

Real-Time Non-Invasive Monitoring of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Exhaled Breath

Joris Meurs, Evangelia Sakkoula and Simona M. Cristescu*

Exhaled Biomarkers and Exposure Group, Department of Analytical Chemistry & Chemometrics, Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands

Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are important metabolites produced by the gut microbiome as a result of the fermentation of non-digestible polysaccharides. The most abundant SCFAs are acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid which make up 95% of this group of metabolites in the gut. Whilst conventional analysis SCFAs is done using either blood or fecal samples, SCFAs can also be detected in exhaled breath using proton transfer reaction-time-of-flight- mass spectrometry (PTR-ToF-MS) using H₃O⁺ for ionization. However, no investigation has been performed to characterize the reactions of SCFAs with H_3O^+ and with other reagent ions, such as O_2^+ and NO^+ . Gas-phase samples of acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid were analyzed with SRI/PTR-ToF-MS under dry and humid conditions. The ions generated and their distribution was determined for each reagent ion. It was found the humidity did not influence the product ion distribution for each SCFA. Using H₃O⁺ as a reagent ion, SRI/PTR-ToF-MS analysis of an exhaled breath sample was performed in real-time to demonstrate the methodology. The presence of was exhaled **SCFAs** in breath confirmed by thermal desorption-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (TD-GC-MS). Breath sampling repeatability was within acceptable limits (<15%) for an analytical methodology for each investigated SCFA. Nutritional intervention studies could potentially benefit from real-time monitoring of exhaled SCFAs as an alternative to measuring SCFAs invasively in blood or fecal samples since it is non-invasive, and requires minimal time investment from participants.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Mohammad Sharif Khan, Cargill, United States

Reviewed by:

Hicham Benabdelkamel, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia Tiffany Weir, Colorado State University, United States Luca Cappellin, University of Padua, Italy

*Correspondence: Simona M. Cristescu

Simona M. Cristescu s.cristescu@science.ru.nl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Analytical Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 12 January 2022 Accepted: 01 April 2022 Published: 26 April 2022

Citation:

Meurs J, Sakkoula E and Cristescu SM (2022) Real-Time Non-Invasive Monitoring of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Exhaled Breath. Front. Chem. 10:853541. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2022.853541 Keywords: short-chain fatly acids, exhaled breath, gut micobiome, SRI/PTR-ToF-MS, non-invasive monitoring

INTRODUCTION

Dietary intake has an enormous impact on human health (Singh et al., 2017). At a young age, low dietary quality can have adverse effects on cognitive development (Bellisle, 2004; Miquel et al., 2018); therefore, proper choice of food intake is essential to prevent conditions like obesity, cardiovascular disease, and cognitive decline (Bellisle, 2004; Miquel et al., 2018).

Alteration in food consumption leads to changes in gut microbiome activity (Johnson et al., 2020). As such, the microbiome releases by-products and secondary metabolites from dietary components that can serve as an excellent indicator for assessing diet-induced metabolic changes. Among these metabolites is the group of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) from which acetic, propionic and butyric acid make up 95% of the SCFAs produced in the gut (Wu et al., 2021). Production of SCFAs is a result of fermentation of (partially) non-digestible polysaccharides (NDP) (Forkman, 2009) in the colon, mainly emanating from highly resistant starches (Cummings et al., 1986). Monitoring SCFAs could

1

therefore potentially provide information on the quality (fiber content) of an individual's diet (Ou et al., 2013). Currently, analysis of SCFAs is in general performed using either blood or fecal samples followed by chromatography and subsequent mass spectrometry detection (van Eijk et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2021). These methods are however laborintensive and require (partially) invasive sample collection. Therefore, it is not suitable to track SCFAs concentrations in real-time.

Previous studies reported detection of SCFAs in gas-phase samples using proton transfer reaction-time-of-flight-mass spectrometry (PTR-ToF-MS) (Hartungen et al., 2004; Henderson et al., 2021). This is a soft ionization technique in which volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with a higher proton affinity than water become ionized as a result of proton transfer in the gas phase (Lindinger et al., 1998a). Von Hartungen et al. showed that SCFA could be analyzed from axillary samples. More recently, Henderson et al. used PTR-ToF-MS to non-invasively monitor in real-time SCFAs in breath as potential indicators of gut microbiota activity relating to exercise and medication use. It was found that the SCFA concentration in exhaled breath increased throughout prolonged exercise (Henderson et al., 2021). In addition, butyric acid was identified as a potential non-invasive marker for exercise-induced inflammation (Henderson et al., 2022).

Technical advances in the PTR-ToF-MS include the use of different selective reagent ions (SRI) such as H_3O^+ (most common), NO^+ , O_2^+ , etc. for ionizing the compounds of interest. This feature allows detection of a broader range of analytes, a better isomeric separation for several classes of organic chemical compounds, and an improved level of selectivity (Jordan et al., 2009b).

Whilst PTR-ToF-MS shows the great potential for real-time monitoring of the most abundant SCFAs (acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid), the conditions for their optimal analysis in the exhaled breath have not yet been investigated. Here, we used SRI/PTR-ToF-MS to optimize and validate a method for SCFAs quantification in exhaled breath. For this, we studied the differences in ion branching when different reagent ions $(H_3O^+, NO^+, and O_2^+)$ when various drift tube reduced field energies (*E/N*) were used. The branching ratios were determined under dry and humid conditions, respectively. Using the optimum parameters, the method will be demonstrated for real-time measurement of SCFAs in exhaled breath.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Acetic acid (>99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands). Propionic acid (99%+) and butyric acid (99%+) were obtained from Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe, Germany). All chemical standards were in the liquid phase.

