Research Article

Molecular Cloning and Characterization of a Novel α-Amylase from Antarctic Sea Ice Bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175 and Its Primary Application in Detergent

Xiaofei Wang^(b),^{1,2} Guangfeng Kan^(b),¹ Xiulian Ren,¹ Geng Yu,³ Cuijuan Shi,¹ Qiuju Xie,¹ Hua Wen,¹ and Michael Betenbaugh³

¹School of Marine Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology at Weihai, Weihai 264209, China ²School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China ³Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore 21218, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Guangfeng Kan; gfkan@hit.edu.cn

Received 12 January 2018; Revised 6 April 2018; Accepted 2 May 2018; Published 27 June 2018

Academic Editor: Pengjun Shi

Copyright © 2018 Xiaofei Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A novel cold-adapted and salt-tolerant α -amylase gene (*amy*175) from Antarctic sea ice bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175 was successfully cloned and expressed. The open reading frame (ORF) of *amy*175 had 1722 bp encoding a protein of 573 amino acids residues. Multiple alignments indicated Amy175 had seven highly conserved sequences and the putative catalytic triad (Asp²⁴⁴, Glu²⁸⁶, and Asp³⁷²). It was the first identified member of GH13_36 subfamily which contained QPDLN in the CSR V. The recombinant enzyme (Amy175) was purified to homogeneity with a molecular mass of about 62 kDa on SDS-PAGE. It had a mixed enzyme specificity of α -amylase and α -glucosidase. Amy175 displayed highest activity at pH 8.0 and 25°C and exhibited extreme salt-resistance with the maximum activity at 1 M NaCl. Amy175 was strongly stimulated by Mg²⁺, Ni²⁺, K⁺, 1 mM Ca²⁺, 1 mM Ba²⁺, 1 mM Pb²⁺, 1 mM sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) but was significantly inhibited by Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, Hg²⁺, 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol (β -ME), and 10% Tween 80. Amy175 demonstrated excellent resistance towards all the tested commercial detergents, and wash performance analysis displayed that the addition of Amy175 improved the stain removal efficiency. This study demonstrated that Amy175 would be proposed as a novel α -amylase source for industrial application in the future.

1. Introduction

 α -Amylases (E.C.3.2.1.1) are hydrolytic enzymes which can randomly cleave α -1,4-glycosidic linkages in starch molecules to generate gradually smaller polymers consisting of glucose units [1, 2]. As important industrial enzymes, amylases occupy about 25-30% of the world enzyme market [3, 4] and can be applied in numerous industries such as food, fermentation, detergent, paper, textile, pharmaceutical, and fine-chemical industries [5].

Most α -amylases belong to glycoside hydrolase family 13 (GH13). Among classification systems of glycoside hydrolases (GHs), the family GH13 forms the clan GH-H together with the families 70 and 77 [6]. The classification system of GH has been incorporated into the Carbohydrate-Active enZymes database (CAZy) [7]. As the largest GH family, the family GH13 consists of more than 30 different enzyme specificities and more than 55,500 sequences (http://www.cazy.org/GH13.html). It was officially divided into 35 subfamilies by the CAZy curators in 2006 [8]. The number of GH13 subfamilies has reached 42 currently and is still updating [9, 10]. Although the overall sequences of family GH13 members own very low identity, they possess 4-7 conserved sequence regions (CSRs) and a catalytic triad (Asp, Glu, and Asp) [11, 12].

Some subfamilies of GH13 enzymes are very closely related to each other [11], such as oligo-1,6-glucosidase, neop-ullulanase, and the intermediary group GH13_36 subfamily.

The oligo-1,6-glucosidase subfamily includes mainly oligo-1,6-glucosidase, α -glucosidase, trehalose synthase, sucrose isomerase, trehalose-6-phosphate hydrolase, and dextran glucosidase, and the neopullulanase subfamily consists of cyclomaltodextrinase, maltogenic amylase, and neopullulanase [13], whereas the members of GH13_36 subfamily were reported to possess a mixture of enzyme specificity of α amylase and some others from the two above-mentioned subfamilies [12]. There were efforts to define them based on specific features in their CSRs [12]. Originally oligo-1,6glucosidase and neopullulanase subfamily were distinguished using specific sequence motif QPDLN and MPKLN in their CSR V, respectively. The subfamily GH13_36 was described with the sequence MPDLN discriminating the former two subfamilies [13]. Therefore CSR V can be used as a selection marker. The GH13_36 enzymes also possess other additional sequence features, such as an invariant tryptophan in the CSR VI and a tyrosine preceding the tripeptide "GEE" at the end of the CSR VII. Moreover, some GH13_36 members have furthermore a histidine at the end of the CSR II and a tryptophan (or other aromatic residues) in the CSR III [14]. These features can be used as reference information for subfamily assignment of GH13_36-like protein without additional biochemical characterization.

To improve the productivities of various industries, novel α -amylases with extreme properties such as activity at low/high-temperatures and salt-tolerance need be continuously sought for and applied in harsh industrial processing conditions. Cold-adapted enzymes that catalyze the reaction at low temperatures but lose activities by a moderate heating are highly beneficial to industries and biotechnology and have obtained increasing attentions in recent years [15-18]. For example, cold-adapted α -amylases can be added to detergents for cold washing to save the energy, reduce the wear, and protect the color of fabrics [19-21]. In baking processes, they can be used to shorten the dough fermentation time, quickly terminate the reaction of other enzymes, and improve the properties of the bread [19]. In addition, salt-tolerant enzymes have been widely used in detergent industry and bioremediation process. Many stains on fabrics have high salt concentration that requires salt-tolerant enzymes to remove them completely. Although several cold-adapted α -amylases [22–29] or salt-tolerant α -amylases [22, 24, 30–33] have been found, to the best of our knowledge, very few α -amylases possess both properties.

Antarctic is a unique ecosystem on earth, which is composed of a combination of extreme cold, high salt, and strong radiations. Organisms of Antarctic have evolved their specialized cold and high salt tolerant enzymes to adapt to and survive in this harsh environment. In this work, a novel α -amylase-producing strain *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175 (KU726544) was isolated and identified from Antarctic ice cover. A novel α -amylase gene of GH13_36 subfamily, *amy*175, isolated from *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175 was cloned and expressed in *E. coli*, and then the recombinant protein was purified and fully characterized. In addition, the primary application of Amy175 was tested as detergent additives.

2. Methods

2.1. Identification of Strain M175. Strain M175, the α amylase producing strain, was isolated from Antarctic sea ice (68°30′E, 65°00′S) and was grown on 2216E medium (peptone 5.0 g, yeast extract 1.0 g, FePO₄·2H₂O 0.01 g, seawater 1 L, pH 7.5) at 15°C with shaking at 120 rpm. The organism was identified by physiological (Gram) and biochemical tests. Two primers were used for the amplification of 16S rRNA gene: 27F (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCA-3′) and 1492R (5′-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3′). Multiple sequence alignments were performed with the ClustalW software and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 6.0 software.

2.2. Gene Cloning and Sequence Analysis. The genomic DNA of Pseudoalteromonas sp. M175 was prepared using Bacterial DNA Extraction Kit (Sangon Biotech, China). PCR primers were designed based on the sequence of the putative α -amylase gene of Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis TAC125, whose genome sequence was released in GenBank (CR954246) by Médigue *et al.* [34], as follows: forward primer 5'-TGTTAATAGGCGCGGTGTC-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGAGCTGTGCGTAGTAAC-3'. PCR was performed with the following conditions: 94°C, 5 min; 30 cycles of 94°C, 45 s; 55°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min and finally 72°C, 10 min. The PCR product was inserted into pGM-T and sequenced (Sangon Biotech, China).

The open reading frame (ORF) of *amy*175 was determined and translated to amino acid sequence using DNAMAN 5.2.2 software. The sequence analysis was performed by the BLAST program of NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast). The prediction of signal peptide was conducted using the SignalP 4.1 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP). Molecular mass and theoretical p*I* were predicted by ProtParam tool (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/). The multiple sequence alignments were studied with 27 amylolytic enzymes from oligo-1,6-glucosidase (GH13 subfamilies 4, 16, 17, 18, 23, 29, 30, and 31), neopullulanase (GH13 subfamily 20), and GH13_36 subfamily. The neighbor-joining phylogenic tree was built by MEGA 6.0 with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The search for protein structure template during homology modeling was done using SWISS-MODEL (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/).

2.3. Expression and Purification of the Recombinant α -Amylase. The plasmid of pGM-amyl75 was used as the template. To obtain the mature α -amylase, the α -amylase gene (amyl75) was amplified using forward primer 5'-CGC<u>GGATCC</u>CCA-TCAACAAATACTAAC-3' and reverse primer 5'-CCG-CTCGAGCAGTGTGTTATTTAGTAACAAT-3' (BamH I and Xho I sites underlined, respectively). The PCR product was gel purified, digested with BamH I and Xho I, and then ligated into the vector pET-28a(+) and transformed into competent *E. coli* DH5 α cells. The recombinant plasmid pET-amyl75 was transformed into competent *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) for protein expression and purification.

