

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Infection, Genetics and Evolution



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/meegid

Research paper

Association of Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and clinical/severe outcomes of COVID-19 patients

Rasoul Abdollahzadeh^{a,*,1}, Mohammad Hossein Shushizadeh^{b,1}, Mina Barazandehrokh^c, Sepideh Choopani^d, Asaad Azarnezhad^{e,*}, Sahereh Paknahad^a, Maryam Pirhoushiaran^a, S. Zahra Makani^f, Razieh Zarifian Yeganeh^a, Ahmed Al-Kateb^g, Roozbeh Heidarzadehpilehrood^h

^a Department of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^b Pasteur Medical Lab, Shush Danial, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

^c Faculty of Advanced Sciences and Technology, Pharmaceutical Sciences Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAUPS), Tehran, Iran

^d Tehran Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

^f Babol Razi Pathology and Genetic Laboratory, Babol, Iran

g Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^h Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: COVID-19 Vitamin D receptor Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) Genetic predisposition Clinical outcomes

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Growing evidence documented the critical impacts of vitamin D (VD) in the prognosis of COVID-19 patients. The functions of VD are dependent on the vitamin D receptor (VDR) in the VD/VDR signaling pathway. Therefore, we aimed to assess the association of VDR gene polymorphisms with COVID-19 outcomes.

Methods: In the present study, eight VDR single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were genotyped by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) in 500 COVID-19 patients in Iran, including 160 asymptomatic, 250 mild/moderate, and 90 severe/critical cases. The association of these polymorphisms with severity, clinical outcomes, and comorbidities were evaluated through the calculation of the Odds ratio (OR).

Results: Interestingly, significant associations were disclosed for some of the SNP-related alleles and/or genotypes in one or more genetic models with different clinical data in COVID-19 patients. Significant association of VDR-SNPs with signs, symptoms, and comorbidities was as follows: *ApaI* with shortness of breath (P < 0.001) and asthma (P = 0.034) in severe/critical patients (group III); *BsmI* with chronic renal disease (P = 0.010) in mild/ moderate patients (group II); Tru9I with vomiting (P = 0.031), shortness of breath (P = 0.04), and hypertension (P = 0.030); *FokI* with fever and hypertension (P = 0.027) in severe/critical patients (group III); CDX2 with shortness of breath (P = 0.022), hypertension (P = 0.036), and diabetes (P = 0.042) in severe/critical patients (group III); *EcoRV* with diabetes (P < 0.001 and P = 0.045 in mild/moderate patients (group II) and severe/ critical patients (group III), respectively). However, the association of VDR TaqI and *BgII* polymorphisms with clinical symptoms and comorbidities in COVID-19 patients was not significant.

Conclusion: VDR gene polymorphisms might play critical roles in the vulnerability to infection and severity of COVID-19, probably by altering the risk of comorbidities. However, these results require further validation in larger studies with different ethnicities and geographical regions.

* Corresponding authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2021.105098

Received 15 May 2021; Received in revised form 11 September 2021; Accepted 27 September 2021 Available online 2 October 2021 1567-1348/© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V.

e Liver and Digestive Research Center, Research Institute for Health Development, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran

E-mail addresses: RASOUL142857@gmail.com (R. Abdollahzadeh), asad.azarnezhad@muk.ac.ir (A. Azarnezhad), Ahmedalaakateb@gmail.com (A. Al-Kateb), roozbeh.heidarzadeh@gmail.com (R. Heidarzadehpilehrood).

¹ Rasoul Abdollahzadeh and Mohammad Hossein Shushizadeh contributed equally to this work.

1. Introduction

The ongoing global epidemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by SARS-CoV-2, certainly represents one of the most important clinical emergencies of the 21st century (Sohrabi et al., 2020; De Wit et al., 2016). COVID-19 can manifest a wide spectrum of clinical symptoms, which range from lack of symptoms, or mild symptoms of the upper respiratory tract to severe pneumonia with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and death (Richardson et al., 2020; Grasselli et al., 2020). This highly phenotypic heterogeneity seems to depend on patient age, gender, underlying health conditions, and inter-individual genetic unevenness (Xie and Chen, 2020). Vitamin D (VD) has been demonstrated to perform critical roles in a wide range of immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, antifibrotic, and antioxidant functions. Therefore, its deficiency and insufficiency contribute to many pathogenic conditions, including autoimmune disorders, respiratory infections, cancer, cardiovascular disorders, osteoporosis, sarcopenia, and diabetes (Bizzaro et al., 2017; Kunadian et al., 2014; Amrein et al., 2020; Zdrenghea et al., 2017). There is growing evidence to indicate that VD insufficiency is strongly associated with an increased risk of acquiring COVID-19 infection (Meltzer et al., 2020), as well as developing COVID-19-associated thrombosis (Weir et al., 2020). Furthermore, VD deficiency was demonstrated to be a fatal co-morbidity in COVID-19 patients (Biesalski, 2020). On the other hand, mounting investigations declare that VD supplementation, especially FDA-approved analog (generic name, paricalcitol), prevents COVID-19 infection-induced multi-organ damage (Aygun, 2020), coagulopathy (Ali, 2020), mortality (Grant et al., 2020; Ilie et al., 2020), as well as attenuates the risk and severity of COVID-19 (Hribar et al., 2020). Therefore it has been postulated that daily supplementation with moderate doses of vitamin D3 is a safe treatment for COVID-19 patients (Zemb et al., 2020).

The mechanisms by which VD insufficiency exacerbates COVID-19associated pneumonia remain poorly understood. However, most studies have focused on the pivotal roles of the VD/VD receptor (VDR) pathway in alleviating acute lung injury (ALI) and ARDS, a crucial component of the pathophysiological processes that occurred in almost 20% of the hospitalized patients (including ICU and non-ICU patients) with COVID-19 (Xu et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020). The two principal pathophysiological mechanisms involved in ARDS include the release of large amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, known as a cytokine storm, and aberrant activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) with a decrease of angiotensin-converting enzyme2 (ACE2) (Channappanavar and Perlman, 2017; Cameron et al., 2008; Imai et al., 2005). Most previous work has revealed that the VD/VDR signaling axis may provide some beneficial effects in COVID-19 infection and especially in related ARDS phenotype through several mechanisms, such as attenuating the storm of cytokines and chemokines, modulating of the RAS, regulating the activity of a wide range of the immune cell types *i.e.*, neutrophil and monocytes/macrophages, maintaining the integrity of the pulmonary epithelial barrier and stimulating epithelial repair, declining coagulation and thrombosis, and attenuating endothelial dysfunction (Xu et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2016; Kong et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a).

VDR exerts its pleiotropic effects *via* binding with its active ligand, vitamin D, 1 α ,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3 [1,25(OH)2D3], and functions as a transcription factor (TF) on ~5% of human genes through binding to more than 23,000 cell-specific genomic locations, known as vitamin D response elements (VDREs) (Tuoresmäki et al., 2014; Rhodes et al., 2020). The VDR gene is mapped at chromosome 12q13.11 which spans ~100 kb and has five promoters, eight coding exons, and six untranslated exons (K-i et al., 1997). Genetic variations in the VDR gene such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) might influence the activity, stability, and expression levels of VDR products (mRNAs and/or proteins), subsequently altering the VD-VDR signaling axis, ultimately leading to disturbance of VD immune-regulatory functions. To date, a vast amount of investigations have been accomplished regarding the

association of VDR polymorphisms with susceptibility to different diseases, including autoimmune disorders, cancers, viral and bacterial respiratory infections (Valdivielso and Fernandez, 2006; Laplana et al., 2018; Abdollahzadeh et al., 2016; Abdollahzadeh et al., 2018). Collectively, a few VDR gene variants that have been observed in relation to predisposing to various conditions with contradictory results include *ApaI* (rs7975232; intron 8; C > A), *BsmI* (rs1544410; intron 8; G > A), Tru9I (rs757343; intron 8; G > A), TaqI (rs731236; exon 9; A > G), BglI (rs739837; 3'UTR region; C > T), *FokI* (rs2228570; exon 2; C > T), CDX2 (rs11568820; promoter; G > A), and EcoRV or A-1012G/GATA (rs4516035; promoter; T > C). Hence, we aimed to evaluate the potential association of the aforementioned eight SNPs located in the 5' end (FokI, CDX2, and EcoRV) and also 3'end (ApaI, BsmI, Tru9I, TaqI, and BglI) of the VDR gene with the severity of COVID-19 in an Iranian population. The identification of genetic variants linked with variable susceptibility of individuals to COVID-19 infection and severity of adverse complications could ultimately help open new avenues, including innovative personalized treatments, stratifying individuals according to the risk, and prioritization of subjects at greater risk for protection, assisting current biomedical research efforts to combat the virus, and also guide current genetics and genomics research towards candidate gene variants that warrant further investigation in larger studies.

2. Material and methods

2.1. COVID-19 patients

Five hundred COVID-19 patients were recruited in the current study that hospitalized at several different hospitals (Iran), during the period between May 5 and September 25, 2020. The COVID-19 diagnoses were established based on a positive result of real-time reverse transcriptasepolymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay of nasal and/or pharyngeal swabs, following WHO interim guidance (Organization WH, 2020). The enrolled patients were categorized into 3 groups based on clinical manifestations: group I, 160 asymptomatic subjects, according to the absence of clinical symptoms and no need for hospitalization or ventilation; group II, 250 mild/moderate patients with a wide range of symptoms, including fever, sore throat, dry cough, headache, shortness of breath, diarrhea, myalgia, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and parageusia; and group III, 90 subjects with a severe/critical condition. Regarding respiratory impairment, severe cases require non-invasive ventilation, while critical patients, defined as respiratory failure, requiring invasive ventilation and intensive care unit (ICU) admission. The presence of comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, asthma, cardiovascular disease, chronic renal disease, and malignancy) was obtained from the participant's medical records (Table 1). The current research was conducted in agreement with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and all the patients or their representatives gave their consent to participate.

2.2. VDR gene polymorphisms genotyping by PCR-RFLP

Peripheral blood was taken from each of the participants and DNA extraction was applied by High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche Applied Science, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. The concentration and purity, as well as quality of DNA, were determined by NanoDropND-1000 Spectrometer (ThermoScientific, Boston, MA) and gel electrophoresis, respectively. The target SNPs were genotyped using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP). Primers were designed using PRIMER3 on-line software (version 4.1.0) (https://primer3.ut.ee/) and their specificity was assessed using primer blast and possible secondary structures were analyzed using GENE RUNNER software (Gene Runner version 6.5.52). The primer sequences, PCR thermal profiles, expected amplicon size, and RFLP patterns are summarized in Table 2. It should be noted that in the present study, regardless of the type of substituted nucleotide

Table 1

Baseline features of COVID-19 participants.

Variables	Status		Asymptomatic patients (group I)	Mild/moderate illness (group II)	Severe and critical illness (group III)	P- value (I and II)	P- value (I and III)	P- value (II and III)	Overall P- value
Number (%)	500 (100.	0)	160 (32.0)	250 (50.0)	90 (18.0)				
Age (mean \pm Std. Deviation)	53.30 ± 1	6.16	50.28 ± 16.76	53.10 ± 16.10	59.19 ± 13.62	0.187	< 0.001	0.006	< 0.001
Gender	Male	293 (58.60)	90 (56.3)	142 (56.8)	61 (67.8)	0.988	0.090	0.069	0.161
	Female	207 (41.40)	70 (43.7)	108 (43.2)	29 (32.2)				

Signs and symptoms

Variables	Status	Asymptomatic patients (group I)	Mild/moderate illness (group II)	Severe and critical illness (group III)	P- value (II and III)
Fever	Yes	0 (0.0)	141 (56.4)	52 (57.8)	0.821
	No	160 (100.0)	109 (43.6)	38 (42.2)	
Sore throat	Yes	0 (0.0)	82 (32.8)	26 (28.9)	0.494
	No	160 (100.0)	168 (67.2)	64 (71.1)	
Dry cough	Yes	0 (0.0)	144 (57.6)	44 (48.9)	0.154
	No	160 (100.0)	106 (42.4)	46 (51.1)	
Headache	Yes	0 (0.0)	49 (19.6)	10 (11.1)	0.068
	No	160 (100.0)	201 (80.4)	80 (88.9)	
Shortness of breath	Yes	0 (0.0)	32 (12.8)	58 (64.4)	< 0.001
	No	160 (100.0)	218 (87.2)	32 (35.6)	
Diarrhea	Yes	0 (0.0)	19 (7.6)	11 (12.2)	0.185
	No	160 (100.0)	231 (92.4)	79 (87.8)	
Myalgia	Yes	0 (0.0)	62 (24.8)	17 (18.9)	0.255
	No	160 (100.0)	188 (75.2)	73 (81.1)	
Fatigue	Yes	0 (0.0)	26 (10.4)	31 (34.4)	< 0.001
	No	160 (100.0)	224 (89.6)	59 (56.6)	
Nausea	Yes	0 (0.0)	24 (9.6)	15 (16.7)	0.071
	No	160 (100.0)	226 (90.4)	75 (83.3)	
Vomiting	Yes	0 (0.0)	18 (7.2)	11 (12.2)	0.144
	No	160 (100.0)	232 (92.8)	79 (87.8)	
Parageusia	Yes	0 (0.0)	12 (4.8)	26 (28.9)	< 0.001
	No	160 (100.0)	238 (95.2)	64 (71.1)	

Comorbidities

Variables	Status	Asymptomatic patients	Mild/moderate illness	Severe and critical	P- value (I	P- value (I	P- value (II	Overall P-
		(group I)	(group II)	illness (group III)	and II)	and III)	and III)	value
Hypertension	Yes	19 (11.9)	44 (17.6)	45 (50.0)	0.117	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	No	141 (88.1)	206 (82.4)	45 (50.0)				
	OR (95	% CI) _{III vs. I} = 7.42 (3.94–1	3.97), OR (95% CI) _{III vs. II}	= 4.68 (2.77-7.92)				
Diabetes	Yes	16 (10.0)	44 (17.6)	32 (35.6)	0.034	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	No	144 (90.0)	206 (82.4)	58 (64.4)				
	OR (95	% CI) II vs. $I = 1.92$ (1.04–3	.54), OR (95% CI) _{III vs. I} =	= 4.97 (2.53–9.73), OR ((95% CI)III vs. II =	2.58 (1.50-4.44	ł)	
Asthma	Yes	22 (13.8)	14 (5.6)	15 (16.7)	0.002	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001
	No	138 (86.2)	236 (94.4)	75 (83.3)				
	OR (95	% CI) II vs. $I = 0.37 (0.18-0)$.75), OR (95% CI) _{III vs. II} :	= 3.37 (1.56–7.31)				
Cardiovascular	Yes	18 (11.2)	24 (9.6)	11 (12.2)	0.591	0.818	0.483	0.746
disease	No	142 (88.8)	226 (90.4)	79 (87.8)				
Chronic renal	Yes	11 (6.9)	39 (15.6)	25 (27.8)	0.008	< 0.001	0.011	< 0.001
disease	No	149 (93.1)	211 (84.4)	65 (72.2)				
	OR (95	% CI) II vs. $I = 2.50 (1.24-5)$.05), OR (95% CI) _{III vs. I} =	= 5.21 (2.42–11.22), OR	(95% CI) _{III vs. II} =	= 2.08 (1.17–3.6	i9)	
Malignancy	Yes	9 (5.6)	10 (4.0)	10 (11.1)	0.445	0.116	0.014	0.046
	No	151 (94.4)	240 (96.0)	80 (88.9)				
	OR (95	% CI) _{III vs. II} = 3.00 (1.21–7	7.47)					

Bold items indicate an statistically significant levels.

(s) in SNP locations, the "capital" letter represents SNP-related major allele, and the small letter indicate minor allele. Accordingly, the major and minor alleles of *Apa*I [C and A (C > A), respectively] indicate as "A" and "a", *Bsm*I alleles indicate as "B" and "b", Tru9I alleles indicate as "U" and "u", TaqI alleles indicate as "T" and "t", *Bg*I alleles indicate as "G" and "g", *Fok*I alleles indicate as "F" and "f", CDX2 alleles indicate as "C" and "c", and *Eco*RV alleles indicate as "E" and "e". It is expected that the restriction enzymes can digest PCR products of major alleles (capital letters) in SNPs *Apa*I, *Bsm*I, BgII, CDX2, and EcoRV, and digest PCR products of minor alleles (small letters) in Tru9I, TaqI, and FokI. PCR reactions were carried out in a 25 µl reaction mixture containing 12.5 µl Taq DNA Polymerase $2 \times$ Master Mix (Amplicon, DENMARK), 1 µl of each

primer (10 pmol), 1 µl genomic DNA (50 ng/µl), and 9.5 µl d.d.H2O in a thermal cycler instrument (Applied Biosystems, GeneAmp 2720, Singapore) under the PCR parameters indicated in Table 2. The PCR products were examined by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis to ensure appropriate amplification. Subsequently, the amplified PCR products were digested with the corresponding restriction enzymes including *ApaI*, *BsmI*, *MseI* (isoschizomer of Tru9I enzyme), TaqI, *BgII*, *FokI*, *Hpy*-CH4III (used to genotyping CDX2), and *Eco*RV following the manufacturer's instructions. Digested products were then electrophoresed on 2–3% agarose gel and the genotypes of all the SNPs were determined based on digestion patterns.

Table 2

Primers sequences, PCR thermocycling profile, amplicon size, and RFLP pattern of different genotypes for the selected VDR gene polymorphisms.

SNP (RefSNPs)/other names	restriction enzymes	Primers sequences and PCR thermal profiles	Amplicon (bp)	Restriction fragments (bp)
rs7975232	ApaI	Forward: 5'CTGCCGTTGAGTGTCTGTGT3'	242	C: 191 + 51
		Reverse: 5'TCGGCTAGCTTCTGGATCAT3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s,		A: 242
		and final extension: 72 °C for 7 min		
rs1544410	BsmI	Forward: 5'GGGAGACGTAGCAAAAGGAG3'	297	G: 192 + 105
		Reverse: 5'CCATCTCTCAGGCTCCAAAG3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s,		A: 297
		and final extension: 72 °C for 7 min		
rs739837	BglI	Forward: 5'CACCCAGCCCATTCTCTCTC3'	248	C: 178+ 70
		Reverse: 5'GCAGGTGTCTCTGTCCCTGA3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 $^\circ$ C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 $^\circ$ C for 30 s, 62 $^\circ$ C for 30 s, and 72 $^\circ$ C for 30 s,		T: 248
		and final extension: 72 °C for 7 min		
rs731236	TaqI	Forward: 5'CCCATGAAGCTTAGGAGGAA3'	699	T: 699
		Reverse: 5'TCATCTTGGCATAGAGCAGGT3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 50 s,		C: 604 + 95
		and final extension: 72 °C for 10 min		
rs757343	Tru9I/MseI	Forward: 5'CTTTGGAGCCTGAGAGATGG3'	235	G: 235
		Reverse: 5'CTCCAGTCCAGGAAAGCATC3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 $^\circ$ C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 $^\circ$ C for 30 s, 59 $^\circ$ C for 30 s, and 72 $^\circ$ C for 30 s,		A: 162 + 73
		and final extension: 72 °C for 7 min		
rs2228570	FokI	Forward: 5'CTGGCACTGACTCTGGCTCT3'	247	C: 247
		Reverse: 5'TGCTTCTTCTCCCTCCCTTT3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C for 30 s, 62 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s,		T: 185 + 62
		and final extension: 72 °C for 7 min		
rs11568820/CDX2	HpyCH4III	Forward:: 5'AGGAGGGAGGAGGAAGG3'	414	G: 254 + 110 + 50
		Reverse: 5'TGAGAGACATGAGCGTGGAG3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C for 30 s, 61 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s,		A: $254 + 160$
		and final extension: 72 °C for 7 min		
rs4516035/GATA/A-	EcoRV	Forward: 5'GAGGACAGGTGAAAAAGATGGGGTTC3'	181	T: $154 + 27$
1012G		Reverse: 5'CCTCCTCTGTAAGAGGCGAATAGCGAT3'		
		Initial denaturation: 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles: 95 °C for 30 s, 68 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s,		C: 181
		and final extension: 72 °C for 7 min		

Bold items indicate an statistically significant levels.

2.3. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were implemented in the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 19 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and https://www.medcalc.org/calc/odds_ratio.php. The One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check the normal distribution of numerical variables. Student's unpaired *t*-tests and chi-square (χ^2) tests were used to compare quantitative clinical data and qualitative demographic data between paired-groups of COVID-19, including asymptomatic *vs* mild and moderate (I *vs.* II), asymptomatic *vs.* severe/ critical (I *vs.* III), and mild/moderate *vs* severe/critical groups (II *vs.* III). Odds ratios (ORs) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated by https://www.medcalc.org/calc/odds_ratio.php, as a measure to show the strength of associations with three groups of COVID-19, demographic data, and clinical outcomes. In all statistical tests, P-values <0.05 were considered to show statistically significant values.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics of patients

In our study, 500 COVID-19 patients were enrolled that were confirmed with a positive viral RT-PCR test, with an average age of 53.30 \pm 16.16 years and 58.6% of them were men. The participants consisted of 32.0% asymptomatic patients (group I; average age 50.28 \pm 16.76 years), 50.0% mild/moderate subjects (group II; average age 53.10 \pm 16.10 years), and 18.0% severe/critical cases (group III; average age 59.19 \pm 13.62 years). As presented in Table 1, no significant differences were found in sex ratio, defined as ($\frac{No.of \text{ females}}{No.of \text{ females}}$), among three groups (P = 0.161), as well as between the paired-groups I vs II, I vs III, and II vs III (P = 0.988, P = 0.090, and P = 0.069, respectively).

However, we observed significant differences in the average age of participants among three groups (P < 0.001), and also in I than III and II vs. III, but not between groups I and II (I vs. II) (P < 0.001, P = 0.006, and P = 0.187, respectively). Significant differences were observed between groups II and III in some features, including shortness of breath, fatigue, and parageusia (P values < 0.001), but not in other variables, such as fever, sore throat, dry cough, headache, diarrhea, myalgia, nausea, and vomiting (P values >0.05).

In the case of comorbidities, we observed significant differences among three groups and also paired-groups of I-II, I-III, and II-III for diabetes, chronic renal disease, and asthma. According to these conditions, we found negative associations with the severity of COVID-19 patients. Higher remarkable frequencies of diabetes were observed in group II against group I, as well as in group III against groups I + II. Similar to diabetes, our data showed higher frequencies of chronic renal disease in group II than group I, as well as in group III than group I and also group II. Additionally, significantly higher frequencies of asthma conditions were observed in group III compared to group II. Interestingly, we found a higher frequency of asthma disease in group I versus group II, and the hypertension was noticeably higher in group III compared to group I and group II, but not in group pair I-II (P = 0.117). Additionally, a higher frequency of malignancy was shown in group III than group II, but not in paired-groups I-II and I-III (P = 0.445 and P =0.116, respectively). We did not found any significant differences between/or among patients' groups for the cardiovascular disorder (P values >0.05).

3.2. VDR gene polymorphism genotype and allelic distribution in three various groups of COVID-19 patients

VDR gene polymorphisms were genotyped for all studied participants, and the resulted RFLP products were visualized by 2–3% agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1). Distribution of genotypes with the respective allele frequencies and associations of the *Fok*I, CDX2, and *Eco*RV or A-1012G/GATA, *ApaI*, *BsmI*, Tru9I, TaqI, *BgII* VDR polymorphisms were analyzed in COVID-19 patients consisting of three groups of asymptomatic (I), mild/moderate (II), severe/critical patients (III) (Tables 3 and 4).

As it is indicated in Table 3, significant differences were found between asymptomatic (I) and symptomatic (II + III) patients in the genotypic distribution of FokI SNP only in the recessive genetic model, in which wild-type allele ("F") is recessive against to mutant allele ("f"). Based on this genetic model, a significantly lower genotypic frequency of "FF vs. ff + Ff" (P = 0.037) was observed in symptomatic compared to asymptomatic cases. Furthermore, genotypic distributions of the FokI showed a remarkable discrepancy in severe/critical patients compared to asymptomatic cases in recessive and codominant. No significant discrepancies were observed between asymptomatic and mild/moderate patients, as well as between mild/moderate and severe/critical patients for none of the proposed genetic models. Similar to genotypes, remarkable differences were found for FokI allelic distribution between symptomatic and asymptomatic, as well as between severe/critical and asymptomatic COVID-19 subjects. No remarkable discrepancies were found between asymptomatic and mild/moderate groups, as well as mild/moderate and severe/critical patients.

The genotypic distributions of the second selected 5'-end's VDR gene polymorphism, CDX2, in three various groups of COVID-19 patients were indicated in Table 3. The allelic frequency of CDX2 polymorphism, which is known as "C" (Wild-type) and "c" (mutated), was different in asymptomatic, mild/moderate, and severe/critical patients. We observed significant discrepancies in CDX2 genotypic distribution between symptomatic (II + III) and asymptomatic (I) groups only in the recessive genetic model. Moreover, significant differences were showed in the distribution of CDX2 genotypes in severe/critical compared to asymptomatic cases in the dominant model, in the recessive model, and in the codominant model, however, the genotypic distribution of CDX2 was not significantly different in the overdominant model. CDX2 allelic distributions in three various types of COVID-19 patients demonstrated results similar to FokI. The CDX2 allele frequency was found to be higher in symptomatic patients (II + III) than asymptomatic patients. Moreover, the allelic frequency of CDX2 was revealed to be significantly different in group III than group I. No significant discrepancies were identified in allelic and genotypic distribution of CDX2 SNP between mild/moderate vs. asymptomatic, as well as mild/moderate vs. severe/ critical groups [P values >0.05].

