

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Binary System of Polyethylene Glycol 200 (1) + 3-Dimethylamino-1propylamine (2) for CO_2 Absorption: Thermophysical Properties and Spectroscopic Study

Xiaoqing Yang,[†] Zimin Liu,[†] Tianxiang Zhao,* Jiarui Gu, Fei Liu,* and Jianxin Cao

Cite This: ACS	5 Omega 2021, 6, 9898–9909		Read Online	
ACCESS	ull Metrics & More	🔲 Article R	Recommendations	s Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: As the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere keeps increasing, the development of a highly efficient CO_2 absorbent is highly desired. In this work, a binary mixture system of polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG 200) (1) + 3-dimethylamino-propylamine (DMAPA) (2) was used for CO_2 absorption. Considering the importance of thermophysical properties to binary solutions, the densities and viscosities of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixture were measured at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K and atmospheric pressure over the entire composition range. Based on the density and viscosity data, the excess properties and viscous flow thermodynamic parameters were calculated, respectively. To obtain the coefficients and to estimate the standard deviations between the experimental and calculated quantities, the excess molar volume (V_m^{E}) , the viscosity deviation $(\Delta \eta)$, and the excess Gibbs free energies of activation for viscous flow (ΔG^{*E}) were fitted to the Redlich–Kister equation. Furthermore, based on the results of UV–vis, FTIR, and ¹H NMR spectra, the intermolecular



interaction of PEG 200 and DMAPA was discussed. Particularly, a strong intermolecular bonding is formed when the molar ratio of PEG 200 to DMAPA is about 1:2 because of the excess molar volume $(V_m^{\ E})$. On that account, a mixture of PEG 200 and DMAPA in a ratio of 1:2 was used for studying CO₂ absorption, and a CO₂ absorption of about 0.19 g per gram of absorbent was achieved at room temperature and atmosphere.

1. INTRODUCTION

The extensive use of fossil fuels and the rapid increase of CO₂ content in the atmosphere have caused the greenhouse effect and the negative consequences of global climate changes, and this has attracted widespread attention.^{1,2} Experts generally deem CO2 capture and utilization (CCU) to be one of the most effective methods to reduce global CO₂ emissions.^{3,4} Various methods have been proposed to capture CO₂, such as solid adsorption,^{5,6} membrane separation,^{7,8} and organic solvent scrubbing.^{9–11} The organic solvent scrubbing method involves either physical or chemical solvents according to the strength of the solvent-solute interaction. Representative amine scrubbing is the most commonly used commercial technology for CO₂ capture through chemical absorption.^{12,13} However, the loss of amine by volatilization easily causes secondary pollution in the process of CO₂ removal. Especially, there are also some shortcomings, such as equipment corrosion, relatively high cost, and serious loss of efficiency. To improve the process of amine absorption of CO₂, the selection of a suitable solvent is important. Thus, it is the focus of this study to find an organic solvent that can reduce the volatilization of amine, has better CO₂ uptake, and causes less environmental damage. Previous studies¹⁴⁻¹⁷ have shown that addition of certain organic solvents, such as alcohol and

ethylene glycol derivatives, to combine with amines, has dramatically reduced the volatilization of amines, and the resulting amine–alcohol binary system showed superior CO_2 absorption capacity. Polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG 200) has been widely studied for CO_2 absorption because it has many good properties.^{18,19} Especially, it has reasonable characteristics to be labeled as a green solvent such as being nonvolatile, noncombustible, nontoxic to humans, animals, and aquatic organisms, and can be biodegraded by bacteria in soil and sewage. These properties make it suitable for replacing the previously used organic auxiliary solvent of alcohol for CO_2 capture.

In the course of our study on the capture of CO_2 ²⁰ we found that 3-dimethylamino-1-propylamine (DMAPA) can efficiently absorb CO_2 through intramolecular proton transfer. However, the problem of high volatility of DMAPA is still difficult to solve. Inspired by CO_2 organic binding solutions

Received:February 4, 2021Accepted:March 12, 2021Published:March 31, 2021





Table 1. Density Data for the Binary System of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) as a Function of PEG 200 Mole Fraction (x_1) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K and Atmospheric Pressure^a

			$ ho/(g \cdot cm^{-3})$		
x_1	T = 298.15 K	T = 303.15 K	T = 308.15 K	T = 313.15 K	T = 318.15 K
0.0000	0.8098	0.8042	0.8023	0.7949	0.7938
0.0262	0.8323	0.8296	0.8265	0.8197	0.8216
0.0537	0.8585	0.8532	0.8476	0.8441	0.8435
0.0827	0.8807	0.8731	0.8700	0.8677	0.8672
0.1133	0.9008	0.8973	0.8917	0.8890	0.8879
0.1455	0.9205	0.9158	0.9119	0.9083	0.9075
0.1796	0.9394	0.9358	0.9302	0.9278	0.9267
0.2157	0.9573	0.9522	0.9473	0.9445	0.9434
0.2541	0.9746	0.9714	0.9664	0.9610	0.9600
0.2948	0.9907	0.9867	0.9822	0.9784	0.9774
0.3381	1.0053	1.0012	0.9966	0.9933	0.9922
0.3844	1.0192	1.0164	1.0116	1.0071	1.0062
0.4339	1.0328	1.0295	1.0251	1.0215	1.0206
0.4869	1.0455	1.0417	1.0378	1.0314	1.0308
0.5438	1.0566	1.0546	1.0497	1.0460	1.0450
0.6052	1.0690	1.0661	1.0618	1.0574	1.0560
0.6714	1.0791	1.0767	1.0718	1.0684	1.0659
0.7433	1.0900	1.0860	1.0811	1.0788	1.0781
0.8214	1.1001	1.0958	1.0926	1.0851	1.0832
0.9066	1.1064	1.1043	1.1013	1.0975	1.0964
1.0000	1.1213	1.1175	1.1139	1.1090	1.1057

^aStandard uncertainties *u* for each variable are *u* (*T*) = 0.01 K, *u* (*p*) = \pm 5%, and *u* (*x*₁) = 0.0001 and the combined expanded uncertainty is *u*_c (*ρ*) = \pm 0.02%, with a 0.95 level of confidence (*k* ≈ 2).

(superbase plus butanol),²¹ we propose to prepare a hydrogen bond-mixture solution of PEG 200 plus DMAPA for CO2 absorption. The systematic study of CO₂ absorption processes for the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) system includes the following three steps: (1) determining the density, viscosity, and excess properties of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixture, (2) obtaining the gas-liquid equilibrium data for the gas mixture of CO₂ + N₂ at various concentrations of PEG 200(1) + DMAPA(2), and (3) determining the spectral properties of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) + CO₂ system. To the best of our knowledge, studies on the thermodynamic properties of the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2), such as density (ρ) , viscosity (η) , and excess properties, over a wide experimental temperature range are very lacking. Herein, the present work mainly focuses on investigating the density and viscosity data at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15,313.15, and 318.15) K for the whole composition range. Based on the above experimental results, the excess molar volume (V_m^{E}) , viscosity deviation $(\Delta \eta)$, and the excess Gibbs free energies of activation for viscous flow (ΔG^{*E}) were calculated. According to the results of excess properties, the CO_2 uptake of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) system was also studied. These results can be used to obtain important basic data for potential industrial applications. Furthermore, the possible intermolecular interaction of PEG 200 with DMAPA was also investigated using UV-vis, FTIR, and ¹H NMR spectroscopic techniques.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Density and Viscosity. The density of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixtures with different compositions at atmospheric pressure and T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K was determined. The results are shown

in Table 1 and Figure 1, where the mole fraction of PEG 200 is expressed by x_1 .



Figure 1. Changes in experimental densities with molar fraction for PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K.

It can be seen from Figure 1 that the density of mixtures increases with the increase of the mole fraction of PEG 200 at the same temperature. In the same sample, the density of the mixtures decreases with the increase of temperature. The density of the binary solution can be calculated using eq 1)²²

$$\rho_{\text{cal.}} = \frac{x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2}{V_1 + V_2 + x_1 x_2 \sum_{i=0}^n A_i (2x_1 - 1)^i}$$
(1)

where x_1 , M_1 and x_2 , M_2 represent the molar fraction and relative molecular weight of pure PEG 200 and pure DMAPA, respectively. V_1 and V_2 are the molar volumes of pure PEG 200 and DMAPA, respectively. A_i represents the fitting parameters.

