

Research Article

A Novel Method for Real-Time, Continuous, Fluorescence-Based Analysis of Anti-DNA Abzyme Activity in Systemic Lupus

Michelle F. Cavallo,^{1,2} Anna M. Kats,¹ Ran Chen,^{1,3}
James X. Hartmann,¹ and Mirjana Pavlovic^{1,4}

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL 33431, USA

²Department of Biological Sciences, Florida Atlantic University, Davie, FL 33314, USA

³Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

⁴Department of Computer and Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL 33431, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Mirjana Pavlovic, mpavlovi@fau.edu

Received 3 June 2012; Revised 25 September 2012; Accepted 31 October 2012

Academic Editor: Hiroyuki Nishimura

Copyright © 2012 Michelle F. Cavallo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disease characterized by the production of antibodies against a variety of self-antigens including nucleic acids. These antibodies are cytotoxic, catalytic (hydrolyzing DNA, RNA, and protein), and nephritogenic. Current methods for investigating catalytic activities of natural abzymes produced by individuals suffering from autoimmunity are mostly discontinuous and often employ hazardous reagents. Here we demonstrate the utility of dual-labeled, fluorogenic DNA hydrolysis probes in highly specific, sensitive, continuous, fluorescence-based measurement of DNA hydrolytic activity of anti-ssDNA abzymes purified from the serum of patients suffering from SLE. An assay for the presence and levels of antibodies exhibiting hydrolytic activity could facilitate disease diagnosis, prediction of flares, monitoring of disease state, and response to therapy. The assay may allow indirect identification of additional targets of anti-DNA antibodies and the discovery of molecules that inhibit their activity. Combined, these approaches may provide new insights into molecular mechanisms of lupus pathogenesis.

1. Introduction

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic, multifactorial, antigen-driven, systemic, autoimmune disease which often presents a broad spectrum of clinical entities. SLE is characterized by the production of an array of inflammation-inducing autoantibodies of IgG and IgM isotypes directed against nuclear antigens, including single-stranded (ss) and double-stranded (ds) DNA. High titers of both antibody classes are involved in disease development and associated with flare [1–4]. Titer of either species allows for differentiation between lupus patients and healthy donors and for monitoring patients in flare and with inactive disease [5–9]. Accordingly, anti-DNA antibody levels in patient sera are used to monitor disease activity and progression [10–12]. However, according to Shoenfeld et al. (1988), although titers vary significantly, anti-DNA antibodies are always detectable

in the sera of all healthy mammals [13]. Additionally, methods for quantifying antibody titer can produce greatly varying results from the same serum sample [5] and simply measuring the titer of anti-DNA antibodies does not provide detailed information about antibody functionality or potential pathogenicity. Assaying for antibody hydrolytic activity, in addition to monitoring titer, may allow physicians to better predict changes in disease cycle as well as researchers to illuminate potential roles for abzymes in perpetuation of disease.

Although sophisticated biosensor-based techniques [14] have been developed for simple detection of the presence of a mixture of both anti-ssDNA and anti-dsDNA antibody levels directly from the blood of SLE patients, assay systems for detecting hydrolytic activity of anti-DNA autoantibodies are still mainly at the electrophoretic level. Most published methods for analyzing the hydrolytic activity of anti-DNA

antibody are discontinuous, laborious, and hazardous, often employing radioactivity and/or carcinogenic dyes. For the most part, these assays do not lend themselves to automation nor to study of the reaction kinetics [15–20]. Gololobov et al. [21] have made the only attempt so far at continuous, kinetic analysis of anti-dsDNA antibody hydrolytic activity using the flow linear dichromism technique (FLD).

In contrast, studies of enzymes that hydrolyze DNA (various DNAases) have provided automated, quantitative measurement of DNA hydrolysis. Modern methods for analyzing DNase activity range from fluorescence-based (intercalating dyes and fluorescently labeled molecular beacon-based technology) to electrospray ionization mass spectrometry [22–25]. Methods for analyzing autoantibody activity—abzymes in lupus patients—should match the level of sensitivity and ease with which DNase I, the typical standard control, is analyzed. In order to devise an updated method for measuring abzyme activity, we investigated the utility of a dual-labeled, fluorogenic hydrolysis probe as a substrate for continuous measurement of catalytic activity and compared abzyme activity with a DNase I control.