*Eco*RV polymorphism was the last selected SNP located in the 5'-end of the VDR gene, which showed more complexity in allelic and geno-typic distributions (Table 3). Significantly, *Eco*RV genotypes were differentially distributed between symptomatic group (II + III) and asymptomatic group in three genetic models, including recessive,

overdominant, and codominant ("Ee vs. EE") genetic models (P < 0.05). Similarly, our results showed a significantly different EcoRV genotypic distribution in both severe/critical group and mild/moderate group against the asymptomatic group in recessive, overdominant, and codominant ("Ee vs. EE") models (P < 0.05). The EcoRV genotypic distribution showed significant deviation between severe/critical patients and mild/moderate patients in two genetic models, including overdominant and codominant (P < 0.05). Furthermore, our findings demonstrated the significant allelic distribution of the EcoRV SNP between whole paired groups, excluding in Group III vs. group II.

The first selected 3'-end VDR gene polymorphism to evaluate its association with COVID-19 patients' severity was *Apa*I. As it has been shown in Table 4, ApaI genotypic distributions were remarkably different between symptomatic group (II + III) and asymptomatic group in two genetic models, including overdominant and codominant (P < 0.05). Moreover, we observed significant differences in the distribution of ApaI genotypes in the severe/critical group than the mild/moderate group in the overdominant genetic model, as well as in the mild/moderate group compared to asymptomatic patients in recessive and overdominant genetic models. Amazingly, we did not find any significant discrepancies in ApaI genotypic distribution between severe/critical and asymptomatic groups in any of the proposed genetic models. Moreover, no significant differences were found in ApaI allelic distribution among three different types of COVID-19 (P > 0.05).

The genotypic distribution of *Bsm*I, the second studied SNP located in the 3'-end's VDR gene, revealed remarkable discrepancies only in the severe/critical group compared to the mild/moderate group for two genetic models, including recessive and overdominant models, in which wild-type allele (B) is recessive against mutant allele (b) (Table 4). As presented in Table 4, BsmI genotypic distributions were not significantly different between other COVID-19 patients' groups, including groups II & III vs. group I, group III vs. group I, group II vs. group I (P > 0.05). We also didn't found remarkable discrepancies in BsmI allelic distribution between all paired groups, except between the severe/critical group and mild/moderate group (P < 0.05).

As it is shown in Table 4, the genotypic distributions of Tru9I, the third studied SNP located in the 3' end's VDR gene, were not observed significantly different for any proposed genetic models, between three groups of COVID-19 patients, including symptomatic (II + III) and asymptomatic groups, severe/critical and asymptomatic groups, mild/ moderate and asymptomatic groups, and eventually, severe/critical and mild/moderate groups (P > 0.05). Moreover, no significant discrepancies were found in Tru9I allelic distribution between paired groups, excluding in severe/critical group compared to mild/moderate group, in which lower rates of "U" vs. "u" and higher rates of "u" vs. "U" were significantly different between groups. TaqI polymorphism was another selected SNP in the present study that is located in the 3' end's VDR gene. As is indicated in Table 4, our data didn't reveal any remarkable



Fig. 1. The PCR-RFLP patterns of eight selected VDR polymorphisms. (A) Genotypes were determined from lanes 1–12 for *ApaI*, *BsmI*, *FokI*, and TaqI polymorphisms; (B) Genotyping results for BgII, *Hpy*CH4III, Tru9I/Msel, and EcorVI polymorphisms. The RFLP product sizes for each genotype of the selected SNPs are indicated in Table 2.

Table 3

_

Allelic and genotypic comparison of selected polymorphisms in the 5'-end of VDR gene among three different groups of COVID-19 patients.

TOKI (152220370)						
Genotypes and alleles	Group I (%)	Group II (%)	Group III (%)			
FF (%)	75 (46.88)	96 (38.40)	30 (34.44)			
Ff (%)	66 (41.25)	116 (46.40)	42 (33.33)			
ff (%)	19 (11.87)	38(15.20)	18 (32.23)			
F (%)	216 (67.50)	308 (61.60)	102 (56.67)			
f (%)	104 (32.50)	192 (38.40)	78 (43.33)			
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	0.57 (0.449)	0.09 (0.761)	0.22 (0.637)			

Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values

Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I
Genetic models		Gloups II & III VS. gloup I	Gloup III vs. gloup I	Gloup III vs. gloup II	Gloup II vs. gloup I
Dominant	FF + Ff vs. ff	0.68 (0.39 - 1.19), P = 0.181	0.54 (0.27 - 1.09), P = 0.086	0.72 (0.39–1.34), $P = 0.294$	0.75 (0.42 - 1.36), P = 0.344
	ff vs. $FF + Ff$	1.47 (0.84–2.56), $P = 0.181$	1.85 (0.92 - 3.70), P = 0.086	1.39 (0.75–2.56), $P = 0.294$	1.33 (0.39–2.38), $P = 0.344$
Recessive	ff + Ff vs. FF	1.50 (1.02–2.19), P = 0.037	1.77 (1.03–3.02), $P = 0.038$	1.25 (0.75–2.07), $P = 0.394$	1.42 (0.95–2.12), $P = 0.090$
	FF vs. ff + Ff	0.67 (0.46–0.98), P = 0.037	0.57 (0.33–0.97), P = 0.038	0.80 (0.48 - 1.33), P = 0.394	$0.70 \ (0.47 - 1.05), P = 0.090$
Overdominant	Ff vs. FF + ff	1.24 (0.85–1.81), $P = 0.274$	1.25 (0.74-2.10), P = 0.407	1.01 (0.62–1.64), $P = 0.965$	1.23 (0.83–1.84), $P = 0.306$
	ff + FF vs. Ff	0.81 (0.55 - 1.18), P = 0.274	0.80 (0.85 - 1.82), P = 0.407	0.99 (0.61 - 1.61), P = 0.965	0.81 (0.54 - 1.21), P = 0.306
Codominant	ff vs. FF	1.75 (0.97 - 3.18), P = 0.064	2.37 (1.10-5.12), P = 0.028	1.52 (0.76–3.04), $P = 0.241$	1.56 (0.83–2.93), $P = 0.164$
	Ff vs. FF	1.42 (0.95-2.14), P = 0.087	1.59 (0.90-2.82), P = 0.113	1.16 (0.68–1.99), $P = 0.594$	1.37 (0.90–2.11), $P = 0.146$
Allelic	F vs. f	0.73 (0.55–0.97), P = 0.028	0.63 (0.43–0.92), P = 0.016	0.82 (0.58-1.15), P = 0.246	0.77 (0.58 - 1.04), P = 0.087
	f vs. F	1.37 (1.03–1.82), $P = 0.028$	1.59 (1.09–2.33), $P = 0.016$	1.22 (0.87–1.72), $P = 0.246$	1.30 (0.96–1.72), $P = 0.087$

CDX2 (rs11568820)						
Genotypes and alleles	Group I	Group II	Group III			
CC (%)	73 (45.63)	95 (38.00)	28 (31.11)			
Cc (%)	62 (38.75)	110 (44.00)	37 (41.11)			
cc (%)	25 (15.62)	45 (18.00)	25 (27.78)			
C (%)	208 (65.00)	300 (60.00)	93 (51.67)			
c (%)	112 (35.00)	200 (40.00)	87 (48.33)			
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	3.52 (0.061)	1.74 (0.188)	2.82 (0.093)			

Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values							
Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I		
Dominant	CC + Cc vs. cc	0.71 (0.43–1.18), P = 0.188	0.48 (0.26–0.90), P = 0.023	0.57 (0.33–1.00), P = 0.051	0.84 (0.49–1.44), P = 0.533		
	cc vs. CC + Cc	1.40 (0.85–2.33), $P = 0.188$	2.08 (1.11-3.85),P = 0.023	1.75 (1.00–3.03), P = 0.051	1.19 (0.69–2.04), $P = 0.533$		
Recessive	cc + Cc vs. CC	1.48 (1.01–2.17), P = 0.044	1.86 (1.08-3.20), P = 0.026	1.36 (0.81–2.27), $P = 0.244$	1.37 (0.92–2.05), $P = 0.126$		
	CC vs. cc + Cc	0.68 (0.46–0.99), P = 0.044	0.54 (0.31–0.93), P = 0.026	0.74 (0.44–1.24), P = 0.244	0.73 (0.49–1.09), P = 0.126		
Overdominant	Cc vs. CC + cc	1.20 (0.82 - 1.77), P = 0.343	1.10 (0.65–1.87), $P = 0.714$	0.89 (0.55 - 1.45), P = 0.635	1.24 (0.83 - 1.86), P = 0.294		
	CC + cc vs. Cc	0.83 (0.57-1.22), P = 0.343	0.91 (0.54 - 1.54), P = 0.714	1.12 (0.69-1.82), P = 0.635	0.81 (0.54 - 1.21), P = 0.294		
Codominant	cc vs. CC	1.67 (0.97–2.86), $P = 0.066$	2.63 (1.28-5.26), P = 0.008	1.89 (0.99–3.57), $P = 0.054$	1.39(0.78-2.44), P = 0.270		
	Cc vs. CC	1.41 (0.93–2.13), $P = 0.106$	1.56 ($0.86-2.83$), P = 0.146	1.14 (0.65 - 2.00), P = 0.645	1.36 (0.88-2.11), P = 0.163		
Allelic	C vs. c	0.74 (0.56–0.97), P = 0.030	0.58 (0.40–0.84), P = 0.004	0.71 (0.51 - 1.00), P = 0.053	0.81 (0.60 - 1.08), P = 0.151		
	c vs. C	1.35 (1.03 - 1.79), P = 0.030	1.72 (1.19-2.50), P = 0.004	1.41 (1.00–1.96), $P = 0.053$	1.24 (0.93 - 1.67), P = 0.151		

Genotypes and aneles	Gloup I	Gloup II	Gloup III			
EE (%)	107 (66.88)	134 (53.60)	39 (43.33)			
Ee (%)	43 (26.87)	95 (38.00)	46 (51.11)			
ee (%)	10 (6.25)	21 (8.40)	5 (2.56)			
E (%)	257 (80.31)	363 (72.60)	124 (68.89)			
e (%)	63 (19.69)	137 (27.40)	56 (31.11)			
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	3.61 (0.058)	0.50 (0.478)	3.332 (0.068)			

Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values							
Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I		
Dominant	EE + Ee vs. ee	0.81 (0.38–1.71), P = 0.574	1.13 (0.38–3.43), $P = 0.823$	1.56 (0.57–4.27), P = 0.387	0.73 (0.33–1.59), P = 0.423		
	ee vs. EE + Ee	1.24 (0.59–2.63), $P = 0.574$	0.89 (0.29 - 2.63), P = 0.823	0.64 (0.23 - 1.75), P = 0.387	1.37 (0.63–3.00), $P = 0.423$		
Recessive	ee + Ee vs. EE	1.95 (1.32–2.88), P < 0.001	2.64 (1.55–4.49), P < 0.001	1.51 (0.93–2.46), $P = 0.096$	1.75 (1.16–2.64), P = 0.008		
	EE vs. ee + Ee	0.51 (0.35–0.76), P < 0.001	0.38 (0.22–0.65), P < 0.001	0.66 (0.41–1.08), P = 0.096	0.57 (0.38–0.86), P = 0.008		
Overdominant	Ee vs. EE + ee	1.93 (1.28–2.91), P = 0.002	2.85 (1.66-4.89), P < 0.001	1.71 (1.05–2.77), P = 0.031	1.67 (1.08–2.57), P = 0.021		
	EE + ee vs. Ee	0.52 (0.34–0.78), P = 0.002	0.35 (0.21–0.60), P < 0.001	0.59 (0.36–0.95), P = 0.031	0.60 (0.39-0.93), P = 0.021		
Codominant	ee vs. EE	1.61 (0.75–3.47), P = 0.226	1.37 (0.44–4.27), P = 0.585	0.82 (0.29–2.31), P = 0.705	1.68 (0.76 - 3.71), P = 0.202		
	Ee vs. EE	2.03 (1.34–3.08), P < 0.001	2.94 (1.69–5.11), P < 0.001	1.66 (1.01–2.75), P = 0.047	1.76 (1.14–2.74), P = 0.012		
Allelic	E vs. e	0.62 (0.45–0.85), P = 0.004	0.54 (0.36–0.83), P = 0.004	0.84 (0.58 - 1.21), P = 0.344	0.65 (0.46-0.91), P = 0.013		
	e vs. E	1.61 (1.18–2.22), P = 0.004	1.85 (1.21–2.78), P = 0.004	1.19 (0.83–1.72), $P = 0.344$	1.54 (1.10–2.17), P = 0.013		

Bold items indicate an statistically significant levels.

Table 4

Allelic and genotypic comparison of 3' end's VDR polymorphisms among three different groups of COVID-19 patients.

Apal (rs/9/5232)						
Genotypes and Alleles	Group I (%)	Group II (%)	Group III (%)			
AA (%)	51 (31.88)	107 (42.80)	31 (34.44)			
Aa (%)	88 (55.00)	103 (41.20)	50 (55.56)			
aa (%)	21 (13.12)	40 (16.00)	9 (10.00)			
A (%)	190 (59.38)	317 (63.40)	112 (62.22)			
a (%)	130 (40.62)	183 (36.60)	68 (37.78)			
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	3.14 (0.076)	3.15 (0.076)	2.97 (0.085)			

Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values

Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I
		1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 1
Dominant	AA + Aa vs. aa	0.90 (0.52 - 1.56), P = 0.699	1.36 (0.59 - 3.11), P = 0.467	1.71 (0.80–3.69), $P = 0.169$	0.79 (0.45 - 1.40), P = 0.426
	aa vs. AA + Aa	1.11 (0.64–1.92), $P = 0.699$	0.74 (0.32 - 1.70), P = 0.467	0.59 (0.27 - 1.25), P = 0.169	1.27 (0.71–2.22), $P = 0.426$
Recessive	aa + Aa vs. AA	0.69 (0.46 - 1.02), P = 0.062	0.89 (0.52 - 1.54), P = 0.678	1.42 (0.86-2.35), P = 0.167	0.63 (0.41–0.95), P = 0.027
	AA vs. $aa + Aa$	1.45 (0.98–2.17), $P = 0.062$	1.12 (0.65 - 1.92), P = 0.678	0.70 (0.43–1.16), P = 0.167	1.59 (1.05–2.44), P = 0.027
Overdominant	Aa vs. AA + aa	0.67 (0.46–0.98), P = 0.037	1.02 (0.61 - 1.72), P = 0.932	1.78 (1.10–2.90), P = 0.020	0.57 (0.38–0.86), P = 0.007
	AA + aa vs. Aa	1.49 (1.02–2.17), P = 0.037	0.98 (0.58 - 1.64), P = 0.932	0.56 (0.35-0.91), P = 0.020	1.75 (1.16–2.63), P = 0.007
Codominant	aa vs. AA	0.86 (0.47 - 1.58), P = 0.631	0.71 (0.29–1.73), P = 0.446	0.78 (0.34–1.77), P = 0.549	0.91 (0.49–1.70), P = 0.762
	Aa vs. AA	0.64 (0.42–0.97), P = 0.037	0.94 (0.53 - 1.65), P = 0.815	1.68 (0.99–2.83), $P = 0.053$	0.56 (0.36–0.87), P = 0.009
Allelic	A vs. a	1.17 (0.89–1.54), $P = 0.260$	1.13 (0.78–1.64), $P = 0.532$	0.95 (0.67 - 1.35), P = 0.779	1.19 (0.89 - 1.58), P = 0.247
	a vs. A	0.86 (0.65 - 1.12), P = 0.260	0.89 (0.61 - 1.28), P = 0.532	1.05 (0.74 - 1.49), P = 0.779	0.84 (0.63–1.12), P = 0.247

BsmI (rs1544410)

_

Genotypes and alleles	Group I	Group II	Group III
BB (%)	63 (39.38)	112 (44.80)	29 (32.22)
Bb (%)	82 (51.25)	119 (47.60)	50 (55.56)
bb (%)	15 (9.37)	19 (7.60)	11 (12.22)
B (%)	208 (65.00)	343 (68.60)	108 (60.00)
b (%)	112 (35.00)	157 (31.40)	72 (40.00)
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	2.56 (0.110)	2.75 (0.097)	2.23 (0.135)

Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values

Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I
Dominant	BB + Bb vs. bb	1.07 (0.56–2.05), P = 0.841	0.74 (0.33–1.70), P = 0.480	0.59 (0.27–1.30), P = 0.189	1.26 (0.62–2.55), P = 0.526
	bb vs. BB + Bb	0.94 (0.49–1.79), P = 0.841	1.35 (0.59 - 3.00), P = 0.480	1.70 (0.77–3.70), P = 0.189	0.79 (0.39–1.61), P = 0.526
Recessive	bb + Bb vs. BB	0.92 (0.63–1.35), P = 0.657	1.37 (0.79–2.35), $P = 0.261$	1.71 (1.03–2.84), P = 0.039	1.38 (0.94–2.04), $P = 0.104$
	BB vs. $bb + Bb$	1.09 (0.74-1.59), P = 0.657	0.73 (0.43–1.27), $P = 0.261$	0.59 (0.35–0.97), P = 0.039	0.73 (0.49–1.06), $P = 0.104$
Overdominant	Bb vs. BB + bb	0.94 (0.65–1.37), P = 0.747	1.19 (0.71 - 2.00), P = 0.513	2.43 (1.52-3.89), P < 0.001	0.86 (0.58 - 1.29), P = 0.471
	BB + bb vs. Bb	1.06 (0.73–1.54), $P = 0.747$	0.84 (0.50-1.41), P = 0.513	0.41 (0.26–0.66), P < 0.001	1.16 (0.78–1.72), $P = 0.471$
Codominant	bb vs. BB	0.89 (0.45 - 1.78), P = 0.748	1.59 (0.65 - 3.89), P = 0.307	2.24 (0.96-5.22), P = 0.063	$0.71 \ (0.34 - 1.50), P = 0.372$
	Bb vs. BB	0.92 (0.62 - 1.37), P = 0.684	1.33 (0.75-2.33), P = 0.328	1.62 (0.96-2.74), P = 0.071	0.82 (0.54–1.24), $P = 0.341$
Allelic	B vs. b	1.06 (0.80-1.40), P = 0.681	0.81 (0.55 - 1.18), P = 0.266	0.69 (0.48–0.98), P = 0.037	1.18 (0.87–1.58), $P = 0.284$
	b vs. B	0.94 (0.71–1.25), P = 0.681	1.24 (0.85 - 1.82), P = 0.266	1.45 (1.02–2.08), P = 0.037	0.85 (0.63 - 1.15), P = 0.284

Tru9I (rs757343)			
Genotypes and alleles	Group I	Group II	Group III
UU (%)	119 (74.37)	199 (79.60)	63 (70.00)
Uu (%)	35 (21.88)	45 (18.00)	22 (24.44)
uu (%)	6 (3.75)	6 (2.40)	5 (5.56)
U (%)	273 (85.31)	443 (88.60)	148 (82.22)
u (%)	47 (14.69)	57 (11.40)	32 (17.78)
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	2.59 (0.108)	2.97 (0.085)	2.42 (0.120)

Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values										
Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I					
Dominant	UU + Uu vs. uu	1.17 (0.42–3.21), P = 0.767	0.66 (0.20–2.24), P = 0.507	0.42 (0.12–1.41), P = 0.159	1.58 (0.50–5.00), P = 0.433					
	uu vs. UU + Uu	0.86 (0.31-2.38), P = 0.676	1.52 (0.45-5.00), P = 0.507	2.38 (0.71 - 8.33), P = 0.159	0.63 (0.20 - 2.00), P = 0.433					
Recessive	uu + Uu vs. UU	0.86 (0.56 - 1.37), P = 0.511	1.24 (0.70-2.21), P = 0.456	1.67 (0.97–2.89), $P = 0.065$	0.74 (0.47 - 1.19), P = 0.217					
	UU vs. uu + Uu	1.16 (0.73–1.79), P = 0.511	0.81 (0.45–1.43), P = 0.456	0.60 (0.35 - 1.03), P = 0.065	1.35 (0.84 - 1.13), P = 0.217					
Overdominant	Uu vs. UU + uu	0.88 (0.53–1.39), P = 0.575	1.16 (0.63–2.13), $P = 0.642$	1.47 (0.83–2.63), $P = 0.189$	0.78 (0.48–1.29), P = 0.335					
	UU + uu vs. Uu	1.14 (0.72–1.89), P = 0.575	0.86 (0.47 - 1.59), P = 0.642	0.68 (0.38-1.21), P = 0.189	1.28 (0.78–2.08), $P = 0.335$					
Codominant	uu vs. UU	0.83 (0.30-2.31), P = 0.725	1.57 (0.46–5.36), $P = 0.468$	2.63 (0.78–8.92), $P = 0.120$	0.60 (0.19 - 1.90), P = 0.383					
	Uu vs. UU	0.87 (0.55–1.38), P = 0.554	1.19 (0.64–2.20), P = 0.584	1.54 (0.86–2.77), P = 0.144	0.77 (0.47 - 1.26), P = 0.300					
Allelic	U vs. u	1.14 (0.78–1.67), P = 0.492	0.80 (0.49–1.30), P = 0.364	0.60 (0.37–0.95), P = 0.031	1.34 (0.88-2.03), P = 0.169					
	u vs. U	0.88 (0.60 - 1.28), P = 0.492	1.25 (0.77–2.04), $P = 0.364$	1.67 (1.05–2.70), P = 0.031	0.75 (0.49 - 1.14), P = 0.169					

Taql (rs/31236)				
Genotypes and alleles	Group I	Group II	Group III	
TT (%)	87 (54.38)	121 (48.40)	51 (56.67)	
Tt (%)	56 (35.00)	96 (38.40)	29 (32.22)	
tt (%)	17 (10.62)	33 (13.20)	10 (11.11)	
T (%)	230 (71.88)	338 (67.60)	131 (72.78)	
t (%)	90 (28.13)	162 (32.40)	49 (27.22)	
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	2.89 (0.089)	3.81 (0.051)	3.14 (0.076)	

Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values

Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I
Dominant	TT + Tt vs. tt	0.82 (0.45–1.49), P = 0.517	0.95 (0.42–2.18), P = 0.905	1.22 (0.57–2.58), P = 0.610	0.78 (0.42–1.46), P = 0.438
	tt vs. TT + Tt	1.22 (0.67–2.22), $P = 0.517$	1.05 (0.46–2.38), $P = 0.905$	0.82 (0.39–1.75), P = 0.610	1.28 (0.69-2.38), P = 0.438
Recessive	tt + Tt vs. TT	1.16 (0.80–1.70), $P = 0.429$	0.91 (0.54 - 1.53), P = 0.727	0.72 (0.44–1.17), P = 0.179	1.27 (0.85–1.89), $P = 0.238$
	TT vs. tt + Tt	0.86 (0.59-1.25), P = 0.429	1.10 (0.65–1.85), $P = 0.727$	1.39 (0.86–2.27), $P = 0.179$	0.79 (0.53 - 1.18), P = 0.238
Overdominant	Tt vs. TT + tt	1.08 (0.73–1.60), $P = 0.702$	0.88 (0.51 - 1.53), P = 0.656	0.76 (0.46 - 1.27), P = 0.298	1.16 (0.77–1.75), $P = 0.487$
	TT + tt vs. Tt	0.93 (0.63–1.37), $P = 0.702$	1.14 (0.65–1.96), P = 0.656	1.32 (0.79–21.17), P = 0.298	0.86 (0.57 - 1.30), P = 0.487
Codominant	tt vs. TT	1.28 (0.69-2.37), P = 0.435	1.00 (0.43–2.36), $P = 0.994$	0.72 (0.33–1.57), $P = 0.407$	1.40 (0.73–2.67), $P = 0.312$
	Tt vs. TT	1.13 (0.75–1.70), $P = 0.559$	0.883 (0.50-1.56), P = 0.668	0.72 (0.42–1.22), $P = 0.217$	1.23 (0.80– 1.89), P = 0.340
Allelic	T vs. t	0.87 (0.65 - 1.17), P = 0.351	1.05 (0.70–1.57), $P = 0.829$	1.28 (0.89–1.87), $P = 0.199$	0.82 (0.60 - 1.11), P = 0.196
	t vs. T	1.15 (0.59–1.54), $P = 0.351$	0.95 (0.64 - 1.43), P = 0.829	0.78 (0.54 - 1.12), P = 0.199	1.22 (0.90–1.67), $P = 0.196$

BglI (rs739837)			
Genotypes and alleles	Group I	Group II	Group III
GG (%)	98 (61.25)	160 (64.00)	60 (66.67)
Gg (%)	56 (35.00)	74 (29.60)	24 (26.66)
gg (%)	6 (3.75)	16 (6.40)	6 (6.67)
G (%)	252 (78.75)	394 (78.80)	144 (80.00)
g (%)	68 (21.25)	106 (21.20)	36 (20.00)
HWE Chi-squared value* (P- value)	0.34 (0.563)	3.25 (0.071)	2.50 (0.114)

Odds ratio (95% Cl	Odds ratio (95% CI) and P- values											
Genetic models		Groups II & III vs. group I	Group III vs. group I	Group III vs. group II	Group II vs. group I							
Dominant	GG + Gg vs. gg	0.56 (0.22 - 1.42), P = 0.223	0.55 (0.17 - 1.74), P = 0.307	0.96 (0.36–2.53), P = 0.930	0.57 (0.22–1.49), P = 0.251							
	gg vs. GG + Gg	1.79 (0.70–4.55), $P = 0.223$	1.82 (0.58-5.88), P = 0.307	1.04 (0.40–2.78), $P = 0.930$	1.75 (0.67–4.55), $P = 0.251$							
Recessive	gg + Gg vs. GG	0.86 (0.59-1.27), P = 0.454	0.79 (0.46 - 1.36), P = 0.394	0.89 (0.54 - 1.48), P = 0.650	0.89 (0.59 - 1.34), P = 0.574							
	GG vs. gg + Gg	1.16 (0.79 - 1.70), P = 0.454	1.27 (0.74-2.17), P = 0.394	1.12 (0.68– 1.85), P = 0.650	1.12 (0.75–1.70), $P = 0.574$							
Overdominant	Gg vs. GG + gg	0.75 (0.51 - 1.12), P = 0.164	0.68 (0.38 - 1.19), P = 0.176	0.87 (0.50 - 1.49), P = 0.599	0.78 (0.51 - 1.19), P = 0.252							
	GG + gg vs. Gg	1.33 (0.89-1.96), P = 0.164	1.47 (0.84–2.63), $P = 0.176$	1.15 (0.67 - 2.00), P = 0.599	1.28 (0.84– 1.96), P = 0.252							
Codominant	gg vs. GG	1.63 (0.64–4.16), $P = 0.303$	1.63 (0.50–5.30), $P = 0.414$	1.00 (0.37-2.68), P = 1.000	1.63 (0.62–4.32), $P = 0.322$							
	Gg vs. GG	0.78 (0.52 - 1.17), P = 0.229	0.70 (0.39 - 1.25), P = 0.225	0.87 (0.50 - 1.50), P = 0.603	0.81 (0.53 - 1.24), P = 0.334							
Allelic	G vs. g	1.02 (0.74-1.42), P = 0.894	1.08 (0.69-1.70), P = 0.741	1.08 (0.71–1.64), $P = 0.734$	1.00 (0.71 - 1.41), P = 0.986							
	g vs. AG	0.98 (0.70 - 1.35), P = 0.894	0.93 (0.59 - 1.45), P = 0.741	0.93 (0.61 - 1.41), P = 0.734	1.00 (0.71–1.41), $P = 0.986$							

Bold items indicate an statistically significant levels.

discrepancies in genotypic and allelic distributions of TaqI and *BgI*I SNPs, for any recommended genetic models, between various groups of COVID-19 patients (P > 0.05).

