SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 22 February 2016 Accepted: 29 June 2016 Published: 21 July 2016

Magnetic Tunnel Junction Mimics Stochastic Cortical Spiking Neurons

Abhronil Sengupta, Priyadarshini Panda*, Parami Wijesinghe*, Yusung Kim & Kaushik Roy

Brain-inspired computing architectures attempt to mimic the computations performed in the neurons and the synapses in the human brain in order to achieve its efficiency in learning and cognitive tasks. In this work, we demonstrate the mapping of the probabilistic spiking nature of pyramidal neurons in the cortex to the stochastic switching behavior of a Magnetic Tunnel Junction in presence of thermal noise. We present results to illustrate the efficiency of neuromorphic systems based on such probabilistic neurons for pattern recognition tasks in presence of lateral inhibition and homeostasis. Such stochastic MTJ neurons can also potentially provide a direct mapping to the probabilistic computing elements in Belief Networks for performing regenerative tasks.

The human brain is the most powerful and yet energy efficient computing system known to humans. As an attempt to mimic the human brain, and thereby emulate its efficiency in cognitive and perception tasks, computing models have been developed that try to mimic the functionalities involved in the neurons and synapses in the human brain. Although a complete understanding of the brain has still remained elusive, recent advances in neuroscience have brought forward important behavioral characteristics and phenomena underlying neuronal and synaptic operations. Neuromorphic computing refers to the emulation of such underlying neuroscience mechanisms by an equivalent hardware implementation.

A neural network consists of neurons interconnected by synaptic junctions, which encode the importance or "weight" of the information transmitted by the neurons. Different abstract computing models have been developed to emulate the information processing that occurs in the biological neuron. The computing model offering the highest degree of bio-fidelity is that of the spiking neuron, which is characterized by a membrane potential that integrates incoming spikes and leaks in the absence of spikes. The neuron generates an output spike when the membrane potential crosses a specific threshold. Past research on hardware implementation of spiking neurons have mainly focused on deterministic neural models, like the Hodgkin-Huxley¹ and Leaky-Integrate-Fire¹ models. However, emulation of such neural characteristics require area-expensive CMOS implementations involving more than 20 transistors^{2,3} and a direct mapping of spiking neuronal characteristics to a single nanoelectronic device is still missing. Further, such deterministic neuron models have little correspondence to the probabilistic firing nature of biological neurons and are unable to account for the fact that neural computation in the brain is significantly prone to noise arising from the synapses, dendrites or the neuron itself^{4,5}.

Recently, theoretical studies have been performed to demonstrate that Bayesian computation can be performed in networks inspired from cortical microcircuits of pyramidal "stochastic" neurons⁵. Such neurons, observed in the cortex, spike stochastically and the probability of firing at a particular time is a non-linear function of the instantaneous magnitude of the resultant post-synaptic current input to the neuron^{5–8}. In this paper, we demonstrate a nano-magnetic device that can mimic such cortical "stochastic" spiking neurons.

Magnetic Tunnel Junction as a spiking neuron

Let us first illustrate the device structure and principle of operation of a Magnetic Tunnel Junction (MTJ)^{9–11}. The MTJ consists of two ferromagnetic layers separated by a tunneling oxide barrier (*MgO*). The magnetization direction of one of the layers (denoted by *pinned* layer, PL, in Fig. 1), $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_p$, is magnetically hardened so that it serves as the reference layer. The magnetization of the *free* layer (FL), $\mathbf{\hat{m}}$, can be manipulated by an input charge current. The MTJ is characterized by two stable resistance states, namely the low-resistance parallel (P) configuration ($\mathbf{\hat{m}}$ and $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_p$ are parallel) and the high-resistance anti-parallel (AP) configuration ($\mathbf{\hat{m}}$ and $\mathbf{\hat{m}}_p$ are anti-parallel). Charge current from the *pinned* layer to the *free* layer causes the MTJ to switch to the AP state and vice versa by overcoming the energy barrier, E_B (see Fig. 1). Considering the initial state of the MTJ to be the P state, such a behavior can be mapped to a neural firing when the MTJ switches to the AP state.

