <i>m</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>b</i> c	grogenes strains (continued)

ZS14	seafood	А	+	1	12	5	1	1	7	10	1	1	23			4.3×10^{7}	0
ZXF	seafood	В	+	3	3	3	3	12	3	2	1	2	24			3.3×10^{7}	0
1571	seafood	А	+	1	16	5	1	1	4	3	1	1	25			2.0×10^{7}	0
L19	seafood	В		7	17	10	10	13	8	11	4	2	26			1.5×10^{7}	0
L43	seafood	D	+	8	18	11	11	14	9	12	5	3	27		+	1.7×10^{7}	0
NB2	seafood	В	+	3	3	3	3	15	3	2	1	2	28			3.5×10^{7}	0
NB3	seafood	В	+	3	3	3	3	15	3	2	1	2	28			3.7×10^{7}	0
NB24	seafood	В	+	5	19	12	12	16	2	13	1	1	29			2.9×10^{7}	0
L87	pork	В	+	5	19	12	7	16	10	13	1	1	30			1.3×10^{7}	0
L103	chicken	А		1	12	5	1	1	11	14	1	1	31			4.0×10^{7}	0
L. monocytogenes																	
SH3	pork	l (1/2b)	+	9	20	13	13	17	12	15	6	4	32	+	+	4.3×10^{7}	100
NB26	seafood	l (1/2b)	+	10	21	14	14	18	13	16	6	4	33	+	+	6.5×10^{7}	100
NB27	seafood	l (1/2b)	+	11	22	15	14	19	14	17	7	4	34	+	+	5.5×10^{7}	80
M1	milk	l (1/2b)	+	11	23	13	14	19	15	18	8	4	35	+	+	3.0×10^{7}	100
ScottA	reference	l (4b)	+	11	24	15	15	19	16	19	8	4	36	+	+	3.3×10^{7}	100
NB4	seafood	l (4b)	+	11	25	16	15	19	14	20	6	4	37	+	+	2.1×10^{7}	100
NB6	seafood	l (4b)	+	11	26	17	16	20	16	21	6	4	38	+	+	3.3×10^{7}	100
NB7	seafood	l (4b)	+	11	26	17	16	20	16	22	6	4	39	+	+	4.6 × 10 ⁷	100
NB25	seafood	l (4b)	+	11	27	18	15	19	17	19	6	4	40	+	+	4.6 × 10 ⁷	100
90SB1	animal	I (4b)	+	11	27	16	15	19	17	19	6	4	41	+	+	5.5×10^{7}	100
EGDe	reference	ll (1/2a)	+	12	28	19	17	21	18	20	9	5	42	+	+	5.5×10^{7}	100
10403S	reference	ll (1/2a)	+	13	29	20	17	22	19	20	10	5	43	+	+	5.0×10^{7}	100
SH2	vegetable	ll (1/2a)	+	13	29	21	17	23	20	20	9	5	44	+	+	4.3×10^{7}	100
SH4	chicken	ll (1/2a)	+	13	29	20	17	22	19	20	11	5	45	+	+	5.0×10^{7}	100
NB5	seafood	ll (1/2a)	+	13	29	22	17	24	21	23	12	5	46	+	+	4.5×10^{7}	100
NB21	seafood	ll (1/2a)	+	13	29	23	17	25	22	23	13	5	47	+	+	3.9 × 10 ⁷	80
P3	pork	ll (1/2a)	+	13	23	24	18	26	23	24	13	5	48	+	+	4.0×10^{7}	100
NB28	seafood	ll (1/2c)	+	12	23	19	17	21	18	20	14	6	49	+	+	4.1 × 10 ⁷	100
V1	vegetable	ll (1/2c)	+	12	23	19	17	21	18	20	9	6	50	+	+	3.0 × 10 ⁷	100
P19	chicken	ll (1/2c)	+	12	30	19	17	21	18	20	9	6	51	+	+	5.0 × 10 ⁷	100
54006	reference	IIIA (4a)	+	14	31	25	19	27	24	3	15	2	52	+		1.3 × 10 ⁷	0

F2-695	reference	IIIA(4a)	+	15	32	26	20	28	25	25	15	7	53	+	+	1.2×10^{7}	40	
F2-086	reference	IIIB (4a)		16	33	27	21	29	26	26	16	8	54	+		1.7×10^{7}	100	
F2-407	reference	IIIB (4a)		17	34	28	21	30	26	27	16	9	55	+		1.5×10^{7}	100	
F2-270	reference	IIIB (4a)		18	35	29	21	31	27	28	16	8	56	+		2.2×10^{7}	100	
F2-208	reference	IIIC (4a)		19	36	30	22	32	28	29	16	10	57	+		3.5×10^{7}	100	
F2-525	reference	IIIA (4b)	+	20	37	31	23	33	29	30	17	11	58	+	+	2.8×10^{7}	100	
J1-158	reference	IIIB (4b)		21	34	28	21	29	30	31	16	8	59	+		2.2×10^{7}	40	
J2-071	reference	IIIA (4c)	+	22	38	26	20	28	31	25	15	12	60	+	+	1.5×10^{7}	100	
W1-111	reference	IIIC (4c)		23	39	32	24	34	32	32	18	2	61	+		2.8×10^{7}	80	
L. welshimeri																		
SLCC5334	reference	ND		24	40	33	25	35	33	33	19	13	62			ND	ND	
C15	reference	ND		25	41	34	26	36	34	34	20	14	63			5.5×10^{7}	0	
AB1626	reference	ND		25	41	35	27	36	34	34	20	14	64			ND	ND	
AB2500	reference	ND		24	40	36	25	35	33	33	19	13	65			ND	ND	

Table 1: MLST and mouse assay of *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* strains (Continued)

ND, not done. ^a ST, sequence type.

