

Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2022 June 03; 376(6597): 1074–1079. doi:10.1126/science.abn0611.

Reaction hijacking of tyrosine tRNA synthetase as a whole-of-life-cycle antimalarial strategy

Stanley C. Xie^{#1}, Riley D. Metcalfe^{#1}, Elyse Dunn¹, Craig J. Morton¹, Shih-Chung Huang², Tanya Puhlovich¹, Yawei Du¹, Sergio Wittlin^{3,4}, Shuai Nie⁵, Madeline R. Luth⁶, Liting Ma², Mi-Sook Kim², Charisse Florida A. Pasaje⁷, Krittikorn Kumpornsin⁸, Carlo Giannangelo⁹, Fiona J. Houghton¹, Alisje Churchyard¹⁰, Mufuliat T. Famodimu¹⁰, Daniel C. Barry¹, David L. Gillett¹, Sumanta Dey^{¶,7}, Clara C. Kosasih¹, William Newman¹, Jacquin C. Niles⁷, Marcus C.S. Lee⁸, Jake Baum¹⁰, Sabine Otilie⁶, Elizabeth A. Winzeler⁶, Darren J. Creek⁹, Nicholas Williamson⁵, Michael W. Parker^{1,11}, Stephen L. Brand¹², Steven P. Langston^{2,‡}, Lawrence R. Dick^{1,13,‡}, Michael D.W. Griffin^{1,‡}, Alexandra E. Gould^{2,‡,§,*}, Leann Tilley^{1,‡,*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

²Takeda Development Center Americas, Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

³Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, 4051 Basel, Switzerland

⁴University of Basel, 4003 Basel, Switzerland

⁵Melbourne Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility, Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

⁶Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

⁷Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, United States

⁸Parasites and Microbes Programme, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, CB10 1SA, United Kingdom

This work is licensed under a [CC BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

*For correspondence. Alexandra E. Gould, Takeda Development Center Americas, Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA, sandy.gould11@gmail.com (Chemistry) and Leann Tilley, Department of Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Bio21 Institute, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia. ltilley@unimelb.edu.au (Biology).

‡Equal senior authors.

¶Present address: Pfizer, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA

§Present address: NextRNA Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, USA

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: SCX, RDM, ED, CJM, SW, JCN, MCSL, JB, SL, SO, EAW, DJC, NW, SLB, SL, LRD, MDWG, AEG, LT
Investigation: SCX, RDM, ED, S-CH, TP, YD, SN, MRL, LM, M-SK, CFAP, KK, CG, FJH, AC, MTF, DCB, SD, DLG, CCK, WN
Analysis: SCX, RDM, ED, CJM, S-CH, MRL, MCSL, SO, AC, EAW, SLB, LRD, MDWG, AEG, LT
Funding acquisition: JCN, MCSL, JB, DJC, EAW, SLB, MWP, MDWG, SL, AEG, LT
Writing: SCX, RDM, ED, CJM, MWP, LRD, MDWG, SL, AEG, LT

Competing interests:

S-CH, LM, M-SK, SL and AEG are (or were) employees and shareholders of Takeda. ML901 is exemplified (as compound I-27) in patent application, PCT/US2017/061094 (22).

⁹Drug Delivery, Disposition and Dynamics, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia

¹⁰Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

¹¹St. Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, Fitzroy, VIC 3065, Australia

¹²Medicines for Malaria Venture, PO Box 1826, 20, Route de Pré-Bois, 1215, Geneva 15, Switzerland

¹³Seofon Consulting, 30 Tucker Street, Natick, Massachusetts 01760, USA

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Aminoacyl tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) are attractive drug targets. Here we show that class I and II aaRSs are previously unrecognized targets for AMP-mimicking nucleoside sulfamates. The target enzyme catalyzes the formation of an inhibitory amino acid-sulfamate conjugate, via a reaction-hijacking mechanism. We identified adenosine 5'-sulfamate (AMS) as a broad specificity compound that hijacks a range of aaRSs; and ML901 as a specific reagent that hijacks a single aaRSs in the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum*, namely, tyrosine RS (*PfYRS*). ML901 exerts whole-of-life-cycle killing activity with low nanomolar potency and single dose efficacy in a mouse model of malaria. X-ray crystallographic studies of plasmodium and human YRSs reveal differential flexibility of a loop over the catalytic site that underpins differential susceptibility to reaction-hijacking by ML901.

Diseases caused by infectious organisms pose an enormous threat to global health, food security and sustainable development. Malaria is one such debilitating disease, caused by protist parasites of the genus *Plasmodium*. Every year at least 200 million new infections of *P. falciparum* malaria are established, causing more than 600,000 deaths (1). Current antimalarial treatments are rapidly losing efficacy; and standard-of-care artemisinin combination therapies fail to cure infections in ~50% of patients in some regions of Asia (2). Clinically validated resistance to artemisinins has now been detected in Africa (3), where most malaria deaths occur. New treatments with novel modes of action are urgently needed to overcome existing resistance, expand possible treatment options and enable more effective combination therapies.

Adenosine 5'-sulfamate exhibits broad specificity reaction hijacking, revealing potential antimalarial drug targets

Nucleoside sulfamates, such as the investigational drug Pevonedostat (4), inhibit ubiquitin-like protein (UBL) activating enzymes (E1s) by forming covalent conjugate inhibitors with the enzyme-bound UBL. The E1s catalyze nucleophilic attack of the sulfamate nitrogen on the thio-ester bond between the UBL and the E1 (Fig. 1A, fig. S1). Until now, attack on the thio-ester bonds of UBLs was the only known example of this type of inhibitor mechanism. However, naturally occurring nucleoside sulfamates and derivatives, such as nucleocidin (5), 2-Cl-adenosine sulfamate (6, 7) and adenosine 5'-sulfamate (AMS) (8), exhibit inhibitory

activity against bacteria (6–8), which lack E1 enzymes. The compounds are broadly toxic and have been reported to inhibit protein synthesis (8, 9), but until now the mechanisms underlying these activities were unknown.