Purdue University, School of Electrical & Computer Engineering, West Lafayette IN 47907, USA. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.S. (email: asengup@purdue.edu)



Figure 1. A Magnetic Tunnel Junction (MTJ) consists of two magnetic layers sandwiching a spacer layer. While the magnetization direction of the reference layer is *pinned*, the magnetization of the *free* layer can be manipulated by an input charge current. The MTJ is characterized by two stable resistance states, namely the parallel (P) and anti-parallel (AP) configuration. The barrier height (E_B) causes the P and AP states of the MTJ to be thermally stable.

The magnetization dynamics of the FL in a nanoscale monodomain magnet at T = 0 K can be described by solving Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation with additional term to account for the spin momentum torque according to Slonczewski¹²,

$$\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{m}}}{dt} = -\gamma(\widehat{\mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff}) + \alpha \left(\widehat{\mathbf{m}} \times \frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{m}}}{dt}\right) + \frac{1}{qN_s}(\widehat{\mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{I}_s \times \widehat{\mathbf{m}})$$
(1)

where, $\widehat{\mathbf{m}}$ is the unit vector of *free* layer magnetization, $\gamma = \frac{2\mu_B\mu_0}{\hbar}$ is the gyromagnetic ratio for electron, α is Gilbert's damping ratio, \mathbf{H}_{eff} is the effective magnetic field including the shape anisotropy field for elliptic disks calculated using ref. 13, $N_s = \frac{M_s V}{\mu_B}$ is the number of spins in *free* layer of volume $V(M_s$ is saturation magnetization and μ_B is Bohr magneton), and I_s is the input spin current generated by charge current flow through the *pinned* layer. Equation 1 can be reformulated by simple algebraic manipulations as,

$$\frac{1+\alpha^2}{\gamma}\frac{d\widehat{\mathbf{m}}}{dt} = -(\widehat{\mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff}) - \alpha(\widehat{\mathbf{m}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff}) + \frac{1}{qN_s}(\widehat{\mathbf{m}} \times \mathbf{I}_s \times \widehat{\mathbf{m}})$$
(2)



Figure 2. (a) The membrane potential of a biological neuron integrates input spikes and leaks when there is no input. It spikes when the membrane potential crosses the threshold. (b) MTJ neuron dynamics due to the application of three input pulses. The in-plane magnetization starts integrating due to the pulses and then starts leaking once the pulse is removed. The MTJ structure was an elliptic disk of volume $\frac{\pi}{4} \times 100 \times 40 \times 1.5 \text{ nm}^3$ with saturation magnetization of $M_s = 1000 \text{ KA/m}$ and damping factor, $\alpha = 0.0122$.

Let us consider an MTJ with in-plane magnetic anisotropy (IMA). The in-plane component of magnetization, $\widehat{\mathbf{m}}$, of the nanomagnet can be considered equivalent to the membrane potential of a biological neuron. The first two terms in the RHS of the above equation constitute the "leak" term in the magnetization (membrane potential) dynamics while the last term relates to the integration of input pulses applied to the MTJ. The MTJ "fires" when the magnetization switches to the opposite stable state. Figure 2 illustrates the leak and integration components of the neuron dynamics for an MTJ elliptic disk due to the application of three successive pulses. The magnetization starts increasing due to integration of the pulses. However, it is insufficient to "switch" the MTJ and the magnetization starts leaking once the applied pulse is removed. The firing or "spiking" of the neuron (which occurs when the membrane potential crosses the threshold) is equivalent to the switching of the MTJ, i.e. magnetization reversal of the in-plane component from -1 to +1. Once the neuron "spikes", it has to be reset back to the initial state. Hence, the operation of the neuron MTJ can be resolved into two cycles, namely a "write" phase followed by a "read" phase. During the "write" phase, the MTJ neuron receives the resultant input synaptic current at a particular time step while the "read" phase is utilized to determine whether the neuron has switched during the "write" phase and is reset back to the P state in case the MTJ switched to the AP state. This reset phase is analogous to the "refractory" period observed in biological neurons¹ where the neuron is not able to generate a "spike" for some time duration after generating a "spike" (corresponding to the time delay involved in resetting back the MTJ to the P state).