3.3. Association of VDR gene polymorphisms with demographic and clinical features, and comorbidities of COVID-19 patients

We evaluate the potential association of selected VDR SNPs with various demographic and clinical features of patients, including gender, fever, sore throat, dry cough, headache, shortness of breath, diarrhea, myalgia, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and parageusia (Tables 5 and 6). Additionally, the association of VDR gene polymorphisms with multifactorial diseases that are revealed to function as critical prognostic comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, asthma, cardiovascular disease, chronic renal disease, and malignancy, were measured in three groups of COVID-19 patients (Tables 5 and 6). Our results didn't show any significant associations between studied VDR gene SNPs and the aforementioned demographic/clinical features as well as comorbidities in both asymptomatic and in the mild/moderate COVID-19 patients (P values >0.05). However, regarding the comorbidities, we found significant associations of *Eco*RV and *Bsm*I SNPs with diabetes and chronic renal disease, respectively (P < 0.001 and P = 0.010, respectively).

However, no significant associations were observed between VDR polymorphisms and other comorbidities in mild/moderate patients (P values >0.05).

As presented in Table 7, remarkable differences were detected in BsmI genotypic distribution between mild/moderate patients with a positive/negative history of chronic renal disease in three genetic models, including recessive, overdominant, and codominant (P < 0.05). Similarly, significant discrepancies were identified in both allelic and genotypic distributions of EcoRV between mild/moderate patients with a positive history of diabetes *versus* cases with no diabetes, in all suggested genetic models. Accordingly, declined ratios of "EE + Ee vs. ee", "EE + ee vs. Ee", and "E vs. e" were seen in group II cases with diabetes *versus* group II cases without diabetes.

Remarkable associations between VDR gene polymorphisms with more clinical variables and comorbidities were represented in group III of COCID-19 patients (Tables 5 and 6). Regarding the signs and symptoms, significant associations were found between *Apa*I and CDX2 SNPs with shortness of breath, and Tru9I SNP with vomiting (P < 0.001, P = 0.022, and P = 0.031, respectively). Our data showed a significant association of both ApaI genotypes and alleles with shortness of breath in all proposed genetic models except the dominant model (Table 7). Our results also revealed remarkable associations of CDX2 genotypes and

Table 5

Association of 5' end's VDR polymorphisms- related genotypes with different clinical data in COVID-19 patients.

Variables	Status	FokI				CDX2				EcoRV			
		FF	Ff	ff	Р	CC	Cc	сс	Р	EE	Ee	ee	Р
Asymptomatic patien	ts (group I)												
Gender	Male	48	30	12	0.070	41	38	11 (12.2)	0.339	61	24	5 (5.6)	0.911
	Female	(53.3) 27	(33.3) 36	(13.3) 7 (1.0)		(45.6) 32	(42.2) 24	14 (20.0)		(67.8) 46	(26.7) 19	5 (7.1)	
		(38.6)	(51.4)	, (110)		(45.7)	(34.3)	- · (_ · · · ·)		(65.7)	(27.1)	- (,)	
Hypertension	Yes	9(47.4)	9 (47.4)	1 (5.3)	0.609	9 (47.4)	8 (42.1)	2 (10.5)	0.804	12	4 (21.4)	3 (15.8)	0.178
	No	66	57 (4.4)	18		64	54	23 (16.3)		(63.2) 95	39	7 (5.0)	
		(46.8)		(12.8)		(45.4)	(38.3)			(67.4)	(27.7)		
Diabetes	Yes	6(37.5)	6 (37.5)	4 (25.0)	0.226	5 (31.2)	8 (50.0)	3 (18.8)	0.473	13	2 (12.5)	1 (6.2)	0.384
	No	69	60	15		68	54	22 (15.3)		(81.2) 94	41	9 (6.2)	
		(47.9)	(41.7)	(10.4)		(47.2)	(37.5)			(65.3)	(28.5)		
Asthma	Yes	8(36.4)	10	4 (18.2)	0.457	9 (40.9)	10	3 (13.6)	0.785	13	9 (40.9)	0 (0.0)	0.158
	No	67	(43.3) 56	15		64	(43.3) 52	22 (15.9)		94	34	10 (7.2)	
		(48.6)	(40.6)	(10.9)		(46.4)	(37.7)			(68.1)	(24.6)		
Cardiovascular	Yes	6(33.3)	10 (55.6)	2 (11.1)	0.405	8 (44.4)	7 (38.9)	3 (16.7)	0.990	9 (50.0)	7 (38.9)	2 (11.1)	0.257
discuse	No	69	56	17		65	55	22 (15.5)		98	36	8 (5.6)	
		(48.6)	(39.4)	(12.0)	0.000	(45.8)	(38.7)	1 (0 1)	0 505	(69.0)	(25.4)	0 (0 0)	0.007
disease	Yes	3 (27.3)	7 (63.6)	1 (9.1)	0.289	5 (45.5)	5 (45.5)	1 (9.1)	0.795	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)	0.207
	No	72	59	18		68	57	24 (16.1)		97	42	10 (6.7)	
Malionanau	Vee	(48.3)	(39.6) 5 (55.6)	(12.1)	0.652	(54.6)	(38.3)	2 (22 2)	0.200	(65.1)	(28.2)	0 (0 0)	0 656
mangnancy	No	3 (33.3) 72	5 (55.6) 61	1 (11.1) 18	0.055	2 (22.2) 71	4 (44.4) 58	3 (33.3) 22 (14.6)	0.208	100	2 (22.2) 41	0 (0.0) 10 (6.6)	0.050
		(47.7)	(40.4)	(11.9)		(47.0)	(38.4)			(66.2)	(27.2)		
Mild/moderate paties	nts (group II	I)											
Gender	Male	52	72	18	0.227	52	61	29 (20.4)	0.517	70	56	16	0.104
	Female	(36.6) 44	(50.7) 44	(12.7) 20		(36.6) 43	(43.0) 49	16 (14.8)		(49.3) 64	(39.4) 39	(11.3) 5 (4.6)	
	i cintare	(40.7)	(40.7)	(18.5)		(39.8)	(45.4)	10 (1 110)		(59.3)	(36.1)	0 (110)	
Fever	Yes	50	65	26	0.227	58	58	25 (17.7)	0.484	77	54	10 (7.1)	0.695
	No	(35.5) 46	(46.1) 51	(18.4) 12		(41.1) 37	(41.1) 52	20 (18.3)		(54.6) 57	(38.3) 41	11	
		(42.2)	(46.8)	(11.0)		(33.9)	(47.7)	()		(52.3)	(37.6)	(10.1)	
Sore throat	Yes	31	37	14	0.845	28	40	14 (17.1)	0.557	43	34	5 (6.1)	0.553
	No	65	(43.1) 79	(17.1) 24		(34.1) 67	(48.8) 70	31 (18.5)		(32.4) 91	(41.5) 61	16 (9.5)	
		(38.7)	(47.0)	(14.3)		(39.9)	(41.7)			(54.2)	(36.3)		
Dry cough	Yes	56 (38.9)	69 (47.9)	19 (13.2)	0.580	61 (42 4)	59 (41 0)	24 (16.7)	0.254	79 (54.9)	52 (36.1)	13 (9.0)	0.749
	No	40	47	19		34	51	21 (19.8)		55	43	8 (7.5)	
** 1 1		(37.7)	(44.3)	(17.9)	0.000	(32.1)	(48.1)	11 (00 4)	0.640	(51.9)	(40.6)	5 (10.0)	0.040
Headache	Yes	(38.8)	24 (49.0)	6 (12.2)	0.803	(34.7)	21 (42.9)	11 (22.4)	0.649	51 (42.9)	23 (46.9)	5 (10.2)	0.243
	No	77	92	32		78	89	34 (16.9)		113	72	16 (8.0)	
Charten and Characte	N	(38.3)	(45.8)	(15.9)	0.167	(38.8)	(44.3)	0 (05 0)	0.407	(56.2)	(35.8)	4 (10 5)	0 574
Shortness of breath	res	(46.9)	(31.2)	7 (21.9)	0.167	(31.2)	14 (43.8)	8 (25.0)	0.487	15 (46.9)	13 (40.6)	4 (12.5)	0.574
	No	81	106	31		85	96	37 (17.0)		119	82	17 (7.8)	
Diarrhea	Vec	(37.2)	(48.6) 10	(14.2)	0 808	(39.0) 5 (26.3)	(44.0)	3 (15.8)	0 428	(54.6) 14	(37.6)	1 (5 3)	0 1 9 9
Diattilea	163	0 (31.0)	(52.6)	5 (15.6)	0.808	5 (20.5)	(57.9)	5 (15.6)	0.420	(73.7)	4 (21.1)	1 (3.3)	0.188
	No	90	106	35		90	99	42 (18.2)		120	91	20 (8.7)	
Mualaia	Vec	(39.0) 21	(45.9) 32	(15.2)	0.622	(39.0) 27	(42.9)	11 (17 7)	0.550	(51.9)	(31.4)	3 (4 8)	0 103
wiyaigia	165	(33.9)	(51.6)	9 (14.3)	0.022	(43.5)	(38.7)	11 (17.7)	0.550	(62.9)	(32.3)	3 (4.0)	0.193
	No	75	84	29		68	86	34 (18.1)		95	75	18 (9.6)	
Fatigue	Vec	(39.9) 8 (30.8)	(44.7) 13	(15.4) 5 (19.2)	0.660	(36.2) 11	(45.7) 11	4 (15 4)	0.873	(50.5) 12	(39.9) 12	2 (7 7)	0.662
Taligue	103	0 (30.0)	(50.0)	5 (17.2)	0.000	(42.3)	(42.3)	+ (13.+)	0.075	(46.2)	(46.2)	2(7.7)	0.002
	No	88	103	33		84	99	41 (18.3)		122	83	19 (8.5)	
Nausea	Yes	(39.3) 10	(46.0) 10	(14.7) 4 (16.7)	0.887	(37.5) 7 (29.2)	(44.2) 14	3 (12.5)	0.328	(54.5) 15	(37.1) 6 (25.0)	3 (12.5)	0.349
	100	(41.7)	(41.7)	. (10.7)	0.007	, (2,2)	(58.3)	0 (12.0)	0.020	(62.5)	0 (10.0)	0 (12.0)	0.019
	No	86	116	34		88	96	42 (18.6)		119	89	18 (8.0)	
Vomiting	Yes	(38.1) 7 (38 9)	(46.9) 9 (50 5)	(15.0) 2 (11 1)	0 847	(38.9) 9 (50 0)	(42.5) 5 (27.8)	4 (22.2)	0.352	(52.7) 9 (50 0)	(39.4) 7 (38 9)	2 (11 1)	0.896
, o	No	89	107	36	0.01/	86	105	41 (17.7)	0.002	125	88	19 (8.2)	0.070
		(38.4)	(46.1)	(15.5)		(37.1)	(45.3)			(53.9)	(37.9)		

(continued on next page)

Table 5 (continued)

Variables	Status	FokI				CDX2				EcoRV			
		FF	Ff	ff	Р	CC	Cc	сс	Р	EE	Ee	ee	Р
Parageusia	Yes	5 (41.7)	6 (50.0)	1 (8.3)	0.794	5 (41.7)	4 (33.3)	3 (25.0)	0.700	8 (66.7)	3 (25.0)	1 (8.3)	0.618
	No	91	110	37		90	106	42 (17.6)		126	92	20 (8.4)	
		(38.2)	(46.2)	(15.5)		(37.8)	(44.5)	0.700		(52.9)	(38.7)		
Hypertension	Yes	13	23	8 (18.2)	0.407	12	20	12 (27.3)	0.123	26	14	4 (9.1)	0.648
	N	(29.5)	(52.3)	00		(27.3)	(45.5)	00 (1 (0)		(59.1)	(31.8)	17 (0.0)	
	NO	83	93 (4E 1)	30		83	90 (42 7)	33 (16.0)		108	81	17 (8.3)	
Diabetec	Vec	(40.3)	(45.1)	(14.0) 7 (15.0)	0.601	(40.3)	(43.7)	10 (22 7)	0.257	(52.4)	(39.3)	10	
Diabetes	165	(31.8)	(52.3)	7 (13.9)	0.001	(27.3)	(50.0)	10 (22.7)	0.237	(25.0)	(52.3)	(22.7)	0 001
	No	82	93	31		83	88	35 (17.0)		123	(32.3)	(22.7) 11 (5.3)	0.001
		(39.8)	(45.1)	(15.0)		(40.3)	(42.7)			(59.7)	(35.0)	(0.0)	
Asthma	Yes	3 (21.4)	8 (57.1)	3 (21.4)	0.395	6 (42.9)	7 (50.0)	1 (7.1)	0.553	6 (42.9)	6 (42.9)	2 (14.3)	0.600
	No	93	108	35		89	103	44 (18.6)		128	89	19 (8.1)	
		(39.4)	(45.8)	(14.8)		(37.7)	(43.6)			(54.2)	(37.7)		
Cardiovascular	Yes	12	7 (29.2)	5 (20.8)	0.204	9 (37.5)	11	4 (16.7)	0.976	12	9 (37.5)	3 (12.5)	0.742
disease		(50.0)					(45.8)			(50.0)			
	No	84	109	33		86	99	41 (18.1)		122	86	18 (8.0)	
a i i		(37.2)	(48.2)	(14.6)	0 500	(38.1)	(43.8)	(15.0)	0 700	(54.0)	(38.1)	0 (5.1)	0.000
Chronic renal	Yes	18	15 (28 E)	6 (15.4)	0.509	14	(49.7)	6 (15.4)	0.793	20	17	2 (5.1)	0.602
uisease	No	(40.2) 78	(38.5)	32		(33.9) 81	(40.7) 01	39 (18 5)		(31.3)	(43.0)	10 (0 0)	
	140	(37.0)	(47.9)	(15.2)		(38.4)	(43.1)	55 (10.5)		(54.0)	(37.0)	19 (9.0)	
Malignancy	Yes	4 (40.0)	5 (50.0)	1 (10.0)	0.895	5 (50.0)	5 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	0.308	7 (70.0)	1 (10.0)	2 (20.0)	0.114
	No	92	111	37		90	105	45 (18.8)		127	94	19 (7.9)	
		(38.3)	(46.2)	(15.4)		(37.5)	(43.8)			(52.9)	(39.2)		
o 1 1		***											
Severe and critical pa	itients (grou	ip III)	07	15	0.006	16	25	20 (22 0)	0.006	26	01	A (6.6)	0.020
Gender	Male	(21.1)	27	15	0.286	10	25 (41.0)	20 (32.8)	0.206	20 (42.6)	31 (E0.8)	4 (6.6)	0.832
	Female	(31.1)	(44.3)	(24.0)		(20.2)	(41.0)	5(172)		(42.0)	(30.8)	1 (3.4)	
	remarc	(37.9)	(51.7)	5 (10.5)		(41.4)	(41.4)	5 (17.2)		(44.8)	(51.7)	1 (3.4)	
Fever	Yes	16	22	14	0.158	18	23	11 (21.2)	0.256	23	25	4 (7.7)	0.533
		(30.8)	(42.3)	(26.9)		(34.6)	(44.2)			(44.2)	(48.1)		
Ν	No	14	20	4 (10.5)		10	14	14 (36.8)		16	21	1 (2.6)	
		(36.8)	(52.6)			(26.3)	(36.8)			(42.1)	(55.3)		
Sore throat	Yes	6 (23.1)	11	9 (34.6)	0.074	6 (23.1)	11	9 (34.6)	0.500	12	12	2 (7.7)	0.762
			(42.3)				(42.3)			(46.2)	(46.2)		
	No	24	31	9 (14.1)		22	26	16 (25.0)		27	34	3 (4.7)	
		(37.5)	(48.4)			(34.4)	(40.6)			(42.2)	(53.1)		
Dry cough	Yes	10	22	12	0.068	15	16	13 (29.5)	0.665	22	19	3 (6.8)	0.335
	No	(22.7)	(50.0)	(27.3) 6 (12.0)		(34.1)	(36.4)	10 (96 1)		(50.0)	(43.2)	2 (4 2)	
	INO	20 (43 5)	20 (43 5)	0 (13.0)		13 (28.3)	21 (45.7)	12 (20.1)		(37.0)	27 (58.7)	2 (4.3)	
Headache	Ves	2 (20 0)	6 (60 0)	2 (20.0)	0 598	3 (30.0)	(+3.7) 5 (50 0)	2 (20.0)	0 792	(57.0) 5 (50.0)	3 (30.0)	2 (20.0)	0.070
ricudaene	No	28	36	16	0.050	25	32	23 (28.7)	0.7 52	34	43	3 (3.8)	0.070
	110	(35.0)	(45.0)	(20.0)		(31.2)	(40.0)	20 (2017)		(42.5)	(53.8)	0 (0.0)	
Shortness of breath	Yes	19	27	13	0.799	22	26	11 (18.6)	0.022	29	26	4 (6.8)	0.177
		(32.2)	(45.8)	(22.0)		(37.3)	(44.1)			(49.2)	(44.1)		
	No	11	15	5 (16.1)		6 (19.4)	11	14 (45.2)		10	20	1 (3.2)	
		(35.5)	(48.4)				(35.5)			(32.3)	(64.5)		
Diarrhea	Yes	3 (27.3)	5 (45.5)	3 (27.3)	0.789	4 (36.4)	3 (27.3)	4 (36.4)	0.598	4 (36.4)	6 (54.5)	1 (9.1)	0.798
	No	27	37	15		24	34	21 (26.6)		35	40	4 (5.1)	
		(34.2)	(46.8)	(19.0)		(30.4)	(43.0)			(44.3)	(50.6)		
Myalgia	Yes	5 (29.4)	8 (47.1)	4 (23.5)	0.892	4 (23.5)	9 (52.9)	4 (23.5)	0.539	6 (35.3)	9 (52.9)	2 (11.8)	0.410
	No	25	34	14		24	28	21 (27.8)		33	37	3 (4.1)	
Fatigue	Voc	(34.2)	(46.6)	(19.2)	0 724	(32.9)	(38.4)	7 (22.6)	0.406	(45.2)	(50.7)	1 (2 2)	0 464
raugue	165	(38.7)	(41.0)	0 (19.4)	0.724	(38.7)	(38.7)	7 (22.0)	0.490	(51.6)	(45.2)	1 (3.2)	0.404
	No	18	29	12		16	25	18 (30 5)		23 (39)	32	4 (6.8)	
	110	(30.5)	(49.2)	(20.3)		(27.1)	(42.4)	10 (00.0)		20 (0).)	(54.2)	1 (0.0)	
Nausea	Yes	4 (26.7)	8 (53.3)	3 (20.0)	0.814	5 (33.3)	3 (20.0)	7 (46.7)	0.117	4 (26.7)	10	1 (6.7)	0.360
						,					(66.7)		
	No	26	34	15		23	34	18 (24.0)		35	36	4 (5.3)	
		(34.7)	(45.3)	(20.0)		(30.7)	(45.3)			(46.7)	(48.0)		
Vomiting	Yes	2 (18.2)	7 (63.6)	2 (18.2)	0.437	0 (0.0)	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	0.053	4 (36.4)	6 (54.5)	1 (9.1)	0.798
	No	28	35	16		28	31	20 (25.3)		35	40	4 (5.1)	
		(35.4)	(44.3)	(20.3)		(35.4)	(39.2)			(44.3)	(50.6)		
Parageusia	Yes	12	11	3 (11.5)	0.196	8 (30.8)	11	7 (26.9)	0.988	10	15	1 (3.8)	0.704
	N.	(46.2)	(42.3)	15		00	(42.3)	10 (00.1)		(38.5)	(57.7)	4.(6.00)	
	NO	18	31	15		20	26	18 (28.1)		29	31	4 (6.2)	
Hypertension	Vec	(21.8) 14	(48.4) 17	(23.4) 14	0.027	(31.2) 15	(40.0)	17 (27 9)	0 094	(45. <i>3)</i> 15	(48.4) 27	3 (6 7)	0.160
righertension	1 65	17 (31-1)	17 (37 8)	14 (31-1)	0.02/	(33 3) 13	(28 0)	17 (37.8)	0.030	(33 3) 13	⊿/ (60.0)	5 (0.7)	0.100
	No	(31.1)	(37.0)	4 (8 0)		(33.3)	(20.9)	8 (17.8)		(33.3)	(00.0)	2 (4 1)	
				. (0.7)				0 (17.0)				(٦·٦) 🛶	

(continued on next page)

Table 5 (continued)

Variables	Status	FokI				CDX2				EcoRV			
		FF	Ff	ff	Р	CC	Cc	сс	Р	EE	Ee	ee	Р
		16	25			13	24			24	19		
		(35.6)	(55.6)			(28.9)	(53.3)			(53.3)	(42.2)		
Diabetes	Yes	12	12	8 (25.0)	0.412	8 (25.0)	10	14 (43.8)	0.042	9 (28.1)	22	1 (3.1)	0.045
		(37.5)	(37.5)				(31.2)				(68.8)		
	No	18	30	10		20	27	11 (19.0)		30	24	4 (6.9)	
		(31.0)	(51.7)	(17.2)		(34.5)	(46.6)			(51.7)	(41.4)		
Asthma	Yes	7 ()46.7	5 (33.3)	3 (20.0)	0.439	4 (26.7)	8 (53.3)	3 (20.0)	0.560	8 (53.3)	6 (40.0)	1 (6.7)	0.641
	No	23	37	15		24	29	22 (29.3)		31	40	4 (5.3)	
		(30.7)	(49.3)	(20.0)		(32.0)	(38.7)			(41.3)	(53.3)		
Cardiovascular	Yes	4 (36.4)	3 (27.3)	4 (36.4)	0.256	6 (54.5)	4 (36.4)	1 (9.1)	0.145	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	0 (0.0)	0.566
disease	No	26	39	14		22	33	24 (30.4)		33	41	5 (6.3)	
		(32.9)	(49.4)	(17.7)		(27.8)	(41.8)			(41.8)	(51.9)		
Chronic renal	Yes	8 (32.0)	10	17	0.483	10	10	5 (20.0)	0.440	10	15	0 (0.0)	0.280
disease			(40.0)	(28.0)		(40.0)	(40.0)			(40.0)	(60.0)		
	No	22	32	11		18	27	20 (30.8)		29	31	5 (7.7)	
		(33.8)	(49.2)	(16.9)		(27.7)	(41.5)			(44.6)	(47.7)		
Malignancy	Yes	2 (20.0)	5 (50.0)	3 (30.0)	0.552	3 (30.0)	5 (50.0)	2 (20.0)	0.792	4 (40.0)	5 (50.0)	1 (10.0)	0.675
	No	28	37	15		25	32	23 (28.7)		34	42	4 (5.0)	
		(35.0)	(46.2)	(18.8)		(31.2)	(40.0)			(42.5)	(52.5)		

Bold items indicate an statistically significant levels.

alleles with shortness of breath in dominant and codominant genetic models (Table 7). It was shown that rates of "CC + Cc vs. cc" and "C vs. c" were higher in severe/critical patients with shortness of breath, while the frequency of "cc vs. CC + Cc", "cc vs. CC", and "c vs. C" were lower.