Sample Preparation

It has been reported that humidity might affect the ion intensities in PTR-(ToF)-MS (Trefz et al., 2018). Therefore, it is of the essence for method optimization to understand what effect humidity has on the product ion intensities for each SCFA.

Gas standards for E/N optimization were generated in a similar way as described by Malásková *et al* (Malásková *et al.*, 2019a). 10-mL headspace vials were filled with 1 mL of the liquid chemical standard and left to equilibrate overnight at room temperature.

To measure under dry (normal) conditions, Tedlar[®] bags were filled with 2 L synthetic air (5.5, Linde Gas, Dieren, the Netherlands). From each headspace vial, a set volume of headspace was aspirated depending on the volatility of the chemical standard (acetic acid: 0.2 mL; propionic acid: 0.5 mL; butyric acid: 1.0 mL; isobutyric acid: 1.0 mL; ethyl acetate: 0.1 mL). The collected headspace was transferred to a Tedlar[®] bag filled with synthetic air.

For measurements under humid conditions, such as exhaled breath (estimated 100% relative humidity), the synthetic airflow was first led through a bottle containing deionized water. The humidified air was subsequently collected in a Tedlar[®] bag (total volume of 2 L). Afterward, the headspace was sampled from the vials containing the liquid chemical standards and injected into the Tedlar[®] bag *via* the septum.

Before and during analysis, Tedlar[®] bags were kept in an oven at 37°C. The Tedlar[®] bags were then connected to an SRI/PTR-ToF-MS 8000 (Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria) *via* a heated 1/16[°] polyether ether ketone (PEEK) tubing (T = 80°C).

E/N Optimization of SRI/PTR-ToF-MS Parameters for Measurement of SCFAs

The principle of PTR-ToF-MS has been described in detail elsewhere (Lindinger et al., 1998a; Lindinger et al., 1998b). Briefly, $H_3O^+(H_2O)_n$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) is generated through a hollow cathode discharge of water vapor. These reagent ions are subsequently transferred to a drift tube, where also the analytes are injected. Proton transfer reaction from the hydronium ion takes place when the proton affinity of the analyte exceeds the proton affinity of water (691 kJ·mol⁻¹). The proton transfer can be both dissociative and non-dissociative. Fragmentation of protonated molecules can occur spontaneously or originate from collision-induced reactions of the reagent ions with (charged) analytes (Malásková et al., 2019b). Therefore, the mass spectrum of a single compound may be composed of the protonated molecule, as well as fragment ions derived from the parent molecule. Throughout all experiments, a PTR-TOF 8000 instrument with SRI option (5000 $\Delta m/m$) was used (Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Innsbrück, Austria).

The reagent ions NO^+ and O_2^+ were used to investigate the reaction products under various drift tube conditions and their potential to distinguish between isomers without the use of chromatography. SRI/PTR-TOF-MS is employed to explore its potential to discriminate between butyric acid and its isomers isobutyric acid and ethyl acetate.

For all product ion measurements, the drift tube pressure and temperature were kept at 2.3 mbar and 80°C, respectively. The inlet flow was set to 20 sccm. The drift tube voltage was altered from 330 to 915 V to cover a reduced electric field range (E/N) from 80 to 210 Td

with increments of 10 Td. For each reduced electric field, spectra were acquired with a time resolution of 500 ms for 30 s resulting in a total of 60 spectra per E/N. The switching of the drift tube voltage and reagent ion was performed in an automated sequence. Before the start of the automated sequence, the signal was allowed to stabilize for 1 min. The product ion distribution was investigated for ions which account for at least 3% of the total intensity of the product ions (Malásková et al., 2019a).

Method Validation With Chemical Standards

SCFA standards were diluted to $25 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ in deionized water. By using the inert gas stripping method, gas standards were generated from the aqueous solutions (Karl et al., 2003). The headspace concentration was calculated using Henry's law constant. Further dilutions were created using two mass flow controllers (Supplementary Figure S1). The concentrations used were 4, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 part-per-billion volume (ppbV) for each SCFA. Acetone was used as exhaled breath tracer and was calibrated using a gas cylinder (1 ppm in N2, Linde Gas, Dieren, the Netherlands). Concentrations of acetone were measured at 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 ppbV. The corresponding SRI/PTR-ToF-MS signals were investigated for linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), and repeatability. Measurements were done using three repeats. The LOD and LOQ were calculated according to the definition of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), i.e. the LOD and LOQ are equal to the blank signal plus three and plus ten times the standard deviation of the blank signal, respectively. Linearity was assessed by calculating the coefficient of determination (R^2) .

Exhaled Breath Collection for Off-Line Measurements

Five volunteers were asked to exhale through a mouthpiece bacterial filter (GVS, Morecambe, United Kingdom) through a one-way breathing tube fitted with Teflon tubing connected to a 3 L Tedlar[®] sampling bag. Before sampling, participants were asked to rinse their mouths with water. A small discard bag of 150 mL was attached to the start of the sampling line to account for the dead space and thus allowing only the end-tidal breath to be collected in the sampling bag. The exhaled breath samples were then transferred to the sorbent tubes at a flow rate of 80 mL·min⁻¹. Samples were collected and analyzed in triplicate. For SRI/PTR-ToF-MS analysis, the Tedlar[®] bag was directly connected to the PEEK inlet of the instrument. The temperature and flow of the heated inlet line were set to 110°C and 80 sccm. The drift tube was operated at 110°C under 2.3 mbar and at a drift voltage of 500 V. The resulting *E/N* ratio was 130 Td.