We explored the activity of adenosine 5'-sulfamate (AMS, Fig. 1B), a close mimic of AMP, as a potential starting point for identifying antimalarial compounds. We found that AMS is highly cytotoxic ($IC_{50_{72h}} = 1.8$ nM) to *P. falciparum* cultures, with an efficacy similar to that of current front-line drug, dihydroxyartemisinin (DHA), but is also cytotoxic to mammalian cell lines, such as HCT116 ($IC_{50_{72h}} = 26$ nM) (table S1). We found that treatment of *P. falciparum* cultures with AMS triggers eIF2 α phosphorylation (Fig. 1C), a hallmark of stress caused by either accumulation of unfolded proteins or uncharged tRNAs (10). Like E1 enzymes, aminoacyl tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) are adenylate-forming enzymes (AFEs). They catalyze the transformation of amino acids into AMP conjugates, and then into aminoacyl-tRNAs, to supply protein synthesis. Given the reported effects on protein translation (8, 9), we considered the possibility that aaRSs might be able to catalyze nucleophilic attack of AMS on their cognate aminoacyl tRNAs (Fig. 1A).

The proposed mechanism would be expected to generate AMS-amino acid conjugates (Fig. 1A), so we used targeted mass spectrometry to search for the predicted conjugates in *P. falciparum*-infected red blood cells (RBCs) and cultured human cells (HeLa) that had been treated with 10 μ M AMS for 2–3 h (see Supplementary Material for full methods). Following Folch extraction of lysates, the aqueous phase was subjected to liquid chromatography-coupled mass spectrometry (LCMS) and the anticipated masses for the 20 possible amino acid conjugates were interrogated. In *P. falciparum*, the extracts yielded a strong signal for AMS-Tyr (Fig. 1D), with matching precursor ion m/z (< 3 ppm) and anticipated fragmentation spectrum (fig. S2A). MS peaks were also detected for the adducts of Asn, Asp, Ser, Thr, Gly, Ala, Lys and Pro (fig. S2B). In the mammalian cell line, AMS conjugates were identified for Asn, Pro, Ala, Thr, Asp and Tyr (fig. S3). No peaks were detected in control samples. These data are consistent with aaRSs catalyzing nucleoside sulfamate attack on the activated oxy-ester bonds of their cognate aminoacyl tRNAs (Fig. 1A). Thus, both class I and class II aaRSs are potentially susceptible to inhibition via the reaction hijacking mechanism.

Identifying a nucleoside sulfamate with potent and specific antimalarial activity

In an effort to identify aaRS-targeting nucleoside sulfamates with narrower specificity, we screened 2314 sulfamates from the Takeda compound library (Cambridge, MA, USA) for inhibition of the growth of *P. falciparum*. The library included compounds that were synthesized as potential inhibitors of Atg7, an E1 that activates UBLs, including the Atg8s (11). We identified several pyrazolopyrimidine sulfamates with a 7-position substituent (exemplar ML901; Fig. 2A) that possess potent activity against *P. falciparum*. The ML901 50% inhibitory concentration ($IC_{50_{72h}} = 2.0 \pm 0.1$ nM) is similar to that for DHA (table S1).

ML901 was tested for cytotoxicity against different mammalian cell lines (table S1) and showed 800- to 5,000-fold selectivity towards *P. falciparum* (>1,000-fold higher selectivity than AMS). ML901 retained activity against all strains of *P. falciparum* tested, regardless of their resistance profile and geographical origin (table S2). It potently inhibited transmissible male gametes (table S2); and prevented development of *P. falciparum* in primary human hepatocytes (table S2). We confirmed that ML901 exerts activity against human Atg7 (IC₅₀ = 33 nM); but has much weaker activity against other E1 enzymes (table S3), as previously reported for nucleoside sulfamates with a substitution at the 7-position (11); and consistent with the low mammalian cell cytotoxicity. By contrast, AMS is a potent inhibitor of each of the E1s tested (table S3). The rat pharmacokinetic profile of ML901 (fig. S4; table S3) is encouraging, with low blood clearance and a long terminal half-life in blood (T_{1/2∞} = 41 h) following intravenous or oral dosing.

We determined the *in vivo* antimalarial efficacy of ML901 in severe combined immune deficient (SCID) mice, engrafted with *P. falciparum* infected human RBCs (12, 13), which is the gold standard for testing *in vivo* efficacy of malaria drug candidates. A single dose (50 mg/kg i.p.) results in excellent exposure (area under the curve = 580 μM.h; Fig. 2B) and achieves reduction of parasitemia to baseline (Fig. 2C), with no evidence of toxicity. The clearance rate is similar to that of chloroquine (CQ; 50 mg/kg p.o.).

ML901 selectively targets plasmodium tyrosine tRNA synthetase

To identify the target of ML901 in *P. falciparum*, extracts of infected RBCs that had been treated with 3 μM ML901 (3 h) were subjected to LCMS to search for amino acid-ML901 conjugates. An LCMS peak corresponding to protonated ML901-Tyr (m/z = 576.1324) was detected. Synthetic ML901-Tyr was generated and spiked into the untreated *P. falciparum* lysate to confirm the peak assignment (fig. S5). None of the other 19 possible amino acid conjugates were detected.