Anti-dsDNA antibodies are found in 70–90% of SLE patients and are considered the hallmark of lupus disease. However, we have chosen to investigate anti-ssDNA antibodies, which present in 30–70% of patients, based on data indicating that they are hydrolytic, nephritogenic, and may serve as strong predictors of flare [3, 4, 26–28]. The significance of anti-ssDNA antibodies in SLE is further supported by data indicating that they are still present after treatment with immunosuppressive therapy which eliminates anti-dsDNA antibodies, investigations in mouse models of nephritogenic lupus in which only anti-ssDNA antibodies were found, and findings that some anti-dsDNA antibodies are not pathogenic [6, 7, 19, 27, 29, 30].

In summary, our basic premises are (1) anti-ssDNA antibodies produced by normal serum donors and those produced by lupus patients can be differentiated based on whether or not they demonstrate hydrolytic activity; (2) these antibodies may be of clinical significance and could prove useful in facilitating diagnosis of lupus disease; (3) it is possible to use hydrolysis probes to determine whether or not purified antibody can cleave DNA. An assay which makes use of hydrolysis probes affords a less hazardous and less laborious method than those currently in use. Additionally, it provides a more sensitive platform in line with the technologies currently available for analysis of DNA hydrolyzing enzymes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection. Sera were separated from fresh, whole blood, drawn intravenously from healthy, normal donors (ND) and Lupus patients (LP) with informed consent and under the guidance of protocols approved by Florida Atlantic University's Institutional Review Board (ref. HO5-278). Serum was harvested by differential centrifugation, aliquoted into 1 mL RNase/DNase-free tubes, and stored at -20°C prior to antibody purification.

2.2. Purification of Anti-ssDNA Antibody with Binding Specificity for Poly-(dT) Oligomer. Natural, polyclonal anti-ssDNA antibodies of the IgG isotype with binding specificity for a purchased oligo-(dT) 20-mer target were isolated using a two-step purification method, previously developed in house [7], which allows for efficient isolation of the intact target molecule. Briefly, the method is based on antibody affinity for runs of thymine (T_5) and the ability to isolate total IgG with the use of Protein G coated magnetic dynabeads (DynaL Biotech, now part of Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Substantially less laborious than earlier protocols, this method yields antibody of incomparable purity as confirmed at the nanogram level of sensitivity via SDS-PAGE and silver staining. It is also gentle enough to allow further functional analysis of these proteins, which are prone to denaturation under harsh conditions. Purified antibody was stored at -20°C in storage buffer consisting of 25 mM Tris-HCl and 50% glycerol, pH 7.

2.3. Confirmation of Antibody Purity. Antibody purity was confirmed as described in Pavlovic et al. [7] by nonreducing SDS-PAGE and silver staining using the Pharmacia PhastSystem with PhastGel Gradient 4–15 separation gels, PhastGel buffer strips, and full-range rainbow molecular weight markers (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ; Owner's manual Separation Technique File no. 130).

2.4. Antibody Quantification. Titers of pure samples were determined as described in Pavlovic et al. [7] by Pierce Micro BCA Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific/Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, USA) and a modified ELISA kit developed in house. The ELISA uses ssDNA as substrate in the form of oligo-(dT) 20-mer (Eurofins MWG Operon, Huntsville, AL, USA) bound to Streptavidin microplates (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) with a 4-parameter curve fit model for quantification, which is incorporated into the software of the SpectraMax 190 microplate reader used (Molecular Devices, LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Serial dilutions of purified human anti-DNA antibody were compared with a human IgG standard.

2.5. Antibody Classification. IgG isotype was confirmed as described in Pavlovic et al. [7] by Western Blot, also using the PhastGel system, with HRP-labeled goat anti-human secondary antibody and TMB substrate (PhastSystem Development Technique File no. 220).

Presence of IgG₁, IgG₂, IgG₃, and IgG₄ subclasses was confirmed using a commercial Zymed, human IgG subclass profile ELISA kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) [31].

2.6. Probe Design. Probe design was based on sequence preference data obtained by Gololobov et al. [15] and X-ray crystallographic data published by Tanner et al. [32]. According to Gololobov's hydrolysis studies in anti-ssDNA mouse monoclonal antibody BV 04-01, anti-ssDNA antibodies prefer C-C regions in ssDNA [15, 27]. Tanner et al. [32] showed that sequence recognition, binding to target DNA, and coordination of the active site occurs at thymine repetitive sequences in their studies of mouse monoclonal

anti-ssDNA antibodies. Probe design also accounted for AT rich sequence preferences of DNase I [15, 27, 32]. The following dual-labeled, fluorogenic oligonucleotide (18-mer) probe (Eurofins MWG Operon, Huntsville, AL, USA) was designed for continuous, fluorescence-based analysis of DNA hydrolytic activity of purified anti-ssDNA antibody with binding specificity for an oligo-(dT) 20-mer: 5'-6-FAM-ATATAGCGC₅T₅-DQ1-3'.