Demonstration of Real-Time Monitoring SCFAs in Exhaled Breath Samples

To demonstrate the suitability of the optimized conditions of the SRI/PTR-ToF-MS for real-time detection of SCFAs in exhaled breath, five healthy volunteers were asked to exhale into the

instrument *via* a commercial breath sampler (Loccioni[®], Angeli di Rosora, Italy). The breath sampling procedure has been validated previously (Henderson et al., 2021). Briefly, the participants were asked to rinse their mouth with water before exhaling through a mouthpiece into a calibrated buffer pipe equipped with a CO_2 sensor at a constant flow rate of 50 mL·s⁻¹. The PEEK inlet tubing of the PTR was directly inserted into the calibrated buffer pipe allowing the collection of breath profiles in real-time. In total, 5 consecutive exhaled breath samples were monitored to check the reproducibility of the sampling. For this, the coefficient of variation (CV%) was calculated (ratio of the standard deviation and the average concentration multiplied by 100%) for the exhaled breath. Between exhalations, the volunteer withdrew from the buffer pipe and the background of room air was recorded (Henderson et al., 2021).

For the SRI/PTR-ToF-MS analysis of exhaled breath, H_3O^+ was used as reagent ion. The temperature and flow of the heated inlet line were set to 110°C and 300 sccm. The drift tube was operated at 110°C under 2.3 mbar and at a drift voltage of 500 V. The resulting *E/N* ratio was 130 Td.

Method Validation With Thermal Desorption—Gas Chromatography—Mass Spectrometry

To confirm the presence of each SCFA in exhaled breath as detected by SRI/PTR-ToF-MS, chemical standards, and exhaled breath was analyzed with TD-GC-MS. First, gas standards from liquid chemical standards were generated as described in Method Validation with Chemical Standards Section and were directly collected onto Tenax TA/Carbograph 5TD sorbent tubes. Afterward, the sorbent tubes were dry purged with N₂ for one minute at a flow rate of $6 \text{ L} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. Analysis of trapped VOCs was then performed using a TD-20 thermal desorption system coupled to a GC-MS (QP2010 Ultra, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Desorption was carried out at a temperature of 260°C under a flow of helium (60 mL·min⁻¹) for 8 min. Desorbed VOC was collected on a focusing trap (Tenax TA; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) which was kept at -20°C. After, the focusing trap was rapidly heated to 250°C for 5 min to release the VOC which were then transferred to a CP-Sil 19 CF capillary column (Agilent Technologies, Amstelveen, the Netherlands). The split ratio was set to 50:1 for the reference standards and 5:1 for exhaled breath samples. The column temperature was initially kept at 40°C for 5 min after which the temperature was increased to 250°C at a rate of $5 \degree \text{C} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. The final temperature was kept for 5 min. Mass spectra were acquired in the m/z range 30–500 at a scan rate of 11 scans s^{-1} . Using the reference standards, the retention time and diagnostic ions were determined for acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid. Analysis was performed in triplicate.

Data Analysis

All data processing was carried out in PTR-Viewer (Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria). Mass spectra were calibrated using m/z 21.022 (H₃¹⁸O⁺) and m/z 203.943 (PerMasCAL) for H₃O⁺, and m/z 33.998 (¹⁶O¹⁸O⁺) and m/z 45.992 (NO₂⁺) for both O₂⁺ and NO⁺. Raw counts were used to present the product ion distributions, so results can be compared easily between instruments (Malásková et al.,



2019b). For SRI/PTR-ToF-MS analysis of exhaled breath samples with $\rm H_{3}O^{+},$ ion counts were normalized against $\rm H_{3}{}^{18}O^{+}$ (\times 500). Further statistical analysis was done in MATLAB (R2020a, The Mathworks,

Inc.). GC-MS data were processed using OpenChrom (v 1.4.0) (Wenig and Odermatt, 2010). Comparison between two groups was done using the Mann-Whitney test. A *p*-value less than 0.05

				Relative Abundance (%)	
SCFA	Reaction Product	m/z	<i>E/N</i> 80 Td	<i>E/N</i> 140 Td	<i>E/N</i> 210 Td
H ₃ O ⁺					
Acetic acid	CH ₃ COOH·H ⁺	61.03	88	57	18
	CH ₃ CO ⁺	43.02	12	42	67
	CH ₃ ⁺	15.02	_	1	15
Propionic acid	CH ₃ CH ₂ COOH·H ⁺	75.04	77	58	3
	CH ₃ CH ₂ CO ⁺	57.03	14	4	_
	$CH_3CH_2^+$	29.02	9	38	97
Butvric acid	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ COOH·H ⁺	89.06	71	56	4
	$CH_3(CH_2)_2CO^+$	71.05	11	2	_
	CH ₃ CO ⁺	43.02	_	4	8
	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ ⁺	43.05	10	11	3
	$CH_3CH_2^+$	29.02	8	27	85
NO+					
Acetic acid	CH ₃ CO ⁺	43.02	99	97	73
	CH ₃ ⁺	15.02	1	3	27
Propionic acid	CH ₃ CH ₂ COOH ⁺	74.04	95	97	33
	CH ₃ CH ₂ CO ⁺	57.03	2	1	_
	$CH_3CH_2^+$	29.02	3	2	67
Butyric acid	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ COOH ⁺	88.05	70	59	20
	$CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}^{+}$	43.05	5	6	4
	$CH_3CH_2^+$	29.02	1	5	57
	CH ₃ COOH ⁺	60.03	21	24	8
	CH_3CO^+	43.02	3	6	11
0 ₂ ⁺					
Acetic acid	CH ₃ CO ⁺	43.02	93	94	79
	CH ₃ ⁺	15.02	_	2	18
	CH ₃ COOH ⁺	60.03	7	3	3
Propionic acid	CH ₃ CH ₂ COOH ⁺	75.04	95	97	37
	$CH_3CH_2^+$	29.02	2	3	63
	CH ₃ CH ₂ CO ⁺	57.03	3	_	_
Butyric acid	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ COOH ⁺	88.05	37	15	9
	CH ₃ COOH ⁺	60.03	53	65	38
	CH ₃ CO ⁺	43.02	6	15	34
	$CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}^{+}$	43.05	3	4	6
	$CH_3CH_2^+$	29.02	1	1	13