IT	No. of inte	rnalin genes	Characteristics	No. (%) of strains	No. (%) of strains belonging to each subgroup					
	common and L. innocua -specific	L. monocytogenes -specific			A	В	с	D		
1	18	0	whole set of common and <i>L. innocua</i> -specific internalin genes	17 (50.0%)	17	0	0	0		
2	17	0	<i>lin1204</i> negative	12 (35.4%)	0	12	0	0		
3	16	0	Lin1204, lin2539 negative	3 (8.8%)	2	0	1	0		
4	15	0	<i>lin0661, lin0354, lin2539</i> negative	1 (2.9%)	0	1	0	0		
5	17	1	lin1204 negative, and inlJ positive	1 (2.9%)	0	0	0	1		
Total	18	19		34	19 (55.9%)	13 (38.3%)	1 (2.9%)	1 (2.9%)		

Table 2: Internalin profiling of L. innocua and L. monocytogenes strains

With L. welshimeri as the outgroup species, the phylogenetic tree revealed nine major branches of the L. monocytogenes-L. innocua clade, four corresponding to the recognized L. monocytogenes lineages I, II, IIIA/C and IIIB, one harboring the low-virulent L. monocytogenes lineage IIIA strains reported in our previous study [11], and the other four beloning to *L. innocua* (Figure 1). The majority of L. innocua strains were placed in two branches: one contained 19 strains (55.9%) representing STs 1, 4, 5, 7, 9-17, 21-23, 25 and 31, and the other harbored 13 strains (38.2%) representing STs 2, 3, 6, 8, 18-20, 24, 26 and 28-30. Remarkably, L. innocua strain L43 (ST27) showed the least genetic distance to the main cluster of L. monocytogenes. This strain seems to serve as the evolutionary intermediate between L. monocytogenes and L. innocua main clusters together with the low-virulent L. monocytogenes lineage IIIA strain 54006. Additionally, L. innocua strain 0063 (ST6) was present on the halfway between the L. innocua main cluster and strain L43 (Figure 1).

Based on the MLST scheme and internalin profiling, *L. innocua* could be divided into at least four subgroups. Two main subgroups A and B located in the two major branches of the phylogenetic tree, which correlated with IT1 and IT3 (except strain 0063) (19/34, 55.9%), and IT2 and IT4 (13/34, 38.2%) respectively. In addition, one IT3 strain 0063 and one IT5 strain L43 present in two individual branches formed subgroups C and D respectively (Table 2).

Phylogeny and population history of L. innocua

As aforementioned, *L. innocua* was genetically monophyletic (π = 1.06%) as compared to *L. monocytogenes* (π = 4.38%). When sequence data were analyzed after stratification by subgroups, the number of polymorphisms and genetic diversity within each subpopulations were reduced (Table 3), suggesting a barrier for genetic exchange between these *L. innocua* subgroups. Such barrier was also observed between *L. monocytogenes* lineages (Table 3), consistent with one previous report [21].

Tajima's D test revealed that L. innocua and L. monocytogenes did not evolve under neutrality. A marginal positive value of Tajima's D observed for ribC in L. monocytogenes (1.9963, 0.05) became smalleror negative when analyses were performed for separate lineages, suggesting a divided population structure. Similarly, significant or marginal positive Tajima's D values were observed for *gyrB* (2.0401, p < 0.05) in *L. monocyto*genes lineage II, and for sigB (2.0426, p < 0.05) and gap (1.7746, 0.05 in lineage III, supporting that lineages II and III represented diverse populations as compared to lineage I (Table 4). On the other hand, gyrB (-2.2650, p < 0.01), betL (-2.5954, p < 0.001) and gap (-2.4190, p < 0.01) showed significant negative Tajima's D values in L. innocua, indicative of a bottleneck or selective sweep [22,23]. Also, Tajima's D were marginal negative for *betL* in *L. innocua* subgroup A (-1.7315, 0.05 < p < 0.10) and gap in subgroup B (-1.6523, 0.05 < p < 0.10) (Table 4).

The exterior/interior branch length ratio test demonstrated that *L. innocua* and its subgroup A as well as *L. monocytogenes* and its lineage I showed a significantly smaller exterior/interior branch length ratio (p < 0.05) than expected under the coalescent model (Figure 2). This suggests that the contemporary *L. innocua* population experienced a recent expansion of its population

Gene	No. strains	Size (bp)	No. alleles	No. (%) polymorphic sites	D.I.	Ks	Ka	Ka/Ks	π
gyrB	64	657	23	74 (11.26%)	0.91	0.1991	0.0010	0.0050	0.0384
dapE	64	669	39	146 (21.82%)	0.98	0.2337	0.0152	0.0650	0.0564
hisJ	64	714	32	187 (26.19%)	0.95	0.3999	0.0380	0.0951	0.1000
sigB	64	642	24	83 (12.93%)	0.92	0.2588	0.0054	0.0207	0.0518
ribC	64	633	34	163 (25.75%)	0.93	0.6002	0.0209	0.0348	0.1093
purM	64	693	31	170 (24.53%)	0.94	0.3955	0.0194	0.0490	0.0853
betL	64	534	32	171 (32.02%)	0.94	0.7918	0.0312	0.0394	0.1325
gap	64	621	18	28 (4.51%)	0.76	0.0240	0.0013	0.0547	0.0067
tuf	64	681	11	14 (2.06%)	0.80	0.0182	0.0021	0.1160	0.0058
Concatenated	64	5,844	61	1036 (17.73%)	0.99	0.2621	0.0147	0.0559	0.0623
Concatenated, L. innocua	34	5,844	31	391 (6.69%)	0.99	0.0365	0.0032	0.0865	0.0106
Concatenated, subgroupA	19	5,844	18	90 (1.54%)	0.99	0.0142	0.0018	0.1241	0.0046
Concatenated, subgroup B	13	5,844	11	135 (2.31%)	0.97	0.0280	0.0018	0.0628	0.0077
Concatenated, subgroup C	1	5,844	1			0.4659	0.0241	0.0517	
Concatenated, subgroup D	1	5,844	1			0.4867	0.0225	0.0461	
Concatenated, L. monocytogenes	30	5,844	30	820 (14.03%)	1.00	0.1781	0.0089	0.0500	0.0438
Concatenated, lineage l	10	5,844	4	84 (1.44%)	1.00	0.0174	0.0019	0.1112	0.0055
Concatenated, lineage ll	10	5,844	6	250 (4.28%)	1.00	0.0493	0.0027	0.0537	0.0131
Concatenated, lineage III	10	5,844	10	522 (8.93%)	1.00	0.1459	0.0084	0.0575	0.0374

Table 3: Polymorphisms at nine genes in the L. innocua-L. monocytogenes clade

D.l.: discrimination index; Ks: number of synonymous changes per synonymous site; Ka: number of non-synonymous changes per non-synonymous site; π : nucleotide diversity.

size, consistent with a population bottleneck. Specifically, *L. innocua* subgroup A underwent expansion of the population size (p = 0.027), while subgroup II did not (p = 0.176) (Figure 2).