The average absolute deviation was calculated using eq 2^{22}

ACS Omega

AAD% =
$$\frac{\sum |100 \times (Y_{exp.} - Y_{cal.})/Y_{exp.}|}{n}$$
 (2)

where $Y_{exp.}$ is the experimental density value. $Y_{cal.}$ is the calculated density value. *n* is the number of experiments. The relative deviation of density of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixture is within ±0.002 and is plotted in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Difference in density ($\rho_{cal.} - \rho_{exp.}$) values between the experimental data and calculated values for the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K.

The viscosity of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixture at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K was measured with an Ubbelohde viscometer. The viscosity data are listed in Table 2 and plotted in Figure 3. From Figure 3, one can find that the viscosity decreases gradually with the increase of temperature. This phenomenon indicates that the molecular energy of the binary system solution tends to increase with the increase of temperature. With the increase of



Figure 3. excess molar volume $(V_m^{\rm E})$ with the mole fraction for the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K. The symbols represent the experimental values, and the solid curves represent the calculated values.

the mole fraction of PEG 200, the viscosity of mixtures increases first and then decreases at the same temperature. When the mole fraction of PEG 200 is greater than 0.66, the viscosity increases more slowly. Particularly, when the mole fraction of PEG 200 is 0.8214, the viscosity reaches the maximum value and then begins to decrease.

The viscosity can be calculated using eq 3^{23-25}

$$\eta_{\text{cal.}} = x_1 \eta_1 + x_2 \eta_2 + x_1 x_2 \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i (2x_1 - 1)^i$$
(3)

where x_1 and x_2 denote the mole fractions of PEG 200 and DMAPA, respectively. η is the viscosity of mixtures, and η_1 and η_2 represent the viscosity values of pure PEG 200 and DMAPA, respectively. The relative deviation of viscosity between the experimental value and the calculated value is

Table 2. Viscosity Data for the Binary System of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) as a Function of PEG 200 Mole Fraction (x_1) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K and Atmospheric Pressure^a

			$\eta/(\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s})$		
x_1	T = 298.15 K	T = 303.15 K	T = 308.15 K	<i>T</i> = 313.15 K	<i>T</i> = 318.15 K
0.0000	1.06	1.04	1.02	1.00	0.99
0.0262	1.66	1.58	1.54	1.53	1.50
0.0537	2.28	2.13	2.02	1.98	1.96
0.0827	3.30	3.03	2.84	2.73	2.66
0.1133	4.67	4.23	3.89	3.69	3.57
0.1455	6.58	5.93	5.34	4.96	4.71
0.1796	9.14	8.01	7.11	6.54	6.15
0.2157	12.34	10.66	9.24	8.42	7.80
0.2541	16.22	13.85	11.88	10.78	9.79
0.2948	20.78	17.68	15.29	13.26	12.16
0.3381	25.74	21.52	17.98	15.93	14.35
0.3844	30.91	25.71	21.34	18.79	16.66
0.4339	36.11	30.09	24.68	21.69	19.20
0.4869	40.91	33.78	27.87	24.36	21.38
0.5438	45.25	37.32	30.76	26.68	23.44
0.6052	49.05	40.19	33.04	28.96	25.33
0.6714	51.42	42.45	35.55	30.51	26.73
0.7433	53.61	44.18	36.37	31.81	27.79
0.8214	55.52	45.63	37.25	32.74	28.75
0.9066	55.51	46.04	37.84	33.25	29.67
1.0000	54.56	45.65	37.59	30.60	27.29

^aStandard uncertainties *u* for each variables are $u(T) = \pm 0.01$ K, u(p) = 5%, and $u(x_1) = 0.0001$, and the combined expanded uncertainty is $u_c(\eta) = \pm 3\%$, with a 0.95 level of confidence ($k \approx 2$).

plotted in Figure 4. It was found that the relative deviation of viscosity data is within ± 0.65 .



Figure 4. Changes in experimental viscosities with the molar fraction for PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K.

2.2. Excess Property. The excess molar volume (V_m^E) of the binary system can be calculated using eq 4^{23-25}

$$V_m^{\rm E} = (x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2) / \rho - (x_1 M_1 / \rho_1 + x_2 M_2 / \rho_2)$$
(4)

where ρ represents the density of the mixtures; x_1 , ρ_1 , and M_1 and x_2 , ρ_2 , and M_2 are the mole fraction, density, and relative molecular weight of pure PEG 200 and DMAPA, respectively.



Figure 5. Figure 5Difference in the viscosity deviation ($\eta_{cal.} - \eta_{exp.}$) values between the experimental data and calculated values for the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K.

Figure 5 and Table 3 show that the V_m^{E} in all composition ranges is negative at the experimental temperatures. That is, the volume of the mixed solution is less than the sum of the volumes before the two liquids are mixed. With the same composition, the V_m^{E} increases with the increase of temperature. The V_m^{E} of the binary solution mixture is mainly related to the chemical action, physical properties, and structural properties of the components. The negative V_m^{E} values of mixtures indicate that there is a certain interaction force between PEG 200 and DMAPA, which makes the intermolecular distance smaller and the volume to shrink. Particularly, when the molar ratio of DMAPA and PEG 200 is about 2:1, the V_m^{E} is the lowest, meaning that the intermolecular bonding is the strongest at this concentration.

$$\Delta \eta = \eta - (x_1 \eta_1 + x_2 \eta_2) \tag{5}$$

where η represents the viscosity of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) system. η_1 and η_2 represent the viscosity of pure PEG 200 and DMAPA, respectively. x_1 and x_2 represent the mole fraction of PEG 200 and DMAPA, respectively. Figure 6 and Table 4 show the dependence of viscosity deviations on composition and temperature. It can be seen from each $\Delta \eta$ curve of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixture that the value of $\Delta \eta$ is not always negative but also shows a positive maximum and negative minimum at around $x_1 \approx 0.6067$ and $x_1 \approx 0.1158$, respectively. The curves become more and more flat, and the absolute values decrease at elevated temperatures.

The excess Gibbs free energies of activation for viscous flow (ΔG^{*E}) values were calculated from the viscosity data using eq 6^{26}

$$\Delta G^{*^{E}} = RT[\ln(\eta V) - x_{1}\ln(\eta_{1}V_{1}) - x_{2}\ln(\eta_{2}V_{2})]$$
(6)

where R is the general constant of the gas, *T* is the absolute temperature, *V*, *V*₁, and *V*₂ are the molar volumes of the binary mixtures, pure PEG 200, and DMAPA, respectively, η , η_1 , and η_2 are the absolute viscosity of the binary mixtures, pure PEG 200, and DMAPA, respectively. The values of ΔG^{*E} are given in Table 5 and are plotted in Figure 7.

As shown in Figure 7, the values of ΔG^{*E} are all positive in the whole concentration range. ΔG^{*E} can be used as a reliable standard to detect the interaction between different molecules.²⁷ Here, the results of ΔG^{*E} show that there is an interaction between PEG 200 and DMAPA.

The polynomial regression values of $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$, $\Delta\eta$, and ΔG^{*E} were calculated using the Redlich Kister eq 7

$$Q = x_1(1 - x_1) \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i (2x_1 - 1)^i$$
(7)

where Q represents $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$, $\Delta\eta$, and ΔG^{*E} . x_1 represents the mole fraction of PEG 200 and A_i is the polynomial regression coefficient. In order to study the fitting efficiency of $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$, $\Delta\eta$, and ΔG^{*E} , the standard deviations between the calculated value and the experimental value were acquired from eq 8

$$\sigma = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Z_{\text{cal},i}^{E} - Z_{\exp,i}^{E} \right)^{2} / (N-m) \right]^{1/2}$$
(8)

where Z represents $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$, $\Delta\eta$, or ΔG^{*E} , and N and m are the number of experimental points and the number of parameters retained in the respective equations. The calculated values A_i and σ and the fitting degree(R^2) are shown in Table 6.