2.7. Confirmation of Antibody Hydrolytic Activity. Prior to continuous, fluorescence-based assay, hydrolytic abilities of anti-ssDNA antibodies purified from SLE patient serum were confirmed by Agilent 2100 Lab-on-Chip digital analysis with a DNA7500 microchip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Hydrolysis of ss herring sperm DNA (obtained by heating and fast cooling) by anti-ssDNA SLE antibody was compared to hydrolysis by a commercial DNase I positive control (Applied Biosystems, Austin, TX, USA). Parallel DNase I and anti-ssDNA antibody reactions were analyzed at 37°C within separate reaction buffers consisting of 25 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5 and 22 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.5, respectively, in order to meet requirements of each entity per data published by Odintsova et al. [33]. Enzyme to substrate ratio was 1:2 (1 µg of protein = 1 unit of DNase I) and samples were loaded in volumes of 10 µL.

2.8. Confirmation of DNase I and Abzyme Ability to Hydrolyze Designed Probe Sequence. Cleavage of the oligo 18-mer hydrolysis probe was first analyzed by UV spectrophotometry (A260) to confirm ability of SLE antibodies and DNase I control to bind and cleave the probe sequence. SLE antibodies were also compared with antibodies purified from normal healthy donors in this experiment. Lupus antibody or DNase I was combined with probe in optimized enzyme to substrate ratios of 1:0.45 and 1:2, respectively, within the same distinct reaction buffers specified in Section 2.7. Total reaction volume was 200 µL with a final probe concentration of 1 µg/mL. DNase trials were conducted at 37°C. UV spectrometry was performed on antibody samples incubated at 4°C, a temperature at which DNase I is inactive. A total of four lupus patient serum samples and four normal healthy donor serum samples were analyzed in this preliminary confirmation of hydrolytic ability.

2.9. Real-Time, Continuous, Fluorescence-Based Hydrolysis Assay. Five trials of the anti-ssDNA lupus antibody, normal healthy donor antibody and control DNase I reactions were run with samples loaded in triplicate within the same distinct reaction buffers as specified in Section 2.7. Black, flat-bottom, polystyrene, 96 well microtiter plates (MTX Lab Systems, Vienna, VA, USA) were used to prevent cross-contamination. Purchased lyophilized hydrolysis probe was reconstituted in 1X reaction buffer. Hydrolysis probe was combined with DNase I, LP anti-ssDNA antibody, and ND anti-ssDNA antibody to produce final enzyme to substrate ratios of 1:2, 1:0.45, and 1:0.85, respectively, across samples in total reaction mixture volumes of 200 µL with final probe concentrations of 1 µg/mL. Probe hydrolysis was

measured using a Finstruments Fluoroskan II plate reader (MTX Lab Systems, Vienna, VA, USA) with a sensitivity range of pmol-µmol and DeltaSoft3 software. Samples were read top to bottom over an 8 hour assay run at 37°C with fluorescence excitation at 485 nm and emission detection at 538 nm (FAM was read as FITC as directed by Finstruments technical support). Kinetic measurements were carried out every 2 minutes over 30 minutes for DNase I and every 5 minutes over 8 hours for antibody. Results are reported in absolute fluorescent units.

2.10. Statistical Analysis. Triplicate absolute fluorescent data were averaged at each time step. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to each data set, DNase I, and anti-ssDNA antibody, against the control (oligo) to test if there was a significant difference ($\alpha = 0.05$) in the occurrence or intensity of fluorescence, a surrogate for hydrolysis. Time steps (5 min and 1 hour, resp.) were treated as repeated measures. Besides both being compared to the oligo control, the fluorescence of the lupus antibody was also compared to the fluorescence of the normal donor antibody with ANOVA.

3. Results

The purity of the isolated anti-DNA antibodies was determined by nonreducing SDS-PAGE and silver staining. Silver staining with sensitivity to the nanogram level of detection showed an apparent single band with a molecular weight matching IgG in lupus patients and two bands of 150 kDa MW in healthy or normal control serum (Figure 1). Western blot analysis confirmed that the proteins present in the bands are IgG isotype (Figure 2), and subclass profiling by ELISA confirmed the presence of four human IgG subclasses in the purified material [7, 31].

Analysis by Agilent 2100 lab-on-chip technology confirmed hydrolytic activity of SLE anti-ssDNA antibody. Results showed hydrolysis of ss herring sperm DNA (500 bp nucleotides) into nucleotides of much smaller MW (25–35–50 bp) by separate addition of both DNase I and SLE anti-ssDNA antibody to reaction mixtures described in Section 2.7 (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)).