E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells with pET-*amy*175 were grown overnight at 37°C in LB medium containing 100 μ g/mL kanamycin. Subsequently, 1 mL culture was inoculated into

50 mL LB medium and cultured at 37°C to an optical density of 0.6 at 600 nm. Expression was induced with 0.3 mM isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 15°C for 12 h. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 7500 rpm for 20 min at 4°C and washed twice with the sterile water before being resuspended in the buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mg/mL lysozyme). The suspended solids were lysed using ultrasonic sonicator (Scientz, China) and then centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and applied to Ni-NTA resin affinity chromatography according to the manufacturer's instructions. Bound proteins were eluted with buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 M NaCl, 100 mM imidazole, pH 8.0.

The purity of the recombinant α -amylase was analyzed by 12% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and the protein concentration was measured according to the Bradford method [35]. For zymogram study, the purified α -amylase was electrophoresed by SDS-free PAGE containing 1% (w/v) soluble starch. After electrophoresis, the gel was incubated in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) for 1 h and then stained by iodine solution until a clear band appeared. The purified recombinant protein was analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry with MALDI-TOF (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) using the parameters described by Li et al. [36].

2.4. α -Amylase Activity Assay. The amylase activity was measured principally according to the Miller method [37], using the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS). In brief, 500 μ L of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1% (w/v) soluble starch was maintained for 5 min at the desired temperature, and then 500 μ L of the purified enzyme was added to the buffer. After incubation for 10 min at 25°C, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 1 mL of DNS and the mixture was boiled for 5 min. The absorbance was measured at 540 nm using a UV/visible spectrophotometer (MAPADA, China). One unit of amylase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that released 1 mg/mL maltose equivalent to reducing sugars per minute under the assay conditions.

2.5. Effects of Temperature and pH on Enzymatic Activity and Stability. The optimum temperature of the enzyme against the soluble starch was investigated by incubating the reaction mixture at different temperatures (0-60°C) in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). To study the thermostability, the purified recombinant α -amylase was held at 30, 40, and 50°C for different time periods and the residual activity was measured as described above.

The optimum pH of the enzyme was analyzed by incubating the reaction mixture in the range of pH 5.0-11.0 at 25°C using the following buffers (50 mM): Na_2HPO_4 -citric acid (pH 5.0-7.0), Tris-HCl (pH 7.0-9.0), and glycine-NaOH (pH 9.0-11.0). The pH stability test was performed by incubating the enzyme in the different buffers at 25°C for 1 h. The remaining activity was measured under standard conditions as described above. 2.6. Effects of NaCl on Enzymatic Activity and Stability. The effect of NaCl on enzyme activity was tested in the range of 0-5 M at 25°C in 50 mM This-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). The effects of NaCl on enzyme stability were studied by preincubating the enzyme in 50 mM This-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) with 1 M NaCl and without NaCl, respectively, at 25°C for 0-120 min. The remaining activity was measured under standard conditions as described above. The enzyme activity at 0 min was defined as 100%.

2.7. Effects of Metal Ions and Chemical Reagents. The effects of different metal ions, sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), EDTA, dithiothreitol (DTT), β -mercaptoethanol (β -ME), and urea on enzyme activity were determined at the final concentration of 1 mM and 10 mM. The effects of Tween 80, Triton X-100, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on enzyme activity were tested at 1% and 10% concentrations. The enzyme activity was measured in 50 mM This-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1% (w/v) soluble starch at 25°C.

2.8. Determination of Kinetic Parameters. The kinetic parameters were determined by incubating the enzyme with different concentrations of soluble starch ranging from 0.125% to 2% (w/v) in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) under standard conditions. V_{max} and K_m values were calculated from Lineweaver-Burk plot.

2.9. Substrate Specificity and Products Analysis by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC). The activities on α -, β -, and rcyclodextrin (CD), soluble starch, glycogen, amylose, amylopectin, pullulan, and several raw starches such as potato starch, corn starch, wheat starch, and pea starch were detected using DNS method at 1% (w/v) concentration during the enzyme assay. The enzyme activity for soluble starch was defined as 100%. The activity on several oligosaccharides (maltose, maltotriose, maltotetraose, sucrose, isomaltose, trehalose, raffinose, panose, and melibiose) at 1% (w/v) concentration was determined by assaying the release of glucose at 25°C for various periods with a glucose assay kit (Sigma Diagnostics no. 510). Additionally, degradation of pnitrophenyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (*pNPG*) was evaluated by measuring the release of p-nitrophenol at 410 nm after the reaction was terminated by adding an equal volume of 1 M Na₂CO₃.

To analyze the end hydrolysis product, the recombinant enzyme was incubated with 1% (w/v) soluble starch, maltose, maltotriose, and maltotetraose, in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) at 25°C for various periods and 10 μ L of the reaction mixtures was submitted to TLC on 0.25-mm silica gel plates (GF254, 20 cm × 20 cm). Ethyl acetate-acetic acid-methanolwater (12:3:3:2, v/v) was used as the mobile phase, and the products were detected by exposing the plate to 2.0% aniline-2.0% diphenylamine-10.0% phosphate in acetone, followed by drying at 80°C for 15 min.

2.10. Compatibility Test with Various Commercial Detergents. To confirm the potential of the recombinant enzyme as a laundry detergent additive, its compatibility and stability against various Chinese liquid commercial detergents, such as Liby[®], Walch[®], OMO[®], Blue Moon[®], Diaopai[®], Tide[®], Keon[®], Ariel[®], and Chaoneng[®], were checked. All detergents at 1% (v/v) concentration were heated at 100°C for 60 min to inactivate the endogenous enzyme prior to addition of enzyme preparation. The purified recombinant enzyme was incubated with individual detergent solution for 1 h at 25°C, respectively, and then the residual activity was determined. The reaction solution without detergents was considered as the control.

2.11. Wash Performance Analysis. Application of the recombinant enzyme as a detergent additive was evaluated on white cotton fabrics $(4 \times 4 \text{ cm}^2)$ stained with chocolate and tomato sauce. To determine stain removal efficiency, each piece of stained cloth was soaked separately in any one of the following flasks containing (a) distilled water (25 mL), (b) distilled water (20 mL) and 5 mL Tide® detergent (1%), and (c) distilled water (20 mL) and 5 mL Tide® detergent (1%) containing 12.6 U/mL of purified recombinant enzyme. The above flasks were kept at room temperature (25°C) for 1 h. After treatment, cloth pieces were rinsed with distilled water and dried. The wash performance of the purified enzyme was judged by visual examination.

2.12. Data Analysis. All data are expressed as mean value \pm standard errors of at least triplicate determinations. The figures were drawn using Origin 8.0 software (OriginLab Corporation, USA) and statistical difference significance was analyzed using the SPSS 16.0 (*SPSS*, Chicago, IL) package for Windows.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of Strain M175. Strain M175, the α -amylase producing strain, isolated from Antarctic sea ice is aerobic, Gram-negative. It forms smooth and bright yellow colonies on nutrient medium. Growth occurs at 0°C-40°C (optimal temperature, 15°C) and pH 3.0-11.0 (optimal pH, 8.0). NaCl is not essential for growth, but growth is enhanced in the presence of NaCl (optimal NaCl, 6%, tolerated up to 12%). It is positive for catalase, indol production, gelatin hydrolysis, and Voges-Proskaeur (VP) test. However, Methyl-Red (MR) test and nitrate reduction are all negative. The result of Oxidation/Fermentation (O/F) Test is oxidation. It can utilize the following substrates as carbon sources: D-glucose, D-lactose, D-maltose, D-xylose, sucrose, and starch (Table 1).

The 16S rDNA analysis indicated that it was closely related to the genus *Pseudoalteromonas* with the highest levels of similarity (99%) to *Pseudoalteromonas distincta* KMM 638^T (AF082564), *Pseudoalteromonas elyakovii* KMM 162^T (AF082562), and *Pseudoalteromonas paragorgicola* KMM 3548^T (AY040229) (Figure 1). Based on the results including physiological, biochemical, and 16S rDNA alignment analyses, the strain was identified to be a member of *Pseudoalteromonas*, as *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175. The sequence has been submitted in GenBank with accession number KU726544.

TABLE 1: Biochemical,	morphological,	and physiolog	gical character-
istics of strain M175.			

Experiments	Results
Gram	Gram-negative
	aerobic
Growth range	0-40°C, pH 3.0-11.0, 0-12% NaCl
Optimal temperature	15°C
Optimal pH	pH 8.0
Optimal NaCl	6%
Catalase	+
Indol production	+
Gelatin hydrolysis	+
VP	+
MR	-
Nitrate reduction	-
O/F test	Oxidation
Utilization of	
D-Glucose	+
D-Lactose	+
D-Maltose	+
D-Xylose	+
Sucrose	+
Starch	+

3.2. Gene Cloning and Sequence Analysis. A DNA sequence (namely, amy175) of 1722 bp (GenBank accession number KC306394) was successfully cloned from Antarctic sea ice bacterium Pseudoalteromonas sp. M175. amy175 encodes a protein of 573 amino acids, which contains a predicted Nterminal signal peptide comprising 23 amino acids and a mature α -amylase (Amy175) with a calculated molecular weight of 62.4 kDa and pI of 4.9. Blastp homology search against the NCBI nonredundant protein database showed that Amy175 shared the high identity with putative α -amylase of Pseudoalteromonas nigrifaciens KMM661 (99%), Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis TAC125 (99%), Pseudoalteromonas atlantica (77%), Pseudoalteromonas undina (77%), Rheinheimera perlucida (74%), and Rheinheimera baltica (74%). However, α -amylases from bacterium KMM661 and TAC125 were reported only in NCBI database with accession numbers of WP_089368202 and WP_041454408, respectively, and no further research on their cloning and properties. Furthermore, Amy175 shared the very low sequence identity with the studied α -amylases, such as 30%, 26%, 26%, 26%, 24% 22%, and 22% identity with α -amylase from *Escherichia coli*, Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis TAB23, Pseudoalteromonas arctica GS230, Bacillus cereus, Exiguobacterium sp. SH3, Thermobifida fusca, and Lipomyces starkeyi, respectively. Therefore, Amy175 is a novel α -amylase.