The apparent molar volumes $V_{\varphi,1}$ and $V_{\varphi,2}$ of the binary mixture were obtained from eqs 9 and 10,²⁸ and the results are listed in Table 7.

$$V_{\varphi,1} = \frac{x_2 M_2}{x_1} \times \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_m}{\rho_2 \rho_m} + \frac{M_1}{\rho_m}$$
(9)

$$V_{\varphi,2} = \frac{x_1 M_1}{x_2} \times \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_m}{\rho_1 \rho_m} + \frac{M_2}{\rho_m}$$
(10)

where $\rho_{\rm m}$ is the density of the mixture, and x_1 , ρ_1 , and M_1 and x_2 , ρ_2 , and M_2 are the mole fraction, density value, and relative molecular weight of pure PEG 200 and DMAPA, respectively. Table 9 shows that the apparent molar volumes of binary solutions $V_{\varphi,1}$ and $V_{\varphi,2}$ increase with the increase of temperature at the same composition. At a certain temperature, the apparent molar volume of the binary solution $V_{\varphi,1}$

Table 3. Excess Molar Volumes (V_m^{E}) for the Binary System of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at $T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K^a$

			$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3{\cdot}{ m mol}^{-1})$		
x_1	T = 298.15 K	T = 303.15 K	T = 308.15 K	<i>T</i> = 313.15 K	<i>T</i> = 318.15 K
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0262	-1.7083	-2.1642	-1.9843	-2.1180	-2.6013
0.0537	-3.8424	-3.9372	-3.3991	-4.0538	-4.1461
0.0827	-5.2871	-5.0583	-4.9254	-5.7380	-5.8736
0.1133	-6.3670	-6.7161	-6.2447	-7.0076	-7.0726
0.1455	-7.3049	-7.5030	-7.2899	-7.9086	-8.0298
0.1796	-8.0756	-8.4267	-7.9954	-8.7758	-8.8577
0.2157	-8.6536	-8.7912	-8.4734	-9.1898	-9.2909
0.2541	-9.0955	-9.4720	-9.1634	-9.5216	-9.6386
0.2948	-9.3248	-9.5820	-9.3503	-9.9103	-10.0429
0.3381	-9.2877	-9.5269	-9.2876	-9.8840	-10.0395
0.3844	-9.0934	-9.4964	-9.2356	-9.6581	-9.8447
0.4339	-8.7954	-9.1128	-8.9158	-9.4385	-9.6484
0.4869	-8.3083	-8.5222	-8.4152	-8.5180	-8.7788
0.5438	-7.5121	-7.9618	-7.7111	-8.1737	-8.3938
0.6052	-6.8057	-7.0975	-6.9522	-7.2794	-7.4595
0.6714	-5.6654	-6.0137	-5.7823	-6.2147	-6.2528
0.7433	-4.5201	-4.6007	-4.3817	-4.9268	-5.2759
0.8214	-3.1235	-3.1243	-3.1621	-2.8816	-3.0499
0.9066	-0.9768	-1.2871	-1.3667	-1.5997	-1.9304
1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
^a The viscosity devi	ation $(\Delta \eta)$ of the binary	system was calculated ac	cording to eq 5). $^{23-25}$		



Figure 6. Viscosity deviation $(\Delta \eta)$ with the mole fraction for the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K. The symbols represent experimental values, and the solid curves represent the values calculated from eq 7.

increases and that of $V_{\varphi,2}$ decreases with the increase of the mole fraction of PEG 200.

The partial molar volume of the mixed solution was calculated using equation 11 and 12^{28}

$$\bar{V}_{1} = V_{m}^{E} + V_{1} + (1 - x_{1}) \left(\frac{\partial V_{m}^{E}}{\partial x_{1}} \right)_{P,T}$$
(11)

$$\overline{V}_2 = V_m^{\rm E} + V_2 - x_1 \left(\frac{\partial V_m^{\rm E}}{\partial x_1}\right)_{P,T}$$
(12)

where V_1 and V_2 are the molar volumes of the pure components and $V_m^{\ E}$ is the excess molar volume of the mixture. The calculated data are listed in Table 8 The partial molar volumes of V_1 and V_2 increase with the increase of the mole fraction of PEG 200, while V_1 and V_2 do not change significantly with temperatures. **2.3. Thermodynamic Parameters.** The V_m^E of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixture is negative, which proves that there is an interaction between PEG 200 and DMAPA. This intermolecular interaction can also be explained by the thermodynamics of viscous fluids. To obtain the kinematic viscosity of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) system, the thermodynamic parameters of the viscous fluid of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) system were calculated, including the activation enthalpy ΔH^* , activation entropy ΔS^* , and activation Gibbs free energy ΔG^* using eqs 13 and 14²⁹

$$\Delta G^* = \Delta H^* - T \Delta S^* \tag{13}$$

$$v = \frac{hN_{\rm A}}{M} \exp\!\left(\frac{\Delta G^*}{RT}\right) \tag{14}$$

where v, h, N_A , M, and R, respectively, represent the kinematic viscosity, Planck constant, Avogadro constant, average molar mass, and molar gas constant of the binary system. From 13 and 14, the following formula can be obtained:

$$R\ln\left(v\frac{M}{hN_{A}}\right) = \frac{\Delta H^{*}}{T} - \Delta S^{*}$$
(15)

 $R \ln(\nu M/hN_A)$ on the left side of eq 15 was found to be in a linear relationship with 1/T on the right side, when a plot was constructed by taking 1/T as the abscissa and $R \ln(\nu M/hN_A)$ as the ordinate. The fitting results are shown in Figure 8. The slope is the ΔH^* value and the intercept is the $-\Delta S^*$ value, and the results are shown in Table 9. The activation Gibbs free energy ΔG^* can also be calculated using eq 13, and the results obtained are shown in Table 9 and plotted in Figure 9.

It was found from Table 9 that the value of ΔG^* over the range of components is positive at measured temperatures and ΔH^* increases from 1.51 to 27.63 kJ·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹. The results

Table 4. Viscosity Deviation ($\Delta \eta$) for the Binary System of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K

			$\Delta \eta/(\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s})$		
x_1	T = 298.15 K	T = 303.15 K	T = 308.15 K	<i>T</i> = 313.15 K	T = 318.15 K
0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.0262	-0.80	-0.62	-0.44	-0.28	-0.15
0.0537	-1.65	-1.30	-0.97	-0.63	-0.43
0.0827	-2.18	-1.70	-1.21	-0.72	-0.51
0.1133	-2.45	-1.86	-1.28	-0.67	-0.41
0.1455	-2.26	-1.60	-1.00	-0.35	-0.12
0.1796	-1.52	-1.04	-0.48	0.22	0.43
0.2157	-0.26	0.00	0.33	1.03	1.13
0.2541	1.57	1.48	1.57	2.26	2.12
0.2948	3.95	3.49	3.49	3.54	3.41
0.3381	6.59	5.40	4.59	4.92	4.46
0.3844	9.29	7.53	6.26	6.41	5.55
0.4339	11.85	9.70	7.80	7.85	6.79
0.4869	13.80	11.02	9.04	8.95	7.58
0.5438	15.10	12.02	9.85	9.58	8.14
0.6052	15.62	12.16	9.89	10.04	8.42
0.6714	14.44	11.46	9.97	9.63	8.08
0.7433	12.78	9.98	8.17	8.81	7.26
0.8214	10.52	7.95	6.19	7.43	6.16
0.9066	5.95	4.56	3.66	5.42	4.84
1.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 5. Excess Gibbs Free Energy of Activation of Viscous Flow (ΔG^{*E}) for the Binary System of PEG (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K

			$\Delta G^{*E}/(\mathrm{kJ}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$		
x_1	<i>T</i> = 298.15 K	T = 303.15 K	T = 308.15 K	<i>T</i> = 313.15 K	T = 318.15 K
0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.0262	0.84	0.78	0.78	0.77	0.86
0.0537	1.31	1.24	1.19	1.19	1.27
0.0827	1.92	1.83	1.77	1.76	1.77
0.1133	2.47	2.35	2.27	2.25	2.26
0.1455	3.00	2.88	2.77	2.73	2.69
0.1796	3.47	3.30	3.18	3.13	3.09
0.2157	3.85	3.68	3.52	3.47	3.40
0.2541	4.15	3.96	3.80	3.77	3.67
0.2948	4.37	4.19	4.07	3.94	3.88
0.3381	4.48	4.28	4.09	4.04	3.94
0.3844	4.49	4.29	4.11	4.07	3.94
0.4339	4.40	4.23	4.03	4.01	3.89
0.4869	4.20	4.03	3.87	3.86	3.73
0.5438	3.91	3.75	3.61	3.60	3.48
0.6052	3.52	3.36	3.24	3.28	3.17
0.6714	3.01	2.89	2.83	2.85	2.75
0.7433	2.43	2.32	2.25	2.33	2.24
0.8214	1.76	1.68	1.60	1.74	1.68
0.9066	0.95	0.91	0.87	1.03	1.02
1.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

indicate that the viscous flow in pure DMAPA is easier than that in pure PEG 200 or the binary solution. At the same time, ΔS^* increases from -215.52 to -163.61 J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹ with the increase of the mole fraction of PEG 200. The negative ΔS^* indicates that the self-association binding force of PEG 200 and DMAPA is stronger than that of DMAPA alone.