At non-zero temperature, the magnetization dynamics of the MTJ is characterized by thermal noise, which can be accounted for by an additional thermal field¹⁴, $\mathbf{H}_{thermal} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha^2} \frac{2K_BT}{\gamma \mu_0 M_s V \delta_t}} G_{0,1}$, where $G_{0,1}$ is a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and unit standard deviation, K_B is Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature and δ_t is the simulation time step. In presence of thermal noise, the switching behavior of the MTJ due to the flow of a charge current through the *pinned* layer, during the "write" cycle, is stochastic in nature and the probability of switching increases with increase in the magnitude of input current. Hence, such a device offers a direct mapping to the functionality of "stochastic" neurons observed in the cortex⁵⁻⁸, where the neuron "spikes" (switches its state) probabilistically depending on its resultant synaptic input. The variation of spiking probability with input synaptic current is usually described by a non-linear dependence⁵⁻⁸, similar to the MTJ switching characteristics shown in Fig. 3. The switching characteristics of the MTJ neuron in response to the input synaptic current can be varied by changing the energy barrier (or equivalently the *free* layer thickness) and the duration of the synaptic current as illustrated in Fig. 3.

Recent experiments have shown that such an MTJ structure with in-plane magnetic anisotropy (IMA) can also be switched by a charge current flowing through a heavy-metal (HM) underlayer due to the injection of spins (whose polarization is transverse to the direction of both spin and charge current) at the FL-HM interface (assuming spin-Hall effect to be the dominant underlying physical phenomenon: Fig. 4(a))¹⁵⁻¹⁹. We will refer to FL switching by such a HM underlayer for the rest of this text due to the possibilities of having decoupled "write" and "read" current paths which helps in interfacing such MTJ "stochastic" neurons with a synaptic resistive cross-bar array (discussed later in the text). It is worth noting here that the mechanism of MTJ switching by spin-Hall effect and mapping to a neuron functionality is exactly similar as discussed before. The only difference is that the spin current is generated by the HM underlayer instead of the *pinned* layer of the MTJ. The generated spin current, $I_s = \theta_{SH} \frac{W_{MTJ}}{t_{HM}} I_Q (I_Q$ is the charge current flowing through the HM, θ_{SH} is spin-Hall angle¹⁶, dimensions W_{MTJ} and t_{HM} are shown in Fig. 4(a)). Hence, the device also offers energy-efficient "write" since spin polarization is not limited by polarization of the *pinned* layer and >100% spin injection efficiency can be achieved¹⁶. The device simulation parameters were obtained from experimental measurements¹⁶ and have been shown in Table 1. Figure 4(b,c) illustrates the principle of operation of the "Neuron" MTJ with access transistors to decouple the "write" and "read" current paths.



Figure 3. Switching probability of the MTJ in response to an input synaptic current at T = 300 K (assuming ~50% polarization of spin current generated by the MTJ *pinned* layer). Such a switching behavior is a direct mapping to the stochastic spiking nature of cortical neurons. (a) The switching probability characteristics shifts to the right with increase in the barrier height. The data have been plotted for $E_B = (10, 20, 30) K_B T$ corresponding to FL thickness values, $t_{FL} = (0.8, 1.2, 1.5) nm$, for pulse width, $t_{PW} = 1 ns$ (duration of the "write" cycle). (b) The probability characteristics undergo more dispersion with decrease in the pulse width. The data have been plotted for $t_{PW} = (0.2, 0.5, 1) ns$ corresponding to $E_B = 20 K_B T$.