The result of multiple sequence alignment indicated that Amy175 had seven highly conserved regions and the putative catalytic triad (Asp²⁴⁴, Glu²⁸⁶, and Asp³⁷²) which are the common characteristics of GH13 members [11] (Figure 2). It contained QPDLN in the CSR V characteristic for oligo-1,6-glucosidase subfamily. Similar to GH13_36, it had a



FIGURE 1: Neighbor-joining tree based on the 16S rDNA sequences of strain M175 and other *Pseudoalteromonas* species. Bootstrap values are based on 1000 replicates. All sequences were retrieved from the GenBank database.

tryptophan in the CSR VI and a tyrosine preceding the tripeptide "GEE" in its CSR VII. The tyrosine also often existed in the neopullulanase subfamily members [13]. But there was a phenylalanine rather than a histidine at the end of the CSR II, and a glycine not an aromatic residue in the CSR III. To analyze the evolutionary relationships of Amy175, 27 amylolytic enzymes that have already been biochemically characterized from these three subfamilies were selected and phylogenic tree was generated based on the neighbor-joining method by MEGA 6.0 software (Figure 3). The result revealed that Amy175 showed a closer relationship with GH13_36 members.

3.3. Expression and Purification of Amy175. The amy175 gene was successfully expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) as a Histagged fusion protein (Figure 4(a)). A clear target band was found in the induced cells (lane 5), but not in the noninduced cells (lane 2) by SDS-PAGE analysis. The purified recombinant enzyme using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography showed a single band (lane 3) with approximate molecular mass of 62 kDa and the recombinant protein was confirmed by native-PAGE with α -amylase activity staining (lane 1). The enzyme was purified with the protein concentration of 385.1 µg/mL and a specific activity of 337.9 U/mg that was higher than that of α -amylases, e.g., *Pseudoalteromonas arctica* GS230 (25.5 U/mg) [23], *Zunongwangia profunda* (270.6 U/mg) [24], and *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. MY-1 (44.4 U/mg) [27], but lower than that of α -amylase from *Geomyces pannorum* (9.72×10³)

U/mg) [38]. Additionally, the purified enzyme obtained on SDS-PAGE was excised and was submitted for MALDI-TOF-MS analysis. The peptide mass fingerprint (Figure 4(b)) was matched with the available bacteria database and revealed significant matches against α -amylases from *Pseudoalteromonas nigrifaciens* KMM661 and *Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis* TAC125 with scores of 186 and 131, respectively.

3.4. The Effects of Temperature and pH on Enzymatic Activity and Stability. The influence of temperature on the activity of Amy175 was measured in the range of 0-60°C (Figure 5(a)). The result showed that Amy175 exhibited high activity at low temperature with maximum activity observed at 25°C and retained about 53.2% activity at 0°C, but its activity decreased sharply above 50°C. According to temperaturestability profile depicted in Figure 5(b), Amy175 was highly stable at 30°C and could keep about 88.6% activity after 60 min incubation, whereas at 40°C and 50°C it showed poor stability, losing about 35.5% and 72.3% activity, respectively, after 10 min incubation.

The effect of pH on the activity of Amy175 was tested in the range of pH 5.0-11.0 at 25° C (Figure 5(c)). The maximum activity was observed at pH 8.0 and more than 73.0% of the maximum activity could be still retained within pH 6.0-9.0. The pH stability results (Figure 5(d)) revealed that the enzyme was relatively stable and could remain more than 80.0% activity in a pH range of 7.0-9.0 for 1 h when assayed at

	CSR VI	CSR I	CSR V	CSR II	CSR III	CSR IV	CSR VII
AMY175	87 GVNAL <mark>W</mark> LTP	134 GMKVILDLVIN <mark>H</mark>	212 QPDLN	237 GVAGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> AVRF	307 GFDF <mark>E</mark> VG	Y 364 FTPFLTN <mark>HD</mark>	399 GTPYIY <mark>Y</mark> GEE
GH13-31-Bacillus cereus P21332	44 GIDVI <mark>W</mark> LSP	92 NMKLMMDLVVN <mark>H</mark>	167 QPDLN	192 GIDGF <mark>R</mark> M <mark>D</mark> VINF	251 MTVG <mark>E</mark> MPO	G 321 NSLYWNN <mark>HD</mark>	360 GTPYIY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-31-Parageobacillus thermoglucosidasius P29094	44 GVDVV <mark>W</mark> LSP	92 GIKLVMDLVVN <mark>H</mark>	167 QPDLN	192 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> M <mark>D</mark> VINM	252 MTVG <mark>E</mark> TPO	G 322 NSLYLNN <mark>HD</mark>	361 GTPYIY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-31-Bacillus sp. SAM1606 Q45517	60 GVDVL <mark>W</mark> LNP	108 GMKLVMDLVAN <mark>H</mark>	183 QPDLN	208 GIDGF <mark>R</mark> M <mark>D</mark> VINA	268 MTVG <mark>E</mark> TG	G 338 NSLYWTN <mark>HD</mark>	377 GTPYIY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-30-Thermomonospora curvata Q60027	58 GVDAI <mark>W</mark> LTP	106 GLRVIIDIVPNH	189 QPDLN	214 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> I <mark>D</mark> VAHG	277 ALVA <mark>E</mark> AW	/ 335 TTWVLSN <mark>HD</mark>	373 GSVYLY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-23-Xanthomonas campestris Q76LB0	45 GVDAI <mark>W</mark> ISP	93 GLKVMIDQVLS <mark>H</mark>	169 <mark>Q</mark> P <mark>D</mark> LN	194 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> AINF	266 VSLG <mark>E</mark> ISS	5 323 PCWAISN <mark>HD</mark>	361 GSICLY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-23-Halomonas sp. H11 BAL49684	46 NVDGI <mark>W</mark> LSP	94 GLKVMIDQVIS <mark>H</mark>	170 QPDVN	195 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> TVNF	267 TTVG <mark>E</mark> IGI) 325 PCWATSN <mark>HD</mark>	363 GSVCLY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-17-Anopheles gambiae Q17022	62 GMTAF <mark>W</mark> LSP	110 QLRIILDFVPNH	187 QPDLN	212 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> I <mark>D</mark> AVPW	283 VLMT <mark>E</mark> AW	5 346 PNWVLGN <mark>HD</mark>	380 GITVTY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-17-Anopheles gambiae Q17021	63 GIDAI <mark>W</mark> LSP	111 GLKLILDFVPNH	190 QPDLN	215 GVHGF <mark>R</mark> I <mark>D</mark> AVPY	290 VLMA <mark>E</mark> AY:	r 352 snwvlgn <mark>hd</mark>	385 GVAVTY <mark>N</mark> GDE
GH13-17-Apis mellifera Q25BT7	65 GADAL <mark>W</mark> LSP	113 GLKVILDFVPN <mark>H</mark>	191 QPDLN	216 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> I <mark>D</mark> AIN <mark>H</mark>	288 MILT <mark>E</mark> AY	F 346 TNWVSGN <mark>HD</mark>	379 GIGVVY <mark>N</mark> GDE
GH13-29-Bacillus subtilis P39795	47 QVDVL <mark>W</mark> LTP	95 DLKVVMDLVVN <mark>H</mark>	171 <mark>Q</mark> ADLN	196 GIDGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> VINL	250 MTVG <mark>E</mark> MS	5 321 NALFWCN <mark>HD</mark>	360 GTPYIY <mark>Q</mark> GEE
GH13-35-Xenopus laevis Q32NL8	158 NIKNV <mark>W</mark> VAP	206 GLKLIIDLIPNH	284 QPDLD	309 GVDGFTINSAKF	382 FMGT <mark>E</mark> SNI	0 446 PNWMVGGPS	479 GTPTTY <mark>Y</mark> GEE
GH13-35-Homo sapiens Q07837	156 NIKTV <mark>W</mark> ITS	204 GLKLIIDFIPN <mark>H</mark>	282 QPDLN	307 GVDGFSL <mark>D</mark> AVKF	380 FMGT <mark>E</mark> AY	A 441 PNWMIGGP <mark>D</mark>	474 GTPITY <mark>Y</mark> GEE
GH13-16-Deinococcus radiodurans Q9RST7	47 GVDCL <mark>W</mark> LLP	95 GLRVIGDLVTN <mark>H</mark>	177 QPDLN	202 GLDGF <mark>R</mark> V <mark>D</mark> AVPY	247 LLLA <mark>E</mark> ANO	Q 311 WCTFLRN <mark>HD</mark>	377 GSPILY <mark>Y</mark> GDE
GH13-16-Pimelobacter sp.R48 P72235	54 GVDCL <mark>W</mark> VPP	102 GIRVIIDFVMNH	178 QPDLN	203 GLDGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> AVPY	248 VLLY <mark>E</mark> ANO	Q 319 WGIFLRN <mark>HD</mark>	385 GSPVLY <mark>Y</mark> GDE
GH13-4-Neisseria polysaccharea Q9ZEU2	134 GLTYLHLMP	184 GISAVVDFIFN <mark>H</mark>	262 QWDLN	287 GVDIL <mark>R</mark> M <mark>D</mark> AVAF	332 FFKS <mark>E</mark> AI	/ 393 WVNYVRS <mark>HD</mark>	488 GLPLIYLGDE
GH13-4-Xanthomonas axonopodis Q6UVM5	119 GVRYLHLLP	169 GISLCADFVLNH	248 QWDLN	273 GVEAF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> STAY	318 VMKA <mark>E</mark> AIV	/ 384 WLSYVRC <mark>HD</mark>	486 GVPLIYMGDE
GH13-18-Bifidobacterium adolescentis AOZZH6	33 VYDGVHILP	77 THNIMVDAIVN <mark>H</mark>	106 <mark>QVD</mark> ID	185 HVSYI <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> AVGY	228 EILI <mark>E</mark> VHS	5 282 AVTVLDT <mark>HD</mark>	328 GESQAATGAA
GH13-34-Xenopus laevis Q7ZYR3	150 KVKGLIIGP	196 SIQIILDLTPNY	222 IV <mark>D</mark> FE	240 GVGGIYFGDSEN	277 VLLLSTS	I 333 NSWMVGAPQ	366 GTPISL <mark>Y</mark> GDE
GH13-34-Homo sapiens P08195	154 KVKGLVLGP	200 SIRVILDLTPNY	221 QVDTV	240 GVDGFQVRDIEN	274 LLIAGTNS	5 330 CSWSLSQAR	361 GTPVFS <mark>Y</mark> GDE
GH13-36-Thermotoga maritima P96107	88 GVDAV <mark>W</mark> FMP	135 GIKVIMDLVIN <mark>H</mark>	204 MPDLN	229 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> I <mark>D</mark> AAK <mark>H</mark>	272 ILVG <mark>E</mark> VFS	5 320 SFLFLEN <mark>HD</mark>	367 GSPVIY <mark>Y</mark> GGE
GH13-36-Halothermothrix orenii-Q8GPL8	75 GVNGI <mark>W</mark> LMP	122 GIKVIIDLPIN <mark>H</mark>	192 MPDLN	217 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> GAM <mark>H</mark>	256 YLVG <mark>E</mark> V <mark>W</mark> I	D 322 DAPFLTN <mark>HD</mark>	357 GNPFIY <mark>Y</mark> GEE
GH13-36-Bacillus megaterium-P20845	83 QVNGI <mark>W</mark> MMP	130 DVKVIMDLVVN <mark>H</mark>	201 MPDLN	226 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> AAL <mark>H</mark>	269 YLTG <mark>E</mark> VWI) 332 DGIFLTN <mark>HD</mark>	367 GNPYIY <mark>Y</mark> GEE
GH13-36-Xanthomonas campestris Q60102	79 GVSGI <mark>W</mark> LMP	126 GIEVILDLVIN <mark>H</mark>	195 MPDLN	220 GADGF <mark>R</mark> L <mark>D</mark> AAR <mark>H</mark>	268 YLVG <mark>E</mark> VSA	A 333 DAPFLSN <mark>HD</mark>	368 GRPYLY <mark>Y</mark> GEE
GH13-20-Thermoplasma volcanium Q97C86	241 NVDTI <mark>Y</mark> LNP	288 GIKIVADMVFN <mark>H</mark>	354 MPKLN	380 GVDGF <mark>R</mark> Y <mark>DVA</mark> HS	409 LHIG <mark>E</mark> AW	C 471 VMNILD <mark>SHD</mark>	506 GFATIY <mark>Y</mark> GDE
GH13-20-Thermococcus sp. B1001 Q9HHC8	257 GVNAL <mark>Y</mark> LTP	304 DIKLILDGVFH <mark>H</mark>	384 MPRLN	409 GADGW <mark>R</mark> L <mark>DVA</mark> HG	438 YLVG <mark>E</mark> VMI	D 499 MYNFLDN <mark>HD</mark>	533 GVPSIY <mark>Y</mark> GNE
GH13-20-Lysinibacillus sphaericus Q08341	187 GVNAL <mark>Y</mark> FNP	234 GMRVLLDAVFNH	294 MPKLN	320 GLDGW <mark>R</mark> L <mark>DVANE</mark>	352 YILG <mark>E</mark> IM	H 416 SFNLLG <mark>SHD</mark>	450 GTPCIY <mark>Y</mark> GDE
GH13-20-Anoxybacillus flavithermus Q5BLZ6	189 GINGI <mark>Y</mark> FTP	236 GIRVMLDAVFNH	295 MPKLN	321 DIDGW <mark>R</mark> L <mark>DVANE</mark>	353 YILG <mark>E</mark> IWI	H 416 AFHLLG <mark>SHD</mark>	451 GTPCIY <mark>Y</mark> GDE

