Neurofibromatosis type I-associated tumours: Their somatic mutational spectrum and pathogenesis

Sebastian Laycock-van Spyk, Nick Thomas, David N. Cooper and Meena Upadhyaya*

Institute of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK *Correspondence to: Tel: +44 2920 744081; Fax: +44 2920 746551; E-mail: Upadhyaya@cardiff.ac.uk

Date received (in revised form): 23rd May 2011

Abstract

Somatic gene mutations constitute key events in the malignant transformation of human cells. Somatic mutation can either actively speed up the growth of tumour cells or relax the growth constraints normally imposed upon them, thereby conferring a selective (proliferative) advantage at the cellular level. Neurofibromatosis type-I (NFI) affects 1/3,000–4,000 individuals worldwide and is caused by the inactivation of the *NFI* tumour suppressor gene, which encodes the protein neurofibromin. Consistent with Knudson's two-hit hypothesis, NFI patients harbouring a heterozygous germline *NFI* mutation develop neurofibromas upon somatic mutation of the second, wild-type, *NFI* allele. While the identification of somatic mutations in NFI patients has always been problematic on account of the extensive cellular heterogeneity manifested by neurofibromas, the classification of *NFI* somatic mutations is a prerequisite for understanding the complex molecular mechanisms underlying NFI tumorigenesis. Here, the known somatic mutational spectrum for the *NFI* gene in a range of NFI-associated neoplasms — including peripheral nerve sheath tumours (neurofibromas), malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours, gastrointestinal stromal tumours, gastric carcinoid, juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia, glomus tumours, astrocytomas and phaeochromocytomas — have been collated and analysed.

Keywords: NF1, somatic mutations, germline mutations, pathogenesis, tumorigenesis, tumour, benign, malignant

Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is a common autosomal dominantly inherited tumour predisposition syndrome affecting 1/3,000–4,000 individuals worldwide.^{1,2} NF1 manifests a variety of characteristic features that include: hyperpigmentary abnormalities of the skin (café-au-lait macules and inguinal/axillary freckling), iris hamartomas (Lisch nodules) and the growth of benign peripheral nerve sheath tumours (neurofibromas) in the skin. Neurofibromas display many different subtypes and are associated with a variety of different clinical complications. Cutaneous neurofibromas are present in almost all adult NF1 patients.³ Plexiform neurofibromas (PNFs), a more diffuse type of tumour, are present in 30–50 per cent of NF1 patients, and some 10–15 per cent of these benign tumours are transformed to malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours (MPNSTs), the main cause of morbidity in NF1.⁴ Other NF1-associated clinical features include: skeletal abnormalities, such as tibial bowing or pseudoarthrosis; skeletal and orbital dysplasia; ostopenia/osteoporosis; aqueduct stenosis; macrocephaly; pectus excavatum; short stature; cardiovascular malformations; learning difficulties; and attention deficit disorder.^{1,5}

Cancer represents the transformation of a cell whose growth is normally tightly controlled into one that is no longer under strict regulation, allowing the cell to multiply uncontrollably and even metastasize. This dramatic alteration in cellular control arises as a consequence of the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic changes: activated oncogenes speed up cell growth through the acquisition of gain-of-function mutations, whereas tumour suppressor genes (TSGs) promote progression by acquiring loss-of-function mutations. TSGs typically encode proteins involved in growth regulation, apoptosis initiation, cellular adhesion and DNA repair. In accordance with Knudson's two-hit hypothesis,⁶ both alleles of a TSG must be inactivated for cellular transformation to occur. Typically, a patient will inherit a germline mutation in one TSG allele; a second-hit or somatic mutation then occurs post-fertilisation, thereby inactivating the remaining wild-type allele. Somatic mutation is thus a key event in cancers associated with TSG inactivation. Upon transformation, a cell may acquire many additional somatic mutations elsewhere in the genome, a few of which actively encourage tumour progression, designated as 'driver mutations', while most occur simply because of the increased number of cell replications and are usually of unknown biological consequence and so are designated as 'passenger mutations'.⁷

The NF1 gene encodes neurofibromin, a negative regulator of the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway. NF1 is a TSG and, consistent with Knudson's two-hit hypothesis, most patients carry (in all their cells) both a normal and a dysfunctional NF1 gene copy — the latter harbouring the inherited (germline) mutation. It may be inferred that any tumours that arise will have acquired a second, somatic 'hit' that inactivates the normal NF1 allele, resulting in a complete loss of functional neurofibromin; a double hit $(NF1^{-/-})$ is critical for NF1 tumorigenesis to occur.^{8,9} The question as to why only a few of these benign tumours eventually go on to become malignant, however, is still puzzling. Consistent with a central role for neurofibromin in cellular function, recent cancer genome sequencing studies have found that somatic NF1 gene mutations occur not only in association with NF1, but also in a number of other common cancers. $^{10-16}$

In the context of NF1, few genotype-phenotype correlations are evident. Indeed, marked intrafamilial variation in terms of the clinical phenotype is common.^{5,17} The existence of such families is perhaps an indication of the importance of the second hit, since differences in the type and timing of somatic NF1 mutations may help to explain the variability in patient phenotype.¹⁸ An appreciation of the spectrum of somatic mutations in NF1-associated tumours is therefore essential if we are to understand the molecular pathways involved — itself a prerequisite for improvements in clinical treatment and the development of new therapeutics. This paper attempts to collate and review the spectrum of somatic NF1 mutations so far reported in NF1-associated tumours, with a view to assessing how they may serve to induce tumour growth and whether or not any genotype-phenotype correlation may be discerned

The *NF1* gene: Structure and function

The NF1 gene spans 283 kilobases (kb) of genomic DNA at $17q11.2^{19}$ and contains 61 exons.^{3,20} Neurofibromin, the 327 kDa protein encoded by the NF1 gene, is translated from a 12 kb messenger mRNA transcript, and has a number of alternative isoforms²¹⁻²⁴ (reviewed by Upadhyaya²⁵). Neurofibromin contains 2,818 amino acids and is expressed at low levels in all cells, with higher levels in the nervous system. It functions as a negative regulator of active Ras, and of the associated Ras/MAPK signalling pathway. Neurofibromin contains a Ras-specific GTPase activating protein (GAP)-related domain which interacts directly with Ras, resulting in a conformational change that greatly stimulates the intrinsic GTPase activity of the Ras protein, thus significantly accelerating the conversion of the active GTP-bound form of Ras into its inactive GDP-bound form and effecting a net decrease in overall mitogenic signalling in the cell. As the Ras/MAPK cascade is critical for the control of cellular growth and differentiation, a lack of functional neurofibromin results in the constitutive

activation of this central signalling pathway and in unregulated cell growth. $^{26}\,$

NFI tumour biology

A variety of benign and malignant tumours are associated with NF1 and all involve tumorigenesis of neural crest-derived cells. Several murine models of neurofibromatosis have both successfully recapitulated much of the NF1 human phenotype and shown that *NF1* is indeed a classical TSG.^{27,28}

Neurofibromas exhibit extensive cellular heterogeneity, being composed of hyperproliferative Schwann cells (SCs), fibroblasts, mast cells and perineural cells. The SCs have been identified as the initiating cell type in neurofibromas and it is only in these cells that the *NF1* gene becomes biallelically inactivated.²⁹ SCs are also the target for various growth factors known to stimulate neurofibroma formation and growth. What is still not known, however, is the precise cell type within the SC cell lineage in which the somatic mutation occurs, the cell type which subsequently precipitates neurofibroma growth.

Cutaneous neurofibromas are thought to arise from skin-derived precursor cells (SKPs)³⁰ and these cells may well be under hormonal control, since most such tumours develop only during puberty.³¹ Further, an increase in tumour size and number has also been noted during pregnancy, with some evidence for a postnatal decrease in tumour size.^{32,33} Almost all PNFs appear congenitally and it is thought that they are induced by a somatic NF1 mutation in SC precursors within the embryonic gestational window of 12.5-15.5 days.³⁴ It may be that this second hit does not render the SC precursor tumorigenic, but instead induces aberrant axonal segregation.³⁵ The extracellularly expressed transmembranal guidance protein, Sema4F, is strongly downregulated in neurofibromas and it has been suggested that this somehow indirectly promotes SC proliferation by rendering these cells more responsive to environmental signals, possibly through inhibition of axonal re-attachment.³⁶ In this way, the disruption of normal SC axonal interactions leads to neurofibroma development. An NF1^{-/+} haploinsufficient cellular environment is also considered necessary, probably because of the growth advantage conferred by the signalling deficiency due to reduced neurofibromin levels. Indeed, Le et al.³⁰ found that NF1 inactivation is necessary, although not sufficient, for neurofibroma formation, highlighting the importance of the tumour microenvironment. There is some evidence to indicate that the haploin sufficiency $(NF1^{-/+})$ of the other supporting cells (fibroblasts, mast cells and perineurial cells) cooperates in neurofibroma development.³⁷ Additionally, it has been shown that $NF1^{-1/+}$ haploinsufficient mast cells readily migrate into preneoplastic nerves, probably in response to Kit ligand, which exhibits four-fold increased levels in nullizygous SCs as compared to normal SCs.^{38,39} The molecular mechanisms underlying both PNF and cutaneous neurofibroma formation are becoming clearer, although the major details are still lacking. It would appear that the key to understanding neurofibroma formation lies in the elucidation of the precise molecular interactions of the haploinsufficient tumour microenvironment within the initial cell type harbouring the biallelically inactivated NF1 gene.

NFI-associated tumours

Cutaneous neurofibromas and PNFs

Neurofibromas are a characteristic feature of NF1 and have a diverse clinical presentation. They are classified as grade 1 tumours by the World Health Organization; they have multiple forms and may affect nerves in any body location. Tumours derived from skin sensory nerves are designated dermal or cutaneous neurofibromas, and usually present as discrete tumours that remain associated with a single nerve ending. Approximately 20–50 per cent of cutaneous neurofibromas exhibit loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the *NF1* locus and the majority of these lesions appear to be due to mitotic recombination.^{40–42} Tumours associated with larger nerves within the skin may spread within the dermis and appear as a diffuse mass. PNFs are much larger tumours, usually associated with major nerve trunks and nerve plexi. They are generally slow growing, may develop at both internal and external body locations and can often result in major disfigurement. PNFs occur in some 30–50 per cent of patients with NF1 and, although these tumours generally remain benign, some neurological impairment may result from their growth. Approximately 10–15 per cent of PNFs may become malignant.

While the genetic basis of neurofibroma development is still not fully understood, biallelic *NF1* inactivation does seem to be required, as all tumour cells harbour both a constitutional and a somatic *NF1* gene mutation.⁵ About 70 per cent of PNFs have been reported to display LOH at the *NF1* locus;²⁰ however, there is no obvious correlation between the type or location of germline *NF1* mutations in NF1 patients and those of their somatic counterparts arising in their tumours.²⁰

Another interesting, although as yet unexplained, observation is that a few patients mildly affected by NF1 who never develop any cutaneous neurofibromas or PNFs have been shown to carry the same germline NF1 mutation (c.2970-2972delAAT) — namely, an in-frame 3-base pair (bp) deletion that leads to the loss of a methionine residue.³

MPNSTs

Cells derived from within some 10-15 per cent of PNFs may eventually undergo malignant transformation into an MPNST. MPNSTs are aggressive and highly invasive soft tissue sarcomas with an annual incidence of 0.16 per cent in NF1 patients, compared with only 0.001 per cent in the normal population,⁴³ and with a lifetime risk of 8-13 per cent in NF1 individuals^{44,45} (reviewed by Upadhyaya⁴). This form of malignancy represents a major cause of morbidity and mortality in NF1. Malignant transformation usually appears to evolve from within a pre-existing PNE⁴⁶ The distinction between benign PNFs and MPNSTs has been sensitively visualised by noninvasive [¹⁸F]-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) imaging.47 suggesting a potential role for FDG-PET-based noninvasive imaging in future diagnostic tests. The aberrant molecular pathways that underlie this malignant transformation are still largely unknown, and considerable effort is being directed towards elucidating the molecular defects involved.

NF1 patients carrying large (usually 1.4-megabase [Mb]) genomic deletions (which remove the entire *NF1* gene plus a variable number of flanking genes) have an increased risk of MPNST development in certain patient groups.^{48,49} Indeed, over 90 per cent of MPNSTs have been found to harbour large NF1 somatic deletions.²⁰ More recently, significantly increased frequencies (relative to the general NF1 population) of PNFs, subcutaneous neurofibromas, spinal neurofibromas and MPNSTs have also been reported in association with molecularly ascertained 1.4 Mb type-1 NF1 deletions.⁵⁰ The MPNSTassociated deletion breakpoints have been found not to involve the paralogous repetitive sequences that are involved in most germline NF1 deletions.¹⁸ The smallest common region of somatic deletion overlap is, however, restricted to approximately the same ~ 2.2 Mb interval that contains most of the genes deleted in recurrent constitutional NF1 deletions.⁵¹

Although it is clear that biallelic NF1 gene inactivation is required for transformation to occur, mutations at the NF1 locus are insufficient to explain the process of tumorigenesis, as most benign neurofibromas also exhibit such biallelic NF1 inactivation. The best evidence for the involvement of other loci relates to the tumour protein 53 gene (TP53), for which several different mutations have been found in MPNSTs that have been reported in benign neurofibronot mas.^{4,20,52,53} Mice with heterozygous mutations in both their Nf1 and Tp53 genes developed malignancy,^{27,54} an indication, perhaps, that TP53 loss is critical to transformation. The homozygous loss of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A gene (CDKN2A), which encodes p16INK4A and p14ARF, has also been associated with NF1 malignancy.^{55–57} Another recent report has indicated that phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10 gene (PTEN) dosage, and/or phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT8 virus oncogene cellular homologue (PI3K/AKT) pathway activation, may be rate-limiting steps in NF1 malignant transformation.⁵⁸ As yet, however, no characteristic gene expression signature has been defined for MPNST development, although several cellcycle and signalling regulation genes: — cyclindependent kinase inhibitor (*CDKN2A*); tumour protein 53 (*TP53*); retinoblastoma 1 (*RB1*); epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*); CD44 antigen (*CD44*); platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (*PDGFRA*); hepatocyte growth factor (*HGF*); proto-oncogene protein (*C-MET*) and transcription factor (*SOX9*) — are frequently deregulated.⁴

Recent studies of the micro-RNA expression profile of MPNSTs have expanded the pathogenic spectrum associated with this tumour. For example, microRNA-34a (miR-34a) is downregulated in MPNSTs; this microRNA (miRNA) regulates many cell cycle genes and is also upregulated by p53, suggesting that TP53 loss would lead to downregulation of miR-34a and possibly several other miRNAs. This implies that this could be a critical event in malignant transformation.⁵⁹ In similar vein, miR-10b has been reported to be upregulated in SCs from NF1 tumours, while miR-10b inhibition reduced MPNST cell proliferation, migration and invasion.⁶⁰ NF1 mRNA is also a specific target for miR-10b,⁶⁰ indicating that these miRNAs represent potential therapeutic targets.

Spinal neurofibromas

About 40 per cent of NF1 patients present with tumours involving their spinal nerves. This is especially marked in individuals affected with familial spinal neurofibromatosis (FSNF), a variant form of NF1 in which bilateral tumours involving multiple spinal nerve roots are often the only manifestation of NF1.⁶¹⁻⁶³ Patients with FSNF have been reported to be significantly more likely to harbour missense or splice-site germline mutations compared with patients with classical NF1.⁶⁴ A recent study of the *NF1* locus found LOH in eight of 22 spinal tumours analysed, with most (75 per cent) of this LOH being due to mitotic recombination rather than genomic deletions.⁶⁴

Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs)

GISTs are the most common mesenchymal tumours of the gastrointestinal tract. Although most GISTs harbour activating somatic mutations of *KIT* and *PDGFRA*, the absence of such mutations from NF1-associated GISTs (NF1-GISTs) is probably indicative of a different pathogenetic mechanism. In NF1, the majority (60 per cent) of GISTs develop in the small intestine, whereas sporadic non-NF1 GISTs mainly involve the stomach.⁶⁵

Somatic NF1 mutations have been identified in the interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC) throughout the gastrointestinal tract and in NF1-GISTs lacking KIT or PDGRA mutations.⁶⁶ Increased signalling through the Ras/MAPK pathway has also been shown to occur in NF1-GISTS, as opposed to sporadic GISTs. This would seem to indicate that a decrease in neurofibromin level, in the presence of normal c-KIT and PDGFRA levels, leads to tumour formation. It also suggests that NF1 haploinsufficiency is required for ICC hyperplasia, again demonstrating that, although a somatic NF1 mutation is absolutely necessary, it is not sufficient to permit tumorigenesis: additional genetic events required. These observations concur with Knudson's two-hit hypothesis. Somatic inactivation of the NF1 gene through gene deletion; intragenic deletion; and LOH through mitotic recombination have also been described. 66,67

Gastric carcinoid

Gastric carcinoid tumours are associated with multiple endocrine neoplasia, atrophic gastritis and pernicious anaemia but are very rare in NF1.¹⁷ LOH at the *NF1* locus has been demonstrated in a gastric carcinoid tumour derived from an NF1 patient.⁶⁷

Juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia (JMML)

Young NF1 patients are at particular risk of developing JMML,⁶⁸ a clonal haematopoietic disorder characterised by hypersensitivity (at least *in vitro*) to granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF). Moreover, some 15–20 per cent of JMML patients harbour a somatic *NF1* inactivating mutation, even though most exhibit no other NF1 symptoms.⁶⁹ Patients may also carry inactivating mutations of other genes, with a recent study identifying that 70-80 per cent of mutations involve genes in the Ras/MAPK pathway, including one tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 11 (PTPN11), neuroblastoma RAS viral oncogene homologue (NRAS), and v-Ki-ras2 kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homologue (KRAS) as well as NF1 genes.⁷⁰ Additional somatic mutations have also been reported in the casitas B-lineage lymphoma (CBL) and additional sex combs-like 1 (ASXL1) genes.⁷¹ In most cases, the NF1 gene is lost either via LOH or by compound heterozygous microlesions,⁷² which lead to a complete loss of neurofibromin and hyperactive signalling through the Ras/MAPK pathway. LOH may occur through 1.2-1.4 Mb interstitial deletions mediated by low copy number repeat (LCR) elements that flank the NF1 gene.⁷³ LOH through uniparental interstitial isodisomy (50-52.7 Mb) of chromosome 17 through double mitotic recombination, in an as-yet-unknown initiator cell, has also been reported.⁷² The rarity of such events may indicate the existence of a selective advantage, conferred upon the $NF1^{-/-}$ cells, which might explain the propensity of NF1 patients to develop leukaemia.⁷⁴

Astrocytomas (ACs)

Optic pathway tumours or ACs are found in ~15 per cent of paediatric NF1 patients,⁷⁵ with the complete loss of neurofibromin evident in NF1-associated optic gliomas.⁷⁶ Approximately 84 per cent of NF1-associated ACs also exhibit LOH in the *NF1* region, with many tumours also exhibiting LOH of 17p, suggesting the likely role of *TP53* — or other 17p13-located genes — in AC formation.⁷⁷ As with MPNSTs, biallelic somatic *NF1* mutation in ACs is, again, apparently insufficient to induce transformation.