To determine whether *P. falciparum* tyrosine tRNA synthetase (*PfYRS*) is the critical target in *P. falciparum*, we subjected parasite cultures (3D7 line) to increasing concentrations of the compound over a period of 4 months; retrieving parasites with 10-fold reduced sensitivity (ML901 IC_{50_72h} = 28 nM) (fig. S6A). Whole genome sequencing of one parental and three resistant clones revealed nine newly acquired mutations in the resistant clones, three of which were in PF3D7_0807900 (position 403,556), corresponding to a Ser234Cys mutation in cytoplasmic *PfYRS* (table S4). Insertion of an additional Asn in an Asn repeat in kinesin-5 is considered unlikely to be functionally significant (see table S4). No mutations were observed in any other AFE, including *P. falciparum* Atg7. Transfectants were generated (Dd2 parent) harboring the *PfYRS*_{S234C} mutation (fig. S6B-D), which recapitulated the resistance phenotype (*i.e.*, 10-fold decreased sensitivity) (Fig. 3A; table S5). Aptamer-induced downmodulation of *PfYRS* decreased the growth rate compared with the control (fig. S6E) and increased sensitivity to ML901 (Fig. 3B; table S5); but not to DHA or Thr-RS inhibitor, borrelidin (fig S6F; table S5). The remarkable potency of ML901 against the knockdown parasites (IC₅₀ = 0.4 nM) both validates *PfYRS* as the target and points to an extremely potent inhibitory interaction.

ML901 inhibits protein translation in *P. falciparum* schizonts (as monitored by O-propargyl-puromycin incorporation (14); Fig. 3C), consistent with *PfYRS* being the target. The $IC_{50_{3h}}$ value (50 nM) correlates well with that for parasite killing potency (Fig. 3C). Another protein translation inhibitor, cycloheximide, has a similar profile (fig. S7A), while the folate pathway inhibitor, WR99210, kills parasites with no immediate effect on protein translation (fig. S7B). ML901 triggers eIF2 α phosphorylation in wildtype *P. falciparum* (Cam3.II_rev; Fig. 3D), consistent with the presence of uncharged tRNA (10, 15). In eIK1 (GCN2 equivalent (16)) knockout parasites, the amino acid starvation pathway is disrupted and ML901 treatment does not result in eIF2 α phosphorylation (fig. S7C), consistent with an aaRS target (fig. S7D).

YRSs from wildtype (*PfYRS*), mutant (*PfYRS*_{S234C}) and human (mature *HsYRS* (17)) were produced in *Escherichia coli*. Biophysical characterization revealed well-folded dimers (fig. S8,9; table S6). *Pf*tRNA^{Tyr} and *Hs*tRNA^{Tyr} (18) were generated by *in vitro* transcription (fig. S10). When ML901 was incubated with *PfYRS* in the presence of all other substrates (*i.e.*, Tyr, ATP and tRNA^{Tyr}), the apparent protein melting point (T_m), measured by differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF), increased by a remarkable 15°C (Fig. 4A,B; fig. S11A). The increase in thermal stability is even greater than that induced by the tightly bound adenylate intermediate, AMP-Tyr (table S7). Whereas formation of the AMP adenylate requires only Tyr and ATP, the thermal stabilization induced by ML901 required all three substrates (Tyr, ATP and tRNA^{Tyr}). This result is consistent with a hijacking mechanism that requires charged tRNA^{Tyr} (Tyr-tRNA^{Tyr}; see Fig. 1A). Importantly, recombinant *HsYRS* was not stabilized in the presence of ML901 plus substrates, suggesting the inhibitory species is not produced by, or does not bind to, the human enzyme (Fig. 4B, red curves). The mutant *PfYRS*_{S234C} was less well stabilized (fig. S11A, table S7), suggesting weaker binding of the inhibitory species, consistent with the mutant parasite's resistance to ML901.

ML901 exerts its activity by hijacking the active site-bound reaction product

We examined the abilities of the recombinant YRSs to consume ATP, *i.e.*, to form and release AMP-Tyr in the initial reaction phase. *HsYRS* and *PfYRS*_{S234C} show higher activity (in the absence of tRNA) than *PfYRS* (fig. S11B). This difference suggests that AMP-Tyr is bound less tightly to the *Hs* and mutant *PfYRS* active sites. Upon addition of the cognate tRNA^{Tyr}, ATP consumption is enhanced, consistent with productive aminoacylation. Acylation of the cognate tRNA^{Tyr} to radiolabeled tyrosine (19), occurs at a similar level in all three enzymes (fig. S11C). ML901 inhibits ATP consumption by *PfYRS* when added in the presence of *Pf*tRNA^{Tyr} but not in its absence (fig. S11D). Similarly, ML901 inhibits tRNA^{Tyr} acylation to tyrosine *in vitro* by *PfYRS*, but not by *HsYRS* (Fig. 4C). Synthetically generated ML901-Tyr conjugate is able to inhibit the activity of both *PfYRS* and *HsYRS* (fig. S11E,F), suggesting that, although *HsYRS* is unable to generate the ML901-Tyr inhibitor, it can bind the preformed conjugate.

To confirm that recombinant *PfYRS* can generate the ML901-Tyr conjugate, we incubated *PfYRS* with substrates and ML901, then precipitated the tRNA and protein. The supernatant was subjected to LCMS analysis and we detected a peak at m/z 576.1331 Da (Fig. 4D,E), consistent with the expected protonated ML901-Tyr ion, and confirmed using the synthetic

ML901-Tyr standard (fig. S12A-C). Under the conditions used, *PfYRS* generates more ML901-Tyr than *PfYRS*_{S234C} dimer (fig. S12E); and no ML901-Tyr was detected when *HsYRS* was incubated with ML901 and substrates. Thus, it appears that the ability of *PfYRS* to catalyze formation of the ML901-Tyr conjugate is the primary factor controlling selectivity versus *HsYRS* and potency versus *PfYRS*_{S234C}.