Figure 4. (a) Input charge current flowing in the +y direction through a heavy-metal (HM) with high-spin orbit coupling causes accumulation of +x directed spins at the interface of the HM and a ferromagnet *free* layer (FL) lying at the top. The FL with in-plane uniaxial anisotropy (IMA) can be switched by the current flowing through the HM. Schematic of the three-terminal device proposed as a stochastic neuron with decoupled "read" and "write" current paths. The input synaptic current flows between terminals T2 and T3 while the read current flows through T1 and T3. (b) The stochastic neuron ("Neuron" MTJ) is interfaced with access transistors to decouple the "write" and "read" current paths. During the "write" cycle (V_{WRITE} activated), the incoming synaptic current, I_{SYN} , in presence of thermal noise, probabilistically switches the neuron depending on its magnitude. During the subsequent "read" cycle (V_{READ} activated), a small current I_{READ} flows through the two MTJs in series. The "Reference" MTJ's magnetization is fixed to the AP state causing the inverter to generate a spike (V_{SPIKE}) in case the neuron switches from the P to the AP state. In case the neuron spiked, the neuron is reset to the P state using a reset current I_{RESET} . The peripheral circuit for resetting the neuron involves a similar access transistor connecting the device to a "reset" voltage, whose gate is driven by the output of a latch that stores the value of the spike signal, V_{SPIKE} , at the end of the "read" cycle. (c) Two complete periods are shown to explain the operation in detail.

.....

Spiking Neural Network based on MTJ neurons

The behavior of a network of such stochastic MTJ neurons were studied in a standard digit recognition problem based on the MNIST dataset²⁰ as shown in Fig. 5(a). Such network connections have been observed in pyramidal neurons in the cortex^{5,8}. The neurons receive input Poisson spike trains whose frequency is proportional to the pixel intensity. 100 images of digits "0" and "1" were used for the recognition purpose and the network was simulated for a number of time steps, T_{ss} for each image. It is worth noting here that each time step refers to the duration of the "write" phase of the neuron MTJ discussed before. Whenever a neuron spikes, a common inhibitory signal prohibits the neurons from spiking for a period, τ_{inh} . Hence, during learning, lateral inhibition prevents the non-spiking neurons from spiking for a particular duration, thereby causing the spiking neurons to start

Parameters	Value
Free layer area	$\frac{\pi}{4} \times 100 \times 40 nm^2$
Free layer thickness	0.8, 1.2, 1.5 nm
Heavy-metal thickness, t_{HM}	2 nm
Saturation Magnetization, M_S	$1000 KA/m^{16}$
Spin-Hall Angle, θ_{SH}	0.316
Gilbert Damping Factor, α	0.012216
Energy Barrier, E_B	$10, 20, 30 K_B T$
MgO Thickness, t_{MgO}	2 nm
MTJ Resistance in P (AP) state, $R_P(R_{AP})$	1.21 (2.5) MΩ
Resistivity of HM, $\rho_{\rm HM}$	$200\mu\Omega.cm^{16}$
Pulse width, t_{PW}	0.2, 0.5, 1 ns
Temperature, T	300 K
Supply Voltage, V _{DD}	1 V

Table 1. Device Simulation Parameters.



Figure 5. (a) Stochastic spiking neural network used for digit recognition. Input spike trains are received by all the stochastic neurons (connections shown for only one neuron). The inhibitory neuron prevents the neurons from spiking in case an excitatory neuron spikes. (b) Corresponding implementation in a crossbar array fashion. Programmable resistive synapses are present at each cross-point. Input voltages are applied at each row and the neurons receive input synaptic current which is the weighted summation of the input voltages.
(c) A network of 9 excitatory neurons were used for the recognition purpose. The synapse weights were randomly initialized. 784 input neurons (28 × 28 images) are rate encoded by ensuring that the spike frequency is directly proportional to the pixel intensity. After learning the neurons respond selectively to each input image.
(d) For testing the behavior of the network after learning has been accomplished, STDP and homeostasis were turned off. The neuron stochastically spikes the maximum for the class which it has learnt while the others remain mostly silent. A common lateral inhibitory signal during testing results in sparse spiking events.