Phaeochromocytomas (PCs)

PCs are extremely rare tumours, with only one to six cases observed per million individuals. PCs

develop from neural crest-derived chromaffin cells, and the tumour cells produce and release catecholamines, which cause hypertension and flushing. These are tumours of the adrenal medulla and are primarily associated with mutations of the Ret proto oncogene (*RET*), von Hippel-Lindau (*VHL*), succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit B (*SDHB*), succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit C (*SDHC*), and succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit D (*SDHD*) genes, although LOH in the *NF1* region, as well as LOH of other loci on both 17q and 17p, have been observed.^{78,79}

Glomus tumours

Glomus tumours are small (<5 mm), benign, but often very painful tumours that develop specifically within the highly innervated glomus body located at the end of each digit. These tumours appear to develop from α -smooth muscle actin-positive cells that have undergone biallelic *NF1* inactivation, resulting in increased Ras/MAPK activity.⁸⁰ The somatic *NF1* mutations often differ between glomus tumours, indicating highly specific tumorigenic events. Brems *et al.*⁸⁰ have suggested that glomus tumours, although rare, should now be recognised as an integral component of the NF1 spectrum of disease.

The somatic mutational spectrum of NFI-associated tumours

A review of all published — and the authors' many unpublished — somatic *NF1* alterations associated with NF1 tumours was undertaken to gain a better appreciation of NF1 tumorigenesis. As of July 2010, at least 577 different somatic *NF1* gene changes had been reported in different NF1-associated tumours, with more than half (323/577; 56 per cent) corresponding to LOH in the *NF1* gene region, some involving much larger regions of chromosome 17 (Table S1). The level of LOH detected also differs between cutaneous neurofibromas, PNFs and MPNSTs (40 per cent, 79 per cent and 85 per cent, respectively; Table 1). Table 2 provides the incidence of LOH in the other tumour types, where
 Table 1: Contribution of LOH and NF1 micro-lesions to the somatic NF1 mutational spectrum in different types of NF1-associated tumour

Tumour type	LOH	Point mutations	Total
Dermal neurofibroma	144 (40%)	211 (60%)	355
Plexiform neurofibroma	67 (79%)	18 (21%)	85
Spinal neurofibroma	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	10
MPNST	55 (85%)	10 (15%)	65
Astrocytoma	18 (100%)	0 (0%)	18
GIST/gastric carcinoid	3 (38%)	5 (62%)	8
JMML	18 (95%)	l* (5%)	19
Phaeochromocytoma	10 (100%)	0 (0%)	10
Glomus tumour	I (I4%)	6 (86%)	7
Overall	323 (55%)	254 (44%)	577

* Compound heterozygous NF1 mutations were identified in five of six haemopoietic tumours analysed. As no other normal tissues were available in these five cases, it was not possible to distinguish between the associated germline and somatic NF1 mutations.

appropriate evidence has been obtained by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) etc; 78 per cent (28/36) of cutaneous neurofibromas, 44 per cent (11/25) of PNFs and 16 per cent (5/31) of MPNSTs display LOH resulting from mitotic recombination. Some 79 per cent (15/19) of the JMML samples that exhibited LOH appear to have lost the entire 17q arm through mitotic recombination, perhaps indicating a significant correlation with this tumour type.

Tumour DNA analysis has also identified 254 somatic NF1 gene lesions, including nonsense, missense, splice site, microdeletion/microinsertions (<20 bp), indels (combined insertion-deletion events) and larger (>20 bp) deletions/insertions (Tables 3, S2). The consequences of all deletions and insertions for the reading frame were also determined, with five sequence changes being compound heterozygous NF1 mutations found in five haemopoietic tumours; however, with no other tissue available for analysis, it was not possible to differentiate between germline and somatic NF1point mutations (Table S2). About 75 per cent

Table 2:	Mechanistic basis of the NFI gene	-associated LOH
identified	in different NFI-associated tumou	irs

Tumour type	Tumour showing mitotic recombination (number & percentile)	Tumours with genomic deletions (number & percentile)
Dermal neurofibroma	28 (76%)	8 (24%)
Plexiform neurofibroma	11 (44%)	14 (56%)
Spinal neurofibroma	7 (88%)	I (I2%)
MPNST	5 (16%)	26 (84%)
Astrocytoma	0 (0%)	2 (100%)
GIST/gastric carcinoid	l (50%)	I (50%)
JMML	15 (79%)	4 (21%)
Phaeochromocytoma	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Glomus tumour	I (100%)	0 (0%)

Tabulated information only given for tumours in which the precise LOH mechanism was identifiable.

(191/254) of the somatic mutations associated with NF1 tumours comprise mutations that are predicted to give rise to truncated proteins. Of these 191 changes, only 18 result from the insertion or duplication of bases; the remaining 173 truncations arise from deletion, nonsense mutation or frameshift events. Splice site mutations form a considerable proportion (39/254; 15.0 per cent) of the mutational spectrum, while missense changes only account for some 9.4 per cent (24/254) of the somatic *NF1* mutations.

Any attempt to make direct comparisons between the various tumour types would be unwise at this stage, owing to the paucity of somatic mutation data, especially for the less commonly encountered tumours. Table 3 nevertheless attempts to summarise the available data. The bias inherent in the data is immediately evident, with 211/254 (83 per cent) mutational changes originating from the analysis of cutaneous neurofibroma DNA. Hence, the relative frequencies of the various mutation types in cutaneous neurofibromas are essentially comparable with the germline mutational spectrum, with nonsense mutations, splice site mutations and missense

Tumour type	Mutation type									
	Deletion	Insertion	Indel	Nonsense	Splice site	Missense	Truncating	Total		
Dermal neurofibroma	82 (39%)	15 (7%)	2 (1%)	59 (28%)	32 (15%)	21 (10%)	158 (75%)	211		
Plexiform neurofibroma	6 (33%)	l (6%)	-	7 (39%)	2 (11%)	2 (11%)	14 (78%)	18		
Spinal neurofibroma	-	-	-	-	2 (66%)	l (33%)	0	3		
MPNST	7 (70%)	I (I0%)	I (I0%)	I (10%)	-	-	10 (100%)	10		
GIST/gastric carcinoid	I (20%)	-	-	3 (60%)	I (20%)	-	4 (80%)	5		
JMML*	*	*	*	I (100%)	*	*	I (I00%)	I		
Glomus tumour	2 (33%)	I (I7%)	-	I (17%)	2 (33%)	-	4 (67%)	6		
Overall	98 (39%)	18 (7%)	3 (1%)	72 (28%)	39 (15%)	24 (9%)	191 (75%)	254		

Table 3: The spectrum and percentile distribution of somatic NF1 micro-lesions reported in different NF1-associated tumours

* Compound heterozygosity of NFI mutations in several JMML tumours cases meant it was not possible to distinguish between associated germline and somatic NFI mutations.

alterations found in cutaneous neurofibromas at frequencies of 28 per cent (59/211), 15 per cent (32/ 211) and 10 per cent (21/211), respectively (Table 3). Table 3 does, however, serve to highlight the high proportion of truncating mutations (191/ 254; ~75 per cent) involved in the somatic inactivation of the *NF1* gene in all tumour types, especially cutaneous neurofibromas.

An additional comparison between the frequency distributions of somatic microlesions and LOH is made in Table 1. There appears to be a marked difference between cutaneous neurofibromas, PNFs and MPNSTs, with 40 per cent, 79 per cent and 85 per cent, respectively, of somatic mutation events represented by LOH. This may be explained in part by the extent of the molecular rearrangements in each tumour type; MPNSTs, for example, would be predicted to exhibit a greater extent of genetic aberration than a benign dermal neurofibroma. The types of analyses performed, however, will have a direct influence on such conclusions, in that either microlesions or LOH may not be screened for in some studies.

In summary, the more severe MPNSTs show a greater degree of genetic abnormality than other tumour types, with LOH constituting a much more frequent event in these tumours. Further comparison

within and between the rarer tumour types would not be valid, however, owing to the relative paucity of mutation data currently available for analysis.

Mutational mechanisms underlying the known somatic *NF1* gene lesions

Somatic inactivation of the NF1 gene may result from different mutational mechanisms and may involve intragenic mutations, LOH and epigenetic modification of the promoter region. Among the 254 somatic NF1 mutations listed in Table S2, 72 nonsense mutations were found, of which 36 involved mutations in just 15 codons in different tumours (codons 192, 304, 426, 440, 816, 1241, 1306, 1362, 1513, 1569, 1604, 1748, 1939, 1976 and 2429), with many previously reported in different tumours or different studies. Ten of these 15 different recurrent nonsense mutations involve C >T or G > A transitions within CpG dinucleotides and are compatible with the endogenous mutational mechanism of methylation-mediated deamination of 5-methylcytosine (5mC). Of these 72 nonsense mutations, 28 have also been reported as germline mutations in NF1 patients (Human Gene Mutation Database [HGMD]),⁸¹ indicating that the same mutational mechanism is operating in both the soma and germline. The importance of this mutational mechanism is evidenced by the finding that 12 of the 15 recurrent somatic nonsense mutations have also been reported independently in the germline (codons 192, 304, 426, 440, 816, 1241, 1306, 1362, 1513, 1569, 1748 and 2429). For the ten of these 15 nonsense mutations that correspond to C > T or G > A transitions within CpG dinucleotides, we may infer that the mutated cytosine must be methylated both in the soma and in the germline, thereby explaining the vulnerability of these sites to methylation-mediated deamination in both cell lineages.

Among the somatic NF1 mutations listed in Table S2 are 21 different missense mutations. Of these, two (in codons 519 and 776) have been reported more than once in different tumours or different studies, although neither is compatible with methylation-mediated deamination of 5mC. Of the 21 missense mutations, only one (in codon 176) has also been reported in the germline (see HGMD). Since this Asp176Glu mutation has also been reported more than once in NF1-associated tumours, it may well be that this residue is of importance for the function of neurofibromin in both the soma and the germline. Furthermore, this residue is conserved in different species, including Drosophila and Fugu, and has not been identified in 250 unrelated normal individuals.

Nonsense mutations are not the only type of NF1 mutation to occur recurrently in the soma. Among the somatic NF1 microdeletions listed in Table S2 are five that have been reported more than once in different tumours (c.1888delG, c.2033delC, c.3058delG, c.4374_4375delCC and c.5731delT) with three microdeletions occurring in mononucleotide tracts (G₄, C₇ and T₃, respectively), suggestive of a model of slipped mispairing at the DNA replication fork. Importantly, c.2033delC has also been reported in the germline (see HGMD), indicating that this tetranucleotide stretch is a hotspot for mutation in both the germline and the soma. A microinsertion (c.1733insT, located within a T₆ tract) has also been found to occur recurrently in the soma but this has not so far been reported in the germline. The reader interested in a detailed comparative analysis of germline and somatic mutations in human TSGs is referred to Ivanov *et al.*⁸²

NFI gene somatic mutations in non-NFI-associated tumours

Various studies have identified somatic NF1 gene mutations in non-NF1-associated cancers. Thus, somatic NF1 aberrations have been identified in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) tumours, lung adenocarcinomas, malignant breast tumours, leukaemia, ovarian serous carcinomas (OSCs) and neuroblastoma.^{10-12,14-16,83} Some of the NF1 gene changes are relatively frequent in these tumours and therefore have the potential to represent specific prognostic and diagnostic markers. For example, 23 per cent of sporadic GBM tumours harbour an inactivating NF1 somatic mutation, and this may enable such GBM tumours to differentiate into the mesenchymal molecular subclass.¹³ Similarly, in 22 per cent (9/41) of primary OSCs, an NF1 mutation was detected, six inactivation.¹² which exhibited biallelic of Interestingly, all nine of these OSC samples also contained a TP53 mutation, highlighting the likely involvement of this TSG in OSC pathogenesis.¹²

Given the pivotal role that neurofibromin plays in several cell signalling pathways, it is not surprising that its loss will affect distinct molecular subtypes in different cancers. Indeed, the efficacy of any future therapeutic intervention for many tumours will almost certainly hinge upon our ability successfully to identify such molecular subclasses of tumour.

Prospects for the development of new treatments/therapies

As the complex picture underlying the molecular nature of NF1 tumorigenesis becomes better defined, the treatment regimens available to patients should greatly improve. Although the future is encouraging, the optimal treatment for NF1 tumours currently rests with their surgical resection, in spite of the high chance of recurrent malignancy. Gottfried and colleagues⁸⁴ have suggested that the recruitment of supporting cells around the

neurofibroma, coupled with aberrant Remak bundles, could explain how the neurofibroma integrates into the surrounding tissue, and it is this that may lead to the surgical difficulties that often lead to tumour recurrence. Moreover, it has been suggested that surgical interference may even increase the recruitment of surrounding cell types, thereby inadvertently increasing the growth of lesions leading to the formation of new neurofibromas.⁸⁴ Surgical biopsy is therefore inherently problematic, and novel therapeutics are urgently required. Clinical and preclinical trials targeting different components of the Ras/MAPK signalling pathway and related growth factor receptors appear to be more promising. It is likely, however, that treatment with multiple drugs may be more effective for NF1 tumours.⁵

Concluding remarks

Biallelic inactivation of the NF1 gene, resulting in the complete loss of functional neurofibromin, initiates the pathogenic process that eventually results in the formation of nerve sheath tumours. NF1 gene inactivation may occur through relatively subtle lesions that affect just a few DNA bases, or may involve large genomic changes that affect large chromosomal regions, or even the entire chromo-This review demonstrates some 17. that NF1-associated tumour types display a considerable degree of variation in terms of the level of LOH detected, with cutaneous neurofibromas, PNFs and MPNSTs. MPNSTs manifest increased levels of deletion-based LOH, whereas cutaneous neurofibromas appear to be associated with a localised deletion of the NF1 gene through mitotic recombination (the situation in PNFs being somewhat intermediate). In MPNSTs, additional mutations at different gene loci are almost certainly involved in the progression of the tumour.

In terms of the molecular mechanisms of mutagenesis, both methylation-mediated deamination of 5-methylcytosine and slipped mispairing within polynucleotide tracts appear to be responsible for the occurrence of mutation hotspots in both the germline and the soma. For some types of tumour, there is interplay between the soma and the germline, in that the location of the germline mutation can influence the nature, frequency and location of the subsequent somatic mutation.^{85,86} As yet, however, there is no evidence for this phenomenon in the context of NF1 tumorigenesis.

Although our knowledge of the role of the NF1 gene in tumorigenesis is ever expanding, definitive markers of malignant transformation remain to be discovered. Mouse and other animal models, including zebrafish,⁸⁷ have provided new perspectives for research, with various knockout and mutagenesis studies potentiating functional studies. It is already clear that, in order to clarify the role of the NF1 gene in NF1-associated tumours, we must improve our understanding of the significance of the somatic (second-hit) mutations. The brief assessment of the compilation of somatic NF1 mutations in NF1-associated tumour types reported here failed to unearth any specific genotypic correlations. The limited size of the mutation dataset means that reliable conclusions are hard to draw, and that larger and better-defined patient groups will be needed, to allow more reliable comparisons to be made. Additionally, definitive prognostic markers should be identified that permit differentiation between benign neurofibromas that are likely to progress to malignancy and those that are not.

This review nevertheless emphasises that NF1 is a highly individual condition that exhibits extreme somatic mutational heterogeneity both within and between patients. These are the mutations which are ultimately responsible for the molecular changes that can lead to tumour formation. If we can come to understand how these changes bring about tumorigenesis, we shall be better placed not only with respect to the provision of genetic counselling, but also in terms of exploring new avenues for the development of new drug-based therapies.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all our NF1 patients and their families for their support. We also thank Laura Thomas and Gill Spurlock for their help with the compilation of mutation data.