UV spectrophotometry confirmed the ability of DNase I and hydrolytic antibody from lupus patients to bind and cleave the designed probe sequence. UV spectrophotometry also demonstrated that anti-poly-(dT) specific ssDNA antibody purified from normal serum did not hydrolyze the probe (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). This preliminary analysis was performed on four lupus patient serum samples (LP 1–4) and four normal donor serum samples (ND 1–4) and produced results consistent with results of analysis by Agilent and of previous studies which have demonstrated that lupus anti-DNA antibodies hydrolyze DNA while normal anti-DNA antibodies do not [6, 15, 17, 20].

Hydrolytic activity of DNase I and purified anti-DNA antibody was next measured by continuous, fluorescence-based hydrolysis assay (Figures 5 and 6). The DNase I reaction maxima was reached at 15 minutes and the reaction completed at 30 minutes, (Figure 5) while the slower antibody reaction completed in 8 hours (Figure 6).




FIGURE 1: Electrophoretic analysis of the purity of anti-DNA antibody isolated via two-step magnetic bead method. *Purified anti-DNA antibodies from normal healthy serum donors and SLE patients are detected by nonreducing SDS-PAGE and silver staining.

Hydrolytic activity was maintained in the antibody storage buffer used, 25 mM Tris, 50% Glycerol, pH 7 at -20°C , and, as was seen in analysis by UV spectrophotometry, both DNase I and lupus antibody hydrolyzed the probe sequence. A distinct difference between activities of anti-ssDNA antibody purified from lupus patient serum versus normal serum is also detected by varying levels of fluorescence output in continuous, fluorescence-based analysis of hydrolysis (Figure 6).

Blank buffer controls, which did not contain probe, did not fluoresce. As visualized in Figures 5 and 6, a baseline level of background fluorescence due to incomplete quenching was observed in control samples containing probe without enzyme. Additionally, an increase in fluorescence above the background produced by uncleaved probe is seen in samples containing antibody purified from normal donor serum. However, as the levels of fluorescence in these normal samples remained constant over time, we assume that natural fluorescence of the protein has contributed to this increase in overall fluorescent output. Despite this, samples containing probe hydrolyzed by DNase I and SLE antibody show a substantial increase in total fluorescence output (mean = 1.93 ± 0.25) above baseline levels produced by probe alone (mean = 0.78 ± 0.04) or by samples containing probe and antibody purified from normal healthy donors (mean = 1.07).

This semiquantitative measurement indicates that DNase I, at its optimal ratio, displays much faster activity




FIGURE 2: Classification of isolated anti-DNA antibody by western blot. *Western blotting was used to confirm that the proteins isolated using the two-step purification method are IgG.

than antibody (reaching a peak of 3.721 units at 30 min. versus 2.277 units at 180 min. for antibody) (Figure 7). Kinetic parameters have not been measured at this time since the main goal of this initial work was to establish the methodology; however, this apparent difference in timing of the reactions and their peaks indicates that they are two different entities. The differences seen between the DNase I and lupus anti-ssDNA reaction profiles align with differences in reaction mixtures (requirements for coordinators), reaction duration (30 min. versus 8 hrs.), and temperature (4°C versus 37°C) (Table 1).

4. Discussion

The use of a dual-labeled, fluorogenic hydrolysis probe as a substrate for measuring anti-DNA antibody hydrolytic activity was inspired by the principles of Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) and their application in real-time PCR [34]. There are a number of parameters that can affect the assay. Molecular bleaching of the labeled substrate can occur over the long reaction period between antibody and DNA, and this is a disadvantage of the methodology [31]. Despite this, the use of hydrolysis probes was deemed preferable to the use of intercalating dyes which are carcinogenic and could negatively affect the binding affinity for and hydrolysis of DNA by antibody.

Tanner et al. [32] demonstrated the specific binding of anti-DNA antibodies to thymine polymers via arginine