A structured loop over the *PfYRS* active site facilitates reaction hijacking

To obtain crystals of ML901-Tyr-bound *PfYRS*, we incubated His-tagged *PfYRS* with tyrosine, ATP and ML901 in the presence of tRNA and then purified the complex using nickel affinity and gel filtration chromatography. The crystal structure (refined at 2.15-Å resolution) revealed a homodimer organization with clear density for the ML901-Tyr ligand (Fig. 5A, fig. S13A).

PfYRS is a Class I aaRS, characterized by a catalytic domain that adopts a Rossmann fold (residues 18–260) linked to a C-terminal domain (residues 261–370) that is involved in recognition of the anticodon stem of tRNA^{Tyr}. *PfYRS* contains the two motifs characteristic of the catalytic domain of Class I (sub-class c) tRNA synthetases: “HIGH” and “KMSKS” (₇₀HIAQ₇₃ and ₂₄₇KMSKS₂₅₁ in *PfYRS*). The overall structure of the ML901-Tyr/*PfYRS* complex is very similar to our structure of *PfYRS* with the native ligand, AMP-Tyr (fig. S14, table S8) and the previously published structure (19).

ML901-Tyr makes many interactions with active site residues, involving the pyrazolopyrimidine amine, ribose hydroxyls, sulfamate, and tyrosine (Fig. 5B; fig. S13B), which underpin the tight binding affinity; and potency. ML901-Tyr is present in the active sites of both monomers in the dimer (fig. S13C,D,J,K), but differences are observed with respect to the KMSKS loop, which forms a flap over the adenylate/ML901 binding site (Fig. 5B,Ci, fig. S13C-F). In the B chain, His70 (of ₇₀HIAQ₇₃) makes close contact with Met248 in the KMSKS loop (Fig. 5Ci) and is well defined in the electron density (fig. S13F). In the A chain, part of the KMSKS loop is not well defined (fig. S13E), suggesting that the A chain loop is more mobile, and His70 adopts a side chain rotamer that would clash with Met248 if the loop was structured as in chain B (fig. S13F-I). In combination, these observations indicate different conformations of the KMSKS loop in individual monomers within the dimer. By contrast, the KMSKS loops of both monomers of AMP-Tyr-bound *PfYRS* (fig. S14) are well defined, with the electron density clearly showing contacts between His70 and Met248 in both subunits.

In the published structure of tyrosine-bound *HsYRS* (PDB: 4QBT (20)) the equivalent “KMSSS” loop is not modelled, suggesting that it is mobile. We were not able to generate a structure of *HsYRS* in complex with enzyme-generated ML901-Tyr as *HsYRS* does not catalyze formation of the conjugate. However, we were able to form crystals of *HsYRS* in the presence of synthetic ML901-Tyr (fig. S15), consistent with our observation that the preformed conjugate can inhibit *HsYRS* activity (fig. S11F). Although we observed clear density for ML901-Tyr (fig. S15F), the ₂₂₂KMSSS₂₂₆ loops were not defined in the electron density (Fig. 5Cii; fig. S15D,E). Moreover, His49 (the equivalent of *PfYRS* His70) adopts a position (Fig. 5D, magenta) similar to that in chain A of ML901-Tyr-bound *PfYRS*

(compare figures S13G,H and S15E), further suggesting that this configuration is associated with increased loop mobility. A comparison of the interaction networks (fig. S13B-D, S15B,C) reveals notably fewer interactions with the ML901 moiety in *HsYRS* compared with *PfYRS*; and specific interactions were poorly conserved between the two enzymes.

We also solved the structure of *PfYRS*_{S234C} in complex with synthetic ML901-Tyr (fig. S16). Similar to *HsYRS*, the KMSKS loops of both monomers were not defined in the electron density (fig. S16E,F), and His70 adopts a rotamer that is not consistent with a structured KMSKS loop (Fig. 5Ciii,D, green; compare figures S13G,H and S16G,H). Potency and selectivity of ML901 for *PfYRS* thus appears to be associated with a stabilized loop over the active site. That is, the decreased susceptibility of *HsYRS* and *PfYRS*_{S234C} to reaction hijacking by ML901 is associated with mobility of the KMSSS/KMSKS loop, which is, in turn, associated with rotation of the His49/70 side chain. These conformational changes may promote dissociation of the charged tRNA, thereby preventing the hijacking reaction.

The pyrazolopyrimidine sulfamate chemotype is an attractive starting point for a malaria drug discovery program, based on our observation that the specific inhibition of *PfYRS* by ML901 is lethal to disease-causing and transmissible stages of *P. falciparum*, and that ML901 exhibits a long *in vivo* half-life, underpinning its single-dose efficacy in a murine model of human malaria. Further exploration of substitutions at the 7-position of the pyrazolopyrimidine sulfamates class is expected to identify compounds with reduced activity against human Atg7, and thus even higher specificity for plasmodium. We note that the HIAQ and KMSKS motifs are conserved across apicomplexan and kinetoplastid parasites but not in metazoan organisms (fig. S17). This suggests that ML901-like compounds could exhibit cross-pathogen inhibitory activity. Use of the sulfamates in a drug combination could prevent evolution of resistant mutants.