References

- Huson, S., Harper, P. and Compston, D. (1988), 'Von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis. A clinical and population study in south-east Wales', *Brain* Vol. 111, pp. 1355–1381.
- Lammert, M., Friedman, J.M., Kluwe, L. and Mautner, V.F. (2005), 'Prevalence of neurofibromatosis 1 in German children at elementary school enrollment', Arch. Dermatol. Vol. 141, pp. 71–74.
- Upadhyaya, M., Huson, S., Davies, M., Thomas, N. et al. (2007), 'An absence of cutaneous neurofibromas associated with a 3-bp inframe deletion in exon 17 of the NF1 gene (c.2970-2972 delAAT): Evidence of a clinically significant NF1 genotype-phenotype correlation', Am. J. Hum. Genet. Vol. 80, pp. 140–151.
- Upadhyaya, M. (2011), 'Genetic basis of tumorigenesis in NF1 malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors', *Front. Biosci.* Vol. 16, pp. 937–951.
- Upadhyaya, M. (2010), 'Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1): Diagnosis and recent advances', *Expert Opin. Med. Genet.* Vol. 4, pp. 307–322.
- Knudson, A. J. (1971), 'Mutation and cancer: Statistical study of retinoblastoma', Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 68, pp. 820–823.
- Pao, W. and Girard, N. (2011), 'New driver mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer', *Lancet Oncol.* Vol. 12, pp. 175–180.
- Sawada, S., Florell, S., Purandare, S., Ota, M. et al. (1996), 'Identification of NF1 mutations in both alleles of a dermal neurofibroma', *Nat. Genet.* Vol. 14, pp. 110–112.
- Serra, E., Puig, S., Otero, D., Gaona, A. et al. (1997), 'Confirmation of a double-hit model for the NF1 gene in benign neurofibromas', *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* Vol. 61, pp. 512–519.
- Parsons, D.W., Jones, S., Zhang, X., Lin, J.C. *et al.* (2008), 'An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme', *Science* Vol. 321, pp. 1807–1812.
- Ding, L., Getz, G., Wheeler, D., Mardis, E. *et al.* (2008), 'Somatic mutations affect key pathways in lung adenocarcinoma', *Nature* Vol. 455, pp. 1069–1075.
- Sangha, N., Wu, R., Kuick, R., Powers, S. et al. (2008), 'Neurofibromin 1 (NF1) defects are common in human ovarian serous carcinomas and co-occur with TP53 mutations', *Neoplasia* Vol. 10, pp. 1362–1372.
- Brennan, C., Momota, H., Hambardzumyan, D., Ozawa, T. et al. (2009), 'Glioblastoma subclasses can be defined by activity among signal transduction pathways and associated genomic alterations', *PLoS One* Vol. 4, p. e7752.
- McGillicuddy, L.T., Fromm, J.A., Hollstein, P.E., Kubek, S. et al. (2009), 'Proteasomal and genetic inactivation of the NF1 tumor suppressor in gliomagenesis', *Cancer Cell* Vol. 16, pp. 44– 54.
- Haferlach, C., Dicker, F., Kohlmann, A., Schindela, S. *et al.* (2010), 'AML with CBFB-MYH11 rearrangement demonstrate RAS pathway alterations in 92% of all cases including a high frequency of NF1 del-etions', *Leukemia* Vol. 24, pp. 1065–1069.
- Hölzel, M., Huang, S., Koster, J., Ora, I. *et al.* (2010), 'NF1 is a tumor suppressor in neuroblastoma that determines retinoic acid response and disease outcome', *Cell* Vol. 142, pp. 218–229.
- Easton, D.F., Ponder, M.A., Huson, S.M. and Ponder, B.A. (2008), 'An analysis of variation in expression of neurofibromatosis (NF) type 1 (NF1): Evidence for modifying genes', *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* Vol 53, pp. 305–313.
- Kehrer-Sawatzki, H. and Cooper, D.N. (2008), 'Mosaicism in sporadic neurofibromatosis type 1: Variations on a theme common to other hereditary cancer syndromes?', J. Med. Genet. Vol. 45, pp. 622–631.
- Ballester, R., Marchuk, D., Boguski, M., Saulino, A. *et al.* (1990), 'The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins', *Cell* Vol. 63, pp. 851–859.
- Upadhyaya, M., Kluwe, L., Spurlock, G., Monem, B. *et al.* (2008), 'Germline and somatic NF1 gene mutation spectrum in NF1-associated malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs)', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 29, pp. 74–82.
- Andersen, L., Ballester, R., Marchuk, D., Chang, E. *et al.* (1993), 'A conserved alternative splice in the von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis (NF1) gene produces two neurofibromin isoforms, both of which

have GTPase-activating protein activity', Mol. Cell. Biol. Vol. 13, pp. 487-495.

- Danglot, G., Régnier, V., Fauvet, D., Vassal, G. et al. (1995), 'Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) mRNAs expressed in the central nervous system are differentially spliced in the 5' part of the gene', *Hum. Mol. Genet.* Vol. 4, pp. 915–920.
- Kaufmann, D., Müller, R., Kenner, O., Leistner, W. et al. (2002), 'The N-terminal splice product NF1-10a-2 of the NF1 gene codes for a transmembrane segment', *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* Vol. 294, pp. 496–503.
- Gutmann, D., Geist, R., Rose, K. and Wright, D. (1995), 'Expression of two new protein isoforms of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product, neurofibromin, in muscle tissues', *Dev. Dyn.* Vol. 202, pp. 302–311.
- Upadhyaya, M. (2008), 'NF1 gene structure and NF1 genotype/phenotype correlations', In: Kaufinann, D. (ed.), *Neurofibromatoses*, Karger, Basel, pp. 46–62.
- Bennett, E., Thomas, N. and Upadhyaya, M. (2009), 'Neurofibromatosis type 1: Its association with the Ras/MAPK pathway syndromes', *J. Paediatr. Neurol.* Vol. 7, pp. 105–115.
- Cichowski, K., Shih, T., Schmitt, E., Santiago, S. *et al.* (1999), 'Mouse models of tumor development in neurofibromatosis type 1', *Science* Vol. 286, pp. 2172–2176.
- Cichowski, K. and Jacks, T. (2001), 'NF1 tumor suppressor gene function: Narrowing the GAP', Cell Vol. 104, pp. 593–604.
- Serra, E., Rosenbaum, T., Winner, U., Aledo, R. et al. (2000), 'Schwann cells harbor the somatic NF1 mutation in neurofibromas: Evidence of two different Schwann cell subpopulations', *Hum. Mol. Genet.* Vol. 9, pp. 3055–3064.
- Le, L., Shipman, T., Burns, D. and Parada, L. (2009), 'Cell of origin and microenvironment contribution for NF1-associated dermal neurofibromas', *Cell Stem Cell* Vol. 4, pp. 453–463.
- McLaughlin, M.E. and Jacks, T. (2003), 'Progesterone receptor expression in neurofibromas', *Cancer Res.* Vol. 63, pp. 752–755.
- Dugoff, L. and Sujansky, E. (1996), 'Neurofibromatosis type 1 and pregnancy', Am. J. Med. Genet. Vol. 66, pp. 7–10.
- 33. Roth, T., Ramamurthy, P., Muir, D., Wallace, M. et al. (2008), 'Influence of hormones and hormone metabolites on the growth of Schwann cells derived from embryonic stem cells and on tumor cell lines expressing variable levels of neurofibromin', *Dev. Dyn.* Vol. 237, pp. 513–524.
- Wu, J., Williams, J., Rizvi, T., Kordich, J. et al. (2008), 'Plexiform and dermal neurofibromas and pigmentation are caused by Nf1 loss in desert hedgehog-expressing cells', *Cancer Cell* Vol. 13, pp. 105–116.
- Joseph, N., Mosher, J., Buchstaller, J., Snider, P. et al. (2008), 'The loss of Nf1 transiently promotes self-renewal but not tumorigenesis by neural crest stem cells', *Cancer Cell* Vol. 13, pp. 129–140.
- Parrinello, S., Noon, L., Harrisingh, M., Digby, P. et al. (2008), 'NF1 loss disrupts Schwann cell-axonal interactions: A novel role for semaphorin 4F', *Genes Dev.* Vol. 22, pp. 3335–3348.
- Zhu, Y., Ghosh, P., Charnay, P., Burns, D. et al. (2002), 'Neurofibromas in NF1: Schwann cell origin and role of tumor environment', *Science* Vol. 296, pp. 920–922.
- Ingram, D., Yang, F., Travers, J., Wenning, M. et al. (2000), 'Genetic and biochemical evidence that haploinsufficiency of the Nf1 tumor suppressor gene modulates melanocyte and mast cell fates in vivo', J. Exp. Med. Vol. 191, pp. 181–188.
- Yang, E.C., Ingram, D.A., Chen, S., Zhu, Y. et al. (2008), 'Nf1-dependent tumors require a microenvironment containing Nf1+/- and c-kit-dependent bone marrow', Cell Vol. 135, pp. 437–448.
- Serra, E., Rosenbaum, T., Nadal, M., Winner, U. et al. (2001), 'Mitotic recombination effects homozygosity for NF1 germline mutations in neurofibromas', Nat. Genet. Vol. 28, pp. 294–296.
- Thomas, L., Kluwe, L., Chuzhanova, N., Mautner, V. et al. (2010), 'Analysis of NF1 somatic mutations in cutaneous neurofibromas from patients with high tumor burden', *Neurogenetics* Vol. 11, pp. 391–400.
- Garcia-Linares, C., Fernandez-Rodriguez, J., Terribas, E., Mercade, J. et al. (2011), 'Dissecting loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in neurofibromatosis type 1-associated neurofibromas: Importance of copy neutral LOH', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 32, pp. 78–90.

- Ducatman, B., Scheithauer, B., Piepgras, D., Reiman, H. et al. (1986), 'Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. A clinicopathologic study of 120 cases', *Cancer* Vol. 57, pp. 2006–2021.
- Evans, D., Baser, M., McGaughran, J., Sharif, S. et al. (2002), 'Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours in neurofibromatosis 1', J. Med. Genet. Vol. 39, pp. 311-314.
- McCaughan, J., Holloway, S., Davidson, R. and Lam, W. (2007), 'Further evidence of the increased risk for malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour from a Scottish cohort of patients with neurofibromatosis type 1', J. Med. Genet. Vol. 44, pp. 463–466.
- 46. Spurlock, G., Griffiths, S., Uff, J. and Upadhyaya, M. (2007), 'Somatic alterations of the NF1 gene in an NF1 individual with multiple benign tumours (internal and external) and malignant tumour types', *Fam. Cancer* Vol. 6, pp. 463–471.
- Benz, M.R., Czernin, J., Dry, S.M., Tap, W.D. et al. (2010), 'Quantitative F18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography accurately characterizes peripheral nerve sheath tumors as malignant or benign', *Cancer* Vol. 116, pp. 451–458.
- De Raedt, T., Brems, H., Wolkenstein, P., Vidaud, D. et al. (2003), 'Elevated risk for MPNST in NF1 microdeletion patients', Am. J. Hum. Genet. Vol. 72, pp. 1288–1292.
- Upadhyaya, M., Spurlock, G., Majounie, E., Griffiths, S. et al. (2006), 'The heterogeneous nature of germline mutations in NF1 patients with malignant peripheral serve sheath tumours (MPNSTs)', Hum. Mutat. Vol. 27, pp. 716.
- Mautner, V.F., Kluwe, L., Friedrich, R.E., Roehl, A.C. *et al.* (2010), 'Clinical characterisation of 29 neurofibromatosis type-1 patients with molecularly ascertained 1.4 Mb type-1 NF1 deletions', *J. Med. Genet.* Vol. 47, pp. 623–630.
- Pasmant, E., Vidaud, D., Harrison, M. and Upadhyaya, M. (2010), 'Different sized somatic NF1 locus rearrangements in neurofibromatosis 1-associated malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors', *J. Neurooncol.* Vol. 102, pp. 341–346.
- Legius, E., Dierick, H., Wu, R., Hall, B. et al. (1994), 'TP53 mutations are frequent in malignant NF1 tumors', *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* Vol. 10, pp. 250–255.
- Menon, A., Anderson, K., Riccardi, V., Chung, R. et al. (1990), 'Chromosome 17p deletions and p53 gene mutations associated with the formation of malignant neurofibrosarcomas in von Recklinghausen neu-rofibromatosis', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* Vol. 87, pp. 5435–5439.
- Vogel, K., Klesse, L., Velasco-Miguel, S., Meyers, K. *et al.* (1999), 'Mouse tumor model for neurofibromatosis type 1', *Science* Vol. 286, pp. 2176–2179.
- Kourea, H., Orlow, I., Scheithauer, B., Cordon-Cardo, C. et al. (1999), 'Deletions of the INK4A gene occur in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors but not in neurofibromas', Am. J. Pathol. Vol. 155, pp. 1855–1860.
- Mantripragada, K., Spurlock, G., Kluwe, L., Chuzhanova, N. et al. (2008), 'High-resolution DNA copy number profiling of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors using targeted microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization', *Clin. Cancer Res.* Vol. 14, pp. 1015–1024.
- Nielsen, G., Stemmer-Rachamimov, A., Ino, Y., Moller, M. et al. (1999), 'Malignant transformation of neurofibromas in neurofibromatosis 1 is associated with CDKN2A/p16 inactivation', Am. J. Pathol. Vol. 155, pp. 1879–1884.
- Gregorian, C., Nakashima, J., Dry, S., Nghiemphu, P. et al. (2009), 'PTEN dosage is essential for neurofibroma development and malignant transformation', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* Vol. 106, pp. 19479–19484.
- Subramanian, S., Thayanithy, V., West, R., Lee, C. *et al.* (2010), 'Genome-wide transcriptome analyses reveal p53 inactivation mediated loss of miR-34a expression in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours', *J. Pathol.* Vol. 220, pp. 58–70.
- Chai, G., Liu, N., Ma, J., Li, H. *et al.* (2010), 'MicroRNA-10b regulates tumorigenesis in neurofibromatosis type 1', *Cancer Sci.* Vol. 101, pp. 1997–2004.
- 61. Ars, E., Kruyer, H., Gaona, A., Casquero, P. et al. (1998), 'A clinical variant of neurofibromatosis type 1: Familial spinal neurofibromatosis with a

frameshift mutation in the NF1 gene', Am. J. Hum. Genet. Vol. 62, pp. 834-841.

- Poyhonen, M., Leisti, E., Kytölä, S. and Leisti, J. (1997), 'Hereditary spinal neurofibromatosis: A rare form of NF1?', J. Med. Genet. Vol. 34, pp. 184–187.
- Pulst, S.M., Riccardi, V.M., Fain, P. and Korenberg, J.R. (1991), 'Familial spinal neurofibromatosis: Clinical and DNA linkage analysis', *Neurology* Vol. 41, pp. 1923–1927.
- Upadhyaya, M., Spurlock, G., Kluwe, L., Chuzhanova, N. et al. (2009), 'The spectrum of somatic and germline NF1 mutations in NF1 patients with spinal neurofibromas', *Neurogenetics* Vol. 10, pp. 251–263.
- Miettinen, M., Fetsch, J., Sobin, L. and Lasota, J. (2006), 'Gastrointestinal stromal tumors in patients with neurofibromatosis 1: A clinicopathologic and molecular genetic study of 45 cases', *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* Vol. 30, pp. 90–96.
- Maertens, O., Prenen, H., Debiec-Rychter, M., Wozniak, A. et al. (2006), 'Molecular pathogenesis of multiple gastrointestinal stromal tumors in NF1 patients', *Hum. Mol. Genet.* Vol. 15, pp. 1015–1023.
- Stewart, W., Traynor, J.P., Cooke, A., Griffiths, S. *et al.* (2007), 'Gastric carcinoid: Germline and somatic mutation of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene', *Fam. Cancer*, Vol. 6, pp. 147–152.
- Stiller, C., Chessells, J. and Fitchett, M. (1994), 'Neurofibromatosis and childhood leukaemia/lymphoma: a population-based UKCCSG study', *Br. J. Cancer* Vol. 70, pp. 969–972.
- 69. Flotho, C., Steinemann, D., Mullighan, C., Neale, G. et al. (2007), 'Genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis in juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia identifies uniparental disomy surrounding the NF1 locus in cases associated with neurofibromatosis but not in cases with mutant RAS or PTPN11', Oncogene Vol. 26, pp. 5816–5821.
- Yoshimi, A., Kojima, S. and Hirano, N. (2010), 'Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia: Epidemiology, etiopathogenesis, diagnosis, and management considerations', *Paediatr. Drugs* Vol. 12, pp. 11–21.
- Sugimoto, Y., Muramatsu, H., Makishima, H., Prince, C. et al. (2009), 'Spectrum of molecular defects in juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia includes ASXL1 mutations', *Br. J. Haematol.* Vol. 150, pp. 83–87.
- Steinemann, D., Arning, L., Praulich, I., Stuhrmann, M. et al. (2010), 'Mitotic recombination and compound-heterozygous mutations are predominant NF1-inactivating mechanisms in children with juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia and neurofibromatosis type 1', *Haematologica* Vol. 95, pp. 320–323.
- Chen, J.M., Cooper, D.N., Ferec, C., Kehrer-Sawatzki, H. et al. (2010), 'Genomic rearrangements in inherited disease and cancer', Semin. Cancer Biol. Vol. 20, pp. 222–233.
- Stephens, K., Weaver, M., Leppig, K., Maruyama, K. *et al.* (2006), 'Interstitial uniparental isodisomy at clustered breakpoint intervals is a fre- quent mechanism of NF1 inactivation in myeloid malignancies', *Blood* Vol. 108, pp. 1684–1689.
- Listernick, R., Charrow, J., Greenwald, M. and Mets, M. (1994), 'Natural history of optic pathway tumors in children with neurofibromatosis type 1: A longitudinal study', *J. Pediatr.* Vol. 125, pp. 63–66.
- Gutmann, D., James, C., Poyhonen, M., Louis, D. et al. (2003), 'Molecular analysis of astrocytomas presenting after age 10 in individuals with NF1', *Neurology* Vol. 61, pp. 1397–1400.
- Gutmann, D., Donahoe, J., Brown, T., James, C. et al. (2000), 'Loss of neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) gene expression in NF1-associated pilocytic astrocytomas', *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* Vol. 26, pp. 361–367.
- Bausch, B., Borozdin, W., Mautner, V.F., Hoffmann, M.M. et al. (2007), 'Germline NF1 mutational spectra and loss-of-heterozygosity analyses in patients with pheochromocytoma and neurofibromatosis type 1', J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. Vol. 92, pp. 2784–2792.
- Petri, B.J., van Eijck, C.H., de Herder, W.W., Wagner, A. et al. (2009), 'Phaeochromocytomas and sympathetic paragangliomas', Br. J. Surg. Vol. 96, pp. 1381–1392.
- Brems, H., Park, C., Maertens, O., Pemov, A. et al. (2009), 'Glomus tumors in neurofibromatosis type 1: Genetic, functional, and clinical evidence of a novel association', *Cancer Res.* Vol. 69, pp. 7393–7401.