responding selectively to specific input patterns. However, in order to prevent single neurons from dominating the spiking pattern, homeostasis^{21,22} is performed by scaling the input current to the MTJ neuron by a variable which increases as learning progresses. Interested readers are referred to ref. 21 for a detailed description of pattern recognition performed in such spiking networks enabled by lateral inhibition and homeostasis. Such a network arrangement can be mapped to a crossbar network interfaced with such MTJ neurons as shown in Fig. 5(b) where programmable resistive synapses encode the synaptic weight at each cross-point. Phase-change devices²³, Ag-Si memristors²⁴ or spintronic synapses²⁵ have been proposed in literature to implement such synaptic functionality in a crossbar architecture. The synapses were modeled with 4-bit discretization and a maximum to minimum resistance ratio of 20. An input spike triggers a voltage across the corresponding row for a duration of τ_0 time steps (analogous to post-synaptic potential observed in biology). The neuron, therefore, receives an input current which is proportional to the weighted sum of the post-synaptic voltages (since HM resistance is much lower than the synaptic resistances at each cross-point) and spikes in a stochastic manner. A behavioral model of the neuron was developed by running stochastic LLG simulations to capture its probabilistic spiking behavior. Non-Equilibrium Green's function based transport simulation framework²⁶ was used to model the MTJ resistance. Unsupervised learning was performed using Spike-Timing Dependent Plasticity (STDP)^{21,22}. The STDP weight update equations were, $\Delta w = \eta_+ w \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta t}{\tau_+}\right)$ (for $\Delta t > 0$) and $\Delta w = -\eta_- w \exp\left(\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_-}\right)$ (for $\Delta t < 0$) where Δt is the crist a timing difference.

(for $\Delta t < 0$), where Δt is the spike timing difference. The neurons learn representative models of the digits after a few epochs (Fig. 5(c)). After learning, each neuron gets trained to respond to a specific digit (Fig. 5(d)). Such learning functionalities can be exploited to develop pattern recognition systems where the input image class is detected from the spiking patterns of the neurons in the network. The network simulation parameters have been outlined in Table 2.

Figure 6 illustrates the manner in which the entire switching probability characteristics of the MTJ (from the deterministic to the stochastic regime) is exploited to realize learning functionality. The figure represents the

Parameters	Value
Probability of input spikes per time-step	0-0.064
Number of time-steps per image, T_s	340
Post-synaptic voltage duration, τ_0	50 ¹
Inhibitory signal duration, $ au_{\textit{inh}}$	50 ¹
STDP time constants, τ_{+},τ_{-}	4.5, 5 ¹
STDP learning rates, η_+,η	0.03, 0.01
Duration of each time-step	0.5 ns
Maximum synapse resistance in crossbar array	$3.7 M\Omega$
HM resistance	400Ω

 Table 2. Network Simulation Parameters. ¹The units are in terms of time-step (i.e. 0.5 ns).



Figure 6. Variation of the MTJ switching probability during the learning process. While the switching probability is high during the initial learning process, it gradually converges to low values due to homeostasis.

maximum switching probability of the MTJ in the network (representing the neuron with the highest spiking activity which attempts to learn an applied input pattern) averaged over a range of 5 learning epochs from the beginning of the learning process. Each epoch corresponds to the entire duration of spike-train applied as input for a particular image. As explained previously, initially the switching probability of the MTJ is sufficiently high in order to ensure that different neurons start learning different input patterns. However, as learning progresses, due to homeostasis, the spiking probability of the neurons reduce resulting in sparser neural and learning events. Readers are referred to ref. 5 for an extensive theoretical discussion on probabilistic Bayesian computation that can be performed using such stochastic spiking neurons in networks inspired from cortical connections. The efficiency of the learning process can be observed from Fig. 5(d) where a slight variation in the orientation of digits belonging to the same class can be detected in the spiking activities of the neurons.