The rate of recombination within bacterical species can differ widely from one species to another. In the *L. innocua-L. monocytogenes* clade, both the relative frequency of occurrence of recombination versus mutation (ρ/θ) and the relative effect of recombination versus point mutation (r/m) were about two to three times higher in *L. innocua* than in *L. monocytogenes* (Table 5). *L. innocua* subgroup A exhibited significantly higher frequency $(\rho/\theta = 3.7697)$ and effect (r/m = 12.0359) of recombination than subgroup B $(\rho/\theta = 0.2818; r/m = 4.8132)$, consistent with a definite population expansion of subgroup A as

aforementioned. However, the higher recombination rate of *L. innocua* subgroup A did not seem to contribute to nucleotide diversity (π for subgroups A and B are 0.46% and 0.77% respectively) (Table 3 and Table 5). On the other hand, both the frequency and effect of recombination in *L. monocytogenes* lineage II were higher than those in lineages I and III (Table 5).

The phylogram based on the analysis with correction for recombination revealed that the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of *L. innocua* subgroups A and B was similar (Figure 3), suggesting that these two subgroups appeared at approximately the same time. In addition, our study also showed the TMRCA of *L. monocytogenes* lineages I and II were similar, consistent with a recent report [24].



Distribution of L. innocua isolates among different sources Of the 29 *L. innocua* food isolates, 13 were obtained from meat, 8 from milk and 8 from seafoods. The majority of meat isolates (10/13, 76.9%) belonged to subgroup A, while most seafood isolates (5/8, 62.5%) belonged to subgroup B. There were significant associations between subgroups and source of isolation (p < 0.05).

L. innocua isolates lack virulence genes found in L. monocytogenes, and were nonpathogenic to mice

All *L. innocua* strains lacked 17 virulence genes examined, with the exception of the subgroup D strain (L43) harboring *inlJ* (87.5%-93.6% nucleotide identities to *L. monocytogenes* reference strains EGDe and F2365) and two subgroup B strains (1603 and 386) bearing *bsh* (97.7%-99.4% nucleotide identities to EGDe and F2365).

Gene		L. innocua		L. monocytogenes							
	A	В	all	I	II	Ш	all				
gyrB	-0.3479	0.3871	-2.2650**	-1.6671#	2.0401*	0.0136	0.7361				
dapE	0.7970	1.1138	-1.0723	-0.0394	-0.4958	0.9003	-0.3265				
hisJ	1.2046	0.1750	0.2478	-0.1104	-0.6528	0.0336	1.4256				
sigB	-0.1097	0.5901	0.2092	0.5444	-1.1117	2.0426*	1.2456				
ribC	0.0511	0.2773	0.2987	1.5368	-1.5344	0.4909	1.9963#				
purM	0.5044	0.2217	-1.4464	0.0235	-0.2856	0.9867	0.4698				
betL	-1.7315#	-1.5047	-2.5954***	-0.2912	-0.1839	0.5179	0.0554				
gap	-1.1648	-1.6523#	-2.4190**	-0.6910	-0.8223	1.7746#	0.2481				
tuf	N/A ^a	0.9505	-0.0101	N/A	0.8198	0.5380	0.4709				
Concatenat ed	0.1719	0.1492	0.3847	0.3655	-0.7070	0. 7379	0.7452				

Table 4: Tajima's D test for the L. innocua-L. monocytogenes clade

#, 0.05 ; *, <math>p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001.

a. No polymorphisms in the data, resulting in inability to compute Tajima's test.

All of these *L. innocua* strains were nonpathogenic to ICR mice (Table 1).

Discussion

The ecological, biochemical and genetical resemblance as well as the clear differences of virulence between *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* make this bacterial clade

attractive as models to examine the evolution of pathogenicity in *Listeria* genus. *L. monocytogenes* causes lifethreatening infections in animals and human populations, and exhibits a diversity of strains with different pathogenicity [25]. *L. innocua* has once been postulated as the nonpathogenic variant of *L. monocytogenes*, and holds the key to understanding the evolutionary history



under the coalescent model, while *L. innocua* subgroup II (C) and *L. monocytogenes* lineages II (F) and III (G) do not.

	r/ma	ρ/θb	Reference
L. innocua	3.144 (2.234-4.071)	0.535 (0.396-0.764)	This study
L. innocua subgroup A	12.036 (5.404-20.716)	3.770 (2.021-6.188)	This study
L. innocua subgroup B	4.813 (1.431-20.455)	0.282 (0.095-1.124)	This study
L. monocytogenes	1.847 (1.293-2.641)	0.179 (0.135-0.258)	This study
L. monocytogenes lineage l	5.752 (1.413-18.660)	0.055 (0.023-0.118)	This study
L. monocytogenes lineage II	7.610 (5.096-11.065)	0.518 (0.244-0.801)	This study
L. monocytogenes lineage III	1.869 (0.720-5.117)	0.195 (0.066-0.661)	This study
L. innocua-L. monocytogenes clade	2.783 (2.326-3.307)	0.334 (0.284-0.395)	This study
Bacillus anthracis-Bacillus cereus clade	ND	0.2-0.5	Didelot <i>et al.</i> 2007
Clostridium perfringens	ND	3.2	Rooney <i>et al</i> . 2006
Neisseria meningitis	ND	1.1	Jolley <i>et al</i> . 2005
Staphylococcus aureus	ND	0.11	Fraser <i>et al</i> . 2005
Streptococcus pneumoniae	ND	2.1	Fraser <i>et al</i> . 2005

Table 5: Recombination rates in the L. innocua-L. monocytogenes clade and other bacteria

ND, not done.

a. The ratio of probabilities that a given site is altered through recombination and mutation, representing a measure of how important the

effect of recombination is in the diversification of the sample relative to mutation.

b. The ratio of rates at which recombination and mutation occur, representing a measure of how often recombination events happen relative to mutations.

of the *L. monocytogenes-L. innocua* clade. However, information on the phylogenetic structure and microevolution of *L. innocua* is still lacking. Thus, we characterized *L. innocua* strains in our laboratory stock from phylogentic perspectives.