Our finding that nucleoside sulfamates can hijack Class I and Class II tRNA aaRSs, as well as E1s, opens up the possibility of designing bespoke small molecular weight, membrane permeable AFE inhibitors with adjustable specificity. In addition to charging tRNA and activating ubiquitin, AFEs are involved in activating fatty acids for degradation, biosynthesis of natural products, and other diverse pathways (21). Thus, nucleoside sulfamates may find applications in a broad range of infectious, metabolic and neurodegenerative diseases.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the following colleagues. Cellular assays: Anirban Koley, Pranab Dhar, Partha Mukherjee, TCG Lifesciences, India; Rianne van der Laak, Angelika Sturm, TropiQ Health Sciences. Support with the SCID mouse and panel assays: Ursula Lehmann, Christian Scheurer, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Switzerland. Support with the bioanalytical determination and PK evaluation in mouse blood samples by LC/MS-MS: Anita Kress, Iris Barme, Mark Enzler, Christoph Siethoff, Swiss BioQuant, Switzerland. Plasmodium screening: Bakela Nare, SCYNEXIS Inc, USA. Technical support and lead-in experiments: Yee-Foong Mok (Melbourne Protein Facility), Con Dogovski, Mike Gorman, Tahmina Ahmed, Reetika Manhas, Stephen Liu, University of Melbourne; Delphine Baud, Medicines for Malaria Venture. Useful advice: Paul Gleeson, Stuart Ralph, Matthew Dixon, Natalie

Spillman, University of Melbourne; Robert J. Griffin, Takeda Pharmaceuticals; Lluís Ribas, IRCC Barcelona. We thank Christian Doerig, RMIT University, for providing *eIK1* genetically disrupted parasites. This research was partly undertaken at the Australian Synchrotron, part of the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organization, and made use of the ACRF Detector on the MX2 beamline. We thank the beamline staff for their assistance. Millennium Pharmaceuticals, a wholly owned subsidiary of Takeda Pharmaceuticals Company Limited, provided access to ML901 under a Materials Transfer Agreement with the University of Melbourne.

Funding

Global Health Innovative Technology Fund, Japan (H2019-104).

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC, 1139884; 1185354).

Medicines for Malaria Venture (MMV RD/15/0007, RD-08-2800).

Millennium Pharmaceuticals, a wholly owned subsidiary of Takeda Pharmaceuticals Company Limited.

JB is supported by an Investigator Award from Wellcome (100993/B/13/Z).

MWP is an NHMRC Research Fellow (APP1117183) and Investigator (APP1194263).

The project was supported by the Malaria Drug Accelerator (MalDA, BMGF OPP1054480, to MRL, SO, KK, MCSL, JCN and EAW).

LT was supported by an Australian Research Council Laureate Fellowship (FL150100106).

MRL was supported by a Ruth L. Kirschstein Institutional National Research Award from the National Institute for General Medical Sciences (T32 GM008666).

DC was supported by a NHMRC Synergy Grant (#APP1185354).

Data and Material Availability

Coordinate files and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB: *Pf*YRS/AMP-Tyr: 7ROR; *Pf*YRS/ML901-Tyr: 7ROS; *Pf*YRS_{S234C}/ML901-Tyr: 7ROT; *Hi*YRS/ML901-Tyr: 7ROU. All other data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

The structure and synthesis of ML901 are detailed in the paper. For supply of materials developed as part of this work, please contact the corresponding authors. A Materials Transfer Agreement may be required for some materials.

References

1. World_Health_Organisation. World Malaria Report 2021. 2021. <https://www.who.int/publications/item/world-malaria-report-2021>
2. van der Pluijm RW, et al. Determinants of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine treatment failure in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Cambodia, Thailand, and Vietnam: a prospective clinical, pharmacological, and genetic study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2019; 19: 952–961. [PubMed: 31345710]
3. Balikagala B, et al. Evidence of artemisinin-resistant malaria in Africa. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2021; 385: 1163–1171. [PubMed: 34551228]
4. Brownell JE, et al. Substrate-assisted inhibition of ubiquitin-like protein-activating enzymes: the NEDD8 E1 inhibitor MLN4924 forms a NEDD8-AMP mimetic in situ. *Mol Cell.* 2010; 37: 102–111. [PubMed: 20129059]
5. Florini, J. Antibiotics I: Mechanism of Action. Shaw, G, editor. Springer; 1967. 427–433.
6. Isono K, et al. Ascamycin and dealanylascamycin, nucleoside antibiotics from *Streptomyces sp.* *J Antibiot (Tokyo).* 1984; 37: 670–672. [PubMed: 6547710]
7. Osada H, Isono K. Mechanism of action and selective toxicity of ascamycin, a nucleoside antibiotic. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1985; 27: 230–233. [PubMed: 2580481]