Let us now provide a brief discussion on the energy efficiency of the system. Each "write" and "reset" cycle for a particular time step in the simulation was taken to be 0.5 ns long and the barrier height of the neuron MTJ was chosen to be $20 K_B T$. The energy consumption of the neuron during the "write" cycle is a function of the input synaptic current. Since the entire switching probability characteristics is exploited during the learning process, the average energy consumption was determined for the input current ($\sim 71 \, \mu A$) necessary to switch the MTJ with a probability of 0.5. The associated I^2Rt "write" energy consumption was evaluated to be ~1 fJ per neuron per time-step. Circuit simulations of the "read" circuit, shown in Fig. 4(b), yielded an average energy consumption of $\sim 1.6 f$ per neuron per time-step (including the resistive divider circuit and the inverter). Additionally the neuron can be reset by passing a high enough reset current through the HM in the opposite direction to ensure deterministic MTJ switching. Assuming a reset current of 150 μ A, the I^2Rt "reset" energy consumption is evaluated to be ~4.5 fJ. Note that "reset" energy consumption is only involved in the neuron in the case of a spiking event. Additionally, the energy consumption involved in clocking the "write" and "read" cycles per time-step would result in insignificant contribution to the total energy consumption per neuron since it can be achieved by a global control circuit for the entire network of neurons. In contrast, state-of-the-art designs of CMOS neurons result in energy consumption in the range of pJ per spike (267 pJ reported in ref. 27 and 41.3 pJ reported in ref. 28). The energy and area benefits offered by networks of such stochastically spiking MTJ neurons in comparison to conventional deterministic spiking neuron designs in CMOS technology is the main motivation behind this proposal.

Conclusions

To conclude, researchers have explored MTJs as synapses^{29–32} and for inter-neuron communication³⁰ previously. Further, previous research on utilizing spintronic devices as neurons^{33,34} have been limited to emulating only thresholding operations of non-spiking neural computing models. On the other hand, spiking neurons offer a more biologically realistic perspective and are recently becoming popular computing models for implementing low-power, high accuracy recognition platforms in complex cognitive tasks³⁵.

Stochasticity exhibited by phase change memory³⁶ and spintronic devices³² have been exploited previously in neuromorphic applications to implement learning functionality in synapses. However, the utilization of device stochasticity in nanoelectronic neural computing has been a relatively unexplored area. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of mapping the stochastic leaky-integrate switching behavior of MTJs in presence of thermal noise to a probabilistic spiking neuron. An important point worth considering is whether other post-CMOS technologies³⁶ exhibiting stochastic switching characteristics could be potentially operated as neurons as well. A few words regarding the architecture of the pattern recognition system (Fig. 5) are in order to outline the prospective opportunities offered by spintronic neurons. Neurons need to be interfaced with a crossbar array of resistive synapses for any pattern recognition system. Memristive devices are present at each cross-point to encode the synaptic weight. Input voltages are applied across each row and the current flowing through the memristors is weighted by its conductance and gets summed up along the column and passes as input to the neuron. However, this is true only when the input resistance of the neuron is sufficiently low since otherwise, the voltage drop across each memristor will be dependent on the voltage drop across the neuron which in turn, depends on the total amount of input synaptic current resulting in a coupled system. Low terminal voltage of MTJ neurons during "write" operation offers unique possibilities in this regard. Input synaptic current flows through the HM (with low resistance) and not through the oxide layer of the MTJ. Thus decoupled "read" and "write" current paths of the proposed neuron assist the neuron operation. In contrast, memristive devices are usually characterized by high threshold voltages (>1 V) and high resistance values ($K\Omega$ - $M\Omega$ ^{23,24,36}). Hence, although intrinsic noise might be present in memristive devices, it will be potentially difficult to interface memristive synaptic crossbar arrays with memristive neurons.

Although the impact of thermal noise on MTJ switching behavior has limited its scalability in memory applications, such noise effects can be potentially exploited to build probabilistic neural computing platforms that can perform Bayesian computation similar to the brain. Past research on hardware implementation of spiking neurons has mainly focused on the emulation of deterministic spiking neural characteristics and require area and power expensive CMOS implementations involving more than 20 transistors^{2,3}. CMOS based stochastic neural models might be possible³⁷ but involve significant silicon area and power consumption since they do not offer a direct mapping to the underlying neuroscience mechanisms. However, the ultra-low current induced noisy switching characteristics of MTJs can efficiently mimic such stochastic spiking neural models and can potentially pave the way for neuromorphic systems that utilize noisy stochastic neurons as a computing element, such as Restricted Boltzmann Machines and Deep Belief Networks. We would like to conclude the paper by noting that the device stochasticity observed in such MTJ structures can be utilized to realize probabilistic learning functionality in single-bit synapses³² which could be potentially interfaced with stochastic MTJ neurons resulting in an All-Spin neuromorphic architecture that leverages the underlying device stochasticity to perform neuromimetic computing.