Profiling of 37 internalin genes grouped the L. innocua strains into five internalin types, IT1 to IT5, with IT1 and IT2 as the major types (Table 2). The MLST scheme identified two major phylogenetic branches containing the majority of sequence types (29/31, 93.5%), and other two bearing one strain each (Fig 1). Consequently, L. innocua consists of at least four distinct subgroups A, B, C and D. Subgroup A correlates with one of the major branches including all the IT1 and IT3 strains with the exception of one IT3 strain 0063 belonging to subgroup C, while subgroup B correlates with the other major branch covering all the IT2 and IT4 strains (Table B2B). Therefore, it is inferred that a certain *L. innocua* subgroup possibly contains several serovars and exhibits different internalin patterns, which is similar to the fact that each lineage of L. monocytogenes contains several serovars and exhibits more than one internalin patterns, as exemplified by the internalin island between *ascB* and *dapE* in our previous report [17].

The majority of *L. monocytogenes* lineage I strains harbor *inlC2DE*, and a small number of 1/2b strains carry *inlGC2DE* instead. Within *L. monocytogenes* lineage II

strains, the majority of 1/2a and 1/2c strains harbor *inlGC2DE* and *inlGHE* respectively. In addition, *L. monocytogenes* lineage III strains show the greatest level of diversity [8,17]. The *L. innocua* subgroup A strains either contain a whole set of *L. monocytogenes-L. innocua* common and *L. innocua*-specific internalin genes, or lack *lin1204* and *lin2539*, and the *L. innocua* subgroup B strains either lack *lin1204* or lack *lin0661*, *lin0354* and *lin2539* instead. Besides, the subgroup D strain L43, which shows the least genetic distance to *L. monocytogenes*-specific *inlJ* in the counterpart region in *L. monocytogenes* genomes (Table 2). We propose that certain internalin genes such as *lin0354*, *lin0661*, *lin1204* and *lin2539* could be potential genetic markers for subgroups of *L. innocua*.

The phylogenetic tree revealed nine major branches of the *L. innocua-L. monocytogenes* clade, five belonged to *L. monocytogenes* representing lineages I, II, and III, consistent with previous reports [11,24,26], and the other four represented *L. innocua* subgroups A, B, C and D (Fig 1). Overall, *L. innocua* is genetically monophyletic compared to *L. monocytogenes*, and the nucleotide diversity of the *L. innocua* species is similar to that of *L. monocytogenes* lineage I but less than those of *L. monocytogenes* lineages II and III. In evolutionary terms, younger bacterial species has lower level of genetic diversity [15]. The results from this study offer additional evidence that *L*.



innocua possibly represents a relatively young species as compared to its closest related pathogenic species *L. monocytogenes.*

Previous studies suggest that *L. monocytogenes* represents one of the bacterial species with the lowest rate of recombination [4,27]. In this study, strains in the *L. innocua-L. monocytogenes* clade exhibit similar value of ρ/θ to those of the *Bacillus anthracis-Bacillus cereus* clade [28] and slightly higher than those of *Staphylococcus aureus* [29], but still considerably lower than those of

pathogens such as *Clostridium perfringens* [30], *Neisseria meningitis* [31] and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [29]. Both the relative frequency of occurrence of recombination versus mutation (ρ/θ) and the relative effect of recombination versus point mutation (r/m) of *L. innocua* were higher than those of *L. monocytogenes*. More strikingly, recombination rates of *L. innocua* subgroup A were particularly high (Table 5). Wirth et al. [32] proposed from the data for *Escherichia coli* that epidemic and virulent bacteria face an increased selective pressure for rapid

diversification in response to host immune defenses, resulting in higher recombination rates. L. monocytogenes is an opportunistic pathogen with wide host ranges as well as a saprotroph found in different environments [2,33]. Though lineage I strains were responsible for almost all major human listeriosis outbreaks and the majority of sporadic cases [6], those of lineage II exhibited higher recombination rate according to our observation and the findings by Bakker et al. [24]. Bakker et al. [24] proposed that higher recombination in lineage II was not due to selective forces involved in its virulence. Recombination may be critical for lineage II to successfully compete and survive in a board range of different environments. Lineage II strains are more commonly found at higher levels than lineage I strains in natural environments including foods [24,34]. Similarly, we postulate that the nonpathogenic species L. innocua descending from its pathogenic ancestor has better adaptability to contemporary environmental niches. Removal of some gene loci related to virulence (e.g., LIPI-1, *inlAB* and *bsh*) in *Listeria* could be regarded as adaptive gene loss, which favors its survival in environmental niches as a saprotroph [9,11].