Additionally, significant associations were observed between VDR gene variants and more comorbidities in severe/critical COVID-19 patients, including ApaI and asthma (P = 0.034), BsmI and chronic renal disease (P = 0.014), FokI and hypertension (P = 0.027), CDX2 and both hypertension and diabetes (P = 0.36 and P = 0.42, respectively), EcoRV and diabetes (P = 0.045) (Tables 5 and 6). As presented in Table 7, a significant association was found between ApaI and asthma in severe/ critical COVID-19 patients only in the dominant genetic model, in which diminished proportion of the "AA + Aa vs. aa" and elevated proportion of the "aa vs. AA + Aa" were disclosed. Regarding the BsmI SNP, significant associations were found with chronic renal disease in dominant and codominant genetic models. Accordingly, a higher amount of "bb vs. BB + Bb" and "bb vs. BB" were found in severe/critical patients with chronic renal disease than those didn't have this comorbidity, while "BB + Bb vs. bb" was lower. The association of FokI genotypic distribution with hypertension was significant in severe/critical patients in dominant and codominant genetic models. The data revealed a reduced rate of "FF + Ff vs. ff', but increased rates of the "ff vs. FF + Ff' and "ff vs. FF" in group III patients with hypertension compared to negative hypertension history (Table 7). The results of the present study showed a significant CDX2 genotypic discrepancies in severe/critical patients with hypertension in dominant and overdominant genetic models, as well as cases with diabetes in dominant and codominant models compared to negative cases for these comorbidities (Table 7). Significantly, higher frequency of "cc vs. CC + Cc" and "CC + cc vs. Cc" were observed in group III COVID-19 patients with hypertension than patients with negative history of hypertension, while the frequency of "CC + Cc vs. cc" and "Cc vs. CC + cc" were considered to be reduced. Additionally, the results showed significantly increased amounts of "cc vs. CC + Cc", "cc vs. CC", and "c vs. C", and decreased frequency of "CC + Cc vs. cc" and "C vs. c" in severe/critical COVID-19 patients with diabetes compared to patients without diabetes. Finally, we observed significant association of EcoRV with diabetes in severe/critical patients in recessive, overdominant, and codominant genetic models, in which higher proportions of "ee $+ \, \text{Ee}$ vs. EE", "Ee vs. EE + ee", and "Ee vs. EE" were found in group III patients with diabetes than negative diabetes cases, while proportions of the "EE vs. ee + Ee" and "EE + ee vs. Ee" were lower (Table 7).

To improve the validity of achieved results, we evaluate the potential association of selected VDR SNPs with signs/symptoms and with comorbidities in all symptomatic COVID-19 patients by combining

whole data, regardless of the types of COVID-19 (N = 340 cases, N = 500 cases, respectively). As presented in Table 8, interesting associations of VDR SNPs with symptoms and comorbidities were found that are briefly mentioned: *Apa*I with fever and asthma (P = 0.001 and P = 0.023, respectively), *Bsm*I with chronic renal disease (P = 0.029), Tru9I with shortness of breath and hypertension (P = 0.040 and P = 0.003, respectively), *Fok*I with fever and hypertension (P = 0.042 and P = 0.045, respectively), CDX2 with headache, hypertension, and diabetes (P = 0.019, P = 0.005 and P = 0.015, respectively), and EcoRV with diabetes (P < 0.001).

As detailed in Table 9, the observed associations of genotypic and allelic VDR polymorphisms with signs, symptoms, and comorbidities of COVID-19 patients (regardless of the group of disease) strongly depend on the genetic models. For instance, significant associations of both allelic and genotypic distributions with the fever of COVID-19 patients were detected in recessive, overdominant, and codominant genetic models. Additionally, we found a remarkable association of ApaI genotypic distribution with asthma in dominant and overdominant genetic models, but not in recessive and overdominant models, as well as in allelic distribution. Similar to our finding in the earlier section, significant differences in the distribution of genotypes were revealed between COVID-19 patients with the chronic renal disease compared to negative cases only in dominant and overdominant genetic models. Accordingly, a higher frequency of "bb vs. BB + Bb" and "BB + bb vs. Bb" were found, while the frequency of "BB + Bb vs. bb" and "Bb vs. BB + bb" were decreased. Despite the no significant association of Tru9I polymorphism with clinical characteristics in various groups of COVID-19 patients, significant associations of Tru9I with shortness of breath in the combined population of COVID-19 patients were found in recessive, codominant, as well as allelic genetic models. According to Table 9, increased rates of "uu + Uu vs. UU", "Uu vs. UU", and "u vs. U", and decreased rates of "UU vs. uu + Uu" and "U vs. u" were seen in COVID-19 patients with shortness of breath versus those who didn't have this symptom. The higher frequency of FokI variant showed significant associations with fever and hypertension in dominant, codominant, and allelic models, but not in recessive and overdominant genetic models (Table 9).

Moreover, CDX2 polymorphism was disclosed to have significant associations with three clinical features, including headache, hypertension, and diabetes. In respect of headache and hypertension, significant differences were illustrated in the allelic distribution, as well as in the dominant and codominant models for genotypic distributions, but not in recessive and overdominant genetic models (Table 9). According to both headache and hypertension features, the results revealed

Variables	Status	ApaI				BsmI				Tru9I				TaqI				BglI			
		AA	Aa	aa	Р	BB	Bb	bb	Р	UU	Uu	uu	Р	TT	Tt	tt	Р	GG	Gg	gg	Р
Asymptomatic pa	tients (grou	ID I)																			
Gender	Male	29	47	14	0.543	34	44	12	0.150	70	17	3 (3.3)	0.534	46	36	8 (8.9)	0.293	52	33	5 (5.6)	0.308
		(32.2)	(52.2)	(15.6)		(37.8)	(48.9)	(13.3)		(77.8)	(18.9)			(51.1)	(40.0)			(57.8)	(36.7)		
	Female	22	41	7		29	38	3 (4.3)		49	18	3 (4.3)		41	20	9		46	23	1 (1.4)	
		(31.4)	(58.6)	(10.0)		(41.4)	(54.3)			(70.0)	(21.9)			(58.6)	(28.6)	(12.9)		(65.7)	(32.9)		
Hypertension	Yes	4	13	2	0.447	11	7	1 (5.3)	0.208	16	3	0 (0.0)	0.483	11	6	2	0.941	13	5	1 (5.3)	0.678
		(21.1)	(68.4)	(10.5)		(57.9)	(36.8)			(84.2)	(15.8)			(57.9)	(31.6)	(10.5)		(68.4)	(26.3)		
	No	47	75	19		52	75	14		103	32	6 (4.3)		76	50	15		85	51	5 (3.5)	
		(33.3)	(53.2)	(13.5)		(36.9)	(53.2)	(9.9)		(73.0)	(22.7)			(53.9)	(35.5)	(10.6)		(60.3)	(36.2)		
Diabetes	Yes	5	8	3	0.774	8	7	1 (6.2)	0.641	13	3	0 (0.0)	0.651	6	9	1 (6.2)	0.170	8	8	0 (0.0)	0.337
		(31.2)	(50.0)	(18.8)		(50.0)	(43.8)			(81.2)	(18.8)			(37.5)	(56.2)			(50.0)	(50.0)		
	No	46	80	18		55	75	14		106	32	6 (4.2)		81	47	16		90	48	6 (4.2)	
		(31.9)	(55.6)	(12.5)		(38.2)	(52.1)	(9.7)		(73.6)	(22.2)			(56.2)	(32.6)	(11.1)		(62.5)	(33.3)		
Asthma	Yes	8	10	4	0.583	11	9	2 (9.1)	0.531	16	5	1 (4.5)	0.970	13	8	1 (4.5)	0.605	13	8	1 (4.5)	0.963
		(36.4)	(45.5)	(18.2)		(50.0)	(40.9)			(72.7)	(22.7)	- (2, 6)		(59.1)	(36.4)			(59.1)	(36.4)	- (2, 6)	
	No	43	78	17		52	73	13		103	30	5 (3.6)		74	48	16		85	48	5 (3.6)	
		(31.2)	(56.5)	(12.3)	0.040	(37.7)	(52.9)	(9.4)	0 505	(74.6)	(21.7)	0 (0 0)	0 5 40	(3.6)	(34.8)	(11.6)	0 700	(61.6)	(34.8)	1 (5 ()	0.467
Cardiovascular	Yes	5	10	3	0.860	9	7	2	0.535	15	3	0 (0.0)	0.540	11	5	2	0.788	13	4	1 (5.6)	0.467
disease	N	(27.8)	(55.6)	(16./)		(50.0)	(38.9)	(11.1)		(83.3)	(16.7)	((10)		(61.1)	(27.8)	(11.1)		(72.2)	(22.2)	F (0 F)	
	NO	40	/8	18		54	/5	13		104	3Z (00 E)	6 (4.2)		/0 (50.5)	51	15		85	52	5 (3.5)	
Chronic ronal	Voc	(32.4)	(54.9)	(12.7)	0.805	(38.0)	(52.8)	(9.2)	0 527	(/3.2)	(22.5)	0 (0 0)	0 720	(53.5)	(35.9)	(10.6)	0.094	(59.9)	(36.6)	0 (0 0)	0 6 2 9
disease	165	3 (17.2)	(62.6)	1 (9.1)	0.825	5 (4E E)	(E4 E)	0 (0.0)	0.557	0 (707)	3 (97.2)	0 (0.0)	0.739	(E4 E)	4 (26 4)	1 (9.1)	0.964	(E4 E)	(4E E)	0 (0.0)	0.038
uisease	No	(27.3)	(03.0)	20		(45.5)	(34.3)	15		(/2./)	(27.3)	6 (4 0)		(34.3)	(30.4)	16		(34.3)	(43.3) E1	6 (4 0)	
	NO	(22.2)	(54.4)	(13.4)		(38.0)	(51.0)	(10.1)		(74.5)	(21.5)	0 (4.0)		(54.5)	(34.0)	(10.7)		92 (61 7)	(34.2)	0 (4.0)	
Malignancy	Vec	(32.2)	3	2	0 380	6	3	(10.1)	0 103	5	4	0 (0 0)	0.220	4	4	1	0.811	5	4	0 (0 0)	0 722
wanghancy	103		(33 3)	(2.22)	0.507	(66.7)	(33.3)	0 (0.0)	0.195	(55.6)		0 (0.0)	0.220			(11.1)	0.011	(55.6)		0 (0.0)	0.7 22
	No	47	85	19		57	79	15		114	31	6(40)		83	52	16		93	52	6(4.0)	
	110	(31.1)	(56.3)	(12.6)		(37.7)	(52.3)	(9.9)		(75.5)	(20.5)	0(1.0)		(55.0)	(34.4)	(10.6)		(61.6)	(34.4)	0(1.0)	
1		**)																			
Mild/moderate p	atients (gro	up II)	50	00	0.000	60	(0	11	0.000	110	06	4 (0,0)	0.070	71	40	00	0.040	06	00	7 (4 0)	0.010
Gender	Male	60	59 (41 E)	23	0.980	(44.4)	08 (47 0)	11	0.986	(70.0)	20	4 (2.8)	0.870	/1	49		0.249	96	39 (07 E)	7 (4.9)	0.319
	Fomolo	(42.3)	(41.5)	(10.2)		(44.4)	(47.9)	(7.7)		(78.9)	(18.3)	2 (1 0)		(50.0)	(34.5)	(15.5)		(07.0)	(27.5)	0 (0 2)	
	reillale	47 (43 5)	44 (40.7)	(15.7)		49 (45 4)	(47.2)	0 (7.4)		07 (80.6)	(17.6)	2 (1.9)		(46.3)	47 (43 5)	(10.2)		(50.3)	33 (32-4)	9 (0.3)	
Fever	Vec	(43.3) 50	(40.7)	22	0.885	(43.4)	(47.2)	11	0 717	108	20	4 (2.8)	0 405	61	(43.3)	18	0 109	82	(32.4) 50	9 (6 4)	0.065
rever	103	(41.8)	(42.6)	(15.6)	0.005	(42.6)	(49.6)	(7.8)	0.717	(76.6)	(20.6)	4 (2.0)	0.405	(43.3)	(44.0)	(12.8)	0.109	(58.2)	(35.5)	5 (0.4)	0.005
	No	48	43	18		52	49	8 (7 3)		91	16	2 (1.8)		60	34	15		78	24	7 (6 4)	
	110	(44.0)	(39.4)	(16.5)		(47.7)	(45.0)	0 (7.0)		(83.5)	(14.7)	2 (110)		(55.0)	(31.2)	(13.8)		(71.6)	(22.0)	, (0.1)	
Sore throat	Yes	39	31	12	0.568	34	42	6 (7.3)	0.722	71	9	2(2.4)	0.129	37	32	13	0.627	52	24	6 (7.3)	0.918
		(47.6)	(37.8)	(14.6)		(41.5)	(51.2)			(86.6)	(11.0)			(41.5)	(39.0)	(15.9)		(63.4)	(29.3)		
	No	68	72	28		78	77	13		128	36	4 (2.4)		84	64	20		108	50	10	
		(40.5)	(42.9)	(16.7)		(46.4)	(45.8)	(7.7)		(76.2)	(21.4)			(50.0)	(38.1)	(11.9)		(64.3)	(29.8)	(6.0)	
Dry cough	Yes	62	59	23	0.995	64	70	10	0.872	111	30	3 (2.1)	0.382	72	50	22	0.288	92	43	9 (6.2)	0.990
		(43.1)	(41.0)	(16.0)		(44.4)	(48.6)	(6.9)		(77.1)	(208)			(50.0)	(34.7)	(15.3)		(63.9)	(29.9)		
	No	45	44	17		48	49	9 (8.5)		88	15	3 (2.8)		49	46	11		68	31	7 (6.6)	
		(42.5)	(41.5)	(16.0)		(45.3)	(46.2)			(83.0)	(14.2)			(46.2)	(43.4)	(10.4)		(64.2)	(29.2)		
Headache	Yes	23	17	9	0.582	21	24	4 (8.2)	0.951	40	7	2 (4.1)	0.544	26	18	5	0.694	34	13	2 (4.1)	0.612
		(46.9)	(34.7)	(18.4)		(42.9)	(49.0)			(81.6)	(14.3)			(53.1)	(36.7)	(10.2)		(69.4)	(26.5)		
	No	84	86	31		91	95	15		159	38	4 (2.0)		95	78	28		126	61	14	
		(41.8)	(42.8)	(15.4)		(45.3)	(47.3)	(7.5)		(79.1)	(18.9)			(47.3)	(38.8)	(13.9)		(62.7)	(30.3)	(7.0)	
Shortness of	Yes	14	13	5	0.993	17	12	3 (9.4)	0.471	27	5	0 (0.0)	0.577	15	12	6	0.910	23	7	2 (6.2)	0.578
breath		(43.8)	(40.6)	(15.6)		(53.1)	(37.5)			(84.4)	(15.6)			(46.9)	(37.5)	(15.6)		(71.9)	(21.9)		

Table 6

(continued on next page)

R.	
Abdollahza	
deh	
et	
al.	

Variables	Status	ApaI				BsmI				Tru9I				TaqI				BglI			
		AA	Aa	aa	Р	BB	Bb	bb	Р	UU	Uu	uu	Р	TT	Tt	tt	Р	GG	Gg	gg	Р
	No	93	90	35		95	107	16		172	40	6 (2.8)		106	84	28		137	67	14	
		(42.7)	(41.3)	(16.1)		(43.6)	(49.1)	(7.3)		(78.9)	(18.3)			(48.6)	(38.5)	(12.8)		(62.8)	(30.7)	(6.4)	
Diarrhea	Yes	9	7	3	0.907	10	8	1 (5.3)	0.756	15	4	0 (0.0)	0.740	9	6	4	0.545	15	4	0 (0.0)	0.281
		(47.4)	(36.8)	(15.8)		(52.6)	(42.1)			(78.9)	(21.1)			(47.4)	(31.6)	(21.1)		(78.9)	(21.1)		
	No	98	96	37		102	111	18		184	41	6 (2.6)		112	90	29		145	70	16	
		(42.4)	(41.6)	(16.0)		(44.2)	(48.1)	(7.8)		(79.7)	(17.7)			(48.5)	(39.0)	(12.6)		(62.8)	(30.3)	(6.9)	
Myalgia	Yes	26	30	6 (9.7)	0.211	26	31	5 (8.1)	0.872	48	14	0 (0.0)	0.224	29	27	6 (9.7)	0.499	45	12	5 (8.1)	0.121
		(41.9)	(48.4)			(41.9)	(50.0)			(77.4)	(22.6)			(46.8)	(43.5)			72.6()	(19.4)		
	No	81	73	34		86	88	14		151	31	6 (3.2)		92	69	27		115	62	11	
		(43.1)	(38.8)	(18.1)	0.845	(45.7)	(46.8)	(7.4)	0.005	(80.3)	(16.5)	1 (0 0)	0.000	(48.9)	(36.7)	(14.4)	0 5 4 0	(61.2)	(33.0)	(5.9)	0.007
Fatigue	Yes	11	12	3	0.765	11	14	1 (3.8)	0.665	19	6	1 (3.8)	0.662	10	12	4	0.562	17	7	2 (7.7)	0.926
	Ne	(42.3)	(46.2)	(11.5)		(42.3)	(53.8)	10		(/3.1)	(23.1)	F (2, 2)		(38.5)	(46.2)	(15.4)		(65.4)	(26.9)	14	
	INO	90	91	37 (16 5)		(45.1)	(46.0)	(8.0)		(80.4)	(17.4)	5 (2.2)		(40.6)	04 (37 5)	(12.0)		(63.8)	(20.0)	(6.2)	
Naucea	Vec	(42.9) Q	(40.0)	(10.5)	0 582	0	14	(0.0)	0 504	17	(17.4)	1 (4 2)	0.516	(49.0)	(37.3)	(12.9) 1 (4.2)	0.080	(03.8)	(29.9)	(0.2)	0.820
Ivadoca	103	(33.3)	(45.8)	(20.8)	0.302	(37.5)	(58.3)	1 (4.2)	0.004	(70.8)	(25.0)	1 (4.2)	0.510	(37.5)	(58.3)	1 (4.2)	0.000	(66.7)	(25.0)	2 (0.5)	0.02)
	No	99	92	35		103	105	18		182	39	5(2.4)		112	82	32		144	68	14	
		(43.8)	(40.7)	(15.5)		(45.6)	(46.5)	(8.0)		(80.5)	(17.3)	0 (211)		(49.6)	(36.3)	(14.2)		(63.7)	(30.1)	(6.2)	
Vomiting	Yes	8	6	4	0.679	6	11	1 (5.6)	0.492	16	2	0 (0.0)	0.552	9	9	0 (0.0)	0.197	13	4	1 (5.6)	0.747
		(44.4)	(33.3)	(22.2)		(33.3)	(61.1)			(88.9)	(11.1)			(50.0)	(50.0)			(72.2)	(22.2)		
	No	99	7	36		106	108	18		183	43	6 (2.6)		112	87	33		147	70	15	
		(42.7)	(41.8)	(15.5)		(45.7)	(46.6)	(7.8)		(78.9)	(18.5)			(48.3)	(37.5)	(14.2)		(63.4)	(30.2)	(6.5)	
Parageusia	Yes	7	3	2	0.468	5	6	1 (8.3)	0.974	9	3	0 (0.0)	0.712	8	4	0 (0.0)	0.270	7	5	0 (0.0)	0.475
		(58.3)	(25.0)	(16.7)		(41.7)	(50.0)			(75.0)	(25.0)			(66.7)	(33.3)			(58.3)	(41.7)		
	No	100	100	38		107	113	18		190	42	6 (2.5)		113	92	33		153	69	16	
		(42.0)	(42.0)	(16.0)		(45.0)	(47.5)	(7.6)		(79.8)	(17.6)			(47.5)	(38.7)	(13.9)		(64.3)	(29.0)	(6.7)	
Hypertension	Yes	16	19	9	0.541	17	24	3 (6.8)	0.595	31	12	1 (2.3)	0.211	19	20	5	0.569	28	13	3 (6.8)	0.992
		(36.4)	(43.2)	(20.5)		(38.6)	(54.5)			(70.5)	(27.3)			(43.2)	(45.5)	(11.4)		(63.6)	(29.5)		
	No	91	84	31		95	95	16		168	33	5 (2.4)		102	76	28		132	61	16	
		(44.2)	(40.8)	(15.0)		(46.1)	(46.1)	(7.8)		(81.6)	(16.0)			(49.5)	(36.9)	(13.6)		(64.1)	(29.6)	(6.3)	
Diabetes	Yes	18	21	5	0.518	22	18	4 (9.1)	0.612	35	8	1 (2.3)	0.998	17	20	7	0.360	29	12	3 (6.8)	0.931
		(40.9)	(47.7)	(11.4)		(50.0)	(40.9)			(79.5)	(18.2)	F (0, 1)		(38.6)	(45.5)	(15.9)		(65.9)	(27.3)	10	
	NO	89	82	35		90	101	15		164	37	5 (2.4)		104	76	26		131	62	13	
Asthmas	Vee	(43.2)	(39.8)	(17.0)	0.006	(43.7)	(49.0)	(7.3)	0 520	(/9.6)	(18.0)	1 (71)	0 4 4 7	(50.5)	(36.9)	(12.6)	0 514	(63.6)	(30.1)	(6.3)	0.410
Astilina	res	0 (42.0)	Э (25-7)	3 (21-4)	0.820	/ (E0.0)	/ (E0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.539	10 (71.4)	3 (91-4)	1 (7.1)	0.447	0 (25 7)	0 (42.0)	3 (21-4)	0.514	9 (64.2)	3 (21-4)	Z (14.2)	0.412
	No	(42.9)	(33.7)	(21.4)		105	(30.0)	10		180	(21.4)	5(21)		(35.7)	(42.9) 90	(21.4)		(04.3)	(21.4)	(14.5)	
	140	(42.8)	(41 5)	(15.7)		(44 5)	(47.5)	(81)		(80.1)	(17.8)	5 (2.1)		(49.2)	(38.1)	(127)		(64.0)	(30.1)	(5.9)	
Cardiovascular	Yes	9	13	2(8.3)	0.327	13	9	2 (8.3)	0.575	19	3	2 (8.3)	0.114	9	11	4	0.528	14	9	1(4.2)	0.638
disease		(37.5)	(54.2)	= (0.0)		(54.2)	(37.5)	_ (0.0)		(79.2)	(12.5)	= (0.0)		(37.5)	(45.8)	(16.7)		(58.3)	(37.5)	- ()	
	No	98	90	38		99	110	17		180	42	4 (1.8)		112	85	29		146	65	15	
		(43.4)	(39.8)	(16.8)		(43.8)	(48.7)	(7.5)		(79.6)	(18.6)			(49.6)	(37.6)	(12.8)		(64.6)	(28.8)	(6.6)	
Chronic renal	Yes	17	15	7	0.905	24	10	5	0.010	33	6	0 (0.0)	0.489	18	19	2 (5.1)	0.164	27	9	3 (7.7)	0.612
disease		(43.6)	(38.5)	(17.9)		(61.5)	(25.6)	(12.8)		(84.6)	(15.4)			(46.2)	(48.7)			(69.2)	(23.1)		
	No	90	88	33		88	109	14		166	39	6 (2.8)		103	77	31		133	65	13	
		(42.7)	(41.7)	(15.6)		(41.7)	(51.7)	(6.6)		(78.7)	(18.5)			(48.8)	(36.5)	(14.7)		(63.0)	(30.8)	(6.2)	
Malignancy	Yes	3	4	3	0.432	6	3	1	0.524	8	2	0 (0.0)	0.872	3	5	2	0.482	7	1	2	0.110
		(30.0)	(40.0)	(30.0)		(60.0)	(30.0)	(10.0)		(80.0)	(20.0)			(30.0)	(50.0)	(20.0)		(70.0)	(10.0)	(20.0)	
	No	104	99	37		106	116	18		191	43	6 (2.5)		118	91	31		153	73	14	
		(43.3)	(41.2)	(15.4)		(44.2)	(48.3)	(7.5)		(79.6)	(17.9)			(49.2)	(37.9)	(12.9)		(63.7)	(30.4)	(5.8)	
Severe and critica	l patients (group III)																			
Gender	Male	17	37	7	0.159	21	31	9	0.360	42	16	3 (4.9)	0.810	34	20	7	0.966	41	16	4 (6.6)	0.987
		(27.9)	(60.7)	(11.5)		(34.4)	(50.8)	(14.8)		(68.9)	(26.2)			(55.7)	(32.8)	(11.5)		(67.2)	(26.2)		
																			(con	tinued on ne	ext page)