TABLE 1 | Drift tube reactions with H₃O⁺ and ion branching ratios of SCFAs at selected reduced electric fields under humid conditions.

was considered significant. Quantification results for TD-GC-MS and SRI/PTR-ToF-MS were compared using correlation analysis. Linearity was assessed by fitting the function y = ax + b in which y is the measured intensity, x is the concentration, a is the slope, and b is the intercept.

The background signal was determined by averaging the signal for each trace (SCFA or acetone) for 1 min. The start and end of the exhalation were defined as consecutive scans with a concentration greater than the average background concentration plus three standard deviations of the background signal. The alveolar breath phase was selected using a breath tracker algorithm (Trefz et al., 2013).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

E/N Optimization of SCFAs With H₃O⁺

Acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid were analyzed with PTR-ToF-MS under normal (dry) and humid (exhaled breath) conditions to determine which product ions are formed (ion

branching ratios) at different reduced electric fields and investigate whether humidity affects the product ion distribution.

At E/N of 140 Td or lower, the parent ion (CH₃COOHH⁺; m/z 61.03) is the most abundant for acetic acid (**Figure 1A**). Increasing the E/N to 150 Td or higher led to a substantially increased fragmentation. For acetic acid, the parent ion at m/z 61.03 fragments to form CH₃CO⁺ (m/z 43.02) or CH₃⁺ (m/z 15.02) (**Table 1**). The formation of CH₃⁺ indicates a neutral loss of HCOOH which was also previously observed when analyzing hexanoic acid (Romano and Hanna, 2018). No difference in product ion distribution was observed as a result of humid drift tube conditions.

For propionic acid (**Figure 1B**), the neutral loss of water (CH₃CH₂CO⁺; m/z 57.03) is already observed at low E/N but becomes less prominent at increasing E/N. The parent ion (CH₃CH₂COOHH⁺; m/z 75.04) remains the most abundant product ion up until E/N 150 Td. At higher E/N, an increased abundance of the ion at m/z 29.02 is recorded which corresponds to CH₃CH₂⁺. The product ion distribution was not affected by humid drift tube conditions.

TABLE 2	Figures	of merit	for the	analysis o	of acetic acid,	propionic acid,	and butyric acid.
---------	---------	----------	---------	------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------------

Compound		Linearity			LOD (ppbV)			LOQ (ppbV)			RSD% ^a	
	H₃O⁺	NO ⁺	0 ₂ +	H₃O⁺	NO⁺	0 ₂ ⁺	H₃O⁺	NO ⁺	0 ₂ +	H ₃ O ⁺	NO⁺	0 ₂ +
Acetic acid	0.99	_	0.99	0.93	>100	1.02	2.09	>100	2.55	2.6	_	3.7
Propionic acid	0.99	_	0.99	0.34	>100	1.06	0.85	>100	2.68	3.2	-	5.7
Butyric acid	0.99	_	_	0.33	>100	49.4	0.96	>100	>100	2.5	_	_

^aRelative standard deviation (RSD) measured for five replicates at 20 ppbV for each SCFA.No data for linearity and RSD, available for NO + ionization due to the high detection limit of the SCFAs.

TABLE 3 Repeatability of SCFAs concentrations (relative standard deviations) for five consecutive exhaled breath samples from five individuals measured with SRI/PTR-ToF-MS with H_3O^+ as reagent ion.

Participant	Acetic Acid (%)	Propionic Acid (%)	Butyric Acid (%)
1	13.2	7.3	9.6
2	3.0	8.9	4.8
3	8.8	2.5	7.8
4	5.6	12.5	8.8
5	2.9	6.1	8.9

Reactions of butyric acid with H_3O^+ predominantly led to the formation of $CH_3(CH_2)_2COOHH^+$ at low to mid-range *E/N*. Fragment ions at low *E/N* were assigned as $CH_3(CH_2)CO^+$ (*m/z* 71.05), $CH_3(CH_2)_2^+$ (*m/z* 43.06), and $CH_3CH_2^+$ (*m/z* 29.04). At higher *E/N* the $CH_3CH_2^+$ ion became the dominant species (**Figure 1C**). Increased humidity in the drift tube did not change the product ion distribution.

Fragmentation of the protonated molecule substantially increased beyond E/N 140 Td for each SCFA. A reduced electric field of 130 Td or lower would therefore generate less



FIGURE 2 | Five consecutive exhalation profiles for acetone (breath marker) and each short-chain fatty acid (acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid) from a healthy individual. The shaded area represents the end-tidal part of the exhaled breath used for calculating concentration and evaluating sample reproducibility.

complex mass spectra (fewer fragments) when using H_3O^+ as reagent ion. From these observations, three reaction channels could be defined in which the protonated parent molecule is formed, an acylium ion is formed due to the loss of water, and a carbocation and formic acid are formed (**Supplementary Table S1**).

