Category	Post- Implementatio n Cases (n=1127)	Pre- Implementation Controls (n=1124)	P Value
Number of Singleplex PCR Tests per CSF Specimen			< 0.0001
0	969 (90%)	539 (50%)	
1	97 (9%)	289 (27%)	
>2	7 (1%)		
CSF Singleplex PCR Positive	4 (0%)		
MEP Testing Performed	805 (72%)	N/A	
MEP Positive	102 (9%)		
Time to Positive CSF Test Result (hrs)	4.8 (2.4, 4.8)	9.6(4.8, 16.8)	< 0.0001
Bacterial Testing			
Positive Gram Stain	16 (196)		0.4243
Positive CSF culture	20 (2%)		0.7109
Positive MEP for bacteria	19/805 (2%)	N/A	-
Viral Testing (positive/tested)			
Enterovirus detected in CSF by singleplex PCR	1/25 (4%)		
Enterovirus detected in CSF by MEP	54/805 (7%)	N/A	
Enterovirus neurologic cases (any site)	88 (8%)		0.1189
HSV detected in CSF by singleplex PCR	3/80 (4%)		
HSV detected in CSF by MEP	3/805 (0%)	N/A	
HSV neurologic cas es (any site)	15 (1%)		0.8547
Parechovirus detected in CSF by singleplex PCR	0/4 (0%)		
Parechovirus detected in CSF by MEP	11/805 (1%)	N/A	
Parechovirus neurologic cases (any site)	12 (196)		0.1458
HHV-8 detected in CSF by singleplex PCR	0/3 (0%)	1/8 (1396)	
HHV-6 detected in CSF by MEP	13/805 (2%)	N/A	
VZV detected in CSF by single plex PCR	0/5 (0%)	0/41 (0%)	
VZV detected in CSF by MEP	2/805 (0%)		
CMV detected in CSF by singleplex PCR	0/2 (0%)	0/16 (0%)	
CMV detected in CSF by MEP	0/805 (0%)	N/A	
Fungal Testing (positives/tested)			
Cryptococcus Ag detected in CSF	0/2 (0%)	0/2 (0%)	
Cryptococcus detected in CSF by MEP	0/805 (0%)	N/A	
Discharge Diagnosis			
Neurologic Discharge Diagnosis	499 (44%)	430 (38%)	
Infectious Neurologic Disease Discharge Diagnosis	167 (15%)		
Proportion due to Viral Cause	124 (74%)	88 (75%)	
Proportion due to Bacterial Cause	35 (21%)	27 (23%)	
Non-CNS Bacterial Infection Respiratory Viral Infection	178 (16%)	175 (10%)	
Outcomes	284 (25%)	253 (23%)	0.1347
Hospitalized	1000 (000)	1024 (91%)	
	1033 (92%)		
Length of Inpatient Stay (median days) Death During Hospitalization	4 (3.9)		0.9635
Death During Hospitalization Death Due to CNS Infection	13 (1%)		0.2284
Started on Antibacterials	768 (68%)		
Number of IV Antibacterials Received	2 (2.3)		0.5326
IV Antimicrobial Duration (hrs)	24 (0, 50.4)		
IV Antimicrobial Duration (Ins.)	24 (0, 50.4) 38 (0, 98)		0.00037
Received IV Acyclovia	284 (25%)	301 (27%)	
Duration of IV Acyclovir Amonos t Those Started	17 (8, 40)		
Time to Effective Antimicrobials for Cases with Treatable Etiploov (hrs)		0.68 (0.48, 0.93)	
Time to Effective Antimicrobials for Cases with Treatable Etblogy (hrs) Time to Effective Antimicrobials for Cases with Treatable Organism in CSF			
Time to Optimal Antimicrobial Regimen Initiation (hrs)	18(13,21)		

Conclusion. Implementation of MEP with a rapid CNS diagnostic stewardship program improved antimicrobial use with faster results shortening empiric therapy. Routine MEP testing in high-yield cases rapidly detects common viral causes and rules out bacterial targets to enable antimicrobial optimization