- Stenson, P.D., Ball, E., Howells, K., Phillips, A. et al. (2008), 'Human Gene Mutation Database: Towards a comprehensive central mutation database', J. Med. Genet. Vol. 45, pp. 124–126.
- Ivanov, D., Hamby, S.E., Stenson, P.D., Phillips, A.D. *et al.* (2011), 'Comparative analysis of germline and somatic microlesion mutational spectra in 17 human tumor suppressor genes', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 32, pp. 620–632.
- Lee, J., Wang, J., Torbenson, M., Lu, Y. et al. (2010), 'Loss of SDHB and NF1 genes in a malignant phyllodes tumor of the breast as detected by oligo-array comparative genomic hybridization', *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* Vol. 196, pp. 179–183.
- 84. Gottfried, O., Viskochil, D., Fults, D. and Couldwell, W. (2006), 'Molecular, genetic, and cellular pathogenesis of neurofibromas and

surgical implications', *Neurosurgery* Vol. 58, pp. 1–16; discussion 11–16.

- Lamlum, H., Ilyas, M., Rowan, A., Clark, S. et al. (1999), 'The type of somatic mutation at APC in familial adenomatous polyposis is determined by the site of the germline mutation: A new facet to Knudson's 'two-hit' hypothesis', *Nat. Med.* Vol. 5, pp. 1071–1075.
- Dworkin, A.M., Ridd, K., Bautista, D., Allain, D.C. *et al.* (2010), 'Germline variation controls the architecture of somatic alterations in tumors', *PLoS Genet.* Vol. 6, p. e1001136.
- Padmanabhan, A., Lee, J., Ismat, F., Lu, M. *et al.* (2009), 'Cardiac and vascular functions of the zebrafish orthologues of the type I neurofibromatosis gene NFI', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* Vol. 106, pp. 22305–22310.

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
Dermal ne	eurofibromas								
T190.2	Exon 2 and 3 deletion	Two exon deletion	Yes	E5 RFLP, 112b, IVS27A28.4, J1/J2, EV120, IVS38GT53.0, 3'NF1, C7CT1/2 (3'UTR), EW206, EW207, D17S798, D17S1868	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Deletion	5/23	I
T190.6			Yes	E5 RFLP, 112b, IVS27A28.4, J1/J2, EVI20, IVS38GT53.0, 3'NF1, C7CT1/2 (3'UTR), EW206	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Deletion		
T190.11			Yes	E5 RFLP, 112b, IVS27A28.4, J1/J2, EVI20, IVS38GT53.0, 3'NF1, C7CT1/2 (3'UTR)	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Deletion		
T190.17			Yes	E5 RFLP, 112b, IVS27A28.4, J1/J2	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Deletion		
T190.18ii			Yes	E5 RFLP	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Deletion		
T206.I	Ex4b: c.499_502delTGTT; p.C167GnfsX9	4 bp deletion (FS)		LOH		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T206.2				LOH					
T206.3				LOH					

Table S1. Summary of germline mutations and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in NF1-associated tumours

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Table SI. C	ontinued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
L002_3	Ex9: c.1246C > T; p.Arg416X	Nonsense	Yes	rs29001484, rs4583306, NFI germline mutation, rs2055091, rs11869264	NFI	Array CGH	Deletion	6/28	2
L002_5			Yes	rs29001484, rs4583306, NF1 germline mutation, rs2055091, rs11869264	NFI	Array CGH	Mitotic recombination		
L002_12			Yes	rs29001484, rs4583306, NF1 germline mutation, rs2055091, rs11869264	NFI	Array CGH	Mitotic recombination		
L002 C			Yes	NS		NIA		3/38	3
T473.IA	Ex10b: c.1413- 1414delAG; p.Lys471AsnfsX4	2 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	HHH202, JIJ2, IVS27, EV120, IVS38	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	22/89	4
T473.IC			Yes	HHH202, JIJ2, EV120	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.3			Yes	JIJ2, EVI20, IVS38	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.5			Yes	HHH202, JIJ2, EV120, IVS38	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
Т473.7			Yes	JIJ2, EVI20	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.8			Yes	HHH202, JIJ2, EV120, IVS38, 3'NF1, EW207, D17S949, D17S1822	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.10			Yes	JIJ2, EVI20, IVS38	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		

Continued

637

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
T473.14			Yes	JIJ2, EV120, IVS38, 3'NF1, EW207, D17S949, D17S1822	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.15			Yes	JIJ2, EVI20, IVS38, 3'NFI	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.16			Yes	JIJ2, EV120, IVS38, 3'NF1, EW207, D17S949, D17S1822	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.21			Yes	JIJ2, EVI20	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.35			Yes	EV120, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.30			Yes	JIJ2, EV120, IVS38, 3'NF1, EW207, D17S949	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.32			Yes	JIJ2, EVI20	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T473.34			Yes	EV120, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T225.I	Ex10b deletion [MLPA]	Single exon deletion		LOH		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T225.3				LOH					
Т68.2	Deletion exons 10b-19b	Partial gene deletion	Yes	UT172 - 138 206,207	Exon 5-3′ region	NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т68.3			Yes	UT172 - 138 206,207	Exon 5-3′ region				

Table S1. Continued

Continued

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Table SI. C	Continued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
CLJIN	Ex13: c.2041C > T; p.Arg681X	Nonsense	Yes	NFI, D17S1800	NFI and 3' flanking region	NIA		32/126	5, 6, 7
CLJ2N			Yes	D17S33, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				
T170.3	Ex13: c.2041C > T; p.Arg681X	Nonsense		LOH		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T170.2				LOH					
ABAIN	Ex13: c.2251 + 2T > C	Splice site	Yes	DS1751824, D175841, D1751294, D1751863, NF1, D1751800, D1751880, D175798, D175250, D175787, D175802, D175784, D175928	Majority of I7q	NIA		32/126	5, 6, 7
ABA2N			Yes	DS17S1824, D17S841, D17S1294, D17S1863, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802, D17S784, D17S928	Majority of 17q				5, 6, 7
T436	Ex17: c.2875C > T; p.Glu959X	Nonsense	Yes	IVS27, EV120, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	22/89	4
Т439			Yes	IVS27, EV120, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
Т440			Yes	IVS27, EV120, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		

70
11
<
Ш.
5

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
T444			Yes	HHH202, JIJ2, IVS27, EV120, IVS38, 3'NFI	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
Т446			Yes	IVS27, EV120, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T448			Yes	HHH202, JIJ2, IVS27, EV120, IVS38, 3'NFI	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
Т454			Yes	IVS27, EV120, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
EADIN	Ex20: c.3419C > G; p.Ser1140X	Nonsense	Yes	NS		NIA		32/126	5, 6, 7
EAD2N									
CSG3N	Ex21: c.3525_3526delAA; p.Arg1176GlufsX17	2 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	D17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798	NFI and flanking regions	NIA		32/126	5, 6, 7
CSG38N			Yes	NFI	NFI				
CSNIN			Yes	D17S1294, NF1, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S784, D17S928	Majority of I7q				
CSGIN			Yes	D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				
									Continued

640

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Patient IDGermline mutation germline mutationLOH mutationLOH markersPredicted extent of LOHEvidence regenomic mechanism delenion delenion hieldNo. samples with hieldCSG2NSG2GYesS1751824, D175124, D1751800, D1751800, D175788, D1753050, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175367, D175360, D175360, D175367, D175360,	lab	ble 51 . Co	ontinued								
CSG2N Yes DS1751824, D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D175250, D175787, D175802 Majority of 17q CSG4N Yes DS1751824, D1751294, D175250, D175787, D175802 Majority of 17q CSG5N Yes DS1751824, D1751294, D1751800, D175788, D175250, D175787, D175802 Majority of 17q CSG5N Yes DS1751824, D1751294, D1751800, D175788, D175200, D175789, D175200, D175789, D175200, D175789, D175200, D175789, D175200, D175787, D175802 Majority of 17q CSG21N Yes DS1751294, NFI, D1751800, D175788, D175200, D175787, D175800, D175789, D175200 Majority of 17q CSG25N Yes D15171924, NFI, D1751800, D175788, D175200, D175787, D175800, D175180, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751880, D175787, D175800 Majority of 17q CSG25N Yes D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, D175180, D175880, D175180, D175880, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751800, D1751800, D1751800, D1751800, D1751800	P: IC	atient)	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
CSG4NYesDS17S1824, D17S1294, NFI, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S787, D17S205, D17S787, D17S800Majority of 17qCSG5NYesDS17S1824, D17S1294, NFI, D17S1800, D17S1800, D17S787, D17S205, D17S787, D17S802, D17S1800, <br< th=""><th>С</th><th>SG2N</th><th></th><th></th><th>Yes</th><th>DS17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802</th><th>Majority of 17q</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></br<>	С	SG2N			Yes	DS17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				
CSG5NYesDS1751824, D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, D175798, D175250, D175787, D175802Majority of 17qCSG21NYesDS1751824, D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, D175798, D175250, D175787, D175250, D175787, D175250, D175250, D175798, D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, D175198, D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, 	С	SG4N			Yes	DS17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				
CSG21N Yes DS1751824, D17S1294, NFI, D1751800, D1751800, D175798, D175250, D175787, D175802 Majority of 17q CSG25N Yes D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, D1751800, D1751880, D175798, D175250, D175787, D175802 Majority of 17q CSG42N Yes D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, D175798, D175250, D175787, D175802 Majority of 17q CSG42N Yes D1751294, NFI, D1751800, D1751880, D175798, D175250, D175798, D175250, D175798, D175250, D175798, D175250, D175798, D175250, D175798, D175250, D175797, D175802 Majority of 17q	С	SG5N			Yes	DS17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				
CSG25NYesD17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802Majority of 17qCSG42NYesD17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S1798, D17S250, D17S798, D17S250, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980,Majority D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980, D17S1980,	С	SG21N			Yes	DS17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				
CSG42N Yes D17S1294, NF1, Majority D17S1800, D17S1880, of 17q D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	С	SG25N			Yes	D17S1294, NFI, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				
	С	SG42N			Yes	D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q				

REVIEW

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

<	
F	
2	-

Table SI. C	uble S1. Continued										
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference		
CSG51N			Yes	DS17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination				
CSG52N			Yes	D17S33, DS17S1824, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q	NIA					
CSG62N			Yes	NS							
Т177	Ex23.1: c.3916 C > T; p.Arg1306X	Nonsense		LOH		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff		
T213				LOH							
NF44 UHG_I	Ex27a: c.4515-2A > T	Splice site	Yes	NFI germline mutation, rs9891455	NFI	Array CGH	Deletion	6/28	2		
NF44 UHG_41			Yes	rs1018190	NFI	Array CGH	Deletion				
T106.I	Ex37: c.6791dupA; p.Tyr2264X	l bp insertion (FS)	Yes	IVS38, 3'NFI-I		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff		
T106.5			Yes	141 - C3	3' UTR						
Т106.6			Yes	JIJ2, EVI20, I38, I41, C3C7, 206, 207							
T210.2	Ex42: c.7458delC; p.Tyr2487IlefsX5	l bp deletion (FS)				30% WG			Unpublished data, Cardiff		

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
T210.4						30% WG			
T210.8						30% WG			
T210.4						E8: 30% WG			
T210.5						E8: exon duplication			
HT1335	Ex4c: c.7237C > T; p.Gln2413X	Nonsense				EI6: del			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T128.30	Ex6: c.784C > T; p.Arg262Cys	Missense	Yes		3′ UTR to 3′ region	NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T192.4	Deletion of exons 6-27a [MLPA]	Multi-exon deletion		LOH: JIJ2, EVI20, HHH202,					Unpublished data, Cardiff
p062	Ex7: c.910C > T; p.Arg304X	Nonsense		LOH (6 samples)		Deletion (2 samples)			Unpublished data, Cardiff
p082	Ex7: c.910C > T; p.Arg304X	Nonsense		LOH (5 samples)		Deletion (5 samples)			
ACFIN	Ex7: c.910C > T; p.Arg304X	Nonsense	Yes	D17S841, D17S1294, D17S1863, NF1, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S802, D17S784	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination	32/126	5, 6, 7
MIGSIN	Ex7: c.910C > T; p.Arg304X	Nonsense	Yes	D171863, NF1, D1751800, D1751880	NFI and flanking regions	NIA		32/126	
CAGIN	Ex7: c.979delCinsTT; p.Leu327PhefsX3	Indel (FS)	Yes	NFI	Intragenic NFI	NIA		32/126	
CAG3N	Ex7: c.979delCinsTT; p.Leu327PhefsX3	Indel (FS)	Yes	NS		NIA		32/126	

Continued

REVIEW

Table SI.	Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479–7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623–690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
T199	Ex7: c.983_984delGT; p.Cys324X	2 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	IVS12, JIJ2		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
NF56-2	Ex9: c.1246C > T; p.Arg416X	Missense	Yes	Pin I, Rsal, Alul, Pin 28, 530, NFI 3′UTR, Mfd I5	NFI and flanking regions	NIA		1/6	8
T197A	Ex10a: c.1318C > T p.Arg440X	Nonsense		LOH?					Unpublished data, Cardiff
CLTIN	Ex12a: c.1754_1757delTAAC; p.Thr586SerfsX19	4 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	D17S841, D17S1863, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S787, D17S802	Majority of 17q			32/126	
p022	Ex12a: c.1756_1759deIACTA; p.Thr586ValfsX18	4 bp deletion (FS)		LOH (9 samples)		Deletion (2 samples)			Unpublished data, Cardiff
p020	Ex13: c.2041C > T; p.Arg681X	Nonsense		LOH (2 samples)		Deletion (0 samples)			
T141.5	Ex13: c.2233delA; p.Ser745AlafsX2	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	202, 12b, IVS27, IVS38, 3'NFI					Unpublished data, Cardiff
p103	Ex15: c.2338A > C; p.Thr780Pro	Missense		LOH (10 samples)		Deletion (3 samples)			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T22	Ex17: c.2851-2A > G	Splice site	Yes		3'UTR to 3' flanking regions				Unpublished data, Cardiff
NF253- UHG E	Ex17: c.2851-2A > G	Splice site	Yes	Not specific		NIA		3/38	3
L005 A	Ex18: c.3113 + 1G > A	Splice site							

Table SI. C	ontinued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
319TI	Ex19b: c.3208C > T; p.Gln1070X	Nonsense	Yes	NF-exon5	Intragenic NFI			2/15	9
p023	Ex21: c.3525_3526delAA; p.Arg1176SerfsX18	2 bp deletion (FS)		LOH (14 samples)		Deletion (5 samples)			Unpublished data, Cardiff
p011	Ex22 : c.3826C > T; p.Arg1276X	Nonsense		LOH (5 samples)		Deletion (1 sample)			
MASG2N	Ex22: c.3870 + IG > T	Splice site	Yes	NF1, D1751880, D175798, D175250, D175787	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination	32/126	5, 6, 7
T171	Ex23.2: c.4084 C > T; p.Arg1362X	Nonsense		LOH					Unpublished data, Cardiff
p104	Ex25: c.4309G > T; p.Glu1436X	Nonsense		LOH (3 samples)		Deletion (0 samples)			Unpublished data, Cardiff
p078	Ex27a: c.4537C > T; p.Arg1513X	Nonsense		LOH (6 samples)		Deletion (0 samples)			
p084	Ex27a: c.4572C > G; p.Tyr1524X	Nonsense		LOH (2 samples)		Deletion (0 samples)			
p102	Ex29: c.5242C > T; p.Arg1748X	Nonsense		LOH (5 samples)		Deletion (2 samples)			
p055	Ex30: c.5710 G > T; p.Glu1904X	Nonsense		LOH (I sample)		Deletion (0 samples)			
EMNIN	Ex30: c.5749 + 332A > G	Splice site	Yes	NFI, D17S1800	NFI and 3' flanking region			32/126	5, 6, 7
									Continued

Table SI. Co	ontinued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
p027	Ex33: c.6226delG; p.Ala2076GInfsX13	l bp deletion (FS)		LOH (1 sample)		Deletion (0 samples)			Unpublished data, Cardiff
p052	Ex37: c.6791_6792dupA; p.Tyr2264X	l bp duplication (FS)		LOH (1 sample)		Deletion (I sample)			
Т23.6	Ex41: c.7268_7269delCA; p.Thr2423SerfsX2	2 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	EVI20, I38, I41, C3		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T100	Ex41: c.7267dupA; p.Thr2426X	l bp duplication (FS)	Yes		138 to 3'UTR				Unpublished data, Cardiff
T164.1	Ex41: c.7285 C > T; p.Arg2429X	Nonsense		LOH					Unpublished data, Cardiff
MAR2N	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, D17S1800	NF1 and 3' flanking region	NIA		32/126	5, 6, 7
MOPT2N	NI	NI	Yes	D1751824, D1751294, D171863, NF1, D1751800, D1751880	NFI and flanking regions				
NGLIN	NI	NI	Yes	D17S841, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S802, D17S784, D17S928	Majority of 17q				
JRR2N	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1294, D17S1863, NF1, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S787	Majority of 17q				