E/N Optimization Using NO⁺ and O_2^+ as Reagent Ion

The analysis of acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid with different PTR-MS instrumentation has been reported previously (Hartungen et al., 2004). However, so far reactions of these SCFAs with NO⁺ and O₂⁺ have not been investigated. The ionization energies for acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid are respectively 10.65, 10.44, and 10.17 eV, which are lower than the ionization energy for O₂ (12.1 eV), but higher than for NO (9.3 eV) (NIST, 2021). Hence, it can be expected that the ionization of SCFAs with NO⁺ will not be efficient and will subsequently lead to higher detection limits.

For each SCFA, the MH⁺ ion was observed which could result from reactions with H_3O^+ impurity in the drift. For both O_2^+ and NO⁺, the presence of H_3O^+ impurity was confirmed by the presence of *m*/*z* 19.01 (H_3O^+) in the mass spectrum (**Supplementary Figure S2**). The abundance of H_3O^+ was in each below 1%, which is expected (Jordan et al., 2009a). Reactions of SCFAs with NO⁺ and O_2^+ are listed in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively.

Using NO⁺, no parent ion (CH₃COOH⁺) was observed for acetic acid. This could be due to a lower ionization efficiency of NO⁺ compared to acetic acid. Selected ion flow tube-mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS) studies have shown the formation of CH₃COOHNO⁺ (Boshier et al., 2010). This adduct ion was not observed for acetic acid when using NO⁺ as reagent ion potentially due to the different drift tube conditions used between PTR/SRI and SIFT instruments. Lowering the E/N might result in the formation CH₃COOHNO⁺. Up until E/N 130 Td, CH₃CO⁺ was the only product ion. At increased reduced electric fields, an increase for CH₃⁺ was observed though CH₃CO⁺ remained the dominant product ion (Supplementary **Figure S3A**). Reactions of acetic acid with O_2^+ did result in the formation of the parent ion CH₃COOH⁺. The relative abundance of the parent ion steadily decreased at increasing E/N. As in ionization with NO⁺, the dominant product formed is CH₃CO⁺. At higher E/N (>140 Td), the formation of CH_3^+ was also observed (Supplementary Figure S3A). The product ion distribution did not seem to be affected by humidity.

TABLE 4 | GC-MS retention time data and diagnostic ions for SCFA reference standards (n = 3).

Compound	Retention Time	lons (Relative Intensity %) ^a		
Acetic acid	8.266 ± 0.011 min	<i>m/z</i> 60 (100), <i>m/z</i> 45 (85), <i>m/z</i> 43 (75		
Propionic acid	12.071 \pm 0.010 min	<i>m/z</i> 74 (100), <i>m/z</i> 57 (38), <i>m/z</i> 45 (65		
Butyric acid	15.329 \pm 0.004 min	<i>m/z</i> 73 (30), <i>m/z</i> 60 (100), <i>m/z</i> 41 (20		

^aTop three ions observed in mass spectra.

For propionic acid, the same reaction products were found using either NO⁺ or O₂⁺. At low *E/N*, the parent ion is the dominant product. Increasing the reduced electric field led to increased formation of the CH₃CH₂⁺ ion. The loss of water from the parent molecule is only observed at *E/N* values below 170 Td for dry samples. Interestingly, there appears to be an effect of humidity on the product ion distribution for both ionization with NO⁺ (**Supplementary Figure S3B**). Under humid conditions, there is a relative increase of the parent ion up to mid-range *E/N* (*p* < 0.05). The humidity did not affect the product ion distribution of propionic acid with O₂⁺ ionization (**Supplementary Figure S4B**).

Butyric acid reactions with NO⁺ and O₂⁺ result in the formation of the same product ions (Supplementary Figures S3C, S4C). Reaction with NO + predominantly results in the formation of the parent ion (CH₃(CH₂)₂COOH⁺) up until 180 Td. Beyond 180 Td, increased fragmentation on the alkyl chain resulted in the formation of CH₃CH₂⁺. Furthermore, the formation of CH₃COOH⁺ is observed as a second major product ion. This product was also observed in a selected ion flow tube - mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS) study for the reaction between butyric acid and O2⁺ (Brůhová Michalčíková and Španěl, 2014). One should take account of this to not confuse the formation of CH₃COOH⁺ as a butyric acid fragment to claim the presence of acetic acid. However, in the case of NO⁺, the CH₃COOH⁺ ion is not observed for acetic acid and therefore incorrect assignment is diminished. The case for O2⁺ reactions is different since the main product ion is CH₃COOH⁺ which is also observed for acetic acid. To a lesser extent, the product ion is formed at lower range E/N values. Higher reduced electric fields resulted again in increased fragmentation of the alkyl chain with CH₃CH₂⁺. being the most dominant product ion. No difference in product ion distribution was observed between dry and humid conditions. As was observed for ionization with H₃O⁺, increased fragmentation of the most abundant was observed for E/N greater than 140 Td.

As for SCFA reactions with H_3O^+ , possible reaction channels can be proposed for the drift tube reaction of SCFAs with NO⁺ and O_2^+ (**Supplementary Table S1**). The product ions consist of the parent ions, acylium ions, and carbocations. However, unraveling the full reaction mechanism during the ionization process is beyond the scope of this article.