R.	
Abdollahzade	
h	
et	
al.	

Variables	Status	ApaI				BsmI				Tru9I				TaqI				BglI			
		AA	Aa	aa	Р	BB	Bb	bb	Р	UU	Uu	uu	Р	TT	Tt	tt	Р	GG	Gg	gg	Р
	Female	14	13	2 (6.9)		8	19	2 (6.9)		21	6	2 (6.9)		17	9	3		19	8	2 (6.9)	
		(48.3)	(44.8)			(27.6)	(65.5)			(72.4)	(20.7)			(58.6)	(31.0)	(10.3)		(65.5)	(27.6)		
Fever	Yes	14	32	6	0.211	19	28	5 (9.6)	0.482	35	15	2 (3.8)	0.417	25	21	6	0.124	36	14	2 (3.8)	0.451
		(26.9)	(61.5)	(11.5)		(36.5)	(53.8)			(67.3)	(28.8)			(48.1)	(40.4)	(11.5)		(69.2)	(26.9)		
	No	17	18	3 (7.9)		10	22	6		28	7	3 (7.9)		26	8	4		24	10	4	
		(44.7)	(47.4)			(26.3)	(57.9)	(15.8)		(73.7)	(18.4)			(68.4)	(21.1)	(10.5)		(63.2)	(26.3)	(10.5)	
Sore throat	Yes	11	11	4	0.238	6	17	3	0.450	17	8	1 (3.8)	0.637	13	9	4	0.621	18	7	1 (3.8)	0.789
		(42.3)	(42.3)	(15.4)		(23.1)	(65.4)	(11.5)		(65.4)	(30.8)			(50.0)	(34.6)	(15.4)		(69.2)	(26.9)		
	No	20	39	5 (7.8)		23	33	8		46	14	4 (6.2)		38	20	6 (9.4)		42	17	5 (7.8)	
		(31.2)	(60.9)			(35.9)	(51.6)	(12.5)		(71.9)	(21.9)			(59.4)	(31.2)			(65.6)	(26.6)		
Dry cough	Yes	13	26	5	0.621	16	24	4 (9.1)	0.559	26	14	4 (9.1)	0.070	23	15	6	0.644	27	14	3 (6.8)	0.543
		(29.5)	(59.1)	(11.4)		(36.4)	(54.5)	_		(59.1)	(31.8)			(52.3)	(34.1)	(13.6)		(61.4)	(31.8)		
	No	18	24	4 (8.7)		13	28	7		37	8	1 (2.2)		28	14	4 (8.7)		33	10	3 (6.5)	
TT d h	V	(39.1)	(52.2)		0.000	(28.3)	(56.5)	(15.2)	0.440	(80.4)	(17.4)	1	0 704	(60.9)	(30.4)	0	0.000	(71.7)	(21.7)	1	0.057
Headache	res	1 (10.0)	8	1	0.208	4	0 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	0.443	0 (60.0)	3	1	0.704	5	3	2	0.636	6 (60 0)	3	1	0.857
	No	20	(00.0)	(10.0)		(40.0)	(00.0)	11		(00.0)	(30.0)	(10.0)		(30.0)	(30.0)	(20.0) Q		(00.0)	(30.0)	(10.0) 5 (6.2)	
	INU	(37.5)	⁴ ∠ (52.5)	(10.0)		(31.2)	(55.0)	(13.8)		(71.2)	(23.8)	4 (3.0)		(57.5)	(32.5)	(10.0)		(67.5)	(26.2)	5 (0.2)	
Shortness of	Vec	(37.3)	41	7	~	23	30	6	0 157	30	(23.0)	4 (6.8)	0.513	30	22.0)	7	0.200	41	15	3 (5 1)	0.623
breath	103	(18.6)	(69.5)	, (11.9)	0.001	(39.0)	(50.8)	(10.2)	0.137	(66.1)	(27.1)	4 (0.0)	0.515	(50.8)	(37.3)	(11.9)	0.290	(69.5)	(25.4)	5 (5.1)	0.025
breath	No	20	9	2(6.5)	0.001	6	20	5		24	6	1 (3.2)		21	7	(11.7) 3 (9.7)		19	9	3 (9.7)	
	110	(64.5)	(29.0)	2 (0.0)		(19.4)	(64.5)	(16.1)		(77.4)	(19.4)	1 (012)		(67.7)	, (22.6)	0 (517)		(61.3)	(29.0)	0 (517)	
Diarrhea	Yes	5	6	0 (0.0)	0.428	3	7	1 (9.1)	0.842	6	4	1 (9.1)	0.487	5	4	2	0.635	8	3	0 (0.0)	0.636
		(45.5)	(54.5)			(27.3)	(63.6)			(54.5)	(36.4)			(45.5)	(36.4)	(18.2)		(72.7)	(27.3)		
	No	26	44	9		26	43	10		57	18	4 (5.1)		46	25	8		52	21	6 (7.6)	
		(32.9)	(55.7)	(11.4)		(32.9)	(54.4)	(12.2)		(72.2)	(22.8)			(58.2)	(31.6)	(10.1)		(65.8)	(26.6)		
Myalgia	Yes	8	8	1 (5.8)	0.450	4	8	5	0.054	15	2	0 (0.0)	0.170	14	2	1 (5.9)	0.058	11	5	1 (5.9)	0.956
		(47.1)	(47.1)			(23.5)	(47.1)	(29.4)		(88.2)	(11.8)			(82.4)	(11.8)			(64.7)	(29.4)		
	No	23	42	8		25	42	6 (8.2)		48	20	5 (6.8)		37	27	9		49	19	5 (6.8)	
		(31.5)	(57.5)	(11.0)		(34.2)	(57.5)			(65.8)	(27.4)			(50.7)	(37.0)	(12.3)		(67.1)	(26.0)		
Fatigue	Yes	9	19	3 (9.7)	0.709	7	19	5	0.327	20	9	2 (6.5)	0.712	15	13	3 (9.7)	0.360	21	8	2 (6.5)	0.988
		(29.0)	(61.3)			(22.6)	(61.3)	(16.1)		(64.5)	(29.0)			(48.4)	(41.9)			(67.7)	(25.8)		
	No	22	31	6		22	31	6		43	13	3 (5.1)		36	16	7		39	16	4 (6.8)	
		(37.3)	(52.5)	(10.2)		(37.3)	(52.5)	(10.2)		(72.9)	(22.0)			(61.0)	(27.1)	(11.9)		(66.1)	(27.1)		
Nausea	Yes	9	6	0 (0.0)	0.050	5	7	3	0.562	13	1	1 (6.7)	0.214	8	5	2	0.941	10	5	0 (0.0)	0.472
		(60.0)	(40.0)			(33.3)	(46.7)	(20.0)		(86.7)	(6.7)			(53.3)	(33.3)	(13.3)		(66.7)	(33.3)		
	No	22	44	9		24	43	8		50	21	4 (5.3)		43	24	8		50	19	6 (8.0)	
Translation of	V	(29.3)	(58.7)	(12.0)	0.007	(32.0)	(57.3)	(10.7)	0.410	(66.7)	(28.0)	1 (0 1)	0.001	(57.3)	(32.0)	(10.7)	0.040	(66.7)	(25.3)	0 (0 0)	0 500
vomiting	res	4	0	1 (9.1)	0.987	4	((2) ()	0 (0.0)	0.418	4	0	1 (9.1)	0.031	4	5 (45 5)	2	0.340	(62.6)	4	0 (0.0)	0.523
	No	(304)	(54.5)	0		(30.4)	(03.0)	11		(30.4)	(54.5)	4 (E 1)		(30.4)	(45.5)	(18.2)		(03.0)	(30.4)	6 (7 6)	
	INO	(24.2)	44 (EE 7)	8 (10.1)		20 (21.6)	43 (E4 4)	(12.0)		39 (74 7)	10	4 (5.1)		4/ (E0 E)	24 (20.4)	0 (10.1)		55 (67 1)	20	0(7.0)	
Dorogensio	Voc	(34.2)	16	2	0.630	(31.0)	(34.4)	2	0.450	10	(20.3)	0 (0 0)	0 337	(39.3)	(30.4)	(10.1)	0.401	10	(23.3)	2(77)	0 504
Tarageusia	103	, (26.9)	(61.5)	(11.5)	0.050	(23.1)	(65.4)	(11.5)	0.430	(73.1)	, (26.9)	0 (0.0)	0.557	(50.0)	(42.3)	2(7.7)	0.401	(73.1)	(19.2)	2(7.7)	0.574
	No	24	34	6 (9.4)		23	33	8		44	15	5(7.8)		38	18	8		41	19	4 (6.2)	
	110	(37.5)	(53.1)	0 (511)		(35.9)	(51.6)	(12.5)		(68.8)	(23.4)	0 (710)		(59.4)	(28.1)	(12.5)		(64.1)	(29.7)	. (0.2)	
Hypertension	Yes	18	24	3 (6.7)	0.389	15	24	6	0.902	30.	13	2(4.4)	0.586	25	15	5	0.973	29	14	2 (4.4)	0.497
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(40.0)	(53.3)	e (e., j		(33.3)	(53.3)	(13.3)		(66.7)	(28.9)	= ()		(55.6)	(33.3)	(11.1)		(64.4)	(31.1)	= (,	
	No	13	26	6		14	26	5		33	9	3 (6.7)		26	14	5		31	10	4 (8.9)	
		(28.9)	(57.8)	(13.3)		(31.1)	(57.8)	(11.1)		(73.3)	(20.0)	. ,		(57.8)	(31.1)	(11.1)		(68.9)	(22.2)	. ,	
Diabetes	Yes	12	19	1 (3.1)	0.271	10	18	4	0.989	23	6	3 (9.4)	0.370	19	11	2 (6.2)	0.551	21	9	2 (6.2)	0.970
		(37.5)	(59.4)			(31.2)	(56.2)	(12.5)		(71.9)	(18.8)			(59.4)	(34.4)			(65.6)	(28.1)		
	No	19	31	8		19	32	7		40	16	2 (3.4)		32	18	8		39	15	4 (6.9)	
		(32.8)	(53.4)	(13.8)		(32.8)	(55.2)	(12.1)		(69.0)	(27.6)			(55.2)	(31.0)	(13.8)		(67.2)	(25.9)		

Table 6 (continued	(1																				
Variables	Status	Apal				BsmI				Tru9I				TaqI				BglI			
		AA	Aa	аа	Р	BB	Bb	bb	Р	UU	Uu	nn	Р	TT	Tt	tt	Р	GG	Gg	88	Ρ
Asthma	Yes	9	5	4	0.034	7	7	1 (6.7)	0.391	13	1	1 (6.7)	0.214	6	9	0 (0.0)	0.305	7	9	2	0.176
		(40.0)	(33.3)	(26.7)		(46.7)	(46.7)			(86.7)	(6.7)			(0.0)	(40.0)			(46.7)	(40.0)	(13.3)	
	No	25	45	5 (6.7)		22	43	10		50	21	4 (5.3)		42	23	10		53	18	4 (5.3)	
		(33.3)	(0.09)			(29.3)	(57.3)	(13.3)		(66.7)	(28.0)			(56.0)	(30.7)	(13.3)		(70.7)	(24.0)		
Cardiovascular	Yes	7	4	0 (0.0)	0.075	1	8	2	0.211	8	3	0 (0.0)	0.687	7	3	1 (9.1)	0.883	8	2	1 (9.1)	0.772
disease		(63.6)	(36.4)			(9.1)	(72.7)	(18.2)		(72.7)	(27.3)			(63.6)	(27.3)			(72.7)	(18.2)		
	No	24	46	6		28	42	6		55	19	5 (6.3)		44	26	6		52	22	5 (6.3)	
		(30.4)	(58.2)	(11.4)		(35.4)	(53.2)	(11.4)		(9.69)	(24.1)			(55.7)	(32.9)	(11.4)		(65.8)	(27.8)		
Chronic renal	Yes	7	15	3	0.712	8	10	7	0.014	21	4	0 (0.0)	0.142	14	6	2 (8.0)	0.795	20	4	1 (4.0)	0.250
disease		(28.0)	(0.09)	(12.0)		(32.0)	(40.0)	(28.0)		(84.0)	(16.0)			(56.0)	(36.0)			(80.0)	(16.0)		
	No	24	35	6 (9.2)		21	40	4 (6.2)		42	18	5 (7.7)		37	20	8		40	20	5 (7.7)	
		(36.9)	(53.8)			(32.3)	(61.5)			(64.6)	(27.7)			(56.9)	(30.8)	(12.3)		(61.5)	(30.8)		
Malignancy	Yes	4	5	1	0.922	2	5	3	0.174	10	0)0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.090	5	4	1	0.856	5	4	1	0.495
		(40.0)	(50.0)	(10.0)		(20.0)	(50.0)	(30.0)		(100.0)				(50.0)	(40.0)	(10.0)		(50.0)	(40.0)	(10.0)	
	No	27	45	8		27	45	8		53	22	5 (6.2)		46	25	6		55	20	5 (6.2)	
		(33.8)	(56.2)	(10.0)		(33.8)	(56.2)	(10.0)		(66.2)	(27.5)			(57.5)	(31.2)	(11.2)		(68.8)	(25.0)		
Bold items indicat	te an statis	tically sign	ufficant l€	evels.																	

Table 7

Significant association of VDR gene polymorphisms with some clinical symptom
and comorbidities in COVID-19 suffered patients.

Genetic models	-	P- value	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Mild/moderate patients			
BsmI and chronic renal disease			
Dominant	BB + Bb vs. bb	0.189	0.48 (0.16–1.43)
	bb vs. BB + Bb		2.08 (0.70-6.25)
Recessive	bb + Bb vs. BB	0.024	0.45 (0.22–0.90)
0	BB vs. bb + Bb	0.004	2.22 (1.11-4.55)
Overaominant	BD VS. BB + DD BB + bb vs Bb	0.004	0.32 (0.15-0.70)
Codominant	BB + bb vs. BB	0.636	1.31 (0.43-4.00)
	Bb vs. BB	0.007	0.34 (0.15–0.74)
Allelic	B vs. b	0.234	1.39 (0.81–2.41)
	b vs. B		0.72 (0.42–1.24)
EcoRV and diabetes	EE E	10.001	0.10 (0.00, 0.40)
Dominant	EE + Ee VS. ee	< 0.001	0.19(0.08-0.49) 5.26(2.04-12.50)
Recessive	ee + Ee vs. EE	< 0.001	4.45 (2.13–9.29)
	EE vs. ee + Ee		0.23 (0.11–0.47)
Overdominant	Ee vs. EE + ee	0.034	2.04 (1.06-3.93)
	EE + ee vs. Ee		0.49 (0.26–0.94)
Codominant	ee vs. EE	< 0.001	10.17 (3.54–29.21)
Allelic	Ee vs. EE	0.001	3.57 (1.65–7.75)
Allelic	evs E	< 0.001	3.23 (2.00–5.26)
	C 73. E		3.23 (2.00 3.20)
Severe and critical patients			
Apai and shormess of bream	$AA \perp Aa vs aa$	0.423	0.51 (0.10-2.63)
Dominant	aa vs. AA + Aa	0.120	1.96 (0.38–10.00)
Recessive	aa + Aa vs. AA	< 0.001	7.93 (2.96–21.25)
	AA vs. aa + Aa		0.13 (0.05–0.34)
Overdominant	Aa vs. AA + aa	< 0.001	5.57 (2.15–14.44)
Codominant	AA + aa vs. Aa	0.027	0.18 (0.07–0.47)
Coaominani	$\Delta a vs \Delta \Delta$	0.03/ < 0.001	0.30 (1.12-30.08) 8 28 (2 96-23 21)
Allelic	A vs. a	0.001	0.30 (0.15–0.62)
	a vs. A		3.33 (1.61-6.67)
ApaI and asthma			
Dominant	AA + Aa vs. aa	0.029	0.20 (0.05–0.85)
Pacarsina	aa vs. AA + Aa	0.621	5.00(1.18-20.00)
Recessive	AA vs aa + Aa	0.021	1.33(0.43-4.17)
Overdominant	Aa vs. AA + aa	0.065	0.33 (0.10–1.07)
	AA + aa vs. Aa		3.03 (0.94–10.00)
Codominant	aa vs. AA	0.137	3.33 (0.68–16.32)
4.11 1:	Aa vs. AA	0.240	0.46 (0.13–1.67)
Allelic	A vs. a	0.493	0.76(0.34 - 1.68)
BsmI and chronic renal disease	u vs. A		1.32 (0.00-2.94)
Dominant	BB + Bb vs. bb	0.009	0.17 (0.04–0.64)
	bb vs. BB + Bb		5.88 (1.56-25.00)
Recessive	bb + Bb vs. BB	0.978	1.01 (0.38–2.72)
	BB vs. bb + Bb		0.99 (0.37–2.63)
Overdominant	BD vs. BB + DD BB + bb wc Bb	0.069	0.42(0.16-1.07)
Codominant	BB + bb vs. BB	0.043	4.59 (1.05-20.06)
Couonintani	Bb vs. BB	0.440	0.66 (0.23–1.91)
Allelic	B vs. b	0.176	0.63 (0.33-1.23)
	b vs. B		1.59 (0.81–3.03)
FokI and hypertension	FF . FC	0.010	0.00 (0.05.0.50)
Dominant	FF + FJ VS. JJ ff vs $FF \perp Ff$	0.013	0.22 (0.07-0.72)
Recessive	ff + Ff vs. FF	0.655	1.22(0.51-2.94)
	FF vs. $ff + Ff$		0.82 (0.34-1.96)
Overdominant	Ff vs. FF + ff	0.093	0.49 (0.21–1.13)
	ff + FF vs. Ff		2.04 (0.89–4.76)
Codominant	ff vs. FF	0.040	4.00 (1.07–15.01)
Allelic	FJVS.FF FVS f	0.601	0.78 (0.30-2.00) 0.58 (0.32, 1.05)
Altell	f vs. F	0.072	1.72(0.92 - 1.05)
CDX2 and shortness of breath	,		(0.55 0.10)
Dominant	CC + Cc vs. cc	0.009	3.59 (1.37–9.42)
	cc vs. CC + Cc		0.28 (0.11-0.73)
Recessive	cc + Cc vs. CC	0.086	0.40 (0.14–1.14)
	CC vs. cc + Cc		2.50 (0.88–7.14)

(continued on next page)

Table 7 (continued)

Genetic models		P- value	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Overdominant	Cc vs. CC + cc	0.433	1.43 (0.58–3.52)
	CC + cc vs. Cc		0.70 (0.28-1.72)
Codominant	cc vs. CC	0.012	0.21 (0.07-0.71)
	Cc vs. CC	0.452	0.65 (0.21-2.03)
Allelic	C vs. c	0.005	2.47 (1.31-4.66)
	c vs. C		0.41 (0.22-0.76)
CDX2 and hypertension			
Dominant	CC + Cc vs. cc	0.038	0.36 (0.14-0.94)
	cc vs. CC + Cc		2.78 (1.06–7.14)
Recessive	cc + Cc vs. CC	0.649	0.81 (0.33-1.99)
	CC vs. cc + Cc		1.24 (0.50-3.03)
Overdominant	Cc vs. CC + cc	0.020	0.36 (0.15-0.85)
	CC + cc vs. Cc		2.78 (1.18-6.67)
Codominant	cc vs. CC	0.286	1.84 (0.60–5.63)
	Cc vs. CC	0.140	0.47 (0.17-1.28)
Allelic	C vs. c	0.297	0.73 (0.41–1.32)
	c vs. C		1.37 (0.76–2.44)
CDX2 and diabetes			
Dominant	CC + Cc vs. cc	0.014	0.30 (0.12–0.79)
	cc vs. CC + Cc		3.33 (1.27-8.33)
Recessive	cc + Cc vs. CC	0.354	1.58 (0.60-4.15)
	CC vs. cc + Cc		0.63 (0.24–1.67)
Overdominant	Cc vs. CC + cc	0.161	0.52 (0.21–1.29)
	CC + cc vs. Cc		1.92 (0.78–4.76)
Codominant	cc vs. CC	0.046	3.18 (1.02–9.93)
	Cc vs. CC	0.890	0.93 (0.31–2.77)
Allelic	C vs. c	0.029	0.50 (0.27-0.93)
	c vs. C		2.00 (1.08-3.70)
EcoRV and diabetes			
Dominant	EE + Ee vs. ee	0.466	2.30 (0.25–21.47)
	ee vs. EE + Ee		0.44 (0.05–4.00)
Recessive	ee + Ee vs. EE	0.033	2.74 (1.08-6.92)
	EE vs. ee + Ee		0.41 (0.15–0.93)
Overdominant	Ee vs. EE + ee	0.015	3.12 (1.25–7.76)
	EE + ee vs. Ee		0.32 (0.13-0.80)
Codominant	ee vs. EE	0.877	0.83 (0.08-8.43)
	Ee vs. EE	0.020	3.06 (1.19–7.85)
Allelic	E vs. e	0.171	0.64 (0.33–1.22)
	e vs. E		1.56 (0.82–3.03)

Bold items indicate an statistically significant levels.

elevated ratios of "cc vs. CC + Cc", "cc vs. CC", and "c vs. C", but decreased ratios of "CC + Cc vs. cc" and "C vs. c" in COVID-19 patients with these clinical features against to subjects without these variables. Furthermore, CDX2 was indicated to possess a strong association with diabetes in both allelic and all genetic models, except in the overdominant model in combined samples of COVID-19 patients (Table 9). Accordingly, higher rates of the "cc vs. CC + Cc", "cc + Cc vs. CC"." cc vs. CC", and "c vs. C" were recognized in COVID-19 patients with diabetes than patients without this comorbidity, nevertheless, lower rates of the " $CC+Cc \mbox{ vs. cc}",$ " $CC \mbox{ vs. cc}+Cc$ ", and " $C \mbox{ vs. c}"$ were illustrated. The last finding was the association between EcoRV allelic and genotypic distribution and diabetes in all proposed genetic models (Table 9). Our results revealed increased rates of "ee vs. EE + Ee", "ee + Ee vs. EE", "Ee vs. EE + ee", "ee vs. EE", "Ee vs. EE", and "e vs. E", and decreased rates of the " EE + Ee vs. ee ", " EE vs. ee + Ee ", " EE + ee vs. Ee ", and " E vs. e " were seen in combined samples of COVID-19 subjects with diabetes compared to those with no diabetes.

4. Discussion

The wide spectrum of clinical manifestations of the resulting COVID-19 range from silent (asymptomatic) or mild symptoms of the upper respiratory tract such as familiar cold symptoms (fever, stuffy nose, cough, Sore throat, weakness) bronchitis to severe pneumonia with ARDS and death (Singhal, 2020). Many Risk factors recognized for this coronavirus include advanced age, male gender, comorbidities, race, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, geographic region, and ethnicity (Mendy et al., 2020). More importantly, several previous studies disclosed the association of specific human genetic variants with the predisposition of individuals to develop severe disease or susceptibility to infection (Anastassopoulou et al., 2020; Hou et al., 2020; Latini et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Gómez et al., 2020). Some of the identified associations between genetic factors and different severity of COVID-19 or variable susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 are ABO blood group, ACE2, APOE, HLA, IFITM3, TLR7, TMEM189-UBE2V1, TMPRSS2.

Mounting investigations have revealed the role of vitamin D deficiency as a pathogenic factor of COVID-19, leading to an increase in the predisposition and severity of individuals, especially via exacerbating acute lung injury and ARDS (Faul et al., 2020; Carpagnano et al., 2020; Parekh et al., 2013). Several types of research highlighted that patients with ARDS and also COVID-19 cases are even more vitamin D deficient than control subjects (Dancer et al., 2015; Thickett et al., 2015; Park et al., 2018; Quesada-Gomez et al., 2020). Furthermore, more vitamin D deficiency [25(OH) D levels: < 50 nmol/L] and insufficiency [25(OH) D levels:50-75 nmol/L)] was demonstrated in regions highly affected by COVID-19, such as Iran (Ebadi et al., 2019; Tabrizi et al., 2018). Undoubtedly, a complex relationship can be proposed between vitamin D and COVID-19, in which many environmental and genetic factors are implicated. Among environmental factors, seasonal variation in sun exposure, geographic latitudes, air pollution, and darker skin influence vitamin D formation by sunlight in vitro (Wacker and Holick, 2013). Intriguingly, In Chicago, more than half of COVID-19 cases and around 70% of COVID-19 deaths were observed in African-American individuals (Yancy, 2020) who are at a greater risk for vitamin D deficiency (Alzaman et al., 2016). The actions of vitamin D are largely mediated by its intranuclear receptor, VDR, which is extensively distributed in respiratory epithelial cells and immune cells (B cell, T cell, macrophages, and monocytes). The expression and regulation of VDR itself are influenced by several mechanisms, including cell-type-specific transcription factors (TFs), auto-regulation by vitamin D, methylation of its primary promoter, and genetic variations (Saccone et al., 2015). Genetic variations in the VDR gene such as SNPs might alter the function VD/VDR pathway in bronchial epithelium and immune-regulatory functions, which consequently influence the susceptibility to a large number of diverse conditions (Valdivielso and Fernandez, 2006; Laplana et al., 2018; Mohammadi et al., 2020; Mehrabani et al., 2019) and possibly COVID-19.