8. Bloch A, Coutsogeorgopoulos C. Inhibition of protein synthesis by 5'-sulfamoyladenine. *Biochemistry*. 1971; 10: 4395–4398. [PubMed: 4946919]
9. Florini JR, Bird HH, Bell PH. Inhibition of protein synthesis in vitro and in vivo by nucleocidin, an antitrypanosomal antibiotic. *J Biol Chem*. 1966; 241: 1091–1098. [PubMed: 5933868]
10. Castilho BA, et al. Keeping the eIF2 alpha kinase Gcn2 in check. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014; 1843: 1948–1968. [PubMed: 24732012]
11. Huang S-C, et al. Discovery and optimization of pyrazolopyrimidine sulfamates as ATG7 inhibitors. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry*. 2020; 28 115681 [PubMed: 32912429]
12. Angulo-Barturen I, et al. A murine model of falciparum-malaria by in vivo selection of competent strains in non-myelodepleted mice engrafted with human erythrocytes. *PLoS One*. 2008; 3 e2252 [PubMed: 18493601]
13. Jimenez-Diaz MB, et al. Quantitative measurement of *Plasmodium-infected* erythrocytes in murine models of malaria by flow cytometry using bidimensional assessment of SYTO-16 fluorescence. *Cytometry A*. 2009; 75: 225–235. [PubMed: 18785271]
14. Liu J, Xu Y, Stoleru D, Salic A. Imaging protein synthesis in cells and tissues with an alkyne analog of puromycin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012; 109: 413–418. [PubMed: 22160674]
15. Bridgford JL, et al. Artemisinin kills malaria parasites by damaging proteins and inhibiting the proteasome. *Nature Communications*. 2018; 9 3801
16. Solyakov L, et al. Global kinomic and phospho-proteomic analyses of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nat Commun*. 2011; 2: 565. [PubMed: 22127061]
17. Yang XL, Skene RJ, McRee DE, Schimmel P. Crystal structure of a human aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase cytosine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002; 99: 15369–15374. [PubMed: 12427973]
18. Torres AG, Reina O, Stephan-Otto Attolini C, Ribas de Pouplana L. Differential expression of human tRNA genes drives the abundance of tRNA-derived fragments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2019; 116: 8451–8456. [PubMed: 30962382]
19. Bhatt TK, et al. Malaria parasite tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase secretion triggers pro-inflammatory responses. *Nat Commun*. 2011; 2: 530. [PubMed: 22068597]
20. Sajish M, Schimmel P. A human tRNA synthetase is a potent PARP1-activating effector target for resveratrol. *Nature*. 2015; 519: 370–373. [PubMed: 25533949]
21. Lux MC, Standke LC, Tan DS. Targeting adenylate-forming enzymes with designed sulfonfyladenine inhibitors. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)*. 2019; 72: 325–349. [PubMed: 30982830]
22. Adhikari, S; , et al. Atg7 inhibitors and the uses thereof World Intellectual Property Organization. WO/2018/089786 PCT/US2017/061094. 2017.
23. Chen JJ, et al. Mechanistic studies of substrate-assisted inhibition of ubiquitin-activating enzyme by adenosine sulfamate analogues. *J Biol Chem*. 2011; 286: 40867–40877. [PubMed: 21969368]
24. Milhollen MA, et al. Treatment-emergent mutations in NAE β confer resistance to the NEDD8-activating enzyme inhibitor MLN4924. *Cancer Cell*. 2012; 21: 388–401. [PubMed: 22439935]
25. Straimer J, et al. Drug resistance. K13-propeller mutations confer artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* clinical isolates. *Science*. 2015; 347: 428–431. [PubMed: 25502314]
26. Paquet T, et al. Antimalarial efficacy of MMV390048, an inhibitor of *Plasmodium* phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase. *Science translational medicine*. 2017; 9
27. Baragaña B, et al. A novel multiple-stage antimalarial agent that inhibits protein synthesis. *Nature*. 2015; 522: 315–320. [PubMed: 26085270]
28. McCarthy JS, et al. Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and activity of the novel long-acting antimalarial DSM265: a two-part first-in-human phase 1a/1b randomised study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2017; 17: 626–635. [PubMed: 28363636]
29. Lim MY, et al. UDP-galactose and acetyl-CoA transporters as *Plasmodium* multidrug resistance genes. *Nat Microbiol*. 2016; 1 16166 [PubMed: 27642791]
30. Stickles AM, et al. Subtle changes in endochin-like quinolone structure alter the site of inhibition within the cytochrome bc1 complex of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 2015; 59: 1977. [PubMed: 25605352]
31. Smilkstein MJ, et al. A drug-selected *Plasmodium falciparum* lacking the need for conventional electron transport. *Mol Biochem Parasitol*. 2008; 159: 64–68. [PubMed: 18308406]