Overall, ionization of SCFAs with NO⁺ led to a lower signal for the parent ion compared to ionization with H_3O^+ (**Supplementary Figure S5**). Hence, the ionization efficiency of SCFAs with H_3O^+ is higher compared to O_2^+ and NO⁺, which could suggest that the detection limit of SCFAs with H_3O^+ is lower. This would favor the use of H_3O^+ for exhaled breath analysis. The investigation of the detection limits for each reagent ion is further discussed in *Optimization, Validation, and Demonstration of Real-Time Breath Analysis of SCFA 343 with SRI/PTR-ToF-MS Section.*



FIGURE 3 | Extracted ion chromatograms for diagnostic ions for acetic acid (left), propionic acid (middle) and butyric acid (right) in exhaled breath. Shaded area indicates of 1% retention time tolerance window calculated using reference standards. The retention time of the reference standard and SCFA in exhaled breath can differ due to the effect of the matrix.

Furthermore, the product ions were found at the same m/z for acetic acid and butyric when NO⁺ or O₂⁺ was used as reagent ion. This may limit the confidence of identification of the SCFAs when both



FIGURE 4 | Correlation plots for comparison of quantification of SCFAs with GC-MS and PTR-ToF-MS. (A) Acetic acid, (B) propionic acid and (C) butyric acid. Measurements were done in triplicate. Error bars represent the standard deviation.

compounds are present in exhaled breath. Therefore, for monitoring SCFAs in exhaled breath the use of H_3O^+ would be recommended and SCFAs can be traced using the protonated molecule.

Optimization, Validation, and Demonstration of Real-Time Breath Analysis of SCFA With SRI/PTR-ToF-MS

Exhaled breath analysis of SCFAs offers a great potential e.g. for realtime monitoring of dietary status. Therefore, the measurement parameters must be optimal to minimize breath-to-breath variation. To prevent condensation, the optimum temperature of the inlet line and drift tube of the instrument was found to be 110°C. The inlet flow was increased to 300 sccm to decrease the residence time in the breath sampler since the temperature of the buffer pipe is fixed at 45°C. Care should be taken that the part of the inlet line exposed to the environment (unheated) is as short as possible to minimize the temperature gradient and subsequent condensation. Also, the use of steel connectors should be avoided as SCFAs can adsorb to the surface (Kim and Kim, 2013).

Under optimized conditions, the linearity of the signal was investigated for each SCFA was performed by gas stripping on a $25 \text{ mg} \text{L}^{-1}$ aqueous dilution of the individual SCFAs. The measured concentrations ranged from 4 ppbV to 100 ppbV. When using NO⁺, no reaction products were observed indicating the NO⁺ is not a suitable reagent ion for the analysis of SCFAs in exhaled breath.

Excellent linearity ($R^2 > 0.99$) was observed for each SCFA over the investigated concentration range for ionization with H₃O⁺ (**Table 2**). LODs ranged from 0.33 ppbV (butyric acid) to 0.93 ppbV (acetic acid). LOQs were found to be in the range of 0.85 ppbV (propionic acid) and 2.09 ppbV (acetic acid). Repeatability was investigated at 10 ppbV by measuring five replicates. The relative standard deviations (RSDs) ranged from 2.5% (butyric acid) and 3.2% (propionic acid) which are well within acceptable limitations (<15%) for analytical instrumentation (Hartmann et al., 1998).

For ionization with ${O_2}^+$, excellent linearity and detection limits were achieved for acetic acid and propionic acid. However, the LOD for butyric acid was found to be 49.4 ppbV and >100 ppbV, respectively. Therefore, further validation work was only done using H_3O^+ as reagent ion, since all SCFAs of interest could be detected and reproducibly measured.

Next, 5 consecutive exhaled breath samples were provided by each of the five healthy volunteers to validate the methodology for exhaled breath analysis. The exhalation was tracked using measured acetone as breath marker (**Figure 2**). A previous report on the online analysis of acetic acid using selected ion flow tube—mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS) showed poor repeatability (Lin's concordance correlation coefficient (R_c): 0.37) between breath replicates (Boshier et al., 2010). The repeatability of breath sampling for propionic acid and butyric acid has not been reported so far. Using SRI/PTR-ToF-MS with H_3O^+ as reagent ion, all SCFAs showed acceptable deviations (<15%) for analytical instrumentation (Hartmann et al., 1998)

between consecutive breath samples for each volunteer (**Table 3**). Hence, this confirms that SCFAs can be reliably measured and quantified in real-time with SRI/PTR-ToF-MS using H_3O^+ as reagent ion. For demonstration, 5 exhalations were analyzed here to assess sampling repeatability, however, for studies monitoring SCFAs in exhaled breath, two exhalations would suffice. Furthermore, the effect of relative humidity was assessed on the ion intensity signal. The relative humidity of a breath sample can vary substantially (41–91%) between individuals (Mansour et al., 2020). At 50 ppbV, the normalized counts per second for the selected SCFAs were not found to be different at 100 and 50% relative humidity (p > 0.05).

To confirm the presence of the SCFAs in the exhaled breath of the volunteer, three breath samples (1 L; deadspace discarded) were collected in a Tedlar[®] bag and subsequently transferred to sorbent tubes (Tenax TA/Carbograph 5TD) for TD-GC-MS analysis. The presence of each SCFA was confirmed by retention time matching of the extracted ion chromatograms using at least two diagnostic ions (**Table 4**; **Figure 3**).

Though it should be stressed that measurements were performed at systemic level and SCFAs not only originate from production in the colon. For instance, the selected SCFAs are also produced by the oral microbiota (e.g. (Gardner et al., 2019)) and can therefore contribute to the presence in exhaled breath. The concentration of SCFAs found in saliva is approximately 1–2% compared to the concentration in the colon (Bhaskaran et al., 2018). By prior rinsing of the mouth with water, the contribution of VOCs arising from the oral microbiota is minimized.