2.4. Spectral Properties. 2.4.1. UV-Vis Spectra. The preceding results may be related to the hydrogen bond and

interaction between PEG 200 and DMAPA. The UV-vis spectra of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) mixture were measured and are shown in Figure 10. PEG 200 was used as a reference solvent. The absorption peak of DMAPA was found at about 234 nm, which is attributed to N electrons undergoing the $n \rightarrow \sigma^*$ electron transition. The peak red-shifted from 234 to 237 nm with the increase of the DMAPA concentration. This phenomenon is mainly due to the self-association hydrogen bond between PEG 200 molecules was



Figure 7. Excess Gibbs free energies of activation for the viscous flow (ΔG^{*E}) with the mole fraction for the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K. The symbols represent experimental values, and the solid curves represent the values calculated from eq 7.

broken, and the new hydrogen bond was formed between PEG 200 and DMAPA through the formation of $(CH_3)_2N\cdots$ HOCH₂CH-O- and H₂N···HOCH₂CH-O-. Particularly, the ability of DMAPA molecules to form a hydrogen bond with PEG 200 molecules was reinforced with the increase of the DMAPA concentration, which will make the nitrogen atom in DMAPA molecules interact more easily with hydroxyl on PEG 200, leading to the red shift of the absorption peak.

2.4.2. FTIR Spectra. To further study the interaction between DMAPA and PEG 200, the FTIR spectra of PEG 200, DMAPA, and the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at various concentrations were obtained. In the FTIR spectra shown in Figure 11, the stretching vibration peak of the O-H bond in PEG 200 molecules appears at 3422 cm^{-1} .³⁰ Interestingly, the absorption peak moves to a low wavenumber of 3356 cm⁻¹ with an increase of the DMAPA concentration; meanwhile, the bending vibration band of the hydroxyl group in PEG 200 moved from 1104 cm⁻¹ to a lower frequency of 1100 cm⁻¹ with the increase of the DMAPA concentration. These results suggest that the intermolecular hydrogen bonds in PEG 200 are broken gradually, and new hydrogen bonds are formed. The absorption band of hydroxyl groups in PEG 200 moves to a lower frequency, which is due to the vibrational properties of hydroxyl groups in PEG 200, further indicating the hydrogen

bonding and interaction of the hydroxyl hydrogen atoms in PEG 200 with nitrogen atoms in DMAPA.

2.4.3. ¹H NMR Spectra. The ¹H NMR spectra of PEG 200, DMAPA, and the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) with various concentrations were also compared. Figure 12 shows that the chemical displacement of methyl hydrogen in DMAPA is 2.158 ppm. With the increase of the PEG 200 concentration, the chemical displacement gradually moves to a low field of 2.000 ppm; meanwhile, the chemical shifts of other methylene groups in DMAPA also move to the lower field. The fact is that the electron cloud density of protons on DMAPA becomes thinner due to hydrogen bonding interactions between DMAPA and PEG 200. The most reasonable form of hydrogen bonding was proposed as the formation of $(CH_3)_2N\cdotsHOCH_2CH-O-$ and $H_2N\cdots$ HOCH₂CH-O-. The results are in well accordance with the FTIR and UV-vis results.

2.5. CO₂ Absorption. Based on the above experimental results, the PEG 200 plus DMAPA mixture was used to measure CO₂ absorption. Figure 13a shows the relationship between CO2 uptake and time at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The absorption equilibrium of PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:1 mole ratio), PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:2), and PEG 200 + DMAPA (2:1) was all achieved within 45 min. In contrast, the absorption capacity of CO₂ of PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:2) is quite large, reaching 0.19 g CO_2 per gram solvent. Interestingly, when the molar ratio of PEG 200 and DMAPA is 1:2, the intermolecular bonding is the most strongest based on the results obtained for V_m^{E} of the mixture. This intermolecular hydrogen bonding can reduce the volatility of amines, which will be further discussed later. Considering the importance of CO₂ removal efficiency in practical industrial processes, we investigated low-concentration CO₂ removal under environmental conditions. As shown in Figure 13b, it was found that CO₂ was completely removed in the first 40 min using PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:2) as the absorbent, then the removal efficiency was reduced, and the final absorption reaches saturation in about 60 min.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the density and viscosity of the PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) binary solution in the whole concentration range

Table 6. Coefficients of the Redlich–Kister Equation and Standard Deviations for $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm cm^{3} \cdot mol^{-1}})$, $\Delta \eta/({\rm mPa} \cdot {\rm s})$, and Δz	\ G* [₽] /
$(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$ for the Binary System of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at Different Temperatures; R ² is the Degree of Fit	ting

T/(K)	property	A_3	A_2	A_1	A_0	σ	R^2
	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3 \cdot { m mol}^{-1})$	-32.8245	21.1379	-12.4724	16.3136	0.1487	0.9981
298.15	$\Delta \eta/(\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s})$	53.57	60.38	-63.77	3.84	0.72	0.9953
	$\Delta G^{*E}/(\mathrm{kJ}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$	16.52	-9.70	2.45	2.28	0.06	0.9993
	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3 \cdot { m mol}^{-1})$	-33.7457	21.6664	-11.7893	15.0121	0.1296	0.9987
303.15	$\Delta \eta/(\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s})$	42.93	45.03	-52.19	4.16	0.55	0.9958
	$\Delta G^{*E}/(\mathrm{kJ}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$	15.86	-9.33	2.21	2.21	0.05	0.9994
	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3 \cdot { m mol}^{-1})$	-33.0189	22.2396	-10.7541	9.7849	0.0948	0.9991
308.15	$\Delta \eta/(\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s})$	35.80	36.07	-40.87	-0.49	0.35	0.9969
	$\Delta G^{*E}/(\mathrm{kJ}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$	15.24	-8.66	2.20	1.46	0.05	0.9989
	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3 \cdot { m mol}^{-1})$	-34.4147	21.8592	-14.3372	18.8922	0.1476	0.9980
313.15	$\Delta \eta/(\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s})$	34.45	32.45	-22.57	16.16	0.45	0.9953
	$\Delta G^{*^{\mathrm{E}}}/(\mathrm{kJ}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$	15.07	-8.00	3.17	2.27	0.06	0.9992
	$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3 \cdot { m mol}^{-1})$	-34.6386	21.4404	-14.8585	16.5294	0.2882	0.9963
318.15	$\Delta \eta/(\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s})$	29.11	24.10	-17.83	18.60	0.44	0.9931
	$\Delta G^{*E}/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$	14.47	-7.79	3.44	1.75	0.10	0.9983

Table 7. Apparent Molar Volumes $V_{\varphi_{1}}$ and $V_{\varphi_{2}}$ for the Binary System of PEG 200 and DMAPA at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K