Disclosures. Samuel R. Dominguez, MD, PhD, BioFire Diagnostics (Consultant, Research Grant or Support)DiaSorin Molecular (Consultant)Pfizer (Grant/Research Support) Samuel R. Dominguez, MD, PhD, BioFire (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Consultant, Research Grant or Support; DiaSorin Molecular (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Consultant; Pfizer (Individual(s) Involved: Self): Grant/ Research Support

1020. BioFiring on all Cylinders: Validation of BioFire FilmArray Pneumonia Panel and Determination of Optimal Utility

Rachel Strength, MD¹; Jessica Bennett, PharmD.²; Jay Patel, BS/

Biochemistry³; Jarred Bowden, Pharm.D., BCIDP, AAHIVP⁴; Jane V. Eason, MD⁵; Neena Thomas-Gosain, MD¹; ¹University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee; ²VAMC Memphis, Germantown, Tennessee; ³University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center, Memphis, Tennessee; ⁴Memphis VA Medical Center, Memphis, Tennessee; ⁵Memphis VA Medical Center; UTHSC, Memphis, Tennessee

Session: P-58. New Approaches to Diagnostics

Background. Respiratory cultures can take up to five days to grow, time that can be crucial in treating patients with serious infections. Newer rapid microbiological identification tests are designed to shorten this delay between specimen collection and test result. The BioFire^{*} FilmArray^{*} Pneumonia Panel is a multiplex PCR panel that can identify 8 viral, 18 bacterial, and 7 resistance gene targets in one hour. In this study, we aimed to calculate the predictive value of this test and its utility in the clinical setting.

Methods. This retrospective study compared BioFire* FilmArray* Pneumonia Panel results to respiratory cultures run at our center from 3/1/2020 to 2/28/2021. For every BioFire sample, a respiratory culture was run concurrently. We examined correlations between these two tests using data collected from the microbiology laboratory and the electronic medical record.

Results. 190 BioFire samples from 124 patients were submitted for processing. Of these, 148 samples had a concomitant respiratory culture result that grew organisms that BioFire could detect. BioFire and culture results were compared, and sensitivity and specificity were calculated on a per-sample basis. Sensitivity was calculated at 91%, specificity at 67%, positive predictive value at 46%, and negative predictive value at 96%.

BioFire detected 30 resistance genes total, including *mecA/C* and MREJ, CTX-M, and KPC. The sensitivity and negative predictive value for BioFire resistance gene

detection was 100%. However, specificity was 94-98%, and the positive predictive value ranged between 25-41% when compared to culture.

Conclusion. Despite the promise of faster results and better screening, our data suggests that further study is needed to determine the utility of the BioFire pneumonia panel. The strength of the panel appears to lie in its negative predictive value and sensitivity, but as a positive predictive tool, it is suboptimal.

Disclosures. All Authors: No reported disclosures

1021. Utility of Cell-Free DNA Sequencing in Diagnosing *Murine typhus* in Children

Victoria M. Medina-Carbonell, MD¹; Enrique A. Yespica-Otaola, MD²; Kim Beatty, MD³; Jaime Fergie, MD⁴; ¹Universidad Central de Venezuela, Corpus Christi, Texas; ²Universidad Central de Venezuela, Corpus Christi, Texas; ³Driscoll Children's Hospital, Corpus Christi, Texas; ⁴Infectious Disease, Driscoll Children's Hospital, Corpus Christi, Texas

Session: P-58. New Approaches to Diagnostics

Background. Murine typhus is a zoonotic infection caused by Rickettsia typhi and transmitted through infected fleas. Geographic distribution within the United States is limited primarily to South Texas and Southern California. Infection is typically associated with a triad of fever, headache, and rash, although is only present in one-third of cases. Immunofluorescence assay (IFA) is currently the gold standard for diagnosis, but it has its limitations as it is dependent on the time to seroconversion and has low specificity due to cross-reactivity among other rickettsial species. Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) sequencing for broad-range pathogen detection may offer higher sensitivity at the early stages of the disease.