In the present study, the association of eight SNPs in the VDR gene with the severity of COVID-19 patients was evaluated. Our data showed significant associations for some of the SNP-related alleles and/or genotypes in one or more genetic models. FokI polymorphism in the exon 2 at the 5' end of the VDR gene is referred to as start codon polymorphism (SCP), in which the presence of the "T" allele (the mutated "f" allele) results in the translation of a 3 amino acid longer VDR protein, while the "C" allele (the wild type "F" allele) produces shorter VDR protein that is associated with 1.7-fold increased transcriptional activity (Köstner et al., 2009; Whitfield et al., 2001; Jurutka et al., 2000; Colin et al., 2000). In the FokI variant, results showed this SNP as a pinpointed associated factor with COVID-19; in which "f" (mutated) allele frequencies were intended to be higher in symptomatic and severe/critical patients compared with asymptomatic COVID-19 affected people. Hence, it can be suggested that the "f" allele, is positively associated with signs, symptoms, and possibly the severity of COVID-19 affected peoples. FokI genotypic distributions illustrated important results based on recessive and codominant genetic models in COVID-19 individuals, including the decreased vulnerability of "FF" genotype compared with combined "Ff + ff' genotypes, and increased susceptibility of "ff" patients versus "FF" affected subjects to represent signs, symptoms, and possibly more serious outcomes. However, there were no significant differences between "FF" and "Ff" patients for the clinical characteristics of COVID-19. The meta-analyses showed an association of FokI polymorphism with susceptibility to virus infection (McNally et al., 2014). This association could be contributed to the changes in TFIIB-VDR interaction, transcription efficiency, the effects of FokI polymorphism on immune cell

Table 8 Association of VDR gene polymorphisms- related genotypes with clinical data in COVID-19 patients with positive criteria of signs and symptoms.

5' end's VDR polymorphisms

Variables	Status	FokI				CDX2				EcoRV			
		FF	Ff	ff	Р	CC	Cc	сс	Р	EE	Ee	ee	Р
Gender	Male	76 (37.4)	96 (47.3)	31 (15.3)	0.766	67 (33.0)	89 (43.8)	47 (23.2)	0.217	99 (48.8)	86 (42.4)	18 (8.9)	0.468
	Female	50 (36.5)	62 (45.3)	25 (18.2)		56 (40.9)	58 (42.3)	23 (16.8)		74 (54.0)	55 (40.1)	8 (5.8)	
Fever	Yes	65 (33.7)	88 (45.6)	40 (20.7)	0.042	70 (36.3)	88 (45.6)	35 (18.1)	0.390	91 (47.2)	84 (43.5)	18 (9.3)	0.190
	No	61 (41.5)	70 (47.6)	16 (10.9)		53 (36.1)	59 (40.1)	35 (23.8)		82 (55.8)	57 (38.8)	8 (5.4)	
Sore throat	Yes	37 (34.3)	51 (47.2)	20 (18.5)	0.685	33 (30.6)	56 (51.9)	19 (17.6)	0.091	56 (51.9)	44 (40.7)	8 (7.4)	0.970
	No	89 (38.4)	107 (46.1)	36 (15.5)		90 (38.8)	91 (39.2)	51 (22.0)		117 (50.4)	97 (41.8)	18 (7.8)	
Dry cough	Yes	66 (35.1)	96 (48.4)	31 (16.5)	0.680	76 (404)	75 (39.9)	37 (19.7)	0.187	101 (53.7)	71 (37.8)	16 (8.5)	0.291
	No	60 (39.5)	67 (44.1)	25 (16.4)		47 (30.9)	72 (47.4)	33 (21.7)		72 (47.4)	70 (46.1)	10 (6.6)	
Headache	Yes	25 (42.4)	26 (44.1)	8 (13.6)	0.607	19 (32.2)	20 (33.9)	20 (33.9)	0.019	26 (44.1)	27 (45.8)	6 (10.2)	0.458
	No	101 (35.9)	132 (47.0)	48 (17.1)		104 (37.0)	127 (45.2)	50 (17.8)		147 (52.3)	114 (40.6)	20 (7.1)	
Shortness of breath	Yes	35 (38.9)	37 (41.1)	18 (20.0)	0.408	30 (33.3)	38 (42.2)	22 (24.4)	0.552	43 (47.8)	37 (41.1)	10 (11.1)	0.340
	No	91 (36.4)	121 (48.4)	38 (15.2)		93 (37.2)	109 (43.6)	48 (19.2)		130 (52.0)	104 (41.6)	16 (6.4)	
Diarrhea	Yes	8 (26.7)	15 (50.0)	7 (23.3)	0.370	8 (26.7)	15 (50.0)	7 (23.3)	0.524	17 (56.7)	11 (36.7)	2 (6.7)	0.802
	No	118 (38.1)	143 (46.1)	49 (15.8)		115 (37.1)	132 (42.6)	63 (20.3)		156 (50.3)	130 (41.9)	24 (7.7)	
Myalgia	Yes	26 (39.2)	40 (50.6)	13 (16.5)	0.650	31 (39.2)	33 (41.8)	15 (19.0)	0.800	45 (57.0)	29 (36.7)	5 (6.3)	0.462
, ,	No	100 (38.3)	118 (45.2)	43 (16.5)		92 (35.2)	114 (43.7)	55 (21.1)		128 (49.0)	112 (42.9)	21 (8.0)	
Fatigue	Yes	24 (42.1)	19 (33.3)	14 (24.6)	0.057	24 (42.1)	24 (42.1)	9 (15.8)	0.484	32 (56.1)	22 (38.6)	3 (5.3)	0.601
	No	102 (36.0)	139 (49.1)	42 (14.8)		99 (35.0)	123 (43.5)	61 (21.6)		141 (49.8)	119 (42.0)	23 (8.1)	
Nausea	Yes	14 (35.9)	18 (46.2)	7 (17.9)	0.963	12 (30.8)	17 (43.6)	10 (25.6)	0.636	19 (48.7)	16 (41.0)	4 (10.3)	0.805
	No	112 (37.2)	140 46.50	49 (16.3)		111 (36.9)	130 (43.2)	60 (19.9)		154 (51.2)	125 (41.5)	22 (7.3)	
Vomiting	Yes	6 (20.7)	18 (62.1)	5 (17.2)	0.138	10 (34.5)	12 (41.4)	7 (24.1)	0.885	11 (37.9)	16 (55.2)	2 (6.9)	0.286
	No	120 (38.6)	140 (45.0)	51 (16.4)		113 (36.3)	135 (43.4)	63 (20.3)		162 (52.1)	125 (40.2)	24 (7.7)	
Parageusia	Yes	17 (44.7)	17 (44.7)	4 (10.5)	0.444	13 (34.2)	15 (39.5)	10 (26.3)	0.648	18 (47.4)	18 (47.4)	2 (5.3)	0.677
i di digodola	No	109 (36.1)	141 (46.7)	52(17.2)	01111	110 (36.4)	132 (43.7)	60 (19.9)	01010	155 (51.3)	123 (40.7)	24(7.9)	01077
Hypertension	Yes	28 (31.5)	39 (43.8)	22 (24 7)	0.045	28 (31.5)	32 (36.0)	29 (32.6)	0.005	40 (44.9)	42 (47.2)	7 (7.9)	0.408
ing per centrent	No	98 (39.0)	119 (47.4)	34 (13.5)		95 (37.8)	115 (45.8)	41 (16.3)	01000	133 (53.0)	99 (39.4)	19 (7.6)	01100
Diabetes	Yes	26 (34.2)	35 (46.1)	15 (19.7)	0.653	20 (26.3)	32 (42.1)	24 (31.6)	0.015	20 (26.3)	45 (59.2)	11 (14.5)	< 0.001
Diabetes	No	100 (37.9)	123 (46.6)	41 (15.5)	01000	103 (39.0)	115 (43.6)	46 (17.4)	01010	153 (58.0)	96 (36.4)	15 (5 7)	01001
Asthma	Yes	12 (41 4)	12 (41.4)	5 (17.2)	0.840	11 (37.9)	13 (44.8)	5 (17.2)	0.897	14 (48.3)	13 (44.8)	2 (6.9)	0.927
	No	114 (36.7)	146 (46.9)	51 (16.4)	01010	112 (36.0)	134 (43.1)	65 (20.9)	0.037	159 (51.1)	128 (41.2)	24(7.7)	01927
Cardiovascular disease	Ves	18 (51 4)	12 (34 3)	5 (14 3)	0 171	15 (42.9)	16 (45 7)	4 (11 4)	0 345	22 (62 9)	11 (31.4)	2(57)	0 326
Gardiovascular discuse	No	108 (35.4)	146 (47.9)	51 (167)	0.171	108 (35.4)	131 (43.0)	66 (21.6)	0.010	151 (49 5)	130 (42.6)	2(3.7) 24(79)	0.020
Chronic renal disease	Ves	24 (37 5)	26 (40.6)	16 (21.9)	0 371	25 (39 1)	27 (42 2)	12 (18.8)	0.847	32 (50 0)	29 (45 3)	3(47)	0 550
Ginome renar uiscase	No	102 (37.0)	132 (47.8)	42 (15 2)	0.071	98 (35 5)	120 (43 5)	58 (21.0)	0.017	141 (51 1)	112 (40.6)	23 (8 3)	0.330
Malignancy	Yes	6 (30.0)	11 (55.0)	3(15.0)	0.724	10 (50.0)	10 (50 0)	0 (0 0)	0.057	13 (65.0)	5 (25.0)	2.0 (0.0)	0.305
	No	120 (37 5)	147 (45 0)	53 (16.6)	0.721	113 (35 3)	137 (42.8)	70 (21 9)	0.007	160 (50 0)	136 (42 5)	24 (7 5)	0.000
	110	120 (37.3)	177 (73.7)	33 (10.0)		110 (00.0)	107 (12.0)	/0 (21.7)		100 (00.0)	100 (72.3)	24 (7.5)	

Status	ApaI				BsmI				Tru9I				TaqI				BglI			
	AA	Aa	aa	Р	BB	Bb	bb	Р	UU	Uu	uu	Р	TT	Tt	tt	Р	GG	Gg	gg	Р
Male	76 (37.4)	98 (48.3)	29 (14.3)	0.295	83 (40.9)	99 (48.8)	21 (10.3)	0.484	154 (75.9)	45 (22.2)	4 (2.0)	0.127	102 (50.2)	72 (35.5)	29 (14.3)	0.519	137 (67.5)	54 (26.6)	12 (5.9)	0.425
Female	62 (45.3)	55 (40.1)	20 (14.4)		58 (42.3)	70 (51.1)	9 (6.6)		108 (78.8)	22 (16.1)	7 (5.1)		70 (51.1)	53 (38.7)	14 (10.2)		83 (60.6)	44 (32.1)	10 (7.3)	
Yes	63 (32.6)	102 (52.8)	28 (14.5)	0.001	86 (44.6)	93 (48.2)	14 (7.3)	0.289	144 (74.6)	44 (22.8)	5 (2.6)	0.214	92 (47.7)	78 (40.4)	23 (11.9)	0.278	123 (63.7)	58 (30.1)	12 (6.2)	0.842

Variables

Gender

Fever

Table 8 (continued)

5 end s vDR polymo	rpinsins																				
Variables	Status	ApaI				BsmI				Tru9I				TaqI				BglI			
		AA	Aa	aa	Р	BB	Bb	bb	Р	UU	Uu	uu	Р	TT	Tt	tt	Р	GG	Gg	gg	Р
	No	75 (51.0)	51 (34.7)	21		55 (37.4)	76 (51.7)	16		118	23	6 (4.1)		80 (54.4)	47 (32.0)	20		97 (66.0)	40	10 (6.8)	
				(14.3)				(10.9)		(80.3)	(15.6)					(13.6)			(27.2)		
Sore throat	Yes	48 (44.4)	44 (40.7)	16	0.539	43 (39.8)	56 (51.9)	9 (8.3)	0.863	85 (78.7)	17	6 (5.6)	0.139	54 (50.0)	38 (35.2)	16	0.702	68 (63.0)	33	7 (6.5)	0.887
	No	00 (38 8)	100	(14.8)		08 (42 2)	112	21 (0 1)		177	(15.7)	5 (2 2)		110	87 (37 5)	(14.8)		152	(30.6)	15 (6 5)	
	INU	90 (38.8)	(47.0)	(14.2)		90 (42.2)	(48.7)	21 (9.1)		(76.3)	(21.6)	5 (2.2)		(50.9)	07 (37.3)	(11.6)		(65.5)	(28.0)	13(0.3)	
Dry cough	Yes	75 (39.9)	85 (45.2)	28	0.941	80 (42.6)	94 (50.0)	14 (7.4)	0.598	137	44	7 (3.7)	0.123	95 (50.5)	65 (34.6)	28	0.328	119	57	12(6.4)	0.794
, ,		. ,		(14.9)						(72.9)	(23.4)					(14.9)		(63.3)	(30.3)		
	No	63 (41.4)	68 (44.7)	21		61 (40.1)	75 (49.3)	16		125	23	4 (2.6)		77 (50.7)	60 (39.5)	15 (9.9)		101	41	10 (6.6)	
				(13.8)				(10.5)		(82.2)	(15.1)							(66.4)	(27.0)		
Headache	Yes	26 (44.1)	21 (35.6)	12	0.187	26 (44.1)	28 (47.5)	5 (8.5)	0.905	46 (78.0)	10	3 (5.1)	0.595	28 (47.5)	23 (39.0)	8 (13.6)	0.869	40 (67.8)	17	2 (3.4)	0.562
	No	110	100	(20.3)		115	1.41	25 (2.0)		016	(16.9)	0 (2 0)		144	100	25		100	(28.8)	20 (7 1)	
	INO	(30.0)	(47.0)	37 (13.2)		(40.9)	(50.2)	25 (8.9)		210	57 (20-3)	8 (2.8)		144 (51.2)	102	35 (125)		(64.1)	01 (28.8)	20(7.1)	
Shortness of breath	Yes	33 (36.7)	46 (51.1)	11	0.389	38 (42.2)	42 (46.7)	10	0.616	61 (67.8)	(20.3)	5 (5.6)	0.040	(31.2)	35 (38.9)	11	0.888	(04.1) 64 (71.1)	(20.0)	5 (5.6)	0.330
bilortiless or breath	100	00 (0017)	10 (0111)	(12.2)	0.005	00 (1212)	12(1017)	(11.1)	0.010	01 (0/10)	(26.7)	0 (0.0)	01010	(1015)	00 (0013)	(12.2)	0.000	01()111)	(23.3)	0 (0.0)	0.000
	No	105	107	38		103	127	20 (8.0)		201	43	6 (2.4)		128	90 (36.0)	32		156	77	17 (6.8)	
		(42.0)	(42.8)	(14.4)		(41.2)	(50.8)			(80.4)	(17.2)			(51.2)		(12.8)		(62.4)	(30.8)		
Diarrhea	Yes	15 (50.0)	12 (40.0)	3 (10.0)	0.510	11 (36.7)	15 (50.0)	4 (13.3)	0.624	22 (73.3)	7 (23.3)	1 (3.3)	0.869	15 (50.0)	9 (30.0)	6 (20.0)	0.403	22 (73.3)	8 (26.7)	0 (0.0)	0.278
	No	123	141	46		130	154	28 (8.4)		240	60	10 (3.2)		157	116	37		198	90	22(7.1)	
Mualaia	Vee	(39.7)	(45.5)	(14.8)	0.076	(41.9)	(49.7)	10	0.264	(77.4)	(19.4)	0 (0 0)	0.170	(50.6)	(37.4)	(11.9)	0.490	(63.9)	(29.0)	6 (7 6)	0.057
Myaigia	res	34 (43.0)	38 (48.1)	7 (8.9)	0.276	30 (38.0)	39 (49.4)	10 (12.7)	0.364	63 (79.7)	10	0 (0.0)	0.179	43 (54.4)	29 (36.7)	7 (8.9)	0.480	56 (70.9)	17	6 (7.6)	0.257
	No	104	115	42		11 (42.5)	130	20 (7.7)		199	(20.3)	11 (4.2)		129	96 (36.8)	36		164	(21.5) 81	16(6.1)	
		(39.8)	(44.1)	(16.1)			(49.8)			(76.2)	(19.5)			(49.4)		(13.8)		(62.8)	(31.0)		
Fatigue	Yes	18 (31.6)	31 (54.4)	8 (14.0)	0.257	25 (43.9)	30 (52.6)	2 (3.5)	0.301	40 (70.2)	13	4 (7.0)	0.151	23 (404)	27 (47.4)	7 (12.3)	0.172	40 (70.2)	14	3 (5.3)	0.637
											(22.8)								(24.6)		
	No	120	122	41		116	139	28 (9.9)		222	54	7 (2.5)		149	98 (34.6)	36		180	84	19 (6.7)	
		(42.4)	(43.1)	(14.5)		(41.0)	(49.1)			(78.4)	(19.1)			(52.7)		(12.7)		(63.6)	(29.7)		
Nausea	Yes	17 (43.6)	17 (43.6)	5 (12.8)	0.907	14 (35.9)	21 (53.8)	4 (10.3)	0.747	30 (76.9)	7 (17.9)	2 (5.1)	0.757	17 (43.6)	19 (48.7)	3 (7.7)	0.224	26 (66.7)	11	2 (5.1)	0.926
	No	121	136	44		127	148	26 (8.6)		232	60	9 (3.0)		155	106	40		194	(20.2) 87	20 (6 6)	
	110	(40.2)	(45.2)	(14.6)		(42.2)	(49.2)	20 (0.0)		(77.1)	(19.9)	5 (0.0)		(51.5)	(35.2)	(13.3)		(64.5)	(28.9)	20 (0.0)	
Vomiting	Yes	14 (48.3)	9 (31.0)	6 (20.7)	0.259	8 (27.6)	19 (65.5)	2 (6.9)	0.202	21 (72.4)	6 (20.7)	2 (6.9)	0.492	13 (44.8)	12 (41.4)	4 (13.8)	0.809	21 (72.4)	7 (24.1)	1 (3.4)	0.613
-	No	124	144	43		133	150	28 (9.0)		241	61	9 (2.9)		159	113	39		199	91	21 (6.8)	
		(39.9)	(46.3)	(13.8)		(42.8)	(48.2)			(77.5)	(19.6)			(51.1)	(36.3)	(12.5)		(64.0)	(29.3)		
Parageusia	Yes	14 (36.8)	19 (50.0)	5 (13.2)	0.806	11 (28.9)	23 (60.5)	4 (10.5)	0.251	28 (73.7)	10	0 (0.0)	0.302	21 (55.3)	15 (39.5)	2 (5.3)	0.374	26 (68.4)	10	2 (5.3)	0.869
	No	104	194	4.4		120	146	26 (2 6)		004	(26.3)	11 (2 ()		151	110	41		104	(26.3)	20 (6 6)	
	INO	124 (41.1)	(44 4)	44 (14.6)		(43.0)	(48.3)	20 (8.0)		234 (77 5)	57 (18.9)	11 (3.0)		(50.0)	(36.4)	41		194	00 (201)	20 (0.0)	
Hypertension	Yes	35 (39.3)	42 (47.2)	12	0.883	(43.0)	46 (51.7)	9 (10.1)	0.729	60 (67.4)	26	3 (3 4)	0.030	(30.0)	35 (39.3)	11	0.841	(04.2) 58 (65.2)	(29.1)	5 (5.6)	0.930
nypertension	100	00 (0510)	12(1)12)	(13.5)	0.000	01 (0012)	10 (0117)	, (1011)	01723	00 (0/11)	(29.2)	0 (011)	0.000	10 (1010)	00 (0510)	(12.4)	01011	00 (00.2)	(29.2)	0 (0.0)	0.500
	No	103	111	37		107	123	21 (8.4)		202	41	8 (3.2)		129	90 (35.9)	32		162	72	17 (6.8)	
		(41.0)	(44.2)	(14.7)		(42.6)	(49.0)			(80.5)	(16.3)			(51.4)		(12.7)		(64.5)	(28.7)		
Diabetes	Yes	30 (39.5)	40 (52.6)	6 (7.9)	0.124	32 (42.1)	36 (47.4)	8 (10.5)	0.803	58 (76.3)	14	4 (5.3)	0.513	36 (47.4)	31 (40.8)	9 (11.8)	0.711	50 (65.8)	21	5 (6.6)	0.967
							100				(18.4)	- (2 -)							(27.6)		
	No	108	113	43		109	133	22 (8.3)		204	53	7 (2.7)		136	94 (35.6)	34		170	77	17 (6.4)	
Acthma	Voc	(40.9) 11 (37.0)	(42.8) 0 (31.0)	(10.3)	0.022	(41.3) 12 (41.4)	(50.4) 12 (41 4)	5 (17 2)	0.224	(77.3)	(20.1)	1 (3 4)	0 704	(51.5)	10 (34 5)	(12.9)	0.857	(04.4) 14 (48.3)	(29.2)	4 (13.8)	0 088
1 Stillia	103	11 (37.9)	5 (51.0)	2 (31.0)	0.023	12 (71.7)	12 (71.7)	5 (1/.2)	0.224	27 (02.0)	+ (13.0)	1 (3.4)	0.704	10 (33.3)	10 (34.3)	5 (10.3)	0.00/	17 (40.3)	(37.9)	7 (13.8)	0.000
	No	127	144	40		129	157	25 (8.0)		238	63	10 (3.2)		156	115	40		206	87	18 (5.8)	
		(40.8)	(46.3)	(12.9)		(41.5)	(50.5)			(76.5)	(20.3)			(50.2)	(37.0)	(12.9)		(66.2)	(28.0)		
	Yes	15 (42.9)	16 (45.7)	4 (11.4)	0.863	14 (40.0)	16 (45.7)	9 (14.3)	0.481	25 (71.4)	9 (25.7)	1 (2.9)	0.640	15 (42.9)	13 (37.1)	7 (20.0)	0.345	25 (71.4)	8 (22.9)	2 (5.7)	0.674
																			(contir	ued on ne.	xt page)

3' end's VDR polymor	phisms																				
Variables	Status	ApaI				BsmI				Tru9I				TaqI			В	iglI			
		AA	Аа	аа	Р	BB	Bb	þþ	Р	nn	Uu	nn	Ь	TT	Tt	tt		Ð	Gg	88	
Cardiovascular	No	123	137	45		127	153	25 (8.2)		237	58	10 (3.3)		157	112	36	1	95	06	20 (6.6)	
disease		(40.3)	(44.9)	(14.8)		(41.6)	(50.2)			(77.7)	(19.0)			(51.5)	(36.7)	(11.8)	Ū	63.9)	(29.5)		
Chronic renal disease	Yes	26 (40.6)	29 (45.3)	9 (14.1)	0.996	30 (46.9)	24 (37.5)	10	0.029	54 (84.4)	10	0 (0.0) 0	0.152	33 (51.6)	27 (42.2)	4 (6.2)	0.202 4	6 (71.9)	13	5 (7.8)	0.243
								(15.6)			(15.6)								(20.3)		
	No	112	124	40		111	145	20 (7.2)		208	57	11 (4.0)		139	98 (35.5)	39	1	74	85	17 (6.2)	
		(40.6)	(44.9)	(14.5)		(40.2)	(52.5)			(75.4)	(20.7)			(50.4)		(14.1)	Ū	63.0)	(30.8)		
Malignancy	Yes	5 (25.0)	12 (60.0)	3 (15.0)	0.310	10 50.0()	8 (40.0)	2 (20.0)	0.667	15 (75.0)	4 (20.0)	1 (5.0)	0.897	6 (30.0)	12 (60.0)	2 (10.0)	0.081 1	4 (70.0)	4 (20.0)	2 (10.0)	.584
	No	133	141	46		131	161	28 (8.8)		247	63	10(3.1)		166	113	41	7	90	94	20 (6.2)	
		(41.6)	(44.1)	(14.4)		(40.9)	(50.3)			(77.2)	(19.7)			(51.9)	(35.3)	(12.8)	J	64.4)	(29.4)		
301d items indicate an	1 statisti	ically signif	ficant levels	5.																	

[able 8 (continued)

Infection, Genetics and Evolution 96 (2021) 105098

behavior (van Etten et al., 2007). Based on a meta-analysis by Laplana et al., FokI polymorphism was associated with viral infections, wherein the TT genotype and T allele were reported to be risk factors for infections with enveloped viruses, including RSV (Laplana et al., 2018). In this line, the risk f-allele may have a lower transcription of VDR decreasing the efficiency of the vitamin D pathway by hampering the binding of vitamin D to VDR and affecting the expression of vitamin D responsive genes. Further, no significant differences were disclosed in FokI allelic and genotypic distributions between mild/moderate and asymptomatic groups, as well as between mild/moderate and severe/ critical patients.