FIGURE 2: The seven conserved regions of Amy175 with other known amylolytic enzymes from oligo-1,6-glucosidase (GH13 subfamilies 4, 16, 17, 18, 23, 29, 30, and 31), neopullulanase (GH13 subfamily 20), and GH13_36 subfamily. The characteristic sequence is highlighted as follows: entire family GH13-specific-blue; catalytic triad-yellow; oligo-1,6-glucosidase-specific-green; neopullulanase-specific-purple; intermediary GH13_36-specific-red. The name of an enzyme is composed of the GH13 subfamily number, source (organism), and the UniProt accession number.

25°C, while the activity sharply decreased after preincubated at pH 10.0.

3.5. The Effects of NaCl Concentration on Enzyme Activity and Stability. The effect of NaCl on the activity of Amyl75 was analyzed in the range of 0-5 M (Figure 6(a)). The enzyme exhibited the highest activity in the presence of 1 M NaCl, showing 127.5% of original activity without NaCl. It could display 87.7% of original activity even at 5 M NaCl, suggesting that Amyl75 was halotolerant. In addition, the stability of enzyme was detected under the presence of 0 M and 1 M NaCl for 2 h. As shown in Figure 6(b), the presence of NaCl could improve the stability of Amyl75. The activity of Amyl75 was rapidly lost when preincubated without NaCl at 40°C for 120 min. However, the residual activity was increased to 82.7% and 76.7%, respectively, after preincubated with 1 M NaCl for 60 min and 120 min. 3.6. The Effects of Metal Ions and Chemical Reagents on Enzymatic Activity. Of metal ions tested (Table 2), Mg^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , and K⁺ at both tested concentrations stimulated the activity of Amy175, and the highest activity reached 171.2% in the presence of 10 mM Mg^{2+} . Interestingly, Amy175 was increased by 1 mM Ca^{2+} (121.3%), Ba^{2+} (119.4%), and Pb^{2+} (112.3%) but was decreased by 10 mM Ca^{2+} (79.1%), Ba^{2+} (78.9%), and Pb^{2+} (73.1%). Furthermore, Cu^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , and Hg^{2+} were the strong inhibitors, and partial inhibition of Amy175 was observed in the presence of Al^{3+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , and Cd^{2+} .

Effects of several chemical reagents on Amyl75 were assessed (Table 3). β -ME (10 mM) and Tween 80 (10%) strongly inhibited the enzyme activity by 31.9% and 48.4%, while DMSO (10%) and SDS (1 mM) increased the activity by 141.9% and 118.8%, and the enzyme activities were retained



FIGURE 3: Phylogenic tree analysis of Amy175. The tree based on amino acid sequences of the Amy175 and the amylolytic enzymes from oligo-1,6-glucosidase, neopullulanase, and GH13_36 subfamily was constructed by the MEGA6 software with the neighbor-joining method and 1000 bootstrap replicates. All sequences were retrieved from the UniProt database.

more than 69.0% in the presence of EDTA, DTT, Urea, and Triton X-100 at both tested concentrations.

3.7. Kinetics Parameters. Kinetic studies of Amyl75 were determined under standard conditions using different concentrations of soluble starch (0.125%-2%) as substrate. As obtained from the Lineweaver-Burk plot, the K_m and V_{max} values were 2.53 mg/mL and 0.125 mg/mL/min, respectively. The K_m value for Amyl75 was lower than that of the α -amylases from *Pseudoalteromonas arctica* GS230 (7.28 mg/mL) [23] and *Zunongwangia profunda* (2.74 mg/mL)

[24], but higher than that of α -amylase from *Exiguobacterium* sp. SH3 (2.29 mg/mL) [25].

3.8. Analysis of Substrate Specificity and Hydrolysis Products. Substrate specificity of α -amylase varies with the source of microorganism [39]. In Figure 7, Amyl75 displayed the highest specificity towards amylose (131.4%), which is a linear glucose polymer connected by α -1,4 glycosidic bonds, followed by soluble starch (100%), while amylopectin (78.7%) and glycogen (29.7%) had much lower rate of hydrolysis due to more branches connected by α -1,6 glycosidic bonds

FIGURE 4: Expression of *amy*175 in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3). (a) Native-PAGE and SDS-PAGE analysis of the purified Amy175. Lane 1, native-PAGE of purified enzyme; Lane 2, noninduced protein extracts of *E. coli*; Lane 3, purified recombinant protein of Amy175; Lane 4, protein molecular mass marker; Lane 5, *E. coli* extracts with Amy175 expression induced. (b) MALDI-TOF-MS analysis of the purified recombinant Amy175.