Concentrations found with PTR-ToF-MS were compared to TD-GC-MS results (**Figure 4**). For all SCFAs, an excellent correlation was found between concentrations measured with PTR-ToF-MS and TD-GC-MS indicating both methodologies are in good agreement with each other. This shows there are no interferences present in exhaled breath that affect the concentration measurement with PTR-ToF-MS.

It is known that diet affects the gut microbiome which is further influencing human health (Johnson et al., 2020). Since the interaction diet-microbiome is personalized, research is needed to understand how diet modulates the microbiome composition and how the microbiome responds to diet by producing various metabolites, including SCFA at the individual level. Also, further investigation is required into the inter-individual variation of SCFAs in healthy volunteers to establish the normal clinical range of SCFAs in exhaled breath.

CONCLUSION

In this work, we optimized the conditions for SRI/PTR-ToF-MS analysis of SCFA in exhaled breath. Drift tube reactions between

REFERENCES

- Bellisle, F. (2004). Effects of Diet on Behaviour and Cognition in Children. Br. J. Nutr. 92, S227–S232. doi:10.1079/bjn20041171
- Bhaskaran, N., Quigley, C., Paw, C., Butala, S., Schneider, E., and Pandiyan, P. (2018). Role of Short Chain Fatty Acids in Controlling Tregs and

reagent ions and SCFAs were characterized at a wide range of reduced electric fields. Humidity did not affect the sensitivity for SCFAs. Breath sampling repeatability was found to be within acceptable limits of analytical methodology, indicating breath SCFAs can be measured with good accuracy. Also, comparison of SRI/PTR-ToF-MS measurements with the golden standard TD-GC-MS showed very good agreement. Moreover, the current strategy allows noninvasive monitoring of SCFAs, among other breath VOCs, with high sample throughput (<1 min per sample), making it an attractive alternative compared to more laborious body fluid analysis. This opens new opportunities for non-invasive monitoring of SCFAs in exhaled breath during e.g. dietary intervention studies on children.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Science (Radboud University). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SC: Funding, conceptualization; JM: Data acquisition, data processing, data analysis; ES: Data acquisition, data analysis. All authors took part in the writing process of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work has partially been supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program through the NUTRISHIELD project (https://nutrishield-project.eu/) under Grant Agreement No. 818110.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2022.853541/full#supplementary-material

Immunopathology during Mucosal Infection. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 1995. doi:10.3389/FMICB.2018.01995/BIBTEX

- Boshier, P. R., Marczin, N., and Hanna, G. B. (2010). Repeatability of the Measurement of Exhaled Volatile Metabolites Using Selected Ion Flow Tube Mass Spectrometry. J. Am. Soc. Mass. Spectrom. 21, 1070–1074. doi:10.1016/j.jasms.2010.02.008
- Brůhová Michalčíková, R., and Španěl, P. (2014). A Selected Ion Flow Tube Study of the Ion Molecule Association Reactions of Protonated (MH+), Nitrosonated

(MNO+) and Dehydroxidated (M–OH)+ Carboxylic Acids (M) with H2O. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 368, 15–22. doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2014.04.010

- Chan, J. C. Y., Kioh, D. Y. Q., Yap, G. C., Lee, B. W., and Chan, E. C. Y. (2017). A Novel LCMSMS Method for Quantitative Measurement of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Human Stool Derivatized with 12 C- and 13 C-Labelled Aniline. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 138, 43–53. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2017.01.044
- Chen, L., Sun, X., Khalsa, A. S., Bailey, M. T., Kelleher, K., Spees, C., et al. (2021). Accurate and Reliable Quantitation of Short Chain Fatty Acids from Human Feces by Ultra High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (UPLC-HRMS). J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 200, 114066. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2021.114066
- Cummings, J. H., Englyst, H. N., and Wiggins, H. S. (1986). The Role of Carbohydrates in Lower Gut Function. *Nutr. Rev.* 44, 50–54. doi:10.1111/J. 1753-4887.1986.TB07586.X
- Forkman, J. (2009). Estimator and Tests for Common Coefficients of Variation in Normal Distributions. *Commun. Stat. - Theor. Methods* 38, 233–251. doi:10. 1080/03610920802187448
- Gardner, A., Parkes, H. G., So, P. W., and Carpenter, G. H. (2019). Determining Bacterial and Host Contributions to the Human Salivary Metabolome. J. Oral Microbiol. 11, 1617014. doi:10.1080/20002297.2019.1617014/SUPPL_FILE/ ZJOM_A_1617014_SM8647
- Hartmann, C., Smeyers-Verbeke, J., Massart, D. L., and McDowall, R. D. (1998). Validation of Bioanalytical Chromatographic Methods. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 17, 193–218. doi:10.1016/S0731-7085(97)00198-2
- Hartungen, E. v., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Jaksch, D., Boscaini, E., Dunphy, P. J., et al. (2004). Proton-transfer-reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) of Carboxylic Acids. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 239, 243–248. doi:10.1016/j.ijms. 2004.09.009
- Henderson, B., Lopes Batista, G., Bertinetto, C. G., Meurs, J., Materić, D., Bongers, C. C.
 W. G., et al. (2021). Exhaled Breath Reflects Prolonged Exercise and Statin Use during a Field Campaign. *Metabolites* 11, 192. doi:10.3390/metabo11040192
- Henderson, B., Meurs, J., Lamers, C. R., Batista, G. L., Materić, D., Bertinetto, C. G., et al. (2022). Non-Invasive Monitoring of Inflammation in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients during Prolonged Exercise via Exhaled Breath Volatile Organic Compounds. *Metabolites* 12, 224. doi:10.3390/METABO12030224
- Johnson, A. J., Zheng, J. J., Kang, J. W., Saboe, A., Knights, D., and Zivkovic, A. M. (2020). A Guide to Diet-Microbiome Study Design. *Front. Nutr.* 7, 79. doi:10. 3389/fnut.2020.00079
- Jordan, A., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Herbig, J., Märk, L., et al. (2009a). An Online Ultra-high Sensitivity Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass-Spectrometer Combined with Switchable Reagent Ion Capability (PTR+SRI–MS). *Int. J. Mass* Spectrom. 286, 32–38. doi:10.1016/J.IJMS.2009.06.006
- Jordan, A., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Märk, L., Seehauser, H., et al. (2009b). A High Resolution and High Sensitivity Proton-Transfer-Reaction Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS). *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 286, 122–128. doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2009.07.005
- Karl, T., Yeretzian, C., Jordan, A., and Lindinger, W. (2003). Dynamic Measurements of Partition Coefficients Using Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS). *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 223-224, 383–395. doi:10.1016/S1387-3806(02)00927-2
- Kim, Y.-H., and Kim, K.-H. (2013). Extent of Sample Loss on the Sampling Device and the Resulting Experimental Biases when Collecting Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs) in Air Using Sorbent Tubes. Anal. Chem. 85, 7818–7825. doi:10.1021/ AC401385M/SUPPL_FILE/AC401385M_SI_001.PDF
- Lindinger, W., Hansel, A., and Jordan, A. (1998a). On-line Monitoring of Volatile Organic Compounds at Pptv Levels by Means of Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) Medical Applications, Food Control and Environmental Research. Int. J. Mass. Spectrom. Ion Process. 173, 191. doi:10.1016/S0168-1176(97)00281-4
- Lindinger, W., Jordan, A., and Jordan, A. (1998b). Proton-transfer-reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS): On-Line Monitoring of Volatile Organic Compounds at Pptv Levels. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 27, 347–375. doi:10.1039/A827347Z