L. innocua subgroups A and B strains have similar TMRCA and exhibit similar genetic distances to L. monocytogenes, suggesting that these two subgroups appeared at approximately the same time (Fig 2). However, subgroup A experienced a recent expansion of the population size, consistent with the higher recombination frequency (r/m) and effect (ρ/θ) of subgroup A as compared to those of subgroup B. This further implies that these two subgroups have distinct inclinations and adaptive abilities to environments and occupy different habitats, while subgroup A might face increased selective pressures resulting in higher recombination rates. Additional support for this indication is that the majority of subgroup A isolates (belonging IT1) contain a whole set of L. monocytogenes-L. innocua common and L. innocuaspecific internalin genes which may play broad roles in enhancing the adaption to various environments. Hence, the L. innocua subgroup A strains might represent the possible evolutionary direction towards adaptation. Interestingly, the higher recombination rate of L. innocua subgroup A did not seem to contribute to nucleotide diversity. One possible explanation is that members of subgroup A recombines only with other members of subgroup A, so that recombination does not introduce novel polymorphisms into subgroup A and therefore does not increase the genetic diversity.

Previous reports indicate that horizontal gene transfer might have occurred earlier to form a more ancestral *L. monocytogenes* strain, which would then give rise to *L. innocua* through gene deletion events possibly via lowvirulent *L. monocytogenes* lineage IIIA strains [11,13]. In this study, *L. innocua* subgroup D strain L43 exhibits the least genetic distances to *L. monocytogenes* (Fig 1), and constituted another evolutionary intermediates between *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* main clusters. Therefore, *L. innocua* strain L43 and *L monocytogenes* strain 54006 [11] might serve as intermediate linkage strains in deciphering the evolution of the *L. innocua-L. monocytogenes* clade.

The strain L43 seems to share a "hybrid" genetic background derived from L. innocua and L. monocytogenes by the MLST data and its carriage of L. monocytogenes-specific virulence gene inlJ. InlJ is a sortase-anchored adhesin specifically expressed in vivo [35], but its function in atypical L. innocua strains requires further investigation. Another atypical L. innocua strain PRL/NW 15B95 has been characterized as having the entire LIPI-1 embedded into an otherwise typical L. innocua genetic background [9]. However, we did not see its presence in the strain L43. PRL/NW 15B95 falls into the main L. innocua cluster based on sequencing of 16S-23S intergenic regions, 16S rRNA and *iap* genes, and has possibly acquired LIPI-1 by a later transposition event, based on the finding of a 16 bp Tn1545 integration consensus sequence flanking the virulence island [9]. Thus, unlike L43, PRL/NW 15B95 does not constitute an evolutional intermediate between L. monocytogenes and L. innocua. Complementary transfer of only some of the virulence genes such as LIPI-1 did not change the avirulent character of PRL/NW 15B95 [9]. In this study, all L. innocua strains were nonpathogenic in mice models (Table 1).

Conclusion

This study reveals that L. innocua is a relatively young species descending from L. monocytogenes. The evolutionary history in the L. monocytogenes-L. innocua clade represents a rare example of evolution towards reduced virulence of pathogens. L. innocua is genetically monophyletic and comprises four subgroups based on internalin profiling and MLST scheme. The majority of L. innocua strains belong to two major subgroups A and B, and one atypical subgroup might serve as a link between L. monocytogenes and L. innocua main cluster in the evolutionary chain. While subgroups A and B appeared at approximately the same time, the subgroup A strains seem to represent the possible evolutionary direction towards adaptation to enviroments. It is believed that the phylogenetic structure and evolutionary history of L. innocua will be much clearer if a larger strain collection and the whole genome sequences of more representative strains become available.

Methods

Bacterial strains

A total of 68 *Listeria* strains were examined in this study (Table 1). These included 30 *L. monocytogenes* strains representing three lineages, 34 *L. innocua* strains, 5 from

reference collections, 13 from meat, 8 from milk and 8 from seafoods, and 4 *L. welshimeri* strains. *Listeria* strains were retrieved from glycerol stocks maintained at -80°C, and cultured in brain heart infusion broth (BHI; Oxoid, Hampshire, England) at 37°C.

Carbohydrate fermentation and hemolytic reactions

The recommended biochemical patterns for differentiating *Listeria* spp. included L-rhamnose, D-xylose, D-mannitol and glucose utilization and hemolytic reactivity, and were tested by using conventional procedures [36,37].

DNA manipulations

Genomic DNA was extracted using a protocol reported previously [12]. Oligonucleotide primers were synthesized by Invitrogen Biotechnology (Shanghai, China) (Table 6 and Additional file 1; table S2), and *Taq* DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Biotech Co. Ltd., Dalian, China) was used for PCR amplification. PCR was conducted using a PT-200 thermal cycler (MJ Research Inc. MA, Boston, USA), with annealing temperatures depending on specific primer pairs (Table 6 and Additional file 1; table S2), and the duration of extension depending on the expected length of amplicon (1 min per kb, at 72°C). For DNA sequencing analysis, PCR fragments were purified with the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Inc., USA) and their sequences determined by dideoxy method on ABI-PRISM 377 DNA sequencer.

Internalin profiling

By sequence comparison of *L. monocytogenes* strains F2365, H7858 (serovar 4b), EGDe and F6854 (serovar 1/2a) and *L. innocua* strain CLIP11262, we investigated the presence or absence of 14 *L. monocytogenes-L. innocua*-common and 4 *L. innocua*-specific internalin genes as well as 19 *L. monocytogenes*-specific internalin genes by PCR with specific primers outlined in Additional file 1; table S1. Due to the conserved repeats present in internalin multigene family [19], primers were designed based on the distinguishable regions through sequence comparison. As *inlH* and *inlC2* shared highly identical nucleotide sequences, a common primer set was employed [17].

Multilocus sequence typing (MLST)

The MLST scheme was based on the sequence analysis of 9 unlinked genes, including 7 housekeeping genes *gyrB*, *dapE*, *hisJ*, *ribC*, *purM*, *gap* and *tuf*, and 2 stress-response genes *sigB* and *betL*. Sequences generated in this study have been deposited in GenBank within the accession numbers <u>FJ774089</u> to <u>FJ774121</u> (*gyrB*), <u>FJ774145</u> to <u>FJ774177</u> (*sigB*), <u>FJ774274</u> to <u>FJ774282</u>, <u>FJ774257</u> to <u>FJ774273</u>, <u>FJ774283</u> to <u>FJ774293</u>, <u>FJ774295</u> to <u>FJ774297</u>, <u>FJ774309</u> to <u>FJ4300</u> (*gap*), <u>FJ774313</u> to <u>FJ774344</u>, <u>FJ774368</u> (*hisJ*), <u>FJ774457</u> (*ribC*), <u>FJ774481</u> to <u>FJ774513</u>

(*dapE*), <u>FI774537</u> to <u>FI774568</u> (*tuf*), and <u>FI774593</u> to <u>FI774625</u> (*betL*).