Methods. We performed a retrospective electronic medical record search of children with cfDNA sequencing detection of Murine typhus hospitalized at Driscoll Children's Hospital, Corpus Christi, Texas, between June 2020 and May 2021.

Results. We found 4 children (range 9-15 year-old) positive for R. typhi by cfDNA sequencing. All patients presented with fever of unknown origin and rash. Also, 2 patients were diagnosed with pneumonia. One patient exhibited severe illness with acute kidney injury, elevation of transaminases and encephalitis that warranted admission to the pediatric intensive care unit. All patients defervesced and improved within 48 hours of doxycycline initiation; average length of stay 6 days (range 3-12 days). In one patient, M. typhus was detected by Karius* test only, in the other three was concordant with serology.

Conclusion. We highlight next-generation cfDNA sequencing as a useful tool in identifying the etiologic agent of patients with fever of known origin, where murine typhus is one of the possible etiologies. Preventing extensive laboratory workup and subsequent delay of assessment and management. The rapid turnaround time of cfDNA test allows for de-escalation of therapy and initiation of appropriate treatment.

Disclosures. Jaime Fergie, MD, AstraZeneca (Scientific Research Study Investigator)Explify (Speaker's Bureau)Karius (Speaker's Bureau)Pfizer, Merck, AstraZeneca, and Sanofi (Speaker's Bureau)Pfizer, Merck, Sanofi, and Moderna (Consultant, Advisor or Review Panel member)

1022. Evaluating the Impact of GenMark Dx ePlex* Blood Culture Identification (BCID) on Gram-negative Bloodstream Infections

Pia Cumagun, MD¹; Jeremy Meeder, BS¹; Derek Moates, BS, MS¹;

Hannah Pierce, BS, MS¹; Todd P. McCarty, MD²; Rachael A. Lee, MD¹; Sixto M. Leal, Jr., MD, PhD¹; ¹University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; ²University of Alabama at Birmingham; Birmingham VA Medical Center, Birmingham, Alabama

Session: P-58. New Approaches to Diagnostics

Background. The GenMark Dx ePlex BCID Gram-Negative (GN) panel utilizes electrowetting technology to detect the most common causes of GN bacteremia (21 targets) and 6 antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes from positive blood culture (BC) bottles. Rapid detection of extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBL: CTX-M & carbapenemases: KPC, NDM, IMP, VIM, OXA 23/48), and highly resistant bacteria such as S. *maltophilia* should enable early optimization of antimicrobial therapy.

Methods. In this prospective study, aliquots of positive BC bottles with GN bacteria detected on Gram stain (GS) (n=108) received standard of care (SOC) culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST). Additionally, samples were evaluated with the BCID-GN panel but only SOC results were reported in the EMR and available to inform clinical decisions. Chart reviews were performed to evaluate the impact of the BCID-GN panel on the time to organism identification, AST results, and optimization of antimicrobial therapy.

Results. A total of 108 patients are included in the analysis (Table 1). *Escherichia coli* was the most common bacteria identified followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species (Table 2). There were 11 (10.2%) polymicrobial bacteremias. Repeat BCs were obtained in 68 (63%) patients of which 13 (19%) were persistently positive. Eight (7%) patients had evidence of additional gram-positive (GP) pathogens. Organism identification occurred 26.7 hours faster than culture. In conjunction with GS, negative pan-GP marker data could have helped providers make the decision to remove GP antibiotic coverage in 63 (58%) patients. Narrowing from empiric meropenem could have occurred in 5 patients. Of 10 individuals infected with resistant isolates (1 *S. maltophilia*, 1 OXA 23/48, and 8 CTX-M) empiric therapy was ineffective in 4 (40%) cases. Optimization of antimicrobial therapy for 9 (8.3%) patients could have occurred an average of 52.4 hours earlier than standard methods.