TABLE 2: The effects of different metal ions on enzyme activity.

Metal ion	Relative activity (%)		
Wietar Ion	1 mM	10 mM	
Control	100 ± 2.5	100±2.5	
K^+	105.8 ± 5.7	121.3±4.0	
Ca ²⁺	121.3±2.9	79.1±3.9	
Mg ²⁺	115.1±3.6	171.2±2.5	
Al ³⁺	88.8±3.7	76.9±3.7	
Fe ²⁺	82.5±2.7	68.3±2.4	
Fe ³⁺	98.4±2.1	87.9±4.4	
Mn ²⁺	56.2±2.2	33.7±4.7	
Zn ²⁺	91.2±2.1	101.4 ± 4.4	
Cu ²⁺	46.6±3.4	24.1±2.1	
Hg ²⁺	44.9±6.7	36.9±4.3	
Pb ²⁺	112.3±2.0	73.1±4.1	
Ba ²⁺	119.4±3.0	78.9±6.5	
Ni ²⁺	139.4±2.9	150.2±3.1	
Cd ²⁺	71.3±2.8	56.4±4.9	

Chemical reagents Concentration Relative activity (%) Control None 100 ± 4.5 1% 50.8±3.8 Tween 80 10% 48.4±6.3 1% 79.1±4.2 Triton X-100 69.9±4.1 10% 1% 95.7±4.3 DMSO 10% 141.9 ± 5.4 $1 \,\mathrm{mM}$ 118.8 ± 4.6 SDS 10 mM 77.2±3.8 $1 \,\mathrm{mM}$ 102.0±5.1 EDTA 10 mM 88.9±4.0 $1 \,\mathrm{mM}$ 84.9±5.1 DTT 10 mM 74.7±4.0 $1 \,\mathrm{mM}$ 75.8±5.1 β -ME 10 mM 31.9±4.7 $1 \,\mathrm{mM}$ 98.9±5.7 Urea 10 mM 86.9±4.0

TABLE 3: The effects of chemical reagents on enzyme activity.

in them. Moreover, Amy175 could not hydrolyze pullulan, α -, β -, and r-CD. Therefore, it is suggested that Amy175 prefers α -(1,4) linkage cleaving. Amy175 displayed the highest rate of hydrolysis towards pea starch (92.8%), followed by potato starch (82.1%), wheat starch (68.3%), and corn starch (53.1%). Amy175 showed different hydrolysis abilities towards various starches, due to the difference in particle size and shape, the ratio of amylose and amylopectin, and structure of the amylose and amylopectin molecules [40]. The result of oligosaccharides hydrolysis showed that the enzyme could not degrade other oligosaccharides except maltooligosaccharides and no glucose was observed even after incubation for 48 h. In addition, the enzyme was not able to hydrolyze *p*NPG. It is further indicated that the enzyme only cleaved α -(1,4) linkage.

The hydrolysis products of soluble starch analyzed by TLC for various periods were shown in Figure 8(a). At the early stage of hydrolysis, soluble starch was hydrolyzed into maltose (G2), maltotriose (G3), maltotetraose (G4), and a small amount of higher molecular weight oligosaccharides. As the reaction proceeded, glucose (G1) gradually increased; however, G2 was still the main hydrolysis product after incubation for 48 h. These hydrolysis patterns suggest that Amy175 mainly cleave α -1,4-glycosidic linkage in the interior of the starch, having great demands in the food and starch industry, such as bio-ethanol production and baking industry.

To determine whether Amy175 could perform transglycosylation, the hydrolysis products of maltooligosaccharides were analyzed by TLC (Figure 8(b)). Amy175 released a small amount of glucose from maltose at 1 h. When maltotriose and maltotetraose were degraded, Amy175 also produced oligosaccharides that were one-glucose unit smaller than the substrates. It is noteworthy that the oligosaccharides that were larger than the original substrates were produced by Amy175, especially when maltotriose was hydrolyzed for 1 h. The



FIGURE 5: The effects of temperature and pH on the activity and stability of Amy175. (a) The effect of temperature on the activity of Amy175 was determined at the range of 0-60°C. The activity at 25°C was defined as 100%. (b) The thermostability of Amy175 was analyzed by preincubating the enzyme at 30, 40, and 50°C for the given times. The activity at 0 min was defined as 100%. (c) The effect of pH on the activity of Amy175 was measured at different pH (5.0-11.0), with the activity at pH 8.0 as 100%. (d) The pH stability of Amy175 was analyzed by preincubating enzyme at different pH (5.0-11.0) at 25°C for 1 h and the residual activity was determined at pH 8.0. The residual activity after preincubation at pH 8.0 was defined as 100%. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent measurements.

results suggested that Amy175 possessed transglycosylation activity.

3.9. Detergency Characteristics. To confirm the application of Amy175 in detergent formulations, its stability was investigated in presence of various commercial detergents (Figure 9). The result revealed that Amy175 exhibited extreme stability with all the tested commercial laundry detergents and more than 76.9% residual amylase activity was retained.

Chaoneng[®] was most compatible, since after 1 h of incubation at 25°C, 99.3% of its initial activity remained.

3.10. Wash Performance Analysis. Stained cotton fabrics washed by three different sets of washing solutions revealed that a combination of the purified α -amylase and detergent (Tide[®]) together resulted in best washing (Figure 10). Although the detergent alone showed fainted washing effect on chocolate and tomato sauce spots (starch rich), addition



FIGURE 6: The effects of NaCl on the activity and stability of Amy175. (a) The effect of NaCl on the activity of Amy175 was measured at 25°C in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 0-5 M NaCl. The activity without NaCl was defined as 100%. (b) The effect of NaCl on stability was studied by preincubating enzymes at 25°C in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 0 or 1 M NaCl for the given times. The activity of Amy175 at 0 min was defined as 100%. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent measurements.



FIGURE 7: Substrate specificity of purified Amy175. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent measurements.

of Amy175 (with 12.6 U/mL of activity) improved the stain removal efficiency. This result indicated that Amy175 could be employed as potential laundry detergent additive.

4. Discussion

In this study, a novel cold-adapted, salt-tolerant, and detergent-stable α -amylase gene (*amy*175) from Antarctic sea ice bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175 was cloned, expressed, and characterized.

Amy175 had seven highly conserved regions and the putative catalytic triad. It contained QPDLN in the CSR V features for oligo-1,6-glucosidase subfamily. Although CSR V was often used as a selection marker, CSR V alone could not be enough to identify a GH13 protein's subfamily. Several GH13 amylolytic enzymes and proteins with MPDLN were assigned to the oligo-1,6-glucosidase subfamily, such as the proteins from Lactobacillus sakei (UniProt: Q38WC9), Grosmannia clavigera (UniProt: F0XH23), and Sordaria macrospora (UniProt: D1ZB31) [14]. Furthermore, the mammalian amino acid transporter also contained the oligo-1,6-glucosidasetype of QPDLN [12]. Of course, no QPDLN-containing proteins have been assigned to the GH13_36 subfamily so far. Amy175 behaved like the member of the GH13_36 subfamily in the CSR VI and CSR VII. However, unlike most GH13_36 members, it did not have a histidine at the end of the CSR II, which was similar to the intermediary GH13_36 α amylase from Bacillus clarkii (UniProt: B9A1J7). Moreover, it did not contain an aromatic residue in the CSR III, and several GH13_36 enzymes from Xanthomonas campestris (UniProt: Q60102), Thermotoga maritima (UniProt: P96107), and uncultured bacterium (UniProt: Q6TXT5) also had similar characteristics. The evolutionary relationships among 27 amylolytic enzymes from GH13 family showed that Amy175 possessed a closer relationship with GH13_36 subfamily.

For most GH13_36 members, the intermediary GH13_36 α -amylase AmyA (PDB: 1WZA) from *Halothermothrix orenii* [40] was recognized as the best template, while the best templates for a few GH13_36 members were also identified from oligo-1,6-glucosidase subfamily [14]. The best structural template of Amyl75 for homology modeling showed by SWISS-MODEL was a trehalose synthase (PDB: 5H2T) from the oligo-1,6-glucosidase subfamily. Its coverage value (0.87)



FIGURE 8: TLC analysis of product formation during degradation of soluble starch and maltooligosaccharides. Lane M, maltooligosaccharide standards (G1 glucose, G2 maltose, G3 maltotriose, G4 maltotetraose, and G5 maltopentaose). (a) The degradation products of soluble starch. Lane 1 to Lane 7: the end products after incubation of Amy175 with soluble starch at 25°C for 15 min, 1 h, 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h, respectively. (b) The degradation products of maltooligosaccharides. Lanes 1-3: the end products of G2 for 1 h, 24 h, and 48 h; Lanes 4-6: the end products of G3 for 1 h, 24 h, and 48 h; Lanes 7-9: the end products of G4 for 1 h, 24 h, and 48 h, respectively.



FIGURE 9: Detergent compatibility study of the purified Amy175. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent measurements.

was higher than AmyA with coverage value of 0.74. However, its sequence identity with Amy175 was only 31.59, lower than 41.37 of AmyA. On the other hand, this trehalose synthase was described as a homotetramer, while the result of native-PAGE indicated that Amy175 should be a monomer. Therefore, α -amylase AmyA was more suitable as the template, and Amy175 was similar to GH13_36 α -amylase structurally.