FIGURE 4: Discontinuous measurement of hydrolysis of oligo 18-mer by DNase I, lupus patient, and normal donor anti-ssDNA antibody by UV spectrophotometry. *5'-6-FAM-ATATAGCGC₅T₅-DQ1-3' 18-mer hydrolysis probe was incubated with DNase I or anti-ssDNA antibody purified from lupus patient or normal healthy donor serum. Analysis by UV spectrophotometry demonstrates a change in A260 reading after 3 hours indicating hydrolysis by lupus anti-poly-(dT) ssDNA antibody. Normal healthy donor-derived anti-poly-(dT) ssDNA antibody does not display hydrolytic activity. No change was seen in replicates containing buffer only and those containing substrate only throughout the trials. Endpoint analysis via one-way ANOVA comparing hydrolytic activity revealed a significant difference between activity of antibody purified from lupus patients and normal donors ($F = 5465.06$, $P < 0.0001$). (a) Determination of ability of DNase I and lupus anti-ssDNA antibody to hydrolyze probe by UV spectrophotometry. The DNase reaction peaks after approximately 15 minutes and comes to completion in 30 minutes. Activity starts at 0.03 hour, peaks at 0.2 hours, and subsides at 0.5 hours and is much faster than DNA hydrolysis by anti-ssDNA antibody isolated from SLE patient serum. (b) Comparison of ability of purified lupus and normal anti-ssDNA antibodies to hydrolyze probe by UV spectrophotometry. Anti-ssDNA antibodies isolated from normal donors did not demonstrate hydrolytic activity; however, anti-ssDNA antibodies isolated from SLE patients show cleavage ability during the 3 hour incubation time point. These results were consistent across all samples analyzed. * $P < 0.01$ for normal individuals versus SLE patients.

Protein G coated magnetic dynabeads. Streptavidin-coated dynabeads, are incubated with biotinylated oligo-(dT) 20-mer, washed, and then incubated with serum during the first purification step. The beads are washed multiple times prior to elution of bound material. During the second purification step, the eluate is then incubated with protein G beads prior to washing and elution.

This method yielded highly pure antibody as confirmed at the nanogram level of sensitivity via SDS-PAGE and silver staining and was shown to be of IgG isotype as is demonstrated in our previous publication. We believe it unlikely that a nonantibody protein with binding specificity for the poly-(dT) 20-mer would also have been targeted by protein G and show hydrolytic activity against an alternate sequence previously shown to be a hydrolytic target of anti-ssDNA antibodies [15]. For these reasons, we deemed it unnecessary to incorporate into the purification procedure further treatment to destroy potential contaminating enzymes (e.g., "acid shock" [21]) which could also alter antibody structure

and consequently functionality. Our method is gentle, quite rapid, and easy to perform in comparison to previous methods. While the yield is low in comparison to purification methods like those used by Gololobov et al. [21], the yield can be increased by increasing the number of beads used; however, the hydrolysis assay that we have developed can be performed with substantially less-purified antibody than was used in the analysis by FLD method [21]. The levels of potential DNA substrate in our assay ranged from 0 to 1000 ng/mL. This range encompasses that found in blood from healthy patients where DNA and oligonucleotide content is very low (in the range of 10–40 ng/mL), and the range within lupus patients that display levels close to 400 ng/mL [33].

The hydrolytic activity of highly purified anti-ssDNA antibodies from lupus patients and the detection of catalytic IgG in other autoimmune diseases, such as Hashimoto's thyroiditis and multiple sclerosis, implicate these antibodies in autoimmune disease pathogenesis [31, 33, 35]. Lupus




FIGURE 5: Continuous measurement of oligo 18-mer hydrolysis by DNase I by fluorescence assay. *Analysis of DNase I positive control via continuous, fluorescence-based assay on Fluoroskan II (Finstruments) demonstrates that 5'-6-FAM-ATATAGCGC₅T₅-DQ1-3' 18-mer hydrolysis probe is cleaved by DNase I and that adequate quenching to allow differentiation between cut and uncut probe was achieved. Oligo blank contained all reaction components except for DNase I (25 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5, and 1 μg/mL 5'-6-FAM-ATATAGCGC₅T₅-DQ1-3' 18-mer hydrolysis probe). Results were consistent across all trials. DNase I trials 1, 2, and 3 are displayed as representative trials. Pooled fluorescence data showed statistical significant difference from oligo blank ($F = 29.78$, $P < 0.0001$).

anti-DNA antibodies demonstrate the ability to penetrate living cells via receptors such as Myosin I, to enter the nucleus, and to trigger cell death in vitro. Hydrolytic anti-DNA antibody could potentially maintain and perpetuate disease by DNA hydrolysis in the nuclei of penetrated cells [36–42]. Future analyses should include cytotoxicity studies and fluorescence-aided tracking of purified, natural hydrolytic antibodies obtained and investigated by the herein described methods.