32. Delves MJ, et al. A high throughput screen for next-generation leads targeting malaria parasite transmission. *Nature Communications*. 2018; 9 3805
33. Schalkwijk J, et al. Antimalarial pantothenamide metabolites target acetyl-coenzyme A biosynthesis in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Science translational medicine*. 2019; 11
34. Walker DM, et al. *Plasmodium falciparum* erythrocytic stage parasites require the putative autophagy protein PfAtg7 for normal growth. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8 e67047 [PubMed: 23825614]
35. Kirby N, et al. Improved radiation dose efficiency in solution SAXS using a sheath flow sample environment. *Acta Crystallographica Section D Structural Biology*. 2016; 72: 1254–1266. [PubMed: 27917826]
36. Kirby NM, et al. A low-background-intensity focusing small-angle X-ray scattering undulator beamline. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*. 2013; 46: 1670–1680.
37. Ryan TM, et al. An optimized SEC-SAXS system enabling high X-ray dose for rapid SAXS assessment with correlated UV measurements for biomolecular structure analysis: *Journal of Applied Crystallography*. 2018; 51: 97–111.
38. Rambo RP, Tainer JA. Accurate assessment of mass, models and resolution by small-angle scattering. *Nature*. 2013; 496: 477–481. [PubMed: 23619693]
39. Dai R, et al. Fragment-based exploration of binding site flexibility in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* BioA. *Journal of medicinal chemistry*. 2015; 58: 5208–5217. [PubMed: 26068403]
40. Hall J. A simple model for determining affinity from irreversible thermal shifts. *Protein Sci*. 2019; 28: 1880–1887. [PubMed: 31361943]
41. Mujumdar P, Bua S, Supuran CT, Peat TS, Poulsen SA. Synthesis, structure and bioactivity of primary sulfamate-containing natural products. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2018; 28: 3009–3013. [PubMed: 29685656]
42. Anderson JD, Dalley NK, Revankar GR, Robins RK. Synthesis of certain 3-alkoxy-1- β -D-ribofuranosylpyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines structurally related to adenosine, inosine and guanosine. 1986; 23: 1869–1878.
43. Lawrence G, et al. Effect of vaccination with 3 recombinant asexual-stage malaria antigens on initial growth rates of *Plasmodium falciparum* in non-immune volunteers. *Vaccine*. 2000; 18: 1925–1931. [PubMed: 10699342]
44. Dogovski C, et al. Targeting the cell stress response of *Plasmodium falciparum* to overcome artemisinin resistance. *PLoS Biol*. 2015; 13 e1002132 [PubMed: 25901609]
45. Xie SC, Dogovski C, Kenny S, Tilley L, Klonis N. Optimal assay design for determining the in vitro sensitivity of ring stage *Plasmodium falciparum* to artemisinins. *Int J Parasitol*. 2014; 44: 893–899. [PubMed: 25161101]
46. Snyder C, Chollet J, Santo-Tomas J, Scheurer C, Wittlin S. *In vitro* and *in vivo* interaction of synthetic peroxide RBx11160 (OZ277) with piperazine in Plasmodium models. *Exp Parasitol*. 2007; 115: 296–300. [PubMed: 17087929]
47. Crouch SP, Kozlowski R, Slater KJ, Fletcher J. The use of ATP bioluminescence as a measure of cell proliferation and cytotoxicity. *J Immunol Methods*. 1993; 160: 81–88. [PubMed: 7680699]
48. Petty RD, Sutherland LA, Hunter EM, Cree IA. Comparison of MTT and ATP-based assays for the measurement of viable cell number. *J Biolumin Chemilumin*. 1995; 10: 29–34. [PubMed: 7762413]
49. Mandelbaum J, et al. Identification of a lung cancer cell line deficient in atg7-dependent autophagy. *Autophagy*. 2015; 0
50. Soucy TA, et al. An inhibitor of NEDD8-activating enzyme as a new approach to treat cancer. *Nature*. 2009; 458: 732–736. [PubMed: 19360080]
51. Jiménez-Díaz MB, et al. Improved murine model of malaria using *Plasmodium falciparum* competent strains and non-myelodepleted NOD-scid IL2R gamma-null mice engrafted with human erythrocytes. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. 2009; 53: 4533–4536. [PubMed: 19596869]
52. Manary MJ, et al. Identification of pathogen genomic variants through an integrated pipeline. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2014; 15: 63. [PubMed: 24589256]
53. McKenna A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res*. 2010; 20: 1297–1303. [PubMed: 20644199]

54. Cingolani P, et al. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly*. 2012; 6: 80–92. [PubMed: 22728672]
55. Ganesan SM, Falla A, Goldfless SJ, Nasamu AS, Niles JC. Synthetic RNA-protein modules integrated with native translation mechanisms to control gene expression in malaria parasites. *Nat Commun*. 2016; 7 10727 [PubMed: 26925876]
56. Nasamu AS, et al. An integrated platform for genome engineering and gene expression perturbation in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Sci Rep*. 2021; 11: 342. [PubMed: 33431920]
57. Deitsch K, Driskill C, Wellem T. Transformation of malaria parasites by the spontaneous uptake and expression of DNA from human erythrocytes. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2001; 29: 850–853. [PubMed: 11160909]
58. Karpiyevich M, et al. Nedd8 hydrolysis by UCH proteases in Plasmodium parasites. *PLoS Pathog*. 2019; 15 e1008086 [PubMed: 31658303]
59. Cabrita LD, et al. Enhancing the stability and solubility of TEV protease using in silico design. *Protein science*. 2007; 16: 2360–2367. [PubMed: 17905838]
60. Schuck P, Rossmann P. Determination of the sedimentation coefficient distribution by least-squares boundary modeling. *Biopolymers*. 2000; 54: 328–341. [PubMed: 10935973]
61. Franke D, et al. ATSAS 2.8: A comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle scattering from macromolecular solutions. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*. 2017; 50: 1212–1225. [PubMed: 28808438]
62. Barberato C, Koch MHJ, Molecular E, Outstation H. CRY SOL - a program to evaluate X-ray solution scattering of biological macromolecules from atomic coordinates. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*. 1995; 28: 768–773.
63. Luft JR, DeTitta GT. A method to produce microseed stock for use in the crystallization of biological macromolecules. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*. 1999; 55: 988–993. [PubMed: 10216295]
64. Aragão D, et al. MX2: a high-flux undulator microfocus beamline serving both the chemical and macromolecular crystallography communities at the Australian Synchrotron. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*. 2018; 25: 885–891. [PubMed: 29714201]
65. Kabsch W. XDS. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2010; 66: 125–132. [PubMed: 20124692]
66. Evans PR. An introduction to data reduction: space-group determination, scaling and intensity statistics. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2011; 67: 282–292. [PubMed: 21460446]
67. Evans PR, Murshudov GN. How good are my data and what is the resolution? *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2013; 69: 1204–1214. [PubMed: 23793146]
68. Winn MD, et al. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2011; 67: 235–242. [PubMed: 21460441]
69. McCoy AJ, et al. Phaser crystallographic software. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*. 2007; 40: 658–674. [PubMed: 19461840]
70. Adams PD, et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2010; 66: 213–221. [PubMed: 20124702]
71. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2010; 66: 486–501. [PubMed: 20383002]
72. Moriarty NW, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD. Electronic ligand builder and optimization workbench (eLBOW): A tool for ligand coordinate and restraint generation. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*. 2009; 65: 1074–1080. [PubMed: 19770504]

One-Sentence Summary

AMP-mimicking nucleoside sulfamates hijack the charging of tRNAs with amino acids, resulting in highly specific anti-infective agents.