The result that Amy175 degraded the soluble starch to several maltooligosaccharides suggests that Amy175 mainly hydrolyze starch internally (Figure 8(a)). It could not hydrolyze pNPG and other oligosaccharides except maltooligosaccharides, which demonstrated Amy175 could not cleave α -1,6-, α -1,1, and α -1,2 bond, but could catalyze the hydrolysis of terminal α -1,4-glucosidic linkages. Moreover, Amy175 possessed transglycosylation activity. These



FIGURE 10: Wash performance analysis of Amy175, the amylase from *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175. Washing of stained cotton fabrics was kept with three different sets of washing solutions (W, D, D + E) at room temperature for 1 h. Rows: T: clothes stained with tomato sauce; Lane C: clothes stained with chocolate. Control: stained clothes before wash; W: washed with distilled water only; D: washed with detergent only; D + E: washed with detergent added with Amy175.

hydrolysis patterns suggest that Amy175 have not only α amylase activity, but also α -glucosidase activity from oligo-1,6-glucosidase subfamily. Thus, Amy175 should be a novel member of α -amylase GH13_36 subfamily, which often possess a mixed enzyme specificity of α -amylase, oligo-1,6glucosidase subfamily, and neopullulanase subfamily [12].

To date, several cold-adapted α -amylases which have been cloned and expressed were listed in Table 4. Amy175 showed lower optimal temperature (25°C) than other coldadapted α -amylases, with exceptions of AHA (25°C) from the psychrophilic bacterium *Alteromonas haloplanktis* and Amy_{13C6} (10-15°C) from a metagenomic library. Furthermore, Amy175 could still keep 53.2% maximum activity at 0°C, while the activities retained at 0°C by AmyZ from *Zunongwangia profunda* [24], ParAmy from *Pseudoalteromonas arctica* GS230 [23], and AHA from *Alteromonas haloplanktis* [22] were 39.0%, 34.5%, and 20.0%, respectively. However, the

		TABLE 4: C	omparison of Am	1y175 with other we	ell-character	rized cold-active $lpha$ -a	mylases.		
rce and α -amylase	Molecular weight (kDa)/size	Identity with Amyl75	Temperature optimum (°C)	Residual activity at 0°C	pH optimum	NaCl (M) for optimum activity	Activators	Inhibitors	Reference
udoalteromonas sp. 75 (Amy175)	62/550 aa	r	25	53.2%	8.0	1	DMSO, Mg ²⁺ , Ni ²⁺ , K ⁺ and 1 mM Ca^{2+} , Ba ²⁺ , Pb ²⁺	$Cu^{2+}, Hg^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, Tween 80, \beta-ME$	This study
udoalteromonas oplanktis TAB23 HA)	49/453 aa	26%	25	20%	7.0	0.5	I	ı	[21]
udoalteromonas tica GS230 rAmv)	55/477 aa	26%	30	34.5%	7.5	I	Mn ²⁺ , K ⁺ , Na ⁺	Hg ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺ , Fe ³⁺	[22]
udoalteromonas sp. 7-1 (rAmvA)	73/669 aa	ı	40	ı	7.0	ı	K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , Ca ²⁺		[26]
10ngwangia Profunda nyZ)	66/594 aa	26%	35	39%	7.0	1.5	Sr^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , Mg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , NH_4^{++} , K^+	Cu ²⁺ , Zn ²⁺ , Mn ²⁺ , Fe ³⁺ , SDS, EDTA	[23]
guobacterium sp. SH3 nyE)	53/509 aa	24%	30	4%	6.5	2	Triton X-100, Tween 20	Acetone, DMSO, Butanol	[25]
ultured organism ny _{13C6})	56/486 aa	22%	10-15	70% at 1°C	8.0-9.0	I	Ca ²⁺	Cu ²⁺ , Zn ²⁺ , Ba ²⁺ , Mg ²⁺ , SDS, EDTA, Tween 20, Triton X-100	[27]
myces pannorum	54/497 aa	I	40	over 20%	5.0	I	I	- +•	[40]
hrobacter agilis AC 27388	80/720 aa		30		3.0		${\rm Fe}^{3+}, \beta$ -ME	Co ^{2T} , ammonium persulphate (APS), SDS, Triton X-100, urea	[38]

α-amylase	Special feature	Optimal temperature (°C)	Arg (%)	Arg/(Arg+lys)ratio	Reference
Amy175	Cold active	25	2.7	0.32	This study
AHA	Cold active	25	2.9	0.5	[21]
ParAmy	Cold active	30	2.9	0.5	[22]
AmyZ	Cold active	35	3.5	0.36	[23]
Amy13	Mesophilic	60	4.6	0.62	[43]
Gt-amy	Thermophilic	80	4.0	0.4	[44]
AmyC	Thermophilic	90	5.4	0.42	[45]

TABLE 5: Comparison of Amy175 with other cold-active or thermophilic α -amylases.

The compositions of arginine and lysine in α -amylases were obtained from Genbank.

α-amylase	Special feature	NaCl (M) for optimum activity	Asp+Glu (%)	Arg+lys (%)	Excess acidic amino acids (%)	Reference
Amy175	Halotolerant	1	13.3	8.3	5.0	This study
AHA	Halotolerant	0.5	10.4	5.7	4.7	[21]
AmyZ	Halotolerant	1.5	15.4	9.7	5.7	[23]
Amy13A	Halotolerant	0.86	16.7	11.9	4.8	[48]
AmyH	Halophile	4	16.5	6.1	10.4	[51]
α -amylase ^A	Haloalkaliphilic	2.5	24.3	5.4	18.9	[52]

TABLE 6: Comparison of Amy175 with other halotolerant or halophile α -amylases.

The amino acid compositions of α -amylases were obtained from Genbank.

activity of Amy175 decreased sharply above 50°C and only about 64.5% and 27.7% activity were retained, respectively, after 10 min incubation at 40°C and 50°C, indicating relatively low thermostability, which is the typical characteristic of cold-adapted enzymes and makes inactivating the enzyme in special applications more easy and rapid [41].

Cold-adapted enzymes can carry out their functions at very low temperature because of their flexible structures [22]. Cold-adapted enzymes usually possess less arginine residues or a lower arginine/(arginine and lysine) ratio than the mesophilic and thermophilic enzymes [42]. Arginine is famous as a stabilizing residue and can reduce the flexibility by forming hydrogen bands and salt bridges with the guanidinium group [42, 43]. Analysis of the amino acid sequence showed that Amy175 has less arginine residues and a lower arginine/(arginine and lysine) ratio than some other coldactive, mesophilic, or thermophilic α -amylases, which may partly explain its cold activity (Table 5).

Another noticeable characteristic of Amy175 was its salttolerance. Amy175 exhibited the activity in a wide range of 0-5 M NaCl with the highest activity in the presence of 1 M NaCl (127.5% of original activity) and 87.7% activity was retained even at 5 M NaCl (Figure 6(a)). However, Amy175 is a salt-tolerant enzyme, but not a halophilic enzyme, because halophilic enzymes would be unstable and rapidly lose the activities in the absence of the salt [46], such as the halophilic α -amylases produced by *Marinobacter* sp. EMB8 [30] and *Halorubrum xinjiangense* [31]. In addition, the stability of Amy175 could be dramatically improved by NaCl (Figure 6(b)), which was similar to that of the α amylases from marine bacterium *Zunongwangia profunda* [24, 32] and halophile bacterium *Halothermothrix orenii* [33]. Some researches showed that the hydrophobic interactions of enzyme core structures were possibly enhanced by saltingout effect under high salinity and made enzymes more compact and stable [26], which might be helpful to enhance the stability of these enzymes.

Halophilic and salt-tolerant α -amylases have more acidic amino acids residues (Asp and Glu) than basic amino acids residues (Lys and Arg) [47]. An abundance of acidic amino acids produces a negative surface potential, promoting the formation of the hydrated salt ions network that reduces the tendency of aggregation and keeps the enzyme activity and stability under high salinity [48–50]. The proportion of acidic amino acid excess of Amy175 is 5.0%, which was higher than that of AHA (4.2%) from Alteromonas haloplanktis and Amy13A (4.8%) isolated from a pilot-plant biogas reactor, but lower than that of AmyZ (5.7%) from Zunongwangia profunda, AmyH (10.4%) from the halophilic archaeon Haloarcula hispanica, and α -amylase^A (18.9%) from the archaebacterium Natronococcus sp. strain Ah-36 (Table 6). In addition, Amy175 was predicted to be an extracellular enzyme with N-terminal signal peptide of 23 amino acids. Qin et al. [24] found that the extracellular proteins were more salttolerant than intracellular proteins by studying the proteins from Zunongwangia profunda.

Additionally, effects of various metal ions and chemical reagents on enzyme activity were studied (Tables 2 and 3). Cu^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , and Hg^{2+} strongly decreased activity of Amy175. These metal ions may inhibit the enzyme activity by either binding to catalytic residues or replacing the required metal ions [53]. The α -amylases from *Exiguobacterium* sp. DAU5 [54] and *Eisenia foetida* [55] were also inhibited by Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺, whereas some α -amylases, i.e., α -amylase from *Bacillus licheniformis* AT70, were activated by Cu²⁺ [56].