The methodologies developed within our lab provide a platform for investigation of potential prognostic roles of hydrolytic anti-ssDNA antibodies in flare. Additionally, assaying antibody hydrolytic activity could assist in disease diagnosis and facilitate monitoring of disease state/cycle and responses to therapy. The assay for hydrolysis also allows for indirect identification of pathogenic targets of anti-DNA antibodies and inhibitors of anti-DNA antibody activity. With modifications to the two-step purification procedure and the hydrolysis probe sequence, these analyses can be adapted for investigation of dsDNA antibodies (which show preference for C-G regions [27]), antibody activity against short viral sequences of potential interest in lupus (e.g., Parvovirus B19, HSV, and EBV gene sequences [6, 43]), or any user-defined sequence, as well as comparison of antibody isotypes and subclasses associated with lupus disease. Combined, these methods are less harsh, less hazardous, more




FIGURE 6: Continuous measurement of oligo 18-mer hydrolysis by lupus patient (LP) versus normal healthy donor (ND) by fluorescence assay. *Comparison of lupus patient-derived anti-poly-(dT) ssDNA antibody (LP4) and normal healthy donor-derived anti-poly-(dT) ssDNA antibody (NDX) via continuous fluorescence-based assay on Fluoroskan II (Finstruments) demonstrates that 5'-6-FAM-ATATAGCGC₅T₅-DQ1-3' 18-mer hydrolysis probe is cleaved by lupus patient-derived antibody but not by normal donor-derived antibody. Oligo blank contained all reaction components except for antibody (25 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5, and 1 μg/mL 5'-6-FAM-ATATAGCGC₅T₅-DQ1-3' 18-mer hydrolysis probe). ANOVA indicates all three fluorescence patterns of LP4, NDX, and the oligo control are significantly different from each other at $P < 0.0001$.

rapid, and equally or more specific than existing methods for purification and analysis of DNA hydrolytic antibodies.

Herein we have demonstrated that hydrolysis probes can be used for nonhazardous, continuous measurement of DNA hydrolytic activity intrinsic to a purified population of anti-ssDNA antibodies produced by SLE patients. We have also shown that, when analyzed by this method, these SLE antibodies are distinguishable from DNase I based on reaction requirements, speed and duration, and distinguishable from anti-ssDNA antibodies produced by normal donors based on presence versus absence of hydrolytic activity. Further work will include kinetic analyses using the probe methodology and quantification of kinetic parameters as has been done for anti-dsDNA lupus patient antibody by Gololobov et al. [21].

Abbreviations

Ab:	Antibody
6-FAM:	6-carboxyfluorescein
DQ:	Dark quencher
ds:	Double-stranded
EBV:	Epstein-Barr virus
ELISA:	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
FRET:	Fluorescence resonance energy transfer
HSV:	Herpes simplex virus

Time (Minutes)	DNase I (ratio 1 : 2)	Anti-ssDNA antibody (ratio 1 : 0.45)
0	2.154	1.408
10	3.422	1.822
20	3.693	1.703
30	3.721	1.696
60	—	1.832
120	—	2.178
180	—	2.277
240	—	2.053




FIGURE 7: Differences in kinetic behavior of DNase I and anti-ssDNA lupus antibody in optimized ratios used for detection of ss-DNA hydrolytic activity and expressed in fluorescent units per minutes.

LP: Lupus patient
 ND: Normal donor
 ss: Single-stranded
 SLE: Systemic lupus erythematosus.

Disclosure

The authors of this paper do not have a direct financial relationship with any commercial identity mentioned in this paper. Florida Atlantic University filed US provisional patent application 60/659, 882 (Real time fluorescence assay for anti-DNA hydrolytic activity) for this work on 3/10/2005. The provisional expired on 3/10/2006 and was incorporated into a new US provisional patent application, 61/445, 160 (Compositions, methods and kits for analyzing hydrolytic antibodies and for detecting, predicting, and treating hydrolytic antibody-related diseases), filed on 2/22/2011, which has also since expired. The university and working group are no longer pursuing patents on these methods.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by funding provided by private donors. Patient samples were provided by Dr. Ira Pardo with Rheumatology Associates of South Florida in Boca Raton, FL, USA. The authors would like express their sincere appreciation to Sheryl R. van der Heiden for her assistance with statistical analyses and editing.