	$\mathrm{V}_{\varphi,1}(\mathrm{PEG})/(\mathrm{cm}^3 \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$					$V_{\varphi,2}(DMAPA)/(cm^3 \cdot mol^{-1})$				
x_1	T/K = 298.15	303.15	308.15	313.15	318.15	T/K = 298.15	303.15	308.15	313.15	318.15
0.0000						126.19	127.06	127.35	128.54	128.72
0.0262	113.12	128.99	147.16	187.20	175.73	124.42	124.85	125.33	126.41	126.10
0.0537	106.83	121.15	136.83	146.44	148.31	122.10	122.91	123.80	124.35	124.45
0.0827	114.44	127.55	132.93	137.13	137.95	120.38	121.56	122.05	122.43	122.50
0.1133	122.15	126.55	133.55	136.94	138.26	118.95	119.51	120.40	120.84	121.01
0.1455	128.17	132.57	136.30	139.85	140.57	117.56	118.31	118.95	119.55	119.67
0.1796	133.41	136.07	140.37	142.26	143.14	116.24	116.83	117.77	118.18	118.37
0.2157	138.25	141.42	144.52	146.32	147.02	115.03	115.90	116.75	117.25	117.44
0.2541	142.56	144.27	146.91	149.79	150.38	113.84	114.42	115.32	116.30	116.50
0.2948	146.73	148.57	150.62	152.37	152.87	112.77	113.54	114.40	115.13	115.34
0.3381	150.90	152.52	154.37	155.73	156.15	111.92	112.75	113.69	114.39	114.60
0.3844	154.71	155.67	157.39	159.00	159.33	111.13	111.73	112.81	113.81	114.02
0.4339	158.09	159.11	160.52	161.67	161.95	110.30	111.09	112.17	113.04	113.26
0.4869	161.30	162.39	163.49	165.33	165.52	109.57	110.60	111.65	113.39	113.57
0.5438	164.55	165.07	166.35	167.29	167.57	109.18	109.80	111.32	112.45	112.78
0.6052	167.12	167.82	168.82	169.85	170.21	108.26	109.33	110.87	112.45	112.99
0.6714	169.93	170.44	171.51	172.24	172.81	108.02	109.08	111.25	112.75	113.91
0.7433	172.28	173.08	174.06	174.53	174.66	107.27	109.59	112.41	113.77	114.15
0.8214	174.56	175.36	175.95	177.35	177.72	106.62	110.29	113.02	119.43	121.14
0.9066	177.29	177.64	178.16	178.82	179.01	111.35	114.79	119.84	126.24	128.10
1.0000	178.82	179.65	180.11	180.59	180.69					

Table 8. Partial Molar Volumes V_1 and V_2 for the Binary System of PEG 200 and DMAPA at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K

	$V_1(\mathrm{PEG})/(\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$					$V_2(\text{DMAPA})/(\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$				
x_1	T/K = 298.15	303.15	308.15	313.15	318.15	T/K = 298.15	303.15	308.15	313.15	318.15
0.0000	107.04	106.83	110.07	104.60	106.46	126.19	127.06	127.35	128.54	128.72
0.0262	116.10	115.48	118.29	114.01	115.05	122.82	123.21	123.74	124.69	124.43
0.0537	124.08	123.88	126.50	122.89	124.02	119.43	120.15	121.07	121.43	121.60
0.0827	132.04	132.22	133.97	131.26	132.09	117.12	118.13	118.67	118.86	119.01
0.1133	139.62	139.27	140.95	139.23	139.92	115.58	115.98	116.85	117.12	117.36
0.1455	146.53	146.40	147.47	146.70	147.16	114.57	115.09	115.68	116.18	116.37
0.1796	152.76	152.54	153.56	153.28	153.65	114.11	114.45	115.22	115.68	115.90
0.2157	158.30	158.36	159.07	159.36	159.62	114.20	114.73	115.33	116.00	116.22
0.2541	163.06	162.95	163.51	164.54	164.73	114.75	115.01	115.56	116.76	116.96
0.2948	167.11	167.18	167.59	168.65	168.82	115.79	116.16	116.59	117.74	117.94
0.3381	170.49	170.65	171.06	172.19	172.35	117.31	117.69	118.09	119.37	119.57
0.3844	173.12	173.19	173.65	174.94	175.11	119.15	119.37	119.77	121.35	121.56
0.4339	175.00	175.23	175.68	176.77	176.97	121.16	121.48	121.82	123.39	123.63
0.4869	176.29	176.69	177.13	178.47	178.68	123.35	123.80	124.09	126.09	126.36
0.5438	177.22	177.45	178.12	178.89	179.18	125.77	126.04	126.52	128.11	128.51
0.6052	177.53	177.98	178.61	179.30	179.66	127.98	128.50	128.94	130.52	131.05
0.6714	177.91	178.35	179.10	179.51	180.02	130.56	131.16	131.72	133.00	133.73
0.7433	178.09	178.83	179.55	179.76	179.92	133.28	134.35	134.84	135.82	136.21
0.8214	178.41	179.22	179.65	180.68	180.97	136.90	138.35	138.29	140.06	140.40
0.9066	179.30	179.74	180.11	180.68	180.78	142.95	144.51	143.68	145.31	144.91
1.0000	178.82	179.65	180.11	180.59	180.69	151.91	154.62	152.19	154.99	153.27

of T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K at atmospheric pressure were reported. The density and viscosity data have been used to compute the parameter of excess properties and viscous flow thermodynamics. The V_m^E values were negative, $\Delta \eta$ is not always negative, it also shows a positive maximum and a negative minimum at around $x_1 \approx$ 0.6067 and $x_1 \approx 0.1158$; meanwhile, the ΔH^* , ΔS^* , and ΔG^* values were calculated. Based on the results of V_m^E , it was found that a stronger intermolecular bonding is formed. A mixture of PEG 200 and DMAPA in a ratio of 1:2 was used for investigating CO_2 absorption at a pressure of 1 bar, and a CO_2 absorption of about 0.19 g per gram of the absorbent at room temperature was achieved. In addition, the UV–vis, FTIR, and ¹H NMR results indicate that there are hydrogen bonding and interactions of hydroxyl hydrogen atoms in PEG 200 with nitrogen atoms in DMAPA leading to the formation of $(CH_3)_2N$ ···HOCH₂CH–O– and H₂N···HOCH₂CH–O–.



Figure 8. Plots of R ln(ν M/hNA) against 1/T for PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at different temperatures, and the molar fractions corresponding to lines are as follows: (A: $x_1 = 0.0000 \sim U$: $x_1 = 1.0000$).

These results are helpful to understand and optimize the CO_2 absorption capacity of the binary solution.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1. Materials. Analytical grade DMAPA (\geq 99.0%) and PEG 200 (\geq 99.0%) were purchased from Shanghai Titan Technology Co., Ltd., China. They were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves and degassed by ultrasonication just before the experiment. The water contents of PEG 200 and DMAPA were determined by the Carl Fischer method to be 0.21 and 0.07 wt %, respectively. Moreover, double-distilled water and HPLC grade ethanol were used to calibrate the pycnometer and the Ubbelohde viscometer, respectively. All specifications of chemical samples are shown in Table S1.

4.2. Instrumentation. All measurements of mass were performed on an electronic balance with an accuracy of ± 0.1 mg (Sartorius BS224S). The uncertainty of mole fraction was



Figure 9. Gibbs free energies of activation (ΔG^*) with the mole fraction for the viscous flow of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at T = (298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, and 318.15) K and atmospheric pressure. The symbols represent experimental values, and the solid curves represent the values calculated from eq 7.



Figure 10. UV-vis spectral changes with increasing DMAPA concentration in the binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2).

estimated to be ± 0.0001 . The UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3600 plus spectrometer. FTIR spectra

Table 9. Gibbs Free Energy Changes ($\Delta G^*/k$ J·mol⁻¹), Enthalpy of Activation (ΔH^*), and Entropy of Activation (ΔS^*) for the Viscous Flow of the Binary System PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) at Different Concentrations