The Cdx-2 site in the 1a promoter region of the VDR gene is a functional binding site for the transcription factor Cdx-2. G to A substitution polymorphism at this site has been found to alter the transcription of the VDR gene, whereby the A-allele increases binding to the Cdx-2 protein and transcription activity of the VDR promoter compared with the G allele (Fang et al., 2003). According to the CDX2 results, "c" minor allele frequency was higher in symptomatic and severe/critical patients against asymptomatic COVID-19 cases, while "C" major allele rates were lower. Thus, the alleles "c" and "C" can be introduced as risk and protective factors, respectively, for signs, symptoms, and maybe the severity of the COVID-19. CDX2 genotypic distributions illustrated more interesting findings based on dominant, recessive, and codominant genetic models in COVID-19 patients, including protective effects of "CC" versus "Cc + cc", susceptible effects of "cc" versus both "CC + Cc" and "CC" to have clinical features and likely severity of the disease. Cdx2 is considered as a functional polymorphism of the VDR gene that has been demonstrated to impact the immune system alter the risk of contracting certain infectious illnesses (e.g., tuberculosis and rubella) (Meyer and Bornman, 2018; Ovsyannikova et al., 2010). Nevertheless, no substantial link has been established between this SNP and autoimmune disorders such as T1D, MS, vitiligo, or psoriasis (Dickinson et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2014; Frederiksen et al., 2013; Aydıngöz et al., 2012). Although it is uncertain why the polymorphism is connected to illnesses like tuberculosis, numerous studies have connected this association to VDR methylation, vitamin D-mediated control of chemokine-positive T cells, and impact adaptive cytokine responses (Meyer and Bornman, 2018; Ovsyannikova et al., 2010; Harishankar and Selvaraj, 2017).

The EcoRV polymorphism (rs4516035), like CDX2, is found in the promoter region of the VDR gene and is thought to play a role in the anticancer immune response. EcoRV (5' to exon 1a) is a regulatory region SNPs that can affect VDR transcription via TF binding differences (Halsall et al., 2004). In the presented study, EcoRV allelic and genotypic distributions unveiled several intriguing findings. Firstly, EcoRV minor allele "e" frequencies were remarkably inclined to increase in symptomatic, mild/moderate, and severe/critical patients compared to asymptomatic COVID-19 patients, while major allele "E" rates were decreased. Therefore, negative and positive associations of "E" and "e" alleles, respectively, with clinical outcomes of COVID-19 can be proposed. Nonetheless, no significant discrepancy was found in allelic frequencies between mild/moderate and severe/critical patients. Accordingly, genetic model-based genotypic distributions of EcoRV polymorphism highlighted the protective role of "EE" vs. "Ee + ee", vulnerable effects of "Ee" versus "EE + ee", and "Ee" versus "EE". Amazingly, we didn't found any significant differences in the distribution of "ee" and "EE" genotypes among different clinical groups. Furthermore, increased frequencies of "Ee" versus "EE + ee" and "Ee" versus "EE" in severe/critical compared to mild/moderate patients, obviously demonstrated the important role of heterozygous "Ee" in the severity of COVID-19 patients. It is previously reported that EcoRv is correlated with optimal bone density, cancer risk, diabetes, and susceptibility to HIV-1 infection (Halsall et al., 2004; Ghodsi et al., 2021).

The *ApaI* (rs7975232) intronic variation is anticipated to impact splice site alterations, which may change VDR translation. This variation is common, as indicated by 734 and 16,751 homozygous mutants in the 1000G and ExAC databases, respectively (Hussain et al., 2019). ApaI

allelic frequencies, determined as major "A" and minor "a" alleles, didn't show significant differences between various paired groups of COVID-19. The present study highlighted that the "AA" genotype made COVID-19 affected people more prone to possess signs and symptoms versus both "Aa + aa" and "Aa" genotypes based on paired-groups of the symptomatic-asymptomatic and mild/moderate-asymptomatic comparisons. Additionally, heterozygous "Aa" patients were more protected to show signs and symptoms compared to combined "AA + aa" genotypes. This finding was interestingly opposite between severe/critical and mild/moderate groups, in which a rising risk of severity was demonstrated in patients with "Aa" genotype compared to "AA + aa" genotypes. This could be explained by the involvement of several factors determining the severity of the disease and might not be directly related to ApaI effects. Association of ApaI with different conditions including cancers, type 1 diabetes, asthma, multiple sclerosis, and several autoimmune diseases has previously been reported (Clendenen et al., 2008; Cheon et al., 2015; Mohammadi et al., 2020; Wist, 2005).

BsmI polymorphism was revealed not to have any significant differences in allelic and genotypic frequencies between asymptomatic COVID-19 patients and other groups, including mild/moderate, severe/ critical, and also all symptomatic patients. However, remarkable discrepancies were observed in allelic and genotypic distributions between mild/moderate and severe/critical COVID-19 suffered individuals. Our finding disclosed that minor allele "b" acts as a predisposition factor to COVID-19 severity, but major allele "B" has a protective effect. Moreover, genetic model-based genotypic distributions illustrated that patients with the "BB" genotype versus combined "bb + Bb" genotypes have decreased risk to develop more serious forms of COVID-19. However, "Bb" symptomatic heterozygotes showed elevated vulnerability to have more seriously COVID-19 than combined "BB + Bb" genotypes. VDR has an essential function in regulating the immune system in macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, B cells, natural killer (NK) cells, and T lymphocyte. Therefore, these findings could be interpreted that VDR BsmI polymorphism has a significant role in susceptibility to and in the progression of viral infections such as COVID-19.

The SNP Tru9I didn't show any significant differences in allelic distribution between paired-group comparisons, except between severe/ critical and mild/moderate groups, in which major "U" and minor "u" alleles were described as protective and risk factors, respectively. Tru9I genotypic frequencies didn't exhibit any significant association with clinical manifestations and also severity COVID-19. TaqI and BglI variants-related allelic and genotypic frequencies showed no significant association with clinical manifestations and also severity of COVID-19 affected peoples based on any genetic models in the present study. TaqI is a synonymous mutation at codon 352 in exon 9 at the 3' end of the VDR gene, in which "T" and "t" alleles were identified as absent and presence of the restriction site, respectively. The TT genotype has been reported to be associated with lower circulating levels of active vitamin D3 (Morrison et al., 1994; Hustmyer et al., 1993; Ma et al., 1998). ApaI, BsmI, Tru9I, and BglI are located in intron 8 at the 3' end of the VDR gene, which are considered silent SNPs. These polymorphisms do not change the amino acid sequence of the encoded protein, however, they may affect gene expression through the regulation of mRNA stability or linkage disequilibrium with other SNPs affecting the susceptibility to diseases (Jurutka et al., 2001).

Evaluating the potential association of VDR gene SNPs with signs and symptoms of COVID-19 patients, especially respiratory complications, surely highlights the more detailed importance of these variants in the severity of the disease. Despite the significant associations of some VDR gene variants with signs and symptoms of mild/moderate COVID-19 patients, amazing findings were pinpointed in group III. Accordingly, we found a strong association between both allelic and genotypic distributions of ApaI and CDX2 SNPs with shortness of breath. Regarding the ApaI, we found that major "A" and minor allele "a" provide a protective and susceptible effect, respectively, in severe/critical patients. According, our findings disclosed that severe/critical COVID-19 patients with "Aa" genotype and then "aa" genotype are more at risk of shortness of breath than "AA" patients. The minor "c" and major "C" alleles of CDX2 were found to have positive and negative associations with symptomatic and severe/critical COVID-19 groups, respectively. Moreover, negative association of "CC" genotype *versus* combined "Cc + cc" genotypes, positive associations of "cc" genotype *versus* both combined "CC + Cc" genotypes, and "CC" genotype to have clinical features and likely severity of disease are suggested. Nevertheless, "cc" *versus* both combined "CC + Cc" genotypes and "CC" genotype revealed a strong protective effect against shortness of breath. Unfortunately, we can't provide a rational explanation for these contradictory findings, therefore, it needs to be re-evaluated in other studies with larger sample sizes, in other ethnicities, and geographical regions.

Despite the high prevalence of conflicting results in previous investigations, we separately assessed the potential association of these VDR gene SNPs with some comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, asthma, cardiovascular disease, chronic renal disease, and malignancy in various COVID-19 groups to further clarify how these genetic variants affect the prognosis of COVID-19 patients. No significant association was found between VDR gene variants and comorbidities in the asymptomatic COVID-19 group, while a strong association of VDR gene SNPs was seen with some of these conditions in mild/moderate and severe/critical groups.

Our results revealed that mild/moderate COVID-19 patients with the "BB" genotype are more prone to chronic renal disease, while patients with "Bb" are more protective. Therefore, it can be proposed that homozygotes subjects ("BB" and "bb") are at increased risk of chronic renal disease than heterozygotes in mild/moderate patients. Unlikely, we found an increased risk of the "bb" genotype versus the combined "BB + Bb" and "BB" genotype, and no significant discrepancy was observed between the distribution of the "Bb" and "BB" to have chronic renal disease in severe/critical COVID-19 patients. Consequently, we can suggest that the "Bb" genotype provides a protective role to have chronic renal disease in both mild/moderate and severe/critical COVID-19 patients, but the effects of "BB" and "bb" genotypes entirely depend on the stage of the disease. Regarding the EcoRV variant and diabetes in mild/ moderate COVID-19 patients, we observed a negative association of the "E" allele and a positive association of the "e" allele. Also, our data revealed the protective effect of the "EE" genotype, but predisposing impacts of "ee" genotype, as well as increased risk of "Ee" genotype versus combined "EE + ee" and "EE" genotypes against diabetes. Therefore, it can be proposed that mild/moderate COVID-19 patients with 0, 1, and 2 alleles of minor allele "e" have a low, intermediate, and high risk of diabetes, respectively. Similar findings were observed in severe/critical patients, however, the distribution of "EE" and "ee" didn't show any remarkable difference. Overall, it can be argued that how the EcoRV variant is associated with diabetes depends entirely on the stage of COVID-19 disease, wherein the additive and overdominant genetic model better explains the observed findings in mild/moderate and severe/critical groups, respectively.

In addition to EcoRV, CDX2 polymorphism has also been disclosed to have a significant association with diabetes in severe/critical COVID-19 patients. The major "C" and minor "c" alleles exhibited a negative and positive association with diabetes, respectively. Moreover, it was demonstrated that severe/critical patients with the "cc" genotype are more susceptible to have diabetes. Also, the CDX2 was recognized to have an association with hypertension, in which severe/critical COVID-19 patients with genotype "cc" have an increased risk for hypertension. Collectively, it can be proposed that the "cc" genotype causes an increased risk on severe/critical COVID-19 to exhibit both diabetes and hypertension comorbidities. Similarly, FokI SNP illustrated a remarkable association with hypertension in severe/critical COVID-19 patients, in which elevated risk of hypertension was detected in "ff" genotype. ApaI genotypes were deciphered to possess a significant association with asthma, in which severe/critical COVID-19 patients with "aa" genotype strongly have increased risk than "AA + Aa" patients. Briefly, our data

Table 9

_

Significant association of VDR gene polymorphisms with some clinical symptom a

nd comorbidities in	COVID-19 patients.	-	
Genetic models		P- value	Odds ratio (95% CI)
ApaI and fever			
Dominant	AA + Aa vs. aa	0.954	0.98 (0.53–1.81)
	aa vs. AA + Aa		1.02 (0.55–1.89)
Recessive	aa + Aa vs. AA	< 0.001	2.15 (1.38–3.34)
	AA vs. aa + Aa		0.47 (0.30–0.73)
Overdominant	Aa vs. AA + aa	< 0.001	2.11 (1.36–3.28)
	AA + aa vs. Aa		0.47 (0.31–0.74)
Codominant	aa vs. AA	0.168	1.59 (0.82–3.06)
	Aa vs. AA	< 0.001	2.38 (1.48-3.83)
Allelic	A vs. a	0.013	0.67 (0.49–0.92)
	a vs. A		1.49 (1.09–2.04)
A			
Apai and astrima		0.011	0.00 (0.14.0.77)
Dominant	AA + Aa vs. aa	0.011	0.33 (0.14–0.77)
n .	aa vs. AA + Aa	0.541	3.03 (1.30–7.14)
Recessive	aa + Aa vs. AA	0.761	1.13 (0.52–2.47)
	AA vs. aa + Aa		0.89 (0.41–1.92)
Overdominant	Aa vs. $AA + aa$	0.119	0.52 (0.23–1.18)
	AA + aa vs. Aa		1.92 (0.85–4.35)
Codominant	aa vs. AA	0.049	2.60 (1.01-6.72)
	Aa vs. AA	0.484	0.72 (0.29–1.80)
Allelic	A vs. a	0.114	0.65 (0.38–1.11)
	a vs. A		1.54 (0.90-2.63)
Bsml and chronic ren	al disease		
Dominant	BB + Bb vs. bb	0.038	0.42 (0.19–0.95)
	bb vs. BB + Bb		2.38 (1.05–5.26)
Recessive	bb + Bb vs. BB	0.331	0.76 (0.44–1.32)
	BB vs. bb + Bb		1.32 (0.76–2.27)
Overdominant	Bb vs. BB + bb	0.032	0.54 (0.31–0.95)
	BB + bb vs. Bb		1.85 (1.05-3.23)
Codominant	bb vs. BB	0.161	1.85 (0.78-4.37)
	Bb vs. BB	0.104	0.61 (0.34–1.11)
Allelic	B vs. b	0.853	0.96 (0.64–1.44)
	b vs. B		1.04 (0.69-1.56)
Tru9I and shortness o	f breath		
Dominant	UU + Uu vs. uu	0.159	0.42 (0.12–1.41)
	uu vs. $UU + Uu$		2.38 (0.71-8.33)
Recessive	uu + Uu vs. UU	0.016	1.95 (1.14–3.35)
	UU vs. uu + Uu		0.51 (0.30-0.88)
Overdominant	Uu vs. UU + uu	0.055	1.75 (0.99–3.10)
	UU + uu vs. Uu		0.57 (0.32-1.01)
Codominant	uu vs. UU	0.105	2.75 (0.81-9.31)
	Uu vs. UU	0.038	1.84 (1.03-3.27)
Allelic	U vs. u	0.008	0.53 (0.33-0.85)
	u vs. U		1.89 (1.18-3.03)
Tru9I and hypertension	on		
Dominant	UU + Uu vs. uu	0.933	0.94 (0.25–3.64)
	uu vs. $UU + Uu$		1.06 (0.28-4.00)
Recessive	uu + Uu vs. UU	0.013	1.99 (1.16–3.43)
	UU vs. uu + Uu		0.50 (0.29-0.86)
Overdominant	Uu vs. UU + uu	0.010	2.11 (1.20-3.72)
	UU + uu vs. Uu		0.47 (0.27-0.83)
Codominant	uu vs. UU	0.737	1.26 (0.32-4.91)
	Uu vs. UU	0.009	2.14 (1.21-3.77)
Allelic	U vs. 11	0.026	0.58 (0.37-0.94)
	u vs. U		1.72(1.06-2.70)
			= (
FokI and fever			
Dominant	FF + Ff vs. ff	0.017	0.47 (0.25-0.87)
	ff vs. FF + Ff		2.13 (1.15-4.00)
Recessive	ff + Ff vs. FF	0.140	1.40 (0.90-2.18)
	FF vs. $ff + Ff$		0.71 (0.46-1.11)
Overdominant	Ff vs. FF + ff	0.711	0.92 (0.60-1.42)
	ff + FF vs. Ff		1.09 (0.70–1.67)
Codominant	ff vs. FF	0.014	2.35 (1.19-4.62)
Southingth	Ff vs FF	0.490	1.18 (0.74_1.90)
Allelic	Fvs f	0.020	0.69(0.50-0.94)
mutu	rvs.j fvs.F	0.020	1 45 (1 06 2 00)
	j vs. F		1.43 (1.00-2.00)
FokI and hypertension	n		
Dominant	FF + Ff vs. ff	0.016	0.48 (0.26-0.87)
	ff vs. FF + Ff		2.08 (1.15-3.85)
Recessive	ff + Ff vs. FF	0.204	1.40 (0.83-2.33)

Genetic models		P- value	Odds ratio (95% CI)
	FF vs. ff + Ff		0.71 (0.43–1.21)
Overdominant	Ff vs. FF + ff	0.560	0.87 (0.53–1.41)
	ff + FF vs. Ff		1.15 (0.71–1.89)
Codominant	ff vs. FF	0.019	2.27 (1.15–4.48)
	Ff vs. FF	0.628	1.15 (0.66–2.00)
Allelic	F vs. f	0.028	0.68 (0.48–0.96)
	f vs. F		1.47 (1.04–2.08)
CDX2 and headac	he		
Dominant	CC + Cc vs. cc	0.006	0.42 (0.23-0.78)
	cc vs. CC + Cc		2.38 (1.28-4.35)
Recessive	cc + Cc vs. CC	0.485	1.24 (0.68-2.25)
	CC vs. cc + Cc		0.81 (0.44-1.47)
Overdominant	Cc vs. CC + cc	0.113	0.62 (0.35-1.12)
	CC + cc vs. Cc		1.61 (0.89-2.86)
Codominant	cc vs. CC	0.031	2.19(1.07-4.47)
	CC VS CC	0.668	0.86(0.44 - 1.70)
Allelic	CVSC	0.037	0.66 (0.44-0.98)
Intene	C VS C	0.007	1.51(1.02-2.27)
	c v3. G		1.01 (1.02 2.27)
CDX2 and hyperte	ension		
Dominant	CC + Cc vs. cc	0.001	0.40 (0.23–0.70)
	cc vs. CC + Cc		2.50 (1.43–4.35)
Recessive	cc + Cc vs. CC	0.282	1.33 (0.79–2.22)
	CC vs. cc + Cc		0.75 (0.45–1.27)
Overdominant	Cc vs. CC + cc	0.108	0.66 (0.40–1.09)
	CC + cc vs. Cc		1.52 (0.92–2.50)
Codominant	cc vs. CC	0.007	2.40 (1.27-4.53)
	Cc vs. CC	0.845	0.94 (0.53–1.68)
Allelic	C vs. c	0.009	0.63 (0.45–0.89)
	c vs. C		1.59 (1.12–2.22)
CDX2 and diabete	s		
Dominant	CC + Cc vs. cc	0.008	0.46 (0.26-0.82)
	cc vs. CC + Cc		2.17 (1.22-3.85)
Recessive	cc + Cc vs. CC	0.044	1.79 (1.06-3.16)
	CC vs. cc + Cc		0.56 (0.32-0.94)
Overdominant	Cc vs. CC + cc	0.823	0.94 (0.56-1.58)
	CC + cc vs. Cc		1.06 (0.63-1.79)
Codominant	cc vs. CC	0.005	2.69 (1.35-5.35)
	Cc vs. CC	0.254	1.43(0.77 - 2.66)
Allelic	C vs. c	0.003	0.58(0.40-0.84)
- Inche	c vs. C	01000	1.72 (1.19–2.50)
FcoRV and diabet	es		
Dominant	EE + Ee vs ee	0.014	0.36 (0.16-0.81)
	ee vs. EE + Fe	0.01 1	2.78 (1.24-6.25)
Recessive	ee + Ee vs FF	< 0.001	3 86 (2 19_6 80)
	$FF vs \rho \rho \perp F\rho$	~ 0.001	0.00(2.1)-0.00) 0.26(0.15-0.46)
Overdominant	E_{μ} vs. $EE \perp aa$	< 0.001	254(151 4 20)
Grenuonallall	EE vs. EE + $EEFE \perp \rho_{0} \ge E\rho$	< 0.001	2.37 (1.31-4.20)
Codominant	$EE \rightarrow ee vs. Ee$	< 0.001	5.61(2.23-0.00)
Gouominani	EE VS. EE	< 0.001	2 50 (2.27-13.89)
Allalia	Ee vs. EE	< 0.001	3.39 (2.00-0.44)
Aueuc	E VS. E	< 0.001	0.40(0.27-0.58)
	e vs. E		2.50 (1./2-3./0)

Bold items indicate an statistically significant levels.

highlighted that ApaI SNP is associated with respiratory complications, including shortness of breath and asthma in severe/critical COVID-19 patients more likely based on overdominant and dominant genetic models, respectively.

To evaluate the reproducibility of the results and increase the accuracy of the study, the association of VDR gene SNPs with clinical outcomes and comorbidities was examined, regardless of the severity grouping of COVID-19 patients that in turn led to obtaining a larger sample size. Here, we found a significant association of VDR gene polymorphisms with several clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients, including the association of ApaI and FokI variants with fever, Tru9I with shortness of breath, and CDX2 with the headache. By comparing these findings with the results described earlier, it is clear that these associations are quietly different. ApaI allelic and genotypic frequencies revealed that alleles "A" and "a" contribute to decreased and increased susceptibility of COVID-19 patients to fever, respectively. Our data revealed that patients with genotype "AA", are more protected to exhibit

fever than "Aa + aa" patients, but the "Aa" patients are more susceptible to exhibit fever than "AA + aa", "AA" and "aa" genotypes. All of these findings pinpointed that the overdominant genetic model is the most likely model, in which an increased chance to have a fever might be occurred in heterozygotes compared to both dominant and recessive homozygotes. In respect of FokI SNP, we found that the major "F" allele associate with diminished susceptibility to fever, however the minor "f" allele associate with increased risk. Accordingly, we demonstrated that COVID-19 patients with the "ff" genotype have a higher chance to exhibit fever than "FF + Ff", "FF", and "Ff" patients. We didn't find a significant difference in the distribution of "FF" and "Ff" genotypes between patients with positive and negative fever histories. Consequently, the dominant genetic model is the most likely model, in which "ff" homozygotes are more vulnerable to fever than "Ff" heterozygotes and "FF" homozygotes. Our results disclosed that Tru9I major "U" and minor "u" alleles possess protective and predisposing effects to the shortness of breath, respectively. Further, "UU" COVID-19 patients are more protective to shortness of breath than "Uu + uu", while "Uu" patients are more susceptible to this respiratory complication than COVID-19 subjects with "UU" or "uu" genotypes. Consequently, although no significant difference between "Uu" and combined "UU + uu" was detected, we can propose an overdominant genetic model for this SNP, in which the heterozygotes "Uu" are at elevated risk compared to both "UU" and "uu" homozygotes. The findings of the present study identified the association of CDX2 allelic and genotypic association with headache. It was highlighted that the "C" major allele was negatively associated with headache, but the "c" minor allele was positively associated in COVID-19 patients. Accordingly, we found an increased risk of headache in COVID-19 subjects with "cc" genotype than combined "CC + Cc", "Cc", and "CC" genotypes. However, any significant differences in the distribution of "CC" and "Cc" genotypes didn't observe between COVID-19 cases with and without headache though.

The results of VDR gene SNPs association with comorbidities in the combined COVID-19 patient samples regardless of severity groups (N =500 cases) were interestingly almost consistent with associations found in COVID-19 subgroups. ApaI was identified to associate with asthma in the dominant genetic model, in which COVID-19 patients with the "aa" genotype were at higher risk than "AA + Aa" to have asthma. The "bb" homozygotes of BsmI SNP were more susceptible to chronic renal disease in the combined samples (consists of 500 cases) and severe/critical subgroup, while both "BB" and "bb" genotypes increase the risk of chronic renal disease in mild/moderate group. The association of EcoRV polymorphism with diabetes was disclosed in combined COVID-19 samples and the most likely of proposed genetic models is additive genetic model, similar to mild/moderate group, in which the COVID-19 affected individuals with 0, 1, and 2 alleles of minor allele "e" are at low, intermediate, and high risk of diabetes, respectively, nonetheless, the overdominant model works better in the severe/critical group. Similar to the severe/critical class of COVID-19, we found a significant association of the CDX2 allelic and genotypic distributions with diabetes and hypertension, in which major "C" and minor "c" alleles exhibited a negative and positive association with both diabetes and hypertension, respectively. According to the results, the strongest genetic model is the dominant model, in which COVID-19 patients with the "cc" genotype have an increased risk of both diabetes and hypertension comorbidities compared to "CC + Cc", "CC", and "Cc" genotypes. Moreover, we found that FokI's major "F" and minor "f' alleles showed protective and susceptible effects on hypertension in combined COVID-19 samples, respectively. Similar to severe/critical patients, COVID-19 patients with "ff" genotype have elevated risk to hypertension versus "FF + Ff", "Ff", and "FF" genotypes. The last detected association between VDR gene variants and comorbidities was an association of Tru9I with hypertension, which was not observed in subtypes of COVID-19 patients. The results disclosed major "U" and minor "u" alleles as susceptible and protective factors for hypertension, respectively. Tru9I genotypic distributions suggested an overdominant genetic model as the most likely

model, in which COVID-19 patients with "Uu" genotype had increased risk to hypertension than "UU + uu", "UU", "uu" patients.