References

- [1] D. S. Pisetsky, "Immune response to DNA in systemic lupus erythematosus," *The Israel Medical Association Journal*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 850–853, 2001.
- [2] J. B. Harley and J. A. James, "Epstein-Barr virus infection induces lupus autoimmunity," *Bulletin of the NYU Hospital for Joint Diseases*, vol. 64, no. 1-2, pp. 45–50, 2006.
- [3] S. Aotsuka and R. Yokohari, "A kit for the simultaneous estimation of IgG-class antibodies to double-stranded and single-stranded DNA for clinical purposes," *Ryumachi*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 96–101, 1988 (Japanese).
- [4] M. Teodorescu, "Clinical value of anti-ssDNA (denatured DNA) autoantibody test: beauty is in the eyes of the beholder," *Clinical and Applied Immunology Reviews*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 115–128, 2002.
- [5] D. A. Isenberg, C. Dudeney, and W. Williams, "Measurement of anti-DNA antibodies: a reappraisal using five different methods," *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 448–456, 1987.
- [6] G. A. Nevinsky and V. N. Buneva, "Natural catalytic antibodies in norm, autoimmune, viral, and bacterial diseases," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 10, pp. 1203–1233, 2010.
- [7] M. Pavlovic, R. Chen, A. M. Kats et al., "Highly specific novel method for isolation and purification of lupus anti-DNA antibody via oligo-(dT) magnetic beads," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1108, pp. 203–217, 2007.
- [8] H. Krippner, S. Merle, and K. Pirlet, "Enzyme immunoassay for IgG and IgM antibodies against dsDNA and ssDNA," *Zeitschrift fur Rheumatologie*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 256–260, 1983.