Detection of virulence genes

Five categories of virulence genes found in *L. monocytogenes* were assessed by using primers listed in Additional file 1; table S2, including (i) stress response genes conferring tolerance to harsh conditions within the host (e.g. *bsh, arcB, arcD, lmo0038* and *arcC*); (ii) internalin genes responsible for adhesion and invasion of host cells (e.g. *inlA, inlB, inlC, inlF* and *inlJ*); (iii) genes involved in escape from vacuole and intracellular multiplication (e.g. *plcA, hly, mpl, plcB* and *hpt*); (iv) the gene associated with intracellular and intercellular spread (e.g. *actA*); and (v) regulatory genes (e.g. *prfA*).

Mouse infection

The virulence potential of 33 *L. innocua* strains and 30 *L.* monocytogenes isolates was assessed in ICR mice by a previously reported protocol [38]. The animal experiment was approved by the Laboratory Animal Management Committee of Zhejiang University, and the mice were handled under strict ethical conditions. Briefly, 5 female ICR mice at 20-22 g (Zhejiang College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Hangzhou, China) were inoculated intraperitoneally with $\sim 10^8$ CFU each strain in a 0.1 ml-volume. Mice in the control group were injected with 0.1 ml PBS. The mice were observed daily and mortalities recorded until all of the mice inoculated with the virulent EGDe strain died. Relative virulence (%) was calculated by dividing the number of dead mice with the total number of mice tested. On the 15th day post- inoculation, all surviving mice were euthanized.

Data analysis

For each MLST locus, an allele number was given to each distinct sequence variant, and a distinct sequence type (ST) number was given to each distinct combination of alleles of the 9 genes. MEGA 4.0 was used to construct a neighbor-joining tree of L. innocua and L. monocytogenes isolates using the number of nucleotide differences in the concatenated sequences of 9 loci with 1,000 bootstrap tests [39]. L. welshimeri was used as outgroup species. DNAsp v4.10.9 [40] was used to calculate the number of alleles, number of polymorphic sites, nucleotide diversity (π , mean pairwise nucleotide difference per site), Tajima's D (for testing the hypothesis that all mutations are selectively neutral [41]), numbers of synonymous mutations and nonsynonymous mutations and the rate of nonsynonymous to synonymous changes with a Jukes-Cantor correction. Discrimination index (D.I.) values of selected genes were calculated according to the method previously described [42] on the basis of allelic types [j], numbers of strains belonging to each type [nj], and the total numbers

Table 6: Primers used for MLST

Locus	Putative function	Locationa	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Length (bp)
gyrB	DNA gyrase subunit B	6,031-7,971	TGGTGCATCGGT AGTTAATGC	CAACATCTGGGTT TTCCATCAT	657
dapE	Succinyl diaminopimelate desuccinylase	301,402-302,538	GTAAATATTGATT CGACTAATG	CACTAGCACTTGT TTCACTG	669
hisJ	Histidinol phosphate phosphatase	606,408-607,235	TCCACATGGTAC GCATGAT	GGACATGTCAAA ATGAAAGATC	714
sigB	Stess responsive alternative sigma factor B	924,734-925,513	CCAAAAGTATCTC AACCTGAT	CATGCATTTGTGA TATATCGA	642
ribC	Riboflavin kinaseand FAD synthase	1,364,536- 1,365,480	AAGACGATATAC TTACATCAT	GTCTTTTTCTAAC TGAGCA	633
purM	Phosphoribosyl aminoimidazole synthase	1,893,107- 1,894,153	CAAGCTCCACTTT GACAGCTAA	TAAAGCAGGCGT GGACGTA	693
betL	Glycine betaine transporter	2,216,882- 2,218,405	ACAGAACATTAT CCAAATGAGTT	ACGTTGTGATTTT TTCGGTC	534
gap	Glyceraldehyde 3- phosphate dehydrogenase	2,578,558- 2,579,584	CTGGATCAGAAG CTGCTTCCA	GTCGTATTCAAAA TGTGGAAGGA	621
tuf	Translation elongation factor	2,816,958- 2,818,145	CATTTCTACTCCA GTTACTACT	GCTCTAAACCCC ATGTTA	681
Subtotal					5,844

a, Positions correspond to complete genome sequence of L. innocua strain CLIP11262 (AL592022).

of strains analyzed [N] with the following equation (higher D.I. values indicate better discriminatory power):

D.I. =
$$1 - \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{3} nj(nj-1)$$

ClonalFrame v1.1 was employed to show the evolution of ρ/θ and r/m as chain run. These two complementary measures were used to assess the relative contribution of recombination and mutation in the creation of the sample population from a common ancestor. Specifically, ρ/θ is the ratio of rates at which recombination and mutation occur, representing a measure of how often recombination happen relative to mutation [43], while r/m is the ratio of probabilities that a given site is altered through recombination and mutation, representing a measure of how important the effect of recombination is in the diversification of the sample population relative to mutation [44].

To infer the population history in the *L. innocua-L. monocytogenes* clade, ClonalFrame GUI, which treated each gene as an independent unit in the input file, was used to calculate the ratio of the sum of the external branches (the ones that connect a leaf of the tree) to the sum of the internal branches (the ones that connect two internal nodes of the tree) [45]. The distribution of these ratios was then compared to the distribution of the external/internal branch length ratio as expected under the coalescent model [46]. If the external/internal branch length ratio is significantly smaller than expected, it means that the inferred genealogy is unexpectedly "starlike", which is consistent with an expansion of the population size.

The chi-squared test was used to test significant associations between *L. innocua* subgroup and isolate source.