			ΔG	$k^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$		
$\Delta H^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$	$\Delta S^*/(J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$	T/K = 298.15	303.15	308.15	313.15	318.15
1.51 ± 0.087	-215.52 ± 0.28	65.77	66.85	67.92	69.00	70.08
2.83 ± 0.99	-214.73 ± 3.23	66.89	67.89	68.96	70.03	71.19
5.07 ± 1.18	-209.77 ± 3.84	67.66	68.64	69.65	70.72	71.87
7.80 ± 0.92	-203.70 ± 3.00	68.58	69.53	70.52	71.57	72.65
10.07 ± 1.08	-199.00 ± 3.50	69.45	70.38	71.33	72.36	73.44
12.86 ± 0.86	-192.61 ± 2.79	70.32	71.24	72.16	73.15	74.18
15.17 ± 1.13	-187.60 ± 3.66	71.15	72.02	72.92	73.89	74.91
17.64 ± 1.22	-181.89 ± 3.95	71.92	72.77	73.62	74.58	75.57
19.26 ± 1.20	-178.82 ± 3.88	72.62	73.45	74.29	75.25	76.20
20.91 ± 0.97	-175.52 ± 3.15	73.28	74.11	74.97	75.83	76.81
22.68 ± 1.35	-171.45 ± 4.37	73.85	74.65	75.43	76.35	77.29
23.95 ± 1.21	-168.90 ± 3.92	74.35	75.14	75.91	76.83	77.74
24.64 ± 1.26	-168.05 ± 4.10	74.79	75.59	76.34	77.25	78.16
25.06 ± 1.16	-167.88 ± 3.77	75.15	75.94	76.71	77.62	78.52
25.60 ± 1.10	-167.11 ± 3.58	75.47	76.25	77.03	77.92	78.82
25.55 ± 1.27	-168.13 ± 4.13	75.73	76.51	77.28	78.20	79.10
25.37 ± 0.82	-169.45 ± 2.65	75.92	76.72	77.54	78.41	79.32
25.49 ± 1.10	-169.62 ± 3.57	76.10	76.90	77.68	78.60	79.50
25.40 ± 1.43	-170.45 ± 4.63	76.27	77.07	77.83	78.77	79.69
24.56 ± 1.45	-173.60 ± 4.70	76.37	77.18	77.96	78.90	79.86
27.63 ± 1.18	-163.61 ± 3.85	76.41	77.25	78.04	78.78	79.74
	$\Delta H^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$ 1.51 ± 0.087 2.83 ± 0.99 5.07 ± 1.18 7.80 ± 0.92 10.07 ± 1.08 12.86 ± 0.86 15.17 ± 1.13 17.64 ± 1.22 19.26 ± 1.20 20.91 ± 0.97 22.68 ± 1.35 23.95 ± 1.21 24.64 ± 1.26 25.06 ± 1.16 25.60 ± 1.10 25.55 ± 1.27 25.37 ± 0.82 25.49 ± 1.10 25.40 ± 1.43 24.56 ± 1.45 27.63 ± 1.18	$ \Delta H^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1}) \qquad \Delta S^*/(J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}) $ $ 1.51 \pm 0.087 \qquad -215.52 \pm 0.28 \\ 2.83 \pm 0.99 \qquad -214.73 \pm 3.23 \\ 5.07 \pm 1.18 \qquad -209.77 \pm 3.84 \\ 7.80 \pm 0.92 \qquad -203.70 \pm 3.00 \\ 10.07 \pm 1.08 \qquad -199.00 \pm 3.50 \\ 12.86 \pm 0.86 \qquad -192.61 \pm 2.79 \\ 15.17 \pm 1.13 \qquad -187.60 \pm 3.66 \\ 17.64 \pm 1.22 \qquad -181.89 \pm 3.95 \\ 19.26 \pm 1.20 \qquad -178.82 \pm 3.88 \\ 20.91 \pm 0.97 \qquad -175.52 \pm 3.15 \\ 22.68 \pm 1.35 \qquad -171.45 \pm 4.37 \\ 23.95 \pm 1.21 \qquad -168.90 \pm 3.92 \\ 24.64 \pm 1.26 \qquad -168.05 \pm 4.10 \\ 25.06 \pm 1.16 \qquad -167.88 \pm 3.77 \\ 25.60 \pm 1.10 \qquad -167.11 \pm 3.58 \\ 25.55 \pm 1.27 \qquad -168.13 \pm 4.13 \\ 25.37 \pm 0.82 \qquad -169.45 \pm 2.65 \\ 25.49 \pm 1.10 \qquad -169.62 \pm 3.57 \\ 25.40 \pm 1.43 \qquad -170.45 \pm 4.63 \\ 24.56 \pm 1.45 \qquad -173.60 \pm 4.70 \\ 27.63 \pm 1.18 \qquad -163.61 \pm 3.85 \\ \end{cases} $	$ \Delta H^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1}) \qquad \Delta S^*/(J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}) \qquad T/K = 298.15 $ $ 1.51 \pm 0.087 \qquad -215.52 \pm 0.28 \qquad 65.77 \\ 2.83 \pm 0.99 \qquad -214.73 \pm 3.23 \qquad 66.89 \\ 5.07 \pm 1.18 \qquad -209.77 \pm 3.84 \qquad 67.66 \\ 7.80 \pm 0.92 \qquad -203.70 \pm 3.00 \qquad 68.58 \\ 10.07 \pm 1.08 \qquad -199.00 \pm 3.50 \qquad 69.45 \\ 12.86 \pm 0.86 \qquad -192.61 \pm 2.79 \qquad 70.32 \\ 15.17 \pm 1.13 \qquad -187.60 \pm 3.66 \qquad 71.15 \\ 17.64 \pm 1.22 \qquad -181.89 \pm 3.95 \qquad 71.92 \\ 19.26 \pm 1.20 \qquad -178.82 \pm 3.88 \qquad 72.62 \\ 20.91 \pm 0.97 \qquad -175.52 \pm 3.15 \qquad 73.28 \\ 22.68 \pm 1.35 \qquad -171.45 \pm 4.37 \qquad 73.85 \\ 23.95 \pm 1.21 \qquad -168.90 \pm 3.92 \qquad 74.35 \\ 24.64 \pm 1.26 \qquad -168.05 \pm 4.10 \qquad 74.79 \\ 25.06 \pm 1.16 \qquad -167.88 \pm 3.77 \qquad 75.15 \\ 25.60 \pm 1.10 \qquad -167.11 \pm 3.58 \qquad 75.47 \\ 25.55 \pm 1.27 \qquad -168.13 \pm 4.13 \qquad 75.73 \\ 25.37 \pm 0.82 \qquad -169.45 \pm 2.65 \qquad 75.92 \\ 25.49 \pm 1.10 \qquad -169.62 \pm 3.57 \qquad 76.10 \\ 25.40 \pm 1.43 \qquad -170.45 \pm 4.63 \qquad 76.27 \\ 24.56 \pm 1.45 \qquad -173.60 \pm 4.70 \qquad 76.37 \\ 27.63 \pm 1.18 \qquad -163.61 \pm 3.85 \qquad 76.41 \\ $	$\Delta H^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1}) \qquad \Delta S^*/(J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}) \qquad T/K = 298.15 \qquad 303.15$ 1.51 ± 0.087 -215.52 ± 0.28 65.77 66.85 2.83 ± 0.99 -214.73 ± 3.23 66.89 67.89 5.07 ± 1.18 -209.77 ± 3.84 67.66 68.64 7.80 ± 0.92 -203.70 ± 3.00 68.58 69.53 10.07 ± 1.08 -199.00 ± 3.50 69.45 70.38 12.86 ± 0.86 -192.61 ± 2.79 70.32 71.24 15.17 ± 1.13 -187.60 ± 3.66 71.15 72.02 17.64 ± 1.22 -181.89 ± 3.95 71.92 72.77 19.26 ± 1.20 -178.82 ± 3.88 72.62 73.45 20.91 ± 0.97 -175.52 ± 3.15 73.28 74.11 22.68 ± 1.35 -171.45 ± 4.37 73.85 74.65 23.95 ± 1.21 -168.90 ± 3.92 74.35 75.14 24.64 ± 1.26 -168.05 ± 4.10 74.79 75.59 25.06 ± 1.16 -167.88 ± 3.77 75.15 75.94 25.60 ± 1.10 -167.11 ± 3.58 75.47 76.25 25.55 ± 1.27 -168.13 ± 4.13 75.73 76.51 25.37 ± 0.82 -169.45 ± 2.65 75.92 76.72 25.49 ± 1.10 -169.62 ± 3.57 76.10 76.90 25.40 ± 1.43 -170.45 ± 4.63 76.27 77.07 24.56 ± 1.45 -173.60 ± 4.70 76.37 77.18 27.63 ± 1.18 -163.61 ± 3.85 76.41 77.25	$ \frac{\Delta G^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})}{\Delta H^*/(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})} \frac{\Delta S^*/(J \cdot mol^{-1} K^{-1})}{T/K = 298.15} \frac{303.15}{303.15} \frac{308.15}{308.15} $ $ \frac{1.51 \pm 0.087}{2.83 \pm 0.99} -214.73 \pm 3.23 \qquad 66.89 \qquad 67.89 \qquad 68.96 \\ 5.07 \pm 1.18 \qquad -209.77 \pm 3.84 \qquad 67.66 \qquad 68.64 \qquad 69.65 \\ 7.80 \pm 0.92 \qquad -203.70 \pm 3.00 \qquad 68.58 \qquad 69.53 \qquad 70.52 \\ 10.07 \pm 1.08 \qquad -199.00 \pm 3.50 \qquad 69.45 \qquad 70.38 \qquad 71.33 \\ 12.86 \pm 0.86 \qquad -192.61 \pm 2.79 \qquad 70.32 \qquad 71.24 \qquad 72.16 \\ 15.17 \pm 1.13 \qquad -187.60 \pm 3.66 \qquad 71.15 \qquad 72.02 \qquad 72.92 \\ 17.64 \pm 1.22 \qquad -181.89 \pm 3.95 \qquad 71.92 \qquad 72.77 \qquad 73.62 \\ 19.26 \pm 1.20 \qquad -178.82 \pm 3.88 \qquad 72.62 \qquad 73.45 \qquad 74.29 \\ 20.91 \pm 0.97 \qquad -175.52 \pm 3.15 \qquad 73.28 \qquad 74.11 \qquad 74.97 \\ 22.68 \pm 1.35 \qquad -171.45 \pm 4.37 \qquad 73.85 \qquad 74.65 \qquad 75.43 \\ 23.95 \pm 1.21 \qquad -168.90 \pm 3.92 \qquad 74.35 \qquad 75.14 \qquad 75.91 \\ 24.64 \pm 1.26 \qquad -168.05 \pm 4.10 \qquad 74.79 \qquad 75.59 \qquad 76.34 \\ 25.06 \pm 1.10 \qquad -167.11 \pm 3.58 \qquad 75.47 \qquad 76.25 \qquad 77.03 \\ 25.55 \pm 1.27 \qquad -168.13 \pm 4.13 \qquad 75.73 \qquad 76.51 \qquad 77.28 \\ 25.37 \pm 0.82 \qquad -169.45 \pm 2.65 \qquad 75.92 \qquad 76.72 \qquad 77.54 \\ 25.49 \pm 1.10 \qquad -169.62 \pm 3.57 \qquad 76.10 \qquad 76.90 \qquad 77.68 \\ 25.40 \pm 1.43 \qquad -170.45 \pm 4.63 \qquad 76.27 \qquad 77.18 \qquad 77.96 \\ 27.63 \pm 1.18 \qquad -163.61 \pm 3.85 \qquad 76.41 \qquad 77.25 \qquad 78.04 \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$