References

- 1. Ghosh-Dastidar, S. & Adeli, H. Spiking neural networks. International journal of neural systems 19, 295-308 (2009).
- Rajendran, B. et al. Specifications of nanoscale devices and circuits for neuromorphic computational systems. Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on 60, 246–253 (2013).
- 3. Indiveri, G. A low-power adaptive integrate-and-fire neuron circuit. In *Circuits And Systems (ISCAS), 2003 International Symposium On,* 820–823 (Bangkok, Thailand, May 25, 2003).
- 4. Sobie, C., Babul, A. & de Sousa, R. Neuron dynamics in the presence of 1/f noise. Physical Review E 83, 051912 (2011).
- Nessler, B., Pfeiffer, M., Buesing, L. & Maass, W. Bayesian computation emerges in generic cortical microcircuits through spiketiming-dependent plasticity. *PLoS Comput Biol* 9, e1003037 (2013).
- 6. Wallace, E., Benayoun, M., Van Drongelen, W. & Cowan, J. D. Emergent oscillations in networks of stochastic spiking neurons. *Plos one* **6**, e14804 (2011).
- 7. Benayoun, M., Cowan, J. D., van Drongelen, W. & Wallace, E. Avalanches in a stochastic model of spiking neurons. *PLoS Comput Biol* 6, e1000846 (2010).
- Nessler, B., Pfeiffer, M. & Maass, W. STDP enables spiking neurons to detect hidden causes of their inputs. In Advances in neural information processing systems, 1357–1365 (Vancouver, BC, Canada, Dec 2009).
- 9. Julliere, M. Tunneling between ferromagnetic films. Physics letters A 54, 225-226 (1975).
- 10. Baibich, M. N. et al. Giant magnetoresistance of (001) Fe/(001) Cr magnetic superlattices. Physical review letters 61, 2472 (1988).
- 11. Binasch, G., Grünberg, P., Saurenbach, F. & Zinn, W. Enhanced magnetoresistance in layered magnetic structures with antiferromagnetic interlayer exchange. *Physical review B* **39**, 4828 (1989).
- 12. Slonczewski, J. C. Conductance and exchange coupling of two ferromagnets separated by a tunneling barrier. *Physical Review B* 39, 6995 (1989).
- Beleggia, M., De Graef, M., Millev, Y., Goode, D. & Rowlands, G. Demagnetization factors for elliptic cylinders. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* 38, 3333 (2005).
- Scholz, W., Schrefl, T. & Fidler, J. Micromagnetic simulation of thermally activated switching in fine particles. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* 233, 296–304 (2001).
- 15. Hirsch, J. Spin hall effect. Physical Review Letters 83, 1834 (1999).
- 16. Pai, C.-F. et al. Spin transfer torque devices utilizing the giant spin Hall effect of tungsten. Applied Physics Letters 101, 122404 (2012).
- 17. Miron, I. M. *et al.* Perpendicular switching of a single ferromagnetic layer induced by in-plane current injection. *Nature* **476**, 189–193 (2011).
- 18. Liu, L. et al. Spin-torque switching with the giant spin Hall effect of tantalum. Science 336, 555–558 (2012).
- 19. Liu, L., Lee, O., Gudmundsen, T., Ralph, D. & Buhrman, R. Current-induced switching of perpendicularly magnetized magnetic layers using spin torque from the spin Hall effect. *Physical review letters* **109**, 096602 (2012).
- LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y. & Haffner, P. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 86, 2278–2324 (1998).
- Diehl, P. U. & Cook, M. Unsupervised learning of digit recognition using spike-timing-dependent plasticity. Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience 9, 99 (2015).
- Knag, P., Kim, J. K., Chen, T. & Zhang, Z. A Sparse Coding Neural Network ASIC With On-Chip Learning for Feature Extraction and Encoding. Solid-State Circuits, IEEE Journal of 50, 1070–1079 (2015).
- Jackson, B. L. et al. Nanoscale electronic synapses using phase change devices. ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems (JETC) 9, 12 (2013).