Additional material

Additional file 1 Table S1 and S2: Internalin Types (ITs) based on 14 *L. monocytogenes-L. innocua*-common and 4 *L. innocua*-specific (in grey shading) internalin genes.

Authors' contributions

JC conceived and designed the study, performed and interpreted the phylogenetic and statistical analyses, participated in the collection of the sequence data and animal assays, and drafted the manuscript. QC performed the PCR amplification and participated in the collection of the sequence data. LJ participated in evaluation of the results and in revision of the manuscript. CC and FB participated in the PCR amplification, biochemical tests and animal assays. JW and FM participated in the analysis of sequence data. WF supervised the project, participated in the design of the study and data interpretation, and helped draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation (Contract No. 30870068). We thank Dr. Martin Wiedmann for kindly providing *L. monocytogenes* lineage III strains, and Drs. Dongyou Liu and John Bowman for helpful discussion on the subtyping of *Listeria* strains.

Author Details

¹Zhejiang University Institute of Preventive Veterinary Medicine, and Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Prevent Veterinary Medicine, Hangzhou, PR China and ²Zhejiang California International NanoSystems Institute, Hangzhou, PR China

Received: 1 September 2009 Accepted: 31 March 2010 Published: 31 March 2010

References

- Schmid MW, Ng EYW, Lampidis R, Emmerth M, Walcher M, Kreft J, Goebel W, Wanger M, Schleifer K: Evolutionary history of the genus *Listeria* and its virulence genes. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2005, 28:1-18.
- Vazquez-Boland JA, Kuhn M, Berche P, Chakraborty T, Dominguez-Bernal G, Goebel W, Gonzalez-Zorn B, Wehland J, Kreft J: *Listeria* pathogenesis and molecular virulence determinants. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2001, 14:584-640.
- Ward TJ, Gorski L, Borucki MK, Mandrell RE, Hutchins J, Pupedis K: Intraspecific phylogeny and lineage group identification based on the *prfA* virulence gene cluster of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Bacteriol* 2004, 186:4994-5002.
- Ragon M, Wirth T, Hollandt F, Lavenir R, Lecuit M, Monnier AL, Brisse S: A new perspective on *Listeria monocytogenes* evolution. *PLoS Pathog* 2008, 4:1-14.
- Liu D, Lawrence ML, Wiedmann M, Gorski L, Mandrell RE, Ainsworth AJ, Austin FW: *Listeria monocytogenes* subgroups IIIA, IIIB and IIIC delineate genetically distinct populations with varied virulence potential. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006, 44:4229-4233.
- 6. Swaminathan B, Gerner-Smidt P: **The epidemiology of human listeriosis.** *Microbes Infect* 2007, **9:**1236-1243.
- Goulet V, Jacquet C, Martin P, Vaillant V, Laurent E, Valk Hd: Surveillance of human listeriosis in France, 2001-2003. Euro Surveill 2006, 11:79-81.
- Chen J, Chen Q, Jiang J, Hu H, Ye J, Fang W: Serovar 4b complex predominates among Listeria monocytogenes isolates from imported aquatic products in China . *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2009, 7:31-41.
- Johnson J, Jinneman K, Stelma G, Smith BG, Lye D, Messer J, Ulaszek J, Evsen L, Gendel S, Bennett RW, Swaminathan B, Pruckler J, Steigerwalt A, Kathariou S, Yildirim S, Volokhov D, Rasooly A, Chizhikov V, Wiedmann M, Fortes E, Duvall RE, Hitchins AD: Natural atypical Listeria innocua strains with Listeria monocytogenes pathogenicity Island 1 genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004, 70:4256-4266.
- Nightingale KK, Ivy RA, Ho AJ, Fortes ED, Njaa BL, Peters RM, Wiedmann M: inlA premature stop codons are common among Listeria monocytogenes isolates from foods and yield virulence-attenuated strains that confer protection against fully virulent strains. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008, 74:6570-6583.
- Chen J, Jiang L, Chen X, Luo X, Chen Y, Yu Y, Tian G, Liu D, Fang W: Listeria monocytogenes serovar 4a is a possible evolutionary intermediate between L. monocytogenes serovars 1/2a and 4b and L. innocua. J Microbiol Biotechnol 2009, 19:238-249.
- Chen J, Jiang L, Chen Q, Zhao H, Luo X, Chen X, Fang W: *Imo0038* is involved in acid and heat stress responses and specific for *Listeri monocytogenes* lineages I and II, and *Listeri ivanovii*. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2009, 6:365-376.
- Doumith M, Cazalet C, Simoes N, Frangeul L, Jacquet C, Kunst F, Martin P, Cossart P, Glaser P, Buchrieser C: New aspects regarding evolution and

virulence of *Listeria monocytogenes* revealed by comparative genomics and DNA arrays. *Infect Immun* 2004, **72**:1072-1083.