Figure 11. FTIR spectra of (a) PEG 200, (b) PEG 200 + DMAPA (2:1 mole ratio), (c) PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:1 mole ratio), (d) PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:2 mole ratio), and (e) DMAPA.



Figure 12. ¹H NMR spectra of (a) PEG 200, (b) PEG 200 + DMAPA (2:1 mole ratio), (c) PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:1 mole ratio); (d) PEG 200 + DMAPA (1:2 mole ratio), and (e) DMAPA.

were recorded on a Nicolet 50 FTIR spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JNM-ECZ-400 spectrometer. All spectral experiments of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) were performed at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

4.3. Measurements. The density of the sample was measured by the pycnometer method. Briefly, the pycnometer containing the binary solvent solution was placed in a constant temperature water bath at a desired temperature for 30 min, and then weighed at least three times to obtain the average value. The uncertainty of density measurement was

estimated to be ±0.02%. The viscosity of the sample was measured using an Ubbelohde viscometer. First, the Ubbelohde viscometer was calibrated with double-distilled water and HPLC grade ethanol, and the viscometer constant was calculated. A stopwatch was used to measure the time when the liquid flows through the capillary of the viscometer and this was repeated at least six times for each sample to obtain the average value. The uncertainty of the viscosity value was ±3%. In order to determine the reliability of the experiment, the experimentally measured values of density and absolute viscosity of DMAPA and PEG 200 are compared with those reported in the literature,³⁰⁻³⁵ as shown in Table S2. The agreement between the experimental and literature values was found to be satisfactory.

4.4. Absorption of CO₂. The bubble weight method was used in the experiment of CO₂ absorption. In short, CO₂ absorption was carried out at room temperature at a pressure of 1 bar. As shown in Figure S1, a known amount of absorbent was placed in a glass tube (inner diameter: 10 mm; length: 200 mm). The gas containing CO_2 is bubbled into the absorption solution through a long stainless-steel needle. During gas absorption, the gas solubility was determined by weighing the tube on an electronic analytical balance (Sartorius BS224S). To ensure balance is achieved, the weighing procedure was repeated until the mass remains constant between successive measurements. For diluted CO₂ absorption, in a typical procedure, about 2.0 g of absorbent was added to a U-shaped absorption tube with an inner diameter of 8 mm, and then the absorption tube was placed in a 25 °C water bath. The CO₂ gas (10 wt %) was controlled by a mass flow meter to control the flow rate to 30 mL \cdot min⁻¹. CO₂ was absorbed by the absorbent when it passed through the U-shaped tube. Then, the concentration of the CO_2 tail gas was detected using the flue gas analyzer (LY800-CO₂, Shenzhen Liye Industrial Co., LTD. China) and the change in CO₂ concentration was recorded online using a computer.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c00667.

Specification of chemical samples; comparison of experimental density and viscosity values of pure PEG and DMAPA with literature values at different temper-



Figure 13. (a) Comparison of CO₂ absorption processes by the amide-based binary system of PEG 200 (1) + DMAPA (2) as a function of time at 25 °C and 1.0 bar; (b) CO₂ (10 wt %) + N₂ removal efficiency as a function of time at 25 °C and 1.0 bar in PEG 200 plus DMAPA (1:2 mole ratio).

atures; and experimental diagram for CO_2 absorption (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Tianxiang Zhao – Key Laboratory of Green Chemical and Clean Energy Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0001-8197-2423; Email: txzhao3@gzu.edu.cn

Fei Liu – Key Laboratory of Green Chemical and Clean Energy Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P. R. China; Email: ce.feiliu@gzu.edu.cn

Authors

- Xiaoqing Yang Key Laboratory of Green Chemical and Clean Energy Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P. R. China
- Zimin Liu Key Laboratory of Green Chemical and Clean Energy Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P. R. China
- Jiarui Gu Key Laboratory of Green Chemical and Clean Energy Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P. R. China
- Jianxin Cao Key Laboratory of Green Chemical and Clean Energy Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P. R. China

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c00667

Author Contributions

[†]X.Y. and Z.L. authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Natural Science Special Foundation of Guizhou University (no. X2019065 Special Post A), Guizhou University Cultivation Project (no.201955), Characteristic Field Project of Education Department in Guizhou Province (no. 2021055), Scientific and Technological Innovation Talents Team Project of Guizhou Province (no. 20185607), One Hundred Person Project of Guizhou Province (no. 20165655), Innovation Group Project of Education Department in Guizhou Province (no. 2021010), and the Guizhou Province Graduate Research Fund (no.2020044).

REFERENCES

(1) Heldebrant, D. J.; Koech, P. K.; Glezakou, V.-A.; Rousseau, R.; Malhotra, D.; Cantu, D. C. Water-lean solvents for post-combustion CO_2 capture: Fundamentals, uncertainties, opportunities, and outlook. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9594–9624.

(2) Chen, T.; Ma, J.; Chen, S.; Wei, Y.; Deng, C.; Chen, J.; Hu, J.; Ding, W. Construction of heterostructured CoP/CN/Ni: Electron redistribution towards effective hydrogen generation and oxygen reduction. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2021**, *415*, 129031.

(3) Karlsson, H. K.; Makhool, H.; Karlsson, M.; Svensson, H. Chemical absorption of carbon dioxide in non-aqueous systems using the amine 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol in dimethyl sulfoxide and N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2021**, *256*, 117789.

(4) Olah, G. A.; Mathew, T.; Prakash, G. K. S. Chemical formation of methanol and hydrocarbon ("organic") derivatives from CO_2 and H_2 carbon sources for subsequent biological cell evolution and Life's origin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139, 566–570.