Nies reported that Hg²⁺ could bind to thiol groups in the α -amylase structure to reduce its activity [57]. Amyl75 was also inhibited by β -ME (10 mM) and Tween 80 (10%). In contrast, the α -amylase of Arthrobacter agilis PAMC 27388 was enhanced by β -ME [58]. Interestingly, DMSO (10%) and SDS (1 mM) increased the activity of Amy175. The enzyme resistance towards SDS is a good characteristic, particularly in detergent industry, and SDS-stable amylases have been rarely reported [59]. Furthermore, Amy175 exhibited the tolerance to other chemical reagents and could keep more than 69.0% of the original activity. Despite loss of activity of the most α -amylases with EDTA [60, 61], Amy175 had completely retained its original activity with 1 mM EDTA and kept 88.9% of its original activity even with 10 mM EDTA, which was similar to the result on *Bacillus* KSM-K38 α -amylase [62]. In addition, the activity of the α -amylase from *Bacillus* licheniformis AT70 increased about 145% in the presence of EDTA [56]. Arikan reported that some alkaline amylases were unaffected by chelator EDTA [63]. It is probable that some metal ions can activate Amy175, but they are not essential for the catalytic reaction process.

Amy175 demonstrated not only good tolerance towards some chemical reagents, but also excellent stability against all the tested commercial detergents. In contrast, the α amylase from marine *Streptomyces* sp. D1 retained only 35-70% of its original activity in the presence of commercial detergents [64]. Some components of commercial detergents, for example, anionic surfactants, water softening builders, and stabilizers, may have inhibitory effect on the α -amylase activity, while some other ingredients such as ethoxylated surfactants and nonionic copolymeric builders may stimulate the α -amylase activity [65]. Therefore, the residual amylase activity is the result of combining effects of different ingredients in the detergents.

Furthermore, the addition of Amy175 led to better stain removal from cotton fabrics than that of detergent and water alone. The amylases can help to enhance wash performance by effectively breaking down starch rich stains, protect the environment due to the biodegradability of enzymes, and make laundry detergent more sustainable [20]. All above results suggested that Amy175 could be added to laundry detergent formulations, for enhancing the ability of detergents to clean clothes in cold water.

5. Conclusion

In summary, a novel α -amylase-producing strain *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175 (KU726544) was isolated from Antarctic ice cover and identified by physiological, biochemical, and 16S rDNA alignment analyses. A novel α -amylase, Amy175, from *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. M175, was expressed and purified. To our knowledge, it was the first identified member of GH13_36 subfamily containing QPDLN in CSR V. It was found to have a mixed enzyme specificity of α -amylase and α -glucosidase and possess several remarkable extremecondition tolerance characteristics: cold-active, salt-tolerant, and relatively stable in various detergents. Such distinctive characteristics suggest that this enzyme has potential industrial applications in which low temperature-processing is required, and/or the high concentration of salts is present, and/or the residue solvents remained from prior treatments. With the global trend of using low temperature processing to save energy, cold-active amylases such as Amy175 would be a promising enzyme candidate to be used for industries such as food, detergent, and textile.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding this study.

Authors' Contributions

Xiaofei Wang carried out the major experimental work and drafted the manuscript. Hua Wen participated in application of the recombinant α -amylase in detergent. Cuijuan Shi and Qiuju xie contributed to the statistical analysis. Guangfeng Kan and Xiulian Ren participated in the study design. Geng Yu and Michael Betenbaugh helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Key Technologies R&D Program of Shandong (Grant nos. 2013G0021502 and 2016ZDJQ0206) and Science and Technology Project of Weihai and Natural Scientific Research Innovation Foundation in HIT (Grant nos. HIT.IBRSEM.2013037 and HIT.NSRIF.2016085).

References

- R. Gupta, P. Gigras, H. Mohapatra, V. K. Goswami, and B. Chauhan, "Microbial α-amylases: a biotechnological perspective," *Process Biochemistry*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 1599–1616, 2003.
- [2] L. Kandra, "α-amylases of medical and industrial importance," *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM*, vol. 666-667, pp. 487–498, 2003.
- [3] G. Rajagopalan and C. Krishnan, "α-Amylase production from catabolite derepressed Bacillus subtilis KCC103 utilizing sugarcane bagasse hydrolysate," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 99, no. 8, pp. 3044–3050, 2008.
- [4] N. S. Reddy, A. Nimmagadda, and K. R. S. S. Rao, "An overview of the microbial α-amylase family," *African Journal of Biotechnolog*, vol. 2, no. 12, pp. 645–648, 2003.
- [5] A. Pandey, P. Nigam, C. R. Soccol, V. T. Soccol, D. Singh, and R. Mohan, "Advances in microbial amylases," *Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 135–152, 2000.
- [6] B. I. Cantarel, P. M. Coutinho, C. Rancurel, T. Bernard, V. Lombard, and B. Henrissat, "Carbohydrate active enzymes database," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. D233–D238, 2009.
- [7] V. Lombard, H. Golaconda Ramulu, E. Drula, P. M. Coutinho, and B. Henrissat, "The carbohydrate-active enzymes database

(CAZy) in 2013," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. D490–D495, 2014.

- [8] M. R. Stam, E. G. J. Danchin, C. Rancurel, P. M. Coutinho, and B. Henrissat, "Dividing the large glycoside hydrolase family 13 into subfamilies: towards improved functional annotations of α-amylase-related proteins," *Protein Engineering, Design and Selection*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 555–562, 2006.
- [9] V. Valk, R. M. Van Der Kaaij, and L. Dijkhuizen, "Characterization of the starch-acting MaAmyB enzyme from Microbacterium aurum B8.A representing the novel subfamily GH13-42 with an unusual, multi-domain organization," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, 2016.
- [10] Q. Zhang, Y. Han, and H. Xiao, "Microbial α-amylase: A biomolecular overview," *Process Biochemistry*, vol. 53, pp. 88– 101, 2017.
- [11] E. MacGregor, Š. Janeček, and B. Svensson, "Relationship of sequence and structure to specificity in the α-amylase family of enzymes," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology*, vol. 1546, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2001.
- [12] Š. Janeček, "How many conserved sequence regions are there in the α-amylase family?" *Biologia*, vol. 57, supplement 11, pp. 29–41, 2002.
- [13] A. Oslancová and Š. Janeček, "Oligo-1,6-glucosidase and neopullulanase enzyme subfamilies from the α-amylase family defined by the fifth conserved sequence region," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 1945–1959, 2002.
- [14] K. Majzlová, Z. Pukajová, and Ś. Janeček, "Tracing the evolution of the α-amylase subfamily GH13-36 covering the amylolytic enzymes intermediate between oligo-1,6-glucosidases and neopullulanases," *Carbohydrate Research*, vol. 367, pp. 48– 57, 2013.
- [15] R. Cavicchioli, T. Charlton, H. Ertan, S. M. Omar, K. S. Siddiqui, and T. J. Williams, "Biotechnological uses of enzymes from psychrophiles," *Microbial Biotechnology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 449– 460, 2011.
- [16] G. Feller, "Psychrophilic Enzymes: From Folding to Function and Biotechnology," *Scientifica*, vol. 2013, pp. 1–28, 2013.
- [17] M. Santiago, C. A. Ramírez-Sarmiento, R. A. Zamora, and L. P. Parra, "Discovery, Molecular Mechanisms, and Industrial Applications of Cold-Active Enzymes," *Frontiers in Microbiol*ogy, vol. 7, no. 89, Article ID 1408, 2016.
- [18] A. N. Yadav, S. G. Sachan, P. Verma, R. Kaushik, and A. K. Saxena, "Cold active hydrolytic enzymes production by psychrotrophic Bacilli isolated from three sub-glacial lakes of NW Indian Himalayas," *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 294–307, 2016.
- [19] C. Gerday, M. Aittaleb, M. Bentahir et al., "Cold-adapted enzymes: From fundamentals to biotechnology," *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 103–107, 2000.
- [20] M. Kuddus, Roohi, J. M. Arif, and P. W. Ramteke, "An overview of cold-active microbial A-amylase: Adaptation strategies and biotechnological potentials," *Biotechnology*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 246–258, 2011.
- [21] K. Hiteshi and R. Gupta, "Thermal adaptation of *α*-amylases: a review," *Extremophiles*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 937–944, 2014.
- [22] G. Feller, T. Lonhienne, C. Deroanne, C. Libioulle, J. Van Beeumen, and C. Gerday, "Purification, characterization, and nucleotide sequence of the thermolabile α -amylase from the antarctic psychrotroph Alteromonas haloplanctis A23," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 267, no. 8, pp. 5217–5221, 1992.