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

	Table SI. Co	ontinued								
	Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
	SLCIN	NI	NI	Yes	D17S33, DS17S1824, D17S841, D17S1294, NF1, D17S1880, D17S798, D17S250, D17S784, D17S928	Majority of 17q				
	HT1377.1	NI	NI	Yes						Unpublished data, Cardiff
	HT1377.2	NI	NI	Yes			NIA			
	T109.4	NI	NI	Yes	138, 141, 206	3' region				
	Т167.с	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27, IVS38, 3'NFI					
	T192.1	NI	NI	Yes	202, IVS12, JIJ2, IVS27					
	T197	NI	NI	Yes	JIJ2					
	Т227.2	NI	NI	Yes	IVS12, JIJ2					
	T230.2	NI	NI	Yes	202, IVS12, IVS27					
	T232.2	NI	NI	Yes	JIJ2					
	T241	NI	NI	Yes	JIJ2					
	NF253_32	NI	NI	Yes	rs1018190, rs9891455, rs8074061	NFI	Array CGH	Mitotic recombination	6/28	2
	T224.I	NI	NI		LOH		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
	T162	NI	NI		LOH					
	Т172	NI	NI		LOH					
	T179.1	NI	NI		LOH					



Continued

REVIEW

Neurofibromatosis type 1-associated tumours: Their somatic mutational spectrum and pathogenesis

<
S

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
T204.2	NI	NI		LOH					
T224.2	NI	NI		LOH					
T173.1	NI	NI		LOH					
T179.2	NI	NI		LOH					
T1281.2	NI	NI		LOH					
T1281.4	NI	NI		LOH					
Т220	NI	NI		LOH					
T221	NI	NI		LOH					
Т223	NI	NI		LOH					
T258.I	NI	NI		LOH					
T258.2	NI	NI		LOH					
SCs from	cutaneous neurofibroma	s							
T543.2	Ex4a: c.373delGinsATGTGT; p.Arg125HisfsX4	Indel (FS)	Yes	JIJ2-3'NFI					Unpublished data, Cardiff
T536A	Ex40: c.7127_7258del132 [Exon 40 deletion?]	l 32 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	JIJ2-IVS38		NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff
T541.2			Yes	EVI20-3'NFI					
T541.4			Yes	EVI20-3'NFI					
Т539	90kb Deletion	Genomic deletion				Duplication: Ex19b-25			Unpublished data, Cardiff

Continued

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Table SI. C	ontinued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
PNFs									
37a	Ex24: c.4268A > G; p.Lys1423Arg	Missense	Yes	HHH202, E5, 112b, EVI20,3'NF1-1 Complete gene deletion (1.4Mb)	NFI (1.4 Mb)	MLPA	Genomic deletion	20/29	10
37b			Yes	IVS27, EVI20, IVS38, 3′NFI-I Probable gene deletion	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA	Genomic deletion	20/29	10
T210.2 PNF	Ex42: c.7458delC; p.Tyr2486llefsX15	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	LOH detected in only 30% of cells			30% whole gene deletion		Unpublished data, Cardiff
T261 PNF	Ex3: c.288 $+$ I delG	l bp deletion at a splice site	LOH IVS38						
605-1	Ex4a: c.289-2A > G	Splice site	Yes	D17S975, IVS27TG24.8, IVS27TG28.4, D17S1166, D17S1880, D17S907, D17S1788, D17S1861, D17S1809, D17S668, D17S928	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	I 3/43	II
47 / T411	Ex4a: c.440_441GC > AA; p.Cys147X	Nonsense	LOH IVS27, IVS38, 3'NFI-I	IVS38, 3'NFI-I	NFI and 3′ flanking region	NIA		20/29	10
8 / T328	Ex4b: c.480-2A > G	Splice site	LOH: IVS27, IVS38	IVS27, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	20/29	10
335-3	Ex4b: c.528T >A; p.Asp176Glu	Missense	Yes	D17S2237, IVS27TG24.8, D17S1166, D17S1800	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion	13/43	П
									Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
59	Ex6: c.752dupA; p.Asp241GlufsX7	Small Insertion (fs)	Yes	intron 38 marker 53.0	Intragenic NFI	NIA		1/38	12
T265.2	Ex9: c.1186-13delT (Pathogenicity?)	l bp deletion within a splice site	LOH ivs27, ivs38						
374-4	Ex10a: c.1318C > T; p.Arg440X	Nonsense	Yes	IVS27TG24.8, IVS27TG28.4, D17S1166, D17S1880, D17S907, D17S1861	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	13/43	11
4a / T4 2	Ex13: c.2076C > G; p.Tyr692X	Nonsense	112B, 3' NFI	112B, 3′ NFI-1	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	20/29	10
Т263	Ex15: c.2326-2A > T	Splice site	LOH ivs27 [rest hom]						
22 / T394	Ex16: c.2446C > T; p.Arg816X	Nonsense	IVS27	IVS 27, EVI20	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	20/29	10
T437.2	Ex16: c.2497delT; p.Ser833ProfsX7	l bp deletion (FS)	l – 6ex I ,2,3,4a,4b,4c, 6 deletion			NIA			
T212	Ex16: c.2705deT; p.Met902ArgfsX22	l bp deletion (FS)					Ex1-Ex 41 deletion [variable ?]		
18 / T298	Ex18: c.3113 + 1G > A	Splice site	LOH :HHH202, IVS 27	IVS 27	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Inconclusive	20/29	10
30 / T342	Ex19a: c.3123G > T; p.Met10411le	Missense	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI (1.4 Mb)	MLPA	Genomic deletion	20/29	10

Table SI. Co	ontinued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
5	Ex20: c.345&_3460delCTCA; p.Leu1153MetfsX3	4 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	NF1 gene	NFI			1/3	13
23 / T373.2	Ex22: c.3826C > T; p.Arg1276X	Nonsense	WG deletion [mixed cell population]	IVS 27, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Inconclusive	20/29	10
452T	Ex23.2: c.4084C > T; p.Arg1362X	Nonsense	Yes	NF-exon5 RFLP, NF- (GATN)n intron 26, NF- Alu(AAAT)n(i27b), NF- EVI2B RFLP(i27b), NF- EVI2A RFLP(i27b), NF- IVSAC28.4(i27b), NF- Evi-20, NF- IVS38TG53.0, NF intron 41 RFLP, D17S57 (EW206), D17S250, D17S1301, D17S384	NFI and 3' flanking region	NIA		4/10	9
27 / T301	Ex23.2: c.4095C > A; p.Cys1365X	Nonsense	Yes	Determined by MLPA	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion	20/29	10
Т330	Ex24: c.4267A > G; p.Lys1423Glu	Missense	IVS27			NIA			
6 / T362 / T395	Ex24: c.4268A > G; p.Lys1423Arg	Missense	Yes	EW206, EW207	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Inconclusive	20/29	
T362 PNF	Ex24: c.4268A > G; p.Lys1423Arg	Missense	LOH: HHH202, E5, 112b, EV120, 3'NF			NIA			
T395 PNF	Ex24: c.4268A > G; p.Lys1423Arg	Missense	LOH:IVS27, EVI20, IVS38, 3'NFI-I						
									Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

20
<
5

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
317-1	Ex25: c.4270-2A > G	Splice site	Yes	IVS27TG24.8, IVS27TG28.4, D17S1166	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion	13/43	П
Т393	Ex27a: c.4537C > T; p.Arg1513X	Nonsense					Whole gene deletion		
26 /T300	Ex29: c.5227_5229delGTAinsT; p.Val1743TyrfsX17	Indel (FS)	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI (1.4 Mb)	MLPA	Genomic deletion	20/29	10
338-2	Ex29: c.5290delG; p.Ala1764LeufsX8	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	D175783, D175975, IVS27TG28.4, D1751166, D1751880	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Genomic deletion	3/43	П
952-8	Ex30: c.5749 + 4delA	Splice site	Yes	D17S975, D17S1880, D17S907, D17S1788, D17S1861, D17S1809, D17S668, D17S928	NF1 and flanking regions	MLPA	Genomic deletion		
34 / T392	Ex31: c.5750_5754dupGTATT; p.Glu1919ValfsX4	5 bp duplication (FS)	Yes	EVI20, IVS38, 3'NFI-I,	NFI and 3' flanking region	NIA		20/29	10
21 / T357	Ex37: c.6791dupA; p.Tyr2264X	l bp duplication (FS)	LOH : EW206	EW206	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
T375	Ex40: c.7237C > T; p.Gln2413X	Nonsense	16Ex 16 deletion, ex13 & 18 also lower			NIA			
7	Ex41: c.7285C > T; p.Arg2429X	Nonsense	Yes	HHH202, IVS27	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

652

Table SI. Co	le S1. Continued										
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference		
I5 / T407	Ex46: c.7926dupT; p.Lys2643X	l bp duplication (FS)	LOH 3'NFI-I, EW206	3'NFI-I	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Inconclusive				
cl UK / T56	Ex46: c.8035A > T; p.Thr2679Ser	Missense	LOH IVS27	IVS27	Intragenic NFI	NIA					
Т408	Segmental NFI NI	NI	LOH: IVS27, IVS38, 3'NF1-1								
Т377	Segmental NFI NI	NI	WG deletion								
39	Segmental NFI NI	NI	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI (1.1 Mb)	MLPA	Genomic deletion				
43	Segmental NFI NI	NI	Yes	IVS27, IVS38, 3'NFI-I	NF1 and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination				
T385.I	NI	NI	Yes			NIA			Unpublished data, Cardiff		
Т385.2	NI	NI	LOH/del								
T316	NI	NI	Yes	LOH: HHH202, E5, 112b, EV120,3'NF, C71/2, EW206							
76, 45-95	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI	NIA		8/14	14		
xI, 47-95	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, M98509, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI						
									Continued		

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
xI, 27-97	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, M98509, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
293, 71-97	NI	NI	Yes	M98509, IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
293, 124-98	NI	NI	Yes	M98509, IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT54	Intragenic NFI				
290, 83-97	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
290, 121-98	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
292, 122-98	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
PD-TI	NI	NI	Yes	NF-Alu(AAAT)n(i27b), D17S1800	Intragenic NFI	NIA		4/10	9
386T	NI	NI		NF-Alu(AAAT) n(i27b), NF- IVSAC28.4(i27b), NF-Evi-20, NF- IVS38TG53.0, D17S1800	NFI				
454T-V	NI	NI	Yes	NF-Alu(AAAT)n(i27b), NF-EVI2B RFLP(i27b), NF-IVSAC28.4(i27b), NF-Evi-20, NF intron 41 RFLP, D17S57 (EVV206), D17S1301	NFI				

Table	SI. C	ontinued								
Pati ID	ent	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
NF2	284-1	NI	NI	Yes	Exon 28 14bp duplication marker (specific to germline lesion found)	Intragenic NFI			1/1	8
2654	4-97	NI	NI	Yes	Determined by FISH	Whole chromosome	FISH	Genomic deletion	1/11	15
385		NI	NI	Yes	D17S975, D17S1880, D17S907, D17S1788, D17S1861, D17S1809, D17S668, D17S928	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	13/43	11
389-	-2	NI	NI	Yes	D17S975, D17S1307, D17S2237, IVS27TG28.4, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S907, D17S1861, D17S1809, D17S668, D17S928	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
604-	-4	NI	NI	Yes	D1751800, D1751880, D175907, D1751861, D175928	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
913-	-5	NI	NI	Yes	D17S2237, IVS27TG24.8, D17S1880, D17S1788, D17S1861	NF1 and 3' flanking region	MLPA	Genomic deletion		
612-	-1	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1307, D17S2237, IVS27TG24.8, D17S1166, D17S1800, D17S1880	NFI and flanking regions	MLPA	Genomic deletion		
										Continued

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
337-5	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1307, D17S2237, IVS27TG24.8, D17S1166, D17S1800	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion		
390	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27TG24.8, IVS27TG28.4, D17S1166, D17S1800	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion		
49	NI	NI	Yes	HHH202, E5, 112b, EV120, 3'NF1-1, C71/2, EVV206	NFI	MLPA	Inconclusive	20/29	10
Spinal neu	ırofibromas								
1	Ex7: c.899T > C; p.Leu300Pro	Missense	Yes	EVI20, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	8/22	16
7	Ex9: c.1186-13delT (Pathogenicity?)	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	IVS27, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
3	Ex16: c.2410-2A > T	Splice site	Yes	IVS27	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
11.1	Ex22: c.3827G > A; p.Arg1276Glu	Missense	Yes	IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
11.2			Yes	Deletion of exons 13 > 16	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Deletion		
2	Ex23.2: c.4066G > A; p.Glu1356Lys	Missense	Yes	27, 3'NFI	NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		
10	Ex29: c.5242C > T; p.Arg1748X	Nonsense	Yes	II2B, AluI, JIJ2 and EVI20	Intragenic NFI	MLPA	Mitotic recombination		

Table SI. C	Table S1. Continued										
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference		
MPNSTs											
Τ196.20	Deletion exons 2 and 3	Two exon deletion	Yes	112b, IVS27AC28.4, EV120(IVS27B), IVS38GT53.0 (IVS38), 3'-NF1, C7/CT1/2 (3'-UTR), EW206 (3'extragenic), EW207 (3'extragenic), D17S798	NFI and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	2/11	I		
Τ196.24			Yes	NF1 exon 5, 112b, IVS27AC28.4, EV120(IVS27B), IVS38GT53.0 (IVS38), 3'-NF1, C7/CT1/2 (3'-UTR), EW206 (3'extragenic), EW207 (3'extragenic)	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA	Genomic deletion	2/11	I		
13	Deletion exons 2 and 3	Two exon deletion	Yes	Ex5, 112b, IVS27, EV120, IVS38,C7CT, EW206, EW207,3'NF1	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion	31/34	17		
7	Ex4c: c.654 + IG $>$ T	Splice site	Yes	UT172, HH202, J1/J2, EVI20	NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion				
27	Ex8: c.1133_1136deIACTG; p.Asp378AlafsX7	4 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	Ex5, JIJ2,3′NFI	NFI and 3′ flanking region	NIA					
9	Ex11: c.1713G > A; p.Trp571X	Nonsense	Yes	D17S182, 112b, J1/J2	Intragenic NFI						
10			Yes	UT172, HH202, J1/J2, EV120, >2.2Mb	NFI	MLPA / CGH array	Genomic deletion				
									Continued		

Table SI. Continued

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
23	Ex12a: c.1318C > T; p.Arg440X	Nonsense	Yes	HHH202, EVI20. IVS38	Intragenic NFI	NIA			
14	Ex12a: c.1754_1757deITAAC; p.Thr586ValfsX19	4 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	IVS27, 3'NFI	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA/CGH array	Mitotic recombination		
12	Ex13: c.2002-14C > G	Splice site	Yes	112b, IVS27, EVI20, IVS38, 3'NF	NFI and 3' flanking region	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion		
43	Ex13: c.2041C > T; p.Arg681X	Nonsense	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI	MLPA	Duplication mitotic recombination	6/25	18
15	Ex16: c.2497delT; p.Ser833ProfsX7	l bp deletion (FS)		Intragenic Deletion (Exons I-6) MLPA	Intragenic NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion	31/34	17
25	Ex16: c.2705delT; p.Met902ArgfsX22	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	Intragenic deletion (exons1-41) MLPA	Intragenic NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion		
17	Ex20: c.3457_3460delCTCA; p.Leu1153MetfsX3	4 bp deletion (FS)				NIA			
18			Yes	3'NFI	Intragenic NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion		
459T1	Ex21: c.3684delC; p.Asn1229MetfsX11	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	TP53(INTRON1), TP53(INTRON6), NF- (GATN)n INTRON26, NF-IVSAC28.4(i27b), D17S57, D17S250, D17S1301, D17S784	Whole chromosome	NIA		3/5	9

Table SI. Continued										
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference	
8	Ex22: c.3732delT; p.Thr1245Leufsx21	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	Int12, JIJ2	Intragenic NFI			31/34	17	
64	Ex23.1: c.3368 + 1 delG	l bp deletion at a splice site	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion	6/25	18	
56	Ex25: c.4276C > A; p.Gln1426Lys	Missense	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI	MLPA	Duplication mitotic recombination			
4	Ex27a: c.4537C > T; p.Arg1513X	Nonsense	Yes	IVS27b	Intragenic NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion	31/34	17	
6	Ex28: c.5003insTG; p.Tyr1668LeufsX7	2 bp insertion (FS)	Yes	I4b, JIJ2, EVI20	NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion			
21	Ex29: c.5234C > G; p.Ser1745X	Nonsense	Yes	Partial gene deletion	Intragenic NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion			
19	Ex37: c.6792C > A; p.Tyr2264X	Nonsense	Yes	112b, IVS27, J1J2, EVI20, IVS38, C7CT	NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion			
24	Ex38: c.6961insC; p.Leu2321ProfsX5	l bp duplication (FS)	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion	6/25	18	
I.	Ex41: c.7268_7269delCA; p.Thr2423SerfsX2	2 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	Intron 41-30	Intragenic NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion	31/34	17	
58	NI	NI	Yes	HHH202, NFI, EW206	Intragenic NFI		Genomic deletion	6/11	19	
									Continued	