(5) Peng, H.-L.; Zhang, J.-B.; Zhang, J.-Y.; Zhong, F.-Y.; Wu, P.-K.; Huang, K.; Fan, J.-P.; Liu, F. Chitosan-derived mesoporous carbon with ultrahigh pore volume for amine impregnation and highly efficient CO_2 capture. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, 359, 1159–1165.

(6) Zhao, J.; Shan, W.; Zhang, P.; Dai, S. Solvent-free and mechanochemical synthesis of N-doped mesoporous carbon from tannin and related gas sorption property. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *381*, 122579.

(7) Zhang, X.; Xiong, W.; Tu, Z.; Peng, L.; Wu, Y.; Hu, X. Supported Ionic Liquid Membranes with Dual-Site Interaction Mechanism for Efficient Separation of CO₂. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. **2019**, 7, 10792–10799.

(8) Tu, Z.; Liu, P.; Zhang, X.; Shi, M.; Zhang, Z.; Luo, S.; Zhang, L.; Wu, Y.; Hu, X. Highly-selective separation of CO_2 from N_2 or CH_4 in task-specific ionic liquid membranes: Facilitated transport and salting-out effect. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2021**, 254, 117621.

(9) Hedayati, A.; Feyzi, F. CO_2 -binding organic liquids comprised of 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine and alkanol for postcombustion CO_2 capture: water inhibitory effect of amine promoters. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. **2020**, 8, 7909–7920.

(10) Hedayati, A.; Feyzi, F. Towards water-insensitive CO_2 -binding organic liquids for CO_2 absorption: Effect of amines as promoter. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2020**, *306*, 112938.

(11) Zhang, J.-B.; Peng, H.; Liu, Y.; Tao, D.-J.; Wu, P.; Fan, J.-P.; Huang, K. Highly efficient CO_2 capture by polyethylenimine plus 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate mixed absorbents. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 9369–9377.

(12) Karlsson, H. K.; Sanku, M. G.; Svensson, H. Absorption of carbon dioxide in mixtures of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone and 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **2020**, *95*, 102952.

(13) Kortunov, P. V.; Siskin, M.; Paccagnini, M.; Thomann, H. CO_2 reaction mechanisms with hindered alkanolamines: control and promotion of reaction pathways. *Energy Fuels* **2016**, *30*, 1223–2123. (14) Heldebrant, D. J.; Yonker, C. R.; Jessop, P. G.; Phan, L. Organic liquid CO_2 capture agents with high gravimetric CO_2 capacity. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2008**, *1*, 487–493.

(15) Zhao, T.; Guo, B.; Han, L.; Zhu, N.; Gao, F.; Li, Q.; Li, L.; Zhang, J. Agile CO_2 fixation into novel CO_2 -storage materials from 1,2-ethanediamine and ethylene glycol derivatives. *ChemPhysChem* **2015**, *16*, 2106–2109.

(16) Yang, D.; Lv, M.; Chen, J. Efficient non-aqueous solvent formed by 2-piperidineethanol and ethylene glycol for CO_2 absorption. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, 55, 12483–12486.

(17) Chen, T.; Xu, Y.; Guo, S.; Wei, D.; Peng, L.; Guo, X.; Xue, N.; Zhu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Zhao, B.; Ding, W. Ternary heterostructural Pt/ CN/Ni as a supercatalyst for oxygen reduction. *iScience* **2019**, *11*, 388–397.

(18) Li, J.; Chen, L.; Ye, Y.; Qi, Z. Solubility of CO_2 in the mixed solvent system of alkanolamines and poly(ethylene glycol) 200. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2014**, *59*, 1781–1787.

(19) Chen, Y.; Hu, H. Carbon dioxide capture by diethylenetriamine hydrobromide in nonaqueous systems and phase-change formation. *Energy Fuels* **2017**, *31*, 5363–5375.

(20) Zheng, W.-T.; Huang, K.; Wu, Y.-T.; Hu, X.-B. Protic ionic liquids as excellent shuttle of MDEA for fast capture of CO_2 . *AIChE J.* **2018**, *64*, 209–219.

(21) Heldebrant, D. J.; Koech, P. K.; Rainbolt, J. E.; Zheng, F.; Smurthwaite, T.; Freeman, C. J.; Oss, M.; Leito, I. Performance of single-component CO_2 -binding organic liquids (CO_2BOLs) for post combustion CO_2 capture. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2011**, *171*, 794–800. (22) Zhao, T.; Zhang, J.; Guo, B.; Zhang, F.; Sha, F.; Xie, X.; Wei, X. Density, viscosity and spectroscopic studies of binary system ethylene glycol + dimethyl sulfoxide at T = (298.15 to 323.15) K. J. Mol. Liq. **2015**, 207, 315–322.

(23) del Carmen Grande, M.; Juliá, J. A.; García, M.; Marschoff, C. M. On the density and viscosity of (water + dimethylsulfoxide) binary mixtures. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2007, 39, 1049–1056.

(24) Živković, N. V.; Šerbanović, S. S.; Kijevčanin, M. L.; Živković, E. M. Volumetric and viscometric behavior of binary systems 2butanol + PEG200, + PEG 400, + tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether, and + N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2013, 58, 3332–3341.

(25) Ali, A.; Ansari, S.; Nain, A. K. Densities, refractive indices and excess properties of binary mixtures of dimethylsulfoxide with some poly-(ethylene glycol)s at different temperatures. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2013**, *178*, 178–184.

(26) Billah, M. M.; Rocky, M. M. H.; Hossen, I.; Hossain, I.; Hossain, M. N.; Akhtar, S. Densities, viscosities, and refractive indices for the binary mixtures of tri-n-butyl phosphate (TBP) with toluene and ethylbenzene between (303.15 and 323.15) K. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2018**, 265, 611–620.

(27) Li, B.; Zhao, L.; Yue, X.; Pang, Y.; Zhang, S.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, J. Density, viscosity and intermolecular interaction of polyethylene glycol 300 + N, N-dimethylformamide binary mixture. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* **2021**, *59*, 90–103.

(28) Zhang, Q.; Li, Q.; Liu, D.; Zhang, X.; Lang, X. Density, dynamic viscosity, electrical conductivity, electrochemical potential window, and excess properties of ionic liquid N-butyl-pyridinium dicyanamide and binary system with propylene carbonate. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2018**, 249, 1097–1106.

(29) Dubey, G. P.; Sharma, M.; Dubey, N. Study of densities, viscosities, and speeds of sound of binary liquid mixtures of butan-1ol with n-alkanes (C_{6} , C_{8} , and C_{10}) at T = (298.15, 303.15, and 308.15) K. J. Chem. Thermodyn. **2008**, 40, 309–320.

(30) Zhao, T.; Feng, X.; Zheng, W.; Hu, X.; Zhang, J.; Wu, Y. Solubility of dilute SO_2 in binary system of polyethylene glycol 200 and dimethyl sulfoxide as a function of liquid composition and system's spectroscopic studies. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2017**, 225, 151–159.

(31) Blanco, A.; García-Abuín, A.; Gómez-Díaz, D.; Navaza, J. M. Density, speed of sound, viscosity and surface tension of 3-dimethylamino-1-propylamine + water, 3-amino-1-propanol +3-dimethylamino-1-propanol, and (3-amino-1-propanol + 3-dimethylamino-1-propanol) + water from T = (293.15 to 323.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2017**, 62, 2272–2279.

(32) Du, W.; Wang, X. Density and viscosity for binary mixtures of methyl decanoate with 1-propanol, 1-butanol, and 1-pentanol. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2019**, 294, 111647.

(33) Li, L.; Zhang, J.; Li, Q.; Guo, B.; Zhao, T.; Sha, F. Density, viscosity, surface tension, and spectroscopic properties for binary system of 1,2-ethanediamine + diethylene glycol. *Thermochim. Acta* **2014**, 590, 91–99.

(34) Jia, X.; Zhang, S.; Li, B.; Yang, J.; Zhang, J.; Fu, J. Density, viscosity, surface tension and intermolecular interaction of triethylene glycol and 1,2-diaminopropane binary solution & its potential downstream usage for bioplastic production. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2020**, *306*, 112804.

(35) Pal, A.; Kumar, A. Excess molar volumes and viscosities of binary mixtures of 2-(2-butoxyethoxy) ethanol with chloroalkanes at 298.15 K. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1998**, *143*, 241–251.