- 14. Liu D: Identification, subtyping and virulence determination of *Listeria monocytogenes*, an important foodborne pathogen. *J Med Microbiol* 2006, **55**:645-659.
- 15. Urwin R, Maiden MCJ: Multi-locus sequence typing: a tool for global epidemiology. *Trends Microbiol* 2003, **11:**479-487.
- Meinersmann R, Phillips R, Wiedmann M, Berrang M: Multilocus sequence typing of Listeria monocytogenes by use of hypervariable genes reveals clonal and recombination histories of three lineages. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2004, **70**:2193-2203.
- Chen J, Luo X, Jiang L, Jin P, Wei W, Liu D, Fang W: Molecular characteristics and virulence potential of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from Chinese food systems. *Food Microbiol* 2009, 26:103-111.
- 18. Glaser P, Frangeul L, Buchrieser C, Rusniok C, Amend A, Baquero F, Berche P, Bloecker H, Brandt P, Chakratory T, Charbit A, Chetouani F, Couve E, Daruvar Ad, Dehoux P, Domann E, Dominguez-Bernal G, Duchaud E, Durant L, Dussurget O, Entian KD, Fsihi H, Portillo FG, Garrido P, Gautier L, Goebel W, Gomez-Lopez N, Hain T, Hauf J, Jackson D, Jones LM, Kaerst U, Kreft J, Kuhn M, Kunst F, Kurapkat G, Madueno E, Maitournam A, Vicente JM, Ng E, Nedjari H, Nordsiek G, Novella S, Pablos Bd, Perez-Diaz JC, Purcell R, Remmel B, Rose M, Schlueter T, Simoes N, Tierrez A, Vazquez-Boland JA, Voss H, Wehland J, Cossart P: Comparative genomics of *Listeria* species. *Science* 2001, 294:849-852.
- Bierne H, Sabet C, Personnic N, Cossart P: Internalins: a complex family of leucine-rich repeat-containing proteins in *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Microbes Infect* 2007, 9:1156-1166.
- 20. Milillo SR, Wiedmann M: Contributions of six lineage-specific internalinlike genes to invasion efficiency of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2008, 6:57-70.
- Roberts A, Nightingale K, Jeffers G, Fortes E, Kongo JM, Wiedmann M: Genetic and phenotypic characterization of Listeria monocytogenes lineage III. *Microbiology* 2006, 152:685-693.
- 22. Nielsen R: Statistical tests of selective neutrality in the age of genomics. *Heredity* 2001, **86**:641-647.
- Simonsen K, Churchill G, Aquadro C: Properties of statistical tests of neutrality for DNA polymorphism data. *Genetics* 1995, 141:413-429.
- 24. Bakker HC, Didelot X, Fortes ED, Nightingale KK, Wiedmann M: Lineage specific rates and microevolution in *Listeria monocytogenes*. *BMC Evol Biol* 2008, **8:**277.
- Wiedmann M, Bruce JL, Keatine C, Johnson AE, McDonough PL, Batt CA: Ribotypes and virulence gene polymorphisms suggest three distinct *Listeria monocytogenes* lineages with differences in pathogenic potential. *Infect Immun* 1997, 65:2707-2716.
- 26. Orsi RH, Sun Q, Wiedmann M: Genome-wide analyses reveal lineage specifi contributions of positive selection and recombination to the evolution of Listeria monocytogenes. *BMC Evol Biol* 2008, **8**:233.
- Salcedo C, Arreaza L, Alcala B, Fuente L, Vazquez JA: Development of a multilocus sequence typing method for analysis of *Listeria* monocytogenes clone. J Clin Microbiol 2003, 41:757-762.
- Didelot X, Falush D: Inference of bacterial microevolution using multilocus sequence data. *Genetics* 2007, 175:1251-1266.
- Fraser C, Hanage W, Spratt B: Neutral microepidemic evolution of bacterial pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:1968-1073.
- Rooney AP, Swezey JL, Friedman R, Hecht DW, Maddox CW: Analysis of core housekeeping and virulence genes reveals cryptic lineages of *Clostridium perfringens* that are associated with distinct disease presentations. *Genetics* 2006, 172:2081-2203.
- Jolley KA, Wilson DJ, Kriz P, McVean G, Maiden MC: The influence of mutation, recombination, population history, and selection on patterns of genetic diversity in *Neisseria meningitis*. *Mol Biol Evol* 2005, 22:562-569.
- Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R, Colles F, Mensa P, Wieler L, Karch H, Reeves P, Maiden M, Ochman H, Achtman M: Sex and virulence in *Escherichia coli*: an evolutionary perspective. *Mol Microbiol* 2006, 60:1136-1151.
- Toledo-Arana A, Dussurget O, Nikitas G, Sesto N, Guet-Revillet H, Balestrino D, Loh E, Gripenland J, Tiensuu T, Vaitkevicius K, Barthelemy M, Vergassola M, Nahori MA, Soubigou G, Régnault B, Coppée JY, Lecuit M, Johansson J, Cossart P: The *Listeria* transcriptional landscape from saprophytism to virulence. *Nature* 2009, 459:950-956.

- Chen Y, Ross WH, Gray MJ, Wiedmann M, Whiting RC, Scott VN: Attributing risk to *Listeria monocytogenes* subgroups: does response in relation to genetic lineages. *J Food Prot* 2006, 69:335-344.
- Sabet C, Lecuit M, Cabanes D, Cossart P, Bierne H: LPXTG protein InlJ, a newly identified internalin involved in *Listeria monocytogenes* virulence. *Infect Immun* 2008, 73:6912-6922.
- McLauchlin J: The identification of *Listeria* species. Int J Food Microbiol 1997, 38:77-81.
- Geoffroy C, Gaillard JL, Alouf JE, Berche P: Production of thiol-dependent haemolysins by *Listeria monocytogenes* and related species. *J Gen Microbiol* 1989, 135:481-487.
- 38. Liu D: *Listeria monocytogenes*: comparative interpretation of mouse virulence assay. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2004, **233**:159-164.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S: MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 2007:1596-1599.
- Rozas J, Sánchez-DelBarrio J, Messeguer X, Rozas R: DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics* 2003, 19:2496-2497.
- 41. Tajima F: Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* 1989, **123**:585-595.
- 42. Zhang W, Jayarao BM, Knabel SJ: Multi-virulence-locus sequence typing of Listeria monocytogenes. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004, 70:913-920.
- Milkman R, Bridges M: Molecular evolution of the Escherichia coli chromosome. III. Clonal Frames. *Genetics* 1990, 126:505-517.
- Guttman DS, Dykhuizen DE: Clonal divergence in Escherichia coli as a result of recombination, not mutation. Science 1994, 266:1380-1383.
- Fiala KI, Sokal RR: Factors determining the accuracy of cladogram estimation-evaluation using computer-simulation. *Evolution* 1985, 39:609-622.
- Kingman JFC: The Coalescent. Stochastic Processes and their applications 1982, 13:235-248.

doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-97

Cite this article as: Chen *et al.*, Internalin profiling and multilocus sequence typing suggest four Listeria innocua subgroups with different evolutionary distances from Listeria monocytogenes *BMC Microbiology* 2010, **10**:97

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