- 24. Jo, S. H. et al. Nanoscale memristor device as synapse in neuromorphic systems. Nano letters 10, 1297-1301 (2010).
- 25. Sengupta, A., Al Azim, Z., Fong, X. & Roy, K. Spin-orbit torque induced spike-timing dependent plasticity. *Applied Physics Letters* **106**, 093704 (2015).
- Fong, X. et al. KNACK: A hybrid spin-charge mixed-mode simulator for evaluating different genres of spin-transfer torque MRAM bit-cells. In Simulation of Semiconductor Processes and Devices (SISPAD), 2011 International Conference on, 51–54 (IEEE, Osaka, Japan, Sep 8, 2011).
- Livi, P. & Indiveri, G. A current-mode conductance-based silicon neuron for address-event neuromorphic systems. In Circuits And Systems (ISCAS), 2009 International Symposium On, 2898–2901 (IEEE, Taipei, Taiwan, May 24, 2009).
- Joubert, A., Belhadj, B., Temam, O. & Héliot, R. Hardware spiking neurons design: Analog or digital? In Neural Networks (IJCNN), The 2012 International Joint Conference on, 1–5 (IEEE, Brisbane, Australia, June 10, 2012).
- 29. Thomas, A. et al. Tunnel junction based memristors as artificial synapses. Frontiers in neuroscience 9, 241 (2015).
- Krzysteczko, P., Münchenberger, J., Schäfers, M., Reiss, G. & Thomas, A. The Memristive Magnetic Tunnel Junction as a Nanoscopic Synapse-Neuron System. Advanced Materials 24, 762–766 (2012).
- 31. Locatelli, N., Cros, V. & Grollier, J. Spin-torque building blocks. Nature materials 13, 11-20 (2014).
- 32. Vincent, A. F. *et al.* Spin-transfer torque magnetic memory as a stochastic memristive synapse for neuromorphic systems. *Biomedical Circuits and Systems, IEEE Transactions on* **9**, 166–174 (2015).
- Sharad, M., Augustine, C., Panagopoulos, G. & Roy, K. Spin-based neuron model with domain-wall magnets as synapse. Nanotechnology, IEEE Transactions on 11, 843–853 (2012).
- 34. Sengupta, A., Choday, S. H., Kim, Y. & Roy, K. Spin orbit torque based electronic neuron. *Applied Physics Letters* **106**, 143701 (2015).
- Diehl, P. U. et al. Fast-classifying, high-accuracy spiking deep networks through weight and threshold balancing. In Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2015 International Joint Conference on, 1–8 (IEEE, Killarney, Ireland, July 12, 2015).
- 36. Suri, M. et al. Cbram devices as binary synapses for low-power stochastic neuromorphic systems: auditory (cochlea) and visual (retina) cognitive processing applications. In Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM), 2012 IEEE International, 10–3 (IEEE, San Fransisco, USA, Dec 10, 2012).
- Alspector, J., Gupta, B. & Allen, R. B. Performance of a stochastic learning microchip. In Advances in neural information processing systems, 748–760 (Denver, USA, Dec 1989).

Acknowledgements

The work was supported in part by, Center for Spintronic Materials, Interfaces, and Novel Architectures (C-SPIN), a MARCO and DARPA sponsored StarNet center, by the Semiconductor Research Corporation, the National Science Foundation, Intel Corporation and by the National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship.

Author Contributions

A.S. and K.R. conceived the research study. A.S. wrote the paper, developed the simulation framework and performed the simulations. Authors P.P. and P.W. contributed equally to this work and assisted in performing the simulations. Y.K. helped in developing the device simulation framework. K.R. helped with the writing of the paper, developing the concepts and discussing the results.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Sengupta, A. *et al.* Magnetic Tunnel Junction Mimics Stochastic Cortical Spiking Neurons. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30039; doi: 10.1038/srep30039 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/