- [9] M. Napirei, H. Karsunky, B. Zevnik, H. Stephan, H. G. Mannherz, and T. Mörröy, "Features of systemic lupus erythematosus in Dnase1-deficient mice," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 177–181, 2000.
- [10] D. Alarcón-Segovia, "The predestination of autoantibodies," *Current Rheumatology Reports*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 173–174, 2001.
- [11] M. P. Madaio and K. Yanase, "Cellular penetration and nuclear localization of anti-DNA antibodies: mechanisms, consequences, implications and applications," *Journal of Autoimmunity*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 535–538, 1998.
- [12] D. Portales-Pérez, D. Alarcón-Segovia, L. Llorente et al., "Penetrating anti-DNA monoclonal antibodies induce activation of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells," *Journal of Autoimmunity*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 563–571, 1998.
- [13] Y. Shoenfeld, O. Ben-Yehuda, Y. Messinger et al., "Autoimmune diseases other than lupus share common anti-DNA idiotypes," *Immunology Letters*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 285–290, 1988.
- [14] F. Ricci, G. Adornetto, D. Moscone, K. W. Plaxco, and G. Palleschi, "Quantitative, reagentless, single-step electrochemical detection of anti-DNA antibodies directly in blood serum," *Chemical Communications*, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 1742–1744, 2010.
- [15] G. V. Gololobov, C. A. Rumbley, J. N. Rumbley et al., "DNA hydrolysis by monoclonal anti-ssDNA autoantibody BV 04-01: origins of catalytic activity," *Molecular Immunology*, vol. 34, no. 15, pp. 1083–1093, 1997.
- [16] B. Mouratou, S. Rouyre, and J. L. Guesdon, "A method for the detection and screening of catalytic anti-DNA antibodies," *Journal of Immunological Methods*, vol. 269, no. 1-2, pp. 147–155, 2002.
- [17] N. A. Ponomarenko, E. S. Aleksandrova, I. I. Vorobiev et al., "Natural antibody catalytic activities in mice with autoimmune disorders," *Doklady Biochemistry*, vol. 375, no. 1–6, pp. 224–227, 2000.
- [18] A. M. Shuster, G. V. Gololobov, O. A. Kvashuk, A. E. Bogomolova, I. V. Smirnov, and A. G. Gabibov, "DNA hydrolyzing autoantibodies," *Science*, vol. 256, no. 5057, pp. 665–667, 1992.
- [19] P. C. Swanson, C. Ackroyd, and G. D. Glick, "Ligand recognition by anti-DNA autoantibodies. Affinity, specificity, and mode of binding," *Biochemistry*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1624–1633, 1996.
- [20] G. A. Nevinsky, A. A. Breusov, A. G. Baranovskii et al., "Effect of different drugs on the level of DNA-hydrolyzing polyclonal IgG antibodies in sera of patients with hashimoto's thyroiditis and nontoxic nodal goiter," *Medical Science Monitor*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 201–211, 2001.
- [21] G. V. Gololobov, E. A. Chernova, D. V. Schourov, I. V. Smirnov, I. A. Kudelina, and A. G. Gabibov, "Cleavage of supercoiled plasmid DNA by autoantibody Fab fragment: application of the flow linear dichroism technique," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 254–257, 1995.
- [22] S. J. Choi and F. C. Szoka, "Fluorometric determination of deoxyribonuclease I activity with PicoGreen," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 281, no. 1, pp. 95–97, 2000.
- [23] J. J. Li, R. Geyer, and W. Tan, "Using molecular beacons as a sensitive fluorescence assay for enzymatic cleavage of single-stranded DNA," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 28, no. 11 article e52, 2000.
- [24] G. Tolun and R. S. Myers, "A real-time DNase assay (ReDA) based on PicoGreen fluorescence," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 31, no. 18, article e111, 2003.
- [25] R. H. van den Heuvel, S. Gato, C. Versluis, P. Gerbaux, C. Kleanthous, and A. J. Heck, "Real-time monitoring of enzymatic DNA hydrolysis by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 33, no. 10 article e96, 2005.
- [26] D. S. Pisetsky and I. A. Vrabie, "Antibodies to DNA: infection or genetics?" *Lupus*, vol. 18, no. 13, pp. 1176–1180, 2009.
- [27] L. S. Rodkey, G. Gololobov, C. A. Rumbley et al., "DNA hydrolysis by monoclonal autoantibody BV 04-01," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, vol. 83, no. 1–3, pp. 95–105, 2000.
- [28] A. M. Cline and M. Z. Radic, "Apoptosis, subcellular particles, and autoimmunity," *Clinical Immunology*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 175–182, 2004.
- [29] L. Spatz, A. Iliev, V. Saenko et al., "Studies on the structure, regulation, and pathogenic potential of anti-dsDNA antibodies," *Methods*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 70–78, 1997.
- [30] W. Yumura, S. Suganuma, K. Nitta, Y. Sano, K. Uchida, and H. Nihei, "Prolonged membranous lupus nephritis with change of anti-ssDNA antibody titer and repeated renal relapse," *Clinical and Experimental Nephrology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 363–368, 2004.
- [31] A. M. Kats, *Purification and analysis of autoimmune antibody reactive with DNA [M.S. thesis]*, Florida Atlantic University, 2008.
- [32] J. J. Tanner, A. A. Komissarov, and S. L. Deutscher, "Crystal structure of an antigen-binding fragment bound to single-stranded DNA," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 314, no. 4, pp. 807–822, 2001.
- [33] E. S. Odintsova, M. A. Kharitonova, A. G. Baranovskii, L. P. Siziakina, V. N. Buneva, and G. A. Nevinskii, "DNA-hydrolyzing IgG antibodies from the blood of patients with acquired immune deficiency syndrome," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 857–864, 2006.
- [34] M. Arya, I. S. Shergill, M. Williamson, L. Gommersall, N. Arya, and H. R. H. Patel, "Basic principles of real-time quantitative PCR," *Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 209–219, 2005.
- [35] T. A. Nevzorova, D. A. Temnikov, and V. G. Vinter, "Special features of the DNA-hydrolyzing activity of the antibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus," *Biochemistry*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 1300–1306, 2003.
- [36] M. Pavlovic, M. Cavallo, A. Kats et al., "From Pauling's abzyme concept to the new era of hydrolytic anti-DNA autoantibodies: a link to the rational vaccine design? A review," *International Journal of Bioinformatics Research and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 220–238, 2011.
- [37] M. H. Foster, T. Kieber-Emmons, M. Ohliger, and M. P. Madaio, "Molecular and structural analysis of nuclear localizing anti-DNA lupus antibodies," *Immunologic Research*, vol. 13, no. 2-3, pp. 186–206, 1994.
- [38] A. G. Gabibov, A. V. Kozyr, and A. V. Kolesnikov, "Disease association and cytotoxic effects of DNA-hydrolyzing autoantibodies," *Chemical Immunology*, vol. 77, pp. 130–156, 2000.
- [39] A. V. Kozyr, A. V. Kolesnikov, E. S. Aleksandrova et al., "Novel functional activities of anti-DNA autoantibodies from sera of patients with lymphoproliferative and autoimmune diseases," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 45–61, 1998.
- [40] A. V. Kozyr, A. V. Kolesnikov, N. A. Zelenova et al., "Autoantibodies to nuclear antigens: correlation between cytotoxicity and DNA-hydrolyzing activity," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, vol. 83, no. 1–3, pp. 255–268, 2000.

- [41] A. V. Kozyr, L. P. Sashchenko, A. V. Kolesnikov et al., "Anti-DNA autoantibodies reveal toxicity to tumor cell lines," *Immunology Letters*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 41–47, 2002.
- [42] K. Yanase, R. M. Smith, A. Puccetti, L. Jarett, and M. P. Madaio, "Receptor-mediated cellular entry of nuclear localizing anti-DNA antibodies via myosin 1," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 25–31, 1997.
- [43] M. Pavlovic, A. Kats, M. Cavallo, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Clinical and molecular evidence for association of SLE with parvovirus B19," *Lupus*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 783–792, 2010.