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

20
<
5

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
52	NI	NI	Yes	HHH202, NFI, EW206, EW207	Intragenic NFI		Genomic deletion		
22	NI	NI	Yes	HHH202	Intragenic NFI		Genomic deletion		
8	NI	NI	Yes	EW206, EW207	Intragenic NFI		Genomic deletion		
2	NI	NI	Yes	р144D6, рҮNZ22.1, рҮNH37.3, EW503	NFI region and some 17p		Genomic deletion	5/6	20
3	NI	NI	Yes	EW503, EW301 (B), EW301 (T)	Intragenic NFI		Genomic deletion		
4	NI	NI	Yes	p144D6, pYNZ22.1, pYNH37.3, EW503, EW301 (T), pHHH202, EW207 (B), pTHH59	Whole chromosome		Genomic deletion		
5	NI	NI	Yes	p144D6, pYNZ22.1, pYNH37.3, EW503, EW301 (B), EW301 (T), pHHH202, EW207 (B)	Whole chromosome		Genomic deletion		
10	NI	NI	Yes	p144D6, pYNZ22.1,	NFI region and some 17p		Genomic deletion		
88-3/14	NI	NI	Yes	D17S30, TP53, D17S71, D17S8, D17S57	Whole chromosome	G-banded chromosome 17 duplication	Genomic duplication	3/9	21

660

	onanaea								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
88-8	NI	NI	Yes	D17S30, D17S71	NFI	NIA			
88-18	NI	NI	Yes	D17S30, D17S71, D17S21, D17S33, EV12B, D17S82	Whole chromosome				
I	NI	NI	Yes	DI7S5, DI7SI, DI7SI37, CRYBI, NF1, DI7S146	NFI and flanking regions	NIA		2/5	22
4	NI	NI	Yes	DI7S34, DI7S5, DI7S146	NFI and flanking regions				
I	NI	NI	Yes		NFI		Genomic deletion	1/1	23
1	NI	NI	Yes	NFI alu, TP53 BHP53	Whole chromosome	NIA		3/7	24
7	NI	NI	Yes	CRYBI, NFI alu, TP53 BHP53	Whole chromosome				
8	NI	NI	Yes	D17S4, D17S74, NF1 e.31, NF1 alu	NFI				
44IT	NI	NI	Yes	TP53(INTRON6), D1751863, D17twbch=S33, NF- IVSAC28.4(i27b), NF-Evi-20, NF-IVS38TG53.0, D17S1800, D17S73, D17S1301	Whole chromosome	NIA		3/5	9

66 I

Table S1. Continued

Table S1. Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
396T4	NI	NI	Yes	NF-IVSAC28.4(i27b, NF-IVS38TG53.0, D17S57, D17S250, D17S1301	NFI and 3' flanking region				
2	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, PI6, TP53	Whole chromosome	NIA		5/8	13
5a	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, PI6, TP53	Whole chromosome				
5b	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, PI6, TP53	Whole chromosome				
6 a	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, PI6, TP53	Whole chromosome				
6b	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, PI6, TP53	Whole chromosome				
2	NI	NI	Yes	Total gene deletion	NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion	31/34	17
5	NI	NI	Yes	Total gene deletion	NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion		
24	NI	NI	Yes	EVI20, IVS27, IVS38	Intragenic NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion		
26	NI	NI	Yes	NFI gene deletion	NFI	MLPA/CGH array	Genomic deletion		
48	NI	NI	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion	6/25	18
86	NI	NI	Yes	Determined by MLPA	NFI	MLPA	Genomic deletion		

Table S1. Continued											
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference		
ACs											
T65.I	Ex24: c.4267A > G; p.Lys1423Glu	Missense	Yes	NS	NFI 3′ flanking region	NIA		1/1	25		
57	NI	NI	Yes	EVV206	Intragenic NFI	NIA		1/1	19		
58	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1849, D17S1863, D17S1880	NFI and 3′ flanking region	NIA		2/4	26		
76	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1863, D17S1880	NFI and 3′ flanking region						
182	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27TG24.8	Intragenic NFI	NIA		11/12	27		
185	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, IVS38GT53, D17S804	NFI region and some 17p						
187	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, IVS38GT53, D17S796	NFI region and some 17p						
309	NI	NI	Yes	IVS38GT53, D17S796	NF1 region and some 17p						
330	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, IVS38GT53, D17S520, D17S796, D17S804	NFI region and some 17p						

Neurofibromatosis type 1-associated tumours: Their somatic mutational spectrum and pathogenesis

20
<
\leq

Table SI.	Continued
-----------	-----------

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
502	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, D17S520, D17S796	NF1 region and some 17p				
519	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27TG28.4, M98509, IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
297	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27TG28.4, M98509, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
609	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27TG28.4, M98509	Intragenic NFI				
20954	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27TG24.8, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
20962	NI	NI	Yes	IVS27AC28.4, M98509, IVS38GT53	Intragenic NFI				
I	NI	NI	Yes	Homozygous		FISH	Unknown	3/4	28
9	NI	NI	Yes	Homozygous		FISH	Genomic deletion		
10	NI	NI	Yes	Homozygous		FISH	Genomic deletion		
Gastric ca	rcinoid tumours								
I	Ex37: c.6841C > T; p.Gln2281X	Nonsense	Yes	IVS27TG24, D17S250	Intragenic NFI	NIA		1/1	29
GISTs									
I	Ex27a: c.4537C > T; p.Arg1513X	Nonsense	Yes	D17S841, Alu, IVS27GT, IVS27CAGT, IVS38, 3'NF1-1, 3'NF1-2	NF1 and 3′ flanking region	MLPA	Mitotic recombination	1/1	30

Continued

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Table SI. C	Continued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Referenc
NF1-3	Ex45: c.7807delG; p.Aal2603LeufsX3	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	Alu, IVS27AC33.1, IVS38GT53.0, IVS27TG24.8	Intragenic NFI	Array CGH	Genomic deletion	I/7	3
JMML									
D102	Ex4b: c.574C > T; p.Arg192X	Nonsense	Yes	D17S925, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S855, D17S1827, D17S787, D17S948, D17S784	Majority of 17q	SNP array	Mitotic recombination– UPD	4/5	31
D115	Ex13: c.2066delT; p.Val689GlyfsX59	l bp deletion (FS)	Yes	D17S925, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S855, D17S1827, D17S787, D17S948, D17S784	Majority of 17q	SNP array	Mitotic recombination- UPD		
D003	Ex22: c.3861_3862delCT; p.Cys1288ValfsX21	2 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	D17S925, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S855, D17S1827, D17S787, D17S948, D17S784	Majority of 17q	SNP array	Mitotic recombination- UPD		
D126	Ex44: c.7699C > T; p.Gln2567X	Nonsense	Yes	D17S925, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S855, D17S1827, D17S787, D17S948, D17S784	Majority of 17q	SNP array	Mitotic recombination- UPD		
I	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1975, D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822, D17S1830	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination— interstitial isodisomy (paternal)	10/10	32

Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Table SI. Co	ontinued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
2	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1975, D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822, D17S1830	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination— interstitial isodisomy (paternal)		
3	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822, D17S1830	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination interstitial isodisomy (paternal)		
4	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1975, D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822, D17S1830	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination— interstitial isodisomy (maternal)		
5	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1975, D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822	Majority of I7q	FISH	Mitotic recombination— interstitial isodisomy (maternal)		
6	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1878, D17S33, D17S1975, D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822, D17S1830	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination— interstitial isodisomy (maternal)		
									Continued

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Table SI. C	ontinued								
Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
7	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822, D17S1830, D17S928	Majority of I7q	FISH	Mitotic recombination— interstitial isodisomy (maternal)		
8	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1975, D17S1294, UT172, NF1, D17S1800, D17S250, D17S801, D17S939, D17S836, D17S1806, D17S1822, D17S1830, D17S928	Majority of 17q	FISH	Mitotic recombination— interstitial isodisomy (paternal)		
9	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, DI7S1800	Intragenic NFI	FISH	Genomic deletion		
10	NI	NI	Yes	NFI, DI7SI800	Intragenic NFI	FISH	Genomic deletion		
D419	NI	NI	Yes	D17S925, D17S1841, D17S1294, D17S1863, D17S1849, D17S1166, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S1818, D17S855, D17S1827, D17S787, D17S948, D17S785, D17S784	Majority of 17q	MLPA	Mitotic recombination- UPD	5/10	33

Continued

667

Table SI. Continued

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
D561	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1294, D17S1863, D17S1849, D17S1166, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S1818, D17S855, D17S1827, D17S787, D17S948, D17S785, D17S784	Majority of I7q	MLPA	Mitotic recombination- UPD		
D378	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1294, D17S1863, D17S1849, D17S1166, D17S1800, D17S1880, D17S1818, D17S855, D17S785	Majority of I7q	Array CGH	Mitotic recombination– UPD		
D341	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1849, D17S1166, D17S1800, D17S1880	NFI and flanking regions	Array CGH	Genomic deletion		
D566	NI	NI	Yes	D17S1849, D17S1166, D17S1800, D17S784	NFI and flanking regions	Array CGH	Genomic deletion		
PCs									
1	NI	NI	Yes	DI7S34, DI7S137, CRYBI, NF1, DI7S4	Whole chromosome	NIA		7/7	22
2	NI	NI	Yes	CRYBI, DI7S33, NFI, DI7S55, DI7S4	NFI				
3	NI	NI	Yes	DI7S5, DI7S134, DI7S58, DI7S33	Whole chromosome				
4	NI	NI	Yes	D17533, NF1	Intragenic NFI				
5	NI	NI	Yes	DI7S71, NF1, DI7S226	Whole chromosome				

Continued

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Table	SI.	Continued

Patient ID	Germline mutation	Type of germline mutation	LOH	LOH markers	Predicted extent of LOH	Evidence for genomic deletion? MLPA/CGH arrayCGH/ FISH	Probable mechanism	No. samples with LOH	Reference
6L	NI	NI	Yes	DI7S5, NF1, DI7S145, DI7S226	Whole chromosome				
6R	NI	NI	Yes	DI7S5, NF1, DI7S145, DI7S226	Whole chromosome				
I	NI	NI	Yes	TP53-BAM, TP53 AccII, NF1-AE25 (BgIII) SNP, THH59-TaqI, THH59-Pvull	Majority of 17	NIA		2/7	34
I	NI	NI	Yes	NFI-AE25 (BgIII) SNP, THH59-TaqI, THH59- Pvull-adrenal corticoid tumour	NFI and 3' flanking region				
NS	NI	NI	Yes					14/21	35
Glomus to	umours								
NFI-G2	Ex42: c.7395_7404del10; p.Thr2466SerfsX33	10 bp deletion (FS)	Yes	Introns 27-38	Intragenic NFI	Array CGH	Mitotic recombination	I/7	36

CGH, comparative genomic hybridisation; array CGH, high resolution CGH; FS, frame shift; NI, not informative; WG, whole gene; NA, not available; UPD, uniparental disomy; MLPA, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification; FISH, fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation.

Table S2. Summary of germline and somatic point mutations in NFI-associated tumours

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
Dermal neurof	ibromas				
T196.3	Ex 2 and 3 deleted	2 exon deletion	Ex4c: c.648dup73 p.Leu216 (through splice site)	73 bp duplication (FS)	I
T196.12			Ex4c: c.655-1G > A	Splice site	
T196.15			Ex6: c.750delT p.Phe250LeufsX30	l bp deletion (FS)	
T196.16			Ex16: c.2534_2557del24 p.Cys845X	24 bp deletion (In-frame)	
T196.7			Ex16: c.2844delA p.Gly949AspfX3	l bp deletion (FS)	
T196.4			Ex18: c.3047_c3048delGT p.Cys1016SerfsX4	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T196.5			Ex27a: c.4537C > T p.Arg1513X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T196.13			Ex27b: c.4743delG p.Asp15821lefsX21	l bp deletion (FS)	
T196.I			Ex44: c.7721_7722deIAA p.Lys257Ser4fsX4	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T543.I	Ex4a: c.373delGinsATGTGT p.Arg125HisfsX22	Indel (FS)	Ex21: c.3568del80 p.Gly1190HisfsX3	80 bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т543.3			Ex26: c.4388C > T p.Ser1463Phe	Missense	
T128.10	Ex6: c.784C > T p.Arg262Cys	Missense	Ex4b: c.574C $>$ T p.Arg192X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T128.I			Ex8: c.1170delC p.Asp390LysfsX6	l bp deletion (FS)	

670

Continued

Laycock-van Spyk et al.

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T128.17			Ex10c: c.1556A > C p.Gln519Pro <u>R</u>	Missense	
T128.8			Ex32: c.6055_6056deITC p.Ser2019TrpfsX18	2 bp deletion (FS)	
NF29a-4	Ex6: c.801G > A p.Trp267X	Nonsense	Ex10a: c.1381C > T p.Arg461X	Nonsense	37
NF17-8			Ex10c: c.1528-14_1546del33 p.Asp510fs (through splice site)	32 bp deletion [FS]	
NFI7-I			Ex10c: c.1641 + 1G > A	Splice site	
NF17-9			Ex18: c.3049C > T p.Glu1017X	Nonsense	
NF29a-7			Ex19b: c.3303_3314+7del19 p.Glu1101 (through splice site)	19 bp deletion [FS]	
NF17-15			Ex23.1: c.3916C > T p.Arg1306X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
NF29a-9			Ex27b: c.4756insT p.Tyr1586LeufsX14	l bp insertion (FS)	
NF17-18			Ex28: c.5205 + $IG > A$	Splice site	
NF17-23			Ex31: c.5772_5775delTTTG p.Cys1924TrpfsX4	4 bp deletion (FS)	
NF29a-5			Ex40: c.7237_7253del17 p.Gln2413fsX2	17 bp deletion (FS)	
L-002 F	Ex9: c.1246C > T p.Arg416X	Nonsense	Ex3: c.246_247deITC p.Glu83SerfsX15	2 bp deletion (FS)	3
L-002 A			Ex5: c.655-1G > T	Splice site	
L-002 D			Ex8: c.1105C $>$ T p.Gln369X	Nonsense	

Continued

671

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
L-002 E			Ex8: c.1153delC p.Arg385AlafsX2	l bp deletion (FS)	
L-002 B			Ex22: c.3757_3764del8 p.Leu1253ThrfsX8	8 bp deletion (FS)	
NF282-1	Ex9: c.1260+1G > A	Splice site	Ex23.2: c.4021C > T p.Gln1341X	Nonsense	8
NF282-2			Ex23.2: c.4084C > T p.Arg1362X	Nonsense	
T473.6	Ex10b: c.1413_1414delAG p.Lys471AsnfsX1	2 bp deletion (FS)	Ex7: c.890delA p.Leu297SerfsX20	l bp deletion (FS)	4
T473.12			Ex12b: c.1884insA p.Tyr628X	l bp insertion (FS)	
T473.11			Ex16: c.2451insG p.Ser818ValfsX12	l bp insertion (FS)	
T473.18			Ex22: c.3807insC p.Ser1270LeufsX13	l bp insertion (FS)	
T473.20			Ex23.2: c.4087delA p.Ser I 363ValfsX22	l bp deletion (FS)	
T473.13			Ex31: c.5888A > C p.Asn1963Thr	Missense	
T473.33			Ex34: c.6478A > G p.Ser2160Gly	Missense	
T473.36			Ex38: c.6859delG p.Asp2287ThrfsX18	l bp deletion (FS)	
T473.17			Ex40: c.7128delG p.Tyr2377ThrfsX23	l bp deletion (FS)	
T82.3	Ex12a: c.1754_1757deITAAC p.Thr585ValfsX18	4 bp deletion (FS)	Ex16: c.2445delG p.Arg815SerfsX5	l bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff

Table S2. Continued

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T82.5			Ex35: c.6621_6625delGTGGA p.Gln2207HisfsX11	5 bp deletion (FS)	
Т77.3	Ex12a: c.1783G > A p.Glu595Lys	Missense	Ex16: c.2446C > T p.Arg816X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т77.1			Ex29: c.5242C > T p.Arg1748X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T77.4			Ex31: c.5839C > T p.Arg1947X	Nonsense	
T141.4	Ex13: c.2233delA p.Ser745AlafsX2	l bp deletion (FS)	Ex12b: c.1885G > A p.Gly629Arg	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T141.13			Ex30: c.5731delT p.Ser1911LeufsX9 <u>R</u>	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T133	Ex16: c.2446C > T p.Arg816X	Nonsense	Ex31: c.5897dupAC p.Glu1966HisfsX25	2 bp duplication (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т137			Ex31: c.5898dupAC p.Glu1966HisfsX25	2 bp duplication (FS)	
T437	Ex17: c.2875C > T p.Gln959X	Nonsense	Ex2: c.67A $>$ T p.lle23Leu	Missense	4
T441			Ex4b: c.586G $>$ T p.Glu196X	Nonsense	
T459			Ex10c: c.1641+2T > G	Splice site	
T433			Ex10c: c.1660C > G p.Gln554Glu	Missense	
T469			Ex12a: c.1724delCACA p.Ser575X	4 bp deletion (FS)	
T468			Ex13: c.2041C > T p.Arg681X	Nonsense	
T472			Ex13: c.2088G > A p.Trp696X	Nonsense	

Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

E	J	
Ľ	4	
6	\leq	
h		
Ľ	3	
F	1	

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T463			Ex16: c.2410-3T > G	Splice site	
T451			Ex20: c.3449C > T p.Ser1150Leu	Missense	
T456			Ex22: c.3709-2A > G	Splice site	
T450			Ex23.2: c.4084C $>$ T p.Arg1362X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T442			Ex27b: c.4687_4691del5 p.Phe1563GlyfsX36	5 bp deletion (FS)	
T443			Ex27b: c.4693insG p.Ala1565GlyfsX35	l bp insertion (FS)	
T467			Ex29: c.5380C > T p.Gln I 794X	Nonsense	
T457			Ex34: c.6448A > T p.Lys2150X	Nonsense	
T471			Ex38: c.6895delG p.Val2299TrpfsX8	l bp deletion (FS)	
T434			Ex44: c.7699C > T p.Gln2567X	Nonsense	
T435			Ex44: c.7702C > T p.Gln2568X	Nonsense	
T460			Ex46: c.7924delT p.Ser2642LeufsX16	l bp deletion (FS)	
CSG6N	Ex21: c.3525_3526delAA p.Arg1176SerfsX18	2 bp deletion (FS)	Ex4c: c.587-8del6 Splicing effect?	Intronic deletion	6, 7
CSG13N			Ex9: c.1260 + 1G > A	Splice site	
CSG48N			Ex10c: c.1604A > G p.Gln535Arg	Missense	

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
CSG29N			Ex14: c.2266C > T p.Gln756X	Nonsense	
CSG33N			Ex16: c.2816delA p.Asn9391lefsX12	l bp deletion (FS)	
CSG19N			Ex17: c.2928del13 p.Glu977AsnfsX3	13 bp deletion (FS)	
CSG26N			Ex26: c.4514 + 1G > C	Splice site	
CSG44N			Ex31: c.5774deIT p.Leu1925TrpfsX4	l bp deletion (FS)	
CSG8N			Ex33: c.6292_6322del31 p.Arg2098PhefsX21	31 bp deletion (FS)	
CSG30N			Ex45: c.7908-2A >T	Splice site	
NF482- UHG B	Ex21: c.3525_3526delAA p.Arg1176SerfsX18	2 bp deletion (FS)	Ex4a: c.359_375del17 p.Phe120X	17 bp deletion (FS)	3
NF482- UHG C			Ex4c: c.603_621de119 p.Phe201fsX4	19 bp deletion (FS)	
NF482- UHG A			Ex8: c.1185 + 1G > A	Splice site	
NF482- UHG D			Ex14: c.2252-30_2252- 6del??insT	Indel (FS?)	
T191.5	Ex22: c.3721C > T p.Arg1241X	Nonsense	Ex4b: c.505_524del20 p.Glu169X	20 bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T191.9			Ex10b: c.1417delA p.Thr473GInfsX24	l bp deletion (FS)	
T191.1			Ex18: c.2991 + 1 G > A	Splice site	
T191.2			Ex22: c.3721C > T p.Arg1241X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	

Continued

675

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T175.1	Ex23.2: c.4084C > T p.Arg1362X	Nonsense	Ex12a: c.1738insT p.Tyr580LeufsX7 <u>R</u>	l bp insertion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T175.2			Ex31: c.5817C > A p.Cys1939X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T209.1ii	Ex28: c.4950C > A p.Tyr1650X	Nonsense	Ex7: c.1062 + $IG > A \underline{R}$	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T209.7			Ex10a: c.1318C > T p.Arg440X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T209.8			Ex15: c. 2326G > A p. Ala776Thr <u>R</u>	Missense? / splicing?	
T209.5			Ex25: c.4345delA p.Ser1449AlafsX12	l bp deletion (FS)	
T209.6			Ex37: c.6790_6806del17 p.Tyr2264AspfsX8	17 bp deletion (FS)	
T506.5	Ex36: c.6756 + 2T > G	Splice site	Ex4b: c.480delG p.Arg160SerfsX5	l bp deletion (FS)	4
T506.2			Ex6: c.731_732deIAA p.Glu244ValfsX5	2 bp deletion (FS)	
Т506.4			Ex17: c.2987insAC p.Val996AspfsX17	2 bp insertion (FS)	
Т506.8			Ex19b: c.3306insA p.Phe11031lefsX2	l bp insertion (FS)	
T506.I			Ex22: c.3745_3764del20 p.Ser1249ThrfsX7	20 bp deletion (FS)	
T506.9			Ex33: c.6364del114 p.Glu2122 (through splice site)	l 14 bp deletion (FS)	
T506.6			Ex40: c.7127-3T > G	Splice site	

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T106.3	Ex37: c.6791insA p.Tyr2264Xfs	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex13: c.2033delC p.Pro678GInfsX9 <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T106.4			Ex26: c.4374_4375delCC p.Leu1459X <u>R</u>	2 bp deletion (FS)	
Т175.1	Ex37: c.6792C > G p.Tyr2264X	Recurrent nonsense mutation that causes a splicing defect	Ex12a: c.1738insT p.Tyr580LeufsX7 <u>R</u>	l bp insertion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T143.2			Ex19a: c.3124delGTAGinsAT p.Val1042llefsX16	Indel (FS)	
T143.13			Ex30: c.5731delT p.Ser1911LeufsX9 <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	
T175.2A			Ex31: c.5817C > A p.Cys1939X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T541.3	Ex40: c.7127_7258del132 p.Gly2376. Is this a complete exon 40 deletion??	I 32 bp In- frame deletion (FS) Complete exon 40 deletion ??	Ex12b: c.1888delG p.Val630X <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T541.1			Ex27b: c.4743insG p.Asp1582GlufsX18	l bp insertion (FS)	
T536B			Ex40: c.7169delG p.Arg2390LysfsX6	l bp deletion (FS)	
T210.1	Ex42: c.7458delC p.Tyr2487llefs	l bp deletion (FS)	Ex7: c.1062 + IG > A \underline{R}	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T210.6			Ex22: c.3870 + 2T > A	Splice site	
T181.3	E6-27b: Partial deletion of gene 90 kb	Partial gene deletion	Ex3: c.227insG p.Glu76GlyfsX30	l bp insertion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff

Ŀ		
E	<	
F		
H		
Ŀ	2	

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T211.2			Ex7: c.910C > T p.Arg304X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T211.3			Ex17: c 2855T > A p.Leu952X	Nonsense	
T34.I			Ex23.2: c 4108C > T p.Gln1370X	Nonsense	
T150.2			Ex34: c.6410delT p.Leu2137TyrfsX40	l bp deletion (FS)	
T181.1			Ex34: c.6409_6410delTT p.Leu2137ThrfsX19	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T198			Ex42: c.7449delT p.Ala2484GInfsX18	l bp deletion (FS)	
C176_3	NFI microdeletion	Genomic deletion	Ex4a: c.479 + I G > A	Splice site	2
C174			Ex15: c.2326- ?_2409 Complete exon 15 deletion ?	Exon deletion?	
C186			Ex17: c.2990 + IG > A \underline{R}	Splice site	
C176_1			Ex28: c.4812C > G p.Tyr1604X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
C176_2			Ex31: c.5927G > A p.Trp1976X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
L-001 D	NFI microdeletion	Genomic deletion	Ex4a: c.396_403del8 p.Leu134PhefsX21	8 bp deletion (FS)	3
L-001 B			Ex19a: c.3189T > A p.Cys1063X	Nonsense	
L-001 E			Ex22: c.3774G > A p.Trp1258X	Nonsense	

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
L-001 C			Ex23.2: c.4086_4092del7 p.Arg1362AlafsX20	7 bp deletion (FS)	
L-001 A			Ex28: c.5026_5032del7 p.Leu1676Alafs10	17 bp deletion (FS)	
NF96-1 E	NFI microdeletion	Genomic deletion	Ex I 3: c.2050C > T p.Glu684X	Nonsense	3
NF96-1 B			Ex20: c.3330delT p.Phe1110LeufsX2	l bp deletion (FS)	
NF96-1 A			Ex41: c.7394 + IG > A	Splice site	
NF96-1 C			Ex42: c.7438delG p.Glu2480LysfsX22	l bp deletion (FS)	
NF339- UHG B	NFI microdeletion		Ex3: c.288 + 2T > G	Splice site	3
NF339- UHG C			Ex7: c.1007G > A p.Trp336X	Nonsense	
NF339- UHG D			Ex15: c.2409 + IG > A	Splice site	
NF339- UHG A			Ex27b: c.4697T > A p.Leu1566X	Nonsense	
T49.2	Ex1-42: gene deletion	E1-42: gene deletion	Ex8: c.1177C > G p.His393Asp	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T49.8			Ex8: c.1178A > T p.His393Leu	Missense	
T49.I			Ex8: c.1181_1182delTT p.Phe394X	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T49.5			Ex16: c.2446C > T p.Arg816X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	

Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T49.7			Ex17: c.2953C > T p.Gln985X	Nonsense	
T49.3			Ex24: c.4114_4115delGT p.Va11372X	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T51.3	Whole gene deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex7: c.1062 + IG > A \underline{R}	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T51.6			Ex8: c.1179_1180delCT p.Phe394LeufsX18	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T51.5			Ex11: c.1645_1646delCT p.Leu549AlafsX1	2 bp deletion (FS)	
T51.4			Ex16: c.2464G > T p.Gly822X	Nonsense	
T51.7			Ex41: c.7285C > T p.Arg2429X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T176.3	Large deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex23.2: c.4110 + 1G > C	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T176.1			Ex28: c.4812C > G p.Tyr1604X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T176.2			Ex31: c.5928G > A p.Trp1976X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T217	Ex1: c.61-1G > C	Splice site	Ex12b: c.1900_1907del8 p.lle634X	8 bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T1440	Ex3: c.264_267deITACA p.Thr89Trpfs	4 bp deletion (FS)	Ex3: c.271G > A p.Glu91Lys	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T183.1	Ex4a: c.373delGinsATGTGT p.Arg125fs	Indel (FS)	Ex42: c.7449_7458de110 p.Leu24831lefsX15	10 bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T139	Ex4a: c.434_435delTC p.Leu145GlufsX19	2 bp deletion (FS)	Ex27a: c.4637C > G p.Ser1546X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T108.12	Ex7: c.889-2A > G	Splice site	Ex7: c.910C $>$ T p.Arg304X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	25
T199.I	Ex7: c.983_984delGT p.Cys328Xfs	2 bp deletion (FS)	Ex4b: c.528T > A p.Asp176Glu	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T374.5	Ex10a: c.1318C > T p.Arg440X	Nonsense	Ex23.1: c.3916C > T p.Arg1306X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т996	Ex10b: c.1393-32T > C	Splice site	Ex6: c.731-11 T > G	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т227.3	Ex10b: c.1423insC p.Leu475ProfsX9	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex15: c.2326-12C > T	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T161.4	Ex10b: c.1466A > G p.Tyr489Cys	Missense	Ex17: c.2990 + $IG > A \underline{R}$	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T161.3	Ex10b: c.1466A > G p.Tyr489Cys	Missense	Ex22 : c.3721insC p.Arg1241ProfsX7	l bp insertion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T214	Ex10b complete exon deletion	Single exon deletion	Ex22: c.3826C > T p.Arg1276X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
CLJ8N	Ex13: c.2041C $>$ T p.Arg681X	Nonsense	Ex13: c.2246C > G p.Ser749X	Nonsense	6, 7
T170.1A	Ex13: c.2041C $>$ T p.Arg681X	Nonsense	Ex12a: c.1797G > A p.Trp599X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T1243	Ex13: c.2197_2214del17 p.Pro733fs	17 bp deletion (FS)	Ex36: c.6709C > T p.Arg2237X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
NF253- UHG D	Ex16: c.2850 $+$ 2A $>$ G	Splice site	Ex11: c.1663_1666delTTAG p.Leu555llefsX12	4 bp deletion (FS)	3
T193	Ex17: c.2870delA p.Asp957llefs	l bp deletion (FS)	Ex10a: c.1312G > T p.Glu438X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
L-004 D	Ex18: c.3113G > A p.Arg1038Lys	Missense	Ex27b: c.4729delA p.Thr1577LeufsX23	l bp deletion (FS)	3
HT1359.2	Ex18: c.3113 + IG > A	Splice site	Ex10a: c.1277G > A p.Trp426X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff

Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T140.4	Ex22: c.3732delT p.Thr1245LeufsX21	l bp deletion (FS)	Ex41: c.7285C > T p.Arg2429X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	25
T37.I	Ex23.2: c.4084C > T p.Arg1362X	Nonsense	Ex10b : c.1467T > G p.Tyr489X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T205.1	Ex24: c.4196C > A p.Ser1399X	Nonsense	Ex27a: c.4537C > T p.Arg1513X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T450.3	Ex27a: c.4537C > T p.Arg1513X	Nonsense	Ex4b: c.574C > T p.Arg192X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T209.8	Ex:28: c.4950 C > G p.Tyr1650X	Nonsense	Ex10a: c.1318 C > T p.Arg440X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
NFI 16- UHG A	Ex28: c.5122insG p.Ala1708GlyfsX27	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex27a: c.4537C > T p.Arg1513X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	3
T1308	Ex29: c.5546 $+$ 19 T $>$ A	Splice site	Ex22: c.3827G > A p.Arg1276Gln	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T149.5C	Ex34: c.6512delATGAGAGAinsC p.Tyr2171fs	Indel (FS)	Ex7: c.988G > A p.Ala330Thr	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T89.I	Ex37: c.6789_6792deITTAC p.Asp2264ThrfsX5	4 bp deletion (FS)	Ex12b: c.1888delG p.Val630X <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	25
T106.1	Ex37: c.6791insA p.Tyr2264XfsX1	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex13: c.2033delC p.Pro678GInfsX9 <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	25
L-004 B	Ex37: c.6791insA p.Tyr2264XfsX1	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex23.1: c.3871_3974del103 Complete exon 23.1 deletion ?	103 bp deletion (FS)	3
T1200	Ex37: c.6791insA p.Tyr2264XfsX1	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex16: c.2825G > T p.Ser942lle	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
CLOIN	Ex37: c.6792C > A p.Tyr2264X	Nonsense	mRNA study: Exon 4c skipped	Splice site?	6, 7

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T1229	Ex39: c.7049_7064del16 p.Cys2350PhefsX19	16 bp deletion (FS)	Ex13: c.2203T > C p.Tyr735His	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T164.1E	Ex41: c.7285C > T p.Arg2429X	Nonsense	Ex23.2: c.4084C > T p.Arg1362X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T157.1A	Ex45: c.7907 + 3A > T	Splice site	Ex20: c.3492delC p.lle1165SerfsX2	l bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т98.6	1.5Mb deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex34: c.6387A > C p.Arg2129Ser	Missense	25
Т98	Complete gene deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex20: c.3457_3460del4 p.Leu1153MetfsX3	4 bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T158.I	Complete gene deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex18: c.3058delG p.Glu1020LysfsX2 <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
CCFIN	Complete gene deletion	Genomic deletion	mRNA study: exons 12a and 12b skipped	Splice site?	5, 6
UWA128- 3	NI	NI	Ex4b: c.543_546delGTAT p.Tyr182SerfsX7	4 bp deletion (FS)	38
T219.1	NI	NI	Ex9: c.1225_1226delGT p.Val409AlafsX18	2 bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T116	NI	NI	Ex10c: c.1541_1542delAG p.Gln514ArgfsX43	2 bp deletion (FS)	25
T198.1	NI	NI	Ex10c: c.1555C > T p.Gln519X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T128.17	NI	NI	Ex10c: c.1556A > C p.Gln519Pro <u>R</u>	Missense	25
T198.2	NI	NI	Ex12a: c.1792A > T p.Lys598X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т63.2	NI	NI	Ex13: c.2088delG p.Trp696X	l bp deletion (FS)	25

Continued

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

6	
Ô	
Ň	
+	

© HENRY STEWART PUBLICATIONS 1479-7364. HUMAN GENOMICS. VOL 5. NO 6. 623-690 OCTOBER 2011

Table S2. Continued

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
T146.5	NI	NI	Ex15: c.2326G > A p.Ala776Thr <u>R</u>	Missense/ splicing?	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т63.8	NI	NI	Ex15: c.2341_2358del18 p.His781Ala (in-frame)	18 bp deletion (in-frame)	25
T1265.2	NI	NI	Ex17: c.2851-16T > C	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T233.I	NI	NI	Ex17: c.2879del38 p.Phe960X	38 bp deletion (FS)	
T158.2	NI	NI	Ex18: c.3058delG p.Glu1020LysfsX2 <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	
T158.4	NI	NI	Ex18: c.3058delG p.Glu1020LysfsX2 <u>R</u>	l bp deletion (FS)	
T192.1	NI	NI	$Ex18: c.3113 + IG > A \underline{R}$	Splice site	
T192.2	NI	NI	$Ex18: c.3113 + IG > A \underline{R}$	Splice site	
NF260-1	NI	NI	Ex22: c.3721C > T p.Arg1241X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	8
38	NI	NI	Ex22: c.3727_3728delCT p.Leu1243GlyfsX5	2 bp deletion (FS)	18
Т94	NI	NI	Ex23.2: c.4083insT p.Arg1362SerfsX12	l bp insertion (FS)	25
T565	NI	NI	Ex25: c. 4270-2A > G	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff
T106.3	NI	NI	Ex26: c.4374_4375delCC p.Asp1460X <u>R</u>	2 bp deletion (FS)	25
T81.1	NI	NI	Ex27b: c.4662-5C > T	Splice site	25
T1284.5	NI	NI	Ex27b: c.4772 + 5G > A	Splice site	Unpublished data, Cardiff