- Malásková, M., Henderson, B., Chellayah, P. D., Ruzsanyi, V., Mochalski, P., Cristescu, S. M., et al. (2019a). Proton Transfer Reaction Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometric Measurements of Volatile Compounds Contained in Peppermint Oil Capsules of Relevance to Real-Time Pharmacokinetic Breath Studies. *J. Breath Res.* 13, 046009. doi:10.1088/1752-7163/ab26e2
- Malásková, M., Olivenza-León, D., Piel, F., Mochalski, P., Sulzer, P., Jürschik, S., et al. (2019b). Compendium of the Reactions of H3O+ with Selected Ketones of Relevance to Breath Analysis Using Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry. Front. Chem. 7, 401. doi:10.3389/fchem.2019.00401
- Mansour, E., Vishinkin, R., Rihet, S., Saliba, W., Fish, F., Sarfati, P., et al. (2020). Measurement of Temperature and Relative Humidity in Exhaled Breath. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 304, 127371. doi:10.1016/J.SNB.2019.127371
- Miquel, S., Champ, C., Day, J., Aarts, E., Bahr, B. A., Bakker, M., et al. (2018). Poor Cognitive Ageing: Vulnerabilities, Mechanisms and the Impact of Nutritional Interventions. Ageing Res. Rev. 42, 40–55. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2017.12.004
- NIST (2021). NIST Chemistry WebBook. Available at: webbook.nist.gov.
- Ou, J., Carbonero, F., Zoetendal, E. G., DeLany, J. P., Wang, M., Newton, K., et al. (2013). Diet, Microbiota, and Microbial Metabolites in colon Cancer Risk in Rural Africans and African Americans. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 98, 111–120. doi:10. 3945/AJCN.112.056689
- Romano, A., and Hanna, G. B. (2018). Identification and Quantification of VOCs by Proton Transfer Reaction Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry: An Experimental Workflow for the Optimization of Specificity, Sensitivity, and Accuracy. J. Mass. Spectrom. 53, 287–295. doi:10.1002/jms.4063
- Singh, R. K., Chang, H.-W., Yan, D., Lee, K. M., Ucmak, D., Wong, K., et al. (2017). Influence of Diet on the Gut Microbiome and Implications for Human Health. *J. Transl. Med.* 15, 73. doi:10.1186/s12967-017-1175-y
- Trefz, P., Schmidt, M., Oertel, P., Obermeier, J., Brock, B., Kamysek, S., et al. (2013). Continuous Real Time Breath Gas Monitoring in the Clinical Environment by Proton-Transfer-Reaction-Time-Of-Flight-Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 10321–10329. doi:10.1021/AC402298V/SUPPL_FILE/AC402298V_SI_001
- Trefz, P., Schubert, J. K., and Miekisch, W. (2018). Effects of Humidity, CO 2 and O 2 on Real-Time Quantitation of Breath Biomarkers by Means of PTR-ToF-MS. *J. Breath Res.* 12, 026016. doi:10.1088/1752-7163/AA9EEA
- van Eijk, H. M. H., Bloemen, J. G., and Dejong, C. H. C. (2009). Application of Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry to Measure Short Chain Fatty Acids in Blood. J. Chromatogr. B 877, 719–724. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2009. 01.039
- Wenig, P., and Odermatt, J. (2010). OpenChrom: A Cross-Platform Open Source Software for the Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Chromatographic Data. BMC Bioinformatics 11, 405. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-405/FIGURES/5
- Wu, Y., Xu, H., Tu, X., and Gao, Z. (2021). The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids of Gut Microbiota Origin in Hypertension. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 730809. doi:10. 3389/fmicb.2021.730809

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Meurs, Sakkoula and Cristescu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.