To appropriately recognize individuals who may require hospital and/or ICU admission, risk stratification based on clinical, radiographic, and laboratory data appears to be essential. The existence of comorbidities is among the most alarming clinical characteristics. Some underlying illnesses such as hypertension, diabetes, lung disease, cardiovascular disease, age may be health issues for severe COVID-19 patients who have poorer outcomes than non-severe COVID-19 patients (Yang et al., 2020). Current evidence from the present study suggests that comorbidities including age, hypertension, diabetes, and chronic renal disease may work as a risk for the worst prognosis of COVID-19 patients. Consistent with previously reported data, our results revealed that severe/critical patients were older than mild/moderate and asymptomatic patients (Williamson et al., 2020). Therefore, a positive association between elder ages and more severity of COVID-19 patients could be proposed. We observed greater frequencies of these diseases in severe/critical patients versus mild/moderate and asymptomatic patients, which is consistent with several reports (Singh et al., 2020; Henry and Lippi, 2020; Pranata et al., 2020). Asthma has been considered as a risk factor that makes people susceptible to more severe COVID-19 illness (Lee et al., 2020). However, managing COVID-19 in severe asthma is difficult, and it's uncertain if individuals with severe asthma are at a higher risk of having the poorest results, at least partially due to safety concerns about biologics and systemic corticosteroids (SCSs) (Adir et al., 2021). Our results showed an increased frequency of asthma conditions in severe/critical patients versus mild/moderate patients. Interestingly, a lower frequency of this condition was observed in mild/moderate patients than asymptomatic COVID-19 cases. Similar to our results, many recent studies revealed the strong positive association of cancer with the severity of COVID-19, even though inconsistent findings were also observed (Zhang et al., 2020b). Intriguingly, our results didn't show any significant discrepancies of cancer frequency between severe/critical and asymptomatic COVID-19 patients. Despite early studies suggested that cancer might be a separate risk factor for severe COVID-19, recent matched researches comparing outcomes between hospitalized cancer patients and matched controls found no statistically significant differences in death (Brar et al., 2020; Klein et al., 2021). As a result, a history of cancer and cancer-directed treatments might not even be associated with a greater risk of the most serious COVID-19 outcomes in hospitalized individuals. A proinflammatory state and a weakened innate immune response are suggested as the common characteristics between these chronic illnesses and infectious diseases, which may be connected etiologically to its pathogenesis. More importantly, the co-existence of multiple comorbidities in patients seems to increase the risk of severity or death in COVID-19 disease. Regarding the signs and symptoms in symptomatic patients, increased significant frequencies of the shortness of breath, fatigue, and parageusia were illustrated in the severe/critical group compared to the mild/moderate group, which is similar to previous investigations (Liu et al., 2020). Breathlessness is a distressing and common symptom in patients with severe illness, and it is thought to be caused by physiological and structural abnormalities in the lungs. The increased ventilatory drive may rationalize our findings since individuals with moderate COVID-19 nevertheless respond physiologically to hypoxia.

5. Conclusion

Vitamin D has been shown to regulate macrophage responses, stopping them from producing excessive amounts of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which are common in COVID-19. Therefore, the prevalence and mortality rate of COVID-19 may depend on the modulatory effect of bioavailable Vitamin D levels of individuals, which is determined by the genetic background, such as VDR gene polymorphisms. Therefore, we designed the present study to explore the association of eight VDR gene SNPs with the clinical status and prognosis of COVID-19

patients. We found significant associations of VDR gene variants with several clinical outcomes such as severity and shortness of breath in mild/moderate and severe/critical cases of COVID-19. Nevertheless, the VDR gene SNPs could not be proposed as either independent or dependent risk factors to COVID-19-co-existing conditions, including hypertension, diabetes, asthma, cardiovascular disease, chronic renal disease, and malignancy. Our data showed that some VDR SNPs have a clinical impact on the COVID-19 patients and might be helpful to identify the individuals at high risk of COVID-19 severity in the Iranian population. Moreover, the variations in the prevalence of COVID-19 and its mortality rates among countries may be explained by vitamin D function differed by the VDR polymorphisms. However, the present study is preliminary with partially limited sample size. Thus, further experiments are suggested to identify the role of VDR polymorphisms as the cause-effect of COVID-19 severity in a larger population, in other ethnicities and geographical regions.

Author's Contributions

Asaad Azarnezhad and Rasoul Abdollahzadeh: Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding acquisition, and Project Administration. Mohammad Hossein Shushizadeh, Rasoul Abdollahzadeh, and Asaad Azarnezhad: Data curation, Data Interpretation, and Writing- Original draft preparation. Mina Barazandehrokh and Sepideh Choopani: Data curation, Visualization, Investigation, Reviewing and Editing, and Software, Sahereh Paknahad, Maryam Pirhoushiaran, S.Zahra Makani, Razieh, and Zarifian Yeganeh: Data curation, Data Interpretation, Laboratory works, and revising. Ahmed Al-Kateb and Roozbeh Heidarzadehpilehrood: Reviewing, Editing, Software, Validation, and Revising.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the participants enrolled in this study. The author(s) received no specific funding for this work. We also thank all of the individuals who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research, although they may not agree with all of the interpretations/conclusions of this paper.

References

- Abdollahzadeh, R., Fard, M.S., Rahmani, F., Moloudi, K., Azarnezhad, A., 2016. Predisposing role of vitamin D receptor (VDR) polymorphisms in the development of
- multiple sclerosis: a case-control study. J. Neurol. Sci. 367, 148–151. Abdollahzadeh, R., Moradi Pordanjani, P., Rahmani, F., Mashayekhi, F., Azarnezhad, A., Mansoori, Y., 2018. Association of VDR gene polymorphisms with risk of relapsing-
- remitting multiple sclerosis in an Iranian Kurdish population. Int. J. Neurosci. 128 (6), 505–511. Adir, Y., Humbert, M., Saliba, W., 2021. COVID-19 risk and outcomes in adult asthmatics
- treated with biologics or systemic corticosteroids: nationwide real-world evidence. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 148 (2), 361–367.
- Ali, N., 2020. Role of vitamin D in preventing of COVID-19 infection, progression and severity. J. Infect. Publ. Health 13 (10), 1373–1380.
- Alzaman, N.S., Dawson-Hughes, B., Nelson, J., D'Alessio, D., Pittas, A.G., 2016. Vitamin D status of black and white Americans and changes in vitamin D metabolites after varied doses of vitamin D supplementation. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 104 (1), 205–214.
- Amrein, K., Scherkl, M., Hoffmann, M., Neuwersch-Sommeregger, S., Köstenberger, M., Berisha, A.T., et al., 2020. Vitamin D deficiency 2.0: an update on the current status worldwide. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 1–16.
- Anastassopoulou, C., Gkizarioti, Z., Patrinos, G.P., Tsakris, A., 2020. Human genetic factors associated with susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease severity. Hum. Genom. 14 (1), 1–8.
- Aydıngöz, İ.E., Bingül, İ., Doğru-Abbasoğlu, S., Vural, P., Uysal, M., 2012. Analysis of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms in vitiligo. Dermatology 224 (4), 361–368.
- Aygun, H., 2020. Vitamin D can prevent COVID-19 infection-induced multiple organ damage. Naunyn Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 1–4.

Infection, Genetics and Evolution 96 (2021) 105098

- Biesalski, H.K., 2020. Vitamin D deficiency and co-morbidities in COVID-19 patients–A fatal relationship? NFS Journal 20, 10–21.
- Bizzaro, G., Antico, A., Fortunato, A., Bizzaro, N., 2017. Vitamin D and autoimmune diseases: is vitamin D receptor (VDR) polymorphism the culprit. Isr. Med. Assoc. J. 19 (7), 438–443.
- Brar, G., Pinheiro, L.C., Shusterman, M., Swed, B., Reshetnyak, E., Soroka, O., et al., 2020. COVID-19 severity and outcomes in patients with Cancer: a matched cohort study. J. Clin. Oncol. 3914–3924.
- Cameron, M.J., Bermejo-Martin, J.F., Danesh, A., Muller, M.P., Kelvin, D.J., 2008. Human immunopathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Virus Res. 133 (1), 13–19.
- Carpagnano, G.E., Di Lecce, V., Quaranta, V.N., Zito, A., Buonamico, E., Capozza, E., et al., 2020. Vitamin D deficiency as a predictor of poor prognosis in patients with acute respiratory failure due to COVID-19. J. Endocrinol. Investig. 1–7.
- Pathogenic human coronavirus infections: causes and consequences of cytokine storm and immunopathology. In: Channappanavar, R., Perlman, S. (Eds.), 2017. Seminars in Immunopathology. Springer.
- Chen, N., Zhou, M., Dong, X., Qu, J., Gong, F., Han, Y., et al., 2020. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 395 (10223), 507–513.
- Cheon, C.K., Nam, H.K., Lee, K.H., Kim, S.Y., Song, J.S., Kim, C., 2015. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms and type 1 diabetes mellitus in a Korean population. Pediatr. Int. 57 (5), 870–874.
- Clendenen, T.V., Arslan, A.A., Koenig, K.L., Enquist, K., Wirgin, I., Ågren, Å., et al., 2008. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and risk of epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Lett. 260 (1–2), 209–215.
- Colin, E.M., Weel, A.E., Uitterlinden, A.G., Buurman, C.J., Birkenhäger, J.C., Pols, H.A., et al., 2000. Consequences of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms for growth inhibition of cultured human peripheral blood mononuclear cells by 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Clin. Endocrinol. 52 (2), 211–216.
- Dancer, R.C., Parekh, D., Lax, S., D'Souza, V., Zheng, S., Bassford, C.R., et al., 2015. Vitamin D deficiency contributes directly to the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Thorax 70 (7), 617–624.
- De Wit, E., Van Doremalen, N., Falzarano, D., Munster, V.J., 2016. SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 14 (8), 523.
- Dickinson, J.L., Perera, D.I., Van der Mei, A., Ponsonby, A.-L., Polanowski, A.M., Thomson, R.J., et al., 2009. Past environmental sun exposure and risk of multiple sclerosis: a role for the Cdx-2 Vitamin D receptor variant in this interaction. Mult. Scler. J. 15 (5), 563–570.
- Ebadi, M., Bhanji, R.A., Mazurak, V.C., Lytvyak, E., Mason, A., Czaja, A.J., et al., 2019. Severe vitamin D deficiency is a prognostic biomarker in autoimmune hepatitis. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 49 (2), 173–182.
- Fang, Y., Van Meurs, J.B., Bergink, A.P., Hofman, A., Van Duijn, C.M., Van Leeuwen, J.P., et al., 2003. Cdx-2 polymorphism in the promoter region of the human vitamin D receptor gene determines susceptibility to fracture in the elderly. J. Bone Miner. Res. 18 (9), 1632–1641.
- Faul, J., Kerley, C., Love, B., O'Neill, E., Cody, C., Tormey, W., et al., 2020. Vitamin D Deficiency and ARDS after SARS-CoV-2 Infection.
- Frederiksen, B., Liu, E., Romanos, J., Steck, A., Yin, X., Kroehl, M., et al., 2013. Investigation of the vitamin D receptor gene (VDR) and its interaction with protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 2 gene (PTPN2) on risk of islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes: the Diabetes Autoimmunity Study in the Young (DAISY). J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 133, 51–57.
- Ghodsi, M., Keshtkar, A.A., Razi, F., Mohammad Amoli, M., Nasli-Esfahani, E., Zarrabi, F., et al., 2021. Association of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism with the occurrence of low bone density, osteopenia, and osteoporosis in patients with type 2 diabetes. J. Diabetes Metab. Dis. 1–9.
- Gómez, J., Albaiceta, G.M., García-Clemente, M., López-Larrea, C., Amado-Rodríguez, L., Lopez-Alonso, I., et al., 2020. Angiotensin-converting enzymes (ACE, ACE2) gene variants and COVID-19 outcome. Gene 762, 145102.
- Grant, W.B., Lahore, H., McDonnell, S.L., Baggerly, C.A., French, C.B., Aliano, J.L., et al., 2020. Evidence that vitamin D supplementation could reduce risk of influenza and COVID-19 infections and deaths. Nutrients 12 (4), 988.
- Grasselli, G., Zangrillo, A., Zanella, A., Antonelli, M., Cabrini, L., Castelli, A., et al., 2020. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. JAMA 323 (16), 1574–1581.
- Halsall, J., Osborne, J., Potter, L., Pringle, J., Hutchinson, P., 2004. A novel polymorphism in the 1A promoter region of the vitamin D receptor is associated with altered susceptibility and prognosis in malignant melanoma. Br. J. Cancer 91 (4), 765–770.
- Harishankar, M., Selvaraj, P., 2017. Influence of Cdx2 and TaqI gene variants on vitamin D3 modulated intracellular chemokine positive T-cell subsets in pulmonary tuberculosis. Clin. Ther. 39 (5), 946–957.
- Henry, B.M., Lippi, G., 2020. Chronic kidney disease is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection. Int. Urol. Nephrol. 1–2.
- Hou, Y., Zhao, J., Martin, W., Kallianpur, A., Chung, M.K., Jehi, L., et al., 2020. New insights into genetic susceptibility of COVID-19: an ACE2 and TMPRSS2 polymorphism analysis. BMC Med. 18 (1), 1–8.
- Hribar, C.A., Cobbold, P.H., Church, F.C., 2020. Potential role of vitamin D in the elderly to resist COVID-19 and to slow progression of Parkinson's disease. Brain Sciences. 10 (5), 284.
- Hussain, T., Naushad, S.M., Ahmed, A., Alamery, S., Mohammed, A.A., Abdelkader, M. O., et al., 2019. Association of vitamin D receptor TaqI and ApaI genetic polymorphisms with nephrolithiasis and end stage renal disease: a meta-analysis. BMC Med. Genet. 20 (1), 1–8.

Hustmyer, F.G., DeLuca, H.F., Peacock, M., 1993. Apal, Bsml, Eco RV and Taql polymorphisms at the human vitamin D receptor gene locus in Caucasians, Blacks and Asians. Hum. Mol. Genet. 2 (4), 487.

Ilie, P.C., Stefanescu, S., Smith, L., 2020. The role of vitamin D in the prevention of coronavirus disease 2019 infection and mortality. Aging Clin. Exp. Res. 1–4.

Imai, Y., Kuba, K., Rao, S., Huan, Y., Guo, F., Guan, B., et al., 2005. Angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 protects from severe acute lung failure. Nature 436 (7047), 112–116.

- Jurutka, P.W., Remus, L.S., Whitfield, G.K., Thompson, P.D., Hsieh, J.-C., Zitzer, H., et al., 2000. The polymorphic N terminus in human vitamin D receptor isoforms influences transcriptional activity by modulating interaction with transcription factor IIB. Mol. Endocrinol. 14 (3), 401–420.
- Jurutka, P.W., Whitfield, G.K., Hsieh, J.-C., Thompson, P.D., Haussler, C.A., Haussler, M. R., 2001. Molecular nature of the vitamin D receptor and its role in regulation of gene expression. Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord. 2 (2), 203–216.

K-i, Miyamoto, Kesterson, R.A., Yamamoto, H., Taketani, Y., Nishiwaki, E., Tatsumi, S., et al., 1997. Structural organization of the human vitamin D receptor chromosomal gene and its promoter. Mol. Endocrinol. 11 (8), 1165–1179.

Klein, I.A., Rosenberg, S.M., Reynolds, K.L., Zubiri, L., Rosovsky, R., Piper-Vallillo, A.J., et al., 2021. Impact of cancer history on outcomes among hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Oncologist 26 (8), 685–693.

Kong, J., Zhu, X., Shi, Y., Liu, T., Chen, Y., Bhan, I., et al., 2013. VDR attenuates acute lung injury by blocking Ang-2-Tie-2 pathway and renin-angiotensin system. Mol. Endocrinol. 27 (12), 2116–2125.

Köstner, K., Denzer, N., Mueller, C.S., Klein, R., Tilgen, W., Reichrath, J., 2009. The relevance of vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphisms for cancer: a review of the literature. Anticancer Res. 29 (9), 3511–3536.

Kunadian, V., Ford, G.A., Bawamia, B., Qiu, W., Manson, J.E., 2014. Vitamin D

- deficiency and coronary artery disease: a review of the evidence. Am. Heart J. 167 (3), 283-291.
- Laplana, M., Royo, J.L., Fibla, J., 2018. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and risk of enveloped virus infection: a meta-analysis. Gene 678, 384–394.
- Latini, A., Agolini, E., Novelli, A., Borgiani, P., Giannini, R., Gravina, P., et al., 2020. COVID-19 and genetic variants of protein involved in the SARS-CoV-2 entry into the host cells. Genes 11 (9), 1010.
- Lee, S.C., Son, K.J., Han, C.H., Jung, J.Y., Park, S.C., 2020. Impact of comorbid asthma on severity of coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 1–9.

Liu, J., Zhang, S., Wu, Z., Shang, Y., Dong, X., Li, C., et al., 2020. Clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a large cohort study. Ann. Intensive Care 10 (1), 1–21.

Ma, J., Stampfer, M.J., Gann, P.H., Hough, H.L., Giovannucci, E., Kelsey, K.T., et al., 1998. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms, circulating vitamin D metabolites, and risk of prostate cancer in United States physicians. Cancer Epidemiol. Prev. Biomark. 7 (5), 385–390.

McNally, J.D., Sampson, M., Matheson, L.A., Hutton, B., Little, J., 2014. Vitamin D receptor (VDR) polymorphisms and severe RSV bronchiolitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr. Pulmonol. 49 (8), 790–799.

Mehrabani, S.Z.N., Shushizadeh, M.H., Abazari, M.F., Aleagha, M.N., Ardalan, A., Abdollahzadeh, R., et al., 2019. Association of SHMT1, MAZ, ERG, and L3MBTL3 gene polymorphisms with susceptibility to multiple sclerosis. Biochem. Genet. 57 (3), 355–370.

Meltzer, D.O., Best, T.J., Zhang, H., Vokes, T., Arora, V., Solway, J., 2020. Association of Vitamin D deficiency and treatment with COVID-19 incidence. medRxiv.

Mendy, A., Apewokin, S., Wells, A.A., Morrow, A.L., 2020. Factors associated with hospitalization and disease severity in a racially and ethnically diverse population of COVID-19 patients. MedRxiv.

Meyer, V., Bornman, L., 2018. Cdx-2 polymorphism in the vitamin D receptor gene (VDR) marks VDR expression in monocyte/macrophages through VDR promoter methylation. Immunogenetics 70 (8), 523–532.

Mohammadi, A., Azarnezhad, A., Khanbabaei, H., Izadpanah, E., Abdollahzadeh, R., Barreto, G.E., et al., 2020. Vitamin D receptor genetic polymorphisms and the risk of multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Steroids 158, 108615.

Morrison, N.A., Qi, J.C., Tokita, A., Kelly, P.J., Crofts, L., Nguyen, T.V., et al., 1994. Prediction of bone density from vitamin D receptor alleles. Nature 367 (6460), 284–287.

Organization WH, 2020. Clinical Management of COVID-19: Interim Guidance, 27 May 2020. World Health Organization.

Ovsyannikova, I.G., Dhiman, N., Haralambieva, I.H., Vierkant, R.A., O'Byrne, M.M., Jacobson, R.M., et al., 2010. Rubella vaccine-induced cellular immunity: evidence of associations with polymorphisms in the Toll-like, vitamin A and D receptors, and innate immune response genes. Hum. Genet. 127 (2), 207–221.

Parekh, D., Thickett, D.R., Turner, A.M., 2013. Vitamin D deficiency and acute lung injury. Inflamm. Allergy Drug Targets 12 (4), 253–261 (Formerly Current Drug Targets-Inflammation & Allergy).

Park, S., Lee, M.G., Hong, S.-B., Lim, C.-M., Koh, Y., Huh, J.W., 2018. Effect of vitamin D deficiency in Korean patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Korean J. Intern. Med. 33 (6), 1129.

Pranata, R., Lim, M.A., Huang, I., Raharjo, S.B., Lukito, A.A., 2020. Hypertension is associated with increased mortality and severity of disease in COVID-19 pneumonia: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. J. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone Syst. 21 (2).

Quesada-Gomez, J.M., Castillo, M.E., Bouillon, R., 2020. Vitamin D receptor stimulation to reduce Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) in patients with coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 infections: revised Ms SBMB 2020_166. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 105719.

- Rhodes, J.M., Subramanian, S., Laird, E., Griffin, G., Kenny, R.A., 2020. Perspective: vitamin D deficiency and COVID-19 severity–plausibly linked by latitude, ethnicity, impacts on cytokines, ACE2 and thrombosis. J. Intern. Med. 289 (1), 97–115.
- Richardson, S., Hirsch, J.S., Narasimhan, M., Crawford, J.M., McGinn, T., Davidson, K. W., et al., 2020. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. Jama. 323 (20), 2052–2059.
- Saccone, D., Asani, F., Bornman, L., 2015. Regulation of the vitamin D receptor gene by environment, genetics and epigenetics. Gene 561 (2), 171–180.
- Shi, Y.Y., Liu, T.J., Fu, J.H., Xu, W., Wu, L.L., Hou, A.N., et al., 2016. Vitamin D/VDR signaling attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury by maintaining the integrity of the pulmonary epithelial barrier. Mol. Med. Rep. 13 (2), 1186–1194.
- Singh, A.K., Gupta, R., Ghosh, A., Misra, A., 2020. Diabetes in COVID-19: prevalence, pathophysiology, prognosis and practical considerations. Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Clin. Res. Rev. 14 (4), 303–310.
- Singhal, T., 2020. A review of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). Indian J. Pediatr. 1–6.
- Sohrabi, C., Alsafi, Z., O'Neill, N., Khan, M., Kerwan, A., Al-Jabir, A., et al., 2020. World Health Organization declares global emergency: a review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). Int. J. Surg. 76, 71–76.

Tabrizi, R., Moosazadeh, M., Akbari, M., Dabbaghmanesh, M.H., Mohamadkhani, M., Asemi, Z., et al., 2018. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among Iranian population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Iran. J. Med. Sci. 43 (2), 125.

- Thickett, D.R., Moromizato, T., Litonjua, A.A., Amrein, K., Quraishi, S.A., Lee-Sarwar, K. A., et al., 2015. Association between prehospital vitamin D status and incident acute respiratory failure in critically ill patients: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open Respir. Res. 2 (1).
- Tuoresmäki, P., Väisänen, S., Neme, A., Heikkinen, S., Carlberg, C., 2014. Patterns of genome-wide VDR locations. PLoS One 9 (4), e96105.
- Valdivielso, J.M., Fernandez, E., 2006. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and diseases. Clin. Chim. Acta 371 (1–2), 1–12.
- van Etten, E., Verlinden, L., Giulietti, A., Ramos-Lopez, E., Branisteanu, D.D., Ferreira, G. B., et al., 2007. The vitamin D receptor gene FokI polymorphism: functional impact on the immune system. Eur. J. Immunol. 37 (2), 395–405.
- Wacker, M., Holick, M.F., 2013. Sunlight and vitamin D: a global perspective for health. Dermato-endocrinology 5 (1), 51–108.
- Wang, W., Zhang, W., Zhang, J., He, J., Zhu, F., 2020. Distribution of HLA allele frequencies in 82 Chinese individuals with coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). Hla 96 (2), 194–196.
- Weir, E.K., Thenappan, T., Bhargava, M., Chen, Y., 2020. Does vitamin D deficiency increase the severity of COVID-19? Clin. Med. 24 (2), e107–e108.
- Whitfield, G.K., Remus, L.S., Jurutka, P.W., Zitzer, H., Oza, A.K., Dang, H.T., et al., 2001. Functionally relevant polymorphisms in the human nuclear vitamin D receptor gene. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 177 (1–2), 145–159.
- Williamson, E.J., Walker, A.J., Bhaskaran, K., Bacon, S., Bates, C., Morton, C.E., et al., 2020. OpenSAFELY: factors associated with COVID-19 death in 17 million patients. Nature 584 (7821), 430–436.
- Wjst, M., 2005. Variants in the vitamin D receptor gene and asthma. BMC Genet. 6 (1), 1–8.
- Xie, M., Chen, Q., 2020. Insight into 2019 novel coronavirus—an updated intrim review and lessons from SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 94, 119–124.
- Xu, J., Yang, J., Chen, J., Luo, Q., Zhang, Q., Zhang, H., 2017. Vitamin D alleviates lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury via regulation of the renin-angiotensin system. Mol. Med. Rep. 16 (5), 7432–7438.
- Xu, Z., Shi, L., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Huang, L., Zhang, C., et al., 2020. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir. Med. 8 (4), 420–422.

Yancy, C.W., 2020. COVID-19 and African Americans. JAMA 323 (19), 1891–1892.

Yang, J., Zheng, Y., Gou, X., Pu, K., Chen, Z., Guo, Q., et al., 2020. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 94, 91–95.

Zdrenghea, M.T., Makrinioti, H., Bagacean, C., Bush, A., Johnston, S.L., Stanciu, L.A., 2017. Vitamin D modulation of innate immune responses to respiratory viral infections. Rev. Med. Virol. 27 (1), e1909.

- Zemb, P., Bergman, P., Camargo Jr., C.A., Cavalier, E., Cormier, C., Courbebaisse, M., et al., 2020. Vitamin D deficiency and COVID-19 pandemic. J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist. 22, 133–134.
- Zhang, J., McCullough, P.A., Tecson, K.M., 2020a. Vitamin D deficiency in association with endothelial dysfunction: implications for patients with COVID-19. Rev. Cardiovasc. Med. 21 (3), 339–344.
- Zhang, H., Han, H., He, T., Labbe, K.E., Hernandez, A.V., Chen, H., et al., 2020b. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Natl. Cancer Inst.

Zheng, S., Yang, J., Hu, X., Li, M., Wang, Q., Dancer, R.C., et al., 2020. Vitamin D attenuates lung injury via stimulating epithelial repair, reducing epithelial cell apoptosis and inhibits $TGF-\beta$ induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition. Biochem. Pharmacol. 113955.

Zhou, X., Xu, L.-d., Li, Y.-z., 2014. The association of polymorphisms of the vitamin D receptor gene with psoriasis in the Han population of northeastern China. J. Dermatol. Sci. 73 (1), 63–66.