Figure 1. AMS-treated infected RBCs reveals aaRSs as potential targets.

(A) E1 enzymes can catalyze attack of the sulfamate nitrogen on the carbonyl carbon of the thioester bond between the UBL and the E1 to form a UBL conjugate. aaRSs could catalyze nucleoside sulfamate attack on activated amino acids to form an amino acid adduct. (B) Structure of 5'-adenylate sulfamate. (C) Trophozoite stage parasites were incubated with DMSO (Mock), different concentrations of AMS, or, borrelidin (BOR; a threonyl-tRNA synthetase inhibitor). Western blots of lysates were probed for phosphorylated-eIF2 α with *Pf*BiP as a loading control. The blot is typical of data from three independent experiments. (D) *P. falciparum*-infected RBCs were treated with 10 μ M AMS for 3 h. Extracts were

subjected to LCMS analysis identifying the Tyr-AMS conjugate. The profile is typical of data from three independent experiments.




Figure 2. ML901 exhibits potent activity against *P. falciparum* in vivo.

(A) Structure of pyrazolopyrimidine ribose sulfamate, ML901. (B) Pharmacokinetics profile (in blood) over the first day for SCID mice engrafted with human RBCs infected with *P. falciparum* following treatment with ML901 at 50 mg/kg i.p. (C) Therapeutic efficacy of ML901 in the SCID mouse *P. falciparum* model, dosed with ML901 at 50 mg/kg i.p. in comparison with gold standard antimalarial, chloroquine, dosed at 50 mg/kg p.o..




Figure 3. ML901 targets *PfYRS* and inhibits protein translation.

(A,B) Sensitivity to ML901 exposure (72-h) for a cloned wildtype line (Dd2) and 3 CRISPR-edited clones harboring *PfYRS*_{S234C} (A) or an aptamer-regulatable *PfYRS* line upon addition of aTc, with data normalized to a no drug control (B). See table S5 for data values. (C) RBCs infected with schizont stage (43–46 h p.i.) *P. falciparum* (Cam3.II-rev) were exposed to ML901 for 3 h. Protein translation was assessed in the second two hours of the incubation, via the incorporation of OPP. Aliquots of inhibitor-exposed cultures were washed and returned to cultures, and viability was estimated at the trophozoite stage of the next cycle. IC₅₀ (Translation) = 65 nM, IC₅₀ (Viability) = 56 nM. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars correspond to the range of technical duplicates. (D) Schizont stage Cam3.II_rev parasites were incubated with DMSO (Mock), 1 μM DHA, 200 nM borrelidin (BOR) or 200 nM ML901 for 3 h and Western blots of lysates were probed for phosphorylated-eIF2α with *PfBiP* as a loading control. The blot is typical of data from three independent experiments.




Figure 4. ML901 inhibits *PfyRS* by a reaction-hijacking mechanism.

(A) The apparent melting temperature (T_m) of *PfyRS* after incubation at 37°C for 3 h with the indicated reactants: ML901 (50 μ M), ATP (50 μ M), tyrosine (100 μ M), $PfRNa^{Tyr}$ (4 μ M). Data represent the average of three independent assays and error bars correspond to SD. (B) First derivatives of melting curves for *PfyRS* and *HsYRS* with or without preincubation with ML901 (50 μ M), ATP (50 μ M), tyrosine (100 μ M) and $PfRNa^{Tyr}$ (4 μ M). Data is representative of three independent assays. (C) Effects of increasing concentrations of ML901 on tyrosine acylation of the cognate $tRNA^{Tyr}$ by *PfyRS* and *HsYRS* with YRS (0.25 μ M), ATP (10 μ M), tyrosine (100 – 200 μ M), cognate $tRNA^{Tyr}$ (24 μ M) and

pyrophosphatase (1 unit/mL), at 37°C for 1 h. IC_{50} (*Pf*YRS) = 53 μ M; IC_{50} (*Hs*YRS) > 500 μ M. Data represent the average of 8 independent assays and error bars correspond to SEM. **(D)** Structure of ML901-Tyr. **(E)** *Pf*YRS was incubated with ML901 (50 μ M), ATP (10 μ M), tyrosine (20 μ M) and *Pf*rRNA^{Tyr} (8 μ M). Following urea denaturation and TFA precipitation, the supernatant was subjected to LCMS analysis, revealing the expected protonated ML901-Tyr ion. The profile is typical of data from three independent experiments. **(F)** Schematic of reaction-hijacking mechanism.




Figure 5. Structural analysis of YRSs reveals the determinants of potency and specificity.

(A) The structure of the dimeric *PFYRS*/ML901-Tyr complex showing chain A (green), chain B (blue), and bound ML901-Tyr (red stick representation). (B) Inhibitor/active site interactions for the B chain. (C) (i) The *PFYRS* chain B active site highlighting the “HIGH” (70HIAQ73; light purple) and “KMSKS” (247KMSKS251; light brown) motifs with bound ML901-Tyr (colored by atom type). M248 and H70 are positioned to interact. (ii) Active site of *HsYRS* with bound ML901-Tyr highlighting the “HIGH” motif (49HVAY52; light pink). (iii) Active site of *PFYRS*_{S234C} with bound ML901-Tyr highlighting the “HIGH” (70HIAQ73; light green). Unmodelled loops are shown in Cii and Ciii as dashed lines. (D) Overlay of *PFYRS* (B chain), *HsYRS*, and *PFYRS*_{S234C} showing the different configurations adopted by His70/His49. *PFYRS* His70, purple; *HsYRS* His49, pink; *PFYRS*_{S234C} His70, green.