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
20	NI	NI	Ex33: c.6253_6354 + 5del117 p.Val2085 (through splice site)	17 bp deletion (FS)	18
44	NI	NI	Ex40: c.7127-44_7174del92 p.Gly2376ValfsX8	92 bp deletion (FS)	18
PNFs					
45	Ex3: c.264_267delTACA p.Thr89TrpfsX8	4 bp deletion (FS)	Ex3: c.271G > A p.Glu91Lys	Missense	10
Т399	Ex3: c.264_267delTACA p.Thr89TrpfsX8	4 bp deletion (FS)	Ex3: c.271G > T p.Glu91X	Nonsense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т7	Ex4a: c.479 + $IG > A$	Splice site	Ex16: c.2446C > T p.Arg816X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	39
19 UK	Ex7: c.910C > T p.Arg304X	Nonsense	Ex8: c.1177_1178delCA p.His393LeufsX16	2 bp deletion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
c3 UK	Ex8: c.1063-2A > G	Splice site	Ex7: c.910C $>$ T p.Arg304X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
I4b	Ex13: c.2076C > G p.Tyr692X	Nonsense	Ex4b: c.532_558del27 p.Glu178 <u>R</u>	27 bp deletion (in-frame)	
T318	Ex13: c.2076C > G p.Tyr692X	Nonsense	Ex4b: c.532_558del27 p.Glu178 <u>R</u>	27 bp deletion (in-frame)	
T381.1	E18: c.3113 + 1G > A	Splice site	Ex10a: c.1277G > A p.Trp426X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T381.2			Ex18: c.3113 + IG > A \underline{R}	Splice site	
31	Ex29: c.5234C > G p.Ser1745X	Nonsense	Ex9: c.1246C > T p.Arg416X	Nonsense	
c4 UK	Ex33: c.6289_6290insA p.Leu2097fsX2	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex27b: c.4706T > G p.Leu1569X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
T155	Ex33: c.6291insA p.Leu2097XfsX9	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex27b: c.4706T > G p.Leu1569X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
					Continued

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
24	Complete gene deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex4b: c.528T >A p.Asp176Glu	Missense	Unpublished data, Cardiff
Т323	Complete gene deletion (1.4Mb ?)	Genomic deletion	Ex26: c.4501_4502delCT p.Leu1501PhefsX7 <u>R</u>	2 bp deletion (FS)	
Т369	Complete gene deletion (1.4Mb ?)	Genomic deletion	Ex26: c.4501_4502delCT p.Leu1501PhefsX7 <u>R</u>	2 bp deletion (FS)	
c2 UK	NI	NI	Ex23.2: c.4083insT p.Arg1362SerfsX12	l bp insertion (FS)	Unpublished data, Cardiff
42	NI	NI	Ex27a: c.4515-2A > G	Splice site	
Т329 ?	NI	NI	Ex7: c.952_953delGA p.Glu318LysfsX11	2 bp deletion (FS)	
Spinal neurofib	promas				
1	Ex7: c.899T > C p.Leu300Pro	Missense	Ex24: c.4111-2A > G	Splice site	16
13	I.4 Mb deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex21_22 splice site mutation?	Splice site?	
6	1.4 Mb deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex27b: c.4690A > G p.Lys1564Glu	Missense	
MPNSTs					
53	Ex4b: c.574C $>$ T p.Arg192X	Nonsense	Ex24: c.4203insT p.Glu1402X	l bp insertion (FS)	18
T168	Ex5: c.663G > A p.Trp221X	Nonsense	Ex34: c.6444delA p.Val2149SerfsX28	l bp deletion (FS)	
T185	Ex6: c.773delA p.Ser259AlafsX21	l bp deletion (FS)	Ex34: c.6410delT p.Leu2137TyrfsX41	l bp deletion (FS)	
37	Ex16: c.2446C > T p.Arg816X	Nonsense	Ex6: c.731-5_741de119 through a splice site	19 bp deletion (FS)	

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
17	Ex20: c.3457_3460delCTCA p.Leu1153MetfsX4	2 bp deletion (FS)	Ex31: c.5789delC p.Pro1930HisfX6	l bp deletion (FS)	17
20	I.4Mb deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex10c: c.1532delC p.Pro511GInfsX14	l bp deletion (FS)	17
44	Complete gene deletion	Genomic deletion	Ex16: c.2446C > T p.Arg816X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	18
T184	Segmental NF NI	NI	Ex27a: c.4580_4590del11 p.Pro1527GInfsX11 <u>R</u>	II bp deletion (FS)	18
П	NI	NI	Ex27a: c.4580_4590del11 p.Pro1527GInfsX11 <u>R</u>	l I bp deletion (FS)	17
38	NI	NI	Ex12a: c.1831delCinsTT p.Leu611PhefsX3	Indel (FS)	18
GISTs					
NFI-Ia	Ex24: c.4269 + I G > T	Splice site	Ex29: c.5546 $+$ 2T $>$ A	Splice site	3
NFI-Ib			Ex29: c.5242C > T p.Arg1748X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
NFI-2a	Ex37: c.6791insA p.Tyr2264X	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex3: c.279T > A p.Cys93X	Nonsense	3
NFI-2c			Ex10c: c. del21	21 bp in-frame deletion	
NFI-2b			Ex45: c.7846C > T p.Arg2616X	Nonsense	

Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source
JMML					
D127	Ex14: c.2288_2295dupTGAGGCGC / Ex20: c.3366delT	Compound heterozygous <i>NFI</i> mutations found in blood cells	Ex14: c.2288_2295dupTGAGGCGC / Ex20: c.3366delT	Compound heterozygous <i>NFI</i> mutations found in blood cells	31
CZ051	Ex12a: c.1748A > G p.Lys583Arg / Ex13: c.2027delC p.T676TfsX11		Ex12a: c.1748A > G p.Lys583Arg / Ex13: c.2027delC p.T676TfsX11		
D530	Ex6: c.821T > G p.Leu274Arg / Ex34: c.6579 + IG > C	With no other tissue analysed, unable to differentiate germline from somatic <i>NFI</i> mutations	Ex6: c.821T > G p.L274R / Ex34: c.6579 + IG > C	With no other tissue analysed, unable to differentiate germline from somatic <i>NFI</i> mutations	32
SC049	Ex3: c.205-2A > G / Ex23.2: c.4084C > T p.Arg1362X		Ex3: c.205-2A > G / Ex23.2: c.4084C > T p.R1362X		
SCO87	Ex4b: c.482T > G p.Leu161X / Ex4b: c.495_498delTGTT p.T165TfsX11		Ex4b: c.482T > G p.L161X / Ex4b: c.495_498delTGTT p.T165TfsX11		
D252	NI	NI	Ex29: c.5242C > T p.Arg1748X <u>R</u>	Nonsense	
Glomus tumou	rs				
NFI-G8	Ex4a: c.311T > G p.Leu104X	Nonsense	Ex44: c.7727C > A p.Ser2576X	Nonsense	36

Table S2. Continu	Table S2. Continued						
Patient ID	Germline point mutation	Type of germline mutation	Somatic point mutation	Effect of somatic mutation	Source		
NFI-G3	Ex16: c.2546insG p.Val850SerfsX15	l bp insertion (FS)	Ex29: c.5539_5546dup8 p.Ser1850ValfsX15	8 bp duplication (FS)			
NFI-G5	Ex27a: c.4515-2A >T	Splice site	Ex18: c.3113 + 1G > C	Splice site			
NFI-GI	mRNA study: Exon 29 partially skipped	Splice site?	Ex4a: c.403delC p.Arg135GlyfsX30	l bp deletion (FS)			
NFI- G10a	Ex37: c.6789_6792delTTAC p.Tyr2264AspfsX5	4 bp deletion (FS)	Ex2: c.204 + $IG > A$	Splice site			
NFI- G10b			Ex43: c.7600_7621del22 p.Lys2534GlyfsX8	22 bp deletion (FS)			
ACs							
	No <i>NF1</i> somatic mutations identified		No <i>NF1</i> somatic mutations identified				
Gastric carcinoid	tumours						
	No <i>NF1</i> somatic mutations identified		No <i>NF1</i> somatic mutations identified				
PCs							
	No <i>NFI</i> somatic mutations identified		No <i>NF1</i> somatic mutations identified				

FS, frame shift; NI, no information; R, recurrent.

- Spurlock, G., Griffiths, S., Uff, J. and Upadhyaya, M. (2007), 'Somatic alterations of the NF1 gene in an NF1 individual with multiple benign tumours (internal and external) and malignant tumour types', *Fam. Cancer* Vol. 6, pp. 463–471.
- De Raedt, T., Maertens, O., Chmara, M., Brems, H. et al. (2006), 'Somatic loss of wild type NF1 allele in neurofibromas: Comparison of NF1 microdeletion and non-microdeletion patients', *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* Vol. 45, pp. 893–904.
- Maertens, O., Brems, H., Vandesompele, J., De Raedt, T. et al. (2006), 'Comprehensive NF1 screening on cultured Schwann cells from neurofibromas', Hum. Mutat. Vol. 27, pp. 1030–1040.
- Thomas, L., Kluwe, L., Chuzhanova, N., Mautner, V. et al. (2010), 'Analysis of NF1 somatic mutations in cutaneous neurofibromas from patients with high tumor burden', *Neurogenetics* Vol. 11, pp. 391–400.
- Serra, E., Puig, S., Otero, D., Gaona, A. et al. (1997), 'Confirmation of a double-hit model for the NF1 gene in benign neurofibromas', *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* Vol. 61, pp. 512–519.
- Serra, E., Ars, E., Ravella, A., Sánchez, A. et al. (2001), 'Somatic NF1 mutational spectrum in benign neurofibromas: mRNA splice defects are common among point mutations', *Hum. Genet.* Vol. 108, pp. 416–429.
- Serra, E., Rosenbaum, T., Nadal, M., Winner, U. et al. (2001), 'Mitotic recombination effects homozygosity for NF1 germline mutations in neurofibromas', *Nat. Genet.* Vol. 28, pp. 294–296.
- Eisenbarth, I., Beyer, K., Krone, W. and Assum, G. (2000), 'Toward a survey of somatic mutation of the NF1 gene in benign neurofibromas of patients with neurofibromatosis type 1', *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* Vol. 66, pp. 393–401.
- Rasmussen, S., Overman, J., Thomson, S., Colman, S. *et al.* (2000), 'Chromosome 17 loss-of-heterozygosity studies in benign and malignant tumors in neurofibromatosis type 1', *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* Vol. 28, pp. 425–431.
- Upadhyaya, M., Spurlock, G., Monem, B., Thomas, N. et al. (2008), 'Germline and somatic NF1 gene mutations in plexiform neurofibromas', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 29, pp. E103–E111.
- Steinmann, K., Kluwe, L., Friedrich, R., Mautner, V. et al. (2009), 'Mechanisms of loss of heterozygosity in neurofibromatosis type 1-associated plexiform neurofibromas', J. Invest. Dermatol. Vol. 129, pp. 615–621.
- Däschner, K., Assum, G., Eisenbarth, I., Krone, W. et al. (1997), 'Clonal origin of tumor cells in a plexiform neurofibroma with LOH in NF1 intron 38 and in dermal neurofibromas without LOH of the NF1 gene', *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* Vol. 234, pp. 346–350.
- Frahm, S., Mautner, V., Brems, H., Legius, E. et al. (2004), 'Genetic and phenotypic characterization of tumor cells derived from malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors of neurofibromatosis type 1 patients', *Neurobiol. Dis.* Vol. 16, pp. 85–91.
- Kluwe, L., Friedrich, R. and Mautner, V. (1999), 'Allelic loss of the NF1 gene in NF1-associated plexiform neurofibromas', *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* Vol. 113, pp. 65–69.
- De Luca, A., Buccino, A., Gianni, D., Mangino, M. et al. (2003), 'NF1 gene analysis based on DHPLC', Hum. Mutat. Vol. 21, pp. 171–172.
- Upadhyaya, M., Spurlock, G., Kluwe, L., Chuzhanova, N. et al. (2009), 'The spectrum of somatic and germline NF1 mutations in NF1 patients with spinal neurofibromas', *Neurogenetics* Vol. 10, pp. 251–263.
- Upadhyaya, M., Kluwe, L., Spurlock, G., Monem, B. *et al.* (2008), 'Germline and somatic NF1 gene mutation spectrum in NF1-associated malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs)', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 29, pp. 74–82.
- Bottillo, I., Ahlquist, T., Brekke, H., Danielsen, S. *et al.* (2009), 'Germline and somatic NF1 mutations in sporadic and NF1-associated malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours', *J. Pathol.* Vol. 217, pp. 693–701.
- Skuse, G., Kosciolek, B. and Rowley, P. (1989), 'Molecular genetic analysis of tumors in von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis: Loss of heterozygosity for chromosome 17', *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* Vol. 1, pp. 36–41.

- Menon, A., Anderson, K., Riccardi, V., Chung, R. *et al.* (1990), 'Chromosome 17p deletions and p53 gene mutations associated with the formation of malignant neurofibrosarcomas in von Recklinghausen neu-rofibromatosis', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* Vol. 87, pp. 5435–5439.
- Glover, T., Stein, C., Legius, E., Andersen, L. et al. (1991), 'Molecular and cytogenetic analysis of tumors in von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis', Genes Chromosomes Cancer Vol. 3, pp. 62–70.
- Xu, W., Mulligan, L.M., Ponder, M.A., Liu, L. et al. (1992), 'Loss of NF1 alleles in phaeochromocytomas from patients with type I neurofibromatosis', Genes Chromosomes Cancer Vol. 4, pp. 337–342.
- Legius, E., Marchuk, D., Collins, F. and Glover, T. (1993), 'Somatic deletion of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene in a neurofibrosarcoma supports a tumour suppressor gene hypothesis', *Nat. Genet.* Vol. 3, pp. 122–126.
- Lothe, R., Slettan, A., Saeter, G., Brøgger, A. et al. (1995), 'Alterations at chromosome 17 loci in peripheral nerve sheath tumors', J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. Vol. 54, pp. 65–73.
- Upadhyaya, M., Han, S., Consoli, C., Majounie, E. *et al.* (2004), 'Characterization of the somatic mutational spectrum of the neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) gene in neurofibromatosis patients with benign and malignant tumors', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 23, pp. 134–146.
- Gutmann, D., Donahoe, J., Brown, T., James, C. et al. (2000), 'Loss of neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) gene expression in NF1-associated pilocytic astrocytomas', *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* Vol. 26, pp. 361–367.
- Kluwe, L., Hagel, C., Tatagiba, M., Thomas, S. et al. (2001), 'Loss of NF1 alleles distinguish sporadic from NF1-associated pilocytic astrocytomas', J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. Vol. 60, pp. 917–920.
- Gutmann, D., James, C., Poyhonen, M., Louis, D. et al. (2003), 'Molecular analysis of astrocytomas presenting after age 10 in individuals with NF1', *Neurology* Vol. 61, pp. 1397–1400.
- Stewart, W., Traynor, J.P., Cooke, A., Griffiths, S. et al. (2007), 'Gastric carcinoid: Germline and somatic mutation of the neurofibromatosis type 1 Gene', Fam. Cancer Vol. 6, pp. 147–152.
- Stewart, D., Corless, C., Rubin, B., Heinrich, M. et al. (2007), 'Mitotic recombination as evidence of alternative pathogenesis of gastrointestinal stromal tumours in neurofibromatosis type 1', J. Med. Genet. Vol. 44, p. e61.
- 31. Flotho, C., Steinemann, D., Mullighan, C., Neale, G. et al. (2007), 'Genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis in juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia identifies uniparental disomy surrounding the NF1 locus in cases associated with neurofibromatosis but not in cases with mutant RAS or PTPN11', Oncogene Vol. 26, pp. 5816–5821.
- 32. Stephens, K., Weaver, M., Leppig, K., Maruyama, K. et al. (2006), 'Interstitial uniparental isodisomy at clustered breakpoint intervals is a frequent mechanism of NF1 inactivation in myeloid malignancies', Blood Vol. 108, pp. 1684–1689.
- 33. Steinemann, D., Arning, L., Praulich, I., Stuhrmann, M. et al. (2010), 'Mitotic recombination and compound-heterozygous mutations are predominant NF1-inactivating mechanisms in children with juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia and neurofibromatosis type 1', *Haematologica* Vol. 95, pp. 320–323.
- Gutmann, D.H., Cole, J.L., Stone, W.J., Ponder, B.A. et al. (1994), 'Loss of neurofibromin in adrenal gland tumors from patients with neurofibromatosis type I', Genes Chromosomes Cancer Vol. 10, pp. 55–58.
- Bausch, B., Borozdin, W., Mautner, V.F., Hoffmann, M.M. et al. (2007), 'Germline NF1 mutational spectra and loss-of-heterozygosity analyses in patients with pheochromocytoma and neurofibromatosis type 1', J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. Vol. 92, pp. 2784–2792.
- Brems, H., Park, C., Maertens, O., Pemov, A. *et al.* (2009), 'Glomus tumors in neurofibromatosis type 1: Genetic, functional, and clinical evidence of a novel association', *Cancer Res.* Vol. 69, pp. 7393–7401.
- Wiest, V., Eisenbarth, I., Schmegner, C., Krone, W. et al. (2003), 'Somatic NF1 mutation spectra in a family with neurofibromatosis type 1: Toward a theory of genetic modifiers', *Hum. Mutat.* Vol. 22, pp. 423–427.
- Sawada, S., Florell, S., Purandare, S., Ota, M. et al. (1996), 'Identification of NF1 mutations in both alleles of a dermal neurofibroma', *Nat. Genet.* Vol. 14, pp. 110–112.
- John, A., Ruggieri, M., Ferner, R. and Upadhyaya, M. (2000), 'A search for evidence of somatic mutations in the NF1 gene', *J. Med. Genet.* Vol. 37, pp. 44–49.