- [23] M. Lu, S. Wang, Y. Fang, H. Li, S. Liu, and H. Liu, "Cloning, expression, purification, and characterization of cold-adapted α-amylase from Pseudoalteromonas arctica GS230," *The Protein Journal*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 591–597, 2010.
- [24] Y. Qin, Z. Huang, and Z. Liu, "A novel cold-active and salttolerant α -amylase from marine bacterium Zunongwangia profunda: Molecular cloning, heterologous expression and biochemical characterization," *Extremophiles*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 271–281, 2014.
- [25] L. Mojallali, H. Shahbani Zahiri, S. Rajaei, K. Akbari Noghabi, and K. Haghbeen, "A novel ~34-kDa α-amylase from psychrotroph Exiguobacterium sp. SH3: Production, purification, and characterization," *Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 118–125, 2014.
- [26] M. Emampour, K. A. Noghabi, and H. S. Zahiri, "Molecular cloning and biochemical characterization of a novel coldadapted alpha-amylase with multiple extremozyme characteristics," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, vol. 111, pp. 79–86, 2015.
- [27] X. Tao, M.-S. Jang, K.-S. Kim, Z. Yu, and Y.-C. Lee, "Molecular cloning, expression and characterization of α-amylase gene from a marine bacterium Pseudoalteromonas sp. MY-1," *Indian Journal of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 305– 309, 2008.
- [28] J. K. Vester, M. A. Glaring, and P. Stougaard, "An exceptionally cold-adapted alpha-amylase from a metagenomic library of a cold and alkaline environment," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 717–727, 2015.
- [29] N. Samie, K. A. Noghabi, Z. Gharegozloo et al., "Psychrophilic α-amylase from Aeromonas veronii NS07 isolated from farm soils," *Process Biochemistry*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1381–1387, 2012.
- [30] S. Kumar and S. K. Khare, "Purification and characterization of maltooligosaccharide-forming α-amylase from moderately halophilic *Marinobacter* sp. EMB8," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 116, pp. 247–251, 2012.
- [31] M. Moshfegh, A. R. Shahverdi, G. Zarrini, and M. A. Faramarzi, "Biochemical characterization of an extracellular polyextremophilic α-amylase from the halophilic archaeon *Halorubrum xinjiangense*," *Extremophiles*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 677– 687, 2013.
- [32] G. Wu, Y. Qin, Q. Cheng, and Z. Liu, "Characterization of a novel alkali-stable and salt-tolerant α-amylase from marine bacterium Zunongwangia profunda," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, vol. 110, pp. 8–15, 2014.
- [33] B. N. Mijts and B. K. C. Patel, "Cloning, sequencing and expression of an α -amylase gene, amyA, from the thermophilic halophile Halothermothrix orenii and purification and biochemical characterization of the recombinant enzyme," *Microbiology*, vol. 148, no. 8, pp. 2343–2349, 2002.
- [34] C. Médigue, E. Krin, G. Pascal et al., "Coping with cold: The genome of the versatile marine Antarctica bacterium Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis TAC125," *Genome Research*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1325–1335, 2005.
- [35] M. M. Bradford, "A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 72, no. 1-2, pp. 248–254, 1976.
- [36] L.-L. Li, J. Gao, H.-S. Jiang, and Z.-Y. Wang, "Production of 3-Hydroxybutyrate Monomers by Pseudomonas mendocina DS04-T Biodegraded Polyhydroxybutyrate," *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 826–832, 2013.

- [37] G. L. Miller, "Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 426–428, 1959.
- [38] Y. Mao, Y. Yin, L. Zhang, S. A. Alias, B. Gao, and D. Wei, "Development of a novel Aspergillus uracil deficient expression system and its application in expressing a cold-adapted αamylase gene from Antarctic fungi Geomyces pannorum," *Process Biochemistry*, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 1581–1590, 2015.
- [39] K. V. Avdiiuk and L. D. Varbanets, "Microbial alpha-amylases: physicochemical properties, substrate specificity and domain structure," *Ukrainskii Biokhimicheskii Zhurnal*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 5–19, 2013.
- [40] T. Bhanja Dey and R. Banerjee, "Purification, biochemical characterization and application of α-amylase produced by Aspergillus oryzae IFO-30103," *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 83–90, 2015.
- [41] J.-C. Marx, T. Collins, S. D'Amico, G. Feller, and C. Gerday, "Cold-adapted enzymes from marine Antarctic microorganisms," *Marine Biotechnology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 293–304, 2007.
- [42] K. S. Siddiqui and R. Cavicchioli, "Cold-adapted enzymes," Annual Review of Biochemistry, vol. 75, pp. 403–433, 2006.
- [43] N. Aghajari, G. Feller, C. Gerday, and R. Haser, "Structures of the psychrophilic Alteromonas haloplanctis α-amylase give insights into cold adaptation at a molecular level," *Structure*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 1503–1516, 1998.
- [44] C.-H. Yang and W.-H. Liu, "Cloning and characterization of a maltotriose-producing α-amylase gene from Thermobifida fusca," *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 325–330, 2007.
- [45] D. Mehta and T. Satyanarayana, "Biochemical and molecular characterization of recombinant acidic and thermostable rawstarch hydrolysing α-amylase from an extreme thermophile Geobacillus thermoleovorans," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, vol. 85-86, pp. 229–238, 2013.
- [46] D. Madern, C. Ebel, and G. Zaccai, "Halophilic adaptation of enzymes," *Extremophiles*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 91–98, 2000.
- [47] M. J. Danson and D. W. Hough, "The structural basis of protein halophilicity," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology*, vol. 117, no. 3, pp. 307–312, 1997.
- [48] S. Fukuchi, K. Yoshimune, M. Wakayama, M. Moriguchi, and K. Nishikawa, "Unique amino acid composition of proteins in halophilic bacteria," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 327, no. 2, pp. 347–357, 2003.
- [49] M. Ballschmiter, O. Fütterer, and W. Liebl, "Identification and characterization of a novel intracellular alkaline α-amylase from the hyperthermophilic bacterium Thermotoga maritima MSB8," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 2206–2211, 2006.
- [50] D. Madern, M. Camacho, A. Rodríguez-Arnedo, M.-J. Bonete, and G. Zaccai, "Salt-dependent studies of NADP-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase from the halophilic archaeon Haloferax volcanii," *Extremophiles*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 377–384, 2004.
- [51] D. Jabbour, A. Sorger, K. Sahm, and G. Antranikian, "A highly thermoactive and salt-tolerant α-amylase isolated from a pilotplant biogas reactor," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 97, no. 7, pp. 2971–2978, 2013.
- [52] G. W. Hutcheon, N. Vasisht, and A. Bolhuis, "Characterisation of a highly stable α-amylase from the halophilic archaeon *Haloarcula hispanica*," *Extremophiles*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 487–495, 2005.

- [53] M. Nirmala and G. Muralikrishna, "Three α-amylases from malted finger millet (ragi, *Eleusine coracana*, Indaf-15)—purification and partial characterization," *Phytochemistry*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 21–30, 2003.
- [54] J. Chang, Y.-S. Lee, S.-J. Fang, I.-H. Park, and Y.-L. Choi, "Recombinant expression and characterization of an organicsolvent-tolerant α-amylase from exiguobacterium sp. DAU5," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, vol. 169, no. 6, pp. 1870–1883, 2013.
- [55] M. Ueda, T. Asano, M. Nakazawa, K. Miyatake, and K. Inouye, "Purification and characterization of novel raw-starchdigesting and cold-adapted α-amylases from Eisenia foetida," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - B Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 150, no. 1, pp. 125–130, 2008.
- [56] S. Afrisham, A. Badoei-Dalfard, A. Namaki-Shoushtari, and Z. Karami, "Characterization of a thermostable, CaCl2-activated and raw-starch hydrolyzing alpha-amylase from Bacillus licheniformis AT70: Production under solid state fermentation by utilizing agricultural wastes," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, vol. 132, pp. 98–106, 2016.
- [57] D. H. Nies, "Microbial heavy-metal resistance," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 730–750, 1999.
- [58] S.-M. Kim, H. Park, and J.-I. Choi, "Cloning and Characterization of Cold-Adapted α-Amylase from Antarctic Arthrobacter agilis," *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 1048–1059, 2017.
- [59] N. Hmidet, N. El-Hadj Ali, A. Haddar, S. Kanoun, S.-K. Alya, and M. Nasri, "Alkaline proteases and thermostable α-amylase co-produced by *Bacillus licheniformis* NH1: characterization and potential application as detergent additive," *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 47, no. 1–3, pp. 71–79, 2009.
- [60] A. Mahdavi, R. H. Sajedi, M. Rassa, and V. Jafarian, "Characterization of an α-amylase with broad temperature activity from an acid-neutralizing *Bacillus cereus* strain," *Iranian Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 103–111, 2010.
- [61] Y. Kolcuoğlu, A. Colak, O. Faiz, and A. O. Belduz, "Cloning, expression and characterization of highly thermo- and pHstable maltogenic amylase from a thermophilic bacterium Geobacillus caldoxylosilyticus TK4," *Process Biochemistry*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 821–828, 2010.
- [62] H. Hagihara, K. Igarashi, Y. Hayashi et al., "Novel α-Amylase That Is Highly Resistant to Chelating Reagents and Chemical Oxidants from the Alkaliphilic Bacillus Isolate KSM-K38," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 1744– 1750, 2001.
- [63] B. Arikan, "Highly thermostable, thermophilic, alkaline, SDS and chelator resistant amylase from a thermophilic *Bacillus* sp. isolate A3-15," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 99, no. 8, pp. 3071– 3076, 2008.
- [64] S. Chakraborty, A. Khopade, C. Kokare, K. Mahadik, and B. Chopade, "Isolation and characterization of novel α-amylase from marine *Streptomyces* sp. D1," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, vol. 58, no. 1–4, pp. 17–23, 2009.
- [65] K.-H. Maurer, "Detergent proteases," Current Opinion in Biotechnology, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 